

Holographic inflation in $f(R, T)$ gravity and observational constraints

S. Taghavi, Kh. Saaidi,* Z. Ossouliau, and Tayeb Golanbari†

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran.

(Dated: March 7, 2023)

We study the possibility of having HDE as the source of inflation in the frame of $f(R, T)$ gravity theory. The length scale of the energy density is taken as the GO cutoff, which is a combination of the Hubble parameter and its time derivative. It is found that for a specific range of the free parameters of the model, the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio stand in good agreement with the data. Also, by reconstructing the potential in terms of the scalar field, the validity of the swampland criteria is considered. The results indicate that to have a scalar field below the Planck mass, the parameter λ should be at least of the order of $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$. The same order of λ is also required to keep the gradient of the potential greater than one and satisfy the second conjecture of the swampland criteria.

I. INTRODUCTION

The modified versions of Einstein's gravity have received tremendous attention and have been used for studying different cosmological and astrophysical phenomena. One of these modified gravity theories is $f(R, T)$ gravity which was introduced in [1], where R is the Ricci scalar and T is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. The theory replaces the Ricci scalar in Einstein-Hilbert action with an arbitrary function of R and T , indicating a non-minimal coupling between the geometric and matter parts. Different astrophysical [2, 3], and cosmological topics including dark energy [4], dark matter [5], wormholes [6], gravitational waves [7], inflation [8–10], have been studied in the frame of $f(R, T)$ gravity.

One of the challenges in cosmological studies is understanding the true nature of dark energy. It is assumed to be the main reason for having an accelerated expansion phase for the current universe, which is supported by much data. [11–16]. Different candidates for dark energy have been introduced such as cosmological constant, quintessence, tachyon, and so on (refer to [17] for a review of different models of dark energy). Another candidate is holographic dark energy (HDE) which recently becomes one of the most favorite ones [18–20]. The idea of HDE stands on the holographic principle [21] which states that the number of degrees of freedom of a physical system is scaled with its bounding area rather than its volume [21–24]. It was first put forth by Cohen and colleagues [25] that in quantum field theory, due to the limit set by the formation of black holes, a short distant cutoff is related to a long distant cutoff. It suggests that the total energy in a region of size L should not exceed the mass of a black hole of the same size. Taking this assumption, the HDE density was introduced as $\rho = 3c^2 M_p^2 / L^2$ [20], where $M_p = 8\pi G$ is the reduced Planck mass and c^2 is a dimensionless positive constant. The infrared cutoff length L , which is our main concern in HDE, is usually selected as Hubble length, particle horizon, future event horizon, Ricci scalar curvature, and GO^1 cutoff [26, 27]. The HDE mostly has been used for studying the late time evolution of the universe as a possible reason for the current accelerated expansion [20, 28–33]. However, recently, the application of HDE as the origin of the early expansion of the universe, i.e. as a source of inflation, is rising [34–39].

The universe is assumed to go through another phase of accelerated expansion at very early times, known as the inflationary phase. The scenario has received a tremendous amount of interest and has been modified on different levels [40–75]. In a common procedure, it is driven by a scalar field that stands on top of its potential and slowly rolls down toward the minimum of the potential [76–83]. Due to the slowly varying, the scalar field gets a kinetic energy density that is ignorable compared to the potential energy density. The scenario has been investigated for different types of the potential, mostly inspired by particle physics, in different gravity frames including $f(R, T)$ gravity [8–10, 84, 85]. A different approach, which has received interest recently, is to assume that the dark energy which drives inflation is HDE [34–39]. Different types of entropies with different length scales were studied in this matter however, up to our knowledge, no such formalism has been considered in $f(R, T)$ gravity theory. Here, we are going to consider the HDE inflation in the frame of $f(R, T)$ gravity theory. In this regard, the energy density is assumed to originate from Tsallis entropy [86–90] addressed as Tsallis HDE² (THDE). Since, during the slow-roll

* ksaaidi@uok.ac.ir

† t.golanbari@uok.ac.ir

¹ stand for Granda and Oliveros

² It was shown by Tsallis and Cirto [91] that the entropy of a black hole should be generalized to $S = \gamma A^\delta$ where A stands for the area of the black hole, δ is the Tsallis parameter (or nonextensive parameter), and γ is an unknown parameter. Besides, the quantum gravity suggests a power-law function of the entropy inspired by Tsallis entropy [92, 93]

inflation, the total energy density is approximated by the potential term, we take the potential as the THDE with GO cutoff as the length scale. Then, the potential is determined in terms of the Hubble parameter instead of the usual approach where it is specified in terms of the scalar field. Applying the observational data and Python coding, the ranges of the free parameters of the model are determined. Using the result, the potential in terms of the scalar field is reconstructed, and we find our way to examine the validity of the swampland criteria³.

The paper is organized as follows: the $f(R, T)$ gravity and its main dynamical equations will be presented in Sec.II in brief. In Sec.III, the scenario of the slow-roll inflation is introduced, and by applying the slow-roll approximations, the dynamical equations get simplified. Then, in Sec.IV, we take the potential equal to a THDE density with GO cutoff as the length scale. The perturbation parameters are estimated and by comparing with data, the free parameters of the model are determined. Then, in Sec.V, the potential is reconstructed versus the scalar field and the validity of the swampland criteria is studied. Finally, the results are summarized in Sec.VI.

II. $f(R, T)$ GRAVITY THEORY

The $f(R, T)$ gravity is a modified theory of gravity where the Ricci scalar, R , in the Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by an arbitrary function of R and T , where it is the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. The general form of the action is given by [1]

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left(f(R, T) + \mathcal{L}_m \right) \quad (1)$$

where $f(R, T)$ is an arbitrary function of R and T , the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is given by g , the Lagrangian of the matter fields is indicated by \mathcal{L}_m , and κ is related to the Newtonian gravitational constant as $\kappa^2 = 8\pi G$. The field equation of the theory is obtained by taking the variation of the above action with respect to the metric, which leads to [1]

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \right) f_{,R}(R, T) + f_{,R} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} f(R, T) = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu} - f_{,T}(R, T) (T_{\mu\nu} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}), \quad (2)$$

where the tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is defined as

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\delta T_{\alpha\beta}}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}. \quad (3)$$

In the case of a perfect fluid with energy density ρ , pressure p , and four velocity u_μ , the energy-momentum tensor is read as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho + p) u_\mu u_\nu - p g_{\mu\nu} \quad (4)$$

and the tensor $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ is obtained from the definition (3) as

$$\Theta = -T_{\mu\nu} - p g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (5)$$

Assuming a spatially flat FLRW metric and by taking $f(R, T) = R + \kappa^2 \lambda T$, where λ is a constant, the Friedmann equations are acquired as

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} \left(\left(\frac{3}{2} \lambda + 1 \right) \rho - \frac{\lambda}{2} p \right), \quad (6)$$

$$-3H^2 - 2\dot{H} = \kappa^2 \left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \rho + \left(\frac{3}{2} \lambda + 1 \right) p \right), \quad (7)$$

Substituting Eq.(6) in (7), one arrives at

$$-2\dot{H} = \kappa^2 (1 + \lambda) (\rho + p). \quad (8)$$

³ The swampland criteria, proposed in [94–96], is originated from string theory, and it is a mechanism to separate the consistent low-energy effective field theory (EFT) from the inconsistent ones. Since inflation occurs at a low-energy scale, then, it is expected to be described by a consistent low-energy EFT.

The conservation equation is achieved by taking the time derivative of Eq.(6) and using Eq.(8) as

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) = -\frac{3\lambda}{2} \dot{\rho} + -\frac{\lambda}{2} \dot{p} - 3H\lambda(\rho + p) \quad (9)$$

It is seen that the conservation equation is modified due to the term λT that implies a non-minimal coupling between matter and curvature. However, it comes back to the standard conservation equation by putting $\lambda = 0$.

III. SLOW-ROLL INFLATION

Inflation is usually provided by an scalar field, known as inflaton, which is the dominant component of the universe at the time. The Lagrangian of the scalar field is read as

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi), \quad (10)$$

where $V(\phi)$ is the potential of the scalar field. The energy density and pressure of the scalar field is given by

$$\rho_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \quad (11)$$

$$p_\phi = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi). \quad (12)$$

By substituting above energy density and pressure in the Friedmann equations (6) and (8), one has

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 + \lambda) \dot{\phi}^2 + (1 + 2\lambda) V(\phi) \right) \quad (13)$$

$$-2\dot{H} = \kappa^2 (1 + \lambda) \dot{\phi}^2. \quad (14)$$

Also, the equation of motion of the scalar field takes the following form

$$(1 + \lambda) \ddot{\phi} + 3H(1 + \lambda) \dot{\phi} + (1 + 2\lambda)V'(\phi) = 0, \quad (15)$$

which is obtained by inserting Eq.(11) in Eq.(9).

The conditions required for the slow-roll inflation are

$$|\dot{H}| \ll H^2, \quad \dot{\phi}^2 \ll V(\phi), \quad |\ddot{\phi}| \ll |H\dot{\phi}|$$

which is known as the slow-roll conditions. Applying the conditions on the Friedmann equations above, leads one to

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} (1 + 2\lambda) V(\phi), \quad (16)$$

$$-2\dot{H} = \kappa^2 (1 + \lambda) \dot{\phi}^2, \quad (17)$$

$$3H\dot{\phi} = \frac{1 + 2\lambda}{1 + \lambda} V'(\phi). \quad (18)$$

The slow-roll conditions are encoded in the slow-roll parameters. The first slow-roll parameter states that the rate of the Hubble parameter during a Hubble time will be small, i.e. $\epsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2$. This condition provides a quasi-de Sitter expansion. A common approach to introduce other slow-roll parameters are through a hierarchy, namely $\epsilon_{n+1} = \dot{\epsilon}_n/H\epsilon$ for $n \geq 1$. To proceed further, it is required to determine the potential in terms of the scalar field. There are different types of potential used to study the scenario. Chaotic potential, Hilltop potential, and natural potential could be addressed as some of these potentials.

In the next section, we are going to follow a different path and propose a suitable function in terms of the Hubble parameter as the potential.

IV. THDE AS THE POTENTIAL

The early accelerated expansion of the universe is assumed to be provided by a dynamical scalar field, which is also a dark energy candidate. The scalar field is taken as the dominant component of the universe which governs evolution. On the other hand, the kinetic energy of the scalar field could be ignored compared to the potential part and the energy density of the scalar field is approximated by the potential part, i.e. $\rho_\phi \approx V(\phi)$. Different types of potential in terms of the scalar field have been introduced and studied in the literature. Another competent dark energy candidate is HDE. After the prosperous application of HDE for the late time evolution of the universe, recently there has been raising interest in taking it as the source of inflation. Here, we are going to change our perspective a little and take it as the potential of the scalar field, which is the main part of the energy density during inflationary times.

The shape of the HDE depends on the entropy of the system which based on the holographic principle is scaled with the area rather than the volume[21–24]. Including the quantum correction, the standard entropy is modified in different ways. One of the modifications is achieved by following this argument that the thermodynamics of the gravitational and cosmological systems must be modified to the non-additive entropy. Based on this, Tsallis and Citro obtained that the entropy of the black hole should be altered to $S = \gamma A^\delta$. The resulted HDE density from the entropy is $\rho = Bc^2 L^{2\delta-4}$ where $B \equiv \gamma(4\pi)^\gamma$ and L is the infrared cutoff. One of the candidates for the cutoff is GO cutoff which contains the time derivative of the Hubble parameter in addition to the square of the Hubble parameter, i.e. $L^{-2} = \alpha H^2 + \beta \dot{H}$.

Following the above approach and taking the HDE density as the potential of the scalar field, the Friedmann equation (16) is rewritten as

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{3} (1 + 2\lambda) Bc^2 (\alpha H^2 + \beta \dot{H})^{2-\delta}. \quad (19)$$

Working with the equation, one finds the term \dot{H}/H^2 , which is also the definition of the first slow-roll parameter. Then, we have

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{-\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha - AH^\xi) \quad (20)$$

where the defined constants A and ξ respectively are given as

$$\xi \equiv \frac{2\delta - 2}{2 - \delta}, \quad A \equiv \left(\frac{3M_p^2}{Bc^2(1 + 2\lambda)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2-\delta}}.$$

To introduce the second slow-roll parameter, the hierarchy procedure is used. Then, the parameter is given by

$$\epsilon_2 = \frac{\dot{\epsilon}_1}{H\epsilon_1} = \frac{\xi}{\beta} AH^\xi. \quad (21)$$

Solving the problems of the hot big bang theory requires having enough amount of inflation. which is measured by the number of e-folds, defined as

$$N = \int_{t_\star}^{t_e} H dt = \int_{H_\star}^{H_e} \frac{H}{\dot{H}} dt, \quad (22)$$

where the subscribes "e" and " \star " respectively indicate that the parameters are estimated at the end of inflation and the horizon crossing time. Solving the integral and after some manipulation, the Hubble parameter at the time of horizon crossing is obtained as

$$AH_\star^\xi = \frac{\alpha(\alpha - \beta) e^{\frac{\alpha\xi N}{\beta}}}{\beta + (\alpha - \beta) e^{\frac{\alpha\xi N}{\beta}}}. \quad (23)$$

Utilizing the H_\star , the parameters could be estimated at the time of horizon crossing and it will allow us to compare the model with data. In this regard, we first need to acquire the perturbation parameters.




FIG. 1. The scalar spectral index is plotted versus the parameters α and β .

α	β	n_s	r
0.2500	-15.0	0.9608	0.0595
0.1500	-10.0	0.9619	0.0662
0.0800	-5.0	0.9613	0.0621
0.0220	-1.5	0.9622	0.0676
0.0078	-0.5	0.9616	0.0637
0.0055	-0.3	0.9596	0.0533
0.0016	-0.1	0.9613	0.0621

TABLE I. The result for the scalar spectral index and tensor-to-scalar ratio for different values of α and β taken from Fig.2 and number of e-folds $N = 65$.

Following [8–10], the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are read as

$$n_s = 1 - (2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) = 1 - \frac{2\alpha}{\beta} - \frac{\xi - 2}{\beta} AH^\xi, \quad (24)$$

$$r = \frac{16}{1 + \lambda} \epsilon_1 = \frac{16}{\beta(1 + \lambda)} (\alpha - AH^\xi), \quad (25)$$

which are related to the slow-roll parameters. Applying Eq.(23), the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio are computed at the time of horizon crossing.

Fig.1 illustrates a three-dimensional plot of the scalar spectral index versus α and β . It is realized that when the parameter β decreases there is a wider range of the parameter α which takes n_s into the data range. The plot gives some idea about the approximate values of the parameters α and β which we will use later for comparing the model with data.

By getting some insight from the above figure, the tensor-to-scalar ratio is plotted versus the scalar spectral index for different values of β , in Fig.IV, and δ , as in Fig.IV. The variable parameter in both plots is α and the arrow in the plots shows the increased direction of α .

To enhance our understanding of the free parameters, Fig.2 portrays a parametric space of (α, β) so that for every point in the space, the result about the scalar spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio stand in good agreement with data. Table.I displays the results of the model for some selected (α, β) points from Fig.2.




FIG. 2. The parametric space for the (α, β) when $\delta = -1.5$, $\lambda = 5.5$ and the number of e-folds is $N = 65$.

V. SCALAR FIELD, POTENTIAL AND SWAMPLAND CRITERIA

The THDE was introduced as the potential of the scalar field where it is given in terms of the Hubble parameter. Following the equations, the kinetic terms also could be expressed versus the Hubble parameter, so that

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = \frac{-2M_p^2}{(1+\lambda)} \dot{H}. \quad (26)$$

Fig.3 describes the behavior of the potential-kinetic ratio during the inflationary times for different values of the parameter λ . The ratio decreases by the enhancement of the parameter λ . It is realized that the potential is the dominant part and it is greater than the kinetic term by the order of $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$.

Taking the integral of the above equation, the scalar field is obtained. Then, by combining the definition of the potential and using a parametric plot, the potential is illustrated in terms of the scalar field. The result is depicted in Fig.4 for different values of the parameter λ . At the onset, the scalar field stands on the top of the potential, and with passing the time, it increases and rolls down. The potential magnitude reduces by enhancement of the parameter λ .

Besides the observational constraints which we used in the previous section to determine the free parameters of the model, some theoretical constraints are our interest to be satisfied by an inflationary model. One of these constraints is the swampland criteria proposed by [94–96]. Since then, the validity of the conjectures has been studied for inflationary models and it is realized that the requirement for satisfying the swampland criteria rules out some inflationary models, however, there is still room for some other [97–106]. It contains two conjectures, originating from string theory, to distinguish the consistent low-energy EFT from the inconsistent ones. The conjectures are

- Distant conjecture: The scalar field excursion in the field space should satisfy the following upper bound

$$\frac{\Delta\phi}{M_p} \leq c_1, \quad (27)$$




FIG. 3. The ratio of the potential over the kinetic term, i.e. $V(\phi)/\dot{\phi}^2$ for different values of λ .




FIG. 4. The potential is plotted versus the scalar field for different values of the parameter λ .

where c_1 is a constant of the order of one [94–96].

- de Sitter conjecture: The conjecture is targeting the gradient of the potential and states that it should comply with the following condition [94, 96]

$$\frac{1}{M_p} \frac{|V'|}{V} \geq c_2 \quad (28)$$

where c_2 is a constant of the order of one. However, some considerations imply that it could be smaller in the order of $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ [96, 97]. In the refined version of the conjecture, one of the following conditions should be satisfied [95, 96]

$$\frac{1}{M_p} \frac{|V'|}{V} \geq c_2, \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{M_p} \frac{|V''|}{V} \geq -c'_2 \quad (29)$$




FIG. 5. The behavior of the term V'/V in the inflationary times is plotted for different values λ .

λ	α	β	ES	$ \Delta\phi $	V'/V
90	0.0220	-1.5	1.84×10^{-3}	1.507	0.877
90	0.0078	-0.5	1.81×10^{-3}	1.493	0.851
90	0.0055	-0.3	1.73×10^{-3}	1.454	0.779
300	0.0220	-1.5	1.36×10^{-3}	0.828	1.595
300	0.0078	-0.5	1.34×10^{-3}	0.821	1.548
300	0.0055	-0.3	1.28×10^{-3}	0.799	1.416
500	0.0220	-1.5	1.20×10^{-3}	0.642	2.058
500	0.0078	-0.5	1.18×10^{-3}	0.636	1.997
500	0.0055	-0.3	1.13×10^{-3}	0.619	1.827

TABLE II. The table presents some results about the energy scale of inflation, and two conjecture of the swampland criteria for different values of α and β , selected from Fig.2, and λ . The other constant are picked as $\delta = -2.5$ and $N = 65$.

The first conjecture could be checked out from the Fig.4 which describes the behavior of the potential versus the scalar field during the inflationary times. It is realized that field excursion decreases by increasing the parameter λ so that for $\lambda \gtrsim 10^2$ it will be smaller than one. Then, the model could satisfy the first conjecture.

To consider the validity of the second conjecture, the behavior of the term V'/V is plotted versus the number of e-folds in Fig.5 for different values of the parameter λ . At the beginning, the term could be bigger than one and it also gets larger for higher values of λ . Besides, the term in general increases by approaching to the end of inflation. Therefore, the second criterion is also satisfied by the model. For both conjectures, the parameter λ plays an important role and due to this parameter the model could satisfy swampland criteria. The results concerning the energy scale (ES) of inflation and two conjectures of the swampland criteria are presented in Table.II for different values of α and β picked from Fig.2. It is found that the energy scale of inflation is of the order of $10^{-3}M_p$ stating that inflation occurs at an energy scale below the Planck scale. Moreover, the role of the parameter λ is clarified when we are considering the validity of the swampland criteria. It is realized that for values of $\lambda \lesssim 300$, the field distance becomes larger than one and the potential gradient less than one. Then, to guarantee the validity of swampland criteria, the parameter λ is required to approximately be $\lambda \gtrsim 300$.

VI. CONCLUSION

$f(R, T)$ gravity theory, known as an alternative theory of gravity where matter and curvature have a non-minimal coupling, was utilized to study the scenario of slow-roll inflation. The common procedure in studying inflation is to assume that it is driven by a scalar field that stands first at the top of its potential, and then slowly rolls down. Due to the slow rolling, the kinetic energy of the scalar field is ignorable compared to the potential part, and a quasi-de

Sitter expansion is provided. The potential plays an important role in inflationary times, however, there is no specific choice for it. Then, different choices for the potential have been considered and some of them provide an agreement between the model and data.

Instead of introducing a function of the scalar field for the potential, here, the potential was assumed to be described by HDE built from Tsallis entropy. The IR cutoff was taken as the GO cutoff which in addition to the square of the Hubble parameter contains the time derivative of the parameter as well. Following this proposal, the perturbation parameters were obtained at the time of the horizon crossing. Comparing the model with data, the free parameters of the model were determined and we could illustrate a range for the free parameter so that for every point within the range, the model completely agrees with the data.

Then, we tried to reconstruct the potential in terms of the scalar field. It was found that the field range and the magnitude of the potential during inflation depend on the value of λ so that for smaller λ there is a wider field range and higher values for the potential. Finally, the validity of the swampland criteria was investigated. The result clarified that to satisfy both conjectures the parameter λ could at least be of the order of $\mathcal{O}(10^2)$.

-
- [1] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, $f(R, T)$ gravity, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024020 (2011), arXiv:1104.2669 [gr-qc].
 - [2] J. M. Z. Pretel, S. E. Jorás, R. R. R. Reis, and J. D. V. Arbañil, Radial oscillations and stability of compact stars in $f(R, T) = R + 2\beta T$ gravity, JCAP **04**, 064, arXiv:2012.03342 [gr-qc].
 - [3] J. M. Z. Pretel, Moment of inertia of slowly rotating anisotropic neutron stars in $f(R, T)$ gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **37**, 2250188 (2022), arXiv:2301.02881 [gr-qc].
 - [4] M. Z.-U.-H. Bhatti and Z. Yousaf, Dynamical variables and evolution of the universe, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **26**, 1750029 (2016).
 - [5] R. Zaregonbadi, M. Farhoudi, and N. Riazi, Dark Matter From $f(R, T)$ Gravity, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 084052 (2016), arXiv:1608.00469 [gr-qc].
 - [6] P. H. R. S. Moraes and P. K. Sahoo, Wormholes in exponential $f(R, T)$ gravity, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 677 (2019), arXiv:1903.03421 [gr-qc].
 - [7] M. E. S. Alves, P. H. R. S. Moraes, J. C. N. de Araujo, and M. Malheiro, Gravitational waves in $f(R, T)$ and $f(R, T^\phi)$ theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 024032 (2016), arXiv:1604.03874 [gr-qc].
 - [8] S. Bhattacharjee, J. R. L. Santos, P. H. R. S. Moraes, and P. K. Sahoo, Inflation in $f(R, T)$ gravity, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135**, 576 (2020), arXiv:2006.04336 [gr-qc].
 - [9] M. Gamonal, Slow-roll inflation in $f(R, T)$ gravity and a modified Starobinsky-like inflationary model, Phys. Dark Univ. **31**, 100768 (2021), arXiv:2010.03861 [gr-qc].
 - [10] P. Sarkar, Ashmita, and P. K. Das, Inflationary Cosmology in a non-minimal $f(R, T)$ gravity theory using a RT mixing term, (2022), arXiv:2212.01782 [gr-qc].
 - [11] A. G. Riess *et al.* (Supernova Search Team), Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant, Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998), arXiv:astro-ph/9805201.
 - [12] S. Perlmutter *et al.* (Supernova Cosmology Project), Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high redshift supernovae, Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999), arXiv:astro-ph/9812133.
 - [13] P. de Bernardis *et al.* (Boomerang), A Flat universe from high resolution maps of the cosmic microwave background radiation, Nature **404**, 955 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0004404.
 - [14] S. Hanany *et al.*, MAXIMA-1: A Measurement of the cosmic microwave background anisotropy on angular scales of 10 arcminutes to 5 degrees, Astrophys. J. Lett. **545**, L5 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0005123.
 - [15] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 559 (2003), arXiv:astro-ph/0207347.
 - [16] T. Padmanabhan, Cosmological constant—the weight of the vacuum, Physics Reports **380**, 235 (2003).
 - [17] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri, and S. D. Odintsov, Dark energy cosmology: the equivalent description via different theoretical models and cosmography tests, Astrophys. Space Sci. **342**, 155 (2012), arXiv:1205.3421 [gr-qc].
 - [18] S. D. H. Hsu, Entropy bounds and dark energy, Phys. Lett. B **594**, 13 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0403052.
 - [19] R. Horvat, Holography and variable cosmological constant, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 087301 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0404204.
 - [20] M. Li, A Model of holographic dark energy, Phys. Lett. B **603**, 1 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0403127.
 - [21] G. 't Hooft, Dimensional reduction in quantum gravity, Conf. Proc. C **930308**, 284 (1993), arXiv:gr-qc/9310026.
 - [22] L. Susskind, The World as a hologram, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9409089.
 - [23] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802150.
 - [24] R. Bousso, The Holographic principle, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 825 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0203101.
 - [25] A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan, and A. E. Nelson, Effective field theory, black holes, and the cosmological constant, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4971 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9803132.
 - [26] L. Granda and A. Oliveros, Infrared cut-off proposal for the Holographic density, Phys. Lett. B **669**, 275 (2008), arXiv:0810.3149 [gr-qc].

- [27] L. Granda and A. Oliveros, New infrared cut-off for the holographic scalar fields models of dark energy, *Phys. Lett. B* **671**, 199 (2009), arXiv:0810.3663 [gr-qc].
- [28] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and E. N. Saridakis, Modified cosmology from extended entropy with varying exponent, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 242 (2019), arXiv:1903.03098 [gr-qc].
- [29] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, E. N. Saridakis, and R. Myrzakulov, Correspondence of cosmology from non-extensive thermodynamics with fluids of generalized equation of state, *Nucl. Phys. B* **950**, 114850 (2020), arXiv:1911.03606 [gr-qc].
- [30] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Unifying phantom inflation with late-time acceleration: Scalar phantom-non-phantom transition model and generalized holographic dark energy, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **38**, 1285 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0506212.
- [31] S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov, V. Oikonomou, and T. Paul, Unifying Holographic Inflation with Holographic Dark Energy: a Covariant Approach, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 023540 (2020), arXiv:2007.06829 [gr-qc].
- [32] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Covariant Generalized Holographic Dark Energy and Accelerating Universe, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 528 (2017), arXiv:1703.06372 [hep-th].
- [33] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and T. Paul, Different Faces of Generalized Holographic Dark Energy, *Symmetry* **13**, 928 (2021), arXiv:2105.08438 [gr-qc].
- [34] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and E. N. Saridakis, Holographic inflation, *Phys. Lett. B* **797**, 134829 (2019), arXiv:1904.01345 [gr-qc].
- [35] A. Oliveros and M. A. Acero, Inflation driven by a holographic energy density, *EPL* **128**, 59001 (2019), arXiv:1911.04482 [gr-qc].
- [36] G. Chakraborty and S. Chattopadhyay, Modified holographic energy density-driven inflation and some cosmological outcomes, *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **17**, 2050066 (2020).
- [37] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, K. Bamba, and I. P. Lobo, Tsallis holographic dark energy for inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 083505 (2021), arXiv:2101.06378 [gr-qc].
- [38] A. Mohammadi, Holographic warm inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 123538 (2021), arXiv:2109.00247 [gr-qc].
- [39] A. Mohammadi, Constant-roll inflation driven by holographic dark energy, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **36**, 101055 (2022), arXiv:2203.06643 [gr-qc].
- [40] G. Barenboim and W. H. Kinney, Slow roll in simple non-canonical inflation, *JCAP* **0703**, 014, arXiv:astro-ph/0701343 [astro-ph].
- [41] P. Franche, R. Gwyn, B. Underwood, and A. Wissanji, Initial Conditions for Non-Canonical Inflation, *Phys. Rev.* **D82**, 063528 (2010), arXiv:1002.2639 [hep-th].
- [42] S. Unnikrishnan, V. Sahni, and A. Toporensky, Refining inflation using non-canonical scalars, *JCAP* **1208**, 018, arXiv:1205.0786 [astro-ph.CO].
- [43] K. Rezazadeh, K. Karami, and P. Karimi, Intermediate inflation from a non-canonical scalar field, *JCAP* **1509** (09), 053, arXiv:1411.7302 [gr-qc].
- [44] K. Saaideh, A. Mohammadi, and T. Golanbari, Light of Planck-2015 on Noncanonical Inflation, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015**, 926807 (2015), arXiv:1708.03675 [gr-qc].
- [45] M. Fairbairn and M. H. G. Tytgat, Inflation from a tachyon fluid?, *Phys. Lett.* **B546**, 1 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0204070 [hep-th].
- [46] S. Mukohyama, Brane cosmology driven by the rolling tachyon, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 024009 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0204084 [hep-th].
- [47] A. Feinstein, Power law inflation from the rolling tachyon, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 063511 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0204140 [hep-th].
- [48] T. Padmanabhan, Accelerated expansion of the universe driven by tachyonic matter, *Phys. Rev.* **D66**, 021301 (2002), arXiv:hep-th/0204150 [hep-th].
- [49] A. Aghamohammadi, A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, and K. Saaideh, Hamilton-Jacobi formalism for tachyon inflation, *Phys. Rev.* **D90**, 084028 (2014), arXiv:1502.07578 [gr-qc].
- [50] M. Spalinski, On Power law inflation in DBI models, *JCAP* **0705**, 017, arXiv:hep-th/0702196 [hep-th].
- [51] D. Bessada, W. H. Kinney, and K. Tzirakis, Inflationary potentials in DBI models, *JCAP* **0909**, 031, arXiv:0907.1311 [gr-qc].
- [52] J. M. Weller, C. van de Bruck, and D. F. Mota, Inflationary predictions in scalar-tensor DBI inflation, *JCAP* **1206**, 002, arXiv:1111.0237 [astro-ph.CO].
- [53] N. Nazavari, A. Mohammadi, Z. Ossoulia, and K. Saaideh, Intermediate inflation driven by DBI scalar field, *Phys. Rev.* **D93**, 123504 (2016), arXiv:1708.03676 [gr-qc].
- [54] K.-i. Maeda and K. Yamamoto, Stability analysis of inflation with an $su(2)$ gauge field, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2013** (12), 018.
- [55] A. A. Abolhasani, R. Emami, and H. Firouzjahi, Primordial anisotropies in gauged hybrid inflation, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2014** (05), 016.
- [56] S. Alexander, D. Jyoti, A. Kosowsky, and A. Marcianò, Dynamics of gauge field inflation, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2015** (05), 005.
- [57] M. Tirandari and K. Saaideh, Anisotropic inflation in Brans–Dicke gravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **925**, 403 (2017), arXiv:1701.06890 [gr-qc].
- [58] R. Maartens, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett, and I. P. Heard, Chaotic inflation on the brane, *Physical Review D* **62**, 041301 (2000).
- [59] T. Golanbari, A. Mohammadi, and K. Saaideh, Brane inflation driven by noncanonical scalar field, *Physical Review D* **89**, 103529 (2014).

- [60] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, S. Nasri, and K. Saaidi, Brane inflation: Swampland criteria, TCC, and reheating predictions, *Astropart. Phys.* **142**, 102734 (2022), arXiv:2006.09489 [gr-qc].
- [61] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, and J. Enayati, Brane inflation and trans-Planckian censorship conjecture, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 123515 (2021), arXiv:2012.01512 [hep-th].
- [62] A. Berera, Warm inflation, *Physical Review Letters* **75**, 3218 (1995).
- [63] A. Berera, Warm inflation in the adiabatic regime—a model, an existence proof for inflationary dynamics in quantum field theory, *Nuclear Physics B* **585**, 666 (2000).
- [64] L. M. Hall, I. G. Moss, and A. Berera, Scalar perturbation spectra from warm inflation, *Physical Review D* **69**, 083525 (2004).
- [65] K. Sayar, A. Mohammadi, L. Akhtari, and K. Saaidi, Hamilton-Jacobi formalism to warm inflationary scenario, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 023501 (2017), arXiv:1708.01714 [gr-qc].
- [66] L. Akhtari, A. Mohammadi, K. Sayar, and K. Saaidi, Viscous warm inflation: Hamilton–Jacobi formalism, *Astropart. Phys.* **90**, 28 (2017), arXiv:1710.05793 [astro-ph.CO].
- [67] H. Sheikahmadi, A. Mohammadi, A. Aghamohammadi, T. Harko, R. Herrera, C. Corda, A. Abebe, and K. Saaidi, Constraining chameleon field driven warm inflation with Planck 2018 data, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 1038 (2019), arXiv:1907.10966 [gr-qc].
- [68] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, H. Sheikahmadi, K. Sayar, L. Akhtari, M. Rasheed, and K. Saaidi, Warm tachyon inflation and swampland criteria, *Chin. Phys. C* **44**, 095101 (2020), arXiv:2001.10042 [gr-qc].
- [69] A. Mohammadi, K. Saaidi, and T. Golanbari, Tachyon constant-roll inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 083006 (2018), arXiv:1801.03487 [hep-ph].
- [70] A. Mohammadi, K. Saaidi, and H. Sheikahmadi, Constant-roll approach to non-canonical inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 083520 (2019), arXiv:1803.01715 [astro-ph.CO].
- [71] T. Golanbari, A. Mohammadi, and K. Saaidi, Observational constraints on DBI constant-roll inflation, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **27**, 100456 (2020), arXiv:1808.07246 [gr-qc].
- [72] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, and K. Saaidi, Beta-function formalism for k-essence constant-roll inflation, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **28**, 100505 (2020), arXiv:1912.07006 [gr-qc].
- [73] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, S. Nasri, and K. Saaidi, Constant-roll brane inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 123537 (2020), arXiv:2004.12137 [gr-qc].
- [74] A. Mohammadi, A. F. Ali, T. Golanbari, A. Aghamohammadi, K. Saaidi, and M. Faizal, Inflationary universe in the presence of a minimal measurable length, *Annals Phys.* **385**, 214 (2017), arXiv:1505.04392 [gr-qc].
- [75] A. Mohammadi, Z. Ossoulilian, T. Golanbari, and K. Saaidi, Intermediate inflation with modified kinetic term, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **359**, 7 (2015).
- [76] A. D. Linde, Inflationary cosmology, *Phys. Rept.* **333**, 575 (2000).
- [77] A. D. Linde, Particle physics and inflationary cosmology, *Contemp. Concepts Phys.* **5**, 1 (1990), arXiv:hep-th/0503203 [hep-th].
- [78] A. D. Linde, Current understanding of inflation, *Proceedings, 6th UCLA Symposium on Sources and Detection of Dark Matter and Dark Energy in the Universe: Marina del Rey, CA, USA, February 18-20, 2004*, *New Astron. Rev.* **49**, 35 (2005).
- [79] A. D. Linde, Prospects of inflation, *Proceedings, Nobel Symposium 127 on String theory and cosmology: Sigtuna, Sweden, August 14-19, 2003*, *Phys. Scripta* **T117**, 40 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0402051 [hep-th].
- [80] A. Riotto, Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations, *Astroparticle physics and cosmology. Proceedings: Summer School, Trieste, Italy, Jun 17-Jul 5 2002*, *ICTP Lect. Notes Ser.* **14**, 317 (2003), arXiv:hep-ph/0210162 [hep-ph].
- [81] D. Baumann, TASI Lecture on Inflation, in *Physics of the large and the small, TASI 09, proceedings of the Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics, Boulder, Colorado, USA, 1-26 June 2009* (2011) pp. 523–686, arXiv:0907.5424 [hep-th].
- [82] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (2008).
- [83] D. H. Lyth and A. R. Liddle, *The primordial density perturbation: Cosmology, inflation and the origin of structure* (2009).
- [84] C.-Y. Chen, Y. Reyimuaji, and X. Zhang, Slow-roll inflation in $f(R,T)$ gravity with a RT mixing term, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **38**, 101130 (2022), arXiv:2203.15035 [gr-qc].
- [85] X. Zhang, C.-Y. Chen, and Y. Reyimuaji, Modified gravity models for inflation: In conformity with observations, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 043514 (2022), arXiv:2108.07546 [gr-qc].
- [86] C. Tsallis, Possible Generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs Statistics, *J. Statist. Phys.* **52**, 479 (1988).
- [87] M. Lyra and C. Tsallis, Nonextensivity and Multifractality in Low-Dimensional Dissipative Systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 53 (1998), arXiv:cond-mat/9709226.
- [88] C. Tsallis, R. Mendes, and A. Plastino, The Role of constraints within generalized nonextensive statistics, *Physica A* **261**, 534 (1998).
- [89] G. Wilk and Z. Włodarczyk, On the interpretation of nonextensive parameter q in Tsallis statistics and Levy distributions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2770 (2000), arXiv:hep-ph/9908459.
- [90] A. Lymperis and E. N. Saridakis, Modified cosmology through nonextensive horizon thermodynamics, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 993 (2018), arXiv:1806.04614 [gr-qc].
- [91] C. Tsallis and L. J. L. Cirto, Black hole thermodynamical entropy, *The European Physical Journal C* **73**, 10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2487-6 (2013).
- [92] M. Rashki and S. Jalalzadeh, Holography from quantum cosmology, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 023501 (2015), arXiv:1412.3950 [gr-qc].

- [93] M. Tavayef, A. Sheykhi, K. Bamba, and H. Moradpour, Tsallis Holographic Dark Energy, *Phys. Lett. B* **781**, 195 (2018), arXiv:1804.02983 [gr-qc].
- [94] G. Obied, H. Ooguri, L. Spodyneiko, and C. Vafa, De Sitter Space and the Swampland, (2018), arXiv:1806.08362 [hep-th].
- [95] S. K. Garg and C. Krishnan, Bounds on Slow Roll and the de Sitter Swampland, *JHEP* **11**, 075, arXiv:1807.05193 [hep-th].
- [96] H. Ooguri, E. Palti, G. Shiu, and C. Vafa, Distance and de Sitter Conjectures on the Swampland, *Phys. Lett. B* **788**, 180 (2019), arXiv:1810.05506 [hep-th].
- [97] A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, A note on Inflation and the Swampland, *Fortsch. Phys.* **66**, 1800052 (2018), arXiv:1807.05445 [hep-th].
- [98] S. Das, Note on single-field inflation and the swampland criteria, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 083510 (2019), arXiv:1809.03962 [hep-th].
- [99] S. Das, Warm Inflation in the light of Swampland Criteria, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 063514 (2019), arXiv:1810.05038 [hep-th].
- [100] S. Das, G. Goswami, and C. Krishnan, Swampland, Axions and Minimal Warm Inflation, (2019), arXiv:1911.00323 [hep-th].
- [101] W. H. Kinney, Eternal Inflation and the Refined Swampland Conjecture, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 081302 (2019), arXiv:1811.11698 [astro-ph.CO].
- [102] H. Matsui and F. Takahashi, Eternal Inflation and Swampland Conjectures, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 023533 (2019), arXiv:1807.11938 [hep-th].
- [103] C.-M. Lin, Type I Hilltop Inflation and the Refined Swampland Criteria, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 023519 (2019), arXiv:1810.11992 [astro-ph.CO].
- [104] K. Dimopoulos, Steep Eternal Inflation and the Swampland, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 123516 (2018), arXiv:1810.03438 [gr-qc].
- [105] W. H. Kinney, S. Vagnozzi, and L. Visinelli, The zoo plot meets the swampland: mutual (in)consistency of single-field inflation, string conjectures, and cosmological data, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 117001 (2019), arXiv:1808.06424 [astro-ph.CO].
- [106] A. Mohammadi, T. Golanbari, J. Enayati, S. Jalalzadeh, S. Nasri, and K. Saaidi, Swampland criteria and reheating predictions in scalar–tensor inflation, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **31**, 2250079 (2022).