






# Cyanopolyynes chemistry in the L1544 prestellar core: new insights from GBT observations

ELEONORA BIANCHI <sup>1,2,3,4</sup> ANTHONY REMIJAN <sup>5</sup> CLAUDIO CODELLA <sup>4,3</sup> CECILIA CECCARELLI <sup>3</sup>  
FRANCOIS LIQUE <sup>6</sup> SILVIA SPEZZANO <sup>7</sup> NADIA BALUCANI <sup>8,4</sup> PAOLA CASELLI <sup>7</sup> ERIC HERBST <sup>9,10</sup>  
LINDA PODIO <sup>4</sup> CHARLOTTE VASTEL <sup>11</sup> AND BRETT MCGUIRE <sup>12,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Excellence Cluster ORIGINS, Boltzmannstraße 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany*

<sup>2</sup>*Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Schellingstraße 4, 80799 München, Germany*

<sup>3</sup>*Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IPAG, 38000 Grenoble, France*

<sup>4</sup>*INAF, Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125, Firenze, Italy*

<sup>5</sup>*National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville, VA 22903, USA*

<sup>6</sup>*Univ. Rennes, CNRS, IPR [(Institut de Physique de Rennes)] - UMR 6251, F-35000 Rennes, France*

<sup>7</sup>*Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik (MPE), Giessenbachstrasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany*

<sup>8</sup>*Dipartimento di Chimica, Biologia e Biotecnologie, Via Elce di Sotto 8, 06123 Perugia, Italy*

<sup>9</sup>*Department of Chemistry, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA*

<sup>10</sup>*Department of Astronomy, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA*

<sup>11</sup>*IRAP, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, CNES, 31400 Toulouse, France*

<sup>12</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

## ABSTRACT

We report a comprehensive study of the cyanopolyynes chemistry in the prototypical prestellar core L1544. Using the 100m Robert C. Byrd Green Bank Telescope (GBT) we observe 3 emission lines of HC<sub>3</sub>N, 9 lines of HC<sub>5</sub>N, 5 lines of HC<sub>7</sub>N, and 9 lines of HC<sub>9</sub>N. HC<sub>9</sub>N is detected for the first time towards the source. The high spectral resolution ( $\sim 0.05 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) reveals double-peak spectral line profiles with the redshifted peak a factor 3-5 brighter. Resolved maps of the core in other molecular tracers indicates that the southern region is redshifted. Therefore, the bulk of the cyanopolyynes emission is likely associated with the southern region of the core, where free carbon atoms are available to form long chains, thanks to the more efficient illumination of the interstellar field radiation. We perform a simultaneous modelling of the HC<sub>5</sub>N, HC<sub>7</sub>N, and HC<sub>9</sub>N lines, to investigate the origin of the emission. To enable this analysis, we performed new calculation of the collisional coefficients. The simultaneous fitting indicates a gas kinetic temperature of 5–12 K, a source size of 80'', and a gas density larger than  $100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The HC<sub>5</sub>N:HC<sub>7</sub>N:HC<sub>9</sub>N abundance ratios measured in L1544 are about 1:6:4. We compare our observations with those towards the well-studied starless core TMC-1 and with the available measurements in different star-forming regions. The comparison suggests that a complex carbon chain chemistry is active in other sources and it is related to the presence of free gaseous carbon. Finally, we discuss the possible formation and destruction routes in the light of the new observations.

**Keywords:** Astrochemistry (75) — Star formation (1569) — Interstellar medium (847) — Interstellar molecules (849) — Chemical abundances (224)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The formation of a Solar-type planetary system starts with the collapse of a cold ( $\leq 10 \text{ K}$ ) and dense ( $\geq 10^5$

$\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) core, called a prestellar core, in a molecular cloud. The evolution of the prestellar core into a protostar, a protoplanetary disk and, eventually, a planetary system, is also accompanied by the evolution of its chemical composition (e.g. Caselli & Ceccarelli 2012).

Cyanopolyynes are a class of molecules composed of a long chain of carbon atoms with a hydrogen atom at one end and a cyanide (CN) group at the other end.

They are widespread in the interstellar medium (ISM) and they have been detected at all the stages of the star formation process, from dark clouds (e.g. Walmsley et al. 1980) to protoplanetary disks (Chapillon et al. 2012), and comets (e.g. Bockelée-Morvan et al. 2000). While small cyanopolyynes such as  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  are regularly observed with (sub-)millimeter telescopes, much less is known about the presence and evolution of heavy species (e.g. chains with more than seven carbon atoms) which have their peak of emission at longer wavelengths. Even the relatively simple and abundant cyanotriacetylene ( $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$ ) has only been detected in a handful of Solar-type prestellar cores and protostars (e.g. Cernicharo et al. 1986; Gupta et al. 2009; Cordiner et al. 2012; Friesen et al. 2013; Jaber Al-Edhari et al. 2017). Yet, large carbon chains might have a crucial role in the heritage of organic material from the pre- and protostellar phase to the objects of the newly formed planetary system, such as asteroids and comets (e.g. Mumma & Charnley 2011).

Despite the importance of large carbon species in the astrobiological context and its potential diagnostic power, only the starless core TMC-1 has been extensively explored so far (e.g. Cernicharo et al. 2021). This object has been the target of two deep surveys: GOTHAM (GBT Observations of TMC-1: Hunting Aromatic Molecules, McGuire et al. 2020) and the QUIJOTE (Q-band Ultrasensitive Inspection Journey to the Obscure TMC-1 Environment, Cernicharo et al. 2021) projects, which extensively investigated the cyanopolyne chemistry in this source. In particular, they revealed for the first time several cyanopolyynes isotopologues and isomers (such as  $\text{HC}_4\text{NC}$  and  $\text{HC}_6\text{NC}$ ) (Cernicharo et al. 2020), as well as the presence of  $\text{HC}_{11}\text{N}$  (Loomis et al. 2021), the largest cyanopolyne so far discovered in the ISM. Yet, TMC-1 actually is a starless core which does not have any sign of collapsing and becoming eventually a planetary system. In this respect, the study of large cyanopolyynes in a prestellar core, which is believed to eventually form a Solar-type planetary system, is particularly important.

L1544, in the Taurus molecular cloud complex at a distance of 170 pc (e.g. Galli et al. 2019), is considered the prototype of prestellar cores, being on the verge of gravitational collapse (e.g. Caselli & Ceccarelli 2012). Its central high density ( $\sim 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) and very low temperature ( $\sim 7 \text{ K}$ ) result in the peculiar chemistry typical of cold and CO depleted gas, namely a very high deuteration of species (e.g. Caselli et al. 1999; Crapsi et al. 2007; Ceccarelli et al. 2007; Caselli et al. 2022). In the external layers, however, different rich chemical processes take place which lead to the formation of in-

terstellar Complex Organic Molecules (iCOMs) and carbon chains (e.g. Bizzocchi et al. 2014; Vastel et al. 2016; Jiménez-Serra et al. 2016; Punanova et al. 2018; Ceccarelli et al. 2022). Indeed, recent (single-dish) IRAM 30m observations in the mm-window show the presence of small carbon chains such as  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$ ,  $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{C}_3\text{H}$ ,  $\text{C}_4\text{H}$ ,  $\text{C}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{C}_3\text{O}$  over extended portions of L1544 (Vastel et al. 2014; Spezzano et al. 2017; Urso et al. 2019).

We carried out a pilot line survey in the X (8.0-11.6 GHz) and Ku (13.5-14.4 GHz) bands with the GBT towards L1544. The goal of the project is to obtain the first census of molecular lines in this radio spectral range towards a well known and established analogue of the Solar System precursor. In this first article, we report the study of the cyanopolyynes.

The article is organised as follows. We report the observations and the detected lines in Sec. 2. In Sec. 3, we analyse the detected cyanopolyne lines to derive constraints on the physical conditions of the emitting gas. To this end, we carried out new computations to derive the collisional coefficients of  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  with  $\text{H}_2$  in Sec. 4. Section 5 reports the implications of our new observation for the understanding of the cyanopolyne chemistry in the earliest phases of a Solar-type planetary system and Sec. 6 concludes the article.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

### 2.1. Observations

The observations presented here were carried out between 2019 June and 2020 February, on the GBT, under project codes AGBT19A\_048 and AGBT20A\_135. The target source L1544 was observed at the coordinates  $\alpha_{\text{J2000}} = 05^{\text{h}} 04^{\text{m}} 16^{\text{s}}.60$ ,  $\delta_{\text{J2000}} = +25^\circ 10' 48''.0$ . The source calibrator 0530+1331 was used to perform pointing and focus. Observations were performed using position switching mode with an ON-OFF throw position of  $1^\circ$ . Two receivers were used to cover the X-band (8.0-11.6 GHz) and the Ku-band (13.5-14.4 GHz) in combination with the VEGA spectrometer in high-resolution mode. The bandwidth per spectral window was of 187.5 MHz with 131,072 channels corresponding to a resolution of 1.4 kHz ( $0.05 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  at 9 GHz). The r.m.s. is typically  $\sim 5 \text{ mK}$  in a channel of  $\sim 1.4 \text{ kHz}$ . The telescope half-power beam width (HPBW) varies between  $\sim 54''$  in Ku-band and  $1.4'$  in X-band corresponding to  $\sim 9180 \text{ au}$  and  $\sim 14280 \text{ au}$  at the source distance. The calibration was performed using GBTIDL. The spectra are first inspected by eye and cleaned of any artifacts. Successively, each scan is corrected for Doppler tracking and calibrated in flux using the internal noise diodes from the receiver. The calibrated scans for a single observing session are then noise-weighted averaged. The

baseline subtraction is performed by automatically identifying the line-free channels and performing a polynomial fit. The spectra were finally corrected for the GBT telescope efficiencies<sup>1</sup>. Calibration uncertainties are estimated to be  $\sim 20\%$ .

## 2.2. Results

We detected several bright emission lines from cyanopolyynes in L1544. More specifically, we detected 3 emission lines from HC<sub>3</sub>N, 9 lines from HC<sub>5</sub>N, 5 lines from HC<sub>7</sub>N, and 9 lines from HC<sub>9</sub>N (see Table 1). The threshold for detection is a Signal-to-Noise larger than

$3\sigma$  at the line peak. Line identification has been performed using the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy<sup>2</sup> (Müller et al. 2001, 2005). Table 1 reports the list of the detected transitions with their spectroscopic and derived line parameters, namely the line frequency,  $\nu$ , the upper level energy,  $E_{\text{up}}$ , the line strength,  $S\mu^2$ , the line peak intensity in main beam temperature scale,  $T_{\text{peak}}$ , the root mean square noise, rms, and the integrated intensity  $I_{\text{int}}$ . The upper level energy of the detected lines is low,  $E_{\text{up}} \leq 10$  K. The line analysis has been performed using the GILDAS<sup>3</sup> CLASS package. The observed spectra are reported in Figures 1 – 4.

**Table 1.** List of transitions and line properties (in  $T_{\text{MB}}$  scale) of the cyanopolyne emission. The columns report the transition and their frequency (GHz), the upper level energy  $E_{\text{up}}$  (K), the line strength  $S\mu^2$  ( $\text{D}^2$ ), the line peak temperature (mK), the rms (mK), and the velocity integrated line intensity  $I_{\text{int}}$  ( $\text{mK km s}^{-1}$ ).

Transition	$\nu^{\text{a}}$ (GHz)	$E_{\text{up}}^{\text{a}}$ (K)	$S\mu^{2\text{a}}$ ( $\text{D}^2$ )	$T_{\text{peak}}$ (mK)	rms (mK)	$I_{\text{int}}^{\text{b}}$ ( $\text{mK km s}^{-1}$ )
HC <sub>3</sub> N						
HC <sub>3</sub> N 1–0, F= 1–1	9.0970	0.44	4.6	941	5	247 (1)
HC <sub>3</sub> N 1–0, F= 2–1	9.0983	0.44	7.7	1485	5	397 (1)
HC <sub>3</sub> N 1–0, F= 0–1	9.1003	0.44	1.5	333	5	90 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N						
HC <sub>5</sub> N 3–2, F= 2–1	7.98778	0.77	33.8	121	8	30 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 3–2, F= 3–2	7.98799	0.77	50.0	229	8	47 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 3–2, F= 4–3	7.98804	0.77	72.3	317	9	67 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 3–2, F= 2–2	7.98992	0.77	6.2	43	6	7 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 4–3, F= 4–4	10.64923	1.28	4.7	54	6	9 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 4–3, F= 3–2	10.65056	1.28	53.6	567	6	108 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 4–3, F= 4–3	10.65065	1.28	70.3	700	7	141 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 4–3, F= 5–4	10.65068	1.28	91.7	896	6	179 (1)
HC <sub>5</sub> N 4–3, F= 3–3	10.65249	1.28	4.7	61	6	13 (1)
HC <sub>7</sub> N						
HC <sub>7</sub> N 8–7, F= 7–6	9.02399	1.95	161.1	92	5	20 (1)
HC <sub>7</sub> N 8–7, F= 8–7	9.02401	1.95	183.0	109	5	46 (1)
HC <sub>7</sub> N 8–7, F= 9–8	9.02402		207.7			

**Table 1** *continued*

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.gb.nrao.edu/scienceDocs/GBTpg.pdf>


<sup>2</sup> <http://www.astro.uni-koeln.de/cdms/>

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS>

**Table 1** (*continued*)

Transition	$\nu^a$ (GHz)	$E_{\text{up}}^a$ (K)	$S\mu^{2a}$ (D <sup>2</sup> )	$T_{\text{peak}}$ (mK)	rms (mK)	$I_{\text{int}}^b$ (mK km s <sup>-1</sup> )
HC <sub>7</sub> N 9–8, F= 8–7	10.15200		184.5			
HC <sub>7</sub> N 9–8, F= 9–8	10.15201	2.44	206.5	217	4	93 (1)
HC <sub>7</sub> N 9–8, F= 10–9	10.15202		231.1			
HC <sub>7</sub> N 10–9, F= 10–10	11.28900		207.9			
HC <sub>7</sub> N 10–9, F= 10–9	11.28001	3.00	230.0	323	8	133 (2)
HC <sub>7</sub> N 10–9, F= 11–10	11.28001		254.4			
HC <sub>7</sub> N 13–12, F= 12–11	14.66399		277.8			
HC <sub>7</sub> N 13–12, F= 13–12	14.66399	4.93	300.3	443	7	151 (1)
HC <sub>7</sub> N 13–12, F= 14–13	14.66400		324.4			
HC <sub>9</sub> N						
HC <sub>9</sub> N 14–13, F= 13–12	8.13450		350.5			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 14–13, F= 14–13	8.13450	2.93	376.7	32	5	14 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 14–13, F= 15–14	8.13451		404.6			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 15–14, F= 14–13	8.71553		377.6			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 15–14, F= 15–14	8.71554	3.35	376.7	41	5	13 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 15–14, F= 16–15	8.71554		431.7			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 16–15, F= 15–14	9.29657		404.8			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 16–15, F= 16–15	9.29657	3.79	430.9	42	5	15 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 16–15, F= 17–16	9.29657		458.9			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 17–16, F= 16–15	9.87760		431.8			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 17–16, F= 17–16	9.87761	4.27	458.1	57	5	19 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 17–16, F= 18–17	9.87761		486.0			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 18–17, F= 17–16	10.45864		458.9			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 18–17, F= 18–17	10.45864	4.77	485.2	55	5	18 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 18–17, F= 19–18	10.45864		513.0			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 19–18, F= 18–17	11.03967		486.0			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 19–18, F= 19–18	11.03967	5.30	512.3	95	7	22 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 19–18, F= 20–19	11.03967		540.1			
HC <sub>9</sub> N 24–23	13.94483	8.37	1947.0	152	6	24 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 25–24	14.52586	9.06	2028.1	157	6	25 (1)
HC <sub>9</sub> N 26–25	15.10689	9.79	2108.9	166	7	26 (1)

NOTE—<sup>a</sup> Frequencies and spectroscopic parameters have been provided by [de Zafra \(1971\)](#) for HC<sub>3</sub>N, [Giesen et al. \(2020\)](#) for HC<sub>5</sub>N, and HC<sub>9</sub>N, and [McCarthy et al. \(2000\)](#) for HC<sub>9</sub>N, respectively, and retrieved from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy ([Müller et al. 2005](#)). <sup>b</sup> Errors on the integrated intensity do not include 20% of calibration.



**Figure 1.**  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  transitions observed towards L1544 with the GBT. The vertical dashed lines mark the ambient LSR velocity ( $+7.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Tafalla et al. 1998). The upper level energy of each transition is reported on the right inside the top panel.

The observed cyanopolyynes lines show a double peaked profile, revealed thanks to the very high spectral resolution ( $\sim 1.4 \text{ kHz}$ , corresponding to  $\sim 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  at  $14 \text{ GHz}$ ) provided by the GBT. The two emission peaks are located at  $+7.1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and  $+7.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  with a dip located at the systemic source velocity ( $+7.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). The red-shifted peak is brighter than the blue-shifted one by a factor between 3 and 5, depending on the line. We report for the first time towards the source the heavier cyanopolyynes  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ , while  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ , and  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  have been previously observed in L1544 (Snell et al. 1981a; Cernicharo et al. 1986; Quénard et al. 2017; Hily-Blant et al. 2018). The  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  spectra previously observed at the IRAM 30m have a spectral resolution  $\sim 0.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , and they do not reveal the double peak profile. Interestingly, the velocities of the two peaks are consistent with the blue- and red-shifted velocities revealed by the peak velocity distribution derived by Spezzano et al. (2016) in  $\text{c-C}_3\text{H}_2$  using the IRAM 30m. In addition, the spectral profiles of the present data set are well consistent with those at high spectral resolution (down to  $\simeq 0.04 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) previously observed at GBT towards L1544 in other complex C-bearing species such as  $\text{C}_4\text{H}$ ,  $\text{C}_6\text{H}$  and  $\text{C}_6\text{H}^-$  (Gupta et al. 2009).


### 3. PHYSICAL CONDITIONS AND ABUNDANCE RATIOS

#### 3.1. Full radiative transfer modeling

In order to interpret the line profiles, we use the full radiative transfer code LOC (Juvela 2020) with a 1D model that assumes spherically symmetric distribution of physical structures, characterised by volume density,  $\rho(r)$ , kinetic temperature,  $T(r)$  and velocity field, including both micro-turbulence,  $\sigma_{\text{turb}}$  and radial velocity,  $V(r)$ . We adopted the parameterised forms of  $\rho(r)$ ,  $T(r)$  and  $V(r)$  following Keto & Caselli (2010) for L1544. The core radius is assumed to be  $0.3 \text{ pc}$ . In the modeling, linear discretization is used for the grids with a physical resolution of  $60 \text{ au}$ . We then convolved the output spectral cube with the corresponding observational beam for each frequency. We tested several abundance profiles for  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$ , with both constant abundance profile and constant abundance profile with depletion in the inner few thousand au of the core. While we are able to reproduce the overall intensity of the lines with abundances of few  $10^{-9}$ , we cannot reproduce the observed line profiles. Figure 5 shows the results of one of our test, ran using a constant abundance profile of  $5 \times 10^{-9}$  with respect to  $\text{H}_2$  and complete freeze-out towards the inner 5000 au of the core. The 1-0 transition of  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$ , given the very low critical density ( $\sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ), traces the outer layers of the core, as also found by e.g. Liu et al. (2022) in cold Planck clumps, and it is likely that the line profile that we observe is showing us the asymmetry in these outer layers. As a consequence, by using a spherical model we cannot reproduce the line profiles. The profile of many emission lines have been successfully reproduced with the Keto & Caselli physical structure of L1544 using transitions with larger critical densities ( $10^4$ - $10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) with respect to the 1-0 transition of  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$ . Likely the spherical symmetry approximation is not realistic for transitions tracing low density gas.

#### 3.2. Large Velocity Gradient modelling

In order to understand the nature and the spatial origin of the gas emitting in cyanopolyynes, we analysed the observed  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  lines via a non Local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) Large Velocity Gradient (LVG) approach. To this end, we used the code GRELVG, initially developed by Ceccarelli et al. (2003). We used the collisional coefficients of  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  with para- $\text{H}_2$  between 10 and 100 K, computed from the  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  collisional coefficients as described in Sec. 4. The first 50 levels of each species are included, which means transitions with upper level energies of 160, 70 and 35 K for  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ , respectively. We will discuss the impact of these limits



**Figure 2.**  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  transitions observed towards L1544 with the GBT. The vertical dashed lines mark the ambient LSR velocity ( $+7.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Tafalla et al. 1998). The upper level energy of each transition is reported on the right inside the top panels.

when analysing the results of the modeling. To compute the line escape probability as a function of the line optical depth we adopted a semi-infinite expanding slab geometry (Scoville & Solomon 1974) and a line width equal to  $0.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , following the observations.

We ran several grids of models to sample the  $\chi^2$  surface in the parameters space. Specifically, we varied the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  column density  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$  from  $1 \times 10^{12}$  to  $2 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  abundance ratio  $f_{5-7}$  from 3 to 9, the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  abundance ratio  $f_{5-9}$  from 9 to 49, the  $\text{H}_2$  density  $n_{\text{H}_2}$  from 100 to  $10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and the temperature  $T_{\text{gas}}$  from 5 to 100 K. We then fitted the measured  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  velocity-integrated line intensities by comparing them with those predicted by the model, leaving  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$ ,  $f_{5-7}$ ,  $f_{5-9}$ ,  $n_{\text{H}_2}$ ,  $T_{\text{gas}}$  and the emitting size  $\theta$  as free parameters.

We proceeded in two steps. In a first step, we considered the three species independently and obtained con-


straints on their column densities and the emitting gas properties ( $\theta$ ,  $n_{\text{H}_2}$ ,  $T_{\text{gas}}$ ). In a second step, we fitted the lines from the three species simultaneously, obtaining constraints on all parameters:  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$ ,  $f_{5-7}$ ,  $f_{5-9}$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $n_{\text{H}_2}$  and  $T_{\text{gas}}$ .

### 3.2.1. Step 1: $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ , $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$ and $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ separate fit

**$\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ :** Given the limited number (two) of lines and range of upper level energy, only a lower limit to the  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$  is obtained,  $\geq 4 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , with an emitting size of about  $100''$ .

**$\text{HC}_7\text{N}$ :** With four lines, the  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  fitting constrains better the gas parameter than the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  one. The column density  $N(\text{HC}_7\text{N})$  is constrained at  $1 \sigma$  between  $0.6$  and  $3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , where the emitting size are from  $100''$  to  $38''$ , respectively. Both the gas temperature and densities are difficult to constrain as they depend on the  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  column density (see § 3.2.2 below).





**Figure 3.**  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  transitions observed towards L1544 with the GBT. The vertical dashed lines mark the ambient LSR velocity ( $+7.2 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , Tafalla et al. 1998). The upper level energy of each transition is reported on the right inside each panel.

$\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ : The column density  $N(\text{HC}_9\text{N})$  is, at  $1 \sigma$ , between  $0.1$  and  $3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , where the emitting size are from  $150''$  to  $38''$ , respectively. Again, the gas temperature and densities are difficult to constraint as they depend on the  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  column density (see § 3.2.2 below).

### 3.2.2. Step 2: $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ , $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$ and $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ simultaneous fit

We assumed that the three cyanopolyynes originate in the same gas. This assumption is based on the similarity of the line shapes of the three species. We considered all the lines detected in the observations presented here, namely a total of 18 lines which leads to 12 degrees of freedom in the fit.

We started by exploring the  $\chi^2$  surface over large ranges and gradually zoomed to smaller ones to be sure not to miss local minima. In practice, each grid consisted of about  $10^4$  models and we ran a dozen grids. The results of the analysis are shown in Fig. 6 and reported in Table 2.

Parameter [units]	Best-fit	$1\sigma$ range
$\theta [']$	80	32–100
$N(\text{HC}_5\text{N}) [\times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$	4.3	3–20
$N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})/N(\text{HC}_7\text{N})$	6	4–7
$N(\text{HC}_7\text{N})/N(\text{HC}_9\text{N})$	4	3–5
$\text{H}_2$ density $[\text{cm}^{-3}]$	100	$\geq 100$
Gas temperature [K]	7.5	5–12


**Table 2.** Results of the non-LTE LVG analysis. Best fit emitting region size (row 1),  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  column density (row 2),  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  column density ratios (row 3 and 4, respectively),  $\text{H}_2$  density (row 5), and gas temperature (row 6). For each parameter the  $1\sigma$  range is reported in column 2.

First, the top panel of Fig. 6 shows the reduced- $\chi^2$  as a function of the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  abundance ratios. The best fit (reduced- $\chi^2 = 0.31$ ) is obtained for  $f_{5-7} = 6_{-2}^{+1}$  and  $f_{5-9} = 25 \pm 10$ , along the a banana-like curve with  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N} = 4 \pm 1$ . The best fit is obtained at  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_7\text{N} = 6$  and  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N} = 4$ . With these values, the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  column density  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$  is equal to  $\sim 3\text{--}20 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $\theta$  is  $\sim 100\text{--}32''$  (the larger  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$  the smaller  $\theta$ ), as shown in Fig. 6. The lowest  $\chi^2$  is obtained for  $N(\text{HC}_5\text{N}) = 4.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and  $\theta = 80''$ . With these values, the lowest  $\chi^2$  is obtained for  $T_{\text{gas}} = 7.5 \text{ K}$  and  $n_{\text{H}_2} = 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . At the  $1\sigma$  level the temperature is between 5 and 12 K and the density remains unconstrained (Fig. 6). The observed and predicted intensities of all the lines are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 6. The  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  lines are all optically thin (the highest  $\tau$  is 0.6 for the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$   $J=4$  line) and the lines are moderately subthermally populated ( $T_{\text{ex}} \sim 6\text{--}7 \text{ K}$ ).

### 3.2.3. Impact of the limited number of considered levels

Finally, we comment about the possible impact on the gas temperature caused by the limited number of levels, only 50 for the three cyanopolyynes. While the number of levels of  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  are enough for a reasonable analysis for temperatures below 30 K, the limited number of levels of  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  may, in principle, be problematic. However, since the gas temperature derived from the previous LVG analysis is lower than 12 K, the analysis is probably not greatly impacted by the range of energy levels probed by the observations. For example, the excitation temperature  $T_{\text{ex}}$  of the  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$   $J=13\text{--}12$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$   $J=26\text{--}25$  lines are 7.3 and 6.95 K, respectively, when the gas kinetic temperature is 7.5 K. Therefore, the highest levels of  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  are probably not populated, which makes the above analysis reliable.

## 4. COLLISIONAL COEFFICIENTS



**Figure 4.** HC<sub>9</sub>N transitions observed towards L1544 with the GBT. The vertical dashed lines mark the ambient LSR velocity (+ 7.2 km s<sup>-1</sup>, Tafalla et al. 1998). The upper level energy of each transition is reported on the right inside each panel. The blue lines indicate the position of the hyperfine components.

To the best of our knowledge, no collisional data are available for the HC<sub>5</sub>N and HC<sub>7</sub>N molecules. However, as already suggested by Snell et al. (1981b), HC<sub>5</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> and HC<sub>7</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> rate coefficients may be estimated from HCN–H<sub>2</sub> and HC<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> rate coefficients considering that the rate coefficients will be proportional to the size of the molecules.

We considered the HC<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> rate coefficients as a reference for the estimation of HC<sub>5</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> and HC<sub>7</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> rate coefficients. As a crude approximation, HC<sub>5</sub>N and HC<sub>7</sub>N are respectively 1.5 and 2 times longer than HC<sub>3</sub>N whereas HCN is 2 times shorter than HC<sub>3</sub>N.

Snell et al. (1981b) scale the HC<sub>3</sub>N rate coefficients by a factor 1.5 and 2 to get HC<sub>5</sub>N and HC<sub>7</sub>N rate coefficients respectively. They also checked that HCN and HC<sub>3</sub>N rate coefficients obey to the same rules. However, as noticed by Snell et al. (1981b), the scaling factors are

averages and significant deviations occur depending on the transition and the temperature considered.


In this work, in order to improve the accuracy of the estimation, we consider scaling factors depending on the size of the molecule (1.5 for HC<sub>5</sub>N and 2 for HC<sub>7</sub>N) but also on the transition and the temperature considered. Indeed, the ratio of HC<sub>3</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> over HCN–H<sub>2</sub> rate coefficients was also used to evaluate the HC<sub>5</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> and HC<sub>7</sub>N–H<sub>2</sub> as follows:

$$k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HC}_7\text{N}-\text{H}_2}(T) = k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HC}_3\text{N}-\text{H}_2}(T) \frac{k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HC}_3\text{N}-\text{H}_2}(T)}{k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HCN}-\text{H}_2}(T)} \quad (1)$$

and

$$k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HC}_5\text{N}-\text{H}_2}(T) = \frac{1}{2} (k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HC}_3\text{N}-\text{H}_2}(T) + k_{J \rightarrow J'}^{\text{HC}_7\text{N}-\text{H}_2}(T)) \quad (2)$$





**Figure 5.** Comparison between the observed  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  line profiles and the theoretical profiles predicted using the radiative transfer code LOC (see Sect. 3.1). The model, assuming spherical symmetry distribution, reproduce the line intensities but not the shape of the profiles. This suggests an asymmetric distribution of cyanopolyynes across the core, with the red-shifted component brighter than the blue-shifted one.

The  $\text{HCN-H}_2$  rate coefficients of Ben Abdallah et al. (2012) and the  $\text{HC}_3\text{N-H}_2$  rate coefficients of Wernli et al. (2007) were used in the above formula.

Using such an approach, we expect to have a better description of large  $\Delta J$  ( $J'-J \gg 1$ ) for collisionally-induced transitions and for very low temperatures. In the astrophysical models, the  $\text{HC}_9\text{N-H}_2$  rate coefficients were considered to be the same as the  $\text{HC}_7\text{N-H}_2$  ones. It should be noted that the accuracy of the present calculations is expected to be accurate only to within about an order of magnitude. Future calculations of rate coefficients for  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  molecules have to be performed using a more reliable approach.

## 5. DISCUSSION


### 5.1. Origin of the cyanopolyne emission in L1544

The high spectral resolution provided by the present observations allow us to resolve in details the shape of the observed cyanopolyynes lines (see Sec 2.2). Specifically, the lines present a double peak with the red-shifted intensity brighter than the blue-shifted one (Sec. 2.2). Previous maps by Spezzano et al. (2016) and Spezzano et al. (2017) show that the emission of carbon chains is concentrated in south-east region of L1544, in contrast with that of methanol, whose lines are bright in the north. The velocity in the two regions is slightly different, with the C-chain peak red-shifted with respect to the velocity of the methanol peak. In the present observations, the velocity of the cyanopolyne red-shifted peak is consistent to that of the carbon chains emitting

region. Therefore, the fact that the cyanopolyynes lines are brighter in the red-shifted peak implies that they mainly originate in the south-east region of the L1544 core. These results support the idea that the carbon chains abundance is enhanced towards the external part of the core, where material is more exposed to the interstellar field radiation. The southern part of the source is particularly exposed since it is located at the edge of the cloud (André et al. 2010), and this would increase the free carbon atoms available to form long chains (see also Spezzano et al. 2016). The line profiles observed with the GBT are consistent with those observed by Gupta et al. (2009) in other carbon chains but clearly different from those observed in high-density gas tracers towards L1544 (e.g.,  $\text{N}_2\text{D}^+$  and  $\text{N}_2\text{D}^+$ ; Caselli et al. 2002, 2017), supporting this interpretation.

The non-LTE LVG analysis (Sec. 3.2) indicates that the cyanopolyne emission originates predominantly from an extended region ( $\sim 13600$  au in radius) at low temperature (5–12 K). Unfortunately, the gas density is unconstrained other than being larger than  $\sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ .


The derived temperature is in agreement with the dust temperature measured with Herschel and shown in Fig. 7. SPIRE is only sensitive to the extended emission and the core regions probed by the GBT have a dust temperature ranging between 11.5 K and 15 K. On the other hand, other molecular tracers, such as  $\text{NH}_3$  and its isotopologues, show that the gas temperature decreases towards the central part of the core down to  $\sim 7$  K (Crapsi et al. 2007).



**Figure 6.** Results from the  $\chi^2$  minimisation obtained fitting simultaneously the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  velocity-integrated line intensities. *Top left panel:* Reduced  $\chi^2$  as a function of the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  abundance ratios. The cross shows the best fit and the green area the  $1\sigma$  uncertainty parameter space. The dashed lines show the  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  ratio. *Top right panel:* Minimum  $\chi^2$  obtained at each  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  column density  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$  (minimised with respect to the gas temperature and density) as a function of  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$ . The dashed horizontal line shows the  $1\sigma$  interval. *Bottom left panels:* Contour plot of the  $\chi^2$  as a function of the density and temperature, obtained for the best fit  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  column density of  $4.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  and source size of  $80''$  (see upper panel). The red cross indicates the best fit gas density and temperature and the blue curve the  $1\sigma$  interval. *Bottom right panel:* Observed velocity-integrated line intensities of  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  (circles),  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  (stars) and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  (triangles) and the modelled ones (red curves) as a function of the upper J of the transitions, computed at the best fit (see text).

However, the analysis has several limitations which should be taken into account. First, the physical model of the source used in our analysis of Sec. 3.1, i.e. the one by Keto & Caselli (2010), assumes spherical symmetry, within the observed region. On the contrary, the non-LTE LVG analysis in Sec. 3.2, assumes a semi-infinite slab. On the other hand, the spectral profiles suggest that the cyanopolyne emission is non-homogeneously distributed and the modelling does not distinguish the different emitting components. The results of the modelling would be then representative of the physical conditions of the main emitting component but they could be locally different. Another assumption of the Sec. 3.2 non-LTE LVG modelling is that all the cyanopolyynes are co-spatial and trace the same gas. Although this hypothesis is supported by the similar line profiles, it

can not be verified only using single-dish GBT observations. Finally, recent observations have shown the presence of deuterated carbon chains ( $\text{c-C}_3\text{HD}$  and  $\text{c-C}_3\text{D}_2$ ) towards the dusty peak at the center of the core (Giers et al. 2022). This would suggest that even if the bulk of the emission comes from the external layers, a significant fraction of molecular species rich in carbon can still be present in the densest regions of the core, where free carbon atoms are produced by the CO destruction from the molecular ions created by cosmic-rays (such as  $\text{He}^+$ ), as first proposed by Ruffle et al. (1999). Further interferometric observations are needed to map the cyanopolyne distribution across the core. In this re-



**Figure 7.** Dust temperature calculated from 1/SPIRE data at 250, 350, and 500  $\mu\text{m}$  observations towards L1544 and presented in Spezzano et al. (2016). We show superposed in black the GBT HPBW in the two observed bands.

spect, the next generation of radio interferometer such as SKA<sup>4</sup> and ngVLA<sup>5</sup> will be a major step ahead.

### 5.2. Comparison of L1544 with other sources


Cyanopolyne emission is ubiquitous in the ISM. The simplest cyanopolyne,  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$ , was one of the firsts molecular species detected outside of our Galaxy (Mauersberger et al. 1990). Small cyanopolyynes, up to  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$ , have been detected in several starless and protostellar cores in different star forming regions. However, few measurements exist so far of more complex cyanopolyynes such as  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_{11}\text{N}$ . Figure 8 (left panel) and Table 3 report the column densities measured in starless cores for cyanopolyynes from  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  to  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ . The only source for which all the cyanopolyynes from  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  to  $\text{HC}_{11}\text{N}$  have been measured so far is TMC-1. Figure 8 (right panel) shows the comparison between L1544 (this work) and TMC-1 for cyanopolyynes from  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  to  $\text{HC}_{11}\text{N}$ . The deep surveys QUIJOTE and GOTHAM, performed on the source with the Yebes 40m telescope and the GBT, respectively, lead to precise column density measurements. The major uncertainties are introduced by the assumption on the source size, which is highly covariant with the column density. Moreover, since the cyanopolyynes are very abundant in the source, the column densities of some species such as  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  could be affected by line opacity effects (Cernicharo et al. 2020, and private communication). For all these reasons, every comparison between

the column densities measured in different sources has to be taken with caution. In TMC-1 all the cyanopolyynes have higher column densities than in L1544. In particular  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_3\text{N})$  is higher of a factor 2–3, while  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_5\text{N})$  of a factor 2–4,  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_7\text{N})$  of a factor 3–5 and  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_9\text{N})$  of a factor 12. The associated errors are quite large and further constraints on the cyanopolyne spatial distribution are needed in order to derive reliable abundances measurements and to effectively constrain the formation routes. However, the measurements in L1544 confirm that TMC-1 is not a unique source but an active carbon chains chemistry is efficient also in other sources. The comparison between the  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  column densities, reported in Figure 8 (left panel), suggest that the same chemistry could be active also in other cold cores. The fact that the measured column densities are similar within one order of magnitude, considering the different instruments and the large errors, may suggest that the heavy (with more than 5 carbons) cyanopolyne formation is similar in different star forming regions, once free gaseous carbon is available (see Subsection 5.4).

### 5.3. Chemistry of cyanopolyynes

There is an ample consensus that the formation of cyanopolyynes is dominated by gas-phase reactions, in contrast with other large (e.g. with more than 5 atoms) species where a dust-grain surface chemistry can be at work (e.g. Ceccarelli et al. 2022). The reason is that unsaturated carbon chains, such as the cyanopolyynes, would rapidly be hydrogenated on the dust-grain surfaces so that, in order to produce the large amount of observed cyanopolyynes and to have them in the gas-phase at the low temperatures where they are observed, the grain-surface chemistry seems not a viable solution.

Several gas-phase formation routes have been invoked in the literature. In general, for cyanopolyynes with more than five carbon atoms the following reactions are believed to be (the most) important (for a review see e.g. Fukuzawa et al. 1998):




where  $n \geq 2$ .

Destruction routes are dominated by reactions with ions such as e.g.,  $\text{C}^+$ ,  $\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{H}_3^+$  and  $\text{HCO}^+$ .

Loison et al. (2014) published a critical and general review of the reactions involving carbon chains, including cyanopolyynes up to  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ . They only list reactions (1), where they roughly evaluate the rate and branching ratios based on the capture theory and exothermic-

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.skao.int/>

<sup>5</sup> <https://ngvla.nrao.edu/>



**Figure 8.** Comparison between the cyanopolyynes column densities measured in different star forming regions. *Left panel:* Comparison of column densities of HC<sub>5</sub>N, HC<sub>7</sub>N and HC<sub>9</sub>N. We indicate with the same color the cold cores located in the same star forming regions. The cyanopolyynes emission is commonly detected in several star forming regions and the column densities are in agreement within one order of magnitude, considering the errors and the different instruments. The measurements are taken from the references in Table 3. *Right panel:* Comparison between the cyanopolyynes abundances from HC<sub>3</sub>N to HC<sub>11</sub>N measured in TMC-1 and L1544 (this work). For TMC-1, the filled circles are from Loomis et al. (2021), while the empty ones refer to Cernicharo et al. (2020) and Cabezas et al. (2022).

Star forming region	Source	T <sub>ex</sub> K	N(HC <sub>5</sub> N) 10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	N(HC <sub>7</sub> N) 10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	N(HC <sub>9</sub> N) 10 <sup>12</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	References
Taurus	TMC-1	8	66.9 (1.3)	36.5 (1.3)	22 (2)	[1]
Taurus	TMC-1	8.6 (0.2) – 7.6 (0.2)	180 (20)	21 (2)	–	[2,3]
Taurus	L1527 IRAS 04368+2557	12.3	5.4	1.5	0.14	[4]
Lupus	Lupus-1A	10.0 (0.2)	12.1 (0.6)	3.5 (0.2)	2.2 (0.6)	[5,6,7]
Serpens	Serpens South 1a	7	12 (1)	6.0 (0.2)	3.1 (0.2)	[8]
Lupus	LupusI-2	11.5 (0.2)	22 (1)	6.1 (0.3)	–	[7]
Lupus	LupusI-5	11.2 (0.1)	39 (1)	11.0 (0.4)	–	[7]
Lupus	LupusI-7/8/9	10.2 (0.1)	17.7 (0.6)	4.7 (0.2)	–	[7]
Lupus	LupusI-11	11.9 (0.8)	25.6 (0.8)	8.0 (0.3)	–	[7]
Chameleon	Cha-MMS1	7 (1)	4.5 (1.6)	3 (8)	–	[9]
Taurus-Auriga	L1512	8.7 (0.7)	4.9 (0.1)	1.9 (0.1)	–	[10]
Cepheus	L1251A	6.2 (0.3)	7.5 (0.2)	4.7 (0.4)	–	[10]
Aquila Rift	L492	6.5–10	41	3.2	–	[11]

**Table 3.** Cyanopolyynes abundances in starless cores. [1] Loomis et al. 2021; [2] Cernicharo et al. 2020; [3] Cabezas et al. 2022; [4] Sakai et al. 2008; [5] Sakai et al. 2009; [6] Sakai et al. 2010; [7] Wu et al. 2019; [8] Li et al. 2016; [9] Cordiner et al. 2012; [10] Cordiner et al. 2011; [11] Hirota & Yamamoto 2006.

ity of the products, respectively. Since the rate constants of reactions (3) are considered the same for HC<sub>7</sub>N and HC<sub>9</sub>N, and the destruction also occurs at the same rate, the ratios HC<sub>5</sub>N:HC<sub>7</sub>N:HC<sub>9</sub>N would reflect the parent species ratios, namely HC<sub>6</sub>:HC<sub>8</sub>:HC<sub>10</sub>. In other words, the HC<sub>n</sub>N/HC<sub>n+2</sub>N ratios is inherited from the HC<sub>n</sub>/HC<sub>n+2</sub> one, as no reaction directly link HC<sub>n</sub>N to HC<sub>n+2</sub>N in the Loison et al. (2014) scheme. Anyway, Loison et al. (2014) modeled the TMC-1 case and predicted the HC<sub>5</sub>N:HC<sub>7</sub>N:HC<sub>9</sub>N ratios to be 1:0.14–0.3:0.10–0.13 at around  $1 \times 10^5$  yr, where the model predictions agree better with observations (of several

carbon chains). The two low and high value of each ratio are obtained assuming an elemental C/O abundance equal to 0.7 and 0.95, respectively. In general, the HC<sub>7</sub>N:HC<sub>9</sub>N ratio observed toward TMC-1 is larger than the predicted one, even though the error bars are relatively large. Likewise, the HC<sub>5</sub>N:HC<sub>7</sub>N:HC<sub>9</sub>N ratios that we measured in L1544, about 1:6:4 (see Fig. 6) are not consistent with the Loison et al. (2014) model predictions.

Reactions (2) was first mentioned and theoretically studied, via ab initio calculations, by Fukuzawa et al. (1998) for  $n=1-4$ . These authors found that the reac-

tions (2) with  $n \geq 2$  are exothermic and the transition state barriers are all embedded. However, to our best knowledge, Fukuzawa et al. (1998) did not obtain the kinetics of the reactions. Reactions (3) and (4) were first introduced by Herbst & Leung (1989) and successively studied by Loomis et al. (2016). These authors obtained roughly estimates of their rate constants by educated guess (extrapolation from similar reactions with small carbon chains or via the Langevin rate). However, again to our best knowledge, no specific experimental or theoretical ab initio studies exist in the literature on the rate constants and branching ratios of reactions (3) and (4). That said, Loomis et al. (2016) developed an astrochemical model to reproduce the observations of TMC-1 and predicted  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}:\text{HC}_7\text{N}:\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  ratios equal to about 1:4:4, which is in relatively good agreement with our measured ratios towards L1544. Finally, comparing observations of the  $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$  cyanopolyynes towards TMC-1 and model predictions, Burkhardt et al. (2018) found that reaction (4) best reproduce the observations. Other processes could account for the formation of  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ . For instance, the reaction  $\text{C}_3\text{N} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2$  was proved to be very fast in CRESU experiments also at very low temperature (Fournier 2014). Therefore, the reactions of the  $\text{C}_3\text{N}$  radical with  $\text{C}_4\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_2$  are expected to be at least as fast because of the increased dimension of the molecular partner. In addition, reactions of  $\text{C}_4\text{H}$  (detected in this object) with  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  or  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$  are also expected to be very fast as the reaction of  $\text{C}_4\text{H}$  with acetylene is in the gas-kinetics limit at very low  $T$  (Berteloite et al. 2010).

#### 5.4. Age or UV illumination?

As already mentioned above, the crucial point is of the cyanopolyne chemistry is the presence of gaseous carbon atoms, which, under standard conditions, are predominantly locked in CO molecules. Two general cases are possible: (a) either the object is very young and the locking of carbon atoms into CO is not complete yet or (b) carbon atoms are liberated from CO thanks to processes such as intense UV illumination or cosmic-ray irradiation, able to destroy a fraction of CO. The first case has been advocated for TMC-1 (e.g. Agúndez & Wakelam 2013). Following the discussion of Sec. 5.1, the second case, specifically the intense UV illumination, seems to apply to L1544 (e.g. Spezzano et al. 2016, 2017).

In the case of UV illumination, the column density of the region where carbon is atomic is dictated by the penetration of the UV field, which is approximately given by a dust column density with a visual extinction of about 2–3 mag (e.g. Hollenbach & Tielens 1997; Snow

& McCall 2006), which corresponds to  $\text{N}(\text{H}_2) \sim 4\text{--}6 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . For example, the abundance of  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  (with respect to  $\text{H}_2$ ) in L1544 would be  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-9}$ , where we used  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_7\text{N}) \sim 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (§ 3.2).

In the case of a very young cloud, the column density of cyanopolyynes is, instead, determined by the  $\text{H}_2$  column density of the cloud itself. For the TMC-1 cloud, the latest estimates indicate  $\text{N}(\text{H}_2) \sim 1.5 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ , which correspond to a visual extinction of about 15 mag (Fuente et al. 2019). Assuming  $\text{N}(\text{HC}_7\text{N}) \sim 2 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Sec. 5.2), the  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$  abundance in TMC-1 is  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-9}$ , similar to L1544.

Therefore, based on the simple estimates of the  $n \geq 5$  cyanopolyne abundances of TMC-1 and L1544, we confirm the general affirmation that the cyanopolyne chemistry only depends on the gaseous carbon abundance. In other words, the  $n \geq 5$  cyanopolyne abundance ratios are the same regardless the cause of the presence of gaseous carbon atoms. On the other hand, the  $n \geq 5$  cyanopolyne column density is a proxy of the cause of the gaseous carbon. For example, a column density of  $\text{HC}_7\text{N} \sim 8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  would be a strong indication of a UV-illuminated gas.

## 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We performed new observations using the GBT towards the prestellar core L1544. We detected several emission lines from cyanopolyynes from  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  to  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$ , detected for the first time towards the source. The resolved spectral profiles show a double-peak profile, suggesting that the bulk of the cyanopolyne emission is associated to the southern region of the core, where other smaller carbon chains peak. This supports the idea that cyanopolyynes are mainly formed in the external part of the core, where the interstellar field radiation increases the free carbon atoms available to form long chains. We perform a large velocity gradient analysis of the observed  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ,  $\text{HC}_7\text{N}$ , and  $\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  lines, thanks to a new estimation of the collisional coefficients. The simultaneous fitting of the species, indicates a gas kinetic temperature of 5–12 K, a source size of  $80''$  and a gas density larger than  $100 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . The  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}/\text{HC}_7\text{N}/\text{HC}_9\text{N}$  abundance ratios measured in L1544 are about 1/6/4. The measured column densities are lower by a factor 2 to 5 than those measured in TMC-1. Even if the measurements in other star forming regions are scarce, the results obtained in L1544 suggest that a complex C-chain chemistry is active in other sources and it is related to the presence of free gaseous carbon. The latter can be abundant either because the core is very young and the conversion to CO is not completed, or because the CO is destroyed by UV illumination or cosmic-ray irradiation. We suggest that



the column density of heavy cyanopolyynes (larger than  $\text{HC}_5\text{N}$ ) could be a proxy to discriminate between these two regimes.

We are grateful to José Cernicharo for valuable discussions and suggestions. We thank the anonymous referee for the constructive comments. This project has received funding from: 1) the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, for the Project “The Dawn of Organic Chemistry” (DOC), grant agreement No 741002; 2) the PRIN-INAF 2016 The Cradle of Life - GENESIS-SKA (General Conditions in Early Planetary Systems for the rise of life with SKA); 3) the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programs under projects “Astro-Chemistry Origins” (ACO), Grant No 811312; 4) the German Research Foundation (DFG) as part of the Excellence Strategy of the federal and state governments - EXC 2094 - 390783311. The Green Bank Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

*Facilities:* GBT

*Software:* astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013, 2018), matplotlib (Hunter 2007)

## REFERENCES

- Agúndez, M., & Wakelam, V. 2013, Chemical Reviews, 113, 8710, doi: [10.1021/cr4001176](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr4001176)
- André, P., Men’shchikov, A., Bontemps, S., et al. 2010, A&A, 518, L102, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201014666](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201014666)
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 558, A33, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201322068](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322068)
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, AJ, 156, 123, doi: [10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f)
- Ben Abdallah, D., Najar, F., Jaidane, N., Dumouchel, F., & Lique, F. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 2441, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19896.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19896.x)
- Berteloite, C., Le Picard, S. D., Balucani, N., Canosa, A., & Sims, I. R. 2010, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics (Incorporating Faraday Transactions), 12, 3677, doi: [10.1039/b923867k](https://doi.org/10.1039/b923867k)
- Bizzocchi, L., Caselli, P., Spezzano, S., & Leonardo, E. 2014, A&A, 569, A27, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201423858](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201423858)
- Bockelée-Morvan, D., Lis, D. C., Wink, J. E., et al. 2000, A&A, 353, 1101
- Burkhardt, A. M., Herbst, E., Kalenskii, S. V., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 474, 5068, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx2972](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2972)
- Cabezas, C., Agúndez, M., Marcelino, N., et al. 2022, A&A, 659, L8, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142972](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142972)
- Caselli, P., & Ceccarelli, C. 2012, A&A Rv, 20, 56, doi: [10.1007/s00159-012-0056-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-012-0056-x)
- Caselli, P., Walmsley, C. M., Tafalla, M., Dore, L., & Myers, P. C. 1999, ApJL, 523, L165, doi: [10.1086/312280](https://doi.org/10.1086/312280)
- Caselli, P., Walmsley, C. M., Zucconi, A., et al. 2002, ApJ, 565, 331, doi: [10.1086/324301](https://doi.org/10.1086/324301)
- Caselli, P., Bizzocchi, L., Keto, E., et al. 2017, A&A, 603, L1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731121](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731121)
- Caselli, P., Pineda, J. E., Sipilä, O., et al. 2022, ApJ, 929, 13, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac5913](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac5913)
- Ceccarelli, C., Caselli, P., Herbst, E., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Caux, E. 2007, Protostars and Planets V, 47
- Ceccarelli, C., Maret, S., Tielens, A. G. G. M., Castets, A., & Caux, E. 2003, A&A, 410, 587, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20031243](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031243)
- Ceccarelli, C., Codella, C., Balucani, N., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2206.13270. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.13270>
- Cernicharo, J., Bachiller, R., & Duvert, G. 1986, A&A, 160, 181



- Cernicharo, J., Cabezas, C., Agúndez, M., et al. 2021, in 2021 International Symposium on Molecular Spectroscopy, doi: [10.15278/isms.2021.TJ05](https://doi.org/10.15278/isms.2021.TJ05)
- Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Agúndez, M., et al. 2020, A&A, 642, L8, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202039274](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039274)
- Chapillon, E., Dutrey, A., Guilloteau, S., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 58, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/756/1/58](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/756/1/58)
- Cordiner, M. A., Charnley, S. B., Buckle, J. V., Walsh, C., & Millar, T. J. 2011, ApJL, 730, L18, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/730/2/L18](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/730/2/L18)
- Cordiner, M. A., Charnley, S. B., Wiström, E. S., & Smith, R. G. 2012, ApJ, 744, 131, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/131](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/744/2/131)
- Crapsi, A., Caselli, P., Walmsley, M. C., & Tafalla, M. 2007, A&A, 470, 221, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20077613](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20077613)
- de Zafra, R. L. 1971, ApJ, 170, 165, doi: [10.1086/151198](https://doi.org/10.1086/151198)
- Fournier, M. 2014, PhD thesis. <http://www.theses.fr/2014REN1S100>
- Friesen, R. K., Medeiros, L., Schnee, S., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 436, 1513, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1671](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1671)
- Fuente, A., Navarro, D. G., Caselli, P., et al. 2019, A&A, 624, A105, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834654](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834654)
- Fukuzawa, K., Osamura, Y., & Schaefer, Henry F., I. 1998, ApJ, 505, 278, doi: [10.1086/306168](https://doi.org/10.1086/306168)
- Galli, P. A. B., Loinard, L., Bouy, H., et al. 2019, A&A, 630, A137, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935928](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935928)
- Giers, K., Spezzano, S., Alves, F., et al. 2022, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2205.14963. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.14963>
- Giesen, T. F., Harding, M. E., Gauss, J., Grabow, J.-U., & Müller, H. S. P. 2020, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 371, 111303, doi: [10.1016/j.jms.2020.111303](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2020.111303)
- Gupta, H., Gottlieb, C. A., McCarthy, M. C., & Thaddeus, P. 2009, ApJ, 691, 1494, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/691/2/1494](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/691/2/1494)
- Herbst, E., & Leung, C. M. 1989, ApJS, 69, 271, doi: [10.1086/191314](https://doi.org/10.1086/191314)
- Hily-Blant, P., Faure, A., Vastel, C., et al. 2018, MNRAS, 480, 1174, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty1562](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1562)
- Hirota, T., & Yamamoto, S. 2006, ApJ, 646, 258, doi: [10.1086/504866](https://doi.org/10.1086/504866)
- Hollenbach, D. J., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 179, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.35.1.179](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.35.1.179)
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, Computing in Science & Engineering, 9, 90, doi: [10.1109/MCSE.2007.55](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55)
- Jaber Al-Edhari, A., Ceccarelli, C., Kahane, C., et al. 2017, A&A, 597, A40, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629506](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629506)
- Jiménez-Serra, I., Vasyunin, A. I., Caselli, P., et al. 2016, ApJL, 830, L6, doi: [10.3847/2041-8205/830/1/L6](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8205/830/1/L6)
- Juvela, M. 2020, A&A, 644, A151, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202039456](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039456)
- Keto, E., & Caselli, P. 2010, MNRAS, 402, 1625, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16033.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.16033.x)
- Li, J., Shen, Z.-Q., Wang, J., et al. 2016, ApJ, 824, 136, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/824/2/136](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/824/2/136)
- Liu, X., Wu, Y., Zhang, C., et al. 2022, A&A, 658, A140, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202141477](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202141477)
- Loison, J.-C., Wakelam, V., Hickson, K. M., Bergeat, A., & Mereau, R. 2014, MNRAS, 437, 930, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt1956](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt1956)
- Loomis, R. A., Shingledecker, C. N., Langston, G., et al. 2016, MNRAS, 463, 4175, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2302](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2302)
- Loomis, R. A., Burkhardt, A. M., Shingledecker, C. N., et al. 2021, Nature Astronomy, 5, 188, doi: [10.1038/s41550-020-01261-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-020-01261-4)
- Mauersberger, R., Henkel, C., & Sage, L. J. 1990, A&A, 236, 63
- McCarthy, M. C., Levine, E. S., Apponi, A. J., & Thaddeus, P. 2000, Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy, 203, 75, doi: [10.1006/jmsp.2000.8149](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsp.2000.8149)
- McGuire, B. A., Burkhardt, A. M., Loomis, R. A., et al. 2020, ApJL, 900, L10, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/aba632](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba632)
- Müller, H. S. P., Schlöder, F., Stutzki, J., & Winnewisser, G. 2005, Journal of Molecular Structure, 742, 215, doi: [10.1016/j.molstruc.2005.01.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molstruc.2005.01.027)
- Müller, H. S. P., Thorwirth, S., Roth, D. A., & Winnewisser, G. 2001, A&A, 370, L49, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20010367](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20010367)
- Mumma, M. J., & Charnley, S. B. 2011, ARA&A, 49, 471, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081309-130811](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081309-130811)
- Punanova, A., Caselli, P., Feng, S., et al. 2018, ApJ, 855, 112, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aaad09](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aaad09)
- Quénard, D., Vastel, C., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 470, 3194, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1373](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1373)
- Ruffle, D. P., Hartquist, T. W., Caselli, P., & Williams, D. A. 1999, MNRAS, 306, 691, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02562.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02562.x)
- Sakai, N., Sakai, T., Hirota, T., Burton, M., & Yamamoto, S. 2009, ApJ, 697, 769, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/697/1/769](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/697/1/769)
- Sakai, N., Sakai, T., Hirota, T., & Yamamoto, S. 2008, ApJ, 672, 371, doi: [10.1086/523635](https://doi.org/10.1086/523635)
- Sakai, N., Shiino, T., Hirota, T., Sakai, T., & Yamamoto, S. 2010, ApJL, 718, L49, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L49](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/718/2/L49)
- Scoville, N. Z., & Solomon, P. M. 1974, ApJL, 187, L67, doi: [10.1086/181398](https://doi.org/10.1086/181398)
- Snell, R. L., Schloerb, F. P., Young, J. S., Hjalmarson, A., & Friberg, P. 1981a, ApJ, 244, 45, doi: [10.1086/158683](https://doi.org/10.1086/158683)
- . 1981b, ApJ, 244, 45, doi: [10.1086/158683](https://doi.org/10.1086/158683)

- Snow, T. P., & McCall, B. J. 2006, *ARA&A*, 44, 367, doi: [10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150624](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.astro.43.072103.150624)
- Spezzano, S., Bizzocchi, L., Caselli, P., Harju, J., & Brünken, S. 2016, *A&A*, 592, L11, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628652](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628652)
- Spezzano, S., Caselli, P., Bizzocchi, L., Giuliano, B. M., & Lattanzi, V. 2017, *A&A*, 606, A82, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201731262](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201731262)
- Tafalla, M., Mardones, D., Myers, P. C., et al. 1998, *ApJ*, 504, 900, doi: [10.1086/306115](https://doi.org/10.1086/306115)
- Urso, R. G., Palumbo, M. E., Ceccarelli, C., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 628, A72, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201834322](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834322)
- Vastel, C., Ceccarelli, C., Lefloch, B., & Bachiller, R. 2014, *ApJL*, 795, L2, doi: [10.1088/2041-8205/795/1/L2](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/795/1/L2)
- . 2016, *A&A*, 591, L2, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628427](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628427)
- Walmsley, C. M., Winnewisser, G., & Toelle, F. 1980, *A&A*, 81, 245
- Wernli, M., Wiesenfeld, L., Faure, A., & Valiron, P. 2007, *A&A*, 464, 1147, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20066112](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20066112)
- Wu, Y., Liu, X., Chen, X., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 495, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1498](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1498)