

Towards Arbitrary Text-driven Image Manipulation via Space Alignment

Yunpeng Bai¹, Zihan Zhong¹, Chao Dong^{2,3}, Weichen Zhang¹, Guowei Xu¹, Chun Yuan^{1,4}

¹ Tsinghua University, ²Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

³ Shanghai AI Laboratory, China, ⁴Peng Cheng Laboratory, Shenzhen, China




Figure 1. Overview of image manipulation results of the proposed method. Our method allows editing images with arbitrary text input and distinguishes between detailed attributes such as “glasses” and “sunglasses”, “beard” and “mustache”.

Abstract

The recent GAN inversion methods have been able to successfully invert the real image input to the corresponding editable latent code in StyleGAN. By combining with the language-vision model (CLIP), some text-driven image manipulation methods are proposed. However, these methods require extra costs to perform optimization for a certain image or a new attribute editing mode. To achieve a more efficient editing method, we propose a new Text-driven image Manipulation framework via Space Alignment (TMSA). The Space Alignment module aims to align the same semantic regions in CLIP and StyleGAN spaces. Then, the text input can be directly accessed into the StyleGAN space and be used to find the semantic shift according to the text description. The framework can support arbitrary image editing mode without additional cost. Our work provides the user with an interface to control the attributes of a given image according to text input and get the result in real time. Extensive experiments demonstrate our superior performance over prior works.

1. Introduction

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) [8] are the current mainstream generative models, and some of them have shown great power for high-quality image synthesis,

such as StyleGANs [10]. In addition, it is observed that the latent space of GAN exhibits disentanglement properties and encodes rich semantics. This foundation has promoted the research on image manipulation based on GAN inversion. These image manipulation methods enable semantic editing of existing photographs.

The common practice is to first invert the input image into a latent code, and then edit the latent code to manipulate the corresponding attributes, such as color and shape. For the first step, recent high-quality [5, 18, 24, 26] inversion schemes have already been able to successfully invert the real image input to the corresponding latent code, which can faithfully reconstruct the original image and is semantically editable. However, the next step, how to realize a perfect attribute control is still challenging. Because the latent codes are abstract representations, it is difficult to detect semantics in the latent space.

Earlier methods can be divided into two groups. The first group finds the latent paths for editing in a supervised manner using annotated data [4, 20]. The second one finds some meaningful directions first in an unsupervised manner and then manually annotates them to determine the semantic meaning [9, 21, 25]. If users use these methods to find the desired direction for editing, further manual efforts or extensive annotated data is required. Based on the aforementioned works, other researchers have further explored manipulation directions using pre-trained 3DMM [23] and

normalized flow [4]. However, these methods still only support some predefined semantic directions for image manipulation, and cannot achieve the specific attribute editing effect that users desire.

The above image manipulation works are limited in preset semantic directions and require additional manual efforts to new controls. To overcome this limitation, StyleCLIP [14] exploits the ability of CLIP [15] and develops text-driven image manipulation methods. However, in order to edit a certain image or to mine a new manipulation direction, StyleCLIP needs extra costs. CLIP2StyleGAN [3] uses CLIP’s text encoder as multi-attribute classifier to label semantic controls in an unsupervised way. However, CLIP2StyleGAN can only support a few attribute manipulation modes.

To address these issues, we propose a new text-driven image manipulation framework called **TMSA**. Our method includes a Space Alignment module, the goal of which is to align the same semantic regions in CLIP and StyleGAN spaces, and then allow arbitrary text input to be directly accessed into the StyleGAN space. By combining with existing inversion methods, our framework provides the user with an interface to control the attributes of the edited result with arbitrary text input and obtain the result in real time without much adjustments. Our method can support arbitrary image editing mode without additional cost. As shown in Figure 1, our methods can support various detailed and abstract attributes editing.

2. Related Works

2.1. Image Manipulation in Latent Space

The latent space of StyleGAN [10] has shown great potential in representing the semantics of images, motivating many works to achieve image manipulation in the latent space. In order to utilize the power of pre-trained GANs for editing real images, it is vital to invert a given image back into the latent space close to the pre-trained GANs’. These inverted codes are expected to be semantically editable to achieve the goal of image manipulation. This process is often referred to as GAN inversion. Existing GAN inversion schemes can be roughly categorized into three classes: optimization-based [1, 2], encoder-based [5, 24, 26], and hybrid approaches [18, 30]. e4e [24] is a represented encoder-based method that inverts the input images into a W^+ space close to the original W space of StyleGAN, achieving a better trade-off between distortion and editability. Based on these approaches, some works have explored the space of StyleGAN and found some meaningful semantic editing paths. However, these methods all require manual effort or extensive annotated data.

2.2. Text-driven Image Manipulation

Text-driven image manipulation aims at changing certain parts of the images according to the text descriptions. By utilizing the powerful text-image representations capacities of CLIP [15], a few works based on it have been developed for text-driven image manipulation. StyleCLIP [14] is the first work to develop a text-based interface for StyleGAN imagery manipulation. It proposes three schemes to edit images using CLIP: latent optimization, latent mapper, and global directions. However, these three methods have certain drawbacks. The optimization scheme needs several minutes to edit an image. The latent mapper needs to train a specific mapper for every text prompt, which is unsuitable for real-time applications. The global direction also requires a tedious process and has limited effect.

Based on StyleCLIP, HairCLIP [27] develops a hair editing framework that supports different texts or reference images as conditions and achieves disentangled hair editing. Although it could partially address the drawbacks of StyleCLIP, it still needs to train different sub hair mappers and the semantic modulation module. CLIPStyler [13], focusing on style transfer, proposes a lightweight network to match the styles of images and text conditions. However, it also requires retraining the model for each given text condition.

CLIP2StyleGAN [3] is another pipeline that tries to link the pre-trained latent spaces of StyleGAN and CLIP without additional supervision. However, this unsupervised manner cannot find meaningful semantic directions as desired. Moreover, the procedure is tricky and slow, such as using the PCA algorithm to find directions of CLIP space and using SVM to classify codes in StyleGAN space. AnyFace [22] is a similar implementation, which requires text-image paired data for training, making them limited to face images. Unlike the approaches above, our method develops a more efficient editing framework based on Space Alignment between CLIP and StyleGAN without a complex and time-consuming training procedure.

2.3. Text-to-Image Generation

How to generate meaningful images from text input is also a popular topic, which needs powerful generative models with cross-modal understanding abilities. TediGAN [28] proposes a unified framework for text-to-image generation and manipulation. It designs a GAN inversion technique that can map multi-modal inputs into a common latent space of a pretrained StyleGAN. The text-image matching is achieved by mapping them into a common space. DALL-E [17] proposes a simple two-stage approach for this task, which trains a discrete variational autoencoder to compress images first, and then uses an autoregressive transformer to decode images by learning the prior distribution over the text and image tokens. CogView2 [7] proposes an approach




Figure 2. The proposed Space Alignment module. During training we map the image embeddings from the CLIP’s image encoder to latent code in the StyleGAN space, and then generate images with the same attributes as the original images through the generator. We also sample some generated latent w^s to enhance the accuracy of space alignment

based on hierarchical transformers and local parallel autoregressive generation, which remedies the slow generation and high complexity of autoregressive models.

Recently, diffusion models have attracted extensive attention as they can generate high-fidelity images with great diversity. DALL-E2 [16] leverages the abilities of CLIP and diffusion models, using a diffusion prior to generate CLIP image embeddings based on a given text description and convert them to images using a diffusion decoder. Imagen [19] introduces a new diffusion architecture and diffusion sampling technique to generate images. It also utilizes the power of a large transformer to provide effective guidance for text understanding.

3. Proposed Method

Our image manipulation framework consists of several parts, an existing inversion encoder is used to obtain the latent code from the real image for editing, a space alignment module is used to find the semantic editing shifts corresponding to the text condition, and a pre-trained generator is used to generate images. Our space alignment module is trained using both real and generated images and can be adapted for specific inversion encoders to obtain better editing results.

3.1. Space Alignment

Since CLIP has been trained on an Internet-scale datasets, the encoder is able to extract various complex se-

mantics from images and has been utilized in various zero-shot scenarios. The semantic information in its space is also rich and effective for text-driven image manipulation. We attempt to learn a mapping network to align this space with the space of pre-trained StyleGAN, so that the text embeddings from CLIP can match the latent representations from StyleGAN. If the features of the text are directly mapped to the space of StyleGAN during training as TediGAN does, we need to use the texts paired with the image for supervision. Such text-image paired data is usually difficult to obtain. It is also hard to guarantee that text labels are matched with images. However, CLIP learns a joint language-vision embedding space, so we can use image instead of text to learn this mapping network. After training is finished, this network can also map the text features from CLIP space to the StyleGAN latent space.

We call such a process as Space Alignment, which is similar to the encoder-based GAN inversion but is completely different. The purpose of GAN inversion is to convert the given image back to the pre-trained GAN’s latent space. The inversion code needs to be able to reconstruct the image faithfully. Space Alignment aims to align the regions with the same semantics in the two pre-trained networks’ latent spaces, A and B. One of the spaces should contain most of the semantic information in the other space. After the space alignment is completed, we can use the region of an attribute in space A to find the region of the corresponding attribute in space B. Here, A and B represent CLIP space




Figure 3. The process of combination with other inversion methods and arbitrary semantic shift extraction. Our method allows using two texts with different semantics to find the corresponding semantic shift in the space of StyleGAN. This shift is applied to edit all images without changing other attributes and identities.

and StyleGAN space, respectively.

The image embeddings encoded by the CLIP’s encoder encode abstract attributes. It is unfeasible and unnecessary to do pixel-level image reconstruction with the CLIP embeddings. The training objective is to map such image embeddings to the latent code of the StyleGAN space. Then we can then generate images with the same attributes as the original image through the generator. The formulation of objective is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SA} = 1 - \frac{E_I(I) \cdot E_I(I^*)}{\|E_I(I)\|_2 \cdot \|E_I(I^*)\|_2}, \quad (1)$$

where E_I is the image encoder of CLIP. $I^* = G(w^*)$ is the image generated from the latent output of the mapping network. We also mapped the CLIP embeddings to a W^+ space of the StyleGAN as in previous works, because the W^+ space is found to be semantically editable and easy to adapt to existing inversion methods. Therefore, w^* is with the shape of $L \times C$, where L is the number of layers and C is the dimension of latent code.

3.2. In-domain Adjustment

In the above process, the training is conducted using real images in order to ensure that the mapping network can be applied to real images, and is supervised only at the image level. This does not guarantee that the mapped latent code is placed at the corresponding semantic location in the original space. In order to map the latent code to correct re-

gion, we perform an in-domain adjustment to the mapping network using the image generated by StyleGAN. We randomly sample a set of latent codes w^s and then feed them into the generator $G(\cdot)$ to produce the corresponding synthetic image I_s . The embeddings of I_s encoded by CLIP are then used as input to the mapping network. The corresponding latent codes w_s are used as the supervision:

$$\mathcal{L}_{IA} = \sum_{i=1}^L \|w_i^* - w^s\|_2^2, \quad (2)$$

where w_i^* is the i -th layer of the mapped latent code, a C -dimensional code with the same shape as w^s . In addition, image level supervision is also added to the I_s^* generated from w^* :

$$\mathcal{L}_{IAI} = 1 - \frac{E_I(I_s) \cdot E_I(I_s^*)}{\|E_I(I_s)\|_2 \cdot \|E_I(I_s^*)\|_2}, \quad (3)$$

3.3. Arbitrary Semantic Shift Extraction

Since CLIP is trained to obtain a space shared by text and image features, text features will land near image features with the same attributes in this shared space. After this mapping network is trained, we can also map text to the latent code with the corresponding attributes, just like an image. Thus, texts describing different attributes can be used to get semantic shifts directly in the StyleGAN space. In this way, we can avoid the complicated process of seman-




Figure 4. Illustration of Space Alignment. Both the CLIP and StyleGAN spaces are well-trained and semantically decoupled. The CLIP space contains most of the semantics in the StyleGAN space, making it possible to align the same semantic regions in both spaces and to map semantic shift Δ in the CLIP space to the StyleGAN space.

tic direction construction and edit the attributes according to arbitrary text descriptions.

Taking face editing as an example, we can use some general text descriptions like “human face” and the text with some attributes like “human face with glasses” to access the StyleGAN space. These general descriptions will be mapped to an average face with certain attributes, and the identity of this average face is the same under different attributes. Therefore, we can use different such descriptions to get a global editing shift Δw in the StyleGAN space, which can be used to edit all images without affecting other attributes and the identity.

We also utilize the prompt engineering to reduce the interference of text embedding noise. Specifically, we feed several text descriptions with the same meaning to the text encoder and averages these embeddings as the final semantic embedding. Our prompt engineering is also implemented using the ImageNet prompt bank to decorate the text descriptions and obtain sentences with the same meaning.

3.4. Adaption to Other Inversion Method

Since this mapping network cannot reconstruct the image faithfully, in order to use it for image editing, it is necessary to combine it with the existing inversion methods and manipulate on the latent code from these methods. Although the W^+ space learned by our mapping network is somewhat different from that of the other inversion methods, the space semantics are basically aligned. It is possible to apply the semantic shift directly to the inverted codes obtained by the other methods. However, in order to achieve a better result, the network can be fine-tuned for a specific inverted space, as in the case of e4e [24], we use their inverted code for fine-tuning:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Ada} = \sum_{i=1}^L \|w_i^* - w_i^e\|_2^2, \quad (4)$$

where w^e is the inverted code from e4e encoder. Then, $G(w^e + \alpha \Delta w)$ can produce an edited image according to the attributes described by Δw , where α controls the manipulation strength. It is worth noting that our semantic shift here is different from the control direction defined in the previous work. Our shift is the distance of the average of different attribute codes, α set to 1 can be applied to most images to achieve corresponding attribute editing.

4. Experiments

4.1. Implementation Details

We conduct the experiments on several commonly used datasets, including the FFHQ dataset [10], the LSUN cars dataset [29], and the LSUN Church dataset [29]. For each dataset, we use the corresponding pre-trained StyleGAN2 [11] as the generator. The dimensions of the latent code are 18×512 , 16×512 and 14×512 for face, car and church images, respectively. In practice, we use a multi-step learning rate with an initial learning rate of 0.0005. The Adam [12] optimizer is utilized with β_1 and β_2 set to 0.9 and 0.999, respectively. We train our model on a single Nvidia Tesla V100 GPU. For FFHQ dataset, the total training iterations are 400,000 and the batch size is 8. For LSUN church dataset, the total training iterations are 600,000 and the batch size is 16. For LSUN cars dataset, the total training iterations are 300,000 and the batch size is 16.

The mapping network consists of L fully-connected networks converts the 512-dimensional CLIP embedding input into $L \times C$ -dimensional latent codes corresponding to the L layers of StyleGAN. These networks correspond to different semantic levels of information (coarse, medium and fine). For CLIP, we follow StyleCLIP to use the ViT-B/32 model to extract embeddings.

4.2. Qualitative Evaluation

We first qualitatively compare our method with several previous text-driven image manipulation methods. These methods include making attribute edits in the W^+ or S space of StyleGAN.

Comparison with W^+ space methods. These methods include TediGAN, CLIP2StyleGAN and StyleCLIP’s mapper method. We compare these methods with the 6 properties in CLIP2StyleGAN. For StyleCLIP, we retrain 6 corresponding mapper networks. The comparison results are shown in Figure 5. StyleCLIP fails in disentangling the attributes, i.e. when changing one attribute of an image, other attributes are also affected. For example, in the left part of Figure 5, when StyleCLIP edits the “Female” attribute, the person’s smile disappears. The person’s eyes are closed when the “Smile” attribute is edited. CLIP2StyleGAN changes the person identity heavily when manipulating images. When CLIP2StyleGAN edits the “Glasses” attribute,




Figure 5. Comparison results with previous text-based image manipulation methods. The previous method can lead to changes in other attributes or character identities when editing images. TediGAN even cannot edit the relevant attributes. In contrast, our method performs excellent editing effects that satisfy the text description without affecting other attributes or the identity, providing a more effective image editing tool.

the appearance of the person is changed, resulting in a completely different person. TediGAN hardly changes the attributes of images. When editing the “Female” attribute, TediGAN just adds a little shadow behind the head of the person, which is not an obvious edition. When editing the “Glasses” attribute, TediGAN doesn’t generate the outlines of the glasses. In contrast, our method enables more accurate attributes editing while preserving other attributes and identities of images. These results demonstrate the accuracy and effectiveness of our method in using text guidance to edit images.

Comparison with S space method. We further compare our method with StyleCLIP’s global direction method. Figure 6 shows a comparison of some abstract or detailed attributes editing effects. StyleCLIP cannot get abstract attribute editing directions corresponding accurately. For example, in the left part of Figure 6, when StyleCLIP edits the “Vampire” attribute, the result is almost the same as

the original image. The similar situation happens when the “Trump” attribute is edited. For some detailed attributes, StyleCLIP generates wrong or even meaningless results. When StyleCLIP edits the “Sunglasses” attribute, the wrong type of glasses are generated. And StyleCLIP does not produce meaningful results when editing the “Closed eyes” attribute. In contrast, our method can be applied to a wider range of text conditions with higher application values.

4.3. Quantitative Evaluation

It is difficult to find a straight quantitative metric to evaluate the image editing results. However, we believe that the edited results should match the text prompt semantics on the one hand, and the remaining attributes (identity) do not change on the other hand. Therefore, we evaluate the results of these different methods from 3 perspectives: user evaluation, identity preservation, and classification accuracy.

User evaluation. We randomly collect 108 images

Table 1. The user evaluation scores for different methods. The higher the better. Among these methods, our results have the highest preference.

Text	User Evaluation			
	TediGAN	StyleCLIP	CLIP2StyleGAN	Ours
Beard	2.8%	24.9%	16.6%	55.7%
Male	0%	19.6%	13.9%	66.5%
Female	0%	18.1%	16.6%	65.3%
Glasses	0%	26.6%	20.7%	52.7%
Smile	0%	28.9%	12.1%	59%
kid	4.2%	20.7%	10.7%	64.4%

Table 2. ID preservation scores of different methods.

Text	ID Score			
	TediGAN	StyleCLIP	CLIP2StyleGAN	Ours
Beard	0.79	0.72	0.58	0.89
Male	0.81	0.69	0.49	0.86
Female	0.78	0.68	0.51	0.84
Glasses	0.76	0.71	0.54	0.88
Smile	0.81	0.78	0.61	0.92
Kid	0.72	0.73	0.60	0.76

which are edited by the above 6 text prompts to perform the user evaluation. 35 participants from different backgrounds participate in this evaluation. They are asked to observe the editing results of the different methods and select the image that better matches the text description with better identity preservation. Each participant is asked to complete all choices within 20 minutes. The evaluation scores are shown in Table 1. The results of our method are mostly preferred.

ID preservation analysis. We use ArcFace [6] to extract embedding vectors of generated images to compare identity preservation to other methods. We use a total of 1000 images edited with six attributes to perform this evaluation. The average scores of face identity for different edited results are shown in Table 2. Among all the methods, our method can maintain the face identity best.

CLIP classification accuracy. We use CLIP as a classifier to evaluate whether the edited results have the target attributes. Texts with and without corresponding attribute descriptions are used as labels for binary classification. The average accuracy of classification is shown in Table 3. The highest accuracy rate proves that our method works better for editing target attributes.

4.4. Ablation Studies

Text-to-image results. As mentioned above, we use some general text descriptions to find arbitrary semantic shifts. These descriptions are mapped to an average face with certain attributes. In Figure 7, we show some faces generated with text descriptions. We can see that these faces have the attributes described by the text, and the identity is

Table 3. The CLIP classification accuracy results.

Text	Classification accuracy			
	TediGAN	StyleCLIP	CLIP2StyleGAN	Ours
Beard	0.31	0.88	0.86	0.94
Male	0.42	0.90	0.88	0.96
Female	0.55	0.89	0.91	0.97
Glasses	0.22	0.99	0.92	0.99
Smile	0.43	0.87	0.88	0.94
Kid	0.26	0.82	0.82	0.96




Figure 6. Comparison with the global direction method.




Figure 7. Text to image result.

consistent under different attributes.

Space visualization. To better display the accuracy of our Space Alignment module, we make a visualization for the two spaces. We use 100 images of women and 100 images of girls for the analysis. These 200 images are encoded using CLIP’s encoder, e4e’s encoder, and our network to obtain the corresponding latent representations. We then visualize these codes using t-SNE, and the result is shown in Figure 8. The blue and red dots in the StyleGAN space represent the corresponding codes mapped from the CLIP space. The codes of the text are represented using triangular symbols that fall between the image codes of the corresponding attributes. It can be seen that our method is able to map the text embeddings to the exact semantic positions




Figure 8. Space visualization.



Figure 9. Reconstruction results.




Figure 10. Combination with the HFGI.

in StyleGAN space.

Reconstruction results. The training objective of our method is to use the latent codes mapped from CLIP’s image embeddings to generate results with the same attributes as the original image. In Figure 9, we show some image reconstruction results obtained in this training method. It can be seen that these results are consistent with the original image in terms of gender, age, expression and hairstyle, etc.

Combination with other inversion method. Our semantic editing method can be combined with other inversion methods without adaptation. To illustrate this, we combine our method with a recent high-fidelity inversion



Figure 11. Ablation study of In-domain Adjustment.




Figure 12. Ablation study of Adaption.

method HFGI [26] without adaption. The relevant editing results are shown in Figure 10. These edited results also match the text descriptions, demonstrating the robustness of our method.

Alignment process. We also explore the effect of each part of our method. To demonstrate the effect of our In-domain Adjustment, we eliminate this process and retrain a mapping network using only real image data, also without adaption. See Figure 11 for a comparison of the editing results using this network and the network trained with the generated data. After using the generated image for adjustment, the edited attributes are more accurate, such as redder hair color and more obvious makeup. We further explore the effect of the specific adaption when combining our method with other inversion schemes. We use networks with and without adaption to e4e’s encoder to edit the images. Figure 12 shows the comparison results. Some details are more perfect when adapting the network to e4e.

5. Conclusion

In this work we propose a new image manipulation framework TMSA based on Space Alignment. Space Alignment aims to align the same semantic regions in pre-trained language-image embedding space and the latent space of StyleGAN. After the alignment is done, we can use text descriptions to find latent codes with corresponding attributes in the StyleGAN space. Then, these latent codes can be used to extract semantic shift of arbitrary semantics for editing the imagery of StyleGAN. Compared to previous editing methods, our method does not require manual efforts for extensive annotation or time-consuming training. Our method provides a flexible interface for user to edit dif-

ferent attributes of input image and is a new way to explore the semantics in the latent space of generative model.

References

- [1] Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. Image2stylegan: How to embed images into the stylegan latent space? In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4432–4441, 2019. 2
- [2] Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. Image2stylegan++: How to edit the embedded images? In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8296–8305, 2020. 2
- [3] Rameen Abdal, Peihao Zhu, John Femiani, Niloy Mitra, and Peter Wonka. Clip2stylegan: Unsupervised extraction of stylegan edit directions. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Conference Proceedings*, pages 1–9, 2022. 2
- [4] Rameen Abdal, Peihao Zhu, Niloy J Mitra, and Peter Wonka. Styleflow: Attribute-conditioned exploration of stylegan-generated images using conditional continuous normalizing flows. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 40(3):1–21, 2021. 1, 2
- [5] Yuval Alaluf, Or Patashnik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Restyle: A residual-based stylegan encoder via iterative refinement. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 6711–6720, 2021. 1, 2
- [6] Jiankang Deng, Jia Guo, Niannan Xue, and Stefanos Zafeiriou. Arcface: Additive angular margin loss for deep face recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4690–4699, 2019. 7
- [7] Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Wenyi Hong, and Jie Tang. Cogview2: Faster and better text-to-image generation via hierarchical transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.14217*, 2022. 2
- [8] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11):139–144, 2020. 1
- [9] Erik Härkönen, Aaron Hertzmann, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Sylvain Paris. Ganspace: Discovering interpretable gan controls. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:9841–9850, 2020. 1
- [10] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4401–4410, 2019. 1, 2, 5
- [11] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8110–8119, 2020. 5
- [12] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014. 5
- [13] Gihyun Kwon and Jong Chul Ye. Clipstyler: Image style transfer with a single text condition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18062–18071, 2022. 2
- [14] Or Patashnik, Zongze Wu, Eli Shechtman, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. Styleclip: Text-driven manipulation of stylegan imagery. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2085–2094, 2021. 2
- [15] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 2
- [16] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 2022. 3
- [17] Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Zero-shot text-to-image generation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8821–8831. PMLR, 2021. 2
- [18] Daniel Roich, Ron Mokady, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Pivotal tuning for latent-based editing of real images. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 42(1):1–13, 2022. 1, 2
- [19] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily Denton, Seyed Kamyar Seyed Ghasemipour, Burcu Karagol Ayan, S Sara Mahdavi, Rapha Gontijo Lopes, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11487*, 2022. 3
- [20] Yujun Shen, Jinjin Gu, Xiaoou Tang, and Bolei Zhou. Interpreting the latent space of gans for semantic face editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 9243–9252, 2020. 1
- [21] Yujun Shen and Bolei Zhou. Closed-form factorization of latent semantics in gans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1532–1540, 2021. 1
- [22] Jianxin Sun, Qiyao Deng, Qi Li, Muyi Sun, Min Ren, and Zhenan Sun. Anyface: Free-style text-to-face synthesis and manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18687–18696, 2022. 2
- [23] Ayush Tewari, Mohamed Elgharib, Gaurav Bharaj, Florian Bernard, Hans-Peter Seidel, Patrick Pérez, Michael Zollhofer, and Christian Theobalt. Stylerig: Rigging stylegan for 3d control over portrait images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6142–6151, 2020. 1
- [24] Omer Tov, Yuval Alaluf, Yotam Nitzan, Or Patashnik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Designing an encoder for stylegan image manipulation. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 40(4):1–14, 2021. 1, 2, 5
- [25] Andrey Voynov and Artem Babenko. Unsupervised discovery of interpretable directions in the gan latent space. In

International conference on machine learning, pages 9786–9796. PMLR, 2020. [1](#)

- [26] Tengfei Wang, Yong Zhang, Yanbo Fan, Jue Wang, and Qifeng Chen. High-fidelity gan inversion for image attribute editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 11379–11388, 2022. [1](#), [2](#), [8](#)
- [27] Tianyi Wei, Dongdong Chen, Wenbo Zhou, Jing Liao, Zhen-tao Tan, Lu Yuan, Weiming Zhang, and Nenghai Yu. Hair-clip: Design your hair by text and reference image. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18072–18081, 2022. [2](#)
- [28] Weihao Xia, Yujiu Yang, Jing-Hao Xue, and Baoyuan Wu. Tedigan: Text-guided diverse face image generation and manipulation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2256–2265, 2021. [2](#)
- [29] Fisher Yu, Ari Seff, Yinda Zhang, Shuran Song, Thomas Funkhouser, and Jianxiong Xiao. Lsun: Construction of a large-scale image dataset using deep learning with humans in the loop. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1506.03365*, 2015. [5](#)
- [30] Jiapeng Zhu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Bolei Zhou. In-domain gan inversion for real image editing. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 592–608. Springer, 2020. [2](#)