

Optimal convergence rates in stochastic homogenization in a balanced random environment

Xiaoqin Guo ^{*1} and Hung V. Tran ^{†2}

¹ Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati , 2815 Commons Way, Cincinnati, OH 45221, USA

² Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin Madison, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA

April 17, 2023

Abstract

We consider random walks in a uniformly elliptic, balanced, i.i.d. random environment in \mathbb{Z}^d for $d \geq 2$. We first derive a quantitative law of large numbers for the invariant measure, which is nearly optimal. A mixing property of the field of the invariant measure is then achieved. We next obtain rates of convergence for the homogenization of the Dirichlet problem for non-divergence form difference operators, which are generically optimal for $d \geq 3$ and nearly optimal when $d = 2$. Furthermore, we establish the existence, stationarity and uniqueness properties of the corrector problem for all dimensions $d \geq 2$. Afterwards, we quantify the ergodicity of the environmental process for both the continuous-time and discrete-time random walks, and as a consequence, we get explicit convergence rates for the quenched central limit theorem of the balanced random walk.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Settings	3

2010 *Mathematics subject classification.* 35J15 35J25 35K10 35K20 60G50 60J65 60K37 74Q20 76M50.

Key words and phrases. random walks in a balanced random environment; non-divergence form difference operators; invariant measures; quantitative stochastic homogenization; quantitative large-scale average; optimal convergence rates .

^{*}The work of XG is supported by Simons Foundation through Collaboration Grant for Mathematicians #852943.

[†]HT is supported in part by NSF CAREER grant DMS-1843320 and a Vilas Faculty Early-Career Investigator Award.

1.2	Main assumptions	5
1.3	Earlier results in the literature	5
1.4	Main results	9
2	Large scale $C^{0,1}$ and $C^{1,1}$ estimates	13
2.1	Some regularity properties of deterministic functions	13
2.2	Large scale regularity	16
3	Mixing properties of the invariant measure	19
3.1	A sensitivity estimate of the invariant measure	20
3.2	Rate of convergence for the average of the invariant measure: Proof of Theorem 6	23
3.3	Correlation structure of the field of the invariant measure	25
4	Quantification of the diffusive behavior	26
4.1	Estimates of the approximate corrector	26
4.2	Quantification of the ergodicity of the environmental process: Proof of Theorem 10	29
4.3	A Berry-Esseen estimate for the QCLT: Proof of Corollary 12	30
5	Homogenization of the Dirichlet problem	31
5.1	The two-scale expansion	32
5.2	The construction of a local corrector	34
5.3	Homogenization of the local corrector	40
5.4	Quantitative homogenization for the Dirichlet problem (2): proof of Theorem 8	43
6	The global correctors: existence, uniqueness, and stationarity	43
6.1	Stationary global corrector in $d \geq 5$	44
6.2	Global corrector in $d = 3, 4$ with stationary gradient	44
6.3	Global corrector in $d = 2$ with stationary second order differences	46
A	Appendix	51
A.1	Proof of Proposition 19	51
A.2	Verification of (68)	52
A.3	Verification of (71)	53

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider random walks in a uniformly elliptic, balanced, i.i.d. random environment in \mathbb{Z}^d for $d \geq 2$. Our main goals are two-fold. Firstly, we derive a quantitative large-scale average of the invariant measure, which is nearly optimal, in Theorem 6. A mixing property of the field of the invariant measure is achieved. Secondly, we obtain rates of convergence for the homogenization of the Dirichlet

problem in Theorem 8. When $d \geq 3$, the convergence rate is $O(R^{-1})$, which is generically optimal. Afterwards, we quantify the ergodicity of the environmental process for both the continuous- and discrete-time random walks in Theorem 10, and as a consequence, we get explicit convergence rates for the quenched central limit theorem (QCLT) of the balanced random walk.

1.1 Settings

Let $\mathbb{S}_{d \times d}$ denote the set of $d \times d$ positive-definite diagonal matrices. A map

$$\omega : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{d \times d}$$

is called an *environment*. We denote the set of all environments by Ω . Let \mathbb{P} be a probability measure on Ω so that

$$\{\omega(x) = \text{diag}[\omega_1(x), \dots, \omega_d(x)], x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$$

are i.i.d. under \mathbb{P} . Expectation with respect to \mathbb{P} is denoted by \mathbb{E} or $E_{\mathbb{P}}$.

Definition 1. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$ be the canonical basis for \mathbb{R}^d . Let $U = \{e \in \mathbb{Z}^d : |e|_2 = 1\}$ be the set of unit vectors. Define the difference operators $\nabla = (\nabla_e)_{e \in U}$, and $\nabla^2 = (\nabla_i^2)_{i=1}^d$ by

$$\nabla_e u(x) = u(x + e) - u(x), \quad \nabla_i^2 u(x) = u(x + e_i) + u(x - e_i) - 2u(x). \quad (1)$$

Note that ∇, ∇^2 are linear operators. We also write, for $e, \ell \in U$,

$$\nabla_{e, \ell}^2 = -\nabla_e \nabla_\ell.$$

For $r > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we let

$$\mathbb{B}_r(y) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| < r\}, \quad B_r(y) = \mathbb{B}_r(y) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$$

denote the continuous and discrete balls with center y and radius r , respectively. When $y = 0$, we also write $\mathbb{B}_r = \mathbb{B}_r(0)$ and $B_r = B_r(0)$. For any $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, its *discrete boundary* is defined as

$$\partial B := \{z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus B : \text{dist}(z, x) = 1 \text{ for some } x \in B\}.$$

Let $\bar{B} = B \cup \partial B$. By abuse of notations, whenever confusion does not occur, we also use ∂A and \bar{A} to denote the usual continuous boundary and closure of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, respectively.

For $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, a *spatial shift* $\theta_x : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is defined by

$$(\theta_x \omega)(\cdot) = \omega(x + \cdot).$$

In a random environment $\omega \in \Omega$, we consider the discrete elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\omega(x) \nabla^2 u(x)) = \frac{1}{R^2} f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \zeta(\theta_x \omega) & x \in B_R, \\ u(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) & x \in \partial B_R, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $f \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{B}_1}$, $g \in \mathbb{R}^{\partial \mathbb{B}_1}$ are functions with good regularity properties and $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ satisfies suitable integrability conditions (A special case is $\zeta \equiv 1$). Stochastic homogenization studies (for \mathbb{P} -almost all ω) the convergence of u to the solution \bar{u} of a deterministic *effective equation*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{a} D^2 \bar{u}) = f \bar{\psi} & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_1, \\ \bar{u} = g & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{B}_1, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Here $D^2 \bar{u}$ denotes the Hessian matrix of \bar{u} and $\bar{a} = \bar{a}(\mathbb{P}) \in \mathbb{S}_{d \times d}$ and $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\psi}(\mathbb{P}, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$ are *deterministic* and do not depend on the realization of the random environment (see the statement of Proposition C for formulas for \bar{a} and $\bar{\psi}$).

The *non-divergence form* difference equation (2) is used to describe random walks in a random environment (RWRE) in \mathbb{Z}^d . To be specific, we set

$$\omega(x, x \pm e_i) := \frac{\omega_i(x)}{2 \operatorname{tr} \omega(x)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d, \quad (4)$$

and $\omega(x, y) = 0$ if $|x - y| \neq 1$. Namely, we normalize ω to get a transition probability. We remark that the configuration of $\{\omega(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is also called a *balanced environment* in the literature [42, 34, 10].

Definition 2. For each fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the random walk $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ in the environment ω with $X_0 = x$ is a Markov chain in \mathbb{Z}^d with transition probability P_ω^x specified by

$$P_\omega^x(X_{n+1} = z | X_n = y) = \omega(y, z). \quad (5)$$

The expectation with respect to P_ω^x is written as E_ω^x . When the starting point of the random walk is 0, we sometimes omit the superscript and simply write P_ω^0, E_ω^0 as P_ω and E_ω , respectively. Notice that for random walks (X_n) in an environment ω ,

$$\bar{\omega}^i = \theta_{X_i} \omega \in \Omega, \quad i \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

is also a Markov chain, called the *environment viewed from the particle* process. By abuse of notation, we enlarge our probability space so that P_ω still denotes the joint law of the random walks and $(\bar{\omega}^i)_{i \geq 0}$.

We also consider the *continuous-time* RWRE (Y_t) on \mathbb{Z}^d . Set, for $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$a(x) = a_\omega(x) := \frac{\omega(x)}{\operatorname{tr} \omega(x)} = \operatorname{diag}[2\omega(x, x + e_1), \dots, 2\omega(x, x + e_d)], \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad (7)$$

and write the i -th diagonal entry of $a(x)$ as $a_i(x) = \frac{\omega_i(x)}{\operatorname{tr} \omega(x)}$.

Definition 3. Let $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Markov process on \mathbb{Z}^d with generator

$$L_\omega u(x) = \sum_y \omega(x, y)[u(y) - u(x)] = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(x) \nabla^2 u). \quad (8)$$

By abuse of notation, we also denote by P_ω^x the quenched law of (Y_t) . If there is no ambiguity from the context, we also write, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the transition kernels of the discrete and continuous time walks as

$$p_n^\omega(x, y) = P_\omega^x(X_n = y), \quad \text{and} \quad p_t^\omega(x, y) = P_\omega^x(Y_t = y),$$

respectively.

1.2 Main assumptions

Throughout the paper, the following assumptions are always in force.

- (A1) $\{\omega(x), x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ are i.i.d. under the probability measure \mathbb{P} .
- (A2) $\frac{\omega}{\text{tr} \omega} \geq 2\kappa I$ for \mathbb{P} -almost every ω and some constant $\kappa \in (0, \frac{1}{2d}]$.
- (A3) $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{P})$ is a bounded measurable function of $\omega(0)$.

In the paper, we use c, C to denote positive constants which may change from line to line but only depend on the dimension d and the ellipticity constant κ unless otherwise stated. We write $A \lesssim B$ if $A \leq CB$, and $A \asymp B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$. We also use notations $A \lesssim_j B$, $A \asymp_j B$ to indicate that the multiplicative constant depends on the variable j other than (d, κ) .

1.3 Earlier results in the literature

We first recall the following quenched central limit theorem (QCLT) proved by Lawler [42], which is a discrete version of Papanicolaou, Varadhan [46].

Theorem A. Assume (A2) and that law \mathbb{P} of the environment is ergodic under spatial shifts $\{\theta_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$. Then

- (i) There exists a probability measure $\mathbb{Q} \approx \mathbb{P}$ such that $(\bar{\omega}^i)_{i \geq 0}$ is an ergodic (with respect to time shifts) sequence under law $\mathbb{Q} \times P_\omega$.
- (ii) For \mathbb{P} -almost every ω , the rescaled path $X_{n^2 t}/n$ converges weakly (under law P_ω) to a Brownian motion with covariance matrix

$$\bar{a} = \text{diag}[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_d] := E_{\mathbb{Q}}[a] = E_{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\frac{\omega(0)}{\text{tr} \omega(0)}\right] > 0. \quad (9)$$

QCLT for the balanced RWRE in static environments under weaker ellipticity assumptions can be found at [34, 10]. For dynamic balanced random environment, QCLT was established in [20] and finer results concerning the local limit theorem

and heat kernel estimates was obtained at [19]. When the RWRE is allowed to make long jumps, non-CLT stable limits of the balanced random walk is considered in [17, 18]. We refer to the lecture notes [13, 51, 12, 21, 40] for QCLT results in different models of RWRE.

We are moreover interested in characterizing the invariant measure \mathbb{Q} . Denote the Radon-Nikodym derivative of \mathbb{Q} with respect to \mathbb{P} as

$$\rho(\omega) = d\mathbb{Q}/d\mathbb{P}. \quad (10)$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define

$$\rho_\omega(x) := \rho(\theta_x \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_\omega(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \rho_\omega(x).$$

As an important feature of the non-divergence form model, ρ_ω does not have deterministic (nonzero) upper and lower bounds. Moreover, the heat kernel $p_t^\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$ is not expected to have deterministic Gaussian bounds.

For $r \geq 0, t > 0$, define a function

$$\mathfrak{h}(r, t) = \frac{r^2}{r \vee t} + r \log\left(\frac{r}{t} \vee 1\right), \quad r \geq 0, t > 0. \quad (11)$$

The following result was obtained by Guo, Tran [31].

Theorem B. Assume (A1), (A2), and $d \geq 2$. Let $s = s(d, \kappa) = 2 + \frac{1}{2\kappa} - d \geq 2$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{H}(\omega) = \mathcal{H}(\omega, d, \kappa, \varepsilon) > 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{H}^{d-\varepsilon})] < \infty$ such that the following properties hold.

(a) For \mathbb{P} -almost all ω ,

$$c\mathcal{H}^{-s} \leq \rho(\omega) \leq C\mathcal{H}^{d-1}.$$

(b) Recall the function \mathfrak{h} in (11). For any $r \geq 1$ and \mathbb{P} -almost all ω ,

$$c\mathcal{H}^{-s} \leq \frac{r^d \rho_\omega(0)}{\rho_\omega(B_r)} \leq C\mathcal{H}^{d-1}.$$

(c) For any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, t > 0$, and \mathbb{P} -almost all ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} p_t^\omega(x, 0) &\leq C\mathcal{H}^{d-1} (1+t)^{-d/2} e^{-c\mathfrak{h}(|x|, t)}, \\ p_t^\omega(x, 0) &\geq c\mathcal{H}^{-s} (1+t)^{-d/2} e^{-C|x|^2/t}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4. In the PDE setting, positive and negative algebraic moment bounds and volume doubling property of ρ were proved by Bauman [7]. The L^p integrability of the heat kernel moment was proved by Fabes and Stroock [23]. Deterministic heat kernel bounds in terms of ρ was shown by Escoriaza [22] in the PDE setting, and

by Mustapha [44] for discrete time balanced random walks. In the more general dynamic ergodic balanced environment setting, the bounds

$$\frac{c\rho_\omega(0)}{\rho_\omega(B_{\sqrt{t}})}e^{-C|x|^2/t} \leq p_t^\omega(x, 0) \leq \frac{C\rho_\omega(0)}{\rho_\omega(B_{\sqrt{t}})}e^{-c\mathfrak{h}(|x|, t)} \quad (12)$$

were proved by Deuschel, Guo [19, Theorem 11]. Recently, Armstrong, Fehrman, Lin [2] obtain an algebraic rate of convergence for the heat kernels.

We now state a quantitative homogenization result in Guo, Peterson, Tran [29, Theorem 1.5], which can be considered as a discrete version of Armstrong, Smart [4, Theorem 1.2].

Proposition C. *Assume (A1), (A2). Recall the measure \mathbb{Q} in Theorem A. Suppose $g \in C^\alpha(\partial\mathbb{B}_1)$, $f \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{B}_1)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and ζ is a measurable function of $\omega(0)$ with $\bar{\psi} := \|\zeta/\text{tr}\omega(0)\|_\infty < \infty$. Let \bar{u} be the solution of the Dirichlet problem (3) with $\bar{a} = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\omega(0)/\text{tr}\omega(0)] > 0$ and $\bar{\psi}$ as above.*

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\omega, d, \kappa, \varepsilon)$ be the same as in Theorem B. Then, there exists a constant $\beta = \beta(d, \kappa, \varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $R > 0$, the solution u of (2) satisfies

$$\max_{x \in B_R} \left| u(x) - \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \right| \lesssim A \left(1 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{R}\right)^{1-\varepsilon/d} \right) R^{-\alpha\beta},$$

where $A = \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_1)} \left\| \frac{\zeta}{\text{tr}\omega(0)} \right\|_\infty + \|g\|_{C^{0,\alpha}(\partial\mathbb{B}_1)}$.

When the balanced environment is allowed to be non-elliptic and genuinely d -dimensional, (weak) quantitative results and Harnack inequalities for non-divergence form difference operators were obtained by Berger, Cohen, Deuschel, Guo [9], and Berger, Criens [11] for ω -harmonic and ω -caloric functions, respectively.

Let us also give a brief overview of the quantitative homogenization of non-divergence form operators in the continuous PDE setting. Yurinski derived a second moment estimate of the homogenization error in [50] for linear elliptic case. Caffarelli, Souganidis [16] proved a logarithmic convergence rate for the fully nonlinear case. Afterwards, Armstrong, Smart [4], and Lin, Smart [43] achieved an algebraic convergence rate for fully nonlinear elliptic equations, and fully nonlinear parabolic equations, respectively. Armstrong, Lin [3] obtained quantitative estimates for the approximate corrector problems.

For $d \geq 2$ and any finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, denote the exit time from A by

$$\tau(A) = \tau(A; X) = \inf \{ n \geq 0 : X_n \notin A \}. \quad (13)$$

Definition 5. For $R \geq 1$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, the *Green function* $G_R(\cdot, \cdot)$ in the ball B_R for the balanced random walk is defined by

$$G_R(x, S) = G_R^\omega(x, S) := E_\omega^x \left[\int_0^{\tau(B_R)} \mathbb{1}_{Y_t \in S} dt \right], \quad x \in \bar{B}_R.$$

We also write $G_R(x, y) := G_R^\omega(x, \{y\})$ and $G_R(x) := G_R(x, 0)$. When $d \geq 3$, for any finite set $S \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, the Green function on the whole space can be defined as

$$G^\omega(x, S) = \int_0^\infty p_t^\omega(x, S) dt < \infty.$$

When $d = 2$, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the *potential kernel* is defined as

$$A(x, y) = A^\omega(x, y) = \int_0^\infty [p_t^\omega(y, y) - p_t^\omega(x, y)] dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^2. \quad (14)$$

The bounds for the Green functions and the potential kernel were proved in Guo, Tran [31], which was based on the idea of Armstrong, Lin [3, Proposition 4.1].

Theorem D. Assume (A1), (A2). For $\varepsilon > 0$, let $s > 0$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\omega, d, \kappa, \varepsilon) > 0$ be as in Theorem B. For $r > 0$, let

$$U(r) := \begin{cases} -\log r & d = 2, \\ r^{2-d} & d \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Then \mathbb{P} -almost surely, for all $x \in B_R$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{-s}[U(|x| + 1) - U(R + 2)] \lesssim G_R^\omega(x, 0) \lesssim \mathcal{H}^{d-1}[U(|x| + 1) - U(R + 2)].$$

As consequences, \mathbb{P} -almost surely, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{-s} \log(|x| + 1) &\lesssim A^\omega(x, 0) \lesssim \mathcal{H} \log(|x| + 1), \text{ when } d = 2; \\ \mathcal{H}^{-s}(1 + |x|)^{2-d} &\lesssim G^\omega(x, 0) \lesssim \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(1 + |x|)^{2-d}, \text{ when } d \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the continuous time RWRE $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in Definition 3. Define the semi-group P_t , $t \geq 0$, on \mathbb{R}^Ω by

$$P_t \zeta(\omega) = E_\omega^0[\zeta(\theta_{Y_t} \omega)] = \sum_z p_t^\omega(0, z) \zeta(\theta_z \omega). \quad (16)$$

The following theorem from Guo, Tran [31] estimates the optimal speed of decorrelation of the environmental process $\bar{\omega}^t$ from the original environment.

Theorem E. Assume (A1), (A2), and $d \geq 3$. For $t \geq 0$ and any measurable function $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$ of $\omega(0)$ with $\|\zeta\|_\infty \leq 1$, we have

$$\text{Var}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P_t \zeta) \leq C(1 + t)^{-d/2}; \quad (17)$$

$$\|P_t \zeta\|_1 + \|P_t \zeta - \mathbb{E}[P_t \zeta]\|_p \leq C_p(1 + t)^{-d/4} \quad \text{for all } p \in (0, 2). \quad (18)$$

1.4 Main results

The field $\{\rho_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ of the invariant measure, which governs the long term behavior of the diffusion and which determines the effective PDE, plays a central role in the theory of homogenization of non-divergence form equations.

We first obtain a rate of convergence of the average $\rho_\omega(B_R)/|B_R|$ of the invariant measure to 1 as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 6. *Assume (A1), (A2). For any $d \geq 2, p \in (0, 0.5), t > 0$ and $R \geq 2$,*

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\rho_\omega(B_R)}{|B_R|} - 1\right| \geq t R^{-d/2} \log R\right) \leq C_p \exp(-ct^p).$$

Note that the rate $R^{-d/2} \log R$ is very close to the size $R^{-d/2}$ of the diffusive scaling. In other words, to some extent the field $(\rho_\omega(x))_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ behaves quite similarly to i.i.d. random variables. Hence, we expect the rate $R^{-d/2} \log R$ obtained here to be either optimal or nearly optimal. For non-divergence form PDEs, the volume-doubling property for the measure $\rho_\omega(\cdot)$ was proved by Bauman [7]. An algebraic convergence rate $R^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ was proved recently by Armstrong, Fehrman, Lin [2, Theorem 1.4].

In the course of obtaining our homogenization results in this paper, sensitivity estimates together with an Efron-Stein type inequality are used to control fluctuations of a random field around its mean. This method was used in the stochastic homogenization of divergence-form operators, e.g., [45, 26]. To facilitate this strategy, obtaining sensitivity estimates (with respect to the change of the environment) is crucial, and $C^{1,1}$ estimates for the random equation is necessary, cf. e.g., [26, 3]. To obtain $C^{1,1}$ regularity for the heterogeneous equation, we follow the idea of Armstrong, Lin [3] who generalized the compactness argument of Avellaneda, Lin [5] to the random non-divergence form setting.

The key observation in the proof of Theorem 6 is explained as follows. Although the invariant measure $\rho_\omega(x)$ does not have an explicit expression, it can be interpreted as the long term frequency of visits to location x . Hence, modifying the local value of the environment is related to the Green function of the RWRE. Guided by this intuition, we will obtain a formula for the sensitivity estimate of the invariant measure in terms of the Green function.

As indicated in Theorem 6, the field $\{\rho_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is expected to have weak enough correlation so that the behavior of its mean fluctuation over B_R resembles (up to a logarithmic factor) that of i.i.d. random variables. The following proposition reveals the localization and correlation properties of the invariant measure.

Proposition 7. *Assume (A1), (A2).*

- (i) *There exists a random variable $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}^p] < C_p, \forall p < 2/5$, such that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, r \geq 1$, letting $\rho_r(x) = \rho_{r,\omega}(x) := \mathbb{E}[\rho_\omega(x) | \omega(y) : y \in B_r(x)]$,*

$$|\rho(x) - \rho_r(x)| \leq \begin{cases} \mathcal{Y} r^{-1} \log r, & d = 2 \\ \mathcal{Y} r^{-d/2}, & d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $x \neq y$,

$$|\text{Cov}_{\mathbb{P}}(\rho(x), \rho(y))| \lesssim \begin{cases} |x - y|^{-2} [\log(1 + |x - y|)]^3 & d = 2 \\ |x - y|^{-d} \log(1 + |x - y|) & d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

This is perhaps the first characterization of the correlation structure of the invariant measure (with algebraic mixing rates) for the non-divergence form operator $\text{tr}(\omega \nabla^2 u)$ in a random environment.

Next, we derive rates of convergence for the stochastic homogenization of the Dirichlet problem (2) for non-divergence form difference operators.

Theorem 8. Assume (A1), (A2). Suppose f, g are both in $C^4(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and ζ is a measurable function of $\omega(0)$ with $\|\zeta/\text{tr}\omega(0)\|_{\infty} < \infty$. Let \bar{u} be the solution of the Dirichlet problem (3) with $\bar{a} = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\omega(0)/\text{tr}\omega(0)] > 0$ and $\bar{\psi} := E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\zeta/\text{tr}\omega(0)]$.

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $R \geq 2$, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}(R, \varepsilon, \omega) > 1$ with $E[\exp(\mathcal{Y}^{d/(2d+2)-\varepsilon})] < C$ such that the solution u of (2) satisfies

$$\max_{x \in B_R} |u(x) - \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R})| \lesssim \begin{cases} \frac{1}{R} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} \mathcal{Y} & \text{when } d \geq 3 \\ \frac{(\log R)^{2+\varepsilon}}{R} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} \mathcal{Y} & \text{when } d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Thus, for $d \geq 3$, we obtain the optimal rate of convergence for the homogenization of the Dirichlet problem, which is generically of scale R^{-1} . This is consistent with the generically optimal rate R^{-1} for the periodic setting. See the classical books Bensoussan, Lions, Papanicolaou [8], Jikov, Kozlov, Oleinik [37] for the derivation, and Guo, Tran, Yu [33], Sprekeler, Tran [48], Guo, Sprekeler, Tran [30] for discussions on the optimality of the rates. We also refer the reader to Jing, Zhang [38] for the optimal convergence rate in the presence of a large drift. It is not clear to us what the optimal rate is when $d = 2$, which deserves further analysis.

To prove Theorem 8, we apply the classical method of two-scale expansions and regularity estimates of the correctors in Section 5.3. As evident from the two-scale expansion (Lemma 29), it is not the size of the correctors, but rather the *gradient of the correctors* that determines the rate of the homogenization of the non-divergence form problem. However, a stationary corrector on the whole space was constructed by Armstrong, Lin [3, Section 7] only for $d \geq 5$, and for $d < 5$ the approximate corrector (cf. (61)) which is usually used in the literature does not possess enough regularity for optimal estimates. To overcome these challenges, we construct local correctors (cf. Definition 31) which have the desired regularity inside the ball. Roughly speaking, the approximate corrector corresponds to the RWRE subject to an exponential killing time, while our local corrector only kills the RWRE outside of B_R and as a result it does not “feel” any perturbations inside the ball B_R . By doing this we sacrifice the stationarity of the corrector, but will gain better regularity in B_R . More detailed probability intuition can be found below (80).

We believe that our construction of the local correctors is new in the literature.

Furthermore, we establish the existence, stationarity, and uniqueness of the (global) corrector, completing the corrector theory of the non-divergence form operator in the i.i.d. environment for all dimensions $d \geq 2$. To this end, define, for $R \geq 1$, the dimension-dependent functions $\mu = \mu_d$ and $\delta = \delta_d$ as

$$\mu(R) := \begin{cases} R & d = 2 \\ R^{1/2} & d = 3 \\ (1 \vee \log R)^{1/2} & d = 4 \\ 1 & d \geq 5, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

$$\delta(R) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } d \geq 3 \\ (1 \vee \log R)^{3/2} & \text{when } d = 2. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Theorem 9. *Let ψ be an $L^\infty(\mathbb{P})$ -bounded function of $\omega(0)$ with $\|\psi\|_\infty = 1$. For each $d \geq 2$ and \mathbb{P} -a.e. ω , there exists a function $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that solves*

$$L_\omega \boldsymbol{\phi}(x) = \psi(\theta_x \omega) - \bar{\psi} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$$

with the following properties

- (i) When $d \geq 5$, $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)/\mu(|x|)|^p)] < C_p$ for any $p \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+2})$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;
 When $d = 3, 4$, $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)/\mu(|x|)|^p)] < C_p$ for any $p \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+4})$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;
 When $d = 2$, $\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)}{|x| \log(|x| \vee 2)^{3/2}} \right|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p$ for any $p \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;
- (ii) $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)/\delta(|x|)|^q)] \leq C_q$ for any $q \in (0, \frac{d}{2d+2})$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;
- (iii) $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)|^r)] \leq C_r$ for any $r \in (0, \frac{d}{2})$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;
- (iv) (Stationarity properties)
 - When $d \geq 5$, the field $\{\boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is stationary (under \mathbb{P});
 - When $d \geq 3$, $\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega(x) = \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\theta_x \omega}(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and the field $\{\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is stationary;
 - When $d = 2$, $\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega(x) = \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\theta_x \omega}(0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and the field $\{\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is stationary.

Moreover, such a corrector $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is unique up to an additive constant when $d \geq 3$, and is unique up to an affine transformation when $d = 2$.

Note that the effective matrix $\bar{a} = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\omega/\text{tr}\omega]$ does not have an explicit expression. Even though by Birkhoff's ergodic theorem, \mathbb{Q} can be approximated by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E_\omega[\psi(\theta_{X_i} \omega)] = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi] \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

for any L^1 function ψ on environments, in order to better understand the effective matrix \bar{a} it is important to quantify the speed of this convergence. To this end, we set, for $T \geq 1$,

$$\nu(T) = \begin{cases} T^{-1/2} & d = 2 \\ T^{-3/4} & d = 3 \\ T^{-1}(\log T)^{1/2} & d = 4 \\ T^{-1} & d \geq 5. \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

We will quantify the ergodicity of the environmental process for both the continuous- and discrete-time random walks in a balanced random environment.

Theorem 10. *Assume (A1), (A2), (A3). Let ν be as in (21). For any $0 < p < \frac{2d}{3d+2}$, there exists $C = C(d, \kappa, p)$ such that for $T, n \geq 2$ and any $t \geq 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{1}{T} E_\omega \left[\int_0^T \psi(\theta_{Y_s} \omega) ds \right] - \bar{\psi} \right| \geq t \nu(T) \|\psi\|_\infty \right) &\leq C \exp(-\frac{t^p}{C}), \\ \mathbb{P} \left(\left| \frac{1}{n} E_\omega \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \psi(\theta_{X_i} \omega) \right] - \bar{\psi} \right| \geq t \nu(n) \|\psi\|_\infty \right) &\leq C \exp(-\frac{t^p}{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 11. We remark that an (unknown) algebraic rate for the convergence of the ergodic average was obtained in [29, Theorem 1.2] in the discrete setting and recently in [2, Theorem 1.6] in the PDE setting.

Recall that Theorem E (from [31]) states that, when $d \geq 3$, the typical size of $P_t \psi - \bar{\psi}$ is of scale $t^{-d/4}$. Observe that the typical size $\nu(T)$ of the ergodic average in Theorem 10 satisfies (for $T \geq 2$)

$$\nu(T) \lesssim \frac{1}{T} \int_1^T t^{-d/4} dt.$$

(The sign \lesssim can be replaced by \asymp except for $d = 4$ when $\nu(T)$ is a $\sqrt{\log T}$ factor smaller than the right side.) Hence, Theorem 10 can be regarded as the integral version of Theorem E which holds for all $d \geq 2$ and which has much better exponential integrability.

As a consequence of Theorem 10, we obtain explicit convergence rates for the QCLT of the balanced random walk.

Corollary 12. *Assume (A1), (A2). For any $0 < q < \frac{2d}{5(3d+2)}$, $n \geq 2$, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}(\omega, q; n, \kappa, d)$ with $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathcal{Y}^q)] \leq C$ such that, \mathbb{P} -almost surely, for any unit vector $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P_\omega \left(X_n \cdot \ell / \sqrt{n} \leq r \sqrt{\ell^T \bar{a} \ell} \right) - \Phi(r) \right| \leq C \nu(n)^{1/5} \mathcal{Y},$$

where $\Phi(r) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^r e^{-x^2/2} dx$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

An algebraic rate for the QCLT was proved in [29, Theorem 1.3]. We remark that for the model of random walk in random conductances, algebraic rates similar to ours was proved in [1] for dimensions $d \geq 3$.

2 Large scale $C^{0,1}$ and $C^{1,1}$ estimates

In this section, we apply the ideas of Avellaneda, Lin [5, 6] in the periodic setting to the discrete random setting. The key idea is quite intuitive and natural: large-scale solutions of $L_\omega u(x) = \psi(\theta_x \omega) + f(x)$ are well-approximated by those of the homogenized equation with an algebraic rate thanks to Proposition C. As the latter are harmonic, they possess rather nice estimates (see Proposition 19 below on the scaling property.) Therefore, by iterations, scalings and the triangle inequalities, the better regularity of the homogenized equation is inherited by the heterogeneous equation. It is crucial to note two points here. Firstly, in each iteration step, the scaling is done by using the nice estimates in Proposition 19 of the homogenized limit, and the triangle inequality and Proposition C are used to pass this estimate to the solution u of the heterogeneous equation. Secondly, in the random setting, one can only go down to radii greater than the homogenization radius in the iterations, which therefore gives us only large scale estimates. The generalization of this idea to the random non-divergence form PDE setting was first done by Armstrong, Lin [3] who made the observation that an algebraic rate is sufficient for such an iteration.

The main result in this section, Theorem 16, can be considered as a discrete version of Armstrong, Lin [3, Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.4] in terms of the large scale $C^{0,1}$ and $C^{1,1}$ regularity. We remark that for ω -harmonic functions in a genuinely d -dimensional balanced environment, a $C^{0,1-\varepsilon}$ regularity was achieved by Berger, Cohen, Deuschel, Guo [9, Corollary 1.4] using coupling arguments.

As can be seen in the following Subsection 2.1, this sort of compactness argument, although is applied to the random setting here, is deterministic in its core.

2.1 Some regularity properties of deterministic functions

This subsection contains the key tools for the compactness arguments used in our paper. It is completely deterministic and can be read independently of other parts of the paper. The lemmas presented here concern large scale $C^{k,1}$, $k \geq 0$, properties of deterministic functions.

For any function f on a set A and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, define

$$\text{osc}_A f := \sup_{x,y \in A} |f(x) - f(y)|, \quad [f]_{\alpha;A} = \sup_{x,y \in A, x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha},$$

and, if A is a finite set, for $p \in (0, \infty)$, we define

$$\|f\|_{p;A} := \left(\frac{1}{\#A} \sum_{x \in A} |f|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|f\|_{\infty;A} = \max_{x \in A} |f(x)|.$$

For any $j \geq 0$, let H_j denote the set of j -th order polynomials, with $H_0 = \mathbb{R}$. In fact, in our paper we will only use the cases $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Define, for function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a bounded set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $j \geq 1$,

$$D_A^j(f) = \inf_{p \in H_{j-1}} \sup_A |f - p| = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{p \in H_{j-1}} \text{osc}_A(f - p). \quad (22)$$

\mathcal{D}_A^j satisfies the triangle inequality. Namely, $\mathcal{D}_A^j(f \pm g) \leq \mathcal{D}_A^j(f) + \mathcal{D}_A^j(g)$. When $A = B_R$ is the discrete ball, $R > 0$, we simply write

$$\mathcal{D}_R^j := \mathcal{D}_{B_R}^j.$$

Note that for $j \geq 1$, the above term normalized

$$\mathbb{D}_R^j(f) := \frac{\mathcal{D}_R^j(f)}{R^j} \quad (23)$$

is a large scale analogue of the j -th order derivative.

For any $r > 0$, $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, define a sequence of exponentially increasing radii $(r_k)_{k \geq 0}$ by

$$r_k = r_k(r, \theta) := \theta^{-k} r, \quad k \geq 0.$$

The following elementary lemma confirms the intuition that “the integral of the $(j + 1)$ -th derivative is the j -th derivative”.

Lemma 13. *For any function $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $r > 0, \theta \in (0, \frac{1}{3}), n \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 1$,*

$$\mathbb{D}_{r_0}^j(f) \leq \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j(f) + 3\theta^{-j} \sum_{k=0}^n r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1}(f).$$

Proof. For any j -th order homogeneous polynomials $p, q \in H_j$, $R > r > 0$, by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_r^j(p) &\leq \mathcal{D}_r^j(q) + \mathcal{D}_r^j(p - q) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(q) + \mathcal{D}_r^j(f - p) + \mathcal{D}_r^j(f - q) \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we used the fact that $\mathcal{D}_r^j(q) = (\frac{r}{R})^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(q)$ for all j -th order homogeneous polynomial q . Hence, by the inequality above,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_r^j(f) &\leq \mathcal{D}_r^j(f - p) + \mathcal{D}_r^j(p) \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{D}_r^j(f - p) + \mathcal{D}_r^j(f - q) + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(q) \\ &\leq 2\mathcal{D}_r^j(f - p) + \mathcal{D}_r^j(f - q) + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j [\mathcal{D}_R^j(f) + \mathcal{D}_R^j(f - q)] \\ &\leq 2[\mathcal{D}_r^j(f - p) + \mathcal{D}_R^j(f - q)] + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(f). \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum over all j -th order homogeneous polynomials $p, q \in H_j$, we get

$$\mathcal{D}_r^j(f) \leq 2[\mathcal{D}_r^{j+1}(f) + \mathcal{D}_R^{j+1}(f)] + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(f).$$

Replacing r, R by r_k, r_{k+1} , and using notation (23), the above inequality yields

$$\mathbb{D}_{r_k}^j(f) - \mathbb{D}_{r_{k+1}}^j(f) \leq 2[r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1}(f) + \theta^{-j} r_{k+1} \mathbb{D}_{r_{k+1}}^{j+1}(f)].$$

Summing both sides over $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, the lemma is proved. \square

The following lemma will be crucially employed later in our derivation of large scale regularity estimates in Subsection 2.2.

Lemma 14. *Let $j \geq 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $r, \alpha > 0$. Let $A_r \geq 0$ be a constant depending on r . If for $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $k = 0, \dots, m-1$, and all $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$,*

$$\mathcal{D}_{r_k}^{j+1}(f) \lesssim_j \theta^{j+1} \mathcal{D}_{r_{k+1}}^{j+1}(f) + r_{k+1}^{-\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{r_{k+1}}^j(f) + r_{k+1}^j A_{r_{k+1}}, \quad (24)$$

then there exist $\theta = \theta(j)$, $N = N(j, \alpha)$ such that, for $N \leq r \leq R \leq r_m$,

$$\mathcal{D}_r^j(f) \leq 13\theta^{-2j} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(f) + \sum_{k \geq 1: r_k \leq R} A_{r_k}.$$

Proof. For the simplicity of notations, we suppress the dependency on f . Let $n = n(R, \theta) \leq m$ be such that $r_n \leq R < r_{n+1}$. Display (24) is equivalent to

$$r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1} \lesssim_j \theta r_{k+1} \mathbb{D}_{r_{k+1}}^{j+1} + \theta^{-j} r_{k+1}^{-\alpha} \mathbb{D}_{r_{k+1}}^j + \theta^{-j} A_{r_{k+1}}.$$

Summing this inequality over $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1} \lesssim_j \theta \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1} + \theta^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^n r_k^{-\alpha} \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^j + \theta^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{r_k}. \quad (25)$$

Moreover, by Lemma 13, $\mathbb{D}_{r_k}^j \leq \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j + 3\theta^{-j} \sum_{\ell=k}^n r_\ell \mathbb{D}_{r_\ell}^{j+1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n r_k^{-\alpha} \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^j &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n r_k^{-\alpha} \left(\mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j + 3\theta^{-j} \sum_{\ell=k}^n r_\ell \mathbb{D}_{r_\ell}^{j+1} \right) \\ &\leq C_\alpha r^{-\alpha} \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j + C_\alpha \theta^{-j} r^{-\alpha} \sum_{\ell=1}^n r_\ell \mathbb{D}_{r_\ell}^{j+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $C_\alpha = 1 - 3^{-\alpha}$. Choosing $\theta = \theta(j) \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ sufficiently small, when $r \geq N$ for some $N = N(j, \alpha)$, we get from (25) and (26) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1} + \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j + C_j \theta^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{r_k}$$

which implies (Note that $r_n \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^{j+1} \leq \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j$)

$$\sum_{k=0}^n r_k \mathbb{D}_{r_k}^{j+1} \leq 4\mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j + C_j \theta^{-j} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{r_k}.$$

This inequality, together with Lemma 13, yields for $r \geq N$, $\theta = \theta(j) \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$,

$$\mathbb{D}_{r_0}^j \leq 13\theta^{-j} \mathbb{D}_{r_n}^j + C_j \theta^{-2j} \sum_{k=1}^n A_{r_k} \leq 13\theta^{-2j} \mathbb{D}_R^j + \sum_{k=1}^n A_{r_k}.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Remark 15. In this subsection we consider f as a function on \mathbb{Z}^d and defined H_j to be the set of j -th order polynomials just for our convenience. One may let f be a function on \mathbb{R}^d and redefine H_j 's to be other sub-spaces of the polynomials (e.g., the set of harmonic polynomials) and Lemmas 13, 14 still hold.

2.2 Large scale regularity

The goal of this section is to apply Lemma 14 to obtain $C^{0,1}$ and $C^{1,1}$ regularities for the heterogeneous equations in our random setting.

Theorem 16. Assume (A1), (A2), and that ψ is a local function. Let $R \geq 1$. There exists $\alpha = \alpha(d, \kappa) \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ such that, for any any u with $L_\omega u(x) = \psi(\theta_x \omega) + f(x)$ on B_R , $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $\mathcal{H} \leq r < R$,

$$\frac{1}{r^j} \inf_{p \in H_{j-1}} \text{osc}_{B_r}(u - p) \lesssim \frac{1}{R^j} \inf_{p \in H_{j-1}} \text{osc}_{B_R}(u - p) + A_j, \quad (27)$$

where the terms $A_j = A_j(R, r)$ have the following bounds (for any $\sigma \in (0, 1]$)

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &\leq R^{1-\alpha} \|\psi\|_\infty + R \|f\|_\infty \text{ and } A_1 \leq R^{1-\alpha} \|\psi + f(0)\|_\infty + R^{1+\sigma} [f]_{\sigma; B_R}, \\ A_2 &\leq r^{-\alpha} \|\psi\|_\infty + \log\left(\frac{R}{r}\right) \|f\|_\infty \text{ and } A_2 \leq r^{-\alpha} \|\psi + f(0)\|_\infty + R^\sigma [f]_{\sigma; B_R}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, recalling the operator ∇_i^2 in (1), for any $R > 1$, $j = 1, 2$,

$$|\nabla^j u(0)| \lesssim \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{R}\right)^j (\|u - u(0)\|_{1; B_R} + R^2 \|\psi + f(0)\|_\infty + R^{2+\sigma} [f]_{\sigma; B_R}). \quad (28)$$

Remark 17. A weakness of Theorem 16 is that estimate (27) is not applicable when ψ is not a local function, in which case ψ is forced to be absorbed into the term $f(x)$ which usually yields unsatisfactory bounds.

As a consequence of (28), any ω -harmonic function on \mathbb{Z}^d with sublinear growth is a constant. That is, if $L_\omega u = 0$ on \mathbb{Z}^d and $\max_{B_R} |u| = o(R)$ for all $R > 0$, then u is constant. To prove Theorem 16, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 18. There exists $\gamma = \gamma(d, \kappa)$ such that, for $R \geq \mathcal{H}$, $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$ and any u with $L_\omega u(x) = \psi(\theta_x \omega) + f(x)$ for $x \in B_R$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\theta R}^j(u) \lesssim R^{-\gamma\beta} \mathcal{D}_R^2(u) + \theta^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(u) + R^{2-\gamma\beta} \|\psi\|_\infty + R^2 \|f\|_{d; B_R}.$$

The proof of Lemma 18 uses the following fact of deterministic harmonic functions. For completeness, we include its proof in Section A.1 of the Appendix.

Proposition 19. Recall the notation in (22). Let c_0 be a constant. Let v be a function satisfying $\text{tr} \bar{a} D^2 v = c_0$ in $\bar{\mathbb{B}}_R$. Then, for $\theta \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $R \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\theta R}^j(v) \leq C \theta^j \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{B}_{R/2}}^j(v). \quad (29)$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\theta R}^j(v) \lesssim \frac{\theta^j}{R} \left(\sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2R/3}} |v| + R^2 |c_0| \right) + \theta^j \mathcal{D}_{2R/3}^j(v). \quad (30)$$

Remark 20. Property (29) for deterministic harmonic functions ($c_0 = 0$) was used in [3, Lemma 3.3] to obtain regularities of the heterogeneous solution in the PDE setting. Comparing to [3, Corollary 3.4], here by allowing $c_0 \neq 0$ we will gain a tiny improvement for the coefficient of $\|\psi + f(0)\|_\infty$ in the $C^{0,1}$ estimate by an $R^{-\alpha}$ factor (cf. Theorem 16). Note that in the discrete setting, we will need (30) as well because of discretization. It would be also clear later in Section 3 that the $\log R$ factor in the bound of A_2 will help us achieve the $\log R$ factor in Theorem 6.

Proof of Lemma 18. By the Hölder estimate of Krylov-Safonov, there exists $\gamma = \gamma(d, \kappa) > 0$ such that, for $r \in (0, R)$,

$$\operatorname{osc}_{B_r} u \lesssim \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^\gamma (\operatorname{osc}_{B_R} u + R^2 \|\psi + f\|_{d; B_R}). \quad (31)$$

Note that this allows us to extend u to be a function $\tilde{u} \in C^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $[\tilde{u}]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d} = [u]_{\gamma; \bar{B}_{2R/3}}$. Indeed, define the function \tilde{u} as

$$\tilde{u}(x) = \min_{y \in \bar{B}_{2R/3}} \left\{ u(y) + |x - y|^\sigma [u]_{\sigma; \bar{B}_{2R/3}} \right\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $\tilde{u} = u$ in $\bar{B}_{2R/3}$ and $[\tilde{u}]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d} \leq [u]_{\gamma; \bar{B}_{2R/3}}$. By (31),

$$[\tilde{u}]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d} = [u]_{\gamma; \bar{B}_{2R/3}} \lesssim R^{-\gamma} (\max_{B_R} |u| + R^2 \|\psi + f\|_{d; B_R}). \quad (32)$$

Let $\bar{v} : \bar{\mathbb{B}}_{2/3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\bar{a} D^2 \bar{v}) = R^2 \bar{\psi} & \text{in } \mathbb{B}_{2/3} \\ \bar{v}(x) = \tilde{u}(Rx) & \text{for } x \in \partial \mathbb{B}_{2/3}. \end{cases}$$

First, write $A := R^{2-\gamma\beta} \|\psi\|_\infty + R^2 \|f\|_{d; B_R}$. We will show that, for $R \geq \mathcal{R}$,

$$\max_{x \in B_{2R/3}} |u(x) - \bar{v}(\frac{x}{R})| \lesssim R^{-\gamma\beta} \max_{B_R} |u| + A. \quad (33)$$

To this end, let $u_1 : \bar{B}_{2R/3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_\omega u_1 = \psi(\theta_x \omega) & \text{in } B_{2R/3} \\ u_1(x) = \tilde{u}(\frac{2Rx}{3|x|}) & x \in \partial B_{2R/3}. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition C and (32), when $R \geq \mathcal{R}$, noting that $[\tilde{u}(R \cdot)]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d} \leq R^\gamma [\tilde{u}(\cdot)]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in \bar{B}_{2R/3}} |u_1(x) - \bar{v}(\frac{x}{R})| &\lesssim R^{-\gamma\beta} ([\tilde{u}(R \cdot)]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d} + R^2 \|\psi\|_\infty) \\ &\lesssim R^{-\gamma\beta} (\max_{B_R} |u| + R^2 \|\psi\|_\infty + R^2 \|f\|_{d; B_R}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the ABP maximum principle,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{B_{2R/3}} |u - u_1| &\leq \max_{x \in \partial B_{2R/3}} |u(x) - \tilde{u}(\frac{2Rx}{3|x})| + CR^2 \|f\|_{d;B_R} \\
&\lesssim [\tilde{u}]_{\gamma; \mathbb{R}^d} + R^2 \|f\|_{d;B_R} \\
&\stackrel{(32)}{\lesssim} R^{-\gamma} (\max_{B_R} |u| + R^2 \|\psi\|_\infty) + R^2 \|f\|_{d;B_R}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities above, display (33) is proved.

By the triangle inequality and Proposition 19, for $1 \leq j \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\theta R}^j(u) &\leq \max_{B_{R/2}} |u - \bar{v}(\frac{\cdot}{R})| + \mathcal{D}_{\theta R}^j(\bar{v}(\frac{\cdot}{R})) \\
&\leq \max_{B_{R/2}} |u - \bar{v}(\frac{\cdot}{R})| + \frac{C\theta^j}{R} (\sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2/3}} |\bar{v}| + R^2 |\bar{\psi}|) + C\theta^j \mathcal{D}_{2R/3}^j(\bar{v}(\frac{\cdot}{R})) \\
&\lesssim \max_{B_{2R/3}} |u - \bar{v}(\frac{\cdot}{R})| + \frac{\theta^j}{R} (\sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2/3}} |\bar{v}| + R^2 |\bar{\psi}|) + \theta^j \mathcal{D}_{2R/3}^j(u). \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2/3}} |\bar{v}| = \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2R/3}} |\tilde{u}| \leq \max_{B_{2R/3}} |u| + [\tilde{u}]_{\gamma; \bar{B}_{2R/3}} \leq \max_{B_R} |u| + A$, by (33) and (34), we have, for $1 \leq j \leq 3$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\theta R}^j(u) \lesssim R^{-\gamma\beta} \max_{B_R} |u| + A + \theta^j \mathcal{D}_R^j(u).$$

Finally, note that since every $p \in H_1$ is ω -harmonic, $(u - p)$ still solves $L_\omega(u - p) = \psi(\theta_x \omega) + f(x)$ for $x \in B_R$. Therefore, substituting u by $(u - p)$ in the above inequality and optimizing over $p \in H_1$, the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 16. By Lemma 18 and Lemma 14, there exists $\theta = \theta(d, \kappa) \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ such that (27) holds with the terms A_j , $j \in \{1, 2\}$ satisfying

$$A_j = \sum_{k \geq 1: r_k \leq R} r_k^{2-\alpha-j} \|\psi\|_\infty + r_k^{2-j} \|f\|_{d;B_{r_k}}.$$

Note that $\|f - f(0)\|_{d;B_r} \lesssim r^\sigma [f]_{\sigma;B_r}$ for all $\sigma \in (0, 1]$. The bounds of A_1, A_2 in the theorem follow immediately.

To prove (28), note that $|\nabla u(0)| \leq \text{osc}_{\bar{B}_1} u$ and that for any $\ell \in H_1$, $|\nabla^2 u(0)| = |\nabla^2(u - \ell)| \lesssim \text{osc}_{\bar{B}_{\sqrt{2}}} (u - \ell)$. Hence, by (27), we get

$$|\nabla^j u(0)| \lesssim \left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{R}\right)^j \left(\text{osc}_{B_{R/2}} u + R^2 \|\psi + f(0)\|_\infty + R^{2+\sigma} [f]_{\sigma;B_{R/2}} \right).$$

By the Harnack inequality and the ABP inequality, we have

$$\text{osc}_{B_{R/2}} u \lesssim \|u - u(0)\|_{1;B_R} + R^2 \|\psi + f\|_{d;B_R}. \tag{35}$$

Display (28) follows by using again $\|f - f(0)\|_{d;B_R} \lesssim R^\sigma [f]_{\sigma;B_R}$ for $\sigma \in (0, 1]$. \square

3 Mixing properties of the invariant measure

The goal of this section is to investigate the mixing properties of the field $\{\rho_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ of the invariant measure. We will obtain a rate of convergence (Theorem 6) of the average of the invariant measure over balls B_R . We will also quantify the correlation of the field (Proposition 7).

The Efron-Stein inequality (38) of Boucheron, Bousquet, and Massart [14] will be used in our derivation of quantitative estimates.

Let $\omega'(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, be i.i.d. copies of $\omega(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. For any $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let $\omega'_y \in \Omega$ be the environment such that

$$\omega'_y(x) = \begin{cases} \omega(x) & \text{if } x \neq y, \\ \omega'(y) & \text{if } x = y. \end{cases}$$

That is, ω'_y is a modification of ω only at location y . For any measurable function Z of the environment ω , we write, for $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$Z'_y = Z(\omega'_y), \quad \partial'_y Z(\omega) = Z'_y - Z, \quad (36)$$

and set

$$V(Z) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\partial'_y Z)^2. \quad (37)$$

With abuse of notations, we enlarge the probability space and still use \mathbb{P} to denote the distribution of both ω, ω' .

The L_p version of Efron-Stein inequality in [14, Theorem 3] states that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Z - \mathbb{E}Z|^q] \leq Cq^{q/2} \mathbb{E}[V^{q/2}] \quad \text{for } q \geq 2. \quad (38)$$

The following variation of (38) will be useful in our paper.

Lemma 21. *Let $p > 0$. Let Z be a measurable function of the environment. Assume that there exist $f \in (0, \infty)^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ with $F = [\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(y)^2]^{1/2} < \infty$ and a random variable $\mathcal{X} > 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{X}^p)] < \infty$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|\partial'_y Z / f(y)|^n] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^n]$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \geq 1$. Then there exists $C = C(p) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(C|F^{-1}(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)|^{2p/(2+p)})] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{X}^p)].$$

The proof uses the fact that for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, there exists $c = c(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^n}{n!} x^n n^{\alpha n} \leq \exp(x^{1/(1-\alpha)}) \quad \text{for all } x > 0. \quad (39)$$

Indeed, when $x > 0$, putting $c = e^{-\alpha}/2$ and using inequality $\frac{n^n}{n!} \leq e^n$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c^n}{n!} x^n n^{\alpha n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{x^n}{(n!)^{1-\alpha}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left(\frac{x^{n/(1-\alpha)}}{n!} \right)^{1-\alpha} \leq \exp(x^{1/(1-\alpha)}),$$

where we used $\frac{y^n}{n!} \leq e^y$ for $y \geq 0$ in the last inequality.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{X}_y := |\partial'_y Z / f(y)|$. By Jensen's inequality, for any $q \geq 2$,

$$V^{q/2} = F^q \left(\sum_y \mathcal{X}_y^2 \frac{f(y)^2}{F^2} \right)^{q/2} \leq F^q \sum_y \mathcal{X}_y^q \frac{f(y)^2}{F^2}.$$

Taking expectations on both sides, and using (38), we get, for $q \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E} [| (Z - \mathbb{E}Z) / F |^q] \lesssim q^{q/2} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{X}^q].$$

The lemma then follows by using the fact (39). \square

The following facts concerning the operation ∂'_y will be useful for later computations. For any measurable functions f, g on Ω ,

$$\mathbb{E}[(\partial'_y f)g] = \mathbb{E}[f(\partial'_y g)], \quad (40)$$

$$\partial'_y(fg) = (\partial'_y f)g + f'_y(\partial'_y g) = (\partial'_y f)g'_y + f(\partial'_y g). \quad (41)$$

Recall that, as defined in (7), $a(y) = a_\omega(y)$ denotes the diagonal matrix

$$a(y) = \frac{\omega(y)}{\text{tr}\omega(y)} = \text{diag}[2\omega(y, y + e_1), \dots, 2\omega(y, y + e_d)].$$

It follows from the product rule (41) that for any $u = u_\omega$ that solves $L_\omega u(x) = \xi(x, \omega)$ for some function $\xi : \mathbb{Z}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the vertical derivative $\partial'_y u(x)$ satisfies

$$L_\omega(\partial'_y u)(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a(y) \nabla^2 u'_y(y)) \mathbb{1}_{x=y} + \partial'_y \xi(x, \omega), \quad (42)$$

where we used the fact that $\partial'_y \omega(x) = 0$ for $x \neq y$, and $u'_y(x) := u_{\omega'_y}(x)$.

3.1 A sensitivity estimate of the invariant measure

The main contribution of this subsection is a formula for the “vertical derivative” of the invariant measure ρ .

Definition 22. For $t > 0$, we let $V(t, \omega) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} p_t^\omega(x, 0)$. Let \mathbb{Q}_t be the probability measure on Ω defined by

$$\mathbb{Q}_t(d\omega) = V(t, \omega) \mathbb{P}(d\omega).$$

We remark that by Theorem B,

$$V(t, \omega) \lesssim \mathcal{H}^{d-1} \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e. } \omega \quad (43)$$

and so \mathbb{Q}_t is well-defined. Note that for any bounded measurable function ζ on Ω , we have $E_{\mathbb{Q}_t}[\zeta] = \mathbb{E}[P_t \zeta]$. In other words, \mathbb{Q}_t is the distribution of the environment viewed from the particle at time t . It is natural to expect that $\mathbb{Q}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For any function u of the environment, we denote by u_ω the corresponding function on \mathbb{Z}^d defined by $u_\omega(x) := u(\theta_x \omega)$.

Lemma 23. *As $t \rightarrow \infty$, \mathbb{Q}_t converges weakly to \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. Since $\{\mathbb{Q}_t\}$ is a sequence of probability measures on the compact space Ω , it has a weak convergent subsequence $\{\mathbb{Q}_{t_k}\}$ which has a weak limit \mathbb{Q}_∞ .

To prove that \mathbb{Q}_∞ is an invariant measure for the Markov chain $(\theta_{Y_t}\omega)$, it suffices to show that for any bounded measurable function f on Ω ,

$$E_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}[L_\omega f_\omega(0)] = 0.$$

Indeed, by the translation invariance of the measure \mathbb{P} , for any e with $|e| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\omega(0, e)V(t, \omega)f(\theta_e\omega)] &= \mathbb{E}[\omega(-e, 0)V(t, \theta_{-e}\omega)f(\omega)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\rho_\omega(0)\omega^*(0, -e)\tilde{V}(t, \theta_{-e}\omega)f(\omega)], \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where $\omega^*(x, y) := \rho_\omega(y)\omega(y, x)/\rho_\omega(x)$ denotes the *adjoint* of ω , cf. e.g., [19], and $\tilde{V}(t, \omega) := V(t, \omega)/\rho(\omega)$. Noting that $\sum_y \omega^*(x, y) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbb{Q}_t}[L_\omega f_\omega(0)] &= \mathbb{E}[V(t, \omega) \sum_e \omega(0, e)[f(\theta_e\omega) - f(\omega)]] \\ &\stackrel{(44)}{=} \mathbb{E}[\rho_\omega(0) \sum_e \omega^*(0, e)[\tilde{V}(t, \theta_e\omega) - \tilde{V}(t, \omega)]f(\omega)] \\ &= E_{\mathbb{Q}}[f(\omega)L_{\omega^*}\tilde{V}_\omega(t, 0)], \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\tilde{V}_\omega(t, x) := \tilde{V}(t, \theta_x\omega)$, and L_{ω^*} only acts on the spatial (\mathbb{Z}^d) coordinate of the function $\tilde{V}_\omega : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of space and time. Observe that \tilde{V}_ω solves the parabolic equation

$$(\partial_t - L_{\omega^*})\tilde{V}_\omega = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

By the Hölder estimate [19, Corollary 7] and the Harnack inequality [19, Theorem 6] for the operator $(\partial_t - L_{\omega^*})$, there exists $\gamma = \gamma(d, \kappa) > 0$ such that, for $t > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{e: |e|=1} |\tilde{V}_\omega(t, e) - \tilde{V}_\omega(t, 0)| &\lesssim t^{-\gamma} \sup_{(s, x) \in (0.5t, t) \times B_{\sqrt{t}}} \tilde{V}_\omega(s, x) \\ &\lesssim t^{-\gamma} \tilde{V}_\omega(2t, 0) \\ &\stackrel{(43)}{\lesssim} t^{-\gamma} \rho^{-1} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Thus, by (45), $|E_{\mathbb{Q}_t}[L_\omega f_\omega(0)]| \lesssim t^{-\gamma} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{d-1}] \|f\|_\infty \lesssim t^{-\gamma} \|f\|_\infty$. In particular, for any bounded measurable function f on Ω ,

$$E_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}[L_\omega f_\omega(0)] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{\mathbb{Q}_{t_k}}[L_\omega f_\omega(0)] = 0$$

which implies that \mathbb{Q}_∞ is an invariant measure for the Markov chain $(\theta_{Y_t}\omega)$. Moreover, for any bounded measurable function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p > 0$,

$$E_{\mathbb{Q}_t}[f] = \mathbb{E}[V_\omega(t, 0)f(\omega)] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{d-1}f] \lesssim_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})},$$

and so $E_{\mathbb{Q}_\infty}[f] \lesssim_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})}$ which implies $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$. Therefore, by the same argument as in [34, (4)], we have $\mathbb{Q}_\infty = \mathbb{Q}$. \square

Before stating the formula for $\partial'_y \rho$ in the following proposition, we remark that although the global Green function $G^\omega(x, y)$ is only defined for $d \geq 3$, the second order difference $\nabla_{i;1}^2 G(x, y)$ can be defined for all dimensions, where $\nabla_{i;1}^2$ is ∇_i^2 applied to the first \mathbb{Z}^d coordinate. That is, for any fixed y , $\nabla_{i;1}^2 G(\cdot, y) := \nabla_i^2 G(\cdot, y)$. Indeed, recalling $A(x, y)$ in (14), we can set

$$\nabla_{i;1}^2 G(x, y) := -\nabla_{i;1}^2 A(x, y) \quad \text{when } d = 2.$$

Since $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ is not defined in Definition 5 for $d = 2$, for the convenience of notations, throughout this section we denote

$$G(x, y) := -A(x, y), \text{ and } G(x, S) = -\sum_{y \in S} A(x, y) \quad \text{when } d = 2. \quad (47)$$

Proposition 24. *Recall the vertical derivative ∂'_y and the notation ω'_y as in (36). For any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, \mathbb{P} -almost surely,*

$$\partial'_y \rho_\omega(x) = \rho_\omega(y) \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y + e_i) \nabla_{i;1}^2 G^{\omega'_y}(y, x).$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $x = 0$. The formula for general x will follow from the fact that $\partial'_y \rho_\omega(x) = \partial'_{y-x} \rho_{\theta_x \omega}(0)$. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. First, we will show a formula for $\partial'_y V(t, \omega)$:

$$\partial'_y V(t, \omega) = \int_0^t V_\omega(t-s, y) \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y + e_i) \nabla_i^2 p_s^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) ds, \quad (48)$$

where $V_\omega(s, y) = V(s, \theta_y \omega)$, and $V'_y(s, y) = V_{\omega'_y}(s, y)$.

Indeed, notice that $u(x, t) = p_t^\omega(x, 0)$ satisfies $u(x, 0) = \mathbb{1}_{x=0}$ and

$$(\partial_t - L_\omega)u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times (0, \infty). \quad (49)$$

By the equation above and formula (42), we have

$$L_\omega(\partial'_y u)(x, t) = -\sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y + e_i) \nabla_i^2 u'_y(y, t) \mathbb{1}_{x=y} + \partial_t [\partial'_y u(x, t)].$$

Hence, for every fixed $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $\partial'_y u(x, t)$ solves the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t - L_\omega) \partial'_y u(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y + e_i) \nabla_i^2 u'_y(y, t) \mathbb{1}_{x=y} & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times (0, \infty), \\ \partial'_y u(x, 0) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \end{cases}$$

whose solution can be represented by Duhamel's formula

$$\partial'_y u(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t p_{t-s}^\omega(x, y) (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y + e_i) \nabla_i^2 u'_y(y, s) ds$$

Recall that $u(x, t) = p_t^\omega(x, 0)$. Summing the above equality over all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we obtain formula (48).

Step 2. We claim that the integrand in (48) has the following bound: $\forall s \in (0, t)$,

$$\left| V_\omega(t-s, y) \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y+e_i) \nabla_i^2 p_s^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) \right| \lesssim (\mathcal{H}_y \mathcal{H}'_y)^{d-1} (1+s)^{-\gamma-0.5d}. \quad (50)$$

Indeed, by (49) and applying the Harnack inequality (Corollary A.2) for the operator $(\partial_t - L_\omega)$ in a similar manner as in (46), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| V_\omega(t-s, y) \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y+e_i) \nabla_i^2 p_s^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) \right| \\ & \stackrel{(43)}{\lesssim} \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \operatorname{osc}_{\bar{B}_1(y)} p_s^{\omega'_y}(\cdot, 0) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} s^{-\gamma} p_{2s}^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for $s > 1$. Hence (50) follows from Theorem B when $s > 1$. When $s \leq 1$, (50) is a trivial consequence of (43) since $|\nabla_i^2 p_s^{\omega'_y}(y, 0)| \leq 2$. Display (50) is proved.

Step 3. For any bounded measurable function f on Ω , by Lemma 23 and (40),

$$\mathbb{E}[(\partial'_y \rho)f] = \mathbb{E}[\rho(\partial'_y f)] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[V(t, \omega)(\partial'_y f)] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[(\partial'_y V(t, \omega))f].$$

Furthermore, by (48), (50), and the dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\partial'_y \rho)f] &= \int_0^\infty \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[V_\omega(t-s, y) \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y+e_i) \nabla_i^2 p_s^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) \mathbb{1}_{t>s} f \right] ds \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 23}}{=} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \left[\rho f \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y+e_i) \nabla_i^2 p_s^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) \right] ds \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\rho f \sum_{i=1}^d (\partial'_y \omega)(y, y+e_i) \nabla_i^2 G^{\omega'_y}(y, 0) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 24 follows. \square

3.2 Rate of convergence for the average of the invariant measure: Proof of Theorem 6

Now we will proceed to prove one of the main theorems in this paper, Theorem 6.

It will be clear in the proof that the log R term in the $C^{1,1}$ bound of Theorem 16 is important for us to obtain the logarithmic term in Theorem 6.

The following simple fact of random variables will be used in the proof.

Lemma 25. *Let $p > 0$ and let $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be non-negative random variables with $E[\exp(X_i^p)] < C$ for all $i \geq 1$. Then, for $M_n = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i - (2 \log n)^{1/p}$, we have, for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$E[\exp(c M_n^p)] < C.$$

Proof of Theorem 6. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. Let $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the function

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} \log(r+1) & \text{when } d = 2 \\ (r+1)^{2-d} & \text{when } d \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

We will show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $R \geq 2$, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{H}^*(\omega) = \mathcal{H}^*(R, \omega; d, \kappa, \varepsilon) > 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{H}^{*d-\varepsilon})] < C$ such that, \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\text{osc}_{B_{(|y|+R)/2}(y)} G^\omega(\cdot, B_R) \lesssim \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}^{*d-1} u(|y|) R^d & \text{if } |y| > 4R \\ \mathcal{H}^{*d-1} R^2 \log R & \text{if } |y| \leq 4R. \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

Indeed, by Theorem D, for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$|G(z, B_R)| \lesssim \sum_{x \in B_R} \mathcal{H}_x^{d-1} u(|z-x|). \quad (53)$$

When $|y| > 4R$, $u(|z-x|) \asymp u(|y|)$ for all $x \in B_R, z \in B_{(|y|+R)/2}(y)$, and so

$$|G(z, B_R)| \stackrel{(53)}{\lesssim} \sum_{x \in B_R} \mathcal{H}_x^{d-1} u(|y|) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_1^{*d-1} u(|y|) R^d, \quad (54)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1^* = (\frac{1}{|B_R|} \sum_{x \in B_R} \mathcal{H}_x^{d-1})^{1/(d-1)}$.

When $|y| \leq 4R$ and $d = 2$, for all $z \in B_{(|y|+R)/2}(y)$, we have $u(|z-x|) \lesssim \log R \forall x \in B_R$, and so

$$|G(z, B_R)| \stackrel{(53)}{\lesssim} \log R \sum_{B_R} \mathcal{H}_x^{d-1} = \mathcal{H}_1^{*d-1} R^2 \log R. \quad (55)$$

When $|y| \leq 4R$ and $d \geq 3$, for all $z \in B_{(|y|+R)/2}(y)$, (53) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |G(z, B_R)| &\lesssim [\mathcal{H}_2^* + (2d \log R)^{1/(d-1)}]^{d-1} \sum_{x \in B_{4R}} u(|x|) \\ &\lesssim (\mathcal{H}_2^{*d-1} + \log R) R^2, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_2^* = [\max_{x \in B_R} \mathcal{H}_x - (2d \log R)^{1/(d-1)}]_+$. Recall $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\omega, d, \kappa, \varepsilon)$ in Theorem B. Noting that for $t > 1$ and $p = d - \varepsilon > d - 1$, by Lemma 25 we have $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{H}_2^{*d-\varepsilon})] \leq C$. Note also that, by Jensen's inequality, $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{H}_1^{d-\varepsilon})] \leq C$.

Setting $\mathcal{H}^* = \mathcal{H}_1^* + \mathcal{H}_2^*$, (52) follows from (54), (55), and (56).

Step 2. Next, we will show that

$$|\nabla^2 G^\omega(y, B_R)| \lesssim \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_y^2 \mathcal{H}^{*d-1} |y|^{-2} u(|y|) R^d & \text{if } |y| > 4R \\ \mathcal{H}_y^2 \mathcal{H}^{*d-1} \log R & \text{if } |y| \leq 4R, \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

where the operator ∇^2 is only applied to the first \mathbb{Z}^d coordinate of $G(\cdot, \cdot)$.

When $|y| > 4R$, by Theorem 16 and (52),

$$|\nabla^2 G(y, B_R)| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H}_y^2}{|y|^2} \operatorname{osc}_{B_{|y|/2}(y)} G(\cdot, B_R) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^2 \mathcal{H}_1^{*d-1} |y|^{-2} u(|y|) R^d.$$

When $|y| \leq 4R$, applying Theorem 16 (with $\psi = 0$, $f = -\mathbb{1}_{B_R}$) again, we get

$$|\nabla^2 G(y, B_R)| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H}_y^2}{R^2} \operatorname{osc}_{B_{R/2}(y)} G(\cdot, B_R) + \mathcal{H}_y^2 \log R \stackrel{(52)}{\lesssim} \mathcal{H}_y^2 \mathcal{H}^{*d-1} \log R.$$

Step 3. By Proposition 24, Theorem B, and (57),

$$\left| \partial_y' \frac{\rho_\omega(B_R)}{|B_R|} \right| \lesssim R^{-d} \rho_\omega(y) |\nabla^2 G^{\omega'}(y, B_R)| \lesssim \mathcal{J}_y(\omega, \omega') w(|y|), \quad (58)$$

where $\mathcal{J}_y(\omega, \omega') := \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1}(\omega) \mathcal{H}_y^2(\omega') \mathcal{H}^{*d-1}(\omega')$, and

$$w(r) = \begin{cases} r^{-2} u(r) & \text{if } r > 4R \\ R^{-d} \log R & \text{if } r \leq 4R. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{J}_y^n] \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{2dn}]$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \geq 1$, and

$$\left[\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} w^2(|x|) \right]^{1/2} \asymp R^{-d/2} \log R =: F(R).$$

Applying Lemma 21 to $Z(\omega) = \rho_\omega(B_R)/|B_R|$, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C_\varepsilon |(Z - 1)/F(R)|^{2(0.5-\varepsilon)/(2+0.5-\varepsilon)} \right) \right] \lesssim E[\exp(c \mathcal{H}^{2d(0.5-\varepsilon)})] < \infty.$$

The theorem follows. \square

3.3 Correlation structure of the field of the invariant measure

In this subsection we will investigate the mixing property of the field by showing the rate of decay of its correlations. Intuitively, since $\rho_\omega(x)$ is determined by the long term frequency of visits of the RWRE to x , the influence of environments at remote locations will be small.

Our proof uses the following covariance version of Efron-Stein inequality

$$|\operatorname{Cov}_{\mathbb{P}}(F, G)| \leq \sum_y \|\partial_y' F\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \|\partial_y' G\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})}, \quad \forall F, G \in L^2(\mathbb{P}). \quad (59)$$

Such an inequality can be obtained using the same martingale-difference decomposition as in Efron-Stein's inequality. See [25, Lemma 3], [27, (4.4)].

Proof of Proposition 7. (i) Recall the function $u(r)$ defined in (51). For $y \notin B_r$, $n \geq 1$, by Proposition 24 and Theorems B, D, 16,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial'_y(\rho - \rho_r)\|_{L^n(\mathbb{P})} &= \|\partial'_y \rho\|_{L^n(\mathbb{P})} \\ &\lesssim \|\rho(y)|\nabla^2 G^{\omega'_y}(y, 0)\|_{L^n(\mathbb{P})} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \frac{u(|y|)}{|y|^2} (\mathcal{H}_y \mathcal{H}(\omega'_y))^{d-1} \mathcal{H}_y^2(\omega'_y) \right\|_{L^n(\mathbb{P})} \\ &\lesssim \frac{u(|y|)}{|y|^2} \|\mathcal{H}^{2d}\|_{L^n(\mathbb{P})}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $r \geq 2$,

$$\sum_{y \notin B_r} |y|^{-4} u(|y|)^2 \asymp \int_r^\infty s^{-4} u(s)^2 s^{d-1} ds \lesssim \begin{cases} r^{-2} (\log r)^2, & d = 2 \\ r^{-d}, & d \geq 3 \end{cases} =: F^2.$$

Then, applying Lemma 21 to $Z = \rho(0) - \rho_r(0)$ (as a field over $\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus B_r$), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C |F^{-1}(Z - \mathbb{E} Z)|^{2q/(2+q)} \right) \right] \lesssim E[\exp(c \mathcal{H}^{2dq})], \quad \forall q \in (0, 1/2).$$

Statement (i) is proved.

(ii) By (59) and Proposition 24, we have

$$|\text{Cov}(\rho(0), \rho(x))| \lesssim \sum_y \|\rho(y) \nabla^2 G^{\omega'_y}(y, 0)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \|\rho(y) \nabla^2 G^{\omega'_y}(y, x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})},$$

where ∇^2 is only applied to the first \mathbb{Z}^d coordinate in the argument of $G^{\omega'_y}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let u be as in (51). Using the bounds of ρ in Theorem B and applying the $C^{1,1}$ estimates Theorem 16 to $G^{\omega'_y}$, we further get

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Cov}(\rho(0), \rho(x))| &\lesssim \sum_y \frac{u(|y|)}{(1+|y|)^2} \frac{u(|x-y|)}{(1+|x-y|)^2} \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} \frac{\log(2+|x|)}{(1+|x|)^d} & d \geq 3 \\ \frac{\log(2+|x|)^3}{(1+|x|)^2} & d = 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The verification of the last inequality is similar to [27, Lemma 9.1]. \square

4 Quantification of the diffusive behavior

In this section, ψ is always assumed to be a local function.

4.1 Estimates of the approximate corrector

We consider the function $\phi^{\text{AP}} : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\phi^{\text{AP}}(x) = \phi_\omega^{\text{AP}}(x; \psi, R) = - \int_0^\infty e^{-t/R^2} E_\omega^x [\psi(\theta_{Y_t} \omega) - E_\mathbb{Q}[\psi]] dt. \quad (60)$$

where $R \geq 1$, and ψ is measurable function of $\omega(0)$. Notice that ϕ^{AP} is stationary, i.e., $\phi_\omega^{\text{AP}}(x) = \phi_{\theta_x \omega}^{\text{AP}}(0)$. Moreover, ϕ^{AP} is a solution of

$$L_\omega \phi^{\text{AP}}(x) = \frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{AP}}(x) + \psi(\theta_x \omega) - E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi], \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (61)$$

Without loss of generality, assume $E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi] = 0$. Clearly, by the definition of ϕ^{AP} in (60), for any $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\phi^{\text{AP}}(x)| \leq R^2 \|\psi\|_\infty. \quad (62)$$

and so $\|\frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{AP}}(x) + \psi(\theta_x \omega)\|_\infty \leq 2\|\psi\|_\infty$. By (62) and the Hölder estimate (31),

$$[\phi^{\text{AP}}]_{\gamma; B_{R/2}} \lesssim R^{-\gamma} [\max_{B_R} |\phi^{\text{AP}}| + R^2 \|R^{-2} \phi^{\text{AP}} + \psi\|_{d; B_R}] \lesssim R^{2-\gamma} \|\psi\|_\infty.$$

Hence, for any $2 \leq D \leq R$, applying (28) to $f = \phi^{\text{AP}}/R^2$ and $\sigma = \gamma$ in B_D , we get

$$|\nabla \phi^{\text{AP}}(0)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}(D \|\psi\|_\infty + \frac{1}{D} \|\phi^{\text{AP}}\|_{1; B_D}), \quad (63)$$

$$|\nabla^2 \phi^{\text{AP}}(0)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}^2 \|\psi\|_\infty. \quad (64)$$

The goal of this subsection is to establish the optimal rate of convergence of the approximate corrector. Recall the function $\mu(R)$ defined in (19).

Lemma 26. *Assume that $\psi(\omega) = \psi(\omega(0))$ is a bounded function of $\omega(0)$. For any $0 < p < \frac{2d}{3d+2}$, there exists $C = C(d, \kappa, p)$ such that for $t \geq 0$, $R \geq 2$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(|\phi^{\text{AP}}(0)| \geq t\mu(R)\|\psi\|_\infty) \leq C \exp(-\frac{1}{C}t^p).$$

The continuous version of Lemma 26 was proved earlier by Armstrong, Lin [3]. Our result in two dimensions ($d = 2$) is slightly better than that in [3].

Proof of Lemma 26. We now obtain Lemma 26 using the concentration inequality (38). We will need a bound for $\partial'_y \phi^{\text{AP}}(0)$. Recall the vertical derivative ∂'_y and the notation ω'_y as in (36). By (61) and formula (42), $\partial'_y \phi^{\text{AP}}(x)$ satisfies, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$L_\omega(\partial'_y \phi^{\text{AP}})(x) = R^{-2} \partial'_y \phi^{\text{AP}}(x) + \left[\partial'_y \psi(\theta_y \omega) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a(y) \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega'_y}^{\text{AP}}(y)) \right] \mathbb{1}_{x=y}. \quad (65)$$

Denoting the Green function associated to the operator in (61) by

$$G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t/R^2} p_t^\omega(x, y) dt, \quad (66)$$

equality (65) yields

$$\partial'_y \phi^{\text{AP}}(x) = - \left[\partial'_y \psi(\theta_y \omega) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a(y) \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega'_y}^{\text{AP}}(y)) \right] G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(x, y). \quad (67)$$

Note that by Theorem B(c),

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\omega}^{\text{AP}}(0, y) &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \int_0^{\infty} (1+t)^{-d/2} \exp\left[-\frac{t}{R^2} - c\mathfrak{h}(|y|, t)\right] dt \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} e^{-c|y|/R} \nu(|y|), \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_y(\omega) = \mathcal{H}(\theta_y \omega)$ and

$$\nu(r) = \nu_R(r) = \begin{cases} 1 + \log\left(\frac{R}{(r+1) \wedge R}\right) & d = 2 \\ (r+1)^{2-d} & d \geq 3. \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

Thus, with $\mathcal{H}'_y := \mathcal{H}(\theta_y \omega'_y)$, by (67), (68), and (64), we get

$$|\partial'_y \phi^{\text{AP}}(0)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}'_y{}^2 \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \|\psi\|_{\infty} e^{-c|y|/R} \nu(|y|). \quad (70)$$

Notice that ν_R depends on R only in $d = 2$. Recall $\mu(R)$ in (19). Notice that

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-2c|y|/R} \nu(|y|)^2 \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} e^{-2cr/R} \nu(r)^2 r^{d-1} dr \lesssim \mu(R)^2. \quad (71)$$

The verification of inequalities (68) and (71) are included in the Appendix.

Since $\mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{H}'_y{}^2 \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1})^n] \leq \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{(d+1)n}]$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \geq 1$, applying Lemma 21 to $Z(\omega) := \frac{\phi^{\text{AP}}(0)}{\|\psi\|_{\infty} \mu(R)}$, with $p = \frac{2(d-\varepsilon)/(d+1)}{2+(d-\varepsilon)/(d+1)}$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|Z - \mathbb{E}Z|^p)] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\mathcal{H}^{d+1}|^{(d-\varepsilon)/(d+1)})] < C.$$

In particular, $\mathbb{E}[|Z - \mathbb{E}Z|^2] < C$.

To prove Lemma 26, by Chebyshev's inequality, we only need to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|Z|^p)] < C. \quad (72)$$

It suffices to show that $|\mathbb{E}Z| < C$. Since \mathbb{Q} is an invariant measure for $(\theta_{Y_t} \omega)_{t \geq 0}$, we have $E_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{\omega}^0[\psi(\theta_{Y_t} \omega)] = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi] = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Hence, by (60), we know

$$E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\phi^{\text{AP}}(0)] = 0$$

and so $E_{\mathbb{Q}}[Z] = 0$. Further, by Hölder's inequality and Theorem B,

$$|\mathbb{E}Z| = |E_{\mathbb{Q}}[Z - \mathbb{E}Z]| \leq E_{\mathbb{Q}}[|Z - \mathbb{E}Z|] \leq \|\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \|Z - \mathbb{E}Z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \leq C$$

Therefore, we obtain (72). Lemma 26 follows. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 26 and Theorem 16, we have the following $C^{0,1}$ estimate of the approximate corrector ϕ^{AP} .

Corollary 27. *Let $\psi, R \geq 2, \phi^{\text{AP}}$ be as in Lemma 26. For any $0 < s < \frac{2d}{3d+4}$, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}(R, s, \omega) > 0$ with $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathcal{Y}^s)] < \infty$ such that, for \mathbb{P} -a.e. ω and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,*

$$|\nabla \phi_R^{\text{AP}}(x)| \leq \mathcal{Y}(\theta_x \omega) \sqrt{\mu(R)}.$$

Proof of Corollary 27. For any $2 \leq D \leq R$ and $p \in (0, d)$, by (63) and Lemma 26, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{Y}^*(R, p, \omega)$ with $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\mathcal{Y}^{*p})] < C$ such that

$$|\nabla \phi_R^{\text{AP}}(0)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}(D \|\psi\|_\infty + \frac{1}{D} \mathcal{Y}^{*(3d+2)/2} \mu(R)).$$

Putting $D = \sqrt{\mu(R)}$, we obtain the corollary. \square

4.2 Quantification of the ergodicity of the environmental process: Proof of Theorem 10

In this section we will derive the optimal rates of convergence (as $t \rightarrow \infty$) of the ergodic average $\frac{1}{t} E_\omega[\int_0^t \psi(\bar{\omega}^s) ds]$, where $\bar{\omega}^s$ denotes the process of the environment viewed from the particle:

$$\bar{\omega}^s := \theta_{Y_s} \omega.$$

With Lemma 26, it may be tempting to compare the approximate corrector ϕ^{AP} in (60) to the corrector within a finite ball B_R , i.e., the solution u to the Dirichlet problem $L_\omega u = \psi_\omega$ in B_R with $u = 0$ on ∂B_R . However, such comparison involves controlling the boundary error $\max_{\partial B_R} \phi^{\text{AP}}$ which would result in an extra $\log R$ factor. In what follows, we will follow the argument of Kipnis and Varadhan [39] to approximate $E_\omega[\int_0^T \psi(\theta_{Y_s} \omega) ds]$ with a martingale using the approximate corrector.

Proof of Theorem 10. Without loss of generality, assume $\|\psi\|_\infty = 1$ and $\bar{\psi} = 0$.

First, we will construct a martingale (for both continuous and discrete time cases) using the approximate corrector.

For any fixed $T > 1$, let $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the function

$$\phi(\omega) = \phi_{\psi, T}(\omega) := \phi^{\text{AP}}(0; \psi, \sqrt{T}, \omega),$$

where ϕ^{AP} is as in (60). Then, for a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, the process $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &:= \phi(\theta_{Y_t} \omega) - \phi(\theta_{Y_0} \omega) - \int_0^t L_\omega \phi(\theta_{Y_s} \omega) ds \\ &\stackrel{(61)}{=} \phi(\bar{\omega}^t) - \phi(\bar{\omega}^0) - \int_0^t [\frac{1}{T} \phi(\bar{\omega}^s) + \psi(\bar{\omega}^s)] ds \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

is a P_ω -martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(Y_s : s \leq t)$. Similarly, for discrete-time RWRE, we have that

$$N_n := \phi(\bar{\omega}^n) - \phi(\bar{\omega}^0) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [\frac{1}{T} \phi(\bar{\omega}^i) + \psi(\bar{\omega}^i)]$$

is a P_ω -martingale with respect to the filtration $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_i : i \leq n)$.

Next, we will derive an exponential moment bounds for $\int_0^t P_s \psi ds$ and $\sum_{i=0}^n P_i \psi$, where the operator P_s is as in (16). We will only provide a proof for the continuous-time case, because the argument for the discrete-time setting is exactly the same. Since $E_\omega[M_s] = E_\omega[M_0] = 0$, taking expectations in (73), we get

$$\int_0^t P_s \psi ds = P_t \phi - \phi - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^t P_s \phi ds. \quad (74)$$

Since the process $(\bar{\omega}^s)$ is a stationary sequence under the measure $\mathbb{Q} \times P_\omega$, we have, by Jensen's inequality, for any $t \geq 0$, $q \geq 1$,

$$\|P_t \phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{Q})}^q = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[|E_\omega \phi(\bar{\omega}^t)|^q] \leq E_{\mathbb{Q} \times P_\omega}[|\phi(\bar{\omega}^t)|^q] = E_{\mathbb{Q}}[|\phi|^q].$$

Hence, taking the $L^q(\mathbb{Q})$ -norms on both sides of (74), we get

$$\left\| \int_0^T P_s \psi ds \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{Q})} \leq 3 \|\phi\|_{L^q(\mathbb{Q})}, \quad \forall q \geq 1$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(c \left| \int_0^T P_s \psi ds / \mu(\sqrt{T}) \right|^p \right) \right] \\ & \leq E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(c \left| 3\phi / \mu(\sqrt{T}) \right|^p \right) \right] \\ & \leq \|\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} E_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(0.5c \left| 3\phi / \mu(\sqrt{T}) \right|^p \right) \right]^{1/2} \stackrel{(72)}{\leq} C, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Hölder's inequality in the second inequality.

Note that $\nu(T) = T^{-1} \mu(\sqrt{T})$ as defined in (21). The theorem follows from the above moment bound and Chebyshev's inequality. \square

4.3 A Berry-Esseen estimate for the QCLT: Proof of Corollary 12

To prove Corollary 12 we will apply the Berry-Esseen estimates for martingales by Heyde and Brown [36]. Here we will use the version in [35, Theorem 2] which is also applicable to the continuous-time setting.

Proof of Corollary 12. For any unit vector $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\psi_0(\omega) = \ell^T \frac{\omega(0)}{\text{tr} \omega(0)} \ell$, $\psi = \psi_0 - E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi_0]$. Following the notations in [35], we set

$$\begin{aligned} N_{n,2} &:= E_\omega \left[\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_\omega \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} ((X_{k+1} - X_k) \cdot \ell)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] - \ell^T \bar{a} \ell \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} E_\omega \left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(\bar{\omega}^k) \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$L_{n,2} := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E_{\omega} [|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(X_{k+1} - X_k) \cdot \ell|^4] = \frac{1}{n^2} E_{\omega} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_0(\bar{\omega}^k) \right].$$

The term $N_{n,2}$ can be further written as

$$n^2 N_{n,2} = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E_{\omega} \left[\psi(\bar{\omega}^i) \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} \psi(\bar{\omega}^{i+j}) \right] = 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} E_{\omega} \left[\psi(\bar{\omega}^i) E_{\omega}^{X_i} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} \psi(\bar{\omega}^j) \right] \right].$$

Hence, for any $q \geq 1$, using the fact that $(\bar{\omega}^i)$ is a stationary sequence under $\mathbb{Q} \times P_{\omega}$, we get (note $\|\psi_0\|_{\infty} \lesssim 1$)

$$\|N_{n,2}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{Q})} \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} P_j \psi \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{Q} \times P_{\omega})}$$

which, by Jensen's inequality and the fact $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(\sqrt{k}) \asymp \nu(n)$, implies that for any $0 < p < \frac{2d}{3d+2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(c |N_{n,2}/\nu(n)|^p \right) \right] \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{n^2 \nu(n)} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(\sqrt{k}) E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(c \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} P_j \psi / \mu(\sqrt{k}) \right|^p \right) \right] \stackrel{\text{Theorem 10}}{\leq} C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the moment bound of ρ^{-1} in Theorem B, by Hölder's inequality,

$$E_{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(0.5c |N_{n,2}/\nu(n)|^p \right) \right] \leq \|\rho^{-1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} E_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\exp \left(c |N_{n,2}/\nu(n)|^p \right) \right]^{1/2} \leq C.$$

By Theorem 10 we already know that $E_{\mathbb{P}}[\exp(c|nL_{n,2}|^p)] \leq C$. Therefore, we conclude that there exists a random variable \mathcal{Y}^5 with $E_{\mathbb{P}}[\exp(\mathcal{Y}^{5p})] < \infty$ such that

$$L_{n,2} + N_{n,2} \leq C\nu(n)\mathcal{Y}^5.$$

The corollary follows by applying [35, Theorem 2]. \square

5 Homogenization of the Dirichlet problem

In this section we will investigate the rate of convergence of the solution of the Dirichlet problem (2). With a as defined in (7), problem (2) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} L_{\omega} u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left(a(x) \nabla^2 u(x) \right) = \frac{1}{R^2} f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \frac{\zeta(\theta_x \omega)}{\text{tr} \omega(x)} & x \in B_R, \\ u(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) & x \in \partial B_R, \end{cases}$$

Throughout this section $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ always denotes an $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{P})$ bounded measurable function of $\omega(0)$. With abuse of notation write $\psi(x) = \psi_{\omega}(x) := \psi(\theta_x \omega)$.

Definition 28. A function $\phi = \phi_\omega : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *local corrector* of the Dirichlet problem (2) (associated to ψ) if it satisfies

$$L_\omega \phi(x) = \psi(x) - \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{Q}[\psi] \quad \text{for } x \in B_R. \quad (75)$$

A function $\phi = \phi_\omega : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a (global) *corrector* of the operator L_ω (associated to ψ) if it satisfies

$$L_\omega \phi(x) = \psi(x) - \mathbb{E}_\mathbb{Q}[\psi] \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (76)$$

Recall that by [31, Corollary 7], when $d \geq 5$, the (global) corrector $\phi_\omega(x)$ exists. Moreover, the corrector ϕ_ω for $d \geq 5$ is *stationary* in the sense that $\phi_\omega(0)$ and $\phi_\omega(x)$ have the same distribution under \mathbb{P} for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

5.1 The two-scale expansion

Using the classical method two-scale expansion, we will compare the solutions u , \bar{u} of the heterogeneous equation (2) and the effective equation (3).

Lemma 29. Recall a and \bar{a} as in (7), (9). Let $\omega \in \Omega$, $R > 0$. Let u and \bar{u} be the solutions of (2), (3), respectively. Let $v^k, \xi : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} L_\omega v^k(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(a_k(x) - \bar{a}_k), \quad \text{for } x \in B_R, k = 1, \dots, d \\ L_\omega \xi(x) &= \frac{\xi(\theta_x \omega)}{\text{tr} \omega(x)} - E_\mathbb{Q}\left[\frac{\xi(\theta_x \omega)}{\text{tr} \omega(x)}\right] \quad \text{for } x \in B_R. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

That is, v^k, ξ are local correctors associated to $\frac{1}{2}a_k(x) = \omega(x, x + e_k)$ and $\frac{\xi(\theta_x \omega)}{\text{tr} \omega(x)}$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{x \in B_R} |u(x) - \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R})| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^d (\|\nabla v^k\|_{d; B_R} + \frac{1}{R} \text{osc}_{\bar{B}_R} v^k) + \|\nabla \xi\|_{d; B_R} + \frac{1}{R} \text{osc}_{\bar{B}_R} \xi + \frac{1}{R} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is similar to that in the periodic setting (see [33, 48, 30] for example). The only differences are that we need the “environment corrector” ξ , and we use the local corrector v^k here instead of the global corrector (whose existence is guaranteed in the periodic setting).

Proof. We can replace the function g in (2) by \bar{u} , because doing this only introduces an error of size $CR^{-1} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} |u(x) - \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R})|$.

Without loss of generality, assume $v^k(0) = 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, d$. Let

$$\psi_\omega(x) := \frac{\xi(\theta_x \omega)}{\text{tr} \omega(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Consider the function

$$w(x) = u(x) - \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R}) + \frac{1}{R^2} v^k(x) \partial_{kk} \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R}) - \frac{1}{R^2} f(\frac{x}{R}) \xi(x), \quad x \in \bar{B}_R, \quad (78)$$

where we use the convention of summation over repeated indices. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L_\omega u(x) &= \frac{1}{R^2} f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)(\psi(x) - \bar{\psi}) + \frac{1}{R^2} f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\bar{\psi} \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \left[f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) L_\omega \xi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{a}_k \partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

and $|L_\omega[\bar{u}(\frac{x}{R})] - \frac{1}{2R^2} \text{tr}[a(x) D^2 \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R})]| \lesssim \frac{1}{R^4} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4}$. Then, applying formula

$$L_\omega(uv) = uL_\omega v + vL_\omega u + \sum_{y: y \sim x} \omega(x, y)[u(y) - u(x)][v(y) - v(x)]$$

to the last two terms of (78), we get, for any $x \in B_R$,

$$\begin{aligned} &|L_\omega w(x)| \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \left| f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) L_\omega \xi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \bar{a}_k \partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) - R^2 L_\omega[\bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] + \frac{1}{2} (a_k - \bar{a}_k) \partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + v^k L_\omega[\partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] + \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y) [\partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{y}{R}\right) - \partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] [v^k(y) - v^k(x)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) L_\omega \xi(x) - L_\omega[f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] \xi(x) - \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y) [f\left(\frac{y}{R}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] [\xi(y) - \xi(x)] \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \left| v^k L_\omega[\partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] + \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y) [\partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{y}{R}\right) - \partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] [v^k(y) - v^k(x)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - L_\omega[f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] \xi(x) - \sum_{y \sim x} \omega(x, y) [f\left(\frac{y}{R}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)] [\xi(y) - \xi(x)] \right| + \frac{1}{R^4} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^3} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\frac{1}{R} |v^k(x)| + \frac{1}{R} |\xi(x)| + |\nabla v^k(x)| + |\nabla \xi(x)| + \frac{1}{R} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the above inequality, (78), and the ABP maximum principle,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{B_R} |w| &\lesssim R^2 \|L_\omega w\|_{d; B_R} + \frac{1}{R^2} \max_{x \in \partial B_R} |v^k(x) \partial_{kk} \bar{u}\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) - f\left(\frac{x}{R}\right) \xi(x)| \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} \sum_{k=1}^d (\|v^k\| + \|\xi\| \|_{d; B_R} + R \| |\nabla v^k| + |\nabla \xi| \|_{d; B_R} \\ &\quad + 1 + \max_{\partial B_R} (|v^k| + |\xi|)). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from the above inequality and (78). \square

Remark 30. By Lemma 29, to control the homogenization error, it suffices to control the size of local correctors and their discrete gradients.

When $d \geq 5$, by [31, Corollary 7], the stationary corrector ϕ exists. Hence it is not surprising that the generically optimal rate R^{-1} of homogenization can be achieved for $d \geq 5$.

Unfortunately, for $d < 5$, there is no stationary corrector at our disposition. Although (as demonstrated in the first arXiv version [32] of this paper) one may use

the approximate correctors ϕ^{AP} together with the two-scale expansion argument to quantify the homogenization, the $C^{0,1}$ estimate in Theorem 16 only yields a bound for $\nabla \phi^{\text{AP}}$ with size $\sqrt{\mu(R)}$, cf. Corollary 27, which is not good enough for us to obtain optimal rates.

In this regard, it is not the size of the corrector, but its $C^{0,1}$ regularity that is posing the biggest challenge in the course of obtaining the optimal homogenization rates. To resolve this issue, our strategy is to construct a local corrector and use sensitivity estimates as in (67) to obtain optimal bounds for its gradients. Firstly, note that such an argument does not work for ϕ^{AP} . Indeed, bounding $\partial'_y \nabla \phi^{\text{AP}}$ (cf. (67)) involves estimating $\nabla G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y)$. However, $G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y)$, which solves

$$L_\omega G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y) = \frac{1}{R^2} G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y) - \mathbb{1}_y,$$

is nowhere ω -harmonic, and so our $C^{0,1}$ theory (Theorem 16) is not applicable to obtain a desired bound for $\nabla G_\omega^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y)$. Secondly, it might be tempting to construct a local corrector by solving a Dirichlet problem in a finite region. For instance, one may solve (75) by imposing a zero boundary condition on ∂B_R . However, an obvious defect for such a construction is that $C^{0,1}$ and $C^{1,1}$ bounds (using Theorem 16) near the boundary blows up, which makes an optimal estimate impossible. Intuitively, this is due to the fact that the RWRE which starts near the boundary cannot survive long enough (before hitting the boundary) to “feel” the homogenization.

To overcome this challenge, we will construct a local corrector such that (1) the corresponding Green function is ω -harmonic in B_{2R} ; (2) the corresponding RWRE survives a time of scale $\approx R^2$.

5.2 The construction of a local corrector

Recall the continuous-time random walk $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in Definition 3. Let $\psi(\omega) = \psi(\omega(0))$ be a function of $\omega(0)$. Write $\psi_\omega(x) = \psi(\theta_x \omega)$.

Definition 31. For $R > 1, \omega \in \Omega$, and any function $\eta : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the property $\eta(x) = 1$ for all $x \notin B_{3R}$, let the function $\phi^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi) : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_\omega \phi^{\text{loc}} = \frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{loc}} \eta + \psi_\omega - E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi] & \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^d, \\ \phi^{\text{loc}} \text{ is bounded; that is, } |\phi^{\text{loc}}| \leq M = M(\omega, S, d, \kappa) < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (79)$$

With abuse of notation, if R (or ω) is fixed, we may simply write $\phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}$ as ϕ_ω^{loc} (or ϕ_R^{loc}) whenever confusion does not occur.

Note that when $\eta|_{B_R} = 0$, the function $\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi)$ becomes a local corrector, according to Definition 28. When $\eta \equiv 1$, then $\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi) = \phi^{\text{AP}}(x)$ is the approximate corrector.

The existence and uniqueness of ϕ^{loc} will be established in Proposition 32.

Proposition 32. For any $R > 1, \omega \in \Omega$, any bounded functions $f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\eta|_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus B_{3R}} = 1$, there exists a unique solution u to the problem

$$\begin{cases} L_\omega u = \frac{1}{R^2} u \eta + f & \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^d, \\ u \text{ is bounded; that is, } |u| \leq M = M(\omega, S, d, \kappa) < \infty. \end{cases} \quad (80)$$

Our proof of the existence is constructive.

Let us explain the probabilistic intuition behind the above definitions. Set

$$\tilde{\eta}(x) = \frac{\eta(x)}{R^2 + \eta(x)} \in [0, \frac{1}{R+1}], \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Imagine at every site $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ there is a clock which rings every geometric (with parameter $\tilde{\eta}(x)$) units of time, and let T be the first time that the discrete-time random walk (X_n) hears the clock (at its current location) rings. To be rigorous, let $\{\mathfrak{B}_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be a family of independent Bernoulli random variables with $P(\mathfrak{B}_n(x) = 1) = 1 - P(\mathfrak{B}_n(x) = 0) = \tilde{\eta}(x)$. Define the stopping time T as

$$T = T(\eta) = \inf \{n \geq 0 : \mathfrak{B}_n(X_n) = 1\}. \quad (81)$$

Clearly $E_\omega^x[T] < \infty, \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. One can check that problem (80) has a solution

$$u(x) := -E_\omega^x \left[\sum_{n=0}^T (1 - \tilde{\eta}(X_n)) f(X_n) \right]. \quad (82)$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, define the Green function corresponding to problem (80) by

$$G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y) = G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta, R) = E_\omega^x \left[\sum_{n=0}^T (1 - \tilde{\eta}(y)) \mathbb{1}_{X_n=y} \right].$$

Note that $(1 - \tilde{\eta}) \asymp 1$, and so $G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y)$ is roughly the expected amount of time that the discrete-time random walk (starting from x) spent at y before the clock T rings. By formula (82), $G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}}(\cdot) = G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}}(\cdot; \eta, R) := G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, y; \eta, R)$ solves the equation

$$L_\omega G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}} = \frac{1}{R^2} G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}} \eta - \mathbb{1}_y \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (83)$$

Then, the solution (82) of problem (80) can be written as

$$u(x) = - \sum_y G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y) f(y). \quad (84)$$

Proof of Proposition 32. A solution to (80) is constructed as in (82).

To prove the uniqueness, it suffices to show that $u \equiv 0$ is the only solution of

$$\begin{cases} L_\omega u = \frac{1}{R^2} u \eta & \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^d, \\ u \text{ is bounded.} \end{cases}$$

We will prove this by contradiction.

Assume there is a solution u of the above problem with $u \not\equiv 0$, say, $\sup_{\mathbb{Z}^d} u > 0$.

First, letting $S = \{x : \eta(x) = 0\} \subset B_{3R}$, then u is ω -harmonic on S . By the ABP maximum principle, $\max_S u \leq \max_{\partial S} u$ and so there exists $x_0 \notin S$ with $u(x_0) > 0$ and $u(x_0) \geq \max_S u$. Since $L_\omega u(x_0) = E_\omega^{x_0}[u(X_1) - u(x_0)] > 0$, there exists a neighboring point $x_1 \notin S$ of x_0 with $u(x_1) = \max_{|y-x_0|=1} u(y) > u(x_0)$. Repeating this argument, we obtain an infinite sequence of points $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus S$ with $u(x_n) = \max_{|y-x_{n-1}|=1} u(y) > u(x_{n-1}) > 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Next, whenever $x_n \notin B_{3R}$, since

$$\frac{1}{R^2}u(x_n) = L_\omega u(x_n) = E_\omega^{x_n}[u(X_1) - u(x_n)] \leq u(x_{n+1}) - u(x_n)$$

which implies $u(x_{n+1}) \geq (1 + \frac{1}{R^2})u(x_n)$, we conclude that $u(x_m) \geq (1 + \frac{1}{R^2})^{m-n}u(x_n) > 0$ for all $m \geq n$, which contradicts the property that u is a bounded function.

Therefore, $u \equiv 0$ and our proof is complete. \square

By Proposition 32, (82) is the only solution to problem (80).

Define a sequence of exit times from balls centered at x as

$$\tau_0(x) := 0, \quad \tau_k(x) = \inf\{t : X_n \notin B_{kR}(x)\}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (85)$$

We may simply write $\tau_k(0)$ as τ_k .

The following are some properties of the stopping time T defined in (81).

Lemma 33. *Let $R > 1$. For any $\eta : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\eta|_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus B_{3R}} = 1$, let $\phi^{\text{loc}} = \phi^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi)$ and $T = T(\eta)$ be as defined in Definition 31 and (81)..*

(i) Recall $\tau_n(x)$ in (85). For all $k \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$P_\omega^x(T > \tau_k(x)) \lesssim e^{-ck}. \quad (86)$$

(ii) $E_\omega^x[T] \lesssim R^2$.

Proof. (i) The intuition is as follows. From all but two spheres $\partial B_{kR}(x)$, the RW has probability less than $1 - c$ of not being killed by the exponential clock before reaching the next level $\partial B_{(k+1)R}(x)$. Hence, by iteration, it would be exponentially hard to reach $\partial B_{kR}(x)$.

Indeed, let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be a constant to be determined later. Since (X_n) is a martingale on any $\omega \in \Omega$, by Doob's inequality, for $k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega(\tau_{n_0} \leq kR^2) &\leq \sum_{e:|e|=1} P_\omega(\sup_{n \leq kR^2} X_n \cdot e \geq n_0 R / \sqrt{d}) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{d}}{n_0 R} \sum_{e:|e|=1} E_\omega[(X_{kR^2} \cdot e)_+] \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{kR^2}}{n_0 R} \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{k}}{n_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, when $n_0 > 8$ is chosen appropriately and x satisfies $B_{n_0 R}(x) \cap B_{3R} = \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega^x(\tau_{n_0}(x) < T) &\leq P_\omega^x(\tau_{n_0}(x) < n_0 R^2) + P_\omega^x(T \geq n_0 R^2) \\ &\leq \frac{C\sqrt{n_0}}{n_0} + (1 - \frac{1}{1+R^2})^{n_0 R^2} < e^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that T behaves like a geometric random variable with parameter $\frac{1}{1+R^2}$ when we consider the RW outside of B_{3R} . For $k \geq 1$, whenever $(B_{(k+1)n_0 R}(x) \setminus B_{(k-1)n_0 R}(x)) \cap B_{3R} = \emptyset$, we have $B_{n_0 R}(z) \cap B_{3R} = \emptyset$ for all $z \in \partial B_{kn_0 R}(x)$ and thus

$$P_\omega^x(\tau_{(k+1)n_0}(x) < T | \tau_{kn_0}(x) < T) \leq \max_{z \in \partial B_{kn_0 R}} P_\omega^z(\tau_{n_0}(z) < T) < e^{-1}.$$

Since for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, there are at most two k 's such that $(B_{(k+1)n_0 R}(x) \setminus B_{(k-1)n_0 R}(x)) \cap B_{3R} \neq \emptyset$, the above inequality implies, for all $k \geq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$P_\omega^x(\tau_{n_0 k}(x) < T) \leq \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} P_\omega^x(\tau_{(j+1)n_0}(x) < T | \tau_{jn_0}(x) < T) \leq e^{3-k}.$$

Inequality (86) is proved.

(ii) Since $(|X_n|^2 - n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a P_ω -martingale, by the optional stopping lemma one has $E_\omega^z[\tau_k] = E_\omega^z[|X_{\tau_k}|^2] - |z|^2 \leq (k+1)^2 R^2$ for $z \in B_{kR}$. Further, observing that $T \leq \tau_1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\tau_{k+1} - \tau_k) \mathbb{1}_{T > \tau_k}$, by the strong Markov property and (86),

$$\begin{aligned} E_\omega^x[T] &\leq E_\omega^x[\tau_1(x)] + \sum_{k=1}^\infty P_\omega^x(\tau_k(x) < T) \max_{z \in \partial B_{kR}(x)} E_\omega^z[\tau_{k+1}(x)] \\ &\lesssim R^2 + \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{-ck} (kR)^2 \lesssim R^2. \end{aligned}$$

Our proof of Lemma 33 is complete. \square

For $R \geq 1$, we are interested in the set $H = H_R$ of functions defined by

$$H = \{\eta \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{R}^d} : \eta|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}_{3R}} = 1, \eta|_{\mathbb{B}_{2R}} = 0, |D^i \eta| \lesssim \frac{1}{R^i}, i = 1, 2\} \quad (87)$$

We will derive the following estimates for local correctors $\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi), \eta \in H$.

Lemma 34. *Let $R > 1$. Recall $\phi^{\text{loc}} = \phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi)$ in Definition 31 and H in (87).*

- (a) *For any $\eta \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ with $\eta|_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus B_{3R}} = 1$, we have $\|\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi)\|_\infty \lesssim R^2 \|\psi\|_\infty$.*
- (b) *When $\eta \in H$, we have $|\nabla^2 \phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_x^2 \|\psi\|_\infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \eta \in H$.*

Proof. (a) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 33(ii) and formula (82).

To prove (b), for the simplicity of notations we simply write $\phi^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta, \psi)$, $\eta \in H$. By the Hölder estimate of Krylov-Safonov as in (31), there exists $\gamma(d, \kappa) > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \eta \in H$,

$$[\phi^{\text{loc}}]_{\gamma; B_{R/2}(x)} \lesssim R^{-\gamma} [\max_{B_R(x)} |\phi^{\text{loc}}| + R^2 \|\frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{loc}} \eta + \psi_{\omega}\|_{d; B_R(x)}] \lesssim R^{2-\gamma} \|\psi\|_{\infty},$$

where we used statement (a) in the last inequality.

Further, by Theorem 16 and statement (a), for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \eta \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^2 \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)| &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_x^2 \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \text{osc}_{B_{R/2}(x)} \phi^{\text{loc}} + \|\psi + \frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{loc}} \eta\|_{\infty} + R^{\gamma} [\frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{loc}} \eta]_{\gamma; B_{R/2}(x)} \right) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_x^2 \left(\|\psi\|_{\infty} + R^{\gamma-2} [\phi^{\text{loc}}]_{\gamma; B_{R/2}(x)} \|\eta\|_{\infty} + R^{\gamma-2} \|\phi^{\text{loc}}\|_{\infty} [\eta]_{\gamma; B_{R/2}(x)} \right) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_x^2 \|\psi\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Statement (b) is proved. \square

Now we are ready to derive some estimates of the Green function G_{ω}^{loc} .

Proposition 35. *Let $R > 1$. Let $G_{\omega, y}^{\text{loc}}(x)$, $\nu = \nu_R$, H be as in (83), (69), (87).*

(a) *There exists $c_1 = c_1(\kappa, d) > 0$ such that, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and any $\eta \in [0, 1]^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$ with $\eta|_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus B_{3R}} = 1$, we have*

$$G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} e^{-c_1 |x-y|/R} \nu(|x-y|).$$

(b) *When $\eta \in H$, for any $x \in B_R, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, writing $\mathcal{H}_{x, y} = \mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_y$, we have*

$$|\nabla G_{\omega, y}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{x, y}^d (|x-y| \wedge R + 1)^{-1} e^{-c_1 |x-y|/R} \nu(|x-y|).$$

Recall the Green functions $G_R = G_R^{\omega}$ and G in Definition 5.

Proof. (a) First, consider $d \geq 3$. By Theorem D we have $G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta) \lesssim G(x, y) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \nu(|x-y|)$. Hence (a) is true when $|x-y| \leq 4R$. When $|x-y| > 4R$, without loss of generality, assume $|x-y| = 2nR$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Recall $\tau_k(x)$ in (85). Then, by (86) and Theorem D,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta) &\leq P_{\omega}^x(T > \tau_n) \max_{z \in \partial B_{nR}(x)} G(z, y) \\ &\lesssim e^{-cn} \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \max_{z \in \partial B_{nR}(x)} \nu(|z-y|) \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu(|x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (a) is proved for $d \geq 3$. It remains to consider $d = 2$.

When $d = 2$ and $|x - y| \leq 2R$, by the strong Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned}
G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta) &\leq E_\omega^x \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\tau_2(x)-1} \mathbb{1}_{X_n=y} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \mathbb{1}_{T > \tau_k(x)} \sum_{n=\tau_k(x)}^{\tau_{k+1}(x)-1} \mathbb{1}_{X_n=y} \right] \\
&\leq G_{2R}^{\theta_x \omega}(0, y - x) + \sum_{k \geq 2} P_\omega^x(T > \tau_k(x)) G_{(k+1)R}^{\theta_x \omega}(0, y - x) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} [\nu_{2R}(|x - y|) + \sum_{k \geq 2} e^{-ck} (\log(k+1) + \log R - \log |x - y|)] \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \nu_R(|x - y|).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\max_{z \in \partial B_R(y)} G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(z, y; \eta) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1}$.

When $d = 2$ and $|x - y| > 2R$, we let $K = \inf \{t \geq 0 : Y_t \in \bar{B}_R(y)\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta) &\leq P_\omega^x(T > K) \max_{z \in \partial B_R(y)} G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(z, y; \eta) \\
&\lesssim P_\omega^x(T > \tau_{|x-y|/(2R)}(x)) \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \\
&\stackrel{(86)}{\lesssim} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \mathcal{H}^{d-1} \asymp e^{-c|x-y|/R} \mathcal{H}^{d-1} \nu_R(|x - y|).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 35(a) is proved.

(b) By Proposition 35(a), when $\eta \in H$,

$$|\nabla G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta)| \lesssim \max_{B_1(x)} G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, y; \eta) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu(|x - y|).$$

Hence the statement is true when $|x - y| \wedge R \leq \mathcal{H}_{x,y}$. We only need to consider the case $R > \mathcal{H}_{x,y}$ and $|x - y| > \mathcal{H}_{x,y}$.

When $\mathcal{H}_{x,y} < |x - y|$, since $B_{(|x-y| \wedge R)/2}(x) \subset B_{2R} \setminus \{y\}$, the function $z \mapsto G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(z, y; \eta)$ is ω -harmonic on $B_{(|x-y| \wedge R)/2}(x)$. Hence, by Theorem 16 and (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}}(x)| &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H}_{x,y}}{|x - y| \wedge R} \text{osc}_{B_{(|x-y| \wedge R)/2}(x)} G_{\omega;y}^{\text{loc}} \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{H}_{x,y}^d (|x - y| \wedge R)^{-1} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu(|x - y|).
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Our proof is complete. \square

Remark 36. As can be seen in (88), the fact that $G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, y; \eta)$, $\eta \in H$, is ω -harmonic in $B_{2R} \setminus \{y\}$, i.e., $\eta|_{B_{2R}} = 0$, is crucial for the bound of $\nabla G_\omega^{\text{loc}}$ as above.

Note that the Green function G_ω^{AP} (as defined in (66)) of the approximate corrector solves the equation (which corresponds to the case $\eta \equiv 1$ of (83))

$$L_\omega G^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y) = \frac{1}{R^2} G^{\text{AP}}(\cdot, y) - \mathbb{1}_y.$$

Since G_ω^{AP} is nowhere ω -harmonic, the gradient bound as (88) cannot be obtained for G_ω^{AP} using Theorem 16.

5.3 Homogenization of the local corrector

From now on, we fix a smooth function $\eta_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that satisfies

$$\eta_0 \in [0, 1], \quad \eta_0|_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathbb{B}_{8/3}} = 1, \quad \eta_0|_{\mathbb{B}_{7/3}} = 0$$

and set, for $R > 0$,

$$\eta_R(x) = \eta_0\left(\frac{x}{R\sqrt{1}}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (89)$$

Recall $H = H_R$ in (87). Note that $\eta_R \in H_R$ for $R \geq 1$.

The goal of this subsection is to obtain the optimal sizes for $\phi^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)$ and its discrete gradient $\nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)$.

Theorem 37. Recall $\delta(R)$, $\mu(R)$, η_R in (20), (19), (89). Let $\phi^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}(\cdot; \eta, \psi)$. For any $q_1 \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+2})$, $q_2 \in (0, \frac{d}{2d+2})$,

(a) when $\eta \in H_R$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\frac{\phi^{\text{loc}}(x)}{\mu(R)}|^{q_1})] < C_{q_1}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$;

(b) when $\eta = \eta_R$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\frac{\nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)}{\delta(R)}|^{q_2})] < C_{q_2}$ for $x \in B_R$.

Lemma 38. Recall $\mu(R)$, H_R in (19), (87). Let $R > 1$. When $\eta \in H_R$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|\phi^{\text{loc}}(x)|] \lesssim \mu(R) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Proof. Our proof is through the comparison between ϕ^{loc} and ϕ^{AP} . By Lemma 26, there exists a random variable $\mathcal{Y}(\omega)$ with $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y}^2] < C$ such that $\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$|\phi^{\text{AP}}(y)| \lesssim \mu(R) \mathcal{Y}(\theta_y \omega) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s. } \omega. \quad (90)$$

Consider $u = \phi^{\text{loc}} - \phi^{\text{AP}}$. Then u solves the equation

$$L_\omega u = \frac{1}{R^2} u \eta - \frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{AP}}(1 - \eta) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Hence, by formula (84), the bounds (90) and Proposition 35(a), for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &= \left| \sum_{y \in B_{3R}} G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y) \frac{1}{R^2} \phi^{\text{AP}}(y) (1 - \eta(y)) \right| \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mu(R)}{R^2} \sum_{y \in B_{3R}} \mathcal{Y}(\theta_y \omega) \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu_R(|x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e^{-cr/R} \nu_R(r)$ is non-increasing in r , and

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{Y} \mathcal{H}^{d-1}] \leq \|\mathcal{Y}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \|\mathcal{H}^{d-1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} < C.$$

Hence, taking expectations in the above inequality, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|u(x)|] \lesssim \frac{\mu(R)}{R^2} \sum_{y \in B_{3R}} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu_R(|x-y|) \lesssim \frac{\mu(R)}{R^2} \sum_{z \in B_{3R}} \nu_R(|z|) \lesssim \mu(R).$$

This bound, together with (90) and a triangle inequality, yields the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 37(a). By (79) and formula (42), $\partial'_y \phi^{\text{loc}}$ satisfies, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the equation

$$L_\omega \partial'_y \phi^{\text{loc}}(x) = \frac{1}{R^2} \partial'_y \phi^{\text{loc}} \eta + [\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \phi^{\text{loc}}_{\omega'_y})(y)] \mathbb{1}_{y=x},$$

which, by formula (84), yields

$$\partial'_y \phi^{\text{loc}}(x) = -[\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \phi^{\text{loc}}_{\omega'_y})(y)] G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y). \quad (91)$$

One may compare this expression to (67). Then, using the bounds of $\nabla^2 \phi^{\text{loc}}$ and G_ω^{loc} in Lemma 34(b) and Proposition 35(a), we obtain

$$|\partial'_y \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y'^2 \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \|\psi\|_\infty e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu(|x-y|).$$

By (71) and applying Lemma 21 to $Z := \frac{\phi^{\text{loc}}(x)}{\|\psi\|_\infty \mu(R)}$, we get, for any $0 < p < \frac{2d}{3d+2}$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c_p |Z - \mathbb{E}Z|^p)] \leq C.$$

Since it was shown in Lemma 38 that $\mathbb{E}[|Z|] \lesssim 1$, Theorem 37(a) follows. \square

Lemma 39. Recall $\mu(R), \eta_R$ in (19), (89). We fix $R > 1$ and let $\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}(\cdot; \eta_R, \psi)$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, z \in B_{R/3}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}|\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x+z) - \phi_{\theta_z \omega}^{\text{loc}}(x)| \lesssim \frac{|z| \mu(R)}{R}. \quad (92)$$

As a consequence, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, z \in B_{R/3}$,

$$|\mathbb{E}[\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x+z) - \phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x)]| \lesssim \frac{|z| \mu(R)}{R}. \quad (93)$$

Proof. Let η_z denote the function $\eta_z(x) := \eta_R(x-z), \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. By our definitions of H_R and η_R in (87) and (89), we still have $\eta_z \in H_R$ for $|z| < R/3$. Write $\phi_1 = \phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)$ and $\phi_2 = \phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_z, \psi)$.

First, observe that for any $z \in B_{R/3}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x+z; \eta_z, \psi) = \phi_{\theta_z \omega}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi).$$

Hence, for $z \in B_{R/3}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$|\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x+z; \eta_R, \psi) - \phi_{\theta_z \omega}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)| = |\phi_1(x+z) - \phi_2(x+z)|$$

Next, notice that $u := \phi_1 - \phi_2$ solves the equation

$$L_\omega u = \frac{1}{R^2} u \eta_R + \frac{1}{R^2} \phi_2 (\eta_R - \eta_z).$$

Hence, by formula (84), Theorem 37(a) and Proposition 35(a), we have, with $G_\omega^{\text{loc}} = G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, \cdot; \eta_R, \psi)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| &= \sum_{y \in B_{3R+1}} G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y) \frac{1}{R^2} \phi_2(y) [\eta_R(y) - \eta_R(y - z)] \\ &\lesssim \frac{\mu(R)|z|}{R^3} \sum_{y \in B_{3R+1}} \mathcal{Y}_1(y) \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} e^{-c|x-y|/R} \nu_R(|x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations on both sides, we get, for $z \in B_{R/3}$, $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|u(x)|] \lesssim \frac{\mu(R)|z|}{R^3} \sum_{y \in B_{3R}} \nu_R(|z|) \lesssim \frac{\mu(R)|y|}{R}.$$

We have proved (92).

Finally, display (93) follows from the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\phi_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x)] = \mathbb{E}[\phi_{\theta_z \omega}^{\text{loc}}(x)]$ (by the translation-invariance of \mathbb{P}). \square

Proof of Theorem 37(b). First, we will show that for any $p \in (0, \frac{d}{2d+2})$, there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(c_p |\nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x) - \mathbb{E} \nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)| / \delta(R)^p \right) \right] \leq C \quad \text{for all } x \in B_R. \quad (94)$$

By (91), we have $\partial'_y \nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x) = -[\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega_y}^{\text{loc}})(y)] \nabla_x G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y)$. Here the subscript of ∇_x indicates that ∇ is applied only to the coordinate x . Hence, by Lemma 34(b) and Proposition 35(b), for any $x \in B_R$, $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$|\partial'_y \nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y'^2 \mathcal{H}_{x,y}^d \|\psi\|_\infty F_R(|x-y|),$$

where (Recall ν_R in (69)) $F_R(r) = \frac{e^{-c_1 r/R}}{r \wedge R + 1} \nu_R(r)$. Note that $\mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{H}_y'^2 \mathcal{H}_{x,y}^d)^n] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{(2+d)n}]$ for all $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, $n \geq 1$. Further, computations show that, for $x \in B_R$,

$$\sum_y F_R(|x-y|)^2 \lesssim \int_0^\infty F_R^2(r) r^{d-1} dr \asymp \delta(R)^2.$$

Applying Lemma 21 to $Z = \nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)$, inequality (94) follows.

It remains to show that $|\mathbb{E}[\nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)]| \lesssim \delta(R)$. By (93) in Lemma 39, we have

$$|\mathbb{E}[\nabla \phi^{\text{loc}}(x)]| \lesssim \frac{\mu(R)}{R} \lesssim 1 \leq \delta(R).$$

This estimate, together with (94), yields Theorem 37(b). \square

5.4 Quantitative homogenization for the Dirichlet problem (2): proof of Theorem 8

Proof of Theorem 8. Recall $\phi^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}$ in (31). Let v^k, ξ be the functions

$$\begin{aligned} v^k(x) &= \phi^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \frac{\omega_k(x)}{2\text{tr}\omega(x)}), \quad k = 1, \dots, d \\ \xi(x) &= \phi^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \frac{\zeta}{\text{tr}\omega(0)}). \end{aligned}$$

For $q \in (0, \frac{d}{2d+2})$, setting $\mathcal{Y}_1 = \frac{1}{\delta(R)}(\sum_{k=1}^d \|\nabla v^k\|_{d; B_R} + \|\nabla \xi\|_{d; B_R})$ and

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \frac{1}{\mu(R)}(\sum_{k=1}^d \text{osc}_{\bar{B}_R} v^k + \text{osc}_{\bar{B}_R} \xi) - C(\log R)^{1/q},$$

by Theorem 37 and Lemma 25, we have, with $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_1 + \mathcal{Y}_2$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c\mathcal{Y}^q)] < C_q, \quad \forall x \in B_R.$$

Thus, by Lemma 29,

$$\max_{x \in B_R} |u(x) - \bar{u}(\frac{x}{R})| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{Y}}{R} \|\bar{u}\|_{C^4(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1)} [\delta(R) + \frac{1}{R} \mu(R) (\log R)^{1/q}].$$

Theorem 8 follows. \square

6 The global correctors: existence, uniqueness, and stationarity

Although the local correctors ϕ^{loc} constructed in the previous subsections are good enough for us to obtain optimal rates for the homogenization of Dirichlet problems, the global correctors, if they exist, could be more useful in investigating homogenization problems with (or without) other boundary conditions.

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 9.

Throughout this section, we let $\phi_R^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)$ be as defined in Definition 28, where η_R is chosen as in (89).

Remark 40. The global correctors will be constructed as a.s. limits of the local correctors tilted by appropriate (ω -dependent) constants or affine functions. In this process the regularity property of the local Green function G_{ω}^{loc} is crucial.

The global correctors in Theorem 9 have the same sizes as the local correctors in Theorem 37 except in $d = 2$ when (i) only provides a bound with an additional factor of size $(\log |x|)^{3/2}$. We also lost some stochastic integrability in the exponents compared to Theorem 37.

Note that although the global corrector is unique up to the shift of an affine function, an affine shift with ω -dependent coefficients could drastically change the moment estimates of ϕ .

Proof of the uniqueness in Theorem 9 assuming existence. Suppose there are two global correctors ϕ_1, ϕ_2 with properties (i)-(iv) in Theorem 9. Then $u = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ is a ω -harmonic function on the whole \mathbb{Z}^d . Moreover, when $d \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max_{B_R} |u| > \varepsilon R) &\lesssim \sum_{x \in B_R} P(|u(x)| > \varepsilon R) \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in B_R} \mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\frac{u(x)}{\mu(R)}|^{1/3})] \exp(-c|\frac{\varepsilon R}{\mu(R)}|^{1/3}) \\ &\lesssim \exp(-c_\varepsilon R^{1/6}), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, d \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Borel-Cantelli's lemma, $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \max_{B_R} |u|/R = 0$ almost surely. By (28), this sublinear estimate of $\max_{B_R} |u|$ implies that u is almost surely a constant.

When $d = 2$, similar argument gives, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(\max_{B_R} |u| > \varepsilon R^2) \lesssim \sum_{x \in B_R} \mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\frac{u(x)}{R}|^{1/3})] \exp(-c|\frac{\varepsilon R^2}{R}|^{1/3}) \lesssim \exp(-c_\varepsilon R^{1/6}).$$

Hence, by Borel-Cantelli's lemma, $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \max_{B_R} |u|/R^2 = 0$ almost surely. By (28), this subquadratic estimate of $\max_{B_R} |u|$ implies that $\nabla^2 u = 0$ almost surely. That is, u is a.s. an affine function (with coefficients possibly dependent on ω). \square

6.1 Stationary global corrector in $d \geq 5$

As a consequence of Theorem 10, we can show the existence of a stationary corrector in $d \geq 5$.

In the continuous PDE setting, the existence of the stationary corrector in $d \geq 5$ and stochastic integrability (i) with $p = \frac{1}{2}$ was proved in [3, Theorem 7.1]. In the discrete setting, the existence of the stationary corrector in $d \geq 5$ with L^2 -stochastic integrability was proved in [31, Corollary 7].

Proof of Theorem 9 for $d \geq 5$. Without loss of generality, assume $E_{\mathbb{Q}}[\psi] = 0$.

When $d \geq 5$, we let $\phi_\omega(x) = E_\omega^x[\int_0^\infty \psi(\bar{\omega}^s) ds]$. The existence of ϕ_ω (as an a.s. limit of $E_\omega^x[\int_0^n \psi(\bar{\omega}^s) ds]$) follows immediately from Theorem E. It clearly solves (76). Further, by Fatou's lemma and Theorem 10, for $p \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+2})$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\phi|^p)] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\int_0^n \psi(\bar{\omega}^s) ds|^p)] \leq C_p.$$

The stationarity of ϕ follows from the stationarity of ϕ^{AP} . \square

6.2 Global corrector in $d = 3, 4$ with stationary gradient

Proof of Theorem 9 for $d = 3, 4$. Without loss of generality, assume $\bar{\psi} = 0$. Recall $\phi_R^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)$ in Definition 28. For $R > 1, \omega \in \Omega$, let

$$\phi_R(x) = \phi_{\omega, R}(x) := \phi_R^{\text{loc}}(x) - \phi_R^{\text{loc}}(0), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

In what follows we will show that, by taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, ϕ_R will converge to a desired global corrector up to a subsequence.

Step 1. (*Moment bounds.*) First, when $d \geq 3$, we will obtain the following moment bounds: for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, (note that $\delta(\cdot) \equiv 1$ for $d \geq 3$.)

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C \left| \frac{\phi_R(x)}{\mu(|x|)} \right|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p, \quad \forall p \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+4}), R \geq |x|^2 \vee 1. \quad (95)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\nabla \phi_R(x)/\delta(|x|)|^q)] \leq C_q \quad \forall q \in (0, \frac{d}{2d+2}). \quad (96)$$

Since $\nabla \phi_R = \nabla \phi_R^{\text{loc}}$, display (96) follows directly from Theorem 37(b).

We will prove (95) via sensitivity estimates. Indeed, by (91),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial'_y \phi_R(x)| &= |[\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega_y}^{\text{loc}})(y)][G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y) - G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(0, y)]| \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 34(b)}}{\lesssim} \mathcal{H}_y'^2 |G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y) - G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(0, y)| \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

We consider two cases: $|y| \leq 2|x|$ and $|y| > 2|x|$.

When $|y| \leq 2|x|$, by (97) and Proposition 35, (recall that $v(r) = r^{2-d}$ for $d \geq 3$)

$$|\partial'_y \phi_R(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y'^2 \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} (v(|x-y|) + v(|y|)).$$

When $|y| > 2|x|$, noting that $|y| - \frac{|y| \wedge R}{2} \asymp |y|$ and that the function $z \mapsto G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(z, y)$ is ω -harmonic on B_{2R} , by (97) and Theorem 16,

$$|\partial'_y \phi_R(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y'^2 \frac{|x| + \mathcal{R}}{|y| \wedge R} \text{osc}_{B_{|y| \wedge R}} G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, y) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y'^2 \mathcal{H}_y^{d-1} \frac{|x| + \mathcal{R}}{|y| \wedge R} e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y|).$$

Fix x and let $f(y) := (v(|x-y|) + v(|y|)) \mathbb{1}_{|y| \leq 2|x|} + \frac{|x|+1}{|y| \wedge R} e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y|) \mathbb{1}_{|y| > 2|x|}$. Combining the two cases above, we have, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[c|\partial'_y \phi_R(x)/f(y)|^n] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[c\mathcal{H}^{(d+2)n}].$$

Note that (71) implies $\sum_{y \in B_r} v(|y|)^2 \lesssim \mu(r)^2$. Thus, for $x \neq 0$, $d \geq 3$, $R \geq |x|^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_y f(y)^2 &\lesssim \sum_{y: |y| \leq 2|x|} v(|x-y|)^2 + v(|y|)^2 + \sum_{y: |y| > 2|x|} \frac{|x|^2}{(|y| \wedge R)^2} e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y|)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{y \in B_{3|x|}} v(|y|)^2 + |x|^2 \sum_{2|x| < |y| < R} \frac{1}{|y|^2} v(|y|)^2 + \frac{|x|^2}{R^2} \sum_{|y| > R} e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y|)^2 \\ &\lesssim \mu(|x|)^2 + |x|^2 \int_{2|x|}^R \frac{1}{r^2} r^{2(2-d)} r^{d-1} dr + \frac{|x|^2}{R^2} \int_R^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} r^{2(2-d)} r^{d-1} dr \\ &\lesssim \mu(|x|)^2 + |x|^2 R^{2-d} \lesssim \mu(|x|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying Lemma 21 to $Z = \phi_R(x)$ we have, for $R \geq |x|^2$, $p \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+4})$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C |(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)/\mu(|x|)|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p, \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

Since by Lemma 39, $|\mathbb{E}[Z]| \lesssim |x| \frac{\mu(R)}{R} \lesssim \mu(|x|)$, display (95) is proved.

Step 2. (*Point-wise a.s. convergence.*) Next, we will show that, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, every subsequence of $\{\phi_R(x)\}_{R>1}$ contains an a.s. convergent subsequence. To this end, it suffices to show that (cf. [47, Theorem 5, pg 258]) the sequence $\{\phi_R(x)\}_{R>1}$ is *Cauchy in probability*, i.e., for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|\phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (98)$$

Observe that for any $n > m > 1$, the function $x \mapsto \phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)$ is ω -harmonic in B_{2m} . Hence, by Theorem 16, when $m > |x|^2$,

$$|\phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)| \leq \text{osc}_{B_{|x|}}(\phi_n - \phi_m) \lesssim \frac{|x| + \mathcal{R}}{\sqrt{m}} \frac{1}{\#B_{\sqrt{m}}} \sum_{z \in B_{\sqrt{m}}} |\phi_n(z) - \phi_m(z)|$$

and thus, by (95) and Hölder's inequality we have, for $x \neq 0$, $n > m > |x|^2$,

$$\|\phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \lesssim \frac{|x|+1}{\sqrt{m}} \mu(\sqrt{m}) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence (98) follows by Chebyshev's inequality.

Step 3. (*Existence of the global corrector.*) Since \mathbb{Z}^d is a countable set, by Step 2 and a diagonal argument, we can select a subsequence $\{\phi_{R_n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\phi_{R_n}(x)$ converges \mathbb{P} -almost surely to a function $\phi(x) = \phi_\omega(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Clearly, ϕ_ω is a global corrector.

Moreover, by Fatou's lemma and (95) (96) in Step 1, for any $p \in (0, \frac{2d}{3d+4})$, $q \in (0, \frac{d}{2d+2})$, and any $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C \left| \frac{\phi(x)}{\mu(|x|)} \right|^p \right) \right] &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C \left| \frac{\phi_{R_n}(x)}{\mu(|x|)} \right|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p, \\ \mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\nabla \phi(x)|^q)] &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\exp(c|\nabla \phi_{R_n}(x)|^q)] \leq C_q. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. (*Stationarity of the gradient.*) Observe that, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the map $y \mapsto \phi_\omega(x+y)$ is a global corrector in the environment $\theta_x \omega$. Moreover, since ϕ_ω is unique up to an additive constant (which may depend on ω), the gradient field $\{\nabla \phi_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is unique, and hence we have $\nabla \phi_{\theta_x \omega}(\cdot) = \nabla \phi_\omega(x + \cdot)$ for a.e. ω . In particular, $\nabla \phi_{\theta_x \omega}(0) = \nabla \phi_\omega(x)$ for a.e. ω and all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Therefore, $\nabla \phi_\omega(x)$ and $\nabla \phi_\omega(0)$ are identically distributed for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ by the stationarity of \mathbb{P} . \square

6.3 Global corrector in $d = 2$ with stationary second order differences

Recall G^{loc}, η_R in (83), (89). Let $R > 1$ be fixed. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, consider the potential kernel $A^{\text{loc}} = A_\omega^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, \cdot; \eta_R)$ corresponding to the local corrector:

$$A^{\text{loc}}(x, y) := G^{\text{loc}}(y, y; \eta_R) - G^{\text{loc}}(x, y; \eta_R).$$

We will first show the following estimate.

Lemma 41. Assume (A1), (A2), and fix $R > 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let \mathcal{H} be the same as in Theorem B. For \mathbb{P} -a.s. ω and $x, y \in B_{R/2}$, we have

$$0 \leq A^{\text{loc}}(x, y) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y \log(|x - y| \vee 2) \quad \text{when } d = 2.$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in B_R$ be fixed. We only consider the non-trivial case $y \neq x$.

Note that by (83) and the definition of η_R in (89), the function $z \mapsto A^{\text{loc}}(z, y)$ is ω -harmonic for $z \in B_{7R/3} \setminus \{y\}$. Hence, applying the Harnack inequality (Theorem A.1) for ω -harmonic functions to a constant numbers of balls centered on $\partial B_{|x-y|}(y)$ with radii $|x - y|/2$, we have

$$A^{\text{loc}}(z, y) \asymp A^{\text{loc}}(x, y) \quad \text{for all } z \in \partial B_{|x-y|}(y).$$

In particular, letting $\tau_{r,y} = \inf\{t : Y_t \notin B_r(y)\}$, we have

$$A^{\text{loc}}(x, y) \asymp E_\omega^y[A^{\text{loc}}(Y_{\tau_{|x-y|,y}}, y)]. \quad (99)$$

We claim that (Recall G_R^ω in (5))

$$E_\omega^y[A^{\text{loc}}(Y_{\tau_{|x-y|,y}}, y)] = G_{|x-y|}^{\theta_y \omega}(0, 0). \quad (100)$$

Indeed, the function

$$v(z) := G^{\text{loc}}(z, y) - E_\omega^z[G^{\text{loc}}(Y_{\tau_{|x-y|,y}}, y)]$$

satisfies $L_\omega v(z) = -\mathbb{1}_{z=y}$ for $z \in B_{|x-y|}(y)$ and $v|_{\partial B_{|x-y|}(y)} = 0$, whereas $u(z) = G_{|x-y|}^{\theta_y \omega}(z - y, 0)$ satisfies $L_\omega u(z) = -\mathbb{1}_{z=y}$ for $z \in B_{|x-y|}(y)$ and $u|_{\partial B_{|x-y|}(y)} = 0$. Hence $v(z) = u(z)$ for all $z \in \bar{B}_{|x-y|}(y)$. In particular,

$$u(y) = v(y) = G^{\text{loc}}(y, y) - E_\omega^y[G^{\text{loc}}(Y_{\tau_{|x-y|,y}}, y)] = E_\omega^y[A^{\text{loc}}(Y_{\tau_{|x-y|,y}}, y)].$$

This implies (100).

Finally, the lemma follows from (99), (100) and Theorem D. \square

Lemma 42. Recall ∇ in Definitions 1. Let $\nabla^+ := (\nabla_{e_i})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$. For any ω -harmonic function u on B_R , we have

$$|u(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ u(0) - u(0)| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H}^2 |x|^3}{R^2} \text{osc}_{B_R} u \quad \text{for all } x \in B_R.$$

Proof. There is nothing to prove when $x = 0$.

When $x \neq 0$, let B^x denote the smallest ball centered at 0 that contains x . Observe that, for any affine function $\ell(x) = a \cdot x + b$, with $u_\ell = u - \ell$, we have $u(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ u(0) - u(0) = u_\ell(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ u_\ell(0) - u_\ell(0)$. Hence

$$|u(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ u(0) - u(0)| \leq D_{B^x}^2(u) \lesssim \frac{|x|(\mathcal{H} + |x|)^2}{R^2} \text{osc}_{B_R} u$$

where we used Theorem 16 in the last inequality. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 9 for $d = 2$. Without loss of generality, assume $\bar{\psi} = 0$. Recall ∇ and $\phi_R^{\text{loc}} = \phi_{\omega,R}^{\text{loc}}(x; \eta_R, \psi)$ in Definitions 1 and 28. For $R > 1, \omega \in \Omega$, set

$$\phi_R(x) = \phi_{\omega,R}(x) := \phi_R^{\text{loc}}(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ \phi_R^{\text{loc}}(0) - \phi_R^{\text{loc}}(0), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad (101)$$

where $\nabla^+ = (\nabla_{e_i})_{1 \leq i \leq d}$. In what follows we will show that, by taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, ϕ_R will converge to a desired global corrector up to a subsequence.

Step 1. (*Moment bound for ϕ_R .*) We will establish the moment bound for $d = 2$:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C \left| \frac{\phi_R(x)}{|x| \log(|x| \vee 2)^{3/2}} \right|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p, \quad \text{for } p \in (0, \frac{1}{3}), 0 < 3|x| < R^{1/3}. \quad (102)$$

To prove (102), writing $u(z) = u_{\omega,y}(z) = A^{\text{loc}}(z, y)$, by formula (91) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial'_y \phi_R(x)| &= |\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega,y}^{\text{loc}})(y)| |G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y) - x \cdot \nabla^+ G^{\text{loc}}(0, y) - G^{\text{loc}}(0, y)| \\ &\stackrel{\text{Lemma 34(b)}}{\lesssim} \mathcal{H}_y'^2 |G_{\omega}^{\text{loc}}(x, y) - x \cdot \nabla^+ G^{\text{loc}}(0, y) - G^{\text{loc}}(0, y)| \\ &= \mathcal{H}_y'^2 |u(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ u(0) - u(0)|. \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

We consider two cases: $|y| \leq 3|x| \leq R^{1/3}$ and $3|x| < |y|$.

When $x \neq 0$ and $|y| \leq 3|x| \leq R^{1/3}$, by Lemma 41 and Theorem 16,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| + |u(0)| &\lesssim \mathcal{H}_y(\log(|x - y| \vee 2) + \log(|y| \vee 2)) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y \log(|x| + 2) \\ |\nabla^+ u(0)| &\lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_y}{|y| + 1} \log(|y| + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (103),

$$|\partial'_y \phi_R(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_y \mathcal{H}_y'^2 [\log(|x| + 2) + \frac{|x|}{|y|+1} \log(|y| + 2)]. \quad (104)$$

When $3|x| < |y|$, noting that u is ω -harmonic in $B_{|y|}$, by Lemma 42, Proposition 35 and Theorem 16, we obtain

$$|u(x) - x \cdot \nabla^+ u(0) - u(0)| \lesssim \frac{|x|^3 \mathcal{H}^2 \mathcal{H}_y}{(|y| \wedge R)^2} \log\left(\frac{2R}{|y| \wedge R}\right) e^{-c|y|/R}.$$

As a result, by (103), when $3|x| < |y|$,

$$|\partial'_y \phi_R(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_y (\mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_y')^2 \frac{|x|^3}{(|y| \wedge R)^2} \log\left(\frac{2R}{|y| \wedge R}\right) e^{-c|y|/R} \quad (105)$$

Combining (104), (105), with

$$f(y) := \begin{cases} \log(|x| + 2) + \frac{|x|}{|y|+1} \log(|y| + 2) & \text{when } |y| \leq 3|x|, \\ \frac{|x|^3}{(|y| \wedge R)^2} \log\left(\frac{2R}{|y| \wedge R}\right) e^{-c|y|/R} & \text{when } |y| > 3|x| \end{cases}$$

we obtain, for x with $3|x| < R^{1/3}$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\partial'_y \phi_R(x)/f(y)|^n] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{5n}].$$

Computations show that, for $3|x| < R^{1/3}$,

$$\sum_y f(y)^2 \lesssim |x|^2 \log(|x| \vee 2)^3 + \frac{|x|^6}{R^2} \lesssim |x|^2 \log(|x| \vee 2)^3.$$

Hence, setting $F(x) = |x| \log(|x| \vee 2)^{3/2}$ and applying Lemma 21 to $Z = \phi_R(x)$ we have, when $3|x| < R^{1/3}$, $p \in (0, \frac{2d}{10+d})$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C |(Z - \mathbb{E}Z)/F(x)|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p, \text{ for } x \neq 0.$$

Furthermore, by (93) we get $|EZ| \lesssim |x|$. Display (102) is proved.

Step 2. (*Existence of the global corrector.*) Next, we will show the existence of a global corrector $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega$ (as an a.s. subsequential limit of $(\phi_R)_{R>0}$) in $d = 2$ with moment bound (i).

Similar to Steps 2 and 3 in the proof for the cases $d = 3, 4$, it suffices to show (98). Observe that, for any $n > m > 1$, the function $u(x) = \phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)$ is ω -harmonic in B_{2m} with $u(0) = 0$. Hence, by Lemma 42, when $3|x| < m^{1/3}$

$$|\phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)| \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H}^2 |x|^3}{m^2} \text{osc}_{B_{m/2}}(\phi_n - \phi_m) \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{H}^2 |x|^3}{m^2} \frac{1}{\#B_m} \sum_{z \in B_m} |\phi_n(z) - \phi_m(z)|$$

and thus, by (102) and Hölder's inequality we have, for $x \neq 0$, $n > m > 27|x|^3$,

$$\|\phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \lesssim \frac{|x|^3}{m^2} m(\log m)^{3/2} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence, when $d = 2$, (98) follows by Chebyshev's inequality.

Step 3. (*Stationarity and moment bound of $\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}$.*) Since $\boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega$ is unique up to adding an affine function (whose coefficients may depend on ω), the field $\{\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}_\omega(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. unique. In other words, this field is uniquely determined by ω , and hence its stationarity follows from the stationarity of \mathbb{P} .

To obtain the moment bound of $\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}$, observe that $\nabla^2 \phi_R = \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega, R}^{\text{loc}}$. Since $\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}$ is an a.s. subsequential limit of $\nabla^2 \phi_R$, by Lemma 34 and taking $R \rightarrow \infty$, we get, for \mathbb{P} -a.e. ω ,

$$|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_x^2 \|\psi\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Z}^d. \quad (106)$$

The bound in Theorem 9(iii) follows.

Step 4. (*Moment bound for $\nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}$.*) Without loss of generality, we only derive the moment bound for $\nabla^+ \boldsymbol{\phi}$, since $\nabla_{-e_i} \boldsymbol{\phi}(x) = \nabla_{e_i} \boldsymbol{\phi}(x - e_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$.

By formula (91) we have, for $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\partial'_y \nabla^+ \phi_R(x) = -[\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \phi_{\omega_y}^{\text{loc}})(y)][\nabla^+ G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(x, y) - \nabla^+ G_\omega^{\text{loc}}(0, y)],$$

where ∇^+ only acts on the first coordinate of G^{loc} , i.e., $\nabla^+ G^{\text{loc}}(x, y) = \nabla^+ G^{\text{loc}}(\cdot, y)|_{\cdot=x}$. Taking a subsequential of limit $R \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain, almost surely,

$$\partial'_y \nabla^+ \boldsymbol{\phi}(x) = [\partial'_y \psi(y) - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\partial'_y a \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\phi}_{\omega'_y})(y)] [\nabla^+ A(x, y) - \nabla^+ A(0, y)]$$

where $A(x, y)$ is as in (14). Further, by (106), Theorem D, and Theorem 16, we get

$$|\partial'_y \nabla^+ \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{x+y}'^2 \left[\frac{\mathcal{H}_{x,y}^2}{|x-y|+1} \log(|x-y| \vee 2) + \frac{\mathcal{H}_{0,y}^2}{|y|+1} \log(|y| \vee 2) \right],$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{x,y} = \mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{H}_y$. Moreover, for any affine function ℓ , with $A_\ell(\cdot, y) = A(\cdot, y) + \ell$, we have

$$\nabla^+ A(x, y) - \nabla^+ A(0, y) = \nabla^+ A_\ell(x, y) - \nabla^+ A_\ell(0, y) \leq \underset{B_{|x|+1}}{\text{osc}} A_\ell(\cdot, y).$$

Hence, for $3|x| < |y|$, by Theorem 16 we get

$$|\partial'_y \nabla^+ \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)| \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{x+y}'^2 D_{B_{|x|+1}}^2 (A(\cdot, y)) \lesssim \mathcal{H}_{x+y}'^2 \frac{(\mathcal{H} \vee |x|)^2}{|y|^2} \mathcal{H}_y \log |y|.$$

Hence, for $x \neq 0$, with

$$g(y) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x-y|+1} \log(|x-y| \vee 2) + \frac{1}{|y|+1} \log(|y| \vee 2) & \text{when } |y| \leq 3|x| \\ \frac{|x|^2}{|y|^2} \log |y| & \text{when } |y| > 3|x|, \end{cases}$$

we obtain, for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\partial'_y \nabla^+ \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)/g(y)|^n] \lesssim \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{H}^{5n}].$$

Computations show that

$$\sum_y g(y)^2 \lesssim (\log(|x| \vee 2))^3.$$

Hence, applying Lemma 21 to $Z = \nabla^+ \boldsymbol{\phi}(x)$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(C \left| \frac{Z - \mathbb{E}Z}{(\log(|x| \vee 2))^{3/2}} \right|^p \right) \right] \leq C_p \quad \text{for } p \in (0, \frac{2d}{10+d}).$$

□

A Appendix

Define the parabolic operator \mathcal{L}_ω as

$$\mathcal{L}_\omega u(x, t) = \sum_{y: y \sim x} \omega(x, y)[u(y, t) - u(x, t)] - \partial_t u(x, t)$$

for every function $u : \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is differentiable in t . The following results are used in the paper.

Theorem A.1. ([19, Theorem 17]) Assume $\frac{\omega}{\text{tr}\omega} > 2\kappa I$ for some $\kappa > 0$. Any non-negative function u with $\mathcal{L}_\omega u = 0$ in $B_{2R} \times (0, 4R^2)$ for $R > 0$ satisfies

$$\sup_{B_R \times (R^2, 2R^2)} u \leq C \inf_{B_R \times (3R^2, 4R^2)} u.$$

As a consequence, we have the following Hölder regularity for u .

Corollary A.2. Assume $\frac{\omega}{\text{tr}\omega} > 2\kappa I$ for some $\kappa > 0$. There exists $\gamma = \gamma(d, \kappa) \in (0, 1)$ such that any non-negative function u with $\mathcal{L}_\omega u = 0$ in $B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0)$, for some $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ and $R > 0$, satisfies

$$|u(\hat{x}) - u(\hat{y})| \leq C \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^\gamma \sup_{B_R(x_0) \times (t_0 - R^2, t_0)} u$$

for all $\hat{x}, \hat{y} \in B_r(x_0) \times (t_0 - r^2, t_0)$ and $r \in (0, R)$.

A.1 Proof of Proposition 19

Proof. Let $p \in H_j$ be the j -th order Taylor polynomial (around 0) of v . Then

$$\sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\theta R}} |v - p| \leq C(\theta R)^{j+1} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{R/3}} |D^{j+1}v|.$$

This gives $D_{\mathbb{B}_{\theta R}}^{j+1}(v) \lesssim (\theta R)^{j+1} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{R/3}} |D^{j+1}v|$. Furthermore, for any $q \in H_j$, $j \leq 2$, note that $D(v - q)$ is an \bar{a} -harmonic function. Hence, by [24, Theorem 2.10],

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{R/3}} |D^{j+1}v| &= \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{R/3}} |D^{j+1}(v - q)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^j} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{5R/12}} |D(v - q)| \\ &= \frac{C}{R^j} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{B}_{5R/12}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{B}_{R/12}(x)} D(v - q) \right| \leq \frac{C}{R^{j+1}} \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{R/2}} |v - q| \end{aligned}$$

for $j \leq 2$. Hence, taking infimum over $q \in H_j$, we get $D_{\mathbb{B}_{\theta R}}^{j+1}(v) \lesssim \theta^{j+1} D_{\mathbb{B}_{R/2}}^{j+1}(v)$ for $j \leq 2$. The first statement is proved.

To prove the second statement, observe that for any $x \in \mathbb{B}_{R/2}$, there are 2^d points $y_i \in \bar{B}_{R/2}$, $i \in \Lambda = \{1, \dots, 2^d\}$, such that $|y_i - x| \leq 1$ and x is a convex combination of the y_i 's. That is, $x = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i y_i$ for some $\alpha_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i = 1$. Let $p \in H_j$, $j \leq 2$, be such that $\max_{B_{2R/3}} |v - p| \leq 2D_{2R/3}^{j+1}(v)$ and denote the Hessian matrix of p by M_p . Then, for $x \in \mathbb{B}_{R/2}$, $j \leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |v(x) - p(x)| &\leq [v]_{1; \mathbb{B}_{R/2+1}} + \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i |v(y_i) - p(y_i)| + \left| p(x) - \sum_{i \in \Lambda} \alpha_i p(y_i) \right| \\ &\leq [v]_{1; \mathbb{B}_{R/2+1}} + \max_{\bar{B}_{R/2}} |v - p| + CR|M_p|. \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

Further, using the fact (see [24, Cor.6.3]) that

$$R[v]_{1; \mathbb{B}_{R/2+1}} \lesssim \sup_{\mathbb{B}_{2R/3}} |v| + R^2|c_0| \lesssim \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2R/3}} |v| + R^2|c_0|$$

and (Note that the following bound is not needed for the case $j = 1$ where $M_p \equiv 0$.)

$$R^2|M_p| \lesssim \max_{y \in B_{R/2}} |p(y) + p(-y) - 2p(0)| \lesssim \max_{B_{R/2}} |v - p| + \max_{B_{R/2}} |v| \lesssim D_{2R/3}^3(v) + \max_{B_{R/2}} |v|,$$

display (107) implies, for $j \leq 2$,

$$D_{\mathbb{B}_{R/2}}^{j+1}(v) \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \sup_{\partial \mathbb{B}_{2R/3}} |v| + R|c_0| + D_{2R/3}^{j+1}(v).$$

The second claim follows. \square

A.2 Verification of (68)

In this subsection we will verify the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty (1+t)^{-d/2} \exp \left[-\frac{t}{R^2} - c \mathfrak{h}(|y|, t) \right] dt \lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y| + 1), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

We break the integral on the left side of the above inequality as

$$\int_0^\infty = \int_0^{|y|/2} + \int_{|y|/2}^{|y|^2} + \int_{|y|^2}^\infty =: \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III}.$$

It suffices to consider the case $|y| \geq 1$. First, with $c_2 > 0$ sufficiently small,

$$\text{I} = \int_0^{|y|/2} (1+t)^{-d/2} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{R^2} - c|y| \log \frac{|y|}{t} \right) dt \leq |y| e^{-c|y|} \lesssim e^{-c_2|y|/R} v(|y|).$$

Moreover, noting that $-\frac{t}{2R^2} - c \frac{|y|^2}{t} \lesssim -\frac{|y|}{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &= \int_{|y|/2}^{|y|^2} (1+t)^{-d/2} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{R^2} - c \frac{|y|^2}{t} \right) dt \\ &\lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} \int_0^{|y|^2} t^{-d/2} e^{-c|y|^2/t} dt \\ &\lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} |y|^{2-d} \int_1^\infty s^{d/2-2} e^{-cs} ds \lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y|). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $d = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{III} &\lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} \int_{|y|^2}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-d/2} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2R^2}\right) dt \\
&\lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} \int_{|y|^2/R^2}^{\infty} s^{-1} e^{-s/2} ds \\
&\lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} \left[1 + \int_0^{\infty} s^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{\{|y|^2/R^2 \leq s \leq 1\}} ds \right] \lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} v(y).
\end{aligned}$$

For $d \geq 3$, we have

$$\text{III} \lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} \int_{|y|^2}^{\infty} (1+t)^{-d/2} dt \lesssim e^{-c|y|/R} v(|y|).$$

Therefore, the above bounds of I, II, III imply inequality(68).

A.3 Verification of (71)

When $d = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} v(r)^2 r^{d-1} dr &\lesssim \int_0^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} [1 + (\log \frac{R}{(r+1) \wedge R})^2] r dr \\
&\lesssim R^2 + \int_1^R e^{-cr/R} \left(\log \frac{R}{r} \right)^2 r dr \lesssim R^2.
\end{aligned}$$

When $d = 3$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} v(r)^2 r^{d-1} dr \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} \lesssim R.$$

When $d = 4$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} v(r)^2 r^{d-1} dr \lesssim \int_0^R (1+r)^{-1} dr + \int_R^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} R^{-1} dr \lesssim \log R.$$

When $d \geq 5$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-cr/R} v(r)^2 r^{d-1} dr \lesssim \int_0^{\infty} (1+r)^{-2} dr = 1.$$

References

- [1] S. Andres, S. Neukamm, *Berry-Esseen Theorem and Quantitative homogenization for the Random Conductance Model with degenerate Conductances*. Stoch PDE: Anal Comp. 7, 240-296 (2019).
- [2] S. N. Armstrong, B. Fehrman, J. Lin, *Green function and invariant measure estimates for nondivergence form elliptic homogenization*. arXiv:2211.13279.

- [3] S. N. Armstrong, J. Lin, *Optimal quantitative estimates in stochastic homogenization for elliptic equations in nondivergence form*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 225 (2017), no. 2, 937-991.
- [4] S. N. Armstrong, C. Smart, *Quantitative Stochastic Homogenization of Elliptic Equations in Nondivergence Form*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 214 (2014), no. 3, 867-911.
- [5] M. Avellaneda, F.H. Lin, *Compactness methods in the theory of homogenization*. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 40(6), 803–847, 1987.
- [6] M. Avellaneda, F.H. Lin, *Compactness methods in the theory of homogenization. II. Equations in nondivergence form*. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 42(2), 139–172, 1989.
- [7] P. Bauman, *Positive solutions of elliptic equations in nondivergence form and their adjoints*. Ark. Mat. 22 (1984), no. 2, 153-173.
- [8] A. Bensoussan, J.-L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou, *Asymptotic analysis for periodic structures*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2011. xii+398 pp.
- [9] N. Berger, M. Cohen, J.-D. Deuschel, X. Guo, *An elliptic Harnack inequality for random walk in balanced environments*. Ann. Probab. 50 (2022), no. 3, 835-873.
- [10] N. Berger, J.-D. Deuschel, *A quenched invariance principle for non-elliptic random walk in i.i.d. balanced random environment*. Probab. Theory Related Fields 158 (2014), no. 1-2, 91-126.
- [11] N. Berger, D. Criens, *A parabolic Harnack principle for balanced difference equations in random environments*. Arch Rational Mech Anal 245, 899–947 (2022).
- [12] M. Biskup, *Recent progress on the random conductance model*. Probab. Surv. 8 (2011), 294-373.
- [13] E. Bolthausen, A.-S. Sznitman, *Ten lectures on random media*. DMV Seminar, vol 32. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [14] S. Boucheron, O. Bousquet, G. Lugosi, P. Massart, *Moment inequalities for functions of independent random variables*. Ann. Probab. 33 (2005), no. 2, 514-560.
- [15] S. Boucheron, G. Lugosi, P. Massart, *Concentration Inequalities: A Nonasymptotic Theory of Independence*. Oxford University Press, 2013.
- [16] L. A. Caffarelli, P. E. Souganidis, *Rates of convergence for the homogenization of fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic pde in random media*. Invent. Math. 180(2), 301-360 (2010).

- [17] X. Chen, Z.-Q. Chen, T. Kumagai, J. Wang, *Quenched invariance principle for long range random walks in balanced random environments*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. Vol. 57, No. 4(2021), 2243-2267.
- [18] X. Chen, Z.-Q. Chen, T. Kumagai, J. Wang, *Homogenization of symmetric stable-like processes in stationary ergodic medium*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 53 (2021), no. 3, 2957-3001.
- [19] J.-D. Deuschel, X. Guo, *Quenched local central limit theorem for random walks in a time-dependent balanced random*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 182 (2022), 111-156.
- [20] J.-D. Deuschel, X. Guo, A. Ramirez, *Quenched invariance principle for random walk in time-dependent balanced random environment*. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. Vol. 54, No. 1(2018), 363-384.
- [21] A. Drewitz, A. Ramirez, *Selected topics random walk in random environment*. Topics in percolative and disordered systems. Springer, New York, NY, 2014. 23-83.
- [22] L. Escauriaza, *Bounds for the fundamental solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations: In memory of Eugene Fabes*. Communications in Partial Differential Equations 25.5-6 (2000): 821-845.
- [23] E. Fabes, D. Stroock, *The L^p -integrability of Green's functions and fundamental solutions for elliptic and parabolic equations*. Duke Math. J. 51 (1984), no. 4, 997-1016.
- [24] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. xiv+517 pp.
- [25] A. Gloria, F. Otto, *An optimal error estimate in stochastic homogenization of discrete elliptic equations*. Ann. Appl. Probab. 22, 1-28 (2012)
- [26] A. Gloria, S. Neukamm, F. Otto, *Quantification of ergodicity in stochastic homogenization: optimal bounds via spectral gap on Glauber dynamics*. Invent. Math. 199 (2015), no. 2, 455-515.
- [27] Y. Gu, J.-C. Mourrat, *Pointwise two-scale expansion for parabolic equations with random coefficients*. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 166 (2016), 585-618.
- [28] Y. Gu, *High order correctors and two-scale expansions in stochastic homogenization*. Probab. Theory Related Fields 169 (2017), 1221-1259.
- [29] X. Guo, J. Peterson, H. V. Tran, *Quantitative homogenization in a balanced random environment*. Electron. J. Probab., 27(2022), 1-31.

- [30] X. Guo, T. Sprekeler, H. V. Tran *Characterizations of diffusion matrices in homogenization of elliptic equations in nondivergence-form*. arXiv:2201.01974.
- [31] X. Guo, H. V. Tran, *Stochastic integrability of the heat kernel bounds of random walks in a balanced random environment*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14293>
- [32] X. Guo, H. V. Tran, *Optimal convergence rates in stochastic homogenization in a balanced random environment*. (First arXiv version of this paper) <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2301.01267v1>
- [33] X. Guo, H. V. Tran, Y. Yu, *Remarks on optimal rates of convergence in periodic homogenization of linear elliptic equations in non-divergence form*. SN Partial Differ. Equ. Appl. 1, 15 (2020).
- [34] X. Guo, O. Zeitouni, *Quenched invariance principle for random walks in balanced random environment*. Probab. Theory Related Fields 152 (2012), 207-230.
- [35] E. Haeusler, *On the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for martingales with discrete and continuous time*. Ann. Probab. 16 (1988), no. 1, 275-299.
- [36] C. C. Hyde, B. M. Brown, *On the departure from normality of a certain class of martingales*. Ann. Math. Statist. 41 (1970), 2161-2165.
- [37] V. V. Jikov, S. M. Kozlov, O. A. Oleinik, *Homogenization of Differential Operators and Integral Functionals*. Translated from the Russian by G.A. Yosifian, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1994, xii+570 pp. ISBN: 3-540-54809-2.
- [38] W. Jing, Y. Zhang, *On the periodic homogenization of elliptic equations in non-divergence form with large drifts*, arXiv 2302.01157.
- [39] C. Kipnis, S. R. S. Varadhan, *Central Limit Theorem for Additive Functionals of Reversible Markov Processes and Applications to Simple Exclusions*, Commun. Math. Phys. 104,1-19(1986).
- [40] T. Kumagai, *Random walks on disordered media and their scaling limits*. Cham: Springer, 2014. Lecture notes from the 40th Probability Summer School held in Saint-Flour, 2010, École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour.
- [41] H. J. Kuo, N. Trudinger, *Positive difference operators on general meshes*. Duke Math. J. 83 (1996), no. 2, 415-433.
- [42] G. Lawler, *Weak convergence of a random walk in a random environment*. Comm. Math. Phys. 87:81-87, (1982).
- [43] J. Lin, C. Smart, *Algebraic error estimates for the stochastic homogenization of uniformly parabolic equations*. Anal. PDE 8 (2015), no. 6, 1497-1539.

- [44] S. Mustapha, *Gaussian estimates for spatially inhomogeneous random walks on \mathbb{Z}^d* . Ann. Probab. 34(1), 264-283 (2006).
- [45] A. Naddaf, T. Spencer, *On homogenization and scaling limits of some gradient perturbations of a massless free field*. Commun. Math Phys. 183, 55-84 (1997)
- [46] G. Papanicolaou and S.R.S. Varadhan, *Diffusions with random coefficients*. Statistics and probability: essays in honor of C. R. Rao, pp. 547-552, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1982).
- [47] A. N. Shiryaev, *Probability*. Translated from the first (1980) Russian edition by R. P. Boas. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 95. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [48] T. Sprekeler and H. V. Tran, *Optimal convergence rates for elliptic homogenization problems in nondivergence-form: analysis and numerical illustrations*. Multiscale Model. Simul., 19(3):1453–1473, 2021.
- [49] V. V. Yurinski, *Averaging of second-order nondivergent equations with random coefficients*. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 23(2), 176-188, 217 (1982).
- [50] V. V. Yurinski, *On the error of averaging of multidimensional diffusions*. Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen 33(1), 14-24 (1988) [Eng. transl. in Theory Probab. Appl. 33(1), 11-21 (1988)].
- [51] O. Zeitouni, *Random walks in random environment*. In Lectures on probability theory and statistics, volume 1837 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 189-312. Springer, Berlin, 2004.

E-mail address, Xiaoqin Guo: guoxq@ucmail.uc.edu

E-mail address, Hung Vinh Tran: hung@math.wisc.edu