

# Upper bound preservation of the total scalar curvature in a conformal class

Shota Hamanaka\*

April 25, 2023

## Abstract

We show that in an arbitrarily fixed conformal class on a closed manifold, the upper bound condition of the total scalar curvature is  $C^0$ -closed if its Yamabe constant is nonpositive. Moreover, we show that if a conformal class on a closed manifold has positive Yamabe constant, then the intersection of such conformal class and the space of all Riemannian metrics, whose scalar curvatures are bounded from below as well as total scalar curvatures are bounded from above is  $C^0$ -closed in the space of all Riemannian metrics.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M^n$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  the space of all  $C^2$ -Riemannian metrics on  $M$ . For example, the following are known for the topology of some distinctive spaces of metrics.

- Gromov [8] (see also [1]) proved that for any continuous function  $\sigma \in C^0(M)$ , the space

$$\{g \in \mathcal{M} \mid R(g) \geq \sigma\}$$

is closed in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $C^0$ -topology.

- Lohkamp [12] proved that for any continuous function  $\sigma \in C^0(M)$ , the space

$$\{g \in \mathcal{M} \mid R(g) \leq \sigma\}$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $C^0$ -topology.

This result also implies that, when  $M$  is closed, for any constant  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space

$$\left\{ g \in \mathcal{M} \mid \int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g \leq \kappa \right\}$$

---

\*The author is supported by Foundation of Research Fellows, The Mathematical Society of Japan.

*Keywords:* Scalar curvature, Yamabe flow

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 53C21, 53E20

is dense in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $C^0$ -topology. Indeed, [12, Theorem B] implies that every metric in  $\mathcal{M}$  can be approximated by metrics in the above subspace. This approximation was built from certain  $C^0$ -deformation which is not just a conformal deformation. On the other hand, in the present paper, we will show that in a fixed conformal class, such subspace is  $C^0$ -closed in some sense (see Corollary 1.1 below).

- Lohkamp [2] proved that for any continuous function  $\sigma \in C^0(M)$ , the spaces

$$\{g \in \mathcal{M} \mid \text{Ric}(g) \leq \sigma \cdot g\}$$

and

$$\{g \in \mathcal{M} \mid R(g) \leq \sigma\}$$

are closed in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $C^1$ -topology.

- The author [9] proved that when  $M$  is closed, for any continuous nonnegative function  $\sigma \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  and constant  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space

$$\left\{ g \in \mathcal{M} \mid \int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g \geq \kappa, R(g) \geq \sigma \right\}$$

is closed in  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $C^1$ -topology.

In the present paper, we will examine the space of all Riemannian metrics whose total scalar curvatures are bounded from above by some fixed continuous function. Our first main result is the following.

**Main Theorem 1.** *Let  $M^n$  be a closed manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and  $g_0$  a  $C^2$ -Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Let  $u_i, u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be positive  $C^2$ -functions on  $M$  and assume the following:*

- (1)  $g_i := u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0 \xrightarrow{C^0} g := u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$  on  $M$ ,
- (2) there is  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\int_M R(g_i) d\text{vol}_{g_i} \leq \kappa$  for all  $i$ .

When  $(M, g_0)$  is Yamabe positive, i.e.,  $Y(M, g_0) > 0$  (see the following section), we additionally assume that

- (3) there is  $\delta \in C^0(M)$  such that  $R(g_i) \geq \delta$  for all  $i$ .

Then  $\int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g \leq \kappa$ . Here,  $R(g), d\text{vol}_g$  denote respectively the scalar curvature and the Riemannian volume measure of  $g$ . Moreover, when  $(M, g_0)$  is Yamabe positive, the same assertion still holds even if (1) is replaced with the following weaker condition:

- (1)'  $u_i \rightarrow u$  in the  $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(M, g_0)$ -sense, i.e.,

$$\int_M |u_i - u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol}_{g_0} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

As a corollary of this and [8, p.1118], we obtain that

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $M^n$  be a closed manifold of dimension  $n \geq 2$  and  $g_0$  a  $C^2$ -Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}^2$  be the space of all  $C^2$ -Riemannian metrics on  $M$ . Then, for any continuous function  $\sigma \in C^0(M)$  and constant  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , the space*

$$\left\{ g \in [g_0] \left( \subset \mathcal{M}^2 \right) \mid \int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g \leq \kappa, R(g) \geq \sigma \right\}$$

*when  $Y(M, g_0) > 0$ , and the space*

$$\left\{ g \in [g_0] \left( \subset \mathcal{M}^2 \right) \mid \int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g \leq \kappa \right\}$$

*when  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$  are closed in  $\mathcal{M}^2$  with respect to  $C^0$ -topology. Here,  $[g_0] := \{g = u \cdot g_0 \in \mathcal{M}^2 \mid u \in C^{3,\alpha}(M), u > 0 \text{ on } M\}$  is the ( $C^2$ -) conformal class of  $g_0$ .*

When  $n = 2$ , the above corollary is a direct conclusion from the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem and [8, p.1118]. In the case that  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$ , we can refine Main Theorem 1 to the following one with a slightly weaker assumption.

**Main Theorem 2.** *Let  $M^n$  be a closed manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and  $g_0$  a Yamabe nonpositive (i.e.,  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$ )  $C^2$ -Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Let  $u_i, u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be positive  $C^2$ -functions on  $M$  and set  $g_i := u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$  and  $g := u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ . Assume the following:*

- (a) *there is a positive constant  $C_0$  such that  $C_0^{-1} \leq u_i \leq C_0$  on  $M$  for all  $i$ ,*
- (b)  *$u_i \rightarrow u$  in the  $L^1(M, g_0)$ -sense, i.e.,*

$$\int_M |u_i - u| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

- (c) *there is  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\int_M R(g_i) d\text{vol}_{g_i} \leq \kappa$  for all  $i$ .*

*Then  $\int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g \leq \kappa$ .*

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove Main Theorem 1. The key fact to prove Main Theorem 1 is that the normalized Yamabe flow starting at each  $g_i$  subconverges to the normalized Yamabe flow starting at the limiting metric  $g$ . This is done separately in the two cases Yamabe nonpositive (i.e.,  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$ ) and positive (i.e.,  $Y(M, g_0) > 0$ ). In section 3 we prove Main Theorem 2. In the setting of Main Theorem 2, we observe the unnormalized Yamabe flow starting at each  $g_i$ . We prepare a  $L^1$ -estimate for such flows and use it to prove that  $g_i$  converges to  $g$  uniformly on  $M$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, as done in the proof of Main Theorem 1, we prove Main Theorem 2. In section 4 we show the fact used in the proof of Main Theorem 1.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by Foundation of Research Fellows, The Mathematical Society of Japan. The author is supported by Mitsubishi Electric Corporation Advanced Technology R&D Center. The author would like to thank Jørgen Olsen Lye for notifying him of their paper [6].

## 2 Proof of Main Theorem 1

Let  $M$  be a closed (i.e., compact without boundary) manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and let  $g$  be a Riemannian metric on  $M$ . We will denote the Riemannian volume measure of  $(M, g)$  as  $d\text{vol}_g$ . We consider the (volume) normalized Yamabe flow:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = - (R(g(t)) - r(g(t))) g(t),$$

where  $R(g(t))$  is the scalar curvature of  $g(t)$  and  $r(g(t))$  is the mean value of  $R(g(t))$ , i.e.,

$$r(g(t)) = \frac{\int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)}}{\int_M d\text{vol}_{g(t)}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) &= \int_M \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_{g(t)} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) \right) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \int_M (R(g(t)) - r(g(t))) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

the volume  $\text{Vol}(M, g(t))$  is invariant along the normalized Yamabe flow. The Yamabe constant of a Riemannian metric  $g_0$  is defined as the infimum of the Yamabe energy among metrics conformally equivalent to  $g_0$ , i.e.,

$$Y(M, g_0) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g}{\left( \int_M d\text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} \mid g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0, u \in C^\infty(M), u > 0 \text{ on } M \right\}.$$

By the definition,  $Y(M, g_0)$  depends only on the conformal class  $[g_0]$  of  $g_0$ .

Since the normalized Yamabe flow preserves the conformal structure, we may write  $g(t) = u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ , where  $g_0$  is a fixed background metric on  $M$  and  $u$  is a positive function. The scalar curvature of  $g(t)$  is related to the scalar curvature of  $g_0$  by

$$R(g(t)) = -u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left( \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_{g_0} u - R(g_0)u \right).$$

Hence, the normalized Yamabe flow reduces to the following evolution equation for the conformal factor:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t) = \frac{n+2}{4} \left( \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_{g_0} u(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} - R(g_0)u(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} + r(g(t))u(t) \right).$$

Using the identity,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R(g(t)) = (n-1)\Delta_{g(t)} R(g(t)) + R(g(t)) (R(g(t)) - r(g(t))),$$

we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} r(g(t)) = -\frac{n-2}{2} \text{Vol}(M, g(t))^{-1} \int_M (R(g(t)) - r(g(t)))^2 d\text{vol}_{g(t)}.$$

In particular, the function  $t \mapsto r(g(t))$  is decreasing.

It is well-known that for a given positive function  $u_0$  on  $M$  with sufficiently high regularity, the normalized Yamabe flow always has a unique positive smooth solution  $u(t)$  up to a positive time  $T > 0$  with initial data  $u_0$ . We use the following version by Carron, Lye and Vertman [6].

**Proposition 2.1** ([6, Theorem 2.8]). *Let  $M^n$  be a closed manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  and  $p > n/2$ . Let  $g_0$  be a  $C^2$ -Riemannian metric on  $M$  and let  $u_0$  be a positive  $C^2$ -function. Then there is a positive time  $T > 0$  such that a unique positive solution  $u(t)$  of the normalized Yamabe flow on  $M \times [0, T)$  with  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  in the  $W^{2,p}(M, g_0)$ -sense as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, the solution  $u(t)$  is smooth on  $M \times (0, T)$  and*

$$R(g(t)) = R\left(u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0\right) \rightarrow R\left(u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0\right)$$

*in the  $W^{2,p}(M, g_0)$ -sense as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(M, g_0)$  is a closed manifold, the assumption of [6, Theorem 2.6] is satisfied. Hence the assertion follows from [6, Theorem 2.8].  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* As pointed out in [6, The annotation 6 in Theorem 2.8],  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  in the  $C^0$ -sense as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . For the same reason,  $R(g(t)) \rightarrow R\left(u_0^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0\right)$  in the  $C^0$ -sense as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Hence, from the above argument and the mean value theorem, we still have that  $t \mapsto r(g(t))$  is decreasing.

The existence of long-time solution were settled by Hamilton [10], Chow [7], Schwetlick-Struwe [14] and Brendle [3].

**Theorem 2.1** ([10, 7, 14, 3], cf. [6, Proof of Proposition 3.6]). *Let  $M, g_0$  and  $u_0$  be the same as those in the previous proposition. Then the positive solution  $u(t)$  as in Proposition 2.1 exists for all time (i.e.,  $T = \infty$ ).*

From this fact, in the setting of Main Theorem 1, we can take a uniform positive time  $T > 0$  such that the unique positive solutions  $u(t), u_i(t)$  of the normalized Yamabe flow with initial functions  $u, u_i$  respectively exists up to  $T$ . Hereafter, we fix such a positive existence time  $T > 0$  (independent of  $i$ ).

From the assumption (1) in Main Theorem 1, by taking  $i$  large enough, we can assume that

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(M, g) \leq \text{Vol}(M, g_i) \leq 2 \text{Vol}(M, g) \quad \text{for all } i,$$

where  $\text{Vol}(M, h)$  is the volume of  $M$  measured by the Riemannian metric  $h$ . Moreover, since the normalized Yamabe flow preserves the volume, we then obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(M, g) \leq \text{Vol}(M, g_i(t)) \leq 2 \text{Vol}(M, g)$$

for all  $i$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Remark 2.2.* Since

$$\text{Vol}(M, g_i(t)) = \int_M u_i(t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol}_{g_0}$$

and

$$\text{Vol}(M, g(t)) = \int_M u(t)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol}_{g_0},$$

the above volume estimates also hold under the weaker assumption (1)' in Main Theorem 1.

We establish the convergence of  $u_i(t)$  to  $u(t)$  on  $M \times [0, T]$ . We shall do this in two cases:  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$  and  $Y(M, g_0) > 0$ .

Yamabe nonpositive case (i.e.,  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$ ):

As stated in [16, Section 3], since  $Y(M, g_0) < 0$  (resp.  $\leq 0$ ), we can choose the background metric  $\tilde{g}_0 \in [g_0]$  such that  $R(\tilde{g}_0) < 0$  (resp.  $\leq 0$ ) on  $M$ . Set  $\tilde{g}_0 =: \tilde{u}^{-\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ , then  $u \cdot \tilde{u}$  and  $u_i \cdot \tilde{u}$  satisfy the assumption (1) in Main Theorem 1. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $R(g_0) < 0$  (resp.  $\leq 0$ ) on  $M$ . From the maximum principle ([16, (3.1)]), we obtain that for all  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_{i,\min}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t) \geq \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \min_M |R(g_0)| u_{i,\min}(t) + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} r(g_i(t)) u_{i,\min}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t),$$

where  $u_{i,\min}(t) := \min_M u_i(\cdot, t)$ . In particular,  $u_{i,\min}(0) := \min_M u_i$ . By the definition of  $Y(M, g_0)$  and the above volume estimate, we have  $r(g_i(t)) \geq Y(M, g_0) \text{Vol}(M, g_i(t))^{-\frac{2}{n}} \geq \frac{1}{2} Y(M, g_0) \text{Vol}(M, g)^{-\frac{2}{n}}$ . Thus we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_{i,\min}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t) \geq \frac{n-2}{8(n-1)} Y(M, g_0) \text{Vol}(M, g)^{-\frac{2}{n}} u_{i,\min}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t)$$

for all  $t \in (0, T)$ . Hence, from this estimate, the remark after Proposition 2.1 and the mean value theorem, we obtain that

$$u_{i,\min}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t) \geq \exp\left(\frac{n-2}{8(n-1)} Y(M, g_0) \text{Vol}(M, g)^{-\frac{2}{n}} t\right) \cdot u_{i,\min}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(0)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . On the other hand, the maximum principle([16, (3.3)]) also implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_{i,\max}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t) \leq -\frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \left(\min_M R(g_0)\right) u_{i,\max}(t) + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} r(g_i(t)) u_{i,\max}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(t),$$

where  $u_{i,\max}(t) := \max_M u_i(\cdot, t)$ . This implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_{i,\max}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \leq -\frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n+2)} \left( \min_M R(g_0) \right) + \frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n+2)} r(g_i(t)) u_{i,\max}(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}.$$

Since  $t \mapsto r(g_i(t))$  is decreasing,

$$\frac{d}{dt} u_{i,\max}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \leq -\frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n+2)} \left( \min_M R(g_0) \right) + \frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n+2)} r(g_i(0)) u_{i,\max}(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}}.$$

Then, by Gronwall's inequality (see Proposition 4.1 in Appendix below), we obtain that

$$u_{i,\max}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta \exp(\beta(t-s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

where

$$\alpha(t) := u_{i,\max}(0)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} - \frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n+2)} \left( \min_M R(g_0) \right) t$$

and

$$\beta := \frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n+2)} \max\{r(g_i(0)), 0\}.$$

Then, from the assumption (2) in Main Theorem 1 and the lower volume estimate,

$$\beta \leq \frac{2(n-2)}{(n-1)(n+2)} \text{Vol}(M, g) \cdot \max\{\kappa, 0\} := \tilde{\beta}.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$u_{i,\max}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) \tilde{\beta} \exp(\tilde{\beta}(t-s)) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, since  $g_i := u_i^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0 \xrightarrow{C^0} g := u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$  uniformly on  $M$ , there is a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $\kappa, u, Y(M, g_0), R(g_0), \text{Vol}(M, g)$  and  $n$  such that

$$0 < C^{-1} \leq u_i(x, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in M \times [0, T].$$

Unless otherwise stated, we will denote positive constants depending only on the given data  $(\kappa, g$  and  $n)$  as the same symbol  $C$ . Then, from Krylov-Safonov estimate ([11], see also [13, Theorem 12] and [5, Proposition 4.2]), we obtain the following  $C^\alpha$ -estimate for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\|u_i(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{C^{\alpha/2, \alpha}(M \times [t_0, T])} \leq C \quad \text{for all } t_0 \in (0, T) \text{ and } i.$$

Since  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  in  $W^{2,p}(M, g_0)$  for all  $p > n/2$ , by the Sobolev embedding:  $W^{2,p} \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}$  for sufficiently large  $p \gg n/2$ , we obtain that  $u(t) \rightarrow u_0$  in  $C^{0,\alpha}(M, g_0)$ . Hence, as  $t_0 \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\|u_i(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{C^{\alpha/2, \alpha}(M \times [0, T])} \leq C.$$

From this estimate, the regularity assumption of Main Theorem 1 and the interior parabolic Schauder estimate ([5, Proposition 4.2.]), we also obtain that

$$\|u_i(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{C^{(2+\alpha')/2, 2+\alpha'}(M \times [t, T])} \leq C \quad \text{for all } i \text{ and } t \in (0, T),$$

where  $\alpha' = \frac{n-2}{n+2}\alpha$ . Note here that we used the fact that  $t \mapsto r(g(t))$  is decreasing and the lower volume estimate when we applied the Schauder estimate. From these estimate, we can choose a subsequence  $(u_{i_k}(\cdot, t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  such that  $u_{i_k}$  converges to some  $C^2$  function  $\tilde{u}$  on  $M \times (0, T]$  in the locally uniformly  $C^2$ -sense in  $M \times (0, T]$  and  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the normalized Yamabe flow equation. Moreover, from the above  $C^\alpha$ -estimate and the standard diagonal argument, we can choose the subsequence  $u_{i_k}$  so that  $u(t) \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $u_{i_k}$  converges to  $\tilde{u}$  in the  $C^\beta$ -sense on  $M \times [0, T]$  for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . In particular, since  $u_{i_k}(\cdot, 0) \rightarrow u(\cdot)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (from the assumption (1) in Main Theorem 1),  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) \equiv u(\cdot)$ . Then, from the uniqueness of the normalized Yamabe flow ([5, Corollary 3.3]), we obtain that  $\tilde{u}(t) \equiv u(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Yamabe positive case (i.e.,  $Y(M, g_0) > 0$ ):

As done in the previous case, we can assume that  $R(g_0) > 0$ . Since the assumption (1)' of Main Theorem 1 is weaker than (1), we assume (1)'. Then we can firstly obtain the following.

**Proposition 2.2** ([3, Proposition 2.1.]). *The scalar curvature of the metric  $g_i(t)$  satisfies*

$$\inf_M R(g_i(t)) \geq \min\{\delta, 0\} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Since  $g_i(t)$  satisfies the normalized Yamabe flow in  $M \times (0, T)$ , from [3, Proposition 2.1],

$$\inf_M R(g_i(t)) \geq \min\{\delta, 0\} \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).$$

Then, the assertion follows from the  $C^0$ -continuity  $R(g_i(t)) \rightarrow R(g_i)$  stated in the remark after Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

Set

$$\sigma := \max\{1 - \delta, 1\},$$

then  $R(g_i(t)) + \sigma \geq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Moreover, Brendle [3, Proposition 2.4] also gave upper and lower bounds of  $u_i(t)$ .

**Proposition 2.3** ([3, Proposition 2.4]). *We can find positive constants  $C$  and  $c$  depending only on  $T, \delta, \kappa, g$  and  $n$  such that*

$$\sup_M u_i(t) \leq C$$

and

$$\inf_M u_i(t) \geq c$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .



*Proof.* The following proof mostly follow it of Brendle [3, Proposition 2.4].

The function  $u_i(t)$  ( $t \in (0, T)$ ) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_i(t) &= -\frac{n-2}{4} (R(g_i(t)) - r(g_i(t))) \\ &\leq \frac{n-2}{4} (r(g_i(0)) + \sigma) \\ &\leq \frac{n-2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \text{Vol}(M, g)^{-1} \kappa + \sigma \right). \end{aligned}$$

We used the assumption (2) and the volume lower estimate (and Remark 2.2) in the last inequality. Thus, from this estimate, the continuity of  $u(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  (see the remark after Proposition 2.1) and the mean value theorem, we obtain that

$$\sup_M u(t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, from this, we can also obtain the uniformly lower bound on  $M \times [t_0, T]$  for all  $t_0 \in (0, T)$  in the same way as the proof of [3, Proposition 2.4]. Thus, we can obtain the lower estimate on full  $M \times [0, T]$  by the continuity  $u(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

Hence, from the same argument in the previous case, we can obtain that  $u_i$  subconverges to some  $C^2$ -function  $\tilde{u}$  on  $M \times (0, T]$  in the locally uniformly  $C^2$ -sense in  $M \times (0, T]$ . Moreover, as the same argument in the previous case,  $u_i$  converges to  $\tilde{u}$  in the  $C^\beta$ -sense for some  $\beta > 0$  on  $M \times [0, T]$ . From the assumption (1)' in Main Theorem 1, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we can also obtain that

$$\int_M |\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) - u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol}_{g_0} = 0.$$

Hence we obtain that  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) \equiv u$ . Indeed, if not, there is a point  $p \in M$  such that  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0)(p) - u(p) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0)(p) - u(p) := \varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) - u$  is continuous on  $M$ , there are sufficiently small open neighborhoods  $U, V$  of  $p$  such that  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) - u \geq \varepsilon/2$  on  $V$  and the closure of  $V$  is contained in  $U$ . Let  $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$  be a smooth cut-off function such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,  $\psi \equiv 1$  on  $V$  and  $\psi \equiv 0$  on  $M \setminus U$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M |\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) - u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ &= \int_M |\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) - u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} ((1 - \psi) + \psi) d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ &\geq \int_M |\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) - u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \cdot \psi d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ &\geq \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \cdot \text{Vol}(V, g_0) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Then, from the uniqueness of the normalized Yamabe flow ([5, Corollary 3.3]), we obtain that  $\tilde{u}(t) \equiv u(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Remark 2.3.* One can follow the arguments of Brendle [3, Section 2] and it seems that we can also obtain  $C^\alpha$ -estimates ([3, Proposition 2.6]). However, since the constant  $C$  in [3, Lemma 2.5] depends not only  $T, \delta, \kappa, g_0$  and  $n$  but also

$$\int_M |R(g_i(0)) - r(g_i(0))|^{\frac{n^2}{2(n-2)}} d\text{vol}_{g_i(0)},$$

we need an additional information about this  $L^{\frac{n^2}{2(n-2)}}$ -quantity. Hence we use Krylov-Safonov estimate [11] instead here.

Here, we give a proof of Main Theorem 1. (cf. [1], [9])

*Proof of Main Theorem 1.* Since the function  $t \mapsto \int_M R(g_i(t)) d\text{vol}_{g_i(t)}$  is decreasing, we have

$$\kappa \geq \int_M R(g_i(0)) d\text{vol}_{g_i(0)} \geq \int_M R(g_i(t)) d\text{vol}_{g_i(t)}$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, from the above argument, (after taking a subsequence) as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \leq \kappa$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ . Then, from the  $C^0$ -continuity of  $g(t)$  and  $R(g(t))$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  (see the remark after Proposition 2.1),

$$\int_M R(g) d\text{vol}_g = \int_M R(g(0)) d\text{vol}_{g(0)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \leq \kappa.$$

□

*Remark 2.4.* (1) Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M R(g_i(t)) d\text{vol}_{g_i(t)} \\ &= \int_M -u_i(t)^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \left( \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \Delta_{g_0} u_i(t) - R(g_0) u_i(t) \right) \cdot u_i(t)^{\frac{n}{2}} d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} \int_M (|\nabla u_i(t)|^2 + R(g_0) u_i(t)^2) d\text{vol}_{g_0}, \end{aligned}$$

in order to verify that as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \leq \kappa$$

for all  $t \in (0, T]$ , we only used the fact that  $g_i(t)$  converges to  $g(t)$  in the locally uniformly  $C^1$ -sense in  $M \times (0, T]$ .

- (2) Assume the same assumptions as Main Theorem 1 with  $\delta \geq 0$  except (2). And, assume that  $Y(M, g_0) > 0$ . Then we can prove that

$$R(g) \geq \delta \quad \text{on } M.$$

In other words, we can give a partial proof of the Gromov's result [8]. Indeed, in our proof of Main Theorem 1 above,  $g_i(t)$  converges to  $g(t)$  on  $M \times [0, T]$  in the uniformly  $C^2$ -sense and the lower bound of the scalar curvature  $R(g_i(t))$  was preserved under the flow for each  $i$ , from Proposition 2.2. Therefore, by the same arguments of the proof of Main Theorem 1, we can prove this claim (see also [1] and [9]).

- (3) In the arguments in the Yamabe nonpositive case, we cannot obtain in general that the sequence of the solution  $u_i$  of the unnormalized Yamabe flow can subconverge to the limit  $u(t)$  up to  $C^1$ -sense on the full space  $M \times [0, T]$ . Indeed, the sequence of metrics in [9, Example 3.3] has a uniform upper bound of the total scalar curvature, however it does not converge to the limiting metric in the  $C^1$ -sense.

### 3 Proof of Main Theorem 2

As done in the previous section, we can assume that  $R(g_0) < 0$  (resp.  $\leq 0$ ) when  $Y(M, g_0) < 0$  (resp.  $Y(M, g_0) \leq 0$ ). Then, from the proof of Proposition 2.1 and the argument in the previous section (Yamabe nonpositive case), we can also obtain the long-time solution of the unnormalized Yamabe flow:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_i(t) &= -R(g_i(t)) g_i(t) \quad (t \in [0, \infty)) \\ \left( \text{resp. } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) &= -R(g(t)) g(t) \quad (t \in [0, \infty)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with  $g_i(0) = g_i$  (resp.  $g(t) = g$ ). (Note here that, in this time, the term of the mean value of the scalar curvature  $r(g_i(t))$  does not appear.) Note that we have used here the fact that the following volume estimates still hold by the assumption (c) in Main Theorem 2 and the definition of the Yamabe constant.

**Lemma 3.1** (Volume estimates along the unnormalized Yamabe flow). *Under the assumption of Main Theorem 2, along the unnormalized Yamabe flow  $g(t)$ ,*

$$\text{Vol}(M, g(0)) \exp \left( -\frac{n\kappa}{2\text{Vol}(M, g(0))} \right) \leq \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \leq \left( -nY(M, g_0) + \text{Vol}(M, g(0))^{\frac{2}{n}} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* Along the flow, the volume evolves as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) = -\frac{n}{2} \int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)}.$$

Since  $t \mapsto r(g(t)) = \frac{\int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)}}{\text{Vol}(M, g(t))}$  is decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{n}{2} \int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} &\geq -\frac{n}{2} \frac{\int_M R(g(0)) d\text{vol}_{g(0)}}{\text{Vol}(M, g(0))} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)) \\ &\geq -\frac{n\kappa}{2\text{Vol}(M, g(0))} \text{Vol}(M, g(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the assumption (c) of Main Theorem 2 in the last inequality. On the other hand, from the definition of  $Y(M, g_0)$ ,

$$-\frac{n}{2} \int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \leq -\frac{n}{2} \text{Vol}(M, g(t))^{\frac{n-2}{n}}.$$

Therefore, from these estimates, the continuity of  $u(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and the mean value theorem, we obtain the desired assertion.  $\square$

Thus, we can take a positive time  $T > 0$  (independent of  $i$ ) and consider the unnormalized Yamabe flows  $(g_i(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$  and  $(g(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ . We set  $g_i(t) =: u_i(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$  and  $g(t) =: u(t)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_0$ , then  $u_i(0) = u_i$  and  $u(0) = u$ . In order to prove Main Theorem 2, we also require the following  $L^1$ -estimate.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Under the above settings, for any nonnegative function  $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_M \psi |u(0) - u_i(0)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{n-2} (2C[\psi])^{\frac{4}{n+2}} + \frac{n+2}{4} \left( \int_M \psi d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \right) t, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$C[\psi] := \int_M |\Delta_{g_0} \psi|^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \psi^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} d\text{vol}_{g_0}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $u(t)$  and  $u_i(t)$  satisfy the unnormalized Yamabe flow equation and are positive, we can obtain the following estimate (see [15, Proof of Theorem 2.2.]). For any nonnegative function  $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{n-2} \int_M \Delta_{g_0} \psi \left| u(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} - u_i(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} \right| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ &\quad + \frac{n+2}{4} \int_M \psi |R(g_0)| \left| u(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} - u_i(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} \right| d\text{vol}_{g_0}. \end{aligned}$$

then, from the same argument in [15, Proof of Theorem 2.2.], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \\ & \leq \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{n-2} (2C[\psi])^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \left( \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}} \\ & \quad + \frac{n+2}{4} \left( \int_M \psi d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \left( \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C[\psi] := \int_M |\Delta_{g_0} \psi|^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \psi^{-\frac{n-2}{4}} d\text{vol}_{g_0}.$$

From this, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \\ & \leq \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{n-2} (2C[\psi])^{\frac{4}{n+2}} + \frac{n+2}{4} \left( \int_M \psi d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, integrating both sides in time, we finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_M \psi |u(t) - u_i(t)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_M \psi |u(0) - u_i(0)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \\ & \quad + \left( \frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{n-2} (2C[\psi])^{\frac{4}{n+2}} + \frac{n+2}{4} \left( \int_M \psi d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} \right) t. \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, by the assumption (a) and the same arguments in the previous section (Yamabe nonpositive case), we also obtain the following  $C^\alpha$ -estimate.

**Lemma 3.3.** *There is a positive constant  $C$  depending only on  $n, u, g_0, C_0$  and  $\kappa$  such that for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$|u(x_1, t_1) - u(x_2, t_2)| \leq C (|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + d_{g_0}(x_1, x_2)^\alpha)$$

and

$$|u_i(x_1, t_1) - u_i(x_2, t_2)| \leq C (|t_1 - t_2|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + d_{g_0}(x_1, x_2)^\alpha)$$

for all  $i$ .

Combining these, we can show that, as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $u_i$  converges to  $u$   $C^0$ -uniformly on  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.4.**  $u_i \rightarrow u$  uniformly on  $M$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* We prove this lemma by contradiction. Suppose that there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a sequence  $\{x_i\}$  of points in  $M$  such that

$$|u(x_i) - u_i(x_i)| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Fix a sufficiently small positive constant  $0 < r_0 \ll \min\{\text{inj}(M, g_0), \varepsilon\}$ , where  $\text{inj}(M, g_0)$  is the injectivity radius of  $(M, g_0)$ . In particular,

$$|u(x_i) - u_i(x_i)| \geq \varepsilon > r_0 > 0.$$

We will denote some positive constants depending only on  $n, g_0, u, \kappa$  and  $C_0$  as the same symbol  $C$ . We take a nonnegative test function  $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$  such that

- $\psi$  has compact support contained in the interior of

$$\{x \in M \mid d_{g_0}(x_i, x) \leq r_0\},$$

- $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$  on  $M$ .

From the above  $C^\alpha$ -estimate and the volume comparison, the left-hand side of (1) ( $t = 0$ ) in Lemma 3.2 is bounded from below by

$$Cr_0^{\left(1+\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)\frac{4}{n+2}}.$$

On the other hand, the right-hand side of (1) ( $t = 0$ ) is bounded from above by

$$\left( \int_M |u(0) - u_i(0)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}}.$$

However, from the assumption (b), we can take  $i$  sufficiently large such that

$$\left( \int_M |u(0) - u_i(0)| d\text{vol}_{g_0} \right)^{\frac{4}{n+2}} < \frac{C}{2} r_0^{\left(1+\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)\frac{4}{n+2}}.$$

This is a contradiction. □

*Proof of Main Theorem 2.* Along the unnormalized Yamabe flow  $(g(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ , the scalar curvature evolves as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} R(g(t)) = (n-1)\Delta_{g(t)} R(g(t)) + R(g(t))^2.$$

Thus, we obtain that for all  $t \in (0, T)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \right) \\ &= \int_M \left( (n-1)\Delta_{g(t)} R(g(t)) + R(g(t))^2 - \frac{n}{2} R(g(t))^2 \right) d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_M R(g(t))^2 d\text{vol}_{g(t)} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the function  $t \mapsto \int_M R(g(t)) d\text{vol}_{g(t)}$  is decreasing for all  $t \in [0, T]$  because  $R(g(t)) \xrightarrow{C^0} R(g(0))$  (see the remark after Proposition 2.1). Therefore, from Lemma 3.4, we can follow the same arguments in the proof of Main Theorem 1 and prove Main Theorem 2 in the same manner.  $\square$

*Question 3.1.* For example, we have the following questions.

- On an open manifold, is there example for which Main Theorems does not hold?
- Is the condition “ $\int_M R(g) dm \leq \kappa$ ” for Riemannian manifolds with smooth measures  $(M, g, dm := e^{-f} d\text{vol})$   $C^0$ -closed in the intersection of a conformal class (in the sense of [4, Definition 2.5.]) and the space of all Riemannian metrics with measures?

## 4 Appendix

We used the following fact in the proof of Main Theorem 1 (Yamabe nonpositive case).

**Proposition 4.1** (Gronwall’s inequality). *Assume that there is a continuous function  $\alpha(t)$  and a nonnegative continuous function  $\beta(t)$  on  $[0, T]$  such that a continuous function  $u(t)$  satisfies the following inequality:*

$$u(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \beta(s) u(s) ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

*Then, for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,*

$$u(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(s) \exp \left( \int_s^t \beta(r) dr \right) ds.$$

*Proof.* Set

$$v(s) := \exp \left( - \int_0^s \beta(r) dr \right) \cdot \int_0^s \beta(r) dr$$

for  $s \in [0, T]$ . Then, we can easily check that

$$\frac{d}{ds} v(s) = \left( u(s) - \int_0^s \beta(r) u(r) dr \right) \beta(s) \exp \left( - \int_0^s \beta(r) dr \right), \quad s \in [0, T].$$

Since  $v(0) = 0$ , using the assumption and integrating the previous inequality, we obtain that

$$v(t) \leq \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(s) \exp \left( - \int_0^s \beta(r) dr \right) ds$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Thus, from the definition of  $v(t)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \beta(s) u(s) ds &= \exp \left( \int_0^s \beta(r) dr \right) v(t) \\ &\leq \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(s) \exp \left( \int_0^s \beta(r) dr - \int_0^s \beta(r) dr \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \alpha(s) \beta(s) \exp \left( \int_s^t \beta(r) dr \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into the assumed inequality, we can obtain the desired assertion.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. H. Bamler, A Ricci flow proof of a result by Gromov on lower bounds for scalar curvature, *Math. Res. Letters* **23** (2016), 325–337.
- [2] G. Besson, J. Lohkamp, P. Pansu and P. Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, Fields institute monographs vol. **4**, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [3] S. Brendle, Convergence of the Yamabe flow for arbitrary initial energy, *J. Differential Geom.* **69** (2005), 217–278.
- [4] J. Case, A Yamabe-type problem on smooth metric measure spaces, *J. Differential Geom.* **101** (2015), 467–505.
- [5] B. Caldeira, L. Hartmann and B. Vertman, Normalized Yamabe flow on manifolds with bounded geometry, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.14282v3* (2022).
- [6] G. Carron, J. O. Lye and B. Vertman, Convergence of the Yamabe flow on singular spaces with positive Yamabe constant, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.01799* (2021).
- [7] B. Chow, The Yamabe flow on locally conformally flat manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **45** (1992), 1003–1014.
- [8] M. Gromov, Dirac and Plateau billiards in domains with corners, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **12** (2014), 1109–1156.
- [9] S. Hamanaka,  $C^0$ ,  $C^1$ -limit theorems for total scalar curvatures, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01865* (2022).
- [10] R. S. Hamilton, *Lectures on geometric flows*, unpublished manuscript, 1989.
- [11] N. V. Krylov and M. V. Safonov, A certain property of solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients, *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **16** (1981), 151–164.



- [12] J. Lohkamp, Curvature h-principles, *Ann. of Math.* **142** (1995), 457–498.
- [13] S. Picard, Notes on Hölder Estimates for Parabolic PDE lecture notes, available online in <https://people.math.harvard.edu/spicard/notes-parabolicpde.pdf>.
- [14] H. Schwetlick and M. Struwe, Convergence of the Yamabe flow for “large” energies, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **562** (2003), 59–100.
- [15] J. Takahashi and H. Yamamoto, Infinite-time incompleteness of noncompact Yamabe flow, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **61** (2022), 1–24.
- [16] R. Ye, Global existence and convergence of Yamabe flow, *J. Differential Geom.* **39** (1994), 35–50.

*E-mail adress:* hamanaka1311558@gmail.com

MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC CORPORATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY R&D CENTER,  
HYOGO 661–8661, JAPAN