

On parametric amplification in Josephson transmission line

Eugene Kogan^{1,2,*}

¹*Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan 52900, Israel*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme Dresden 01187, Germany*

(Dated: March 13, 2024)

We consider the series-connected lossy Josephson transmission line (JTL), constructed basically from Josephson junctions (JJs), capacitors and resistors. We derive the continuum approximation equation describing the JTL. From the latter we derive equations describing pump, signal, and idler interaction and calculate the thresholds for the parametric amplification.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconducting parametric amplifiers attract a lot of interest, due to their importance in microwave electronics^{1–4}. Traditional amplifiers comprise a single Josephson junction (JJ) or an array of junctions in a resonant cavity which ultimately limits the bandwidth and dynamic range.

Recently, owing to impact of the kinetic-inductance traveling-wave parametric amplifier, the Josephson traveling-wave parametric amplifiers enabling larger gain per unit length with lesser pump power have been in the particular focus of several research groups^{5–22}.

In this short note we would like to slightly generalize the model considered in Refs.⁵ and¹¹, following our previous publication²³. The rest of the paper is constructed as follows. In Section II we write down the circuit equations for the discrete Josephson transmission line (JTL) and derive the continuum approximation to the equations. In Section III we derive the equations describing the 3 waves (pump, signal, and idler) interaction in the JTL and derive the threshold for the parametric amplification. In Section IV we derive the equations describing the 4- waves interaction and derive the threshold for the parametric amplification in this case. We conclude in Section V.

II. THE CIRCUITE EQUATIONS

Consider the discrete model of the JTL, constructed from the identical JJs, capacitors and resistors, which is shown on Fig. 1. We take as the dynamical variables the phase differences (which we for brevity will call just phases) φ_n across the JJs and the charges q_n of the ground capacitors. The circuit equations are

$$\frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{d\varphi_n}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} (q_{n-1} - q_n), \quad (1a)$$

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{RC} \right) q_n = I_c \sin \varphi_n - I_c \sin \varphi_{n+1} + \frac{\hbar}{2e} \left(\frac{1}{R_J} \frac{d}{dt} + C_J \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right) (\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1}), \quad (1b)$$




FIG. 1: Discrete Josephson transmission line with the capacitor and the resistor shunting the JJ and another resistor shunting with the ground capacitor

where C is the capacitance, and I_c is the critical current of the JJ, R is the ohmic resistor shunting the ground capacitor, and C_J and R_J are the capacitor and the ohmic resistor shunting the JJ. The model is more general than the one considered in our previous publication²³; we additionally took into account shunting of the ground capacitor by the ohmic resistor.

Following the well known pattern of telling the same story twice, we now want to rederive Eq. (1). We consider a physically appealing model of the JTL composed of superconducting grains and presented in Fig. 2. Also to be more in line with the literature on trans-




FIG. 2: Josephson transmission line composed of superconducting grains

mission lines, we introduced the notation of conductance

$G = 1/R$ instead of the resistance R .

We take as the dynamical variables the phases at the grains Φ_n and the potentials of the grains V_n and the circuit equations are

$$V_n = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{d\Phi_n}{dt}, \quad (2a)$$

$$C \frac{dV_n}{dt} + GV_n = I_c \sin(\Phi_{n-1} - \Phi_n) - I_c \sin(\Phi_n - \Phi_{n+1}) + \left(\frac{1}{R_J} + C_J \frac{d}{dt} \right) [(V_{n-1} - V_n) - (V_{n+1} - V_n)]. \quad (2b)$$

We realise that Eq. (1) follows from Eq. (2) if we substitute $\varphi_n = \Phi_{n-1} - \Phi_n$ and $q_n = CV_n$.

Let us return to (1) In the continuum approximation we treat n as the continuous variable Z and approximate the finite differences in the r.h.s. of the equations by the first derivatives with respect to Z , after which the equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau} &= -\frac{\partial Q}{\partial Z}, \\ \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \frac{Z_J}{R} \right) Q &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \left(\sin \varphi + \frac{Z_J}{R_J} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{C_J}{C} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \tau^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3a, 3b)$$

where we introduced the dimensionless time $\tau = t/\sqrt{L_J C}$ and the dimensionless charge $Q = q/(I_c \sqrt{L_J C})$; $L_J = \hbar/(2eI_c)$, $Z_J \equiv \sqrt{L_J/C}$ is the characteristic impedance of the JTL. Note that we measure distance in the units of the JTL period. We can exclude Q from (3) and obtain closed equation for φ

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \tau^2} + \frac{Z_J}{R} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \left(\sin \varphi + \frac{Z_J}{R_J} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \tau} + \frac{C_J}{C} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \tau^2} \right). \quad (4)$$

III. QUADRATIC NONLINEARITY

Let us consider oscillations of φ on the non-zero background $\varphi_{dc} \sim 1$. Substituting into (4)

$$\varphi = \varphi_{dc} + \psi, \quad (5)$$

expanding the sine function in the r.h.s. of the equation in Taylor series with respect to ψ and keeping the first two terms of the expansion, we can write down (4) as¹¹

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \left(\cos \varphi_{dc} \cdot \psi + \frac{C_J}{C} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau^2} \right) \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\frac{Z_J \psi}{R} - \frac{Z_J}{R_J} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial Z^2} \right) &= -\frac{\sin \varphi_{dc}}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^2}{\partial Z^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In the absence of the nonlinear term and the losses, the dispersion law is

$$\omega^2 = \frac{k^2 \cos \varphi_{dc}}{1 + k^2 C_J / C}. \quad (7)$$

We'll consider a superposition of three waves (pump, signal, and idler) with the frequencies ω_p , ω_s and ω_i respectively,

$$\psi(Z, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} [A_p(Z) e^{ik_p Z - i\omega_p \tau} + A_s(Z) e^{ik_s Z - i\omega_s \tau} + A_i(Z) e^{ik_i Z - i\omega_i \tau} + c.c.], \quad (8)$$

where the wave vectors are given by the dispersion relation (7), and the frequencies satisfy the relation

$$\omega_s + \omega_i = \omega_p. \quad (9)$$

we will treat the nonlinear term and the losses using perturbation theory.

Ignoring the second derivatives of the complex amplitudes with respect to Z we obtain from (6) the coupled equations for the complex amplitudes

$$\frac{dA_p}{dZ} + \nu_p A_p = i\mu_p A_s A_i e^{i\Delta k \cdot Z} \quad (10a)$$

$$\frac{dA_s}{dZ} + \nu_s A_s = i\mu_s A_p A_i^* e^{-i\Delta k \cdot Z} \quad (10b)$$

$$\frac{dA_i}{dZ} + \nu_i A_i = i\mu_i A_p A_s^* e^{-i\Delta k \cdot Z}, \quad (10c)$$

where

$$\nu_m = \frac{k_m}{2\omega_m} \left(\frac{Z_J}{R} + \frac{k_m^2 Z_J}{R_J} \right), \quad m = \{p, s, i\} \quad (11a)$$

$$\mu_p = \frac{k_p (k_s + k_i)^2 \sin \varphi_{dc}}{4\omega_p^2} \quad (11b)$$

$$\mu_{s,i} = \frac{k_{s,i} (k_p - k_{i,s})^2 \sin \varphi_{dc}}{4\omega_{s,i}^2} \quad (11c)$$

$$\Delta k = k_s + k_i - k_p. \quad (11d)$$

Note that in the absence of losses, we can easily find two first integrals of (10)

$$\frac{2|A_p|^2}{\mu_p} + \frac{|A_s|^2}{\mu_s} + \frac{|A_i|^2}{\mu_i} = \text{const} \quad (12a)$$

$$\frac{\omega_p |A_p|^2}{\mu_p} + \frac{\omega_s |A_s|^2}{\mu_s} + \frac{\omega_i |A_i|^2}{\mu_i} = \text{const}. \quad (12b)$$

A. Threshold for the parametric amplification

Let us solve Eq. (10) in the approximation of the powerful pump wave ($|A_p| \gg |A_s|, |A_i|$). In this case the equation for the pump amplitude (10a) decouples from the other two and becomes

$$\frac{dA_p}{dZ} + \nu_p A_p = 0. \quad (13)$$

The solution for the A_p being known, Eqs. (10b) and (10c) can be written down as

$$\frac{d\tilde{A}_s}{dZ} + (\nu_s - i\Delta k/2) \tilde{A}_s = i\mu_s A_p \tilde{A}_i^* \quad (14a)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{A}_i^*}{dZ} + (\nu_i + i\Delta k/2) \tilde{A}_i^* = -i\mu_i A_p^* \tilde{A}_s, \quad (14b)$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_m = e^{i\Delta k \cdot Z/2} A_m, \quad m = \{s, i\}. \quad (15)$$

In the framework of the local approximation, when we treat A_p in the r.h.s. of Eqs. (14) as a constant, the solution for $\tilde{A}_s$ is

$$\tilde{A}_s(Z) = A_s^{(1)} e^{K_1 Z} + A_s^{(1)} e^{K_2 Z}, \quad (16)$$

where K_1 and K_2 are the roots of the characteristic polynomial $P(K)$

$$P(K) \equiv K^2 + (\nu_s + \nu_i)K + (\nu_s - i\Delta k/2)(\nu_i + i\Delta k/2) - \mu_s \mu_i |A_p|^2. \quad (17)$$

Parametric amplification of the signal takes place when one of the roots have positive real part. After simple algebra, we obtain the condition for the parametric amplification

$$\mu_s \mu_i |A_p|^2 > \nu_s \nu_i \left[1 + \frac{(\Delta k)^2}{(\nu_s + \nu_i)^2} \right]. \quad (18)$$

Though it's not completely consistent, we bring back the coordinate dependence of A_p in Eq. (18) and consider it as a local condition for the parametric amplification.

IV. CUBIC NONLINEARITY

Now let us consider waves on zero background $\varphi_{dc} = 0$. In this case, expanding sine in Eq. (4) in series and keeping the first two terms of the expansion we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Z^2} \left(\psi + \frac{C_J}{C} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \tau^2} \right) \\ & + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\frac{Z_J \psi}{R} - \frac{Z_J}{R_J} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial Z^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{6} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^3}{\partial Z^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In the absence of the nonlinear terms and the losses, the dispersion law is

$$\omega^2 = \frac{k^2 \cos}{1 + k^2 C_J / C}. \quad (20)$$

Note that if we integrate (19) with respect to Z and put $R_J = \infty$, we obtain equation, which exactly coincides with Eq. (22) from the paper⁵; us taking into account not only shunting of the ground capacitor, but also shunting of the JJ by the ohmic resistor, makes the model more physically realistic.

Further on we'll consider a superposition of three waves (pump, signal, and idler), given by Eq. (8), the frequencies of which satisfy the relation

$$\omega_s + \omega_i = 2\omega_p. \quad (21)$$

Ignoring the second derivatives of the complex amplitudes with respect to Z we obtain the coupled equations for the complex amplitudes⁵

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dA_p}{dZ} + \nu_p A_p &= i\gamma_p (|A_p|^2 + 2|A_s|^2 + 2|A_i|^2) A_p, \\ &+ 2i\eta_p A_p^* A_s A_i e^{i\Delta k \cdot Z} \end{aligned} \quad (22a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dA_s}{dZ} + \nu_s A_s &= i\gamma_s (2|A_p|^2 + |A_s|^2 + 2|A_i|^2) A_s \\ &+ i\eta_s A_p^2 A_i^* e^{-i\Delta k \cdot Z} \end{aligned} \quad (22b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dA_i}{dZ} + \nu_i A_i &= i\gamma_i (2|A_p|^2 + 2|A_s|^2 + |A_i|^2) A_i \\ &+ i\eta_i A_p^2 A_s^* e^{-i\Delta k \cdot Z}, \end{aligned} \quad (22c)$$

where ν_m is defined by Eq. (11a), and

$$\gamma_m = \frac{k_m^3}{16\omega_m^2}, \quad m = \{p, s, i\} \quad (23a)$$

$$\eta_p = \frac{k_p (k_s + k_i - k_p)^2}{16\omega_p^2} \quad (23b)$$

$$\eta_{s,i} = \frac{k_{s,i} (2k_p - k_{i,s})^2}{16\omega_{s,i}^2} \quad (23c)$$

$$\Delta k = k_s + k_i - 2k_p. \quad (23d)$$

Note that in the absence of losses, we can easily find two first integrals of (22)

$$\frac{|A_p|^2}{\eta_p} + \frac{|A_s|^2}{\eta_s} + \frac{|A_i|^2}{\eta_i} = \text{const} \quad (24a)$$

$$\frac{\omega_p |A_p|^2}{\eta_p} + \frac{\omega_s |A_s|^2}{\eta_s} + \frac{\omega_i |A_i|^2}{\eta_i} = \text{const}. \quad (24b)$$

A. Threshold for the parametric amplification

In the approximation of the powerful pump wave the equation for the pump amplitude (22a) decouples from the other two and becomes

$$\frac{dA_p}{dZ} + \nu_p A_p = i\gamma_p |A_p|^2 A_p. \quad (25)$$

The solution for the A_p being known, Eqs. (22b) and (22c) can be written down as

$$\frac{d\tilde{A}_s}{dZ} + (\nu_s - 2i\gamma_s |A_p|^2 - i\Delta k/2) \tilde{A}_s = i\eta_s A_p^2 \tilde{A}_i^* \quad (26a)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{A}_i^*}{dZ} + (\nu_i + 2i\gamma_i |A_p|^2 + i\Delta k/2) \tilde{A}_i^* = -i\eta_i (A_p^*)^2 \tilde{A}_s, \quad (26b)$$

In the framework of the local approximation, we treat A_p in the r.h.s. of Eqs. (26) as a constant. Comparing the equation with (14) we can immediately write down the condition for the parametric amplification in this case

$$\eta_s \eta_i |A_p|^4 > \nu_s \nu_i \left\{ 1 + \frac{[\Delta k + 2(\gamma_s - \gamma_i) |A_p|^2]^2}{(\nu_s + \nu_i)^2} \right\}. \quad (27)$$

Again, we bring back the coordinate dependence of A_p in Eq. (27) and consider it as a local condition for the parametric amplification.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have written down the circuit equations for the discrete JTL in the continuum approximation. On the basis of this equation we analysed the 3 waves interaction in the JTL on nonzero background and 4 waves interaction on zero background. In the former case we have found the threshold for the parametric amplification in the limit of strong pump wave.

In this paper we considered the linear amplification regime. It would be interesting to generalise the obtained results to the case of nonlinear amplification process, taking into account the inverse influence of the signal on the pump wave, that is to go from the powerful pump

wave ("stiff pump") approximation to the "soft pump" regime²¹, be we postpone such generalization until later time.

Another field we are planning to examine next is the role of higher order processes, beyond 3- and 4-wave mixing. It would be interesting to find out whether they can become as important as the basic processes, like it happens for the quantum impurity^{24,25}.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to M. Goldstein, A. Kamal, A. M. Kamchatnov and T. H. A. van der Reep for the insightful comments. The paper was finalized during the author's participation in the International School Open Quantum Many - Body Systems on February 20-23. The author would like to express his gratitude to the Organizers for the stimulating atmosphere.

-
- * Electronic address: Eugene.Kogan@biu.ac.il
- ¹ M. A. Castellanos-Beltran and K. W. Lehnert, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 083509 (2007).
 - ² P. D. Nation, J. R. Johansson, M. P. Blencowe, and F. Nori, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1 (2012).
 - ³ B. H. Eom, P. K. Day, H. G. LeDuc, and J. Zmuidzinas, Nat. Phys. **8**, 623 (2012).
 - ⁴ J. Aumentado, IEEE Microwave magazine, **21**, 45 (2020).
 - ⁵ O. Yaakobi, L. Friedland, C. Macklin, and I. Siddiqi, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 144301 (2013).
 - ⁶ K. O'Brien, C. Macklin, I. Siddiqi, and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 157001 (2014).
 - ⁷ C. Macklin, K. O'Brien, D. Hover, M. E. Schwartz, V. Bolkhovsky, X. Zhang, W. D. Oliver, and I. Siddiqi, Science **350**, 307 (2015).
 - ⁸ B. A. Kochetov, and A. Fedorov, Phys. Rev. B. **92**, 224304 (2015).
 - ⁹ M.T. Bell and A. Samolov, Phys. Rev. Applied 4, 024014 (2015).
 - ¹⁰ T. C. White et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **106**, 242601 (2015).
 - ¹¹ A. B. Zorin, Phys. Rev. Applied **6**, 034006 (2016).
 - ¹² L. Fasolo, A. Greco, E. Enrico, J. Thirumalai, and S. I. Pokutnyi, Adv. Condensed - Matter Materials Physics - Rudimentary Res. Topical Technol. IntechOpen, 2019.
 - ¹³ D. M. Basko, F. Pfeiffer, P. Adamus, M. Holzmam, and F. W. J. Hekking, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 024518 (2020).
 - ¹⁴ T. Dixon, J. W. Dunstan, G. B. Long, J. M. Williams, Ph. J. Meeson, C. D. Shelly, Phys. Rev. Applied **14**, 034058 (2020)
 - ¹⁵ A. B. Zorin, Phys. Rev. Applied **12**, 044051 (2019).
 - ¹⁶ A. Miano and O. A. Mukhanov, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. **29**, 1501706 (2019).
 - ¹⁷ T. H. A. van der Reep, Phys. Rev. A **99**, 063838 (2019).
 - ¹⁸ Ch. Liu, Tzu-Chiao Chien, M. Hatridge, D. Pekker, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 042323 (2020).
 - ¹⁹ A. Greco, L. Fasolo, A. Meda, L. Callegaro, and E. Enrico, Phys. Rev. B, **104**, 184517 (2021).
 - ²⁰ Y. Yuan, M. Haider, J. A. Russer, P. Russer, and Ch. Jirauschek. In European Quantum Electronics Conference, 22, Optical Society of America (2021).
 - ²¹ C. Kow, V. Podolskiy, and A. Kamal, arXiv:2201.04660.
 - ²² H. Katayama, N. Hatakenaka, T. Fujii, and M. P. Blencowe, arXiv:2212.12234.
 - ²³ E. Kogan, Phys. Stat. Sol. (b), 2200475 (2023).
 - ²⁴ A. Burshtein, R. Kuzmin, V. E. Manucharyan, and M. Goldstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 137701 (2021).
 - ²⁵ R. Kuzmin, G. Nicholas, N. Mehta, A. Burshtein, M. Goldstein, M. Houzet, L. I. Glazman and V. E. Manucharyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 197701 (2021).