
MTTN: MULTI-PAIR TEXT TO TEXT NARRATIVES FOR PROMPT GENERATION *

Archan Ghosh, Debgandhar Ghosh, Madhurima Maji, Suchinta Chanda, Kalporup Goswami
Kolkata, India

{gharchan, debgandhar4000, rmadhurima99, suchintachanda, kalporup123}@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The increased interest in diffusion models has opened up opportunities for advancements in generative text modeling. These models can produce impressive images when given a well-crafted prompt, but creating a powerful or meaningful prompt can be hit-or-miss. To address this, we have created a large-scale dataset that is derived and synthesized from real prompts and indexed with popular image-text datasets such as MS-COCO and Flickr. We have also implemented stages that gradually reduce context and increase complexity, which will further enhance the output due to the complex annotations created. The dataset, called MTTN, includes over 2.4 million sentences divided into 5 stages, resulting in a total of over 12 million pairs, and a vocabulary of over 300,000 unique words, providing ample variation. The original 2.4 million pairs are designed to reflect the way language is used on the internet globally, making the dataset more robust for any model trained on it.

Keywords Text Generation · Prompt Generation · Text to Text · Transformers · Diffusion Models · Prompts · Text Engineering

1 Introduction

We propose a new data set, MTTN(read mutton), for generating prompts that can be used in diffusion models[1][2][3]. In this dataset, we present a collection of prompts for text-to-text generation tasks. Diffusion models[1][2][3] are a type of machine learning model that can be used to predict the spread of information or influence in a network. These models are often used in a variety of applications, such as social media marketing, viral prediction, and recommendation systems. One challenge in using diffusion models[1][2][3] is the need for high-quality prompts, which are short pieces of text that are used to initiate the diffusion process.

MTTN contains a wide range of prompts, covering a variety of topics and styles. The prompts have been carefully curated and annotated to ensure their quality and relevance. We evaluate the performance of different models and show that they are able to generate prompts.

We believe that MTTN will be a valuable resource for researchers working on prompt generation, as well as other text-2-text generation tasks.

2 Dataset Details

2.1 Text Collection

For building the dataset we had to establish a balance between prompts that were used in real scenario and then some structured text for balance. To set the context, the actual samples that were used for image generation had been collected. However, the prompts were disjointed and disorganized, so there had to be some standardized text backing this data. To address this issue, injection of text from standard datasets representing different types of contextual prompts: MS-COCO[4] (Microsoft Cognitive Services Text Collection), WiT[5] (Visual and Linguistic Experimental

* *Citation:* Ghosh et al. MTTN: Multi-Pair Text to Text Narratives for Prompt Generation.

Series), Flickr30k[6], and Conceptual Captions[7] was done. This helped set the overall balance without overloading the original content with perfectly organized text and was able to strike a balance between already present contextual knowledge graphs and unseen samples.

2.2 Preprocessing

The idea was to make MTTN flexible to the user’s action. Even though prompt generation was the primary task, the dataset flexibility depended on how well the data is processed and sorted, kind of like having multiple buckets of text that can enhance general text-to-text generation task. The first step began with removing emojis and special characters, because as everyone is aware they can seriously hinder the performance of any LLM. Second important step was to make buckets of words that were gradually removed after each step like trickling through a filter. In total there included 5 steps after the initial removal, these steps or stages represent the elimination of different parts of speech at different levels. Each step had a certain parts of speech being removed from it, like verbs, adjectives, adverbs, etc, which resulted at the final stage or stage 5 which only consisted of nouns, in other words objects and subjects; name, place, animal & things if considered at the very rudimentary strata.

3 Analysis

3.1 Dataset Stats

The initial amount of text samples representing true prompts were around 1.3M(million) but to add context variable number of samples from different standard datasets as mentioned before were collected. Just talking numbers game, then the total extracted data would have been, 200K samples from WIT[5] dataset, 600K samples from MS COCO[4] dataset, 300K samples Conceptual Captions[7], 150K samples from Flickr30k[6] dataset. Each having their own set of rules for extraction and basic cleaning done before being added to the final list. In total now there is around 2.4M text samples giving the base of MTTN dataset. Further more for the ease of work and to analyse the contents or for faster finetuning we have also created several splits by randomly sampling the whole dataset. The splits are as follows: MTTN-100K, MTTN-250K, MTTN-500k & MTTN-1M




Figure 1: Formation of MTTN Dataset

In MTTN we provide the original sample, in the first column followed by 5 more columns representing different trickling stages by reducing subsequent grammatical compositions. Each stage was formed by masking words from the original prompt with the aim of regenerating the original prompts from the these stages . A list of words were masked during stage 1 and as the stages progressed more words were masked subsequently. LLM models were sequentially fine-tuned over each stage to test how the models were adapting to the given data and how good the data was when considering the scenario of text generation. This also allowed us to reuse each model, from the previous stage on to the next rather than training it from scratch. For analysis and base lining 3 different models were used, T5[8], BART[9],




Figure 2: Distribution of Words Removed at Each Stage

and MVP[10]. The models were each trained on the different stages sequentially as referred and the performances were captured for each of the stages. Below the results on the 100K split are drawn in a tabular format. Rouge score was used to draw the benchmark scores, for all the models.

Model Name	Loss	Rouge1	Rouge2	Rouge1	Rouge1sum
BART	0.1948	93.7086	86.409	93.4109	93.4199
T5	0.2611	93.3203	86.0067	93.0009	93.0012
MVP	0.2794	93.8372	87.5912	93.5366	93.5503

Table 1: Table 1: Results from Stage 1

Model Name	Loss	Rouge1	Rouge2	Rouge1	Rouge1sum
BART	0.2616	93.3932	85.8573	93.105	93.1084
T5	0.2803	93.1422	85.4949	92.8251	92.818
MVP	0.3354	93.7784	87.2209	93.4807	93.4904

Table 2: Table 2 : Results from Stage 2

Model Name	Loss	Rouge1	Rouge2	RougeL	RougeSum
BART	0.5968	83.5774	65.4732	81.9868	81.9919
T5	0.6212	82.5331	63.1319	80.7276	80.7476
MVP	0.6400	84.344	67.7149	82.67	82.6912

Table 3: Table 3 : Results from Stage 3

Model Name	Loss	Rouge1	Rouge2	RougeL	RougeSum
BART	0.5938	82.5317	64.3066	80.6903	80.7076
T5	0.6390	80.6725	60.797	78.7453	78.7481
MVP	0.6015	83.6093	67.0664	81.9268	81.9398

Table 4: Table 4 : Results from Stage 4

Model Name	Loss	Rouge1	Rouge2	RougeL	RougeSum
BART	0.5979	80.7564	62.2041	78.9507	78.9505
T5	0.6718	78.7081	58.2488	76.5659	76.5574
MVP	0.6113	81.4961	64.5217	79.7526	79.75

Table 5: Table 5 : Results from Stage 5

4 Future Work

The entirety of MTTN is considered to be a very minuscule contribution to the world of NLP. We believe that MTTN has the potential to serve as a valuable foundation for a variety of natural language processing tasks. Its large volume and the wide range of combinations that can be created from it makes it a valuable resource. In the future, we would welcome any updates or additions to MTTN and hope to see it used in a variety of text generation and formation tasks.

5 Conclusion

The widespread traction of diffusion models[2][1][3] has opened the way for further advancements in different areas combining NLP and CV. With this in mind we are releasing MTTN and its subsequent smaller splits. MTTN will pave the way for more intuitive and complex text/prompt generation task with the help of different LLMs. We are also looking forward to different innovations that would be possible through the usage of MTTN. The volume of MTTN also allows for the creation of robust models that can generate coherent and coherent texts on a wide range of topics.

Finally contributors are always welcome, who can enhance this work and enrich MTTN, or create a derivative from this and pave the way for broader tasks.

To access MTTN, please follow this [Github Page](#)

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by all the contributors to different open source datasets, along with all the people who are contributing to different open-source platforms and libraries without which our work would have been incomplete. Finally a huge thanks to all the people at huggingface[11] for creating a platform rather a playground of ideas.

References

- [1] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric A. Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. *CoRR*, abs/1503.03585, 2015.
- [2] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *CoRR*, abs/2006.11239, 2020.
- [3] Yang Song and Stefano Ermon. Generative modeling by estimating gradients of the data distribution. *CoRR*, abs/1907.05600, 2019.
- [4] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge J. Belongie, Lubomir D. Bourdev, Ross B. Girshick, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C. Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft COCO: common objects in context. *CoRR*, abs/1405.0312, 2014.
- [5] Krishna Srinivasan, Karthik Raman, Jiecao Chen, Michael Bendersky, and Marc Najork. Wit: Wikipedia-based image text dataset for multimodal multilingual machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.01913*, 2021.
- [6] Bryan A. Plummer, Liwei Wang, Chris M. Cervantes, Juan C. Caicedo, Julia Hockenmaier, and Svetlana Lazebnik. Flickr30k entities: Collecting region-to-phrase correspondences for richer image-to-sentence models. *CoRR*, abs/1505.04870, 2015.
- [7] Piyush Sharma, Nan Ding, Sebastian Goodman, and Radu Soricut. Conceptual captions: A cleaned, hypernymed, image alt-text dataset for automatic image captioning. In *Proceedings of ACL*, 2018.
- [8] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(140):1–67, 2020.
- [9] Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461*, 2019.
- [10] Tianyi Tang, Junyi Li, Wayne Xin Zhao, and Ji-Rong Wen. Mvp: Multi-task supervised pre-training for natural language generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.12131*, 2022.
- [11] Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online, October 2020. Association for Computational Linguistics.