

POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF ADDITIVE CYCLIC CODES AND NEW QUANTUM CODES

REZA DASTBASTEH AND KHALIL SHIVJI

ABSTRACT. We give a polynomial representation for additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . This representation will be applied to uniquely present each additive cyclic code by at most two generator polynomials. We determine the generator polynomials of all different additive cyclic codes. A minimum distance lower bound for additive cyclic codes will also be provided using linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p . We classify all the symplectic self-dual, self-orthogonal, and nearly self-orthogonal additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Finally, we present ten record-breaking binary quantum codes after applying a quantum construction to self-orthogonal and nearly self-orthogonal additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 .

Keywords: additive cyclic codes, quantum code, self-orthogonal codes, self-dual codes

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum error-correcting codes, or simply quantum codes, are used in quantum computation to protect quantum information from corruption by noise (decoherence). A general framework of quantum codes is provided in [9, 13]. Throughout this paper, \mathbb{F}_{p^2} is the finite field of p^2 elements, where p is a prime number. The parameters of a quantum code over \mathbb{F}_p that encodes k logical qubits to n physical qubits and has minimum distance d is denoted by $[[n, k, d]]_p$. An important family of quantum codes with many similar properties as classical block codes is the family of quantum stabilizer codes. In particular, quantum stabilizer codes are constructed using additive codes which are self-orthogonal with respect to a certain symplectic inner product. Several constructions of quantum stabilizer codes from various classical codes are given in [18]. An interesting modification of the original definition of quantum stabilizer codes is by relaxing its self-orthogonality constraint [5, 19]. This method enables us to construct good quantum codes using not necessarily self-orthogonal additive codes over \mathbb{F}_4 . Previously, this modification was applied for the construction of new quantum codes from different families of linear codes [6, 10, 20].

Additive cyclic codes are of interest due to their rich algebraic properties and application in the construction of quantum codes. There have been several works in the literature toward the classification of additive cyclic codes for different applications [1, 4, 7, 16, 17, 21], and also due to their connection to other families of block codes such as quasi-cyclic codes [15]. In [16], a canonical decomposition of additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_4 was introduced using certain finite field extensions of \mathbb{F}_4 . This decomposition was applied to determine self-orthogonal and self-dual additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 with respect to the trace inner product. In [3], it was shown that each additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_4 of length n can be generated by \mathbb{F}_2 -span of at most two polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_4[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ and their cyclic shifts. Moreover, a criterion for the self-orthogonality of such codes with respect to the trace inner product was provided. Another interesting construction for a subclass of additive cyclic code, namely twisted codes, was provided in [1]. This construction is analogous to the way linear cyclic codes are constructed. In spite of many useful properties of twisted codes, all additive cyclic codes cannot be described using the theory of additive twisted codes.

In this work, we first give a canonical representation of all \mathbb{F}_p -additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} using at most two generator polynomials. Our representation is more computationally friendly than the canonical representation of [16]. This representation allows us to give a minimum distance lower bound for additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} using the minimum distance of linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p . Moreover, we provide a unique set of generator polynomials for each additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . This representation of generator polynomials will be used to characterize all self-orthogonal and self-dual additive cyclic codes with respect to the symplectic inner product. We also determine the generator polynomials of the symplectic dual of a given additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , and compute nearly the self-orthogonality of each additive cyclic code using only its generator polynomials. This allows us to apply the nearly self-orthogonal construction of quantum codes developed in [5, 19]. In particular, we provide a list of eleven record-breaking binary quantum codes after applying the mentioned quantum construction to nearly self-orthogonal additive cyclic codes. Furthermore, applying secondary constructions to our new quantum codes produce many more record-breaking binary codes. Note that such new quantum codes cannot be constructed using self-orthogonal additive cyclic codes of the same length.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly recalls the essential terminologies used in this work. Section 3 gives a canonical representation of additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . In fact, we follow a module theory approach to decompose each additive cyclic code using its polynomial representation in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. In Section 4, we compute the symplectic dual of each additive cyclic code. We provide the necessary and sufficient conditions for an additive cyclic code to be self-orthogonal, self-dual, or nearly self-orthogonality with respect to the symplectic inner product. Finally, in Section 5, we present the parameters of our record-breaking quantum codes.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let ω be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Then the set $\{1, \omega\}$ forms a basis for \mathbb{F}_{p^2} over \mathbb{F}_p . Let $a + b\omega$ and $a' + b'\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$, where $a, a', b, b' \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$. The *symplectic inner product* of $a + b\omega$ and $a' + b'\omega$ is defined by

$$\langle a + b\omega, a' + b'\omega \rangle_s = a' \cdot b - a \cdot b'. \quad (2.1)$$

An \mathbb{F}_p -linear subspace $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$ is called a length n *additive code* over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . We denote the \mathbb{F}_p -dimension of an additive code C over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C)$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$ be an additive code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} such that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) = k$. Then we call C an (n, p^k) code. The set

$$C^{\perp_s} = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n : \langle x, y \rangle_s = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}.$$

is called the *symplectic dual* of C . One can easily see that C^{\perp_s} is an (n, p^{2n-k}) additive code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . The code C is called *self-orthogonal* (respectively *self-dual*) if $C \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$ (respectively if $C = C^{\perp_s}$). For each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$, we denote the number of non-zero coordinates of x by $\text{wt}(x)$. Moreover, the minimum weight among non-zero vectors of an additive code C is denoted by $d(C)$. The connection between quantum stabilizer codes and classical additive codes was initially formulated by the independent works of Calderbank, Rains, Shor, and Sloane [3] and Gottesman [11]. A non-binary version of this connection is provided below.

Theorem 2.1. [18, Corollary 16] *Let C be an (n, p^{n-k}) additive code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Then there exists an $[[n, k, d]]_p$ quantum stabilizer code if C is symplectic self-orthogonal, where $d = \min\{\text{wt}(x) : x \in C_s^{\perp} \setminus C\}$ if $k > 0$ and $d = \min\{\text{wt}(x) : x \in C\}$ if $k = 0$.*

The quantum code of Theorem 2.1 is called *pure* if $d = d(C^{\perp_s})$. There are several secondary constructions of quantum code. A short list of such constructions is provided below.

Theorem 2.2. [18, Section XV] *Let C be an $[[n, k, d]]_p$ quantum code.*

- (1) *If $k > 0$, then an $[[n + 1, k, d]]_p$ quantum code exists.*
- (2) *If C is pure and $n, d \geq 2$, then an $[[n - 1, k + 1, d - 1]]_p$ pure quantum code exists.*
- (3) *If $k > 1$, then there exists an $[[n, k - 1, d]]_p$ quantum code.*

3. ADDITIVE CYCLIC CODES OVER \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

Throughout this section, we assume that n is a positive integer such that $(n, p) = 1$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \{\alpha + \beta\omega : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$, where ω is a root of a degree two irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p . In this section, we provide a canonical representation of additive cyclic codes over the field \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . In particular, we give a unique representation of each additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} using at most two generator polynomials. Moreover, we determine the generator polynomials of all different additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . In particular, each additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} is a linear combination of cyclic shifts of its generator polynomials. Such representation is also suitable for practical computations of additive cyclic codes, especially using Magma computer algebra system [2]. More particularly, there exists a built-in function in Magma which forms additive cyclic codes generated by two given generator polynomials. At the end of this section, we give a minimum distance lower bound for the minimum distance of additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} using the minimum distance of linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p .

Definition 3.1. An \mathbb{F}_p -subspace $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$ is called an *additive cyclic code* of length n over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , if for every $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in C$, the vector $(a_{n-1}, a_0, \dots, a_{n-2})$ is also a codeword of C .

We will use the following concepts of module theory frequently in this section, and for more details one, for example, can see [8, Chapter 12]. Let R be a principal ideal domain and M be an R -module. The *annihilator* of M is an ideal of R defined by $\{r \in R : rm = 0 \text{ for any } m \in M\}$. An element $m \in M$ is called a *torsion element*, if there exists $0 \neq r \in R$ such that $rm = 0$. The module M is called a *torsion module* if all of its elements are torsion. The following theorem, known as the primary decomposition theorem of modules, plays an important role in our representation of additive cyclic codes.

Theorem 3.2. [8, Chapter 12, Theorem 7] *Let R be a principal ideal domain and M be a torsion R -module with the annihilator $\langle a \rangle \neq 0$. Let $a = u \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{a_i}$, where u is a unit and p_i is a prime element for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we can decompose M as a direct sum of its submodules in the form*

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n N_i, \quad (3.1)$$

where $N_i = \{x \in M : xp_i^{a_i} = 0\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Each element $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$ can be represented uniquely as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ in the form $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i$. One can easily verify that, under this correspondence, a length n additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} is an $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$.

Notation 3.3. Let f and $g \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. We fix the following notations for the rest of this paper.

- (1) The ideal generated by f in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ is denoted by $\langle f \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$. Equivalently it is the $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ generated by the polynomial f .

- (2) The $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ generated by the polynomial g is denoted by $\langle g \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

A straightforward computation shows that the annihilator of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$ as an $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ -module is the ideal $\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. Moreover, we can decompose $x^n - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ as $x^n - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^s f_i(x)$, where each $f_i(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial corresponding to a p -cyclotomic coset modulo n . Next, we apply Theorem 3.2 to $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. It is straightforward to see that

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s N_i, \quad (3.2)$$

where $N_i = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. We call a non-zero length n additive cyclic code C over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} *irreducible* if for any additive cyclic code $D \subseteq C$, then $D = \{0\}$ or $D = C$. The next lemma shows that each N_i can be decomposed as a direct sum of two irreducible additive cyclic codes. We determine the generator polynomial of all irreducible additive cyclic codes inside N_i and provide other useful information about additive cyclic codes inside each N_i .

Lemma 3.4. *Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible divisor of $x^n - 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ with $\deg(f) = k$ and $N = \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$.*

- (1) *Let $0 \neq r(x) \in N$, then the set $L = \{r(x), xr(x), \dots, x^{k-1}r(x)\}$ forms a basis for $\langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ as an \mathbb{F}_p vector space.*
- (2) *Let $0 \neq C \subsetneq N$ be an additive cyclic code. The code C has \mathbb{F}_p -dimension k and $C = \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ for any $0 \neq r(x) \in C$.*
- (3) *The additive cyclic code N can be decomposed as*

$$N = \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \oplus \langle \omega((x^n - 1)/f(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

Moreover, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(N) = 2k$ and N is linear over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

- (4) *The number of irreducible additive cyclic codes inside N is $2^k + 1$. In particular, the following set gives all the different generator polynomials of such additive cyclic codes.*

$$A = \{((x^n - 1)/f(x))(\omega + g(x)) : g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x], \deg(g(x)) < k\} \cup \{(x^n - 1)/f(x)\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. (1) Obviously $L \subseteq \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$. Suppose, on the contrary, that L is linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_p . Hence we can find a polynomial $0 \neq s(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ of degree less than k such that $r(x)s(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$. Since $(x^n - 1)/f(x) \mid r(x)$ and $f(x)$ is irreducible, we conclude that $f(x) \mid s(x)$. However, it is a contradiction with the fact that $\deg(s(x)) < k$. This shows that L is linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_p . Note that the set $L \cup \{x^k r(x)\}$ is linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_p as this new set generates $f(x)r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$. In a similar fashion, one can show that $\{x^i r(x)\}$ for $k < i < n - 1$ can be written as a linear combination of elements of L over \mathbb{F}_p . Therefore, L forms a basis for $\langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

(2) Let $0 \neq r(x) \in C$. Suppose in contrary that $\langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \subsetneq C$. Then there exists a polynomial $s(x) \in C$ such that $s(x) \notin \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$. Note that $\langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \cap \langle s(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \{0\}$ as otherwise, by part (1), for any polynomial $a(x)$ in the intersection, we have

$$\langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \langle a(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \langle s(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]},$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $C = \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and has dimension k over \mathbb{F}_p .

- (3) It is easy to see that $\langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \cap \langle \omega((x^n - 1)/f(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \{0\}$ and

$$N = \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \oplus \langle \omega((x^n - 1)/f(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

Hence N has dimension $2k$ over \mathbb{F}_p . The linearity part follows immediately from the structure of its generator polynomials.

(4) In order to find an additive cyclic code with \mathbb{F}_p -dimension k , we need to choose a nonzero polynomial $r(x) \in N$ to be its generator. Also, any non-zero elements of $\langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ generates the same code. Hence the number of additive cyclic codes with one non-zero generator inside N is $\frac{2^{2k}-1}{2^k-1} = 2^k + 1$.

Let C_1 and C_2 be two k -dimensional additive cyclic codes inside N . If $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \{0\}$, then $C_1 = C_2$ by part (1). Equivalently, if $C_1 + C_2 = N$, then $C_1 \cap C_2 = \{0\}$. Now we show that different elements of the set A generate different codes. Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ such that $\deg(g(x)) < k$. Clearly the additive cyclic code $C_1 = \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x), ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(g(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ contains $(x^n - 1)/f(x)$ and $\omega(x^n - 1)/f(x)$. Therefore $C_1 = N$. So $\langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $\langle ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(g(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ are different additive cyclic codes.

Let $g_1(x)$ and $g_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ be two different polynomials of degree less than k . The code $C = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(\omega + g_1(x)), ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(\omega + g_2(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ contains $(x^n - 1)/f(x)$ and $\omega(x^n - 1)/f(x)$. It is mainly because

$$\langle ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(g_1(x) - g_2(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

Thus $C = N$. This implies that the additive cyclic codes $\langle ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(\omega + g_1(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $\langle ((x^n - 1)/f(x))(\omega + g_2(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ are different. This proves that the set A contains all the different generators of irreducible additive cyclic codes inside N . \square

As we mentioned in part (1) of Lemma 3.4, each additive cyclic code inside $\langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$ can have many different generator polynomials. Through the next remark, we fix a canonical representation for each additive cyclic code inside N .

Remark 3.5. For each additive code $0 \neq C \subsetneq \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$, we fix its generator polynomial inside the set A , introduced in (3.3), to be “the” generator polynomial of C . Similarly, the additive cyclic code $C' = \langle (x^n - 1)/f(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$ can be generated by the polynomials $(x^n - 1)/f(x)$ and $\omega((x^n - 1)/f(x))$. We call them “the” generator polynomials of C' .

This representation helps to uniquely identify each additive cyclic code inside N and avoid considering the same code more than once. Next, we use the result of Lemma 3.4 and characterize all the additive cyclic codes of length n over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Recall that $x^n - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^s f_i(x)$, where $f_i(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $N_i = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let C be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Then*

- (i) *we can decompose the code C as $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$, where each C_i is an additive cyclic code inside N_i .*
- (ii) *we have $C = \langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, where*
 - (a) $g(x) + \omega k(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s g_i(x) + \omega k_i(x)$,
 - (b) $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s h_i(x)$,
 - (c) *and C_i has the generator polynomial(s) $g_i(x) + \omega k_i(x)$ and $\omega h_i(x)$ selected as discussed in Remark 3.5.*

$$(iii) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^s (\deg(f_i) \times \# \text{ of non-zero generators of } C_i).$$

Proof. (i) As we mentioned in (3.2), the following decomposition holds

$$\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s N_i.$$

So we can express C as $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$, where each C_i is an additive cyclic codes inside N_i .

(ii) We show that the additive cyclic codes $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$ and $\langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ are the same. First note that $g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \in C$ and thus $\langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \subseteq C$. Let $1 \leq i \leq s$ be a fixed integer. Since $((x^n - 1)/f_i(x)) \mid g_i(x), k_i(x), h_i(x)$ and

$$((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))g_j(x) \equiv ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))k_j(x) \equiv ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))h_j(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$$

for any $j \neq i$, we have

$$((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(g(x) + \omega k(x)) \equiv ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(g_i(x) + \omega k_i(x)) \pmod{x^n - 1}$$

and

$$((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))\omega h(x) \equiv ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))\omega h_i(x) \pmod{x^n - 1}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$C_i = \langle g_i(x) + \omega k_i(x), \omega h_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(g(x) + \omega k(x)), ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))\omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

Thus

$$C_i \subseteq \langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

This show that $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i \subseteq \langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and completes the proof.

(iii) Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) = \sum_{i=1}^s \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_i)$. Moreover, by Lemmas 3.4, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_i) = 0, k_i$, or $2k_i$ if $C_i = 0$, C_i is generated by one generator polynomial, or C_i has two generator polynomials, respectively. Combining these facts with the result of part (i) completes this proof. \square

Through the next corollary, we characterize all the length n irreducible additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Proposition 3.7. *Let C be an additive cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Then C is irreducible if and only if $C = \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ for some $0 \neq r(x) \in N_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$. Moreover, there are*

$$\sum_{i=1}^s (2^{\deg(f_i)} + 1) \text{ many different irreducible additive cyclic codes.}$$

Proof. Let $C = \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ for some $0 \neq r(x) \in N_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$. The result of part (1) in Lemma 3.4 shows that C is irreducible. Conversely, let C be an irreducible additive cyclic code.

Then by part (i) of Theorem 3.6 we have $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$. Since C is irreducible, we have $C = C_j$

for some $1 \leq j \leq s$. Moreover, since N_j is not irreducible by Lemma 3.4 part (3), we conclude that $C = \langle r(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ for some $0 \neq r(x) \in N_j$.

Inside each N_i , there are $2^{\deg(f_i)} + 1$ many different one generator additive cyclic codes. Hence the total number of irreducible codes is $\sum_{i=1}^s (2^{\deg(f_i)} + 1)$. \square

Remark 3.8. Henceforth, we always represent each additive cyclic code with its generator polynomials $g(x) + \omega k(x)$ and $\omega h(x)$ introduced in part (ii) of Theorem 3.6. Moreover, the way we generate these polynomials is unique, and therefore each additive cyclic code has a unique set of generators.

From now on, we call \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -linear cyclic codes simply linear cyclic codes. Let $C = \langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Note that Theorem 3.6 and part (3) of Lemma 3.4 imply that C is linear if and only if $g(x) = h(x)$ and $k(x) = 0$. Hence linear cyclic codes can be easily distinguished from non-linear cyclic codes.

Next, we provide a minimum distance bound for additive cyclic codes using linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p . In general, the minimum distance computation for linear codes is faster than the additive codes. Hence the following result can speed up the minimum distance computation for additive cyclic codes. We denote the minimum distance of a code C with $d(C)$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $C = \langle g(x) + \omega k(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Let $G(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{\gcd(x^n - 1, g(x))}$, and let $S(x)$ be the generator polynomial of the intersection of the length n linear cyclic code generated by $k(x)$ and the linear cyclic code generated by $h(x)$ over \mathbb{F}_p . Suppose that D_1 , D_2 , D_3 , and D_4 are the length n linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p generated by $g(x)$, $\gcd(k(x), h(x))$, $\gcd(G(x)k(x), h(x))$, and $\frac{g(x)S(x)}{\gcd(x^n - 1, k(x))}$, respectively. Then*

$$\min\{d(D_3), d(D_4), \max\{d(D_1), d(D_2)\}\} \leq d(C). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Only the following three types of codewords may appear in the code C .

$$T_1 = \{a(x) \in C : 0 \neq a(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]\},$$

$$T_2 = \{\omega b(x) \in C : 0 \neq b(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]\},$$

$$T_3 = \{a(x) + \omega b(x) \in C : 0 \neq a(x), 0 \neq b(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]\}.$$

We bound the minimum distance of C by considering the minimum distance in each of these sets. Let $f(x) \in T_1$. Then we can write it as $f(x) = a_1(x)(g(x) + \omega k(x)) + b_1(x)\omega h(x)$ for some $a_1(x), b_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Hence $f(x) = a_1(x)g(x)$ and $a_1(x)k(x) + b_1(x)h(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$. This implies that $a_1(x)k(x)$ is an element of the length n linear cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_p generated by $S(x)$. Hence $\frac{S(x)}{\gcd(x^n - 1, k(x))} \mid a_1(x)$. In other words, $f(x) = a(x)g(x) \in D_4$.

Next, let $\omega f_1(x) \in T_2$. Then $\omega f_1(x) = a_1(x)(g(x) + \omega k(x)) + b_1(x)\omega h(x)$ for some $a_1(x), b_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Then $a_1(x)g(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$ or equivalently $G(x) \mid a_1(x)$. This implies that $f_1(x) = a_1(x)k(x) + b_1(x)h(x)$. Therefore, $f_1(x) \in D_3$.

Finally, let $a(x) + \omega b(x) \in T_3$. Then $a(x) + \omega b(x) = l(x)(g(x) + \omega k(x)) + m(x)\omega h(x)$ for some $l(x), m(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Hence $a(x) \in D_1$ and $b(x) \in D_2$. This implies that $\text{wt}(a(x) + \omega b(x)) \geq \max\{d(D_1), d(D_2)\}$. \square

Note that if $D_i = 0$ for any value $1 \leq i \leq 4$, then we simply discard this code in the minimum distance lower bound of (3.4). For instance if $D_1 = 0$, then the minimum distance lower bound of (3.4) becomes

$$\min\{d(D_3), d(D_4), d(D_2)\} \leq d(C).$$

The following corollary gives a modification of this result to additive cyclic codes, which are generated by only one generator. In this result, the cyclic codes C_i are obtained from D_i after

substituting $h(x)$ with 0 in Theorem 3.9 for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. However, the code C_4 is obtained differently by considering a more direct observation.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $C = \langle g(x) + \omega k(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Let C_1, C_2, C_3 , and C_4 be the length n linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p generated by polynomials $g(x)$, $k(x)$, $\frac{x^n-1}{\gcd(x^n-1, g(x))}k(x)$, and $\frac{x^n-1}{\gcd(x^n-1, k(x))}g(x)$, respectively. Then*

$$\min\{d(C_3), d(C_4), \max\{d(C_1), d(C_2)\}\} \leq d(C). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. As we mentioned above, the code C_i all are obtained after applying the condition $h(x) = 0$ in the structure of the codes D_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ in Theorem 3.9. Since the code D_4 in Theorem 3.9 is applied to bound the minimum weight of the set

$$T_1 = \{a(x) \in C : 0 \neq a(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]\},$$

we compute the minimum weight of T_1 directly in this proof. Let $f(x) \in T_1$. Then we can write it as $f(x) = a(x)(g(x) + \omega k(x))$ for some $a(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. Hence $f(x) = a(x)g(x)$ and $a(x)k(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$. This implies that $\frac{x^n-1}{\gcd(x^n-1, k(x))} \mid a(x)$. Hence $\frac{x^n-1}{\gcd(x^n-1, k(x))}g(x) \mid a(x)$ and we have $f(x) \in C_4$. \square

Next, we consider the restriction of the mentioned minimum distance bound to linear cyclic codes with the generator polynomials $g(x) + \omega k(x)$ and $h(x)$, where $k(x) = 0$.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $C = \langle g(x), \omega h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Let E_1 and E_2 be the length n linear cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_p generated by polynomials $g(x)$ and $h(x)$, respectively. Then*

$$\min\{d(E_1), d(E_2)\} \leq d(C). \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Applying the condition $k(x) = 0$ to Theorem 3.9 implies that $D_1 = D_4 = E_1$ and $D_2 = D_3 = E_2$. Now the result follows from the minimum distance bound of Theorem 3.9. \square

4. SYMPLECTIC INNER PRODUCT AND DUAL OF ADDITIVE CYCLIC CODES

In this section, we determine generator polynomials of the symplectic dual of a given additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Moreover, we give the generator polynomials of all self-orthogonal and self-dual codes. We also measure how close is a given additive cyclic code from being symplectic self-orthogonal. Recall that p is a prime number and n is a positive integer coprime to p . Moreover, elements of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} are represented by $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \{\alpha + \beta\omega : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$, where ω is a root of a degree 2 irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p . Recall that in (2.1) we defined the symplectic inner product of two elements in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^n$. We define the symplectic inner product of two polynomials analogously. In

particular, for $c(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_i + \omega b_i)x^i$ and $c'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a'_i + \omega b'_i)x^i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$, we define

$$c(x) * c'(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_i b'_i - a'_i b_i).$$

Here we use a different notation for the symplectic inner product to differentiate between the vectors and polynomials as different objects.

Remark 4.1. Let $c(x) = g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x)$ and $c'(x) = g'_1(x) + \omega g'_2(x)$ be two polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$, where $g_1(x), g_2(x), g'_1(x), g'_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. Then $c(x) * c'(x)$ is the constant term of $g_1(x)g'_2(x^{-1}) - g_2(x)g'_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$. A similar argument shows that $c(x) * x^i c'(x)$ is the coefficient of x^i in $g_1(x)g'_2(x^{-1}) - g_2(x)g'_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$. Thus if

$g_1(x)g_2'(x^{-1}) - g_2(x)g_1'(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$, then the code generated by $c'(x)$ lies in the symplectic dual of the code generated by $c(x)$. We use this property very frequently through this section.

One can easily verify that the symplectic dual of an additive cyclic code C over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} is also an additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Recall that by Theorem 3.6 part (ii), each additive cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} can be represented uniquely as $C = \langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x), h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, where $g_1(x), g_2(x), h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle$. Our next theorem gives a criterion for the self-orthogonality of additive cyclic codes. The proof is very similar to that of [3, Theorem 14 part c].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $C = \langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x), h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . The code C is self-orthogonal if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) $g_2(x)h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$,
- (2) $g_1(x)g_2(x^{-1}) \equiv g_2(x)g_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Suppose that C is self-orthogonal. For each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the inner product of $g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x)$ and $x^i h(x)$ is the coefficient of x^i in $-g_2(x)h(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$. Since C is self-orthogonal, we have $g_2(x)h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$. Moreover, $(x^i(g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x))) * (g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x))$ is the coefficient of x^i in $g_1(x)g_2(x^{-1}) - g_2(x)g_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$. Hence, for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, the coefficient of x^i in $g_1(x)g_2(x^{-1}) - g_2(x)g_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$ is zero. Thus $g_1(x)g_2(x^{-1}) \equiv g_2(x)g_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$.

\Leftarrow : Conversely, the fact that $g_1(x)g_2(x^{-1}) \equiv g_2(x)g_1(x^{-1}) \pmod{x^n - 1}$ implies that all the vectors inside $\langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ are self-orthogonal. Moreover, since $g_2(x)h(x^{-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$, we conclude that $h(x)$ is orthogonal to all the cyclic shifts of $g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x)$. Finally $h(x) * x^i h(x) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. So $\langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x), h(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ is a symplectic self-orthogonal code. \square

Recall that $x^n - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^s f_i(x)$, where each $f_i(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

Moreover, as we mentioned earlier in (3.2), we have $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]/\langle x^n - 1 \rangle = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s N_i$, where $N_i = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}[x]}$. Let α be a primitive n -th root of unity in a finite field extension of \mathbb{F}_p . We denote the p -cyclotomic cosets modulo n by Z_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ in the way that $f_i(x) = \prod_{a \in Z_i} (x - \alpha^a)$. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between the sets N_i and all the p -cyclotomic cosets modulo n . Our first goal in this section is to find the symplectic dual of a given additive cyclic code. In order to achieve this goal, we need a few preliminary results. In the next lemma, we find the symplectic dual of each N_i .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $C = N_i$. Then $C^{\perp_s} = \bigoplus_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i}}^s N_k$, where $Z_j = -Z_i$.*

Proof. First note that by Lemma 3.4 part (3) we have $C = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x), \omega((x^n - 1)/f_i(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$. If $Z_k \neq -Z_i$, then $f_i(x) \mid (x^n - 1)/f_k(x^{-1})$ and $f_k(x) \mid (x^n - 1)/f_i(x^{-1})$. So we have

- $((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))((x^n - 1)/f_k(x^{-1})) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$ and
- $((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))((x^n - 1)/f_i(x^{-1})) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}$.

Hence the symplectic inner product of each element of N_i and each element of N_k is zero by definition. This proves that $\bigoplus_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^s N_k \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$. Note that both of N_i and N_j have \mathbb{F}_p -dimension $2 \deg(f_i)$. Now, the facts that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C^{\perp_s}) = 2n$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) = 2 \deg(f_i)$ implies the other inclusion. \square

Next, we find the symplectic dual of each irreducible additive cyclic code inside N_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $C \subsetneq N_i$ be a non-zero additive cyclic code for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then*

$$C^{\perp_s} = \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^s N_k \right) \bigoplus \langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $Z_j = -Z_i$ and

$$g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x) = \begin{cases} ((x^n - 1)/f_j(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) & \text{if } C = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(\omega + s(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \\ (x^n - 1)/f_j(x) & \text{if } C = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, one can see that $\bigoplus_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^s N_k \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$. Note that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C)$. So it is sufficient to show that C is orthogonal to $g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x)$ and all its cyclic shifts. We prove the latter statement in two steps. First suppose that $C = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(\omega + s(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ for some $s(x) \in \mathbb{F}_p[x]$. To show that the codes C and $\langle g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ are orthogonal, we apply Remark 4.1. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))s(x)g_2(x^{-1}) - ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))g_1(x^{-1}) &\equiv ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))s(x)((x^n - 1)/f_i(x)) \\ &\quad - ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))s(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose that $C = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))g_2(x^{-1}) - ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))g_1(x^{-1}) &\equiv ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))0 - 0((x^n - 1)/f_j(x))s(x) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the code C is orthogonal to the additive cyclic code generated by $g_1(x) + \omega g_2(x)$ and completes the proof. \square

Note that as we showed in Lemma 4.4, when $C = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(\omega + s(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, its symplectic inner product contains the code $C' = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_j(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$. The code C' is not in one of the forms given in Lemma 3.4 part (4). In order to express the code C' using the standard notation introduced in 3.4 part (4), we choose its generator to be $g(x) = (x^n - 1)/f_j(x)(t(x) + \omega)$, where $t(x) \equiv s(x^{-1}) \pmod{f_j(x)}$. Now it is easy to see that $g(x)$ belongs to the set \mathcal{A} introduced in Lemma 3.4 part (4) and $C' = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_j(x)(t(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

Next, we combine the results of the previous two lemmas and the result of Theorem 3.6 to determine generator polynomials of the symplectic dual for any additive cyclic code.

Theorem 4.5. Let C be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} such that $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$, where C_i is an additive cyclic codes inside N_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $C^{\perp_s} = \langle \sum_{i=1}^s g_i(x) + \omega k_i(x), \sum_{i=1}^s \omega h_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, where for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ we have $Z_j = -Z_i$ and

- $g_i(x) = k_i(x) = h_i(x) = 0$ if $C_j = N_j$,
- $g_i(x) = h_i(x) = (x^n - 1)/f_i(x)$ and $k_i(x) = 0$ if $C_j = 0$,
- $g_i(x) + \omega k_i(x) = ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(\omega + t_i(x))$ and $h_i(x) = 0$ if $C_j = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_j(x))(\omega + s_j(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $t_i(x) \equiv s_j(x^{-1}) \pmod{f_j(x)}$,
- $g_i(x) = (x^n - 1)/f_i(x)$ and $k_i(x) = h_i(x) = 0$ if $C_j = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_j(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

Proof. We apply Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 to prove the statement. If $C_j = N_j$, then $C^{\perp_s} \cap N_i = \{0\}$ by Lemma 4.3. Moreover, by the same lemma, if $C_j = 0$, then $N_i \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$. This proves the first two bullets. Finally, Lemma 4.4 implies that

- $\langle ((x^n - 1)/f_i(x))(\omega + t_i(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$ if $C_j = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_j(x))(\omega + s_j(x)) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, and
- $\langle (x^n - 1)/f_i(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$ if $C_j = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_j(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

This proves the statements of the last two bullets. \square

To determine self-orthogonal and self-dual additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , we need more information about irreducible factors of $x^n - 1$ over \mathbb{F}_p . Let Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_r and $Z'_1, -Z'_1, \dots, Z'_t, -Z'_t$ be all the p -cyclotomic cosets modulo n , where $Z_i = -Z_i$ and $r + 2t = s$. Each Z_i is in correspondence to an irreducible polynomial $f_i(x)$ and $(Z'_j, -Z'_j)$ are in correspondence with an irreducible pair of polynomials $(f_{j1}(x), f_{j2}(x))$ over \mathbb{F}_p . Therefore, we can rewrite the irreducible decomposition of $x^n - 1$ as

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{i=1}^r f_i(x) \prod_{j=1}^t f_{j1}(x) f_{j2}(x).$$

We use the above representation of cyclotomic cosets in the upcoming results. Next, we classify self-orthogonal and self-dual additive codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Theorem 4.6. Let C be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} such that $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$, where C_i is an additive cyclic codes inside N_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then C is symplectic self-orthogonal if and only if

- (1) for all $1 \leq k \leq r$ only one of the following holds.
 - (a) $C_k = 0$.
 - (b) $C_k = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, where $f_k \mid s(x^{-1}) - s(x)$.
 - (c) $C_k = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_k(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.
- (2) for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ only one of the following holds.
 - (a) $C_{j1} = 0$ or $C_{j2} = 0$.
 - (b) $C_{j1} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{j1}(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $C_{j2} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{j2}(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.
 - (c) $C_{j1} = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_{j1}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $C_{j2} = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_{j2}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

Proof. First, let $1 \leq k \leq r$. By Lemma 4.3, if $C_k = N_k$, then $C^{\perp_s} \cap N_k = \{0\}$. So C_k cannot have two generator polynomials. Moreover, by Lemma 4.4, if $0 \neq C_k = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, then $\langle ((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} \subseteq C^{\perp_s}$. Thus C_k is self-orthogonal if and only if

$$C_k = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

Note that the above equality holds if and only if $f_k \mid s(x^{-1}) - s(x)$. Thus C_k is self-orthogonal if and only if one of the conditions of Part (1) follows.

Next, let $1 \leq j \leq t$. By Lemma 4.3, if $C_{j1} = N_{j1}$, then $C^{\perp_s} \cap N_{j2} = \{0\}$. So if one of C_{j1} or C_{j2} has two generator polynomials, the other code should be zero. Moreover, the same lemma shows that if $C_{j1} = 0$ or $C_{j2} = 0$, then $C_{j1} + C_{j2}$ is self-orthogonal. So we concentrate only on the case when both C_{j1} and C_{j2} have exactly one non-zero generator. By Lemma 4.4, if $C_{j1} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{j1}(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, then

$$C^{\perp_s} \cap N_{j2} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{j2}(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}.$$

In this case, the code $C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2}$ is self-orthogonal if and only if condition (2)(b) is satisfied. Condition (2)(c) follows similarly by applying Lemma 4.4. \square

Next, we use the above conditions to characterize all the symplectic self-dual additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

Corollary 4.7. *Let C be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} such that $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$, where C_i is an additive cyclic codes inside N_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then C is symplectic self-dual if and only if*

- (1) *for all $1 \leq k \leq r$ only one of the following holds.*
 - (a) $C_k = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_k(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ where $f_k \mid s(x^{-1}) - s(x)$.
 - (b) $C_k = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_k(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.
- (2) *for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ only one of the following holds.*
 - (a) $C_{j1} = 0$ and $C_{j2} = N_{j2}$.
 - (b) $C_{j2} = 0$ and $C_{j1} = N_{j1}$.
 - (c) $C_{j1} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{j1}(x))(s(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $C_{j2} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{j2}(x))(s(x^{-1}) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.
 - (d) $C_{j1} = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_{j1}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $C_{j2} = \langle (x^n - 1)/f_{j2}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

Proof. Note that all the self-dual additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.6 and have maximal dimension. Thus the result easily follows by implying the maximal property into the conditions of theorem 4.6. \square

Our next goal is to compute the parameter $e = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C \cap C^{\perp_s})$ for all additive cyclic codes. The parameter e determines how close an additive cyclic code C is from being self-orthogonal. This parameter plays an important role in the quantum construction that we are applying in the next section.

Theorem 4.8. *Let C be a length n additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} such that $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$, where C_i is an additive cyclic codes inside N_i for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let*

- (1) $B_1 = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{t_1}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $C_{\alpha_l} = N_{\alpha_l}$ for all $1 \leq l \leq t_1$,
- (2) $B_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{t_2}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ such that $C_{\beta_l} = \langle ((x^n - 1)/f_{\beta_l}(x))(s_{\beta_l}(x) + \omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$ and $f_{\beta_l} \nmid s_{\beta_l}(x^{-1}) - s_{\beta_l}(x)$ for all $1 \leq l \leq t_2$,

- (3) $B_3 = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{t_3}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that one of $C_{\gamma_{l1}}$ and $C_{\gamma_{l2}}$ is generated by two polynomials and the other one has only one generator polynomial for all $1 \leq l \leq t_3$,
- (4) $B_4 = \{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{t_4}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that both of $C_{\kappa_{l1}}$ and $C_{\kappa_{l2}}$ are generated by two polynomials for all $1 \leq l \leq t_4$,
- (5) $B_5 = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{t_5}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ such that both of $C_{\sigma_{l1}}$ and $C_{\sigma_{l2}}$ are generated by one polynomial for all $1 \leq l \leq t_5$ and
 - (a) if $C_{\sigma_{l1}} = \langle ((x^n-1)/f_{\sigma_{l1}}(x))(s_{\sigma_l}(x)+\omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, then $C_{\sigma_{l2}} \neq \langle ((x^n-1)/f_{\sigma_{l2}}(x))(s_{\sigma_l}(x^{-1})+\omega) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.
 - (b) if $C_{\sigma_{l1}} = \langle (x^n-1)/f_{\sigma_{l1}}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$, then $C_{\sigma_{l2}} \neq \langle (x^n-1)/f_{\sigma_{l2}}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p[x]}$.

Then

$$e = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C \cap C^{\perp_s}) = \sum_{l=1}^{t_1} 2|Z_{\alpha_l}| + \sum_{l=1}^{t_2} |Z_{\beta_l}| + \sum_{l=1}^{t_3} 2|Z_{\gamma_l}| + \sum_{l=1}^{t_4} 4|Z_{\kappa_l}| + \sum_{l=1}^{t_5} 2|Z_{\sigma_l}|. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, an additive cyclic code is not symplectic self-orthogonal if and only if at least one of the sets $B_1 - B_5$ is non-empty. Next, we consider all scenarios (1)-(5) independently.

- (1) Let $j \in B_1$. In this case, $C^{\perp_s} \cap C_j = \{0\}$ which implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j \cap C^{\perp_s}) = 2|Z_j|$.
- (2) Let $j \in B_2$. In this case, $C^{\perp_s} \cap C_j = \{0\}$ which implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j \cap C^{\perp_s}) = |Z_j|$.
- (3) Let $j \in B_3$. Without loss of generality we assume that $C_{j1} = N_{j1}$ and C_{j2} is an irreducible subcode of N_{j2} . In this case, the intersection $C^{\perp_s} \cap (C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2})$ is an irreducible subcode of N_{j1} which implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2}) \cap C^{\perp_s}) = 3|Z_j| - |Z_j| = 2|Z_j|$.
- (4) Let $j \in B_4$. In this case, $C^{\perp_s} \cap (C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2}) = \{0\}$ which implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2}) \cap C^{\perp_s}) = 4|Z_j|$.
- (5) Let $j \in B_5$. In both parts (a) and (b), $C^{\perp_s} \cap (C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2}) = \{0\}$ which implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C_j) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}((C_{j1} \oplus C_{j2}) \cap C^{\perp_s}) = 2|Z_j|$.

Now, the result follows by combining the above observations. \square

Note that the case (2) of Theorem 4.8 never happens for C_i with $\deg(f_i(x)) = 1$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, the cyclotomic coset Z_i either is a singleton or it has an even size. This is mainly because for each $0 \neq a \in Z_i$, if $a \equiv -a \pmod{n}$, then $n \mid 2a$, which implies that n is even. Hence in this case $p \neq 2$ (we assumed that $\gcd(n, p) = 1$) and $Z_i = \{a\}$. Therefore, if Z_i satisfies the case (2) of Theorem 4.8 and $|Z_i| > 1$, then for any $a \in Z_i$, we have $-a \in Z_i$ and $-a \not\equiv a \pmod{n}$. This implies that $|Z_i|$ is an even integer. This fact and the formula in (4.2) imply that the nearly self-orthogonality parameter e of an additive cyclic code is always an even integer. Next, we classify additive cyclic codes with small values of e . First, we need the following preliminary result.

Lemma 4.9. *Let p be a prime number and $\gcd(n, p) = 1$ for some positive number n .*

- (i) *If $\gcd(n, p-1) = d$, then there are d singleton p -cyclotomic cosets modulo n and all of their coset leaders are $\{k \frac{n}{d} : 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$.*
- (ii) *If $\gcd(n, p-1) = d$ and $\gcd(n, p^2-1) = d'$. Then there are $\frac{d'-d}{2}$ p -cyclotomic cosets modulo n of size two.*

Proof. (i) The proof easily follows from the fact that $\{a\}$ is a singleton coset if and only if $a \equiv pa \pmod{n}$ or equivalently if and only if $a(p-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. By elementary number theory, if $\gcd(n, p-1) = d$, then the latter equation has d solutions in the forms $\{k \frac{n}{d} : 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$.

(ii) A p -cyclotomic coset modulo n containing a has size two if and only if $a \equiv p^2 a \pmod{n}$ and $a \not\equiv pa \pmod{n}$. So we get d' candidate for the size two cosets by solving $a \equiv p^2 a \pmod{n}$. Moreover, each singleton cyclotomic coset is formed by a solution of the latter equation. Note also that the p -cyclotomic coset of size two containing a and pa is counted twice in our previous observation. Hence there are $\frac{d'-d}{2}$ many different cosets. \square

For example, for an odd n , the only singleton p -cyclotomic coset modulo n is $\{0\}$ when $p = 2$ or $p = 3$. If n is even, then $\{\frac{n}{2}\}$ and $\{0\}$ are the only singleton cyclotomic cosets for $p = 3$. The next theorem classifies all the additive cyclic codes with $e = 2$. Note that the case $e = 0$ happens if an additive cyclic code is symplectic self-orthogonal, and this case was characterized in Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.10. Let $C = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s C_i$ be an additive cyclic code of length n over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} . Then

$$e = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C \cap C^{\perp_s}) = 2$$

if and only if all C_i satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.6 except one which is in correspondence to

- (1) a singleton coset and satisfies condition (1) of Theorem 4.8,
- (2) a size two coset and satisfies condition (2) of Theorem 4.8.

Proof. The result follows from considering the formula (4.2) and considering all conditions of Theorem 4.8. \square

Many of our record-breaking quantum codes provided in the next section have $e = 2$. In general, the total number of all additive cyclic codes can be a very large number. So the classification of e values significantly helps to prune the search algorithm for quantum codes with good parameters.

5. NEW BINARY QUANTUM CODES

In this section, we first recall a construction of binary quantum codes from additive codes, which does not require the symplectic self-orthogonality condition of Theorem 2.1. Then we apply this construction to several nearly self-orthogonal additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 and construct new binary quantum codes. In the rest of this section, we show the quaternary field by $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega + 1\}$, where $\omega^2 = \omega + 1$.

Theorem 5.1. [5, Corollary 3.3.7], [19] Let C be an $(n, 2^k)$ additive code over \mathbb{F}_4 and

$$r = \frac{2n - k - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C \cap C^{\perp_s})}{2}$$

Then there exists a binary quantum code with parameters $[[n+r, k-n+r, d]]_2$, where

$$d \geq \min\{d(C), d(C + C^{\perp_s}) + 1\}.$$

Note that we take advantage of the result of Theorem 4.8 in the computation of Theorem 5.1. In particular, the value of r in Theorem 5.1 is $\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C^{\perp_s}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(C \cap C^{\perp_s})}{2}$, where the numerator measures the nearly self-orthogonality of the code C^{\perp_s} . Next, we briefly describe two of our new binary quantum codes. The rest of our new binary quantum codes presented in Table 1 can be constructed in a similar way.

Example 5.2. Let $n = 21$ and $C = \langle g(x) + \omega k(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_2[x]}$ be an additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_4 , where

$$g(x) = x^{20} + x^{17} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 1$$

and

$$k(x) = x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{14} + x^{10} + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1.$$

The code C is a $(21, 2^{20})$ additive code. Moreover, our computation using the result of Theorem 4.8 shows that C has nearly self-orthogonality parameter $e = 2$. Moreover,

$$7 = \min\{d(C^{\perp_s}), d(C + C^{\perp_s}) + 1\}.$$

So, applying the construction of Theorem 5.1 to the code C^{\perp_s} gives a *new quantum code* with parameters $[[22, 2, 7]]_2$. It has a better minimum distance than the previous best-known quantum code with the same length and dimension, which had minimum distance 6.

Example 5.3. Let $n = 35$ and $C = \langle g(x) + \omega k(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_2[x]}$ be an additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_4 , where

$$g(x) = x^{33} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{24} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^6 + x^4 + x + 1$$

and

$$k(x) = x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{27} + x^{25} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{15} + x^{12} + x^8 + x^3 + x.$$

The code C has parameters $(35, 2^{20})$ as an additive cyclic code over \mathbb{F}_4 . Also, the result of Theorem 4.8 shows that C has nearly self-orthogonality parameter $e = 4$. Moreover,

$$6 = \min\{d(C^{\perp_s}), d(C + C^{\perp_s}) + 1\}.$$

So, applying the construction of Theorem 5.1 to the code C^{\perp_s} gives a *record-breaking quantum code* with parameters $[[37, 17, 6]]_2$. The previous best-known binary quantum code with the same parameters had minimum distance 5.

In general, in order to apply the quantum construction given in Theorem 5.1, we target additive cyclic codes with the nearly self-orthogonality $e \leq 4$. Because it is more likely to get a new quantum code when e value is small. In Table 1, we present the parameters of our new binary quantum codes. In the table, we start with an additive cyclic code C over \mathbb{F}_4 and compute its nearly self-orthogonality. Then we apply the quantum construction of Theorem 5.1 to the code C^{\perp_s} . The parameters of the corresponding quantum code are given in the fourth column. Moreover, the minimum distance of the previous quantum code with the same length and dimension is provided in the last column of the table. The previous minimum distance is taken from Grassl's code table [12]. We record the generator polynomials of the additive cyclic codes of Table 1 in Table 2.

Note also that applying the secondary constructions presented in Theorem 2.2 to the new codes of Table 1 produces many more record-breaking quantum codes. In particular, the new $[[52, 24, 8]]_2$ quantum codes alone produces the following new quantum codes:

$$[[52, 21, 8]]_2, [[52, 22, 8]]_2, [[52, 23, 8]]_2, [[53, 21, 8]]_2, [[53, 22, 8]]_2, [[53, 23, 8]]_2, [[53, 24, 8]]_2.$$

Around the same time as us, authors of [14] independently found several new binary quantum codes by applying the connection between quasi-cyclic codes and additive cyclic codes. In particular, three of our new quantum codes, namely $[[45, 6, 10]]$, $[[45, 45, 10, 9]]$, and $[[51, 8, 11]]$, are also among the new quantum codes of [14].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Petr Lisoněk and Markus Grassl for many interesting discussions and comments.

No	Length	e value	Parameters	Previous distance
1	$n = 21$	2	$[[22, 2, \mathbf{7}]]_2$	6
2	$n = 35$	4	$[[37, 17, \mathbf{6}]]_2$	5
3	$n = 45$	0	$[[45, 6, \mathbf{10}]]_2$	9
4	$n = 45$	0	$[[45, 10, \mathbf{9}]]_2$	8
5	$n = 51$	0	$[[51, 8, \mathbf{11}]]_2$	10
6	$n = 51$	2	$[[52, 16, \mathbf{10}]]_2$	9
7	$n = 51$	2	$[[52, 24, \mathbf{8}]]_2$	7
8	$n = 63$	2	$[[64, 33, \mathbf{8}]]_2$	7
9	$n = 63$	2	$[[64, 34, \mathbf{8}]]_2$	7
10	$n = 63$	2	$[[64, 35, \mathbf{8}]]_2$	7

TABLE 1. Parameters of new binary quantum codes.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bierbrauer and Y. Edel. Quantum twisted codes. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 8(3):174–188, 2000.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. The Magma algebra system I: The user language. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 24(3-4):235–265, 1997.
- [3] A. R. Calderbank, E. M. Rains, P. Shor, and N. J. Sloane. Quantum error correction via codes over $\text{GF}(4)$. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 44(4):1369–1387, 1998.
- [4] Y. Cao and Y. Gao. Repeated root cyclic \mathbb{F}_q -linear codes over \mathbb{F}_{q^t} . *Finite Fields Appl.*, 31:202–227, 2015.
- [5] R. Dastbasteh. *Quantum stabilizer codes*. Master’s thesis, Sabancı University, 2017.
- [6] R. Dastbasteh and P. Lisonek. New quantum codes from self-dual codes over \mathbb{F}_4 . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.00891*, 2022.
- [7] B. K. Dey and B. S. Rajan. F q-linear cyclic codes over : Dft approach. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 34(1):89–116, 2005.
- [8] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote. *Abstract algebra*, volume 3. Wiley Hoboken, 2004.
- [9] M. F. Ezerman. Quantum error-control codes. In W. C. Huffman, J.-L. Kim, and P. Solé, editors, *Concise encyclopedia of coding theory*, chapter 2. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2021.
- [10] M. F. Ezerman, S. Ling, B. Özkaya, and P. Solé. Good stabilizer codes from quasi-cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 and \mathbb{F}_9 . In *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, pages 2898–2902. IEEE, 2019.
- [11] D. Gottesman. Class of quantum error-correcting codes saturating the quantum Hamming bound. *Physical Review A*, 54(3):1862, 1996.
- [12] M. Grassl. Code Tables: Bounds on the parameters of various types of codes. <http://www.codetables.de/>.
- [13] M. Grassl. Algebraic quantum codes: Linking quantum mechanics and discrete mathematics. *Int. J. Comput. Math. Comput. Syst. Theory*, 6(4):243–259, 2021.
- [14] C. Guan, R. Li, and Z. Ma. Symplectic self-orthogonal quasi-cyclic codes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.14225*, 2022.
- [15] C. Güneri, F. Özdemir, and P. Solé. On the additive cyclic structure of quasi-cyclic codes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 341(10):2735–2741, 2018.
- [16] W. C. Huffman. Additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 . *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 1(4):427–459, 2007.
- [17] W. C. Huffman. Additive cyclic codes over \mathbb{F}_4 . *Adv. Math. Commun.*, 2(3):309–343, 2008.
- [18] A. Ketkar, A. Klappenecker, S. Kumar, and P. K. Sarvepalli. Nonbinary stabilizer codes over finite fields. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 52(11):4892–4914, 2006.
- [19] P. Lisonek and R. Dastbasteh. Constructions of quantum codes. Presented at The 3rd International Workshop on Boolean Functions and their Applications, loen, norway. <https://people.uib.no/chunlei.li/workshops/BFA2018/Slides/Lisonek.pdf>, 2018.
- [20] P. Lisonek and V. Singh. Quantum codes from nearly self-orthogonal quaternary linear codes. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 73(2):417–424, 2014.
- [21] K. Samei and S. Mahmoudi. Cyclic R-additive codes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 340(7):1657–1668, 2017.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, BC, CANADA

Email address: rdastbas@sfu.ca, kh411@protonmail.com

No	Generator polynomials as in Theorem 3.6 part (II)
1	$g(x) = x^{20} + x^{17} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + 1$ $k(x) = x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{14} + x^{10} + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ $h(x) = 0$
2	$g(x) = x^{33} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{24} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^6 + x^4 + x + 1$ $k(x) = x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{27} + x^{25} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{15} + x^{12} + x^8 + x^3 + x$ $h(x) = 0$
3	$g(x) = x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{39} + x^{38} + x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{30} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^5 + x^3 + 1$ $k(x) = x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{36} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{28} + x^{26} + x^{25} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^2 + x$ $h(x) = 0$
4	$g(x) = x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{40} + x^{38} + x^{37} + x^{34} + x^{31} + x^{27} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{14} + x^{12} + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + x + 1$ $k(x) = x^{44} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{33} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{25} + x^{22} + x^{20} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^7 + x^5$ $h(x) = 0$
5	$g(x) = x^{50} + x^{49} + x^{48} + x^{46} + x^{45} + x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{26} + x^{23} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x$ $k(x) = x^{50} + x^{47} + x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{38} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{28} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{16} + x^{14} + x^{12} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x + 1$ $h(x) = 0$
6	$g(x) = x^{48} + x^{40} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{21} + x^{19} + x^{15} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$ $k(x) = x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{27} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{16} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x$ $h(x) = x^{50} + x^{49} + x^{48} + x^{47} + x^{46} + x^{45} + x^{44} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{39} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{28} + x^{25} + x^{22} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$
7	$g(x) = x^{49} + x^{48} + x^{46} + x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{41} + x^{38} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{27} + x^{25} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{15} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^7 + x^2$ $k(x) = x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{38} + x^{37} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{30} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{22} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^9 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + 1$ $h(x) = x^{50} + x^{49} + x^{48} + x^{47} + x^{46} + x^{45} + x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{39} + x^{38} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{25} + x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$
8	$g(x) = x^{61} + x^{60} + x^{59} + x^{57} + x^{56} + x^{53} + x^{52} + x^{51} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{38} + x^{36} + x^{34} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{16} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x$ $k(x) = x^{61} + x^{59} + x^{57} + x^{56} + x^{55} + x^{54} + x^{52} + x^{51} + x^{50} + x^{49} + x^{47} + x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{16} + x^8 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2$ $h(x) = x^{62} + x^{61} + x^{60} + x^{59} + x^{58} + x^{51} + x^{49} + x^{47} + x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{40} + x^{36} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{19} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x$
9	$g(x) = x^{60} + x^{59} + x^{58} + x^{55} + x^{54} + x^{53} + x^{52} + x^{51} + x^{48} + x^{47} + x^{45} + x^{44} + x^{40} + x^{38} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x^3 + x + 1$ $k(x) = x^{62} + x^{59} + x^{56} + x^{55} + x^{54} + x^{53} + x^{49} + x^{47} + x^{46} + x^{42} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{37} + x^{35} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{24} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^7 + x^4 + x^2 + x$ $h(x) = 0$
10	$g(x) = x^{61} + x^{60} + x^{59} + x^{58} + x^{57} + x^{53} + x^{52} + x^{49} + x^{44} + x^{41} + x^{38} + x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1$ $k(x) = x^{60} + x^{58} + x^{57} + x^{56} + x^{52} + x^{48} + x^{47} + x^{46} + x^{44} + x^{42} + x^{40} + x^{39} + x^{38} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{32} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{26} + x^{25} + x^{24} + x^{22} + x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1$ $h(x) = x^{62} + x^{61} + x^{60} + x^{59} + x^{58} + x^{51} + x^{49} + x^{47} + x^{44} + x^{43} + x^{40} + x^{36} + x^{33} + x^{31} + x^{30} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{23} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{19} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x$

TABLE 2. Generator polynomials of additive cyclic codes of Table 1