

LINKING NUMBER OF MODULAR KNOTS

JAMES RICKARDS

ABSTRACT. We compute the linking number of two modular knots in the space $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with the trefoil filled in, which answers a question posed by Ghys in 2007. This computation is realized through the correspondence between modular links and Lorenz links, and can be thought of as an intersection number involving Conway topographs. We compare this to a second formula for the linking number of Lorenz links, which was proven by Stephen F. Kennedy in 1994.

0. AUTHOR'S NOTE

After posting to Arxiv, the works of Christopher-Lloyd Simon have been brought to my attention. In his thesis [Sim22a] and subsequent paper [Sim22b], he studies properties of modular knots. A formula equivalent to Theorem 2.3 is derived, and the connection to periodic paths on a trivalent tree (i.e. rivers on a topograph) are established. These results are his starting point for many more results relating to modular knots. I will leave this preprint up as an alternate exposition, but precedence will go to his work, and anyone reading this paper should consult and cite his work instead.

1. INTRODUCTION

The manifold $X = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is diffeomorphic to S^3 minus a trefoil (for a proof, see [Mil71]). The modular flow on X is given by right multiplication by $\phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix}$, and periodic orbits of the modular flow are called modular knots. These knots are parametrized by conjugacy classes of primitive hyperbolic matrices, as described in the following definition.

Definition 1.1. Let $A \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive hyperbolic matrix with largest eigenvalue $\lambda > 1$. Then there exists a matrix $M \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ with $M^{-1}AM = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Define the knot k_A to be:

$$\begin{aligned} k_A : [0, \log(\lambda)) &\rightarrow X \\ t &\rightarrow M\phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

The path k_A is a knot since $M\phi(\log(\lambda)) = AM \sim M = M\phi(0)$ in X . Furthermore, it does not depend on the choice of M , and is constant across the $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ conjugacy class of A .

As part of his 2006 ICM address, Ghys studied these knots ([Ghy07] and [GL06]). He proved that the linking number of k_A with the removed trefoil can be given by $\Re(A)$, the Rademacher function of A (see the paper by Atiyah, [Ati87], for various equivalent definitions). He then asked: what is the linking number of k_A and k_B ?

Date: January 31, 2023.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37E15; Secondary 11E16, 11F23, 37C27, 57K10, 57K31.

Key words and phrases. Modular knot, Lorenz knot, linking number, topograph, quadratic form.

I thank Vishal P. Patil; a conversation about knot theory with him inspired me to have a look at this problem again. This work was partially supported by NSF-CAREER CNS-1652238 (PI Katherine E. Stange).

One difficulty of this question is the presence of the missing trefoil: to compute the linking number of our knots, you need to “fill the trefoil in”. However, if you don’t leave the space $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, there is no obvious way in which to do that. One partial fix is due to Duke, Imamoglu, and Tóth in 2017 ([DIT17]). In their paper, they used weight 2 cocycles to generalize the Rademacher function, and proved that this generalization gives the linking number of $k_A + k_{A^{-1}}$ with $k_B + k_{B^{-1}}$. The link $k_A + k_{A^{-1}}$ is null-homologous, which enabled them to do their computations without leaving $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. This generalized Rademacher function was later studied by Matsusaka in [Mat20].

As part of their paper, they noted that $\mathrm{link}(k_A + k_{A^{-1}}, k_B + k_{B^{-1}})$ could be interpreted as an intersection number of closed geodesics on the modular curve. This topic was studied further in [Ric21a], and then generalized to the case of geodesics on a Shimura curve in [Ric21b]. Since these results all deal with the links $k_A + k_{A^{-1}}$, they do not provide a full solution to Ghys’s original question. By harnessing the connection to Lorenz links, in Theorem 2.3 we give a combinatorial computation of $\mathrm{link}(k_A, k_B)$, settling the question. In Section 7, we compare this finer invariant to the symmetrized version of Duke et al., and give some data to illustrate the difference.

We should note that the linking number of Lorenz knots has been computed before. In [Ken94], Stephen F. Kennedy gives formulas for the linking numbers of Lorenz knots, as well as horseshoe knots. This formula involves the alphabetization of words, and the fact that the two formulas coincide does not appear to be trivial. For sake of comparison, we record his main result in Section 6.

2. MAIN RESULT

This paper will take us into the world of dynamical systems and Lorenz equations, so we will adapt their terminology.

Definition 2.1. A *Lorenz word* is any finite aperiodic word in the letters L, R , with length at least 2. A *single shift* of a Lorenz word W is the word obtained by taking the first letter and moving it to the end, and is denoted $s(W)$. A *cyclic shift* is the result of any number of single shifts. Two Lorenz words are said to be equivalent if they differ by a cyclic shift. A *Lorenz sequence* is a doubly infinite periodic sequence, formed by repeating a fixed Lorenz word in both directions. Denote the Lorenz sequence associated to a Lorenz word W by $\mathcal{S}(W)$.

Given a Lorenz word W , we can substitute in the following matrices for L and R and multiply out to produce a primitive hyperbolic matrix:

$$L := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote the matrix formed by $\mathrm{mat}(W)$. Given a primitive hyperbolic matrix A , define $\mathcal{L}(A)$ to be the set of Lorenz words W for which A is conjugate to $\mathrm{mat}(W)$ over $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. The following lemma is classical (and can be easily proven with the material in Section 3).

Lemma 2.2. *The set $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is non-empty, and consists of a single equivalence class of Lorenz words.*

The set $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is clearly constant across an conjugacy class of primitive hyperbolic matrices. Since A is hyperbolic, the equation $Ax = x$ has two real solutions, called the *roots* of A (where A acts by Möbius transformation). As we will describe in Remark 3.3, $\mathcal{L}(A)$ can be computed directly from the continued fraction representation of one of the roots of A .

Theorem 2.3. Let $A, B \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be primitive hyperbolic matrices that are not conjugate to each other. Let $W_A \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ and $W_B \in \mathcal{L}(B)$, and write $W_A = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$ and $W_B = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$. Then $-\text{link}(k_A, k_B)$ is equal to the number of triples of integers (i, j, x) such that:

- $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $x \geq 0$;
- $a_i = L$ and $b_j = R$;
- $a_{i+k} = b_{j+k}$ for all integers $1 \leq k \leq x-1$;
- $a_{i+x} = R$ and $b_{j+x} = L$;

where the indices are taken modulo the periods (m and n respective to a and b). In particular, $\text{link}(k_A, k_B)$ is always a negative integer.

Another interpretation of the combinatorial computation in Theorem 2.3 is we are computing (modulo the periods) occurrences of (possibly empty) words W' such that $LW'R$ appears in $\mathcal{S}(W_A)$ and $RW'L$ appears in $\mathcal{S}(W_B)$.

For an example of Theorem 2.3, consider the matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which correspond to words $W_A = RRLLRL$ and $W_B = LR$. In Figure 1, we depict the knots k_A and k_B in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the trefoil filled in, and can numerically compute that their linking number is -3 (using the standard convention that an overcrossing from left to right has sign $+1$).




FIGURE 1. k_A is in red and k_B is in blue. Image created with Matplotlib, [Hum07].

In view of Theorem 2.3, we pick up the three triples $(i, j, x) = (3, 2, 4), (4, 2, 0), (6, 2, 0)$, corresponding to

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\dots \mathbf{RR} \boxed{\mathbf{LLRLRR}} \mathbf{LLRL} \dots & \dots \mathbf{RRL} \boxed{\mathbf{LR}} \mathbf{LRLLRL} \dots & \dots \mathbf{RLLLR} \boxed{\mathbf{LR}} \mathbf{RLLRL} \dots \\
\dots \mathbf{L} \boxed{\mathbf{RLRLRL}} \mathbf{R} \dots & \dots \mathbf{L} \boxed{\mathbf{RL}} \mathbf{R} \dots & \dots \mathbf{L} \boxed{\mathbf{RL}} \mathbf{R} \dots
\end{array}$$

The strategy to prove Theorem 2.3 is to use Ghys’s result that modular links are isotopic to Lorenz links (top of page 272 of [Ghy07]). We then compute the linking number of Lorenz links corresponding to the given Lorenz words using Birman–Williams’ template theory, [BW83].

Remark 2.4. Theorem 4.1 of [BW83] proves that the linking number of Lorenz links is non-zero, which is a corollary of Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.5. The work of Birman–Williams (along with most other Lorenz knot papers) uses the opposite sign convention for the linking number, so that it is always positive. Since we framed the question in terms of the number theoretic picture, we will instead follow the convention used by Ghys and Duke–Imamoğlu–Tóth in [Ghy07] and [DIT17].

3. CONNECTION TO CONWAY’S TOPOGRAPH AND QUADRATIC FORMS

Despite the strong connection to Lorenz links, motivation for the formula of Theorem 2.3 came from the aforementioned works [DIT17] and [Ric21a]. Given a primitive hyperbolic $A \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, denote the geodesic connecting the two roots of A by ℓ_A . This descends to a closed geodesic $\tilde{\ell}_A$ on the modular curve, $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H}$. The unsigned intersection number of two closed geodesics ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , denoted $\mathrm{Int}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$, counts the number of transverse intersections of the (unoriented) curves.

Theorem 3.1 (Follows from Theorem 6.3 and Lemma 6.7 of [DIT17]). *Let $A, B \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be primitive, hyperbolic, and not conjugate to each other or each other’s inverse. Then*

$$\mathrm{link}(k_A + k_{A^{-1}}, k_B + k_{B^{-1}}) = -\mathrm{Int}(\tilde{\ell}_A, \tilde{\ell}_B).$$

While it’s not obvious how this intersection number can break up into a sum of four linking numbers, a natural decomposition appears when we consider the Conway topographs of the corresponding quadratic forms.

Given a primitive hyperbolic matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the equation $Ax = x$ translates to $cx^2 + (d - a)x - b = 0$. Let $g = \gcd(c, d - a, b)$, and the quadratic form associated to A is

$$q_A(x, y) := \frac{1}{g} (cx^2 + (d - a)xy - by^2) := \frac{1}{g} [c, d - a, -b].$$

This is a primitive integral indefinite binary quadratic form, and conjugacy classes of matrices correspond to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence classes of quadratic forms. Furthermore, this is a bijection, with the inverse map realized by taking the automorph of q_A (for more details, see Proposition 1.4 of [Sar82]).

The Conway topograph is a combinatorial object associated to a quadratic form (for a more comprehensive study of the topograph, see Chapter 4 of [Hat22], or Section 3 of [Ric21a]). The base object of the topograph is an infinite connected 3-regular graph embedded in $\mathbb{R}^2$. In particular, if we add an orientation to an edge, there is a well-defined notion of “left” and “right”. A path can therefore be represented by a word in L and R , denoting left and right respectively.

The topograph for $q(x, y)$ divides $\mathbb{R}^2$ into regions, and numbers can be placed in the regions and on the edges such that:

- Numbers in regions represent values properly represented by $q(x, y)$ (i.e. $q(x, y)$ when $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ are coprime);
- If an edge contains the number b and is adjacent to regions with numbers a, c , then $[a, \pm b, c]$ is a quadratic form similar to q . In fact, the entire equivalence class of forms similar to q arises in this fashion.
- By assigning a “positive direction” to each edge, we can determine if we need to take $+b$ or $-b$ in the form.

See Figure 2 for part of the topograph of $q(x, y) = 7x^2 + 9xy - 5y^2$.




FIGURE 2. Topograph of $[7, 9, -5]$. Red numbers are in regions, and black numbers are on edges. The direction of the arrow determines the sign of the edge numbers.

When q is indefinite, there is a doubly infinite path (called the *river*) that separates the positive and negative numbers placed in regions. The river is periodic with minimal period of length at least 2, and therefore can be represented as a Lorenz sequence.

Definition 3.2. Let $q(x, y)$ be a primitive integral indefinite binary quadratic form. A *river word* for q is a Lorenz word that represents the minimal period of the path taken by the river on the topograph of q . It has length at least 2, and is unique up to cyclic shift. Let $\text{Riv}(q)$ denote the set of river words of q

Remark 3.3 (Remark 3.5 of [Ric21a]). Let $q = [a, b, c]$ have discriminant D , and let the continued fraction of $\frac{-b+\sqrt{D}}{2a}$ (the first root of q) be

$$[a_0, a_1, \dots] = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_s, \overline{a_{s+1}, \dots, a_{s+p}}],$$

where s is the smallest integer such that the continued fraction is periodic after index s , and p is the smallest even integer such that the sequence has period p . Consider the sequence of 0's and 1's formed by:

- $s + 1 \pmod{2}$ repeated a_{s+1} times;
- $s + 2 \pmod{2}$ repeated a_{s+2} times;
- $\dots$
- $s + p \pmod{2}$ repeated a_{s+p} times.

If we replace the 0s with L s and 1s with R s, then the word formed is in $\text{Riv}(q)$. For example, the first root of $[7, 9, -5]$ is $\frac{-9+\sqrt{221}}{14}$, which has continued fraction $[0, \overline{2, 2, 1, 1}]$. This gives the river word $RRLRL$, which agrees with Figure 2: start on the left hand side at $[7, 9, -5]$, walk via $RRLRL$, and you end up at another river edge representing $[7, 9, -5]$.

When drawing the topograph of an indefinite form, the river is normally “flattened” with the positive numbers above the river.

Remark 3.4. Let $q = [a, b, c]$ be a “positive river form”, i.e. $a > 0$ and $c < 0$, and let W be the river word formed by starting at q . Then $\text{mat}(W)$ is the automorph of q , i.e. $\text{mat}(W) \circ q = q$.

The topograph machinery can also be used to give a nice proof of Lemma 2.2. If A is a primitive hyperbolic matrix, then $\text{Riv}(q_A) = \mathcal{L}(A)$.

To connect this back to Theorem 3.1, let $A, B \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be primitive and hyperbolic. Let T_A be the topograph associated to q_A , and let T_B be the topograph associated to q_B . By picking an oriented edge of each topograph, we can superimpose one on top of the other, so that a region has an associated ordered pair: the number from T_A , followed by the number from T_B . There are four possibilities for the signs of the numbers in this pair: $(+, +), (+, -), (-, +), (-, -)$, and it turns out that we get an intersection between $\tilde{\ell}_A$ and $\tilde{\ell}_B$ if all four combinations appear (see [Ric21a] for more details). This is equivalent to the rivers of the topographs starting off disjoint, meeting, and then crossing each other.

In particular, if we have “intersecting topographs”, then you can translate either topograph by the corresponding river word to get another pair of intersecting topographs. This equivalence gives rise to the same intersection point on the modular curve, and is the only way to produce the same point. Theorem 3.5 follows.

Theorem 3.5 (Theorem 5 of [Ric21a]). *Let $A, B \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ be primitive and hyperbolic, corresponding to respective topographs T_A and T_B . Then $\text{Int}(\tilde{\ell}_A, \tilde{\ell}_B)$ is equal to the number of ways to superimpose T_B on top of T_A so that the rivers meet and cross, modulo the periods of the rivers.*

Consider the combinatorics of Theorem 3.5: start with T_A , flattened so that the river R_A is horizontal. In order to meet and cross, the river R_B for T_B can meet R_A from either the left or the right hand side (i.e. top/bottom in terms of the picture). It can then flow in the same or the opposite direction, leading to 4 possibilities.

Definition 3.6. Let $\text{Int}^{\text{RS}}(A, B)$ denote the topograph intersection number where R_B joins R_A from the right hand side, and the rivers flow in the same direction. Call this the RS-intersection number.

The RS-intersection number is exactly the quantity we want.

Theorem 3.7. *The topograph RS-intersection number, $\text{Int}^{\text{RS}}(A, B)$, coincides with $-\text{link}(k_A, k_B)$.*

Before giving the proof, consider the example from Figure 1, i.e. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, corresponding to river words $RRLRL$ and LR . The topograph for A was displayed in Figure 2, and all 3 possible RS-intersections are shown in Figure 3.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Consider how to combinatorially compute Int^{RS} . Let R_A correspond to the word $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$, and let R_B correspond to the word $b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$. For R_B to join R_A from the right hand side, we must have a pair of indices (i, j) with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $a_i = L$ and $b_j = R$. Then the




FIGURE 3. RS-intersection of $RLLRL$ and LR . One and a half periods of the topograph of $RLLRL$ are shown in solid black, and the three RS-intersections with LR are in blue/red/green and dotted/dashed.

rivers flow in the same direction for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ steps, corresponding to $a_{i+k} = b_{j+k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq x-1$. Since R_B crosses R_A , we must exit with $a_{i+x} = R$ and $b_{j+x} = L$. In particular, this is exactly the same description as provided in Theorem 2.3, so it follows from the proof in Section 5. $\square$

Remark 3.8. On the topograph side, $\text{Int}^{\text{RS}}(A, B)$ is still defined when A and B are conjugate. On the modular curve side of things, this corresponds to transverse self-intersections of the geodesic. However, it is not entirely clear what the interpretation should be in terms of knot theory. If $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\text{Int}^{\text{RS}}(A, A) = 1$, whereas k_A is the unknot. Perhaps there is a natural framing of the modular knots so that $\text{Int}^{\text{RS}}(A, A)$ becomes the self-linking number.

4. LORENZ KNOTS

In an attempt to model atmospheric convection, meteorologist Edward Norton Lorenz came up with the following system of differential equations (where t represents time):

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = 10(y - x), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = 28x - y - xz, \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = xy - \frac{8}{3}z.$$

By picking an initial starting point, the ODE follows a path to determine a flow. See Figure 4 for an example.

In [Lor63], Lorenz proved that while paths must all eventually enter and remain in a bounded region, they are very susceptible to miniscule changes in the initial inputs. This observation led to the beginning of chaos theory.

We will study a different aspect of this theory, namely the knots formed as solutions to Lorenz's equations. A *Lorenz knot* is defined to be a closed periodic orbit of this ODE, and a *Lorenz link* is a set of Lorenz knots.

Lorenz knots/links can be studied with template theory, introduced by Birman and Williams in [BW83]. As seen in Figure 4, the solutions seem to form a “butterfly”: looping around one of two circles. This can be made precise with the *Lorenz template*, which is a branched surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a semi-flow that describes the behaviour of Lorenz knots. See Figure 5 for a depiction of the Lorenz template.

Remark 4.1. The basic tools to create the Lorenz template came from the work of Guckenheimer and Williams in [GW79], [Wil79]. The proof that the template actually corresponds to Lorenz's original equations is due to Tucker in [Tuc02].

Let the branch (the piece connecting the left and right circles) be $[0, 1]$. A path starting at $x \in (0, 1) - \{1/2\}$ will wind around the left (if $x < 1/2$) or the right (if $x > 1/2$) loop, ending back up at $f(x) \in (0, 1)$. Furthermore, we have the following properties:




FIGURE 4. A sample solution to the Lorenz system. Image created with Mathematica, [Inc22].




FIGURE 5. The Lorenz template.

- $f(x) \neq x$ for all $x \in (0, 1) - \{1/2\}$;
- f restricted to $(0, 1/2)$ is a continuous increasing bijection with $(0, 1)$;
- f restricted to $(1/2, 1)$ is a continuous increasing bijection with $(0, 1)$;
- flows corresponding to x and x' with $0 < x < x' < 1/2$ (or $1/2 < x < x' < 1$) do not cross;
- flows coming from the left loop meet the branch above flows coming from the right loop (denoted by dotted lines in the figure).

In fact (see Section 2.4 of [BW83]), the function $f(x) = 2x \pmod{1}$ will model the Lorenz template.

Remark 4.2. In Figure 4, the right hand side of the orbits come back to the branch in front of the left hand side orbits. This is opposite to what is done in the Lorenz template, Figure 5. I'm not certain of why this happens, but it is the convention used in [BW83] and [Ghy07], so we will follow it. In any case, the only side affect is a potential difference in sign.

Since a Lorenz knot is a periodic closed flow, we can associate a word to it via the sequence of L 's and R 's it follows on the template.

Definition 4.3. The *Lorenz word* of a Lorenz knot is the sequence of L 's and R 's that the knot visits as it travels the template over one period.

It is clear that the Lorenz word is only defined up to cyclic shifts. Note the similarity between the Lorenz word of a Lorenz knot and the Lorenz word of a primitive hyperbolic matrix! By the remarkable work of Ghys in [Ghy07], these two worlds are the same.

Theorem 4.4 (Ghys, 2006). *Consider a modular link corresponding to distinct Lorenz words $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n$. This coincides (knot-theoretically) with the Lorenz link corresponding to Lorenz words $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n$.*

In particular, to prove Theorem 2.3, it suffices to prove it for Lorenz links.

5. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

To compute $\text{link}(k_A, k_B)$, by Theorem 4.4, it suffices to compute the linking number of the corresponding knots on the Lorenz template. Let these knots be k'_1 and k'_2 respectively. The linking number is then the number of times k'_2 crosses under k'_1 , and accounting for sign: $+1$ from right to left, and -1 from left to right.

Let $W_1 = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$ and $W_2 = b_1 b_2 \dots b_n$ be the distinct Lorenz words corresponding to A and B respectively. For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, let K_i be the part of the k'_1 corresponding to a_i on the template, and say that it leaves from $x_i \in (0, 1)$ (and therefore flows to x_{i+1} , with indices taken modulo m). Similarly, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, let L_j be the part of k'_2 on the template corresponding to b_j , and say that it leaves from $y_j \in (0, 1)$. To compute $\text{link}(W_1, W_2)$, it suffices to compute $\text{link}(K_i, L_j)$ for each pair (i, j) with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$ and add them up.

Case 1: $a_i = b_j$. In this case, both knots flow around the same side of the template, not intersecting each other, and return to the branch in the same order as they started. See Figure 6 for a depiction of the situation. This contributes nothing to the linking number.




FIGURE 6. Flowing around the same side of the template.

Case 2: $a_i = L$ and $b_j = R$. The knots flow around opposite loops, and may or may not cross as they return.

- If they do not cross, then we must have $x_{i+1} < y_{i+1}$. Therefore we must have either $a_{i+1} = b_{j+1}$, or $a_{i+1} = L$ and $b_{j+1} = R$. In the first case, the loops will flow around the corresponding side, and again retain their ordering. Therefore we again have $a_{i+2} = b_{j+2}$ or $a_{i+2} = L$ and $b_{j+2} = R$. This process continues, until eventually the knots take opposite sides (after at most mn iterations), which must be L for a_{i+k} and R for b_{j+k} .

To sum up this sub-case, if they do not cross, then there is some word X such that the Lorenz words are LXL and RXR starting at (a_i, b_j) .

- If they do cross, then we have $y_{i+1} < x_{i+1}$. The sign of crossing is also -1 , since the left branch comes in on top of the right branch. The analysis of the previous case holds, except now when the knots separate, we must have $a_{i+k} = R$ and $b_{i+k} = L$. In other words, there is some X such that the Lorenz words are LXR and RXL starting at (a_i, b_j) .

Since the conditions found in the two sub-cases are disjoint and cover every possibility, they are if and only if. In particular, occurrences of LXR and RXL contribute -1 to the linking.

Case 3: $a_i = R$ and $b_j = L$. This is identical to case 2, except now a crossing corresponds to k'_1 coming in underneath k'_2 , which we do not count.

Theorem 2.3 follows.

6. COMPARISON TO KENNEDY'S FORMULA

In [Ken94], Kennedy provides another computation of $\text{link}(W_1, W_2)$, where W_1 and W_2 are two Lorenz words (that are not equivalent under cyclic shift).

Definition 6.1. Let σ be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Define the crossing count of σ to be $\sum_{i=1}^n |\sigma(i) - i|$.

For $i = 1, 2$, let W_i have period n_i , and write out the following words in order (where s represents a single shift):

$$W_1, s(W_1), s^2(W_1), \dots, s^{n_1-1}(W_1), W_2, s(W_2), \dots, s^{n_2-1}(W_2).$$

Let σ_1 denote the permutation that alphabetizes the first n_1 words, let σ_2 denote the permutation that alphabetizes the second n_2 words, and let σ_3 denote the permutation that alphabetizes all $n_1 + n_2$ words.

Theorem 6.2 (Theorem 1 of [Ken94]). *The linking number of the Lorenz knots with words W_1 and W_2 is*

$$\frac{1}{4} (C(\sigma_1) + C(\sigma_2) - C(\sigma_3)).$$

For example, consider our example of $W_1 = RRLLRL$ and $W_2 = LR$, as examined in Figures 1 and 3. The alphabetizations are in Table 1.

Therefore $\sigma_1 = (6, 4, 1, 2, 5, 3)$, $\sigma_2 = (1, 2)$, and $\sigma_3 = (8, 6, 1, 3, 7, 4, 2, 5)$. This gives

$$C(\sigma_1) = |6 - 1| + |4 - 2| + |1 - 3| + |2 - 4| + |5 - 5| + |3 - 6| = 14$$

$$C(\sigma_2) = |1 - 1| + |2 - 2| = 0$$

$$C(\sigma_3) = |8 - 1| + |6 - 2| + |1 - 3| + |3 - 4| + |7 - 5| + |4 - 6| + |2 - 7| + |5 - 8| = 26,$$

hence

$$\text{link}(W_1, W_2) = \frac{1}{4} (14 + 0 - 26) = -3,$$

TABLE 1. Alphabetization of $RRLRL$ and LR .

n	Word	Joint order	Individual order
1	$RRLRL$	8	6
2	$RLLRLR$	6	4
3	$LLRLRR$	1	1
4	$LRLRRL$	3	2
5	$RLRRL$	7	5
6	$LRRLLR$	4	3
7	LR	2	1
8	RL	5	2

which agrees with our computation. A direct combinatorial proof that the counts in Theorems 6.2 and 2.3 are equal does not appear to be obvious.

7. COMPARISON TO DUKE-IMAMOĞLU-TÓTH'S SYMMETRIZED LINKING NUMBER

In certain cases, $\text{link}(k_A, k_B)$ can be deduced from $\text{link}(k_A + k_{A^{-1}}, k_B + k_{B^{-1}})$, which was computed in [DIT17] and [Ric21a].

Definition 7.1. A primitive hyperbolic matrix $A \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is called *reciprocal* if A is conjugate to A^{-1} .

The matrix A is reciprocal if and only if the corresponding quadratic form q_A is reciprocal, i.e. q_A is $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -equivalent to $-q_A$. Furthermore, if W is the Lorenz word associated to A , then A is reciprocal if and only if when you write W backwards and swap L 's and R 's, you end up with a cyclic shift of W . For example, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 7 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$ (from the example in Figure 1) is reciprocal, since it corresponds to the Lorenz word $W = RRLRL$. Writing this word backwards and swapping R 's and L 's gives $RLRRL$, which is a cyclic shift of W by 4 places.

Using Theorem 2.3 (see Proposition 3.8 of [Ric21a] and the surrounding commentary for more details) we can prove the following result.

Proposition 7.2. *Let A or B be reciprocal. Then*

$$\text{link}(k_A, k_B) = \frac{1}{4} \text{link}(k_A + k_{A^{-1}}, k_B + k_{B^{-1}}).$$

In particular, if either A or B is reciprocal, then $\text{link}(k_A, k_B)$ can be deduced from the symmetrized linking number. If both A and B are not reciprocal, then this is no longer true, and we obtain new information.

For example, consider the non-reciprocal matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 14 & 9 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 19 & 7 \\ 8 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} 28 & 9 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which correspond to the Lorenz words

$$W_A = LLR, \quad W_B = LLLLRLR, \quad W_C = LLRRLRR, \quad W_D = LLLLLLLLLRRR.$$

Table 2 gives the corresponding linking numbers, and it can be observed that Proposition 7.2 does not necessarily hold when neither matrix is reciprocal.

TABLE 2. Linking number comparison.

X, Y	$\text{link}(k_X, k_Y)$	$\text{link}(k_X, k_{Y-1})$	$\text{link}(k_X + k_{X-1}, k_Y + k_{Y-1})$
A, B	4	2	12
A, C	3	4	14
A, D	3	3	12
B, C	6	8	28
B, D	7	5	24
C, D	7	7	28

REFERENCES

- [Ati87] Michael Atiyah. The logarithm of the Dedekind η -function. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):335–380, 1987.
- [BW83] Joan S. Birman and R. F. Williams. Knotted periodic orbits in dynamical systems. I. Lorenz’s equations. *Topology*, 22(1):47–82, 1983.
- [DIT17] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoglu, and Á. Tóth. Modular cocycles and linking numbers. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(6):1179–1210, 2017.
- [Ghy07] Étienne Ghys. Knots and dynamics. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. I*, pages 247–277. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007.
- [GL06] Étienne Ghys and Jos Leys. Lorenz and modular flows: a visual introduction. <http://www.ams.org/publicoutreach/feature-column/fcarc-lorenz>, 2006. AMS feature column. Accessed 16 December 2022.
- [GW79] John Guckenheimer and R. F. Williams. Structural stability of Lorenz attractors. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (50):59–72, 1979.
- [Hat22] Allen Hatcher. *Topology of Numbers*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2022.
- [Hun07] J. D. Hunter. Matplotlib: A 2d graphics environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9(3):90–95, 2007.
- [Inc22] Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.1, 2022.
- [Ken94] Stephen F. Kennedy. Algorithms for the linking numbers of Lorenz and horseshoe knots. *Houston J. Math.*, 20(4):705–712, 1994.
- [Lor63] Edward N. Lorenz. Deterministic nonperiodic flow. *J. Atmospheric Sci.*, 20(2):130–141, 1963.
- [Mat20] Toshiaki Matsusaka. A Hyperbolic Analogue of the Rademacher Symbol. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.12354>, 2020.
- [Mil71] John Milnor. *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72.
- [Ric21a] James Rickards. Computing intersections of closed geodesics on the modular curve. *J. Number Theory*, 225:374–408, 2021.
- [Ric21b] James Rickards. Counting intersection numbers of closed geodesics on Shimura curves. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01968>, 2021.
- [Sar82] Peter Sarnak. Class numbers of indefinite binary quadratic forms. *J. Number Theory*, 15(2):229–247, 1982.
- [Sim22a] Christopher-Lloyd Simon. *Arithmetic and Topology of Modular knots*. PhD thesis, Université de Lille, 2022.
- [Sim22b] Christopher-Lloyd Simon. Linking numbers of modular knots. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.05957>, 2022.
- [Tuc02] Warwick Tucker. A rigorous ODE solver and Smale’s 14th problem. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 2(1):53–117, 2002.
- [Wil79] R. F. Williams. The structure of Lorenz attractors. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (50):73–99, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO BOULDER, BOULDER, COLORADO, USA

Email address: james.rickards@colorado.edu

URL: <https://math.colorado.edu/~jari2770/>