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#### Abstract

Cyclic codes are an interesting type of linear codes and have wide applications in communication and storage systems due to their efficient encoding and decoding algorithms. Inspired by the recent work on binary cyclic codes published in IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 7842-7849, 2022, the objectives of this paper are the construction and analyses of five infinite families of binary cyclic codes with parameters $[n, k]$ and $(n-6) / 3 \leq k \leq 2(n+6) / 3$. Three of the five families of binary cyclic codes and their duals have a very good lower bound on their minimum distances and contain distance-optimal codes. The other two families of binary cyclic codes are composed of binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances. As a by-product, two infinite families of self-dual binary codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances are obtained.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denote the finite field of order $q$, where $q$ is a power of a prime $p$. An $[n, k, d]$ linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with minimum (Hamming) distance $d$. The dual code of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$, is defined by

$$
\mathcal{C}^{\perp}=\left\{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \mathbf{b c}^{T}=0 \text { for all } \mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{c}^{T}$ is the standard inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$.
The linear code $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is said to be cyclic if $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $\left(c_{n-1}, c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-2}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{C}$. By identifying each vector $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ with

$$
c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right),
$$

a code $\mathcal{C}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ corresponds to a subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a cyclic code if and only if the corresponding subset is an ideal of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$. Note that every ideal of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] /\left(x^{n}-1\right)$ is principal. Then there is a monic polynomial $g(x)$ of the smallest degree such that $\mathcal{C}=(g(x))$ and $g(x) \mid\left(x^{n}-1\right)$. Then $g(x)$ is called the generator polynomial and $h(x)=\left(x^{n}-1\right) / g(x)$ is referred to as the check polynomial of $\mathcal{C}$. Throughout this paper, assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(q, n)=1$. Denote $m=\operatorname{ord}_{n}(q)$, i.e., $m$ is the smallest positive integer such that $q^{m} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod n)$. Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ and put $\beta=\alpha^{\frac{q^{m}-1}{n}}$. Then $\beta$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity. The set $T=\left\{0 \leq i \leq n-1: g\left(\beta^{i}\right)=0\right\}$ is referred to as the defining set of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\beta$. If $T$ contains $\delta-1$ consecutive integers, then we have the well-known BCH bound on cyclic codes, i.e., $d \geq \delta$.

Cyclic codes are interesting in theory, as they are closely related to quite a number of areas of mathematics such as algebra, algebraic number theory, number theory, combinatorics and finite geometry. For example, the determination of the weight distributions of irreducible cyclic codes is the same as the evaluation of certain Gaussian periods [5]. Cyclic codes are important in practice due to their efficient encoding and decoding algorithms. However, it is theoretically hard to design cyclic codes of length $n$ with good parameters if $n$ has small divisors more than 1 due to some general theory developed in [16], [17]. This fact is also confirmed by the tables of best binary cyclic codes in Appendix A. 2 of [2]. It is harder to design binary cyclic codes with good parameters as the alphabet size is too small. It is a much more difficult problem to design an infinite family of binary cyclic codes such that each code in the family has good parameters.

It is an interesting problem to design an infinite family of binary cyclic codes with good parameters such that their duals also have good parameters. For convenience, we call such an infinite family of binary cyclic codes a dually-good infinite family of binary cyclic codes. Dullygood infinite families of binary cyclic codes with small or large dimensions relative to their lengths are relatively easy to construct. The binary Hamming codes and the punctured binary second-order Reed-Muller codes are two dully-good infinite families of binary cyclic codes with a large and small dimension, respectively. However, only a small number of dully-good infinite families of binary cyclic codes with parameters $[n, k]$ and $(n-6) / 3 \leq k \leq 2(n+6) / 3$ are known in the literature. The following is a list of such binary cyclic codes known to the authors.

1) The family of binary quadratic-residue codes.
2) The punctured binary Reed-Muller codes of length $2^{m}-1$ and order $(m-1) / 2$, where $m$ is odd.
3) Two families of cyclic codes presented in [14].

It is in general very hard to determine the minimum distance of a cyclic code with parameters [ $n, k]$ and $(n-6) / 3 \leq k \leq 2(n+6) / 3$ as the dimension $k$ is neither small nor large compared with a large length $n$ [1], [11], [12]. If it is impossible to determine the minimum distance of such a code, the best one can do is to develop a good lower bound on the minimum distance of the code. This is the only way to show that such a code has a good error-correcting capability. However, this is not easy either. It is more difficult to develop good lower bounds on the minimum distances of both $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ [7], [15]. This explains why it is very difficult to find a dully-good infinite family of binary cyclic codes with parameters $[n, k]$ and $(n-6) / 3 \leq k \leq 2(n+6) / 3$.

Inspired by the works in [14], our objectives in this paper are the construction and analyses of five dully-good infinite families of binary cyclic codes with parameters $[n, k]$ and $(n-6) / 3 \leq$ $k \leq 2(n+6) / 3$. Three of the five infinite families of binary cyclic codes and their duals have a very good lower bound on their minimum distances and contain distance-optimal codes. The other two families of binary cyclic codes are composed of binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances. As a by-product, two families of self-dual binary codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances are obtained.

In this paper by the Database we mean the tables of best known linear codes [8], which are maintained by Markus Grassl at http://www.codetables.de/. We inform the reader that all the code examples given in this paper are computed by the Magma software package.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. Section 2 introduces and analyses the first three
families of binary cyclic codes and their related codes. Section 3 constructs and analyses the two families of binary duadic codes and their related codes. Section 4 concludes this paper and proposes some open problems.

## 2. THE FIRST THREE FAMILIES OF BINARY CYCLIC CODES AND THEIR DUALS

## A. The construction of the first three families of binary cyclic codes

Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and let $n=2^{m}-1$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\{0,1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$ be the ring of integers modulo $n$. For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$, the 2 -cyclotomic coset of $s$ modulo $n$ is defined by

$$
C_{s}^{(2, n)}=\left\{s, s 2, s 2^{2}, \ldots, s 2^{l_{s}-1}\right\} \bmod n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n},
$$

where $l_{s}$ is the smallest positive integer such that $s \equiv s 2^{l_{s}}(\bmod n)$. For an integer $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq 2^{m}-1$, let

$$
i=i_{m-1} 2^{m-1}+i_{m-2} 2^{m-2}+\cdots+i_{1} 2+i_{0}
$$

be the 2 -adic expansion of $i$, where $i_{j} \in\{0,1\}$ for $0 \leq j \leq m-1$. We will also write $i=\left(i_{m-1}, i_{m-2}, \ldots, i_{1}, i_{0}\right)$ and call it the 2 -adic expansion of $i$ in the sequel. For any $i$ with $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, define $w_{2}(i)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} i_{j}$. Let $\alpha$ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}^{*}$. We define a polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{(i, m)}(x)=\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ w_{2}(j) \equiv i(\bmod 3)}}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i \in\{0,1,2\}$. Note that $w_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)=w_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)$ if $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ belong to the same cyclotomic coset. It then follows that $g_{(i, m)}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ denote the binary cyclic code of length $n=2^{m}-1$ with generator polynomial $g_{(i, m)}(x)$ for $i=0,1,2$. When $m=3$ and 4 , Table $\square$ shows that the three families of binary cyclic codes and their dual codes contain some optimal binary cyclic codes, which motivated us to study the parameters of the three families of binary cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ and their dual codes.

In the following three subsections, the dimensions of the three families of binary cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ and their dual codes will be determined and lower bounds on their minimum distances will be developed with the BCH bound on cyclic codes.

## B. Some auxiliary results

In this subsection, we will present some auxiliary results on the defining sets of the three families of binary cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$, which will play an important role in developing good

TABLE I
PARAMETERS OF $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ FOR $m=3,4$

| Code | Parameters | Optimality |  | Code | Parameters | Optimality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3)}$ | $[7,7,1]$ | Optimal |  | $\mathcal{C}_{(0,4)}$ | $[15,11,3]$ | Optimal |
| $\mathcal{C}_{(1,3)}$ | $[7,4,3]$ | Optimal |  | $\mathcal{C}_{(1,4)}$ | $[15,11,3]$ | Optimal |
| $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3)}$ | $[7,4,3]$ | Optimal |  | $\mathcal{C}_{(2,4)}$ | $[15,9,4]$ | Optimal |
| $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3)}^{\perp}$ | $[7,0]$ | $/$ |  | $\mathcal{C}_{(0,4)}^{\perp}$ | $[15,4,8]$ | Optimal |
| $\mathcal{C}_{(1,3)}^{\perp}$ | $[7,3,4]$ | Optimal |  | $\mathcal{C}_{(1,4)}^{\perp}$ | $[15,4,8]$ | Optimal |
| $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3)}^{\perp}$ | $[7,3,4]$ | Optimal |  | $\mathcal{C}_{(2,4)}^{\perp}$ | $[15,6,6]$ | Optimal |

lower bounds on the minimum distance of the binary codes. The following well-known lemma will be employed later.

Lemma 2.1. Let $l$ and $m$ be two positive integers. Then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a^{m}-1, a^{l}-1\right)=a^{\operatorname{gcd}(m, l)}-1,
$$

where $a \geq 2$ is a positive integer.

Below we always assume that $n=2^{m}-1$. Denote

$$
T_{(i, m)}=\left\{1 \leq j \leq n-1: w_{2}(j) \equiv i \quad(\bmod 3)\right\}
$$

for $i=0,1,2$. By the definition of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ given by (1), $T_{(i, m)}$ is the defining set of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ with respect to the $n$-th primitive root of unity $\alpha$.

1) The odd $m$ case:

Lemma 2.2. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \geq 7$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq T_{(0, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq T_{(1, m)}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+1 \leq a \leq 2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+2^{(m-3) / 2}+1\right\} \subseteq T_{(2, m)} .
$$

Proof. If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, it follows from Lemma2.1 that $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$. When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}+2$, we have

$$
a v=2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}-2=2\left(2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3) / 2}-1\right) .
$$

Consequently, $w_{2}(a v)=(m-1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}+1$, av $=2^{m-1}-1$ and $w_{2}(a v)=(m-1) \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$. When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}, w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=(m-1) / 2 \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$. Now we assume that $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$. Let $a=2^{l} \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}$ is odd and $l \geq 0$ is an integer. Then we have $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$ and the 2 -adic expansion of $\bar{a}$ given by

$$
\bar{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i} .
$$

Since $\bar{a}$ is odd, $a_{0}=1$. We have

$$
\bar{a} v=\sum_{i=1}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i+(m-1) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2}\left(1-a_{i}\right) 2^{i}+1 .
$$

It then follows that

$$
w_{2}(\bar{a} v)=w_{2}(\bar{a})-1+1+\frac{m-1}{2}-w_{2}(\bar{a})=\frac{m-1}{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 3) .
$$

The desired conclusion in the first case then follows.
If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m+1) / 2, m)}-1=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m+1) / 2,(m-1) / 2)}-1=1 .
$$

When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}$, it is easy to see that

$$
w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=\frac{m+1}{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 3) .
$$

Furthermore, one can similarly check that $w_{2}(a v) \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ for $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}+1$ and $2^{(m-1) / 2}+2$. Next, we assume that $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$. Let $a=2^{l} \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}$ is odd and $l \geq 0$ is an integer. Then we have $1 \leq \bar{a} \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$. Let the 2 -adic expansion of $\bar{a}$ be given by

$$
\bar{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i} .
$$

Since $\bar{a}$ is odd, $a_{0}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a} v & =\bar{a} 2^{(m+1) / 2}-\bar{a} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i+(m+1) / 2}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2}\left(1-a_{i}\right) 2^{i}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, we have

$$
w_{2}(\bar{a} v)=w_{2}(\bar{a})-1+2+\frac{m-1}{2}-w_{2}(\bar{a})=\frac{m+1}{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 3) .
$$

If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$. When $a=2^{m-1}+$ $2^{(m-1) / 2}+1$, one can check that

$$
w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=(m-3) / 2 \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 3) .
$$

Write $a=2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+1+t$ for $1 \leq t \leq 2^{(m-3) / 2}-1$ and $t=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-5) / 2} t_{i} 2^{i}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a v & =\left(2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+1+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-5) / 2} t_{i} 2^{i}\right)\left(2^{(m-1) / 2}-1\right) \\
& =2^{(m-1) / 2} 2^{m-1}-1+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-5) / 2} t_{i} 2^{i+(m-1) / 2}-\sum_{i=0}^{(m-5) / 2} t_{i} 2^{i} \\
& \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{(m-5) / 2} t_{i} 2^{i+(m-1) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-5) / 2}\left(1-t_{i}\right) 2^{i}(\bmod n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It then follows that

$$
w_{2}(a v \bmod n)=w_{2}(t)+\frac{m-3}{2}-w_{2}(t)=\frac{m-3}{2} \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 3) .
$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \geq 9$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+1 \leq a \leq 2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+2^{(m-3) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(0, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(1, m)}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(2, m)}
$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 2.2 and omitted here.
Lemma 2.4. Let $m \equiv 5(\bmod 6) \geq 5$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(0, m)} .
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+1 \leq a \leq 2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+2^{(m-3) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(1, m)}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(2, m)}
$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 2.2 and omitted here.
2) The even $m$ case:

Lemma 2.5. Let $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \geq 4$ and $v=2^{(m-2) / 2}-1$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m-2) / 2, m)}-1=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m-2) / 2,(m+2) / 2)}-1=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m-2) / 2,2)}-1=1 .
$$

We begin to prove the second conclusion. When $a=2^{(m-2) / 2}$, we have

$$
w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=\frac{m-2}{2} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
2 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) \\
0 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\
1 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now assume that $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}-1$. Let $a=2^{l} \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}$ is odd and $l \geq 0$ is an integer. Let the 2-adic expansion of $\bar{a}$ be given by

$$
\bar{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-4) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i}
$$

where $a_{0}=1$. We have

$$
\bar{a} v=\sum_{i=1}^{(m-4) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i+(m-2) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-4) / 2}\left(1-a_{i}\right) 2^{i}+1 .
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{2}(a v) & =w_{2}(\bar{a} v)=w_{2}(\bar{a})-1+1+\frac{m-2}{2}-w_{2}(\bar{a}) \\
& =\frac{m-2}{2} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
2 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
0 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
1 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 2.6. Let $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \geq 4$ and $v=2^{(m-4) / 2}-1$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}\right\} \subseteq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) \\
T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\
T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m-4) / 2, m)}-1=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m-4) / 2,(m+4) / 2)}-1=1 .
$$

When $a=2^{(m-4) / 2}$, we have

$$
w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=\frac{m-4}{2} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
1 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) \\
2 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\
0 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, we assume that $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}-1$. Let $a=2^{l} \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}$ is odd and $l \geq 0$ is an integer.
Let the 2-adic expansion of $\bar{a}$ be given by

$$
\bar{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-6) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i}
$$

where $a_{0}=1$. One can check that

$$
\bar{a} v=\sum_{i=1}^{(m-6) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i+(m-2) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-6) / 2}\left(1-a_{i}\right) 2^{i}+1 .
$$

It then follows that

$$
w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(\bar{a} v)=\frac{m-4}{2} \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \\
2 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \\
(\bmod 6) \\
0 & (\bmod 3), & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \\
(\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Summarizing the discussions above completes proof.

## C. Parameters of the binary codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$

In this subsection, we investigate the dimensions and minimum distances of the binary cyclic $\operatorname{codes} \mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ for $i=0,1,2$.

Let $\omega=e^{\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{3}} \in \mathbb{C}$ be a 3-th primitive root of unity, i.e., $\omega^{2}+\omega+1=0$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \equiv 0 \\(\bmod 3)}}\binom{m}{i}, s_{1}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \equiv 1 \\(\bmod 3)}}\binom{m}{i}, \quad \text { and } s_{2}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq m \\ i \equiv 2 \\(\bmod 3)}}\binom{m}{i} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
(1+\omega)^{m}=s_{0}+s_{1} \omega+s_{2} \omega^{2}, \quad\left(1+\omega^{2}\right)^{m}=s_{0}+s_{1} \omega^{2}+s_{2} \omega .
$$

It follows from $(1+\omega)^{m}\left(1+\omega^{2}\right)^{m}=1$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}^{2}+s_{1}^{2}+s_{2}^{2}-s_{0} s_{1}-s_{0} s_{2}-s_{1} s_{2}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1) Parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ when $m \geq 5$ is odd: When $m \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \geq 7$ is odd, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are investigated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \geq 7$ be an odd integer. Then the codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+3\right]
$$

and the code $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-3) / 2}+2\right] .
$$

Proof. Note that $m \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ is odd and $w_{2}(i)+w_{2}(n-i)=m$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence $i \in T_{(0, m)}$ if and only if $n-i \in T_{(1, m)}$, which means that

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right| .
$$

In this case, by (2), we have

$$
s_{0}=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+1, \quad s_{1}=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|+1, \quad s_{2}=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|
$$

One can compute from (3) that

$$
\left(\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+1-\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|\right)^{2}=1
$$

Then

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right| \quad \text { or } \quad\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+2
$$

We assert that $\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|$ holds, otherwise, we have $\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+2$ and $3\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+$ $2=2^{m}-2$, which leads to a contradiction since $\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3$ is not a integer. Consequently,

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-2\right) / 3
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}\right)=n-\left(2^{m}-2\right) / 3=\left(2^{m+1}-1\right) / 3
$$

Denote $v=2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$. Let $\bar{v}$ be the integer satisfying $v \bar{v} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Write $\gamma=\alpha^{\bar{v}}$. It is deduced from Lemma 2.2 that the defining set of $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ with respect to $\gamma$ contains the set $\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\}$. The lower bound on the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ then follows from the BCH bound on cyclic codes. The desired conclusion on the minimum distances of $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ can be similarly obtained. This completes the proof.

When $m \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \geq 9$ is odd, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are treated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \geq 9$ be an odd integer. Then the codes $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-4\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and the code $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+5\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-3) / 2}+1\right]
$$

Proof. Note that $m \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$ and $w_{2}(i)+w_{2}(n-i)=m$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence $i \in T_{(1, m)}$ if and only if $n-i \in T_{(2, m)}$. Then

$$
\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|
$$

In this case, by (2), we have

$$
s_{0}=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+2, s_{1}=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|, s_{2}=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|
$$

One can similarly obtain from (3) that $\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+3$. Thus, we have

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-8\right) / 3,\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}+1\right) / 3
$$

The desired conclusion on the dimensions then follows. The lower bounds on the minimum distances can be derived by employing Lemma 2.3. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.7 and omitted.

When $m \equiv 5(\bmod 6) \geq 5$ is odd, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are investigated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let $m \equiv 5(\bmod 6) \geq 5$ be an odd integer. Then the codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and the code $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-3) / 2}+1\right]
$$

Proof. Note that $m \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$. Then one similarly has

$$
\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|
$$

and

$$
s_{0}=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+1, \quad s_{1}=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|, \quad s_{2}=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|+1
$$

The remainder of the proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.7 with the help of Lemma 2.4 and we omit the details.
2) Parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ when $m \geq 4$ is even: Assume that $m \geq 4$ is an even integer. We investigate the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ in the two cases: $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. When $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \geq 4$ is even, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are studied in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. Let $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then we have the following.

1) If $m \equiv 0(\bmod 6) \geq 6$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-2\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+1\right] .
$$

2) If $m \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \geq 8$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-5\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+1\right]
$$

3) If $m \equiv 4(\bmod 6) \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-5\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+1\right] .
$$

Proof. When $m \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$, we similarly have $\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|$ and

$$
s_{0}=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+2, s_{1}=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|, s_{2}=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right| .
$$

It is deduced from (3) that

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3,\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-1\right) / 3 .
$$

When $m \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \geq 8$, we similarly have $\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|$ and

$$
s_{0}=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+1, \quad s_{1}=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|, \quad s_{2}=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|+1
$$

It is deduced from (3) that

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3,\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}+2\right) / 3 .
$$

When $m \equiv 4(\bmod 6) \geq 4$, we similarly have $\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|$ and

$$
s_{0}=\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|+1, s_{1}=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|+1, \quad s_{2}=\left|T_{(2, m)}\right| .
$$

It is deduced from (3) that

$$
\left|T_{(0, m)}\right|=\left|T_{(1, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3,\left|T_{(2, m)}\right|=\left(2^{m}+2\right) / 3
$$

The desired conclusion on the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ then follows.
For $v=2^{(m-2) / 2}-1$, it follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ if $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Let $\bar{v}$ be the multiplicative inverse of $v$ modulo $n$ and let $\gamma=\alpha^{\bar{v}}$. It then follows again from Lemma 2.5 that the defining set of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ with respect to $\gamma$ contains the set $\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{(m-2) / 2}\right\}$. The desired lower bound on $d$ then follows from the BCH bound on cyclic codes.

When $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \geq 4$ is even, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are investigated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.11. Let $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \geq 4$ be an even integer. Then we have the following.

1) If $m \equiv 0(\bmod 6) \geq 6$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-2\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+1\right]
$$

2) If $m \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \geq 8$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-5\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+1\right] .
$$

3) If $m \equiv 4(\bmod 6) \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}$ have parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}+1\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+1\right]
$$

and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}$ has parameters

$$
\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m+1}-5\right) / 3, d \geq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+1\right]
$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.10 and omitted here.
D. Parameters of the dual codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$

In this subsection, we investigate the parameters of the dual $\operatorname{codes} \mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$ for $i=0,1,2$. Their dimensions are explicitly determined and low bounds on the minimum distances of these codes are developed.

1) Parameters of $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\perp}{(i, m)}$ when $m \geq 5$ is odd: To develop lower bounds on the minimum distances of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$ for odd $m \geq 5$, we need the following three lemmas, which can be similarly proved by using the same techniques given in Section 2-B. Below we only state the lemmas and omit their proofs.

Lemma 2.12. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \geq 7$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and
$\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m}-2^{(m-1) / 2}-5 \leq a \leq n-1\right.$, or $\left.0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+4\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}$.
Lemma 2.13. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \geq 9$. Then we have the following.
4) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m}-2^{(m-1) / 2}-5 \leq a \leq n-1, \text { or } 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+4\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)} .
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}
$$

Lemma 2.14. Let $m \equiv 5(\bmod 6) \geq 5$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)} .
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m}-2^{(m-1) / 2}-1 \leq a \leq n-1, \text { or } 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}
$$

The dimensions and lower bounds on the minimum distances of the dual codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are documented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.15. Let $m \geq 5$ be an odd integer.

1) The code $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$ has parameters $\left[2^{m}-1, k^{\perp}, d^{\perp}\right]$, where $k^{\perp}$ and $d^{\perp}$ are given as follows:

$$
k^{\perp}= \begin{cases}\left(2^{m}-2\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \text { or } 5 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 5 \\ \left(2^{m}-8\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-1) / 2}+4, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \text { or } 5 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 5 \\ 2^{(m+1) / 2}+10, & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9\end{cases}
$$

2) The code $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}^{\perp}$ has parameters $\left[2^{m}-1, k^{\perp}, d^{\perp}\right]$, where $k^{\perp}$ and $d^{\perp}$ are given as follows:

$$
k^{\perp}= \begin{cases}\left(2^{m}-2\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \text { or } 5 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 5 \\ \left(2^{m}+1\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
d^{\perp} \geq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
2^{(m-1) / 2}+4, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \\
2^{(m-1) / 2}+2, & (\bmod 6) \geq 7 \\
2^{(m+1) / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 5
\end{array} \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9, \bmod 6\right) \geq 5, ~ \$
$$

3) The code $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}^{\perp}$ has parameters $\left[2^{m}-1, k^{\perp}, d^{\perp}\right]$, where $k^{\perp}$ and $d^{\perp}$ are given as follows:

$$
k^{\perp}= \begin{cases}\left(2^{m}-2\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \text { or } 5 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 5 \\ \left(2^{m}+1\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
d^{\perp} \geq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
2^{(m+1) / 2}+10, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \\
2^{(m-1) / 2}+2, & \quad \text { if } m \equiv 3 \\
\left.2^{(m o d} 6\right) \geq 7 \\
2^{(m-1) / 2}+4, & \text { if } m \equiv 5
\end{array} \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9, ~ 6\right) \geq 5 . ~ \$
$$

Proof. We prove the desired conclusion only for the code $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$, as the conclusion for $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}^{\perp}$ can be similarly proved.

Note that $w_{2}(i)+w_{2}(n-i)=m$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then

$$
T_{(0, m)}^{-1}= \begin{cases}T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 7  \tag{4}\\ T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \\ T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 5 \quad(\bmod 6) \geq 9\end{cases}
$$

Let $T_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$ be the defining set of $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$. Thus we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}\right)=n-\left|T_{(0, m)}^{\perp}\right|=n-\left|\mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}^{-1}\right|=\left|T_{(0, m)}^{-1}\right|
$$

The desired conclusion on the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$ then follows from (4) and Theorems 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9. The desired lower bound on the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$ is deduced from the BCH bound with the help of Lemmas 2.12, 2.13, and 2.14,

Example 1. Let $m=5$ and let $\alpha$ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{5}}^{*}$ with $\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{2}+1=0$.

- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(0,5)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[31,21,5]$ and $[31,10,12]$, respectively, and are optimal according to the Database [8].
- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(1,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,5)}^{\perp}$ have parameters $[31,21,5]$ and $[31,10,10]$, respectively, and the former code is optimal according to the Database [8].
- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(2,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,5)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[31,21,5]$ and $[31,10,12]$, respectively, and are optimal according to the Database [8].

2) Parameters of $\mathcal{C} \frac{\perp}{(i, m)}$, when $m \geq 4$ is even: Assume that $m \geq 4$ is an even integer. To develop lower bounds on the minimum distances of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$ in this case, the following two lemmas will be employed later. The two lemmas below can be similarly proved by using the same techniques given in Section 2-B, Below we only state the lemmas and omit their proofs.

Lemma 2.16. Let $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \geq 4$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-2) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \\
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+4\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \\
(\bmod 6) \\
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+4\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4
\end{array}(\bmod 6) .\right.
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-2) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\begin{cases}\left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m}-2^{(m-2) / 2}-3 \leq a \leq n-1,\right. \text { or } & \\ \left.0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \\ \left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m}-2^{(m-2) / 2}-3 \leq a \leq n-1,\right. \text { or } & \\ \left.0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \\ 0 & (\bmod 6) ; \\ \left\{a v \bmod n: 2^{m}-2^{(m-2) / 2}-1 \leq a \leq n-1,\right. \text { or } & \\ \left.0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \\ 0 & (\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

3) If $v=2^{(m+2) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+4\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-2) / 2}+4\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 2.17. Let $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \geq 6$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-4) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) \\
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\
\left\{a v: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-4) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and
3) If $v=2^{(m+4) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(1, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(2, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) ; \\
\left\{a v \bmod n: 0 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-4) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash T_{(0, m)}, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array}\right.
$$

When $m \geq 4$ is even, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$ for $i=0,1,2$ are treated in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.18. Let $m \geq 4$ be even. Then we have the following.

1) The code $\mathcal{C}_{(0, m)}^{\perp}$ has parameters $\left[2^{m}-1,\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3, d^{\perp}\right]$, where

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{m / 2}+6, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-2) / 2}+6, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-2) / 2}+6, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \quad(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

when $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, or

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-2) / 2}+10, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \\ 2^{(m-4) / 2}+4, & (\bmod 6) \\ \text { if }^{(m-4) / 2} \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-4)} & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \\ (\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

when $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
2) The code $\mathcal{C}_{(1, m)}^{\perp}$ has parameters $\left[2^{m}-1, k^{\perp}, d^{\perp}\right]$, where

$$
k^{\perp}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(2^{m}-1\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) \\
\left(2^{m}+2\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\
\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-2) / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{m / 2}+6, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-2) / 2}+6, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \quad(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

when $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, or

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-4) / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-2) / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-4) / 2}+4, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \quad(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

when $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.
3) The code $\mathcal{C}_{(2, m)}^{\perp}$ has parameters $\left[2^{m}-1, k^{\perp}, d^{\perp}\right]$, where

$$
k^{\perp}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(2^{m}-1\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 & (\bmod 6) \\
\left(2^{m}-4\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 & (\bmod 6) \\
\left(2^{m}+2\right) / 3, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 & (\bmod 6),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-2) / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{(m-2) / 2}+6, & \text { if } m \equiv 2 \quad(\bmod 6) \\ 2^{m / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \quad(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

when $m \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, or

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-4) / 2}+2, & \text { if } m \equiv 0 \\ 2^{(m-4) / 2}+4, & (\bmod 6) \\ \text { if }^{(m-2) / 2}+10, & \text { if } m \equiv 4 \\ 2^{(m)} & (\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

when $m \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.15 and omitted here.
Example 2. Let $m=6$ and let $\alpha$ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{6}}^{*}$ with $\alpha^{6}+\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{3}+\alpha+1=0$.

- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0,6)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(0,6)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[63,43,6]$ and $[63,20,14]$, respectively.
- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(1,6)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,6)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[63,42,6]$ and $[63,21,16]$, respectively.
- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(2,6)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,6)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[63,42,6]$ and $[63,21,16]$, respectively.
E. Comments on the binary cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$

The dimensions $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}\right)$ of these codes are around $2 n / 3$ and the dimensions of their duals are around $n / 3$. Experimental data shows that the lower bounds on the minimum distances of $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(i, m)}^{\perp}$ developed in the previous subsections are very good. In particular, the three families of codes contain distance-optimal codes (see Example 1). Hence, they are three dullygood infinite families of binary cyclic codes.

## 3. Two families of binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound

## A. Known binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound

In this subsection, we introduce binary duadic codes and survey binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances, which are binary duadic codes with parameters of the form $[n,(n \pm 1) / 2, d]$ such that $d$ is very close to $\sqrt{n}$.

Let $n$ be an odd positive integer and let $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ denote the ring of integers modulo $n$. Let $m=$ $\operatorname{ord}_{n}(2)$, i.e., the order of 2 modulo $n$. Let $\beta$ be an $n$-th primitive root of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$. Let $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ be two subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ such that

- $S_{1} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$ and $S_{1} \cup S_{2}=\mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash\{0\}$, and
- both $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are the union of some 2-cyclotomic cosets modulo $n$.

If there is a unit $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ such that $S_{1} \mu=S_{2}$ and $S_{2} \mu=S_{1}$, then $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \mu\right)$ is called a splitting of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

Let $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \mu\right)$ be a splitting of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. Define

$$
g_{i}(x)=\prod_{i \in S_{i}}\left(x-\beta^{i}\right) \text { and } \tilde{g}_{i}(x)=(x-1) g_{i}(x)
$$

for $i \in\{1,2\}$. The pair of cyclic codes $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with generator polynomials $g_{1}(x)$ and $g_{2}(x)$ are called odd-like duadic codes, and the pair of cyclic codes $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{2}$ of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with generator polynomials $\tilde{g}_{1}(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_{2}(x)$ are called even-like duadic codes.

By definition, $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ have parameters $[n,(n+1) / 2]$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{2}$ have parameters $[n,(n-$ $1) / 2$ ]. For odd-like duadic codes, we have the following result [6, Theorem 6.5.2].

Theorem 3.1 (Square root bound). Let $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ be a pair of odd-like duadic codes of length $n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. Let $d_{o}$ be their (common) minimum odd weight. Then the following hold:

1) $d_{o}^{2} \geq n$.
2) If the splitting defining the duadic codes is given by $\mu=-1$, then $d_{o}^{2}-d_{o}+1 \geq n$.
3) Suppose $d_{o}^{2}-d_{o}+1=n$, where $d_{o}>2$, and assume that the splitting defining the duadic codes is given by $\mu=-1$. Then $d_{o}$ is the minimum weight of both $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{2}$.

Binary duadic codes are theoretically attractive due to the following facts [4]:

- All code examples demonstrate that duadic codes of prime lengths have a square-root bound on their minimum distances. It is known that duadic codes that are not quadratic-residue codes have a square-root bound on their minimum odd weight (see Theorem 3.1).
- Duadic codes could be the best class of cyclic codes of certain lengths. For example, consider $n=31$ and all binary cyclic codes of length 31 and dimension 16 . There are four $[31,16]$ binary cyclic codes up to equivalence, one is the quadratic-residue code and the other is duadic, not quadratic-residue. Both of these have minimum weight 7 , the other two codes have minimum weights 5 and 6 [6, Chapter 6].
- Another example of very good duadic codes that are not quadratic-residue codes are the $[113,57,18]$ codes, which have higher minimum weight than the quadratic residue code of the same length [13]. Further the [151, 76, 23] and [233, 117, 32] duadic codes have higher minimum weights than the quadratic residue codes of the same lengths [13] and indeed are the best codes known of their length.
- Every self-dual extended cyclic binary code is the extended code of a duadic code [13, p. 10].

Duadic codes are a generalisation of the quadratic residue codes. They were introduced and investigated by Leon, Masley and Pless [9], Leon [10], and Pless, Masley and Leon [13], where a number of properties are proved. Also all binary duadic codes of length until 241 are described in [13]. The total number of binary duadic codes of prime power lengths and their constructions were presented in [3] and [4]. Further information on the existence, constructions, and properties of duadic codes can be found in [6, Chapter 6].

It is proven that binary duadic codes of length $n$ exist if and only if $n=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{m_{i}}$ where each $p_{i} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 8)$ [9]. In general, there are many binary duadic codes of length $n$ (see [3] and [4]). Experimental computations show that the minimum distance of many binary duadic codes is poor [6, Chapter 6]. The minimum weight of an odd-like duadic code may be even. It is open which binary duadic codes have an odd minimum weight. Hence, the lower bound in Theorem 3.1 cannot be used to develop a lower bound on the minimum weight of a binary duadic code. The only known infinite families of binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances are the following:

- Binary quadratic residue codes with parameters $[n,(n+1) / 2, d]$, where $d^{2} \geq n$ and $n \equiv \pm 1$ $(\bmod 8)$ is a prime.
- The punctured binary Reed-Muller codes of order $(m-1) / 2$ which has parameters $\left[2^{m}-\right.$ $\left.1,2^{m-1}, 2^{(m+1) / 2}-1\right]$, where $m$ is odd.
- Two infinite families of binary duadic codes with parameters $\left.\left[2^{m}-1,2^{m-1}, d\right]\right]$ presented
in [14], where $m>3$ is odd and $d$ has a square-root-like lower bound.
Motivated by these facts above, we will present two more infinite families of binary duadic codes with a square-root-like lower bound on their minimum distances in the next subsection.


## B. The two families of binary duadic codes

From now on, we fix $n=2^{m}-1$ and let $\alpha$ denote a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$, where $m \geq 3$ is odd. Define a polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}(x)=\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ w_{2}(j) \equiv i_{1} \text { or } i_{2}}}\left(x-\alpha^{j}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ are a pair of distinct elements in the set $\{0,1,2,3\}$. It is easily seen that $g_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}$ denote the binary cyclic code of length $n=2^{m}-1$ with generator polynomial $g_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}(x)$. Denote

$$
T_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}=\left\{1 \leq j \leq n-1: \quad w_{2}(j) \equiv i_{1} \text { or } i_{2} \quad(\bmod 4)\right\}
$$

for $i=0,1,2,3$. It is clear that $T_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}$ is the defining set of $\mathcal{C}_{\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, m\right)}$ with respect to the $n$-th primitive root of unity $\alpha$. When $m$ is odd, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}_{(0,2, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,3, m)}$ form a pair of odd-like duadic codes, which were originally proposed and studied in [14]. We will prove the following two statements later:

- When $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ form a pair of odd-like duadic codes.
- When $m \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}$ form a pair of odd-like duadic codes.

In the following subsections, we will investigate the dimensions and minimum distances of the two families of duadic codes and their dual and extended codes.

## C. Some auxiliary results

To develop lower bounds on the minimum distances of the two families of duadic codes, we need some auxiliary results about their defining sets.

Lemma 3.2. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \geq 9$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq T_{(0,3, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq T_{(1,2, m)}
$$

Proof. If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$. When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}+2$, we have

$$
a v=2^{m-1}+2^{(m-1) / 2}-2=2\left(2^{m-2}+2^{(m-3) / 2}-1\right) .
$$

Consequently, $w_{2}(a v)=(m-1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}+1, a v=2^{m-1}-1$ and $w_{2}(a v)=m-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}, w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=(m-1) / 2 \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Now we assume that $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$. Let $a=2^{l} \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}$ is odd and $l \geq 0$ is an integer. Then we have $1 \leq \bar{a} \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$ and the 2 -adic expansion of $\bar{a}$ is given by

$$
\bar{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i}
$$

Since $\bar{a}$ is odd, $a_{0}=1$. We have

$$
\bar{a} v=\sum_{i=1}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i+(m-1) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2}\left(1-a_{i}\right) 2^{i}+1
$$

It then follows that

$$
w_{2}(\bar{a} v)=w_{2}(\bar{a})-1+1+\frac{m-1}{2}-w_{2}(\bar{a})=\frac{m-1}{2} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 4) .
$$

The desired conclusion in the first case then follows.
If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m+1) / 2, m)}-1=2^{\operatorname{gcd}((m+1) / 2,(m-1) / 2)}-1=1
$$

When $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}$, it is easy to see that

$$
w_{2}(a v)=w_{2}(v)=\frac{m+1}{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4)
$$

Furthermore, one can similarly check that $w_{2}(a v) \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ for $a=2^{(m-1) / 2}+1$ and $2^{(m-1) / 2}+2$. Next, we assume that $1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$. Let $a=2^{l} \bar{a}$, where $\bar{a}$ is odd and $l \geq 0$ is an integer. Then we have $1 \leq \bar{a} \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$. Let the 2 -adic expansion of $\bar{a}$ be given by

$$
\bar{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i} .
$$

Since $\bar{a}$ is odd, $a_{0}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{a} v & =\bar{a} 2^{(m+1) / 2}-\bar{a} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{(m-3) / 2} a_{i} 2^{i+(m+1) / 2}+2^{(m-1) / 2}+\sum_{i=0}^{(m-3) / 2}\left(1-a_{i}\right) 2^{i}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, we have

$$
w_{2}(\bar{a} v)=w_{2}(\bar{a})-1+2+\frac{m-1}{2}-w_{2}(\bar{a})=\frac{m+1}{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 4) .
$$

This completes the proof.
The following three lemmas can be similarly proved and their proofs are omitted here.

Lemma 3.3. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 8) \geq 3$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(0,1, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(2,3, m)}
$$

Lemma 3.4. Let $m \equiv 5(\bmod 8) \geq 5$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(0,3, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}\right\} \subseteq T_{(1,2, m)}
$$

Lemma 3.5. Let $m \equiv 7(\bmod 8) \geq 7$. Then we have the following.

1) If $v=2^{(m+1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq T_{(0,1, m)}
$$

2) If $v=2^{(m-1) / 2}-1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$ and

$$
\left\{a v: 1 \leq a \leq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\} \subseteq T_{(2,3, m)}
$$

## D. Parameters of the two families of duadic codes and their related codes

When $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \geq 5$, the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ are treated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \geq 5$ be an integer. Then $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ form a pair of odd-like duadic codes with parameters $\left[2^{m}-1,2^{m-1}, d\right]$, where

$$
d \geq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2^{(m-1) / 2}+3 & \text { if } m \equiv 1 & (\bmod 8) \\
2^{(m-1) / 2}+1 & \text { if } m \equiv 5 & (\bmod 8)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Note that $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $w_{2}(i)=m-w_{2}(n-i)$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence, $i \in T_{(0,3, m)}$ if and only if $n-i \in T_{(1,2, m)}$. It then follows that $T_{(0,3, m)}$ and $T_{(1,2, m)}$ partition $\mathbb{Z}_{n} \backslash\{0\}$ and

$$
T_{(0,3, m)}=-T_{(1,2, m)} \text { and } T_{(1,2, m)}=-T_{(0,3, m)}
$$

It then follows that $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ form a pair of duadic codes with length $n$ and dimension $(n+1) / 2$. Hence, the two codes have the same minimum distance $d$.

We only prove the lower bounds on minimum distannce $d$ in the case that $m \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ as it is similar to prove the desired conclusion for $m \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Denote $v=2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $\operatorname{gcd}(v, n)=1$. Let $\bar{v}$ be the integer satisfying $v \bar{v} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$. Write $\gamma=\alpha^{\bar{v}}$. It is deduced from Lemma 3.2 that defining set of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ with respect to $\gamma$ contains the set $\left\{1,2, \ldots, 2^{(m-1) / 2}+2\right\}$. The desired lower bound on the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ then follows from the BCH bound on cyclic codes. The desired conclusion on the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ follows naturally, as the two duadic codes have the same minimum distance. This completes the proof.

When $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \geq 5$, the parameters of the dual $\operatorname{codes} \mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}^{\perp}$ are studied in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \geq 5$ be an integer. Then $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}^{\perp}$ form a pair of even-like duadic codes with parameters $\left[2^{m}-1,2^{m-1}-1, d^{\perp}\right]$, where

$$
d^{\perp} \geq\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
2^{(m-1) / 2}+4 & \text { if } m \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 8) \\
2^{(m-1) / 2}+2 & \text { if } m \equiv 5
\end{array} \quad(\bmod 8) .\right.
$$

Proof. Note that the defining sets of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{L}_{(0,3, m)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}^{\perp}$ with respect to $\alpha$ are $\{0\} \cup T_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\{0\} \cup T_{(1,2, m)}$, respectively. It then follows that $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}^{\perp}$ is the even-weight subcode of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$
and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}^{\perp}$ is the even-weight subcode of $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$. The desired conclusion then follows from Theorem 3.6 .

When $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \geq 5$, the parameters of extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}}$ are investigated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. Let $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4) \geq 5$ be an integer. Then the extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ are self-dual and doubly-even. Furthermore, they have parameters $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, \bar{d} \geq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+4\right]$, where $\bar{d}$ denotes the minimum distance of $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}}$.

Proof. It is well known that the extended codes of a pair of odd-like binary duadic codes are self-dual if the splitting corresponding to the pair of odd-like binary duadic codes is given by -1 [6, Theorem 6.4.12]. Note that $\left(T_{(0,3, m)}, T_{(1,2, m)},-1\right)$ is a splitting of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m}-1}$ when $m \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$. Consequently, the extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ are selfdual. It then follows from [6, Theorem 6.5.1] that the Hamming weight of each codeword in $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}}$ is divisible by 4. The remaining conclusions follow from Theorem 3.6,

Similarly, we have the following three theorems on parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}$ and their dual and extended codes when $m \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

Theorem 3.9. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ be an integer. Then $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}$ form a pair of odd-like duadic codes with parameters $\left[2^{m}-1,2^{m-1}, d\right]$, where

$$
d \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-1) / 2}+1 & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \\ 2^{(m-1) / 2}+3 & (\bmod 8) \\ 2^{2} m \equiv 7 & (\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 3.6 and omitted here.
Theorem 3.10. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ be an integer. Then $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}^{\perp}$ form a pair of even-like duadic codes with parameters $\left[2^{m}-1,2^{m-1}-1, d^{\perp}\right]$, where

$$
d^{\perp} \geq \begin{cases}2^{(m-1) / 2}+2 & \text { if } m \equiv 3 \\ 2^{(m-1) / 2}+4 & (\bmod 8) \\ \text { if } m \equiv 7 & (\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 3.7 and omitted here.
Theorem 3.11. Let $m \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \geq 7$ be an integer. Then the extended codes $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}}$ of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}$ are self-dual and doubly-even. Furthermore, they have parameters $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m-1}, \bar{d} \geq 2^{(m-1) / 2}+4\right]$, where $\bar{d}$ denotes the minimum distance of $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}}$ or $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}}$.

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 3.8 and omitted here.
Example 3. Let $m=5$ and let $\alpha$ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{5}}^{*}$ with $\alpha^{5}+\alpha^{2}+1=0$.

- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3,5)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[31,16,7]$ and $[31,15,8]$, respectively, where the former code is almost optimal in the sense that the minimum distance of the optimal binary linear code with length 31 and dimension 16 is 8, and the latter code is optimal according to the Database [8]. In fact, $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3,5)}$ has the same parameters as the best binary cyclic code according to Table A. 13 in [2]].
- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2,5)}^{\perp}$ have parameters $[31,16,7]$ and $[31,15,8]$, respectively. The comments on the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3,5)}^{\perp}$ above apply here to the parameters of $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2,5)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2,5)}^{\perp}$.

Example 4. Let $m=7$ and let $\alpha$ be a generator of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{7}}^{*}$ with $\alpha^{7}+\alpha+1=0$.

- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}^{\perp}$, have parameters $[127,64,15]$ and $[127,63,20]$, respectively.
- The codes $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}^{\perp}$ have parameters $[127,64,15]$ and $[127,63,20]$, respectively.

Note that $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}$ have the same parameters as the punctured binary Reed-Muller code $\mathrm{PRM}_{2}(3,7)$ of length 127 and order 3. The best binary duadic code known of length 127 and dimension 64 has minimum distance 19 [14].

The lower bounds on the minimum distances of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ and their duals developed in this paper are very close to the square-root bound. Hence, they are very good codes in general. Example 3 shows that $\mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ could be a best cyclic code. Example 4 shows that the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}$ is less than that of the two duadic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0,2,7)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,3,7)}$ presented in [14], but their duals $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}^{\perp}, \mathcal{C}_{(0,2,7)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,3,7)}^{\perp}$ have the same parameters $[127,63,20]$. Example 4 shows that the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}$ equals that of the punctured binary Reed-Muller code $\mathrm{PRM}_{2}(3,7)$ of length 127 and order 3, but $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}^{\perp}$ are much better than $\mathrm{PRM}_{2}(3,7)^{\perp}$ as

$$
d\left(\mathrm{PRM}_{2}(3,7)^{\perp}\right)=16
$$

and

$$
d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}^{\perp}\right)=d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}^{\perp}\right)=20
$$

where $d(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}$.

## E. Differences among several families of duadic codes

None of the families of duadic codes, $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$, is identical with the family of binary quadratic residue codes, as $2^{m}-1$ is composite for many odd $m$. The two families of binary duadic codes studied in [14] are $\mathcal{C}_{(0,2, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(1,3, m)}$ and thus are not identical with any of the families of duadic codes investigated in this paper, as their defining sets are different. This is also justified by the facts that

$$
d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}\right)=d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}\right)=15
$$

and

$$
d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(0,2,7)}\right)=d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(1,3,7)}\right)=19
$$

where $d(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the minimum distance of $\mathcal{C}$.
The family of punctured binary Reed-Muller codes $\mathrm{PRM}_{2}((m-1) / 2, m)$ of length $2^{m}-1$ and order $(m-1) / 2$ is not identical with any of the families of duadic codes investigated in this paper, as their defining sets are different. This is also justified by the facts that

$$
d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(0,1,7)}^{\perp}\right)=d\left(\mathcal{C}_{(2,3,7)}^{\perp}\right)=20
$$

and

$$
d\left(\mathrm{PRM}_{2}(3,7)^{\perp}\right)=16
$$

## 4. Summary and concluding remarks

In this paper, we constructed and studied the parameters of the five families of binary cyclic codes with parameters $[n, k, d]$ and their duals, where $n=2^{m}-1$ and $(n-6) / 3 \leq k \leq(n+6) / 3$. They contain some distance-optimal codes and are very good in general, as they have a very good lower bound on their minimum distances. The work on the two families of duadic codes $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(2,3, m)}, \mathcal{C}_{(0,3, m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{(1,2, m)}$ complements the work in [14]. It is possible to improve the lower bounds on the binary cyclic codes developed in this paper.

The works of [14] and this paper can be generalised and extended to obtain more families of binary duadic codes and other binary cyclic codes. But it will be more difficult to develop a good lower bound on their minimum distances. The generalisation goes as follows. Let $r \geq 2$ be a positive integer and let $n=2^{m}-1$ for an integer $m \geq 3$. Let $S$ be any proper subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{r}$. Define

$$
T_{[r, m, S]}=\left\{1 \leq i \leq n-1: w_{2}(i) \bmod r \in S\right\} .
$$

By definition, $T_{[r, m, S]}$ is the union of some 2-cyclotomic cosets modulo $n$. Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ denote the binary cyclic code of length $n$ with generator polynomial

$$
g_{[r, m, S]}(x)=\prod_{i \in T_{[r, m, S]}}\left(x-\alpha^{i}\right)
$$

When $r=2$ and $|S|=1$, the codes $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ were studied in [14]. When $r=3$ and $|S|=1$, the codes $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ were treated in this paper. When $r=4$ and $|S|=2$, some of the codes $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ were investigated in this paper, and the others were not studied in this paper as they are not duadic codes. When $r \geq 6$ is even and $|S|=r / 2$, the code $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ could be a duadic code for certain odd $m$. Hence, we propose the following research problems.

Open Problem 1. Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer and let $r=4$. Let $S \in\{(0,1),(2,3)\}$, Determine the parameters of the code $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ for $m \not \equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

Open Problem 2. Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer and let $r=4$. Let $S \in\{(0,3),(1,2)\}$. Determine the parameters of the code $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ for $m \not \equiv 1 \bmod 4$.

The two problems above can be solved with similar techniques in this paper. The following two research problems are harder.

Open Problem 3. Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer and let $r \geq 5$ be an integer. For any proper subset $S$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{r}$, determine the parameters of the code $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$.

Open Problem 4. Let $r \geq 6$ be an even integer. Find a subset $S$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{r}$ with $|S|=r / 2$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{[r, m, S]}$ is a binary duadic code of length $n=2^{m}-1$ for infinitely many odd $m$. Determine the parameters of these duadic codes.
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