

Knowledge Distillation in Federated Edge Learning: A Survey ^{*}

Zhiyuan Wu^{1,2}, Sheng Sun¹, Yuwei Wang¹ (✉), Min Liu^{1,3},
Xuefeng Jiang^{1,2}, Runhan Li^{1,2}, and Bo Gao⁴

¹ Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

³ Zhongguancun Laboratory, Beijing, China

⁴ Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, China

{wuzhiyuan22s,sunsheng,ywwang,liumin,
jiangxuefeng21b,lirunhan22s}@ict.ac.cn
bogao@bjtu.edu.cn

Abstract. The increasing demand for intelligent services and privacy protection of mobile and Internet of Things (IoT) devices motivates the wide application of Federated Edge Learning (FEL), in which devices collaboratively train on-device Machine Learning (ML) models without sharing their private data. Limited by device hardware, diverse user behaviors and network infrastructure, the algorithm design of FEL faces challenges related to resources, personalization and network environments. Fortunately, Knowledge Distillation (KD) has been leveraged as an important technique to tackle the above challenges in FEL. In this paper, we investigate the works that KD applies to FEL, discuss the limitations and open problems of existing KD-based FEL approaches, and provide guidance for their real deployment.

Keywords: Knowledge Distillation · Federated Learning · Edge Computing

1 Introduction

Edge Computing (EC) is an emerging technology deployed in mobile edge networks, which coordinates computing and memory resources in the edge to support low-latency and high-bandwidth-demanding applications for end-side mobile and Internet of Things (IoT) devices. With the increasing concern for intelligent services and data privacy protection of devices, Federated Edge Learning (FEL) [1] is proposed to jointly train Machine Learning (ML) models with decentralized data at the edge of the network. Unlike conventional centralized training

^{*} Supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFB2900102) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 62072436, No. 62002346 and No. 61872028).

paradigms, FEL keeps private data on devices and only transmits model parameters or encrypted data information to the edge server, which is a promising solution for privacy-preserving edge intelligence. However, FEL faces severe challenges related to resources, personalization, network environments, etc [1,2,3]. Shortage of individual device resources and imbalance of resources among devices significantly increase the difficulty of utilizing end-side resources. Besides, personalized needs of users call for differentiated on-device models, while the uniform models trained by conventional parameter-averaging-based FEL methods cannot generalize to all devices. Moreover, non-ideal communication channels and network topology constrain the system design of FEL.

As an ML technique that both enables knowledge transfer and model collaborative training, Knowledge Distillation (KD) [4,5] transfers knowledge from one ML model to another, allowing interactive learning among heterogeneous ML models to achieve constructive optimization. Due to flexibility and effectiveness, KD has been applied to solve numerous ML problems, such as model compression [4,6], domain adaptation [7,8], distributed learning [9,10], etc. Recent trends suggest the great potential to address the above challenges in the context of FEL. Previous works integrating KD into the training process of FEL have been successful in tackling constrained device resources [11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18], adapting to heterogeneous devices and user requirements [19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26], and adapting to complex communication channels as well as network topologies [27,28,29,30,31]. Therefore, a survey is urgently needed to review how KD applies to FEL.

To the best of our knowledge, **this paper is the first work to investigate the application of knowledge distillation in federated edge learning.** Different from existing surveys [1,2,3,32], we take the challenges faced by FEL as the main clue, introducing existing FEL approaches based on diverse forms of KD techniques and providing guidance for both future research directions and real deployment. Specifically, the reminders of this paper are organized as follows. Section 2 provides preliminary knowledge of related research directions, including FEL and KD, and elaborates the reasons for concerning KD in FEL. Section 3 investigates FEL based on KD in addressing resource-constrained, resource-heterogeneous, personalization, non-ideal channels and decentralization challenges in mobile edge networks. Section 4 summarizes the limitations of existing methods, raises open problems in KD-based FEL research, and provides guidance for real deployment. Section 5 summarizes the whole paper.

2 Preliminary

2.1 Federated Edge Learning

As a practical way to realize edge intelligence, Federated Edge Learning (FEL) implements Federated Learning (FL) systems in mobile edge networks, where massively distributed mobile and Internet of Things (IoT) devices jointly train machine learning models without sharing private data on devices [1]. Due to diverse user behaviors, limited device capabilities and non-ideal communication




Fig. 1. General framework of knowledge distillation.

environments, FEL faces more severe challenges than conventional FL: more restricted on-device computation and communication resources, more prominent cross-devices data and resource heterogeneity, more complex channel environments, etc [2,3]. How to address the above challenges to accommodate the system infrastructure and user characteristics of mobile edge networks is crucial for practical applications of FEL.

2.2 Knowledge Distillation

Knowledge Distillation (KD) is a machine learning technique for constructive model training through knowledge transfer [5,33]. In common KD frameworks, the transferred model output (typically logits [4,34] or features [35,6,36]) is referred to as knowledge. Fig. 1 shows the general framework of KD. The core of KD is that given the same input, one model (student network) simulates the adjusted outputs of another model (teacher network) to learn from the representation of the latter model, that is:

$$\arg \min_{W_S} E_{X \sim \mathcal{X}} [dist(f(X; W_S), \tau(f(X; W_T)))],$$

where W_S and W_T are model parameters of the student and the teacher networks, respectively. $f(\cdot; W_*)$ is a nonlinear function determined by parameters W_* , which is commonly a neural network. $\tau(\cdot)$ is a knowledge distribution adjustment function for knowledge interpretation, making the transferred knowledge easier to be learned by the student network. During the distillation process, the student network inputs data or features $X \sim \mathcal{X}$, where \mathcal{X} is the set of input data or extracted features. The student network then accepts the knowledge (outputs) extracted by the teacher network on the same input X and achieves knowledge

transfer by minimizing the distance $dist(\cdot, \cdot)$ between the two networks' outputs.

KD can be customized to solve various machine learning problems, such as model compression via knowledge transfer from bulky models to compact models [7,4], distributed model training via knowledge exchange between models [9,10], etc. With elaborate system design, recent studies confirm that KD can be leveraged to solve problems in FL as well [37,38,39,40,41,42,43,44].

2.3 Why Concern Knowledge Distillation in Federated Edge Learning

KD has achieved success in FL, such as realizing efficient communication [11,13], enabling model heterogeneity [38,42] and personalization [40,39] among devices, help countering incremental data [45,46], etc. Recent trends suggest that KD has great potential to apply to various learning processes in FEL as an important tool for knowledge transfer or model collaborative training in diversity-constrained mobile edge networks. Specifically, the technical characteristics of KD meet the core demands of FEL, and the roles it can play include but not limited to compressing large-scale edge models for on-device deployment [25], transferring local adaptive knowledge to on-device models for personalization [19,20,21,26], and helping establish novel FL frameworks for enabling heterogeneous device supports [24,22,23]. Representative works that apply KD in FEL are summarized in Table 1, 2. As shown in Table 1, prior works are classified into four types according to the role of KD in the FEL: 1) Knowledge transfer, where KD is utilized to transfer knowledge from one ML model to another, occurring only at the end-side or edge-side. 2) Model representation exchange protocol, where on-device and edge models achieve collaborative optimization based on exchanged logits or features. 3) Component of backbone algorithm, where KD-based FEL algorithms are improved as the base algorithm. 4) Dataset distillation, where small datasets derived from on-device data are synthesized via KD. In addition, the deployment modes of KD in FEL are classified into edge, end and edge-end collaboration, depending on the application location of KD and whether end-side and edge-side collaboration are required. As shown in Table 2, some FEL approaches require public datasets, in which edge models and on-device models leverage the same shared public data during distillation to synchronize the knowledge they generate.

In the following sections, we will detailly introduce the role played by KD in tackling a variety of challenges faced by FEL.

3 Challenges in Federated Edge Learning with Solutions based on Knowledge Distillation

3.1 Limited Resources

As hardware configurations and running power of devices are commonly inferior in FEL, communication and computation resources at the network termination

Table 1. Comparison of representative works that apply KD in FEL regarding challenges to tackle, roles play, and deployment modes.

Method	Challenge to Tackle	Role of KD in FEL	Deployment Mode
Fed-ET [17]	Limited Computation	Knowledge Transfer	Edge
FedZKT [22]	Heterogeneous Computation		
Mix2FLD [29]	Non-ideal Channel		
pFedSD [20]	Personalization		End
STU-KD [21]			
NRFL [25]	Heterogeneous Communication		
Def-KT [30]	Decentralization		
FedKEM [23]	Heterogeneous Computation	Edge+End	
DS-FL [11]	Limited Communication	Model Representation Exchange Protocol	Edge-end Collaboration
FD [12]			
FedGEMS [15]	Limited Computation		
FedGKT [16]			
FedBKD [24]	Heterogeneous Computation		
CMFD [31]	Decentralization		
FedCache [26]	Personalization+ Heterogeneous Computation		
FedAgg [18]	Heterogeneous Computation		
FedICT [19]	Personalization+ Heterogeneous Computation	Knowledge Transfer+ Model Representation Exchange Protocol	Edge+End+ Edge-end Collaboration
CFD [13]	Limited Communication	Component of Backbone Algorithm	Edge-end Collaboration
Ahn, et al. [27,28]	Non-ideal Channel		
FedD3 [14]	Limited Communication	Dataset Distillation	End

are strictly limited. Therefore, how to fully utilize available resources to achieve satisfactory system performance is the core issue that KD need to tackle in FEL.

To reduce the communication overhead in FEL, Itahara [11] proposes DS-FL, which is a distillation-based semi-supervised FL framework. DS-FL achieves efficient communication via on-device local models' outputs exchange between heterogeneous devices and optimizes local models based on KD with common inputs of an open dataset, thus avoiding model parameters exchange conducted by conventional FEL approaches [47,48]. Jeong [12] employs label-level knowledge alignment in FEL, where the per-label mean logit vectors of each device (knowl-

Table 2. Comparison of representative works that apply KD in FEL regarding knowledge types and requirements for public datasets.

Method	Knowledge Type	Require Public Dataset
STU-KD [21]	Logits	Yes
DS-FL [11]		
FD [12]		
CFD [13]		
FedGEMS [15]		
Fed-ET [17]		
FedKEM [23]		
FedBKD [24]		
Mix2FLD [29]		
Ahn, et al. [27,28]		
Def-KT [30]		
CMFD [31]		
pFedSD [20]		No
FedCache [26]		
FedZKT [22]		
NRFL [25]		
FedGKT [16]	Features+Logits	No
FedAgg [18]		
FedICT [19]		
FedD3 [14]	Distilled Datasets	No

edge) are periodically uploaded to the edge server. The server then broadcasts the aggregated knowledge to all devices in turn, and the on-device models conduct KD based on the downloaded knowledge for local models' regularization. Sattler [13] extends existing KD-based FEL methods [12,13] through imposing quantization and delta coding to exchanged knowledge, showing $\times 100$ communication efficiency improvement compared with prior works. On top of this, Song [14] distills local datasets on devices and uploads the distilled dataset to the edge server, requiring only one-shot communication during the entire training process.

To reduce on-device computation overhead in FEL, a series of approaches [16,15,17,18] are proposed to enable devices training much smaller models than the edge server, leveraging KD as an exchange protocol across model representations. Specifically, He [16] and Cheng [15] establish alternating minimization FEL frameworks to transfer knowledge from compact on-device models to the large edge model via KD, after which the on-device models are optimized based on the knowledge transferred back from the edge. Wu [18] proposes a federated large-model training framework based on mutual distillation over generated bridge samples. In addition, Cho [17] proposes an ensemble knowledge transfer algorithm for collaborative training across devices, where the large edge model learns the weighted consensus of the uploaded small on-device models via KD.

3.2 Heterogeneous Resources

Resources among devices exist huge divergence in FEL deriving from disparate hardware configurations, cruising ability, and network connectivity of different devices. Resource gap among devices poses requirements that KD-based FEL approaches should support heterogeneous training demands depending on the hardware and power of devices, and tolerate disparate communication capabilities of devices.

Existing works employ heterogeneous on-device models to adapt to the computing power of heterogeneous devices' hardware, and leverage KD to transfer knowledge from the shared edge model to heterogeneous on-device models. Specifically, Zhang [22] proposes a zero-shot knowledge transfer approach that adversarially trains a generator with uploaded on-device models. After that, pseudo-data generated by the trained generator is applied to a bi-directional KD process across the edge and the devices, aiming to integrate the knowledge from heterogeneous on-device models to the edge server and guide the on-device models to achieve more generalized training performance in turn. Nguyen [23] adopts a variant of co-distillation [9] at the network termination for collaboratively optimizing on-device models and the downloaded edge model, while integrating the knowledge from heterogeneous devices via ensemble KD in the edge. In addition, Qi [24] employs KD after on-device model uploading and edge model downloading, and uses a conditional variational autoencoder to complement both the required public data and private data. It is also worth noting that previously mentioned approaches taking KD as the end-edge model representation exchange protocol [16,26,15,17,19,11,12,18] also support heterogeneous on-device models, which are partly applicable on heterogeneous devices as well.

Another line of research addresses the problem of unequal available bandwidth among devices in FEL, where Mishra [25] proposes a network resource-aware FL approach using KD. Precisely, devices are grouped depending on their available bandwidth resources and are set models that accommodate their bandwidth. During the training process, devices accept the initialized on-device model parameters from the edge server and transfer back the locally trained models in groups from large to small. The edge server iteratively distributes the aggregated on-device models to their corresponding groups of devices and uses KD to compress the uploaded models for initializing model parameters of the next group of devices.

3.3 Personalization

Local data of different devices are generated from the daily use of their respective users. Due to differentiated user behaviours, data among devices tend to exhibit non-independent identically distributed (non-IID), and will make it difficult for the trained edge-side global model to generalize to all devices. Therefore, techniques for global model personalization are urgently needed to address the challenges posed by non-IID data in FEL.

In [19], a novel bi-directional knowledge distillation framework practical for multi-access edge computing is proposed to achieve personalized optimization of on-device models while ensuring fast convergence of the global model. In [26], a knowledge cache-driven federated learning architecture is proposed that guarantees satisfactory personalized performance while conforming to devices-side limitations in edge computing. Besides, Jin [20] proposes to reserve personalized models on devices. At the end of each communication round, self-distillation is employed on devices to transfer knowledge from the personalized on-device models of the previous round, and the distilled model is retained to the next round for further personalized optimization. In addition, Zhou [21] models FEL as a federated domain adaptation problem, and leverages distillation-based source-free unsupervised domain adaptation to transfer the knowledge from the edge server in a memory-efficient manner, aiming to achieve high inference accuracy in local data environments on edge devices with acceptable training cost.

3.4 Non-ideal Channels

Most existing KD-based FEL approaches assume that communication links between devices and the edge server are ideal channels, which are impractical in mobile edge networks in reality. For instance, the capacity of uplink and downlink channels are often unequal in real network environments, or the FEL system usually is deployed on fading channels, etc. Such wireless communication constraints present new challenges to implementing KD-based FEL.

In [29], Oh addresses uplink-downlink capacity asymmetry by allowing devices to upload model outputs but download model parameters during training, and the edge model conducts optimization via KD, with uploaded mixed samples from devices as input. Ahn [27] models devices' uplink as gaussian multiple-access channels, and provides digital implementations with separate source-channel coding and over-the-air computing implementations with joint source-channel coding for KD-based FEL. Further, the case in which uplink is a multiple access fading channel and downlink is a fading broadcast channel is considered in [28], and concrete implementations for KD-based FEL are given as well.

3.5 Decentralization

In mobile edge networks, locating powerful and reliable edge servers can be challenging due to weak infrastructure, restricted network topologies, and a lack of trust in remote servers. Therefore, decentralization of FEL is a promising research direction in which KD provides a tool for stable convergence of decentralized FEL systems with heterogeneous device support.

Taya [31] proposes a decentralized FL algorithm for Internet of Everything (IoE) in multi-hop networks, where each device learns the transferred knowledge from neighboring devices via KD. In addition, Li [30] leverages mutual knowledge transfer to allow clients to learn knowledge from each other, enabling training on heterogeneous datasets with client-drift prevented.

4 Discussion

4.1 Limitations

Although KD-based FEL has witnessed a phased success, quite a few approaches [16,11,12,13,29,27] only achieve comparable or even worse performances than inchoate FL methods represented by FedAvg [49]. On the one hand, the amount of useful information transferred from knowledge in a single communication round is less than model parameters, and the gain in communication efficiency achieved by the above methods is at the cost of inferior on-device model performance. On the other hand, adopting KD as model representation exchange protocols implicitly tolerates greater divergence of on-device models during training, which results in models receiving knowledge irrelevant to their own training goals [39,40]. Therefore, it is necessary to reconstruct the transferred knowledge so that on-device models can capture more useful information and better exploit the unique advantages of KD-based FEL with acceptable performance in mobile edge networks.

4.2 Open Problems

Since the related research is still at an early stage, there are many open problems in KD-based FEL. In terms of device connectivity, it is impractical to keep devices online all the time, and how to cope with devices offline and dropout in KD-based FEL systems remains unsolved. Considering training acceleration, how to schedule devices in KD-based FEL to achieve efficient asynchronous training has so far never been studied. When it comes to incentive mechanism, ways of measuring device contributions in the KD-based FEL and creating incentives to keep devices consistently motivated to participate in FEL training is also worth attention. Discussing about privacy protection, how to encode knowledge in KD-based FEL systems for counteracting inversion attacks is also worth concerns, especially for methods that upload features [16,19] or transformed data [29,14] during training which are relatively easy to be attacked. Last but not least, many prior works [21,11,13,15,17,23,31] rely on a publicly available dataset that is inaccessible in real scenarios [2,19], thus novel KD-based FEL methods should provide normative solutions.

4.3 Practical Guidance for Real Deployment

Applying KD-based FEL in practice is a multi-dimensional problem that requires ensembled techniques with performance trade-offs. We suggest that a practical FEL system with KD could include but not limited to resource-aware FEL architecture, model-agnostic representation exchange protocols without open datasets, knowledge adaptation and refinement, KD-specific knowledge compression, privacy-preserving knowledge transfer, techniques for learning from knowledge, solutions for complex communication channels in mobile edge networks, etc. Only in this way can FEL be improved by KD, with wider applications in real-world scenarios.

5 Conclusion

Taking the challenges faced by Federated Edge Learning (FEL) as the main clue, this paper surveys prior works on applying Knowledge Distillation (KD) to FEL, and classifies the role of KD in FEL into four types of knowledge transfer, model representation protocol, component of backbone algorithm and dataset distillation. In addition, the deployment modes of KD are categorized as edge-side, end-side, and edge-end collaboration. We also provide guidance for future research directions and real deployment of KD-based FEL.

In principle, KD can effectively help address the core challenges in FEL and be deemed a functional tool for knowledge transfer and model collaborative training. In addition, we suggest that future research on KD-based FEL should focus on improving model accuracy, while paying attention to open problems we raised. Real deployment requires reasonable integration of existing techniques and multifaceted performance trade-offs.

Acknowledgment. We thank Hui Jiang, Yuchen Zhu and Tianliu He from Institute of Computing Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences for inspiring suggestions.

References

1. Afaf Tak and Soumaya Cherkaoui. Federated edge learning: Design issues and challenges. *IEEE Network*, 35(2):252–258, 2020.
2. Rong Yu and Peichun Li. Toward resource-efficient federated learning in mobile edge computing. *IEEE Network*, 35(1):148–155, 2021.
3. Wei Yang Bryan Lim, Nguyen Cong Luong, Dinh Thai Hoang, Yutao Jiao, Ying-Chang Liang, Qiang Yang, Dusit Niyato, and Chunyan Miao. Federated learning in mobile edge networks: A comprehensive survey. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 22(3):2031–2063, 2020.
4. Geoffrey Hinton, Oriol Vinyals, Jeff Dean, et al. Distilling the knowledge in a neural network. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.02531*, 2(7), 2015.
5. Lin Wang and Kuk-Jin Yoon. Knowledge distillation and student-teacher learning for visual intelligence: A review and new outlooks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2021.
6. Tong He, Chunhua Shen, Zhi Tian, Dong Gong, Changming Sun, and Youliang Yan. Knowledge adaptation for efficient semantic segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 578–587, 2019.
7. Zhiyuan Wu, Yu Jiang, Minghao Zhao, Chupeng Cui, Zongmin Yang, Xinhui Xue, and Hong Qi. Spirit distillation: A model compression method with multi-domain knowledge transfer. In *International Conference on Knowledge Science, Engineering and Management*, pages 553–565. Springer, 2021.
8. Le Thanh Nguyen-Meidine, Atif Belal, Madhu Kiran, Jose Dolz, Louis-Antoine Blais-Morin, and Eric Granger. Unsupervised multi-target domain adaptation through knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 1339–1347, 2021.

9. Rohan Anil, Gabriel Pereyra, Alexandre Passos, Robert Ormandi, George E Dahl, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Large scale distributed neural network training through online distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.03235*, 2018.
10. Itai Bistriz, Ariana Mann, and Nicholas Bambos. Distributed distillation for on-device learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:22593–22604, 2020.
11. Sohei Itahara, Takayuki Nishio, Yusuke Koda, Masahiro Morikura, and Koji Yamamoto. Distillation-based semi-supervised federated learning for communication-efficient collaborative training with non-iid private data. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 22(1):191–205, 2021.
12. Eunjeong Jeong, Seungeun Oh, Hyesung Kim, Jihong Park, Mehdi Bennis, and Seong-Lyun Kim. Communication-efficient on-device machine learning: Federated distillation and augmentation under non-iid private data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.11479*, 2018.
13. Felix Sattler, Arturo Marban, Roman Rischke, and Wojciech Samek. Cfd: Communication-efficient federated distillation via soft-label quantization and delta coding. *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering*, 9(4):2025–2038, 2021.
14. Rui Song, Dai Liu, Dave Zhenyu Chen, Andreas Festag, Carsten Trinitis, Martin Schulz, and Alois Knoll. Federated learning via decentralized dataset distillation in resource-constrained edge environments. In *2023 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, pages 1–10, 2023.
15. Sijie Cheng, Jingwen Wu, Yanghua Xiao, and Yang Liu. Fedgems: Federated learning of larger server models via selective knowledge fusion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.11027*, 2021.
16. Chaoyang He, Murali Annavaram, and Salman Avestimehr. Group knowledge transfer: Federated learning of large cnns at the edge. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:14068–14080, 2020.
17. Yae Jee Cho, Andre Manoel, Gauri Joshi, Robert Sim, and Dimitrios Dimitriadis. Heterogeneous ensemble knowledge transfer for training large models in federated learning. In Lud De Raedt, editor, *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-22*, pages 2881–2887. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 7 2022. Main Track.
18. Zhiyuan Wu, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, Min Liu, Bo Gao, Quyang Pan, Tianliu He, and Xuefeng Jiang. Agglomerative federated learning: Empowering larger model training via end-edge-cloud collaboration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11489*, 2023.
19. Zhiyuan Wu, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, Min Liu, Quyang Pan, Xuefeng Jiang, and Bo Gao. Fedict: Federated multi-task distillation for multi-access edge computing. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2023.
20. Hai Jin, Dongshan Bai, Dezhong Yao, Yutong Dai, Lin Gu, Chen Yu, and Lichao Sun. Personalized edge intelligence via federated self-knowledge distillation. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 34(2):567–580, 2022.
21. Xiaochen Zhou, Yuchuan Tian, and Xudong Wang. Source-target unified knowledge distillation for memory-efficient federated domain adaptation on edge devices, 2022.
22. Lan Zhang, Dapeng Wu, and Xiaoyong Yuan. Fedzkt: Zero-shot knowledge transfer towards resource-constrained federated learning with heterogeneous on-device models. In *2022 IEEE 42nd International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS)*, pages 928–938. IEEE, 2022.

23. Duy Phuong Nguyen, Sixing Yu, J Pablo Muñoz, and Ali Jannesari. Enhancing heterogeneous federated learning with knowledge extraction and multi-model fusion. In *Proceedings of the SC'23 Workshops of The International Conference on High Performance Computing, Network, Storage, and Analysis*, pages 36–43, 2023.
24. Peihan Qi, Xiaoyu Zhou, Yuanlei Ding, Zhengyu Zhang, Shilian Zheng, and Zan Li. Fedbkd: Heterogenous federated learning via bidirectional knowledge distillation for modulation classification in iot-edge system. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 2022.
25. Rahul Mishra, Hari Prabhat Gupta, and Tanima Dutta. A network resource aware federated learning approach using knowledge distillation. In *IEEE INFOCOM 2021-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications Workshops (INFOCOM WKSHPs)*, pages 1–2. IEEE, 2021.
26. Zhiyuan Wu, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, Min Liu, Ke Xu, Wen Wang, Xuefeng Jiang, Bo Gao, and Jinda Lu. Fedcache: A knowledge cache-driven federated learning architecture for personalized edge intelligence. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, 2024.
27. Jin-Hyun Ahn, Osvaldo Simeone, and Joonhyuk Kang. Wireless federated distillation for distributed edge learning with heterogeneous data. In *2019 IEEE 30th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2019.
28. Jin-Hyun Ahn, Osvaldo Simeone, and Joonhyuk Kang. Cooperative learning via federated distillation over fading channels. In *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 8856–8860. IEEE, 2020.
29. Seungeun Oh, Jihong Park, Eunjeong Jeong, Hyesung Kim, Mehdi Bennis, and Seong-Lyun Kim. Mix2fld: Downlink federated learning after uplink federated distillation with two-way mixup. *IEEE Communications Letters*, 24(10):2211–2215, 2020.
30. Chengxi Li, Gang Li, and Pramod K Varshney. Decentralized federated learning via mutual knowledge transfer. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 9(2):1136–1147, 2021.
31. Akihito Taya, Takayuki Nishio, Masahiro Morikura, and Koji Yamamoto. Decentralized and model-free federated learning: Consensus-based distillation in function space. *IEEE Transactions on Signal and Information Processing over Networks*, 8:799–814, 2022.
32. Alessio Mora, Irene Tenison, Paolo Bellavista, and Irina Rish. Knowledge distillation for federated learning: a practical guide. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.04742*, 2022.
33. Jianping Gou, Baosheng Yu, Stephen J Maybank, and Dacheng Tao. Knowledge distillation: A survey. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 129(6):1789–1819, 2021.
34. Baoyun Peng, Xiao Jin, Jiaheng Liu, Dongsheng Li, Yichao Wu, Yu Liu, Shunfeng Zhou, and Zhaoning Zhang. Correlation congruence for knowledge distillation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 5007–5016, 2019.
35. Yifan Liu, Changyong Shu, Jingdong Wang, and Chunhua Shen. Structured knowledge distillation for dense prediction. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 2020.
36. Zhiyuan Wu, Yu Jiang, Chupeng Cui, Zongmin Yang, Xinhui Xue, and Hong Qi. Spirit distillation: Precise real-time semantic segmentation of road scenes with insufficient data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.13733*, 2021.

37. Yiqiang Chen, Wang Lu, Xin Qin, Jindong Wang, and Xing Xie. Metafed: Federated learning among federations with cyclic knowledge distillation for personalized healthcare. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, pages 1–12, 2023.
38. Daliang Li and Junpu Wang. Fedmd: Heterogenous federated learning via model distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03581*, 2019.
39. Yae Jee Cho, Jianyu Wang, Tarun Chirvolu, and Gauri Joshi. Communication-efficient and model-heterogeneous personalized federated learning via clustered knowledge transfer. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, pages 1–14, 2023.
40. Jie Zhang, Song Guo, Xiaosong Ma, Haozhao Wang, Wenchao Xu, and Feijie Wu. Parameterized knowledge transfer for personalized federated learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:10092–10104, 2021.
41. Zhiyuan Wu, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, Min Liu, Quyang Pan, Junbo Zhang, Zeju Li, and Qingxiang Liu. Exploring the distributed knowledge congruence in proxy-data-free federated distillation. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, 15(2):1–34, 2024.
42. Zhuangdi Zhu, Junyuan Hong, and Jiayu Zhou. Data-free knowledge distillation for heterogeneous federated learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 12878–12889. PMLR, 2021.
43. Xuefeng Jiang, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, and Min Liu. Towards federated learning against noisy labels via local self-regularization. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 862–873, 2022.
44. Gihun Lee, Minchan Jeong, Yongjin Shin, Sangmin Bae, and Se-Young Yun. Preservation of the global knowledge by not-true distillation in federated learning. In Alice H. Oh, Alekh Agarwal, Danielle Belgrave, and Kyunghyun Cho, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022.
45. Jiahua Dong, Lixu Wang, Zhen Fang, Gan Sun, Shichao Xu, Xiao Wang, and Qi Zhu. Federated class-incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10164–10173, 2022.
46. Zhiyuan Wu, Tianliu He, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, Min Liu, Bo Gao, and Xuefeng Jiang. Federated class-incremental learning with new-class augmented self-distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.00622*, 2024.
47. Hui Jiang, Min Liu, Sheng Sun, Yuwei Wang, and Xiaobing Guo. Fedsyl: Computation-efficient federated synergy learning on heterogeneous iot devices. In *2022 IEEE/ACM 30th International Symposium on Quality of Service (IWQoS)*, pages 1–10. IEEE, 2022.
48. Jed Mills, Jia Hu, and Geyong Min. Multi-task federated learning for personalised deep neural networks in edge computing. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 33(3):630–641, 2021.
49. Brendan McMahan, Eider Moore, Daniel Ramage, Seth Hampson, and Blaise Aguera y Arcas. Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. In *Artificial intelligence and statistics*, pages 1273–1282. PMLR, 2017.