

# Dark photon effect on the rare kaon decay $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$

Xuan-Gong Wang<sup>1,‡</sup>, A W Thomas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> ARC Centre of Excellence for Dark Matter Particle Physics and CSSM,  
Department of Physics, University of Adelaide, Adelaide SA 5005, Australia

E-mail: xuan-gong.wang@adelaide.edu.au, anthony.thomas@adelaide.edu.au

**Abstract.** We present an analysis of the effect of a dark photon on the rare kaon decay  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ . All relevant couplings of the dark photon to the Standard Model particles are derived explicitly in terms of the dark photon mass and the mixing parameter. We find that the dark photon yields no more than a few percent correction to the Standard Model branching ratio  $\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$  in the region of interest.

*Keywords:* dark photon, rare kaon decay, beyond Standard Model

Submitted to: *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.*

‡ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

## 1. Introduction

The dark photon is an appealing hypothesis for new physics beyond the Standard Model (SM) [1, 2]. It has emerged as a canonical portal connecting the dark matter and SM sectors [3, 4]. While there have been numerous experimental searches at  $e^+e^-$  and hadron colliders [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], there is no direct evidence for its existence so far. Instead, rather strong constraints have been derived on the mixing parameter  $\epsilon$ , leading to an upper limit of  $\epsilon \leq 10^{-3}$  in both light [7, 8] and heavy [9] mass regions, with just a few gaps.

Theoretical investigations have also placed constraints on the dark photon parameters, by exploring its contributions to many physical processes, including  $g-2$  of the muon [10, 11], electroweak precision observables (EWPO) [12, 13],  $e^-p$  deep inelastic scattering (DIS) [14, 15, 16, 17], parity violating electron scattering [18, 19], and partial wave unitarity [20]. The dark photon can also contribute to rare kaon decays and analogous rare  $B$  processes, which are powerful tools to test the SM [21] and constrain new physics [22].

Amongst rare decays, the processes  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  are the so-called golden channels, as their branching ratios can be computed with high precision. The most accurate SM values for the branching fractions are [23]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) &= (9.11 \pm 0.72) \times 10^{-11} , \\ \text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) &= (3.00 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-11} , \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

while the latest experimental measurements from NA62 [24] and KOTO [25] set upper limits at 68% and 90% confidence level (CL), respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Br}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) &= (10.6^{+4.0}_{-3.4}|_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.9_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-11} , \\ \text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})_{\text{KOTO}} &< 4.9 \times 10^{-9} . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Possible anomalies between experiments and the SM predictions have been investigated in many new physics models [26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

A light dark photon may be expected to yield a significant contribution to branching ratios such as these because of enhancement from the propagator, compared with the  $Z$  boson. Moreover, for an ultralight dark photon  $A_D$ , it is possible that the two-body decay  $K \rightarrow \pi A_D$  will occur, followed by visible or invisible decays of  $A_D$  [27, 31]. Recently, a light dark photon was introduced, either on-shell or off-shell, to improve the agreement of the branching ratios between the SM predictions and the experimental measurements for a bunch of rare  $B$  decays [32], with three parameters, the mass  $m_{Z_D}$ , and two independent mixing parameters ( $\epsilon, \epsilon_Z$ ). However, the best fit results required an unrealistically large value of the mixing parameter  $\delta$  [27].

Here, we perform a quantitative analysis of the rare kaon decays within the dark photon framework, focusing on the  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  channel in order to avoid the complexity arising from the charm quark contribution in the  $K^+$  channel. All the couplings of the dark photon to the SM particles are explicitly dependent on just two parameters, the dark photon mass,  $m_{A_D}$ , and the mixing parameter,  $\epsilon$ . We also explore the sensitivity of its branching ratio to the dark photon parameters.

In Sec. 2, we briefly review the rare kaon decays in the Standard Model. We derive the couplings of the physical  $Z$  and the dark photon in Sec. 3.1 and 3.2, and the correction to the branching ratio in Sec. 3.3. We present our numerical results in Sec. 4. Finally, we summarize our analysis in Sec. 5.

## 2. Standard Model predictions

The SM contributions to the decay modes  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  include the “Z penguin” and the “box” diagrams with up, charm, and top quark exchanges. The invariant amplitudes can be written in the following form [33],

$$\mathcal{A} = -i \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha_{em}}{2\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \sum_{l=e,\mu,\tau} \left( V_{cs}^* V_{cd} X_{NL}^l + V_{ts}^* V_{td} X(x_t) \right) \times (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} (\bar{\nu}_l \nu_l)_{V-A}. \quad (3)$$

The function  $X_{NL}^l$  represents the charm quark contribution, which is only relevant to the  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  channel and results from the renormalization group calculation in next-to-leading-order logarithmic approximation.

In this work, we will focus on the  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  decay, which only depends on the corresponding function in the top quark sector,  $X(x_t) \equiv X(x_t, y_l = 0)$ , by neglecting the lepton masses. Including next-to-leading order (NLO) Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) corrections, it is given by [33]

$$X(x_q, y_l) = X^{(0)}(x_q, y_l) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} X^{(1)}(x_q, y_l), \quad (4)$$

where  $x_q = m_q^2/m_W^2$  and  $y_l = m_l^2/m_W^2$ , and

$$X^{(n)}(x_q, y_l) = C_{\bar{Z}}^{(n)}(x_q) - 4B^{(n)}(x_q, y_l), \quad (n = 0, 1), \quad (5)$$

with  $C_{\bar{Z}}^{(n)}(x_q)$  and  $B^{(n)}(x_q, y_l)$  the “ $\bar{Z}$  penguin” and the “box” contributions, respectively.

It is convenient to compute the loop functions in ‘t Hooft-Feynman gauge ( $\xi = 1$ ), in which both the induced  $\bar{s}d\bar{Z}$  vertex and the box diagram also receive contributions from the would-be Goldstone bosons ( $\phi^\pm$ ) [34]. The leading order (LO) terms are given by [34, 35] (see the Appendix [Appendix A](#)),

$$C_{\bar{Z}}^{(0)}(x) = \frac{x}{8} \left[ \frac{x-6}{x-1} + \frac{3x+2}{(x-1)^2} \ln x \right], \quad (6a)$$

$$B^{(0)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{64} \left[ \frac{16+xy-8y}{x-y} \frac{x^2 \ln x}{(1-x)^2} - \frac{xy}{x-y} \left( \frac{y-4}{y-1} \right)^2 \ln y + \frac{16x-7xy}{(1-x)(1-y)} \right]. \quad (6b)$$

The QCD correction in the top quark sector is [21]

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(1)}(x_t) = & -\frac{29x_t - x_t^2 - 4x_t^3}{3(1-x_t)^2} - \frac{x_t + 9x_t^2 - x_t^3 - x_t^4}{(1-x_t)^3} \ln x_t + \frac{8x_t + 4x_t^2 + x_t^3 - x_t^4}{2(1-x_t)^3} \ln^2 x_t \\ & - \frac{4x_t - x_t^3}{(1-x_t)^2} L_2(1-x_t) + 8x_t \frac{\partial X^{(0)}(x_t)}{\partial x_t} \ln x_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $x_\mu = \mu_t^2/M_W^2$ ,  $\mu_t = O(m_t)$  and

$$L_2(1-x_t) = \int_1^{x_t} dt \frac{\ln t}{1-t}. \quad (8)$$

The branching ratio for  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  involves only the top-quark contribution and can be written as [36, 33]

$$\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}) = \kappa_L \left( \frac{\text{Im} \lambda_t}{\lambda^5} X(x_t) \right)^2, \quad (9)$$

where  $\lambda_t = V_{ts}^* V_{td}$  are the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) factors, and  $\kappa_L$  parametrizes the hadronic matrix element [37, 38],

$$\kappa_L = (2.231 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{-10} \left( \frac{\lambda}{0.225} \right)^8. \quad (10)$$

### 3. Dark photon formalism

The dark photon is usually introduced as an extra  $U(1)$  gauge boson [1, 2, 39], interacting with the SM particles through kinetic mixing with hypercharge [40]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}}^{\text{int}} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{Z}_{\mu\nu} \bar{Z}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_Z^2 \bar{Z}_\mu \bar{Z}^\mu \\ - \frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2} A'_\mu A'^\mu + \frac{\epsilon}{2 \cos \theta_W} F'_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle.  $A'$  and  $\bar{Z}$  denote the unmixed version of the dark photon and the SM neutral weak boson, respectively.  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\bar{Z}_{\mu\nu}$  are the SM field strength tensors.

After diagonalizing the mixing term through field redefinitions, the masses of the physical  $Z$  and  $A_D$  are given by [41, 14]

$$M_{Z, A_D}^2 = \frac{m_Z^2}{2} \left[ 1 + \epsilon_W^2 + \rho^2 \pm \text{sign}(1 - \rho^2) \sqrt{(1 + \epsilon_W^2 + \rho^2)^2 - 4\rho^2} \right], \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_W &= \frac{\epsilon \tan \theta_W}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2 / \cos^2 \theta_W}}, \\ \rho &= \frac{m_{A'} / m_{\bar{Z}}}{\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2 / \cos^2 \theta_W}}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The  $\bar{Z} - A'$  mixing angle  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_W} \left[ 1 - \epsilon_W^2 - \rho^2 - \text{sign}(1 - \rho^2) \sqrt{4\epsilon_W^2 + (1 - \epsilon_W^2 - \rho^2)^2} \right]. \quad (14)$$

The mass difference between the physical  $Z$  and  $A_D$  is always finite for non-zero  $\epsilon$ ,  $|M_Z^2 - M_{A_D}^2| \geq 2|\epsilon_W| m_Z^2$ . Thus there is a region of the dark photon parameter space which is inaccessible [14], which is known as the “eigenmass repulsion” region on the  $\epsilon - M_{A_D}$  plane.

Because of kinetic mixing, the SM weak couplings of the  $Z$  boson given by (B.1) and (B.2) will be modified. The dark photon will also couple to the SM particles. All the physical couplings depend on only two parameters,  $M_{A_D}$  and  $\epsilon$ .

#### 3.1. Weak couplings

The couplings of the physical  $Z$  to the quarks are given by [14, 18, 41]

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Z,q}^v &= (\cos \alpha - \epsilon_W \sin \alpha) C_{Z,q}^v + 2\epsilon_W \sin \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W C_{Y,q}^v, \\ C_{Z,q}^a &= (\cos \alpha - \epsilon_W \sin \alpha) C_{Z,q}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $C_{Y,u}^v = 2/3$  and  $C_{Y,d}^v = -1/3$ . Its couplings to the neutrinos will be shifted by

$$C_{Z,\nu_e} = (\cos \alpha - \epsilon_W \sin \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},\nu_e}. \quad (16)$$

The SM couplings of the  $\bar{Z}$  to the gauge bosons and the Goldstone bosons will also be modified as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{Z,WW} &= (\cos \alpha - \epsilon_W \sin \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},WW} + 2\epsilon_W \sin \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W, \\ C_{Z,\phi^\pm} &= (\cos \alpha - \epsilon_W \sin \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},\phi^\pm} + 2\epsilon_W \sin \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W, \\ C_{Z,W\phi} &= (\cos \alpha - \epsilon_W \sin \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},W\phi} - 2\epsilon_W \sin \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

### 3.2. Dark couplings

The dark photon interacts with the quarks ( $q = u, d$ ) through both vector and axial-vector couplings [14, 18, 41],

$$\begin{aligned} C_{A_D,q}^v &= -(\sin \alpha + \epsilon_W \cos \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},q}^v + 2\epsilon_W \cos \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W C_{Y,q}^v, \\ C_{A_D,q}^a &= -(\sin \alpha + \epsilon_W \cos \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},q}^a. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Its interaction with the neutrinos also has  $V - A$  form, with

$$C_{A_D,\nu_l} = -(\sin \alpha + \epsilon_W \cos \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},\nu_l}. \quad (19)$$

We also derive its couplings to the gauge bosons and the Goldstone bosons,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{A_D,WW} &= -(\sin \alpha + \epsilon_W \cos \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},WW} + 2\epsilon_W \cos \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W, \\ C_{A_D,\phi^\pm} &= -(\sin \alpha + \epsilon_W \cos \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},\phi^\pm} + 2\epsilon_W \cos \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W, \\ C_{A_D,W\phi} &= -(\sin \alpha + \epsilon_W \cos \alpha) C_{\bar{Z},W\phi} - 2\epsilon_W \cos \alpha \cos^2 \theta_W. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

### 3.3. Branching ratio

The most general form of the matrix element  $X^{(0)}(x_q, y_l)$ , after restoring the Z-boson propagator and its coupling to neutrinos, can be written as

$$X_{\text{SM}}^{(0)}(x_q, y_l) = -\frac{2m_W^2}{\cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{C_{\bar{Z},\nu_l}}{k^2 - m_{\bar{Z}}^2} C_Z^{(0)}(x_q) - 4B^{(0)}(x_q, y_l), \quad (21)$$

where  $k$  is the momentum transfer through the  $\bar{Z}$  propagator. When dark photon effects are included, the above expression is generalised to

$$X^{(0)}(x_q, y_l) = -\frac{2m_W^2}{\cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{C_{Z,\nu_l}}{k^2 - M_Z^2} C_Z^{(0)}(x_q) - \frac{2m_W^2}{\cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{C_{A_D,\nu_l}}{k^2 - M_{A_D}^2} C_{A_D}^{(0)}(x_q) - 4B^{(0)}(x_q, y_l), \quad (22)$$

where the functions  $C_Z^{(0)}(x_q)$  and  $C_{A_D}^{(0)}(x_q)$  have the same form as  $C_{\bar{Z}}^{(0)}(x_q)$  (see Appendix A), with the  $\bar{Z}$  couplings being replaced by those for  $Z$  and  $A_D$ , respectively. Note that the inclusion of the dark photon does not affect the box contribution.

The dark photon effect can be characterised by a correction factor to the SM branching ratio,

$$\frac{\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})}{\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})|_{\text{SM}}} = 1 + R_L, \quad (23)$$

which is independent of  $\kappa_L$ ,  $\lambda_t$ , and  $\lambda$ .


#### 4. Numerical results

In the numerical analysis, we take the parameters [23]

$$\sin^2 \theta_W(M_Z) = 0.23116, \quad \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118, \quad m_t = 161 \text{ GeV}. \quad (24)$$

The strongest experimental constraint on  $\epsilon$  comes from the CMS Collaboration [9], leading to an upper limit of  $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$ , while the region of parameter space with  $M_Z < M_{A_D} < 110 \text{ GeV}$  is unconstrained as the  $Z$  boson dominates  $\mu^+ \mu^-$  production there. The current limit in connection with electroweak precision observables (EWPOs) [12, 13] leads to  $\epsilon < 0.06$  for dark photon mass up to 200 GeV, which becomes much stronger when  $M_{A_D}$  gets close to  $M_Z$ . The recent  $e^-p$  DIS analysis [15, 19] placed relatively weak constraint on  $\epsilon$ , which will go above 0.1 when  $M_{A_D} > M_Z$ . Therefore, the dark photon parameters in the region  $\epsilon \leq 0.2$  in the  $(\epsilon, M_{A_D})$  plane is of most interest, as this region has not been fully excluded by the existing constraints [18]. The corrections to the SM prediction of the branching ratio  $\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$  are shown in figure 1 as a percentage. Surprisingly, the sensitivity of the correction factor  $R_L$  to the dark photon parameters is quite similar to that of  $C_{1q}$  at low scale in parity violating electron scattering [18].  $R_L$  is at most several percent, corresponding to the case where the dark photon parameters approach the “eigenmass repulsion” region.

It might have been expected that a light dark photon with mass at sub-GeV region could lead to a large correction. However, the dark couplings become negligibly small in that region because they scale as  $M_{A_D}/M_Z$ , eliminating the enhancement associated with the propagator. It would also be interesting to apply the current framework to two-body decay  $K \rightarrow \pi A_D$  for ultralight dark photon.



**Figure 1.** The dark photon correction to the SM value of the branching ratio  $\text{Br}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$ . The gap on the  $\epsilon - M$  plane is not accessible due to “eigenmass repulsion” associated with the  $Z$  mass. The 95% CL exclusion limits on  $\epsilon$  from DIS and EWPO determinations are taken from Refs. [15, 19] and Ref. [13], respectively. We also show the most stringent constraint from the CMS Collaboration [9].

## 5. Conclusion

We have presented a systematic calculation of the dark photon contribution to the rare kaon decays, focusing on the channel  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$ . We explicitly derived the coupling constants of the dark photon to the Standard Model fermions, the gauge bosons, and the would-be Goldstone bosons in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge.

In contrast with naive expectations, the branching ratio of  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  deviates from the Standard Model prediction by at most a few percent. This deviation would be at its largest were a dark photon to exist with parameters close to the “eigenmass repulsion” region. Unfortunately this effect is too small to be observed in the near future, given the experimental accuracy anticipated in the next few years [42]. However, the advances in precision of such important tests of the Standard Model improve inexorably.

On the other hand, we expect that the dark photon would have a similar effect on the branching ratio of the charged channel  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ , while a quantitative analysis requires inclusion of the charm quark sector which adds 30% to the total branching ratio. Experiments at the Brookhaven National Laboratory [43] has measured  $\text{Br}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (17.3^{+11.5}_{-10.5}) \times 10^{-11}$ . As mentioned earlier, a more precise result was reported by the NA62 experiment [24],  $\text{Br}(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}) = (10.6^{+4.0}_{-3.4}|_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.9_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-11}$  at 68% CL. The proposed HIKE experiment is expected to reach a branching ratio measurement with  $\mathcal{O}(5\%)$  precision [44], making the charged channel more promising as a probe of the dark photon.


Finally, it is worth noting that the dark photon may serve as a promising portal connecting dark matter and ordinary particles. One can also extend the current analysis by introducing the dark photon couplings to dark matter particles. The potential anomalies of rare kaon decays may then be applied to constrain these extra invisible modes, in addition to the neutrino final states.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the University of Adelaide and the Australian Government through the Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Dark Matter Particle Physics (CDMPP, CE200100008).

## Appendix A. Induced $\bar{s}d\bar{Z}$ vertex

The penguin diagrams of the induced  $\bar{s}d\bar{Z}$  vertex are shown in figure A1, where  $u_j$  denotes the up-type quark of the  $j$ -th generation. Here we rewrite the individual



**Figure A1.** The penguin diagrams contributing to the induced  $\bar{s}d\bar{Z}$  vertex.

contributions given by [34] explicitly in terms of the weak couplings as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(a+b)} &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{\bar{Z},d}^v + C_{\bar{Z},d}^a) \left[ \frac{x_j^2}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j - \frac{x_j}{x_j-1} - x_j f_1(x_j) \right] - (x_j \rightarrow x_1), \\
\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(c)} &= \frac{1}{4}C_{\bar{Z},u}^v \left[ \frac{1}{x_j-1} - \frac{x_j^2}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j \right] + \frac{1}{4}C_{\bar{Z},u}^a \left[ -\frac{3x_j}{x_j-1} - \frac{x_j(x_j-4)}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j \right] - (x_j \rightarrow x_1), \\
\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(d)} &= \frac{1}{4}(C_{\bar{Z},u}^v - C_{\bar{Z},u}^a) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} \right) x_j f_2(x_j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{8}(C_{\bar{Z},u}^v + 3C_{\bar{Z},u}^a) \left[ \frac{x_j^2}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j - \frac{x_j^2}{x_j-1} \right] - (x_j \rightarrow x_1), \\
\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(e)} &= \frac{3}{4}C_{\bar{Z},WW} \left[ \frac{x_j^2}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j - \frac{1}{x_j-1} \right] - (x_j \rightarrow x_1), \\
\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(f+g)} &= \frac{1}{2}C_{\bar{Z},W\phi} \left[ \frac{x_j^2}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j - \frac{x_j}{x_j-1} \right] - (x_j \rightarrow x_1), \\
\Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(h)} &= \frac{1}{2}C_{\bar{Z},\phi^\pm} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{x_j^2}{(x_j-1)^2} \ln x_j - \frac{x_j}{x_j-1} \right] - \frac{1}{n} x_j f_2(x_j) \right\} - (x_j \rightarrow x_1), \tag{A.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= -\frac{1}{n-4} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\gamma_E + \ln 4\pi - \ln m_W^2 \right] + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{x^2}{(x-1)^2} \ln x - \frac{1}{x-1} \right], \\ f_2(x) &= -\frac{2}{n-4} + \left[ -\gamma_E + \ln 4\pi - \ln m_W^2 \right] + 1 - \frac{x}{x-1} \ln x. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Note that in (A.1) the light quark ( $u_1$ ) contribution has been rearranged into the other two heavy quark contributions, by use of the unitarity of the CKM matrix. That is why the total amplitude in (3) only involves the sum of charm and top quark contributions.

The penguin functions  $C_{\bar{Z}}^{(0)}(x_j)$  for  $j = 2, 3$  are

$$C_{\bar{Z}}^{(0)}(x_j) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{\bar{Z}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=a}^h \Gamma_{\bar{Z}}^{(i)}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

giving rise to (6a).

## Appendix B. SM couplings

In the SM, the weak couplings of  $\bar{Z}$  to the up-type ( $u$ ) and down-type ( $d$ ) quarks and to the neutrinos ( $\nu_l$ ) are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bar{Z},u}^v &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W, \quad C_{\bar{Z},u}^a = \frac{1}{2}, \\ C_{\bar{Z},d}^v &= -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W, \quad C_{\bar{Z},d}^a = -\frac{1}{2} \\ C_{\bar{Z},\nu_l} &= \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the superscripts  $v$  and  $a$  denote the vector and the axial-vector components, respectively.

The gauge couplings in (A.1) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\bar{Z},WW} &= 2 \cos^2 \theta_W, \\ C_{\bar{Z},\phi^\pm} &= 1 - 2 \sin^2 \theta_W, \\ C_{\bar{Z},W\phi} &= 2 \sin^2 \theta_W. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

## References

- [1] Fayet P 1980 Effects of the Spin 1 Partner of the Goldstino (Gravitino) on Neutral Current Phenomenology *Phys. Lett. B* **95** 285
- [2] Fayet P 1981 On the Search for a New Spin 1 Boson *Nucl. Phys. B* **187** 184
- [3] Fabbrihesi M, Gabrielli E and Lanfranchi G 2021 *The Physics Of The Dark Photon* (Springer Cham)
- [4] Filippi A and De Napoli M 2020 Searching in the dark: the hunt for the dark photon *Rev. Phys.* **5** 100042
- [5] Merkel H *et al* 2014 Search at the Mainz Microtron for Light Massive Gauge Bosons Relevant for the Muon  $g-2$  Anomaly *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** 221802
- [6] Aaij R *et al* (LHCb Collaboration) 2020 Search for  $A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  Decays *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** 041801
- [7] Lees J P *et al* (BaBar Collaboration) 2017 Search for Invisible Decays of a Dark Photon Produced in  $e^+ e^-$  Collisions at BaBar *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** 131804
- [8] Banerjee D *et al* 2019 Dark matter search in missing energy events with NA64 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** 121801

- [9] Sirunyan A M *et al* (CMS Collaboration) 2020 Search for a Narrow Resonance Lighter than 200 GeV Decaying to a Pair of Muons in Proton-Proton Collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = \text{TeV}$  *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** 131802
- [10] Pospelov M 2009 Secluded U(1) below the weak scale *Phys. Rev. D* **80** 095002
- [11] Davoudiasl H, Lee H S and Marciano W J 2012 Muon Anomaly and Dark Parity Violation *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109** 031802
- [12] Hook A, Izaguirre E and Wacker J G 2011 Model Independent Bounds on Kinetic Mixing *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2011** 859762
- [13] Curtin D, Essig R, Gori S and Shelton J 2015 Illuminating Dark Photons with High-Energy Colliders *J. High Energy Phys.* **02** 157
- [14] Kribs G D, McKeen D and Raj N 2021 Breaking up the Proton: An Affair with Dark Forces *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** 011801
- [15] Thomas A W, Wang X G and Williams A G 2022 Constraints on the dark photon from deep inelastic scattering *Phys. Rev. D* **105** L031901
- [16] Yan B 2022 Probing the dark photon via polarized DIS scattering at the HERA and EIC *Phys. Lett. B* **833** 137384
- [17] Hunt-Smith N T, Melnitchouk W, Sato N, Thomas A W, Wang X G and White M J 2023 Global QCD Analysis and Dark Photons arXiv:2302.11126 [hep-ph]
- [18] Thomas A W, Wang X G and Williams A G 2022 Sensitivity of Parity-Violating Electron Scattering to a Dark Photon *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** 011807
- [19] Thomas A W and Wang X G 2022 Constraints on the dark photon from parity violation and the W mass *Phys. Rev. D* **106** 056017
- [20] Hosseini Y and Najafabadi M M 2022 Unitarity constraints and collider searches for dark photons *Phys. Rev. D* **106** 015028
- [21] Buras A J, Schwab F and Uhlig S 2008 Waiting for precise measurements of  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 965
- [22] Bryman D, Buras A J, Isidori G and Littenberg L 2006  $K(L) \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  as a probe of new physics *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **21** 487
- [23] Buras A J, Buttazzo D, Girschbach-Noe J and Kneijens R 2015  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  and  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  in the Standard Model: status and perspectives *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** 033
- [24] Cortina Gil E *et al.* (NA62 Collaboration) 2021 Measurement of the very rare  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  decay *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** 093
- [25] Ahn J K *et al* (KOTO Collaboration) 2021 Study of the  $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$  Decay at the J-PARC KOTO Experiment *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126** 121801
- [26] Fuyuto K, Hou W S and Kohda M 2015 Loophole in  $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$  Search and New Weak Leptonic Forces *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** 171802
- [27] Davoudiasl H, Lee H S and Marciano W J 2012 “Dark” Z implications for Parity Violation, Rare Meson Decays, and Higgs Physics *Phys. Rev. D* **85** 115019
- [28] de Melo T B, Kovalenko S, Queiroz F S, Siqueira C and Villamizar Y S 2021 Rare kaon decay to missing energy: Implications of the NA62 result for a  $Z'$  model *Phys. Rev. D* **103** 115001
- [29] Egana-Ugrinovic D, Homiller S and Meade P 2020 Light Scalars and the Koto Anomaly *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** 191801
- [30] Goudzovski E *et al* 2023 New physics searches at kaon and hyperon factories *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **86** 016201
- [31] Davoudiasl H, Lee H S and Marciano W J 2014 Muon  $g-2$ , rare kaon decays, and parity violation from dark bosons *Phys. Rev. D* **89** 095006
- [32] Datta A, Hammad A, Marfatia D, Mukherjee L and Rashed A 2023 Dark photon and dark Z mediated B meson decays *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** 108
- [33] Buchalla G, Buras A J and Lautenbacher M E 1996 Weak decays beyond leading logarithms *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68** 1125
- [34] Inami T and Lim C S 1981 Effects of Superheavy Quarks and Leptons in Low-Energy Weak Processes  $k(L) \rightarrow \mu \text{ anti-}\mu$ ,  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \text{ Neutrino anti-neutrino}$  and  $K^0 \leftrightarrow \text{anti-}K^0$  *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65** 297 [erratum: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65** 1772]
- [35] Buchalla G, Buras A J and Harlander M K 1991 Penguin box expansion: Flavor changing neutral current processes and a heavy top quark *Nucl. Phys. B* **349** 1
- [36] Buchalla G and Buras A J 1996  $K \rightarrow \pi \text{ neutrino anti-neutrino}$  and high precision determinations of the CKM matrix *Phys. Rev. D* **54** 6782
- [37] Marciano W J and Parsa Z 1996 Rare kaon decays with “missing energy” *Phys. Rev. D* **53** R1
- [38] Mescia F and Smith C 2007 Improved estimates of rare K decay matrix-elements from  $K_{l3}$  decays *Phys. Rev. D* **76** 034017
- [39] Holdom B 1986 Two U(1)’s and Epsilon Charge Shifts *Phys. Lett. B* **166** 196

- [40] Okun L B 1982 LIMITS OF ELECTRODYNAMICS: PARAPHOTONS? *Sov. Phys. JETP* **56** 502
- [41] Gopalakrishna S, Jung S and Wells J D 2008 Higgs boson decays to four fermions through an abelian hidden sector *Phys. Rev. D* **78** 055002
- [42] Moulson M (KLEVER Project) 2020 KLEVER: An Experiment to Measure  $\text{BR}(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu})$  at the CERN SPS *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1526** 012028
- [43] Artamonov A V *et al.* (BNL-E949 Collaboration) 2009 Study of the decay  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$  in the momentum region  $140 < P_\pi < 199$  MeV/c *Phys. Rev. D* **79** 092004
- [44] Cortina Gil E *et al.* (HIKE) 2022 HIKE, High Intensity Kaon Experiments at the CERN SPS: Letter of Intent arXiv:2211.16586 [hep-ex]