

Structural Properties of Invariant Dual Subspaces of Boolean Networks^{*}

Dongyao Bi[†], Lijun Zhang^{*†}, Kuize Zhang[‡]

[†]School of Marine Science and Technology, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, P.R. China

^{*}E-mail: zhanglj7385@nwpu.edu.cn

[‡]Department of Computer Science, University of Surrey, GU2 7XH, Guildford, UK

Abstract: In this paper, we obtain the following results on dual subspaces of Boolean networks (BNs). For a BN, there is a one-to-one correspondence between partitions of its state-transition graph (STG) and its dual subspaces (i.e., the subspaces generated by a number of Boolean functions of the BN's variables). Moreover, a dual subspace is invariant if and only if the corresponding partition is equitable, i.e., for every two (not necessarily different) cells of the partition, every two states in the former have equally many out-neighbors in the latter. With the help of equitable partitions of an STG, we study the structural properties of the smallest invariant dual subspaces containing a number of Boolean functions. And then, we give algorithms for computing the equitable partition corresponding to the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given dual subspace. Moreover, we reveal that the unobservable subspace of a BN is the smallest invariant dual subspace containing the output function. We analyze properties of the unobservable subspace by using the obtained structural properties. The graphical representation provides an easier and more intuitive way to characterizing the (smallest) invariant dual subspaces of a BN.

Keywords: Boolean network, equitable partition, quotient graph, unobservable subspace.

1 Introduction

Boolean networks (BNs) were firstly proposed by Kauffman in 1969 [1]. From then on it has proven to be a very efficient way to modeling and analyzing genetic regulatory networks. The semi-tensor product (STP) of matrices was proposed by Cheng in 2001 [2, 3] which makes BNs be dealt with by algebraic methods. Recently Cheng et al. [4] considered the problem of finding the smallest “ M -invariant” (called “invariant dual” in the current paper) subspace of a BN containing a given set of Boolean functions. Furthermore, we study the structural properties of invariant dual subspaces from a graphical perspective in this paper.

When a BN (BCN) is large-scale, the structure matrix of the overall BN (BCN) will be of huge size and cannot be computed in a reasonable amount of time. However, the dual systems of a BN generated by its invariant dual subspaces are usually small and still can carry useful information of the original BN. For example, in a BN with output (observation), the dynamic equation of the smallest invariant dual subspace containing the given output function is called the minimum realization of the BN. In the dual dynamics, part of the structure of the original BN can be revealed [4]. Hence, dual dynamic systems may

^{*}This work is supported by Key Programs in Shaanxi Province of China under Grant 2021JZ-12.

provide a promising way to overcoming the high computational complexity of dealing with large-scale BN in some sense. An algorithm for computing the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given set of Boolean functions has been given in [4].

To study the properties of invariant dual subspaces, we first establish a one-to-one correspondence between partitions of state-transition graphs (STGs) and dual subspaces of BNs. In graph theory, a definition of equitable partition of a directed graph (digraph) was given and the relationship between partition cells was described by the quotient digraph of the digraph [5]. We prove that a dual subspace of a BN is invariant if and only if the corresponding partition is equitable. Furthermore, we discuss the structural features of the invariant dual subspaces of BNs with loops or cycles, respectively. These properties provide a different way to determining whether a BN is observable. Compared to the STP representation of the (smallest) invariant dual subspaces used in [4], the graphical representation adopted in the current paper provides an easier and more intuitive way to characterizing the (smallest) invariant dual subspaces of a BN, and results in more concrete conclusions on the invariant dual subspaces.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a survey for necessary results in graph theory and STP. The main results of the current paper are shown in Section 3 and Section 4. Structural properties of the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given set of Boolean functions are obtained in Section 3. Section 4 discloses the fact that in a given BN, its unobservable subspace is the smallest invariant dual subspace containing its output functions. We get some methods for establishing output functions to make a given BN observable by using the structural properties.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basic knowledge in graph theory

2.1.1 Basic concepts and notation

A digraph is denoted by $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$, where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $E \subseteq V \times V$ represent the vertex set and the edge set, respectively. If $e_{ij} = (v_i, v_j)$ is an edge of \mathcal{G} , v_i and v_j , two ends of e_{ij} , are called the tail and the head of e_{ij} , respectively. If $e_{ij} \in E$, v_i (v_j) is an in-neighbor (out-neighbor) of v_j (v_i). With each digraph \mathcal{G} , we associate an undirected graph on the same vertex set; corresponding to each directed edge of \mathcal{G} there is an undirected edge with the same ends. This graph is called the underlying graph of \mathcal{G} . A path from v_1 to v_{k+1} of length k is a digraph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k+1}\}$ and edge set $\{(v_i, v_{i+1}) \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$. Likewise, a cycle of length k is a path $v_1 \cdots v_{k+1}$ such that $v_1 = v_{k+1}$; a cycle on a single vertex is called a loop. If there exists a path from v_i to v_j , vertex v_j is said to be reachable from v_i . A digraph is said weakly connected if for any pair of vertices there exists an undirected path in its underlying graph between them. Every digraph may be expressed uniquely (up to order) as a disjoint union of maximal weakly connected digraphs. These digraphs are called the components of \mathcal{G} . The distance from v_i to v_j is the length of a shortest path from v_i to v_j , denoted by $\text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_j)$ (we set $\text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_i) = 0$ and $\text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_j) = \infty$ if v_j is not reachable from v_i). The largest in-distance (out-distance) $\text{dist}_{in}(v_i)$ ($\text{dist}_{out}(v_i)$) of v_i is the maximum $\text{dist}(v_j \rightarrow v_i)$ ($\text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_j)$) over all vertices reachable to (from) v_i . We use $N_{in}(v_i, k)$ ($N_{out}(v_i, k)$) to denote the vertex set $\{v_j \mid \text{dist}(v_j \rightarrow v_i) = k\}$ ($\{v_j \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_j) = k\}$), where $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \text{dist}_{in}(v_i)(\text{dist}_{out}(v_i)), \infty\}$. The in-neighboring $N_{in}(v_i)$ (out-neighboring $N_{out}(v_i)$) set of $v_i \in V$ is the set $N_{in}(v_i, 1)$ ($N_{out}(v_i, 1)$). The in-degree (out-degree) of

v_i , denoted by $d_{in}(v_i)$ ($d_{out}(v_i)$), is the cardinality of $N_{in}(v_i)$ ($N_{out}(v_i)$). For a given vertex set C , we denote by $N_{in}(C, \infty)$ the vertex set which are not reachable to any vertex in C .

To model practical problems, one often needs to define a weighted digraph as a digraph with a weight function w that assigns to each edge $e \in E$ a weight $w(e) \in \mathbb{R}$. For a given weighted digraph \mathcal{G} (if \mathcal{G} is unweighted, then the weights of edges are all equal to 1), the adjacency matrix $A(\mathcal{G})$ is an $n \times n$ matrix defined as

$$[A(\mathcal{G})]_{ij} = \begin{cases} w(e_{ji}), & e_{ji} \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.1.2 Graph partitions

For a digraph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ with n vertices and a given constant $1 \leq k \leq n$, we call $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ a k -partition of V if π is a family of nonempty disjoint subsets of V and $\cup_{i=1}^k C_i = V$. Accordingly, C_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are called partition cells. If a partition contains at least one cell with more than one vertex, it is nontrivial; otherwise, it is trivial. The characteristic matrix $P(\pi) \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times k}$ of the partition π is defined as follows.

$$[P]_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_i \in C_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Definition 2.1 ([6]). *Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ be a weighted digraph with adjacency matrix $A(\mathcal{G})$. A partition π of V is said to be equitable if for all pairs (C_i, C_j) , $i, j = 1, \dots, k$, $\forall v_s, v_t \in C_i$*

$$\sum_{v_k \in N_{out}(v_s) \cap C_j} [A(\mathcal{G})]_{ks} = \sum_{v_k \in N_{out}(v_t) \cap C_j} [A(\mathcal{G})]_{kt}. \quad (1)$$

Particularly, when \mathcal{G} is unweighted, (1) degenerates to

$$|N_{out}(v_s) \cap C_j| = |N_{out}(v_t) \cap C_j|. \quad (2)$$

For an equitable partition π of V , the quotient digraph \mathcal{G}/π of \mathcal{G} over π has the vertex set $V(\mathcal{G}/\pi) = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ and edge set $E(\mathcal{G}/\pi) = \{(C_i, C_j) \mid N_{out}(v_s) \cap C_j \neq \emptyset, v_s \in C_i\}$; and the weight of (C_i, C_j) is $\sum_{v_k \in N_{out}(v_s) \cap C_j} [A(\mathcal{G})]_{ks}, \forall v_s \in C_i$. With slight abuse of notation, we call an equitable partition of V an equitable partition of \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). *Let \mathcal{G} be a digraph. A k -partition π is equitable if and only if there exists a matrix H satisfying $P^T A = H P^T$, where P is the characteristic matrix of π and A is the adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G} . Moreover, if π is equitable, then H is exactly the adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G}/π .*

In Lemma 2.2, $[P^T A]_{ij}$ is the sum of the weights of the edges starting from v_j and going into C_i , i.e., $\sum_{v_k \in N_{out}(v_j) \cap C_i} [A(\mathcal{G})]_{kj}$. And $[H P^T]_{ij}$ is equal to $[H]_{ik}$, where C_k is the cell containing v_j . For unweighted digraphs, $P^T A = H P^T$ means that any two vertices in the same cell have the same number of out-neighbors in any cells.

Definition 2.3. *A partition π_1 is said to be finer than π_2 if each cell of π_2 is the union of some cells in π_1 , denote by $\pi_2 \preceq \pi_1$. In this case, we also say π_2 is coarser than π_1 .*

Denote the set of all the partitions of V by Π . The trivial partition $\{\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \dots, \{v_n\}\}$ is finer than any partition in Π . In this paper, we use $V(\mathcal{G})$ to simply denote the trivial partition.




Figure 1: (a) Digraph \mathcal{G} . (b) Quotient digraph of π_1 , where $C_1 = \{v_1, v_2\}$, $C_2 = \{v_3\}$, and $C_3 = \{v_4\}$. (c) Quotient digraph of π_2 , where $C_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $C_2 = \{v_4\}$. (d) Quotient digraph of π_3 , where $C_1 = \{v_1, v_3\}$, $C_2 = \{v_2\}$, and $C_3 = \{v_4\}$.

Definition 2.4 ([3]). *Let S be a subset of Π .*

- (i) $\pi \in \Pi$ is an upper bound (a lower bound) of S if $\pi' \preceq \pi$ ($\pi \preceq \pi'$) for all $\pi' \in S$.
- (ii) $\pi \in \Pi$ is the least upper bound of S , also the join of S , (denoted by $\pi = \sqcup S$), if π is an upper bound of S , and for any other upper bound π' of S , we have $\pi \preceq \pi'$.
- (iii) $\pi \in \Pi$ is the greatest lower bound of S , also the meet of S , (denoted by $\pi = \sqcap S$), if π is a lower bound of S , and for any other lower bound π' of S , we have $\pi' \preceq \pi$.

Example 2.5. A digraph with four vertices is shown in Fig. 1, where its three quotient graphs is obtained according to equitable partitions $\pi_1 = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_4\}\}$, $\pi_2 = \{\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{v_4\}\}$ and $\pi_3 = \{\{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_2\}, \{v_4\}\}$. Since $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\} = \{v_1, v_2\} \cup \{v_3\} = \{v_1, v_3\} \cup \{v_2\}$, π_1 and π_3 are finer than π_2 . The join of π_1 and π_3 is $\{\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_4\}\}$ and their meet is π_2 . That is

$$\pi_2 \preceq \pi_1 \quad \pi_2 \preceq \pi_3,$$

$$\pi_1 \sqcup \pi_3 = \{\{v_1\}, \{v_2\}, \{v_3\}, \{v_4\}\},$$

$$\pi_1 \sqcap \pi_3 = \pi_2.$$

Let \mathcal{G} be a digraph and π an equitable partition over \mathcal{G} . Let $\rho = \{C'_1, \dots, C'_l\}$ be an equitable partition of the quotient graph \mathcal{G}/π . Define the partition $\pi\rho = \{\bar{C}_1, \dots, \bar{C}_l\}$ of $V(\mathcal{G})$ by $\bar{C}_j := \bigcup_{C_i \in C'_j} C_i$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$. Then $\pi\rho$ is also an equitable partition of \mathcal{G} .

2.2 The STP of matrices

To use the matrix expression of logic, we need the following notation.

- $A \subseteq X$: set A is contained in set X .
- $\mathcal{M}_{n \times m}$: the set of $n \times m$ real matrices.
- \mathbb{R}^n : the set of n -dimensional real column vectors.
- δ_n^i : the i th column of the identity matrix I_n .

- $\Delta_n = \{\delta_n^i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- $\text{Col}(A)$: the set of columns of A .
- $\mathcal{R}(A)$: the row space of A .
- A matrix $L \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times m}$ is called a logical matrix if $\text{Col}(L) \subseteq \Delta_n$. Denote the set of $n \times m$ logical matrices by $\mathcal{L}_{n \times m}$.
- If $A \in \mathcal{L}_{n \times m}$, A can be expressed as $A = [\delta_n^{i_1}, \dots, \delta_n^{i_m}]$; for brevity, A is denoted as $A = \delta_n[i_1, \dots, i_m]$.
- Identify binary logical values in $\mathcal{D} := \{0, 1\}$ with vectors in Δ_2 : $1 \sim \delta_2^1$ and $0 \sim \delta_2^2$.
- $[n; m]$: the set of integers x with $n \leq x \leq m$.

Definition 2.6 ([3, 8]). Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$, $N \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times q}$, and $t = \text{lcm}\{n, p\}$ be the least common multiple of n and p . The semi-tensor product (STP) of M and N , denoted by $M \ltimes N$, is defined as

$$(M \otimes I_{t/n}) (N \otimes I_{t/p}) \in \mathcal{M}_{mt/n \times qt/p}, \quad (3)$$

where \otimes is the Kronecker product.

Note that when $n = p$, $M \ltimes N = MN$. That is, the semi-tensor product is a generalization of the conventional matrix product. Moreover, it preserves many properties of the conventional matrix product, including associativity [9], distributivity, reverse-order laws, etc. [3]. Hence we can omit the symbol \ltimes . Throughout this paper the matrix product is assumed to be STP, and the symbol \ltimes is mostly omitted.

Necessary properties of STP are shown as follows.

Proposition 2.7 ([8]). Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and M a matrix. Then

$$X \ltimes M = (I_m \otimes M) X. \quad (4)$$

Definition 2.8 ([8]). A matrix $W_{[m,n]} \in \mathcal{M}_{mn \times mn}$, defined by

$$W_{[m,n]} := [I_n \otimes \delta_m^1, I_n \otimes \delta_m^2, \dots, I_n \otimes \delta_m^m], \quad (5)$$

is called the (m, n) -th dimensional swap matrix.

The basic function of the swap matrix is to “swap” two vectors. That is,

Proposition 2.9 ([8]). Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be two columns. Then

$$W_{[m,n]} \ltimes X \ltimes Y = Y \ltimes X. \quad (6)$$

Definition 2.10 ([8]). Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{p \times n}$ and $B \in \mathcal{M}_{q \times n}$. The Khatri-Rao Product of A and B is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A * B &= [\text{Col}_1(A) \ltimes \text{Col}_1(B), \dots, \text{Col}_n(A) \ltimes \text{Col}_n(B)] \\ &\in \mathcal{M}_{pq \times n}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

2.3 Invariant dual subspaces of BNs

A BN can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} x_1(t+1) = f_1(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)), \\ x_2(t+1) = f_2(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)), \\ \vdots \\ x_n(t+1) = f_n(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $x_i(t) \in \mathcal{D}$, and each $f_i : \mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a Boolean function, $i \in [1; n]$.

A BN has its matrix form under the vector form expressions of logical variables, called the algebraic state space representation (ASSR) of the BN.

Proposition 2.11 ([10]). (i) For a Boolean function $f : \mathcal{D}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, there exists a unique logical matrix $M_f \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$, called the structure matrix of f , such that f is expressed in the vector form

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = M_f \ltimes_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad (9)$$

where $x_i \in \Delta_2$, $i \in [1; n]$.

(ii) Let M_i be the structure matrix of f_i , $i \in [1; n]$. Then (8) is expressed as

$$x_i(t+1) = M_i \ltimes_{j=1}^n x_j(t), \quad i \in [1; n]. \quad (10)$$

(iii) Setting $x(t) = \ltimes_{i=1}^n x_i(t)$, based on (10), the ASSR of (8) is as follows:

$$x(t+1) = Mx(t), \quad (11)$$

where

$$M = M_1 * M_2 * \dots * M_n \in \mathcal{L}_{2^n \times 2^n}$$

is called the transition matrix of (8).

Definition 2.12 ([11]). Consider (8).

(i) Its state space \mathcal{X} is defined as the set of all Boolean functions of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , denoted by $\mathcal{F}_\ell\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. That is,

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}. \quad (12)$$

(ii) For $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r \in \mathcal{X}$, the dual subspace generated by z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r is defined by $\mathcal{Z} := \mathcal{F}_\ell\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r\} = \{f(z_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, z_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)) | f : \mathcal{D}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\}$. Set $z = \ltimes_{i=1}^r z_i$ and $x = \ltimes_{i=1}^n x_i$. Then

$$z = Gx,$$

where $G \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^n}$ is called the structure matrix of \mathcal{Z} .

Definition 2.13 ([4]). Consider (8) with its ASSR (11). A dual subspace \mathcal{Z} is invariant if there exists a logical matrix $H \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^r}$ such that

$$GM = HG. \quad (13)$$

Algorithm 1 An algorithm for constructing the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given dual subspace.

Input: $M \in \mathcal{L}_{2^n \times 2^n}$ (the structure matrix of a given BN), $z = Gx$ (a given dual subspace) with $G \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^n}$, $1 \leq r \leq n$.

Output: the smallest invariant dual subspace G_1x containing $z = Gx$ with $G_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{2^{r_1} \times 2^n}$ for some positive integer r_1 .

```

1:  $k \leftarrow 0$ 
2:  $G_1 \leftarrow G$ 
3:  $G_2 \leftarrow GM$ 
4: while  $\text{rank}(G_1) < \text{rank}(G_1 * G_2)$  do
5:    $k \leftarrow k + 1$ 
6:    $G_1 \leftarrow G_1 * G_2$ 
7:    $G_2 \leftarrow G_2 M$ 
8: end while
9: return  $G_1, k$ .
```

Theorem 2.14 ([4]). *A dual subspace \mathcal{Z} is invariant if and only if its dynamics can be expressed as*

$$z(t+1) = Hz(t), \quad (14)$$

where $H \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^r}$.

The dynamics (14) is called the dual dynamics of BN (8) with respect to \mathcal{Z} and H is the dual transition matrix.

For BN (8) and a given dual subspace \mathcal{Z} , Cheng et al. [4] gave Algorithm 1 to find the smallest invariant dual subspace $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ containing \mathcal{Z} . We call $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ the invariant dual subspace generated by \mathcal{Z} .

Remark 2.15. *It is worth emphasizing that E. F. Moore first used the same idea as in Algorithm 1 to compute equivalence classes of indistinguishable states in the proof of Theorem 6 in his article as early as 1956 [12].*

Lemma 2.16 ([4]). *Assume \mathcal{Z}_i , $i = 1, 2$, are invariant dual subspaces. That is, there exist $H_1 \in \mathcal{L}_{2^p \times 2^p}$ and $H_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{2^q \times 2^q}$ such that*

$$G_1 M = H_1 G_1, \quad G_2 M = H_2 G_2. \quad (15)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{z_1^1, \dots, z_p^1, z_1^2, \dots, z_q^2\}$$

is also invariant. Moreover, the structure matrix of \mathcal{Z} , denoted by

$$G = G_1 * G_2, \quad (16)$$

satisfies

$$GM = HG, \quad (17)$$

where

$$H = H_1 \otimes H_2. \quad (18)$$

3 A graph representation of a BN and its invariant dual subspaces

It is well known that a BN can be uniquely represented by its STG. In other words, the STG of a BN contains all its dynamic information. In this section we investigate the invariant dual subspaces of a BN from a graphical perspective. We will prove that the invariant dual subspaces of a BN correspond to an equitable partition of its STG. Based on it, we proceed to analyze the structural properties of invariant dual subspaces generated by different Boolean functions, and design algorithms for constructing these subspaces.

3.1 Invariant dual subspaces and equitable partitions

For BN (8), its state set Δ_{2^n} is the vertex set of its STG \mathcal{G} and $E := \{(\delta_{2^n}^i, \delta_{2^n}^j) \mid \delta_{2^n}^j = M\delta_{2^n}^i\}$ is the edge set of \mathcal{G} . The state transition matrix M of (8) is the adjacency matrix of \mathcal{G} . For a set $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ of Boolean functions, we have $z = \times_{i=1}^r z_i = Gx$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^n}$. Without loss of generality we always suppose that G is of full row rank. We define a partition $\pi_G := \{\{x \mid Gx = \delta_{2^r}^i\} \mid i \in [1; 2^r]\}$ according to G , where G^T is exactly the characteristic matrix of π_G . We conclude that π_G is only determined by $\mathcal{R}(G)$. In other words, $\pi_G = \pi_{G'}$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(G) = \mathcal{R}(G')$.

Definition 3.1. Let $z_1, \dots, z_r : \mathcal{D}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be Boolean functions. For a dual subspace $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$, we define a partition $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ where two states $x, x' \in \mathcal{D}^n$ are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ if and only if $f(x) = f(x')$ for any Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{Z}$.

For example, if $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X}$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{\{\delta_{2^n}^1\}, \{\delta_{2^n}^2\}, \dots, \{\delta_{2^n}^{2^n}\}\}$.

Lemma 3.2. For a dual subspace $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ with structure matrix $G \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^n}$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) = \pi_G$. Moreover, $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow \Pi$ is a bijection, where \mathcal{X}^* is the set of dual subspaces over Δ_{2^n} and Π is the set of all partitions of Δ_{2^n} .

Proof. For any Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{Z}$, it is a Boolean function over $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$. There exists a structure matrix $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^r}$ over $\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$. Moreover FG is the structure matrix of $f(z_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, z_r(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ over Δ_{2^n} . According to definition of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$, states $x, x' \in \Delta_{2^n}$ are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ if and only if $FGx = FGx'$ for any $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^r}$.

For any $x, x' \in \Delta_{2^n}$, they are in the same cell of π_G if and only if $Gx = Gx'$. Then $FGx = FGx'$ for any $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^r}$, that is, they are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) \preceq \pi_G$.

On the other hand, suppose that $x, x' \in \Delta_{2^n}$ satisfy $FGx = FGx'$ for any $F \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^r}$. Then the equation holds for every $F_i = \delta_2[\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{i-1}, 1, 2, \dots, 2]$, $i \in [1; 2^n]$. It follows $Gx = Gx'$. Consequently $\pi_G \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) = \pi_G$.

Before proceeding further, we remark that a dual subspace is also only determined by the row space of its structure matrix. If $G \in \mathcal{L}_{2^r \times 2^n}$ and $G' \in \mathcal{L}_{2^{r'} \times 2^n}$ have the same row space, then $\{FG \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n} \mid F \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^r}\} = \{FG' \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n} \mid F \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^{r'}}\}$. That is, dual subspaces with G and G' as structure matrices are equal. Note that here G and G' are not necessarily of full row rank.

It remains to show that \mathcal{P} is a bijection. (1) For any partition $\pi \in \Pi$ with characteristic matrix G^T , we construct a dual subspace whose structure matrix has row space $\mathcal{R}(G)$. (2) If two dual subspaces $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2$

satisfying $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$, then the row spaces of their structure matrices are same. Thus $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_2$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Given $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are the structure matrices of \mathcal{Z}_1 and \mathcal{Z}_2 , respectively. It can easy to see that $\pi_{G_1} \preceq \pi_{G_2}$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(G_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(G_2)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(G_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(G_2)$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_2$. \square

Since \mathcal{P} is a bijection, \mathcal{P}^{-1} exists. From Lemma 3.3, \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}^{-1} are order-preserving. Thus two lattices $(\mathcal{X}^*, \subseteq)$ and (Π, \preceq) are isomorphic according to [3, Theorem 14.2]. It is straightforward to show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Consider $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \in \mathcal{X}^*$.*

- (i) $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1) \sqcap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1 \cup \mathcal{Z}_2) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$.

Remark 3.5. *From Proposition 3.4, we obtain the following properties.*

- (i) *Since $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is always true, we have $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$. In other words, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ is the finest partition of \mathcal{G} . Moreover $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\{\delta_{2^n}^1\}, \{\delta_{2^n}^2\}, \dots, \{\delta_{2^n}^{2^n}\}\}$.*
- (ii) *For the dual subspace $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{z\}$ where $z \in \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a 2-partition with cells $\{C, \Delta_{2^n} \setminus C\}$, where $C := \{x \in \Delta_{2^n} \mid z(x) = \delta_2^1\}$. In this case we express $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ as $\mathcal{P}(z)$ for simplicity of presentation.*
- (iii) *Given \mathcal{Z} , $\mathcal{P}(z) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$.*
- (iv) *If $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r\}$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{P}(z_1) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(z_2) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{P}(z_r)$.*

Theorem 3.6. *For $BN(8)$, a dual subspace \mathcal{Z} is invariant if and only if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ is equitable in \mathcal{G} . Moreover, the dual transition matrix H of \mathcal{Z} is exactly the adjacency matrix of $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$.*

Proof. Suppose that a dual subspace \mathcal{Z} is invariant. By Definition 2.13, there exists a logical matrix H such that $GM = HG$. Since G^T is the characteristic matrix of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ is equitable in \mathcal{G} according to Lemma 2.2. The inverse implications are also true.

From the proof of the first part of this theorem, it is trivial to conclude that H is also the adjacency matrix of the quotient digraph $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$. \square

For any dual subspace \mathcal{Z} , recall that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the smallest invariant dual subspace containing \mathcal{Z} . Thus for any invariant dual subspace \mathcal{Z}_1 containing \mathcal{Z} , $\overline{\mathcal{Z}} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_1$. By Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$. And by Theorem 3.6, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ are equitable. Therefore, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}})$ is the coarsest equitable partition finer than $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$.




Figure 2: STG \mathcal{G} of BN (20) and its quotient digraph $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$. $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ is also the STG of BN (21).

Example 3.7. Consider the following BN:

$$\begin{cases} x_1(t+1) = (x_1(t) \wedge x_2(t) \wedge \neg x_4(t)) \\ \quad \vee (\neg x_1(t) \wedge x_2(t)), \\ x_2(t+1) = x_2(t) \vee (x_3(t) \leftrightarrow x_4(t)), \\ x_3(t+1) = (x_1(t) \wedge \neg x_4(t)) \vee (\neg x_1(t) \wedge x_2(t)) \\ \quad \vee (\neg x_1(t) \wedge \neg x_2(t) \wedge x_4(t)), \\ x_4(t+1) = x_1(t) \wedge \neg x_2(t) \wedge x_4(t). \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

Its ASSR is calculated as

$$x(t+1) = Mx(t), \quad (20)$$

where

$$M = \delta_{16}[11, 1, 11, 1, 11, 13, 15, 9, 1, 2, 1, 2, 9, 15, 13, 11].$$

Given an invariant dual subspace \mathcal{Z} whose structure matrix is

$$G = \delta_2[2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1],$$

its dual transition matrix is $H = \delta_2[2, 2]$ satisfying $GM = HG$. Its dual dynamics is

$$z(t+1) = Hz(t). \quad (21)$$

For the partition $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{C_1, C_2\}$ where $C_1 = \{x | Gx = \delta_2^1\} = \{\delta_{16}^{16}\}$ and $C_2 = \Delta_{16} \setminus C_1$, each vertex (δ_{16}^{16}) in C_1 has single in-neighbor (δ_{16}^{11}) in C_2 and each vertex in C_2 has single in-neighbor in C_2 . Thus $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ is an equitable partition of the STG \mathcal{G} of BN (20). And \mathcal{G} and the quotient digraph $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ are shown in Figure 2 (a) and (b). The characteristic matrix of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ is exactly G^T . Lemma 2.2 illustrates the fact that H is the adjacency matrix of the quotient digraph $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$.

3.2 Structural properties of invariant dual subspaces

Different smallest invariant dual subspaces generated by different dual subspaces may have different properties. With the help of the correspondence between invariant dual subspaces and equitable partitions

obtained in the previous section, in this section we reveal relationships between dual subspaces and their generated smallest invariant dual subspaces. Without loss of generality, we divide the STG of a BN (8) into four cases: (1) a path with a single loop; (2) a tree with a single loop; (3) a single cycle; (4) a connected graph with a single cycle. With respect to each of the four cases, we characterize the smallest invariant dual subspace generated by a dual subspace of BN (8) via the notion of equitable partition.

Since there are only finitely many nodes in a BN, a trajectory eventually converges to a cycle, where particularly a fixed point is a cycle of length 1 [3].

In graph theory, a connected acyclic undirected graph is called a tree. On the other hand, an undirected graph with n vertices is a tree if and only if it is connected and has exactly $n - 1$ edges [5]. A rooted tree $T(v_r)$ is a tree T with a specified vertex v_r , called the root of T . An orientation of a rooted tree in which every vertex but the root has out-degree one is called a branching. We refer to a rooted branching with root v_r as v_r -branching. Observe, also, that its root is reachable from any other vertex of a branching via a unique directed path. (We point out that the directions of all edges of a rooted branching defined here is opposite to that of the rooted branching as usual. That is, every vertex but the root has in-degree one in a rooted branching generally). A branching in a digraph is a spanning branching if it includes all vertices of the digraph.

Lemma 3.8. *For any given BN, each component of its STG \mathcal{G} is unicyclic and contains at least one spanning branching.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume \mathcal{G} is connected. Since each vertex has out-degree 1, \mathcal{G} has the same number of vertices and edges. By this reason, the underlying graph of \mathcal{G} contains exactly one undirected cycle \mathcal{C} .

Suppose that e is an edge in \mathcal{C} and v is the tail of e . According to that \mathcal{G} contains a directed cycle such that every trajectory will eventually converge to and \mathcal{C} is the only cycle in \mathcal{G} , we will prove $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{e\}$ is a spanning branching of \mathcal{G} . 1) If the length of \mathcal{C} is 1, that is, v is the only vertex in \mathcal{C} , each state in \mathcal{G} converges to v without passing through edge e . 2) If the length is larger than 1, a state not in \mathcal{C} reach some state in \mathcal{C} (otherwise, \mathcal{G} is not connected), and all states in the cycle can reach v without passing through edge e . Therefore, all states in $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{e\}$ can reach v by a unique directed path. And $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{e\}$ is a spanning branching of \mathcal{G} with root v irrespective of the length of \mathcal{C} . \square

Without loss of generality, we focus on connected \mathcal{G} in this paper. The following two subsections provide structural properties according to the cases that the cycle has length 1 or greater than 1. In the following a cycle on a single vertex is called a loop. As mentioned before, for any equitable partition π of \mathcal{G} , the quotient digraph \mathcal{G}/π is the STG of its dual dynamics. Thus \mathcal{G}/π is also a unicyclic digraph by Lemma 3.8.

Before proceeding further, let us introduce an operation of shrinking. Let \mathcal{G} be a digraph and let C be a proper subset of $V(\mathcal{G})$. To shrink C is to merge the vertices of C into a single vertex and then add a loop to the new vertex if there exists an edge between these vertices. We denote the resulting digraph by \mathcal{G}/C and new vertex as C . In \mathcal{G}/C the edges between the new vertex C and vertices in $V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus C$ are inherited from the edges of \mathcal{G} . Note that in general \mathcal{G}/C might have multiple edges. We replace a set of multiple edges by a single edge of weight k , where k is the sum of the weights of the multiple edges. The operation of shrinking is illustrated in Figure 3.

We can extend this shrinking operation to partitions of V , as follows. Given any partition $\pi = \{C_1, \dots, C_k\}$, we shrink π by shrinking each cell C_i , $i \in [1; k]$ and we denote the resulting k -vertex digraph by \mathcal{G}/π . Note that if π is equitable, then \mathcal{G}/π is the quotient digraph of π as defined in 2.1.2.

More generally, to shrink a subset $C_1 \subseteq C$ in C is to merge the elements in C_1 into one element. We denote the resulting set by C/C_1 .

In the following, we discuss the simple case that the dual subspace \mathcal{Z} is generated by a single Boolean function. As mentioned earlier, the dual subspace \mathcal{Z} generated by Boolean function $z = G_0x$ with $G_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$ corresponds to a 2-partition $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}) = \{C^0, \Delta_{2^n} \setminus C^0\}$, where the states in C^0 produce the value δ_2^1 , and the states in $\Delta_{2^n} \setminus C^0$ produce the value δ_2^2 . For convenience of expression, we use \mathcal{Z}_{C^0} to denote the subspace satisfying the above condition. Recall that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}$ is the smallest invariant dual subspace generated by \mathcal{Z}_{C^0} . Then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is equitable by Theorem 3.6. We call $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ the equitable partition generated by set C^0 .

In Algorithm 1 the structure matrix of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ is $G_0 * G_1 * \dots * G_k$, where $G_i = G_0 M^i$, $i \in [1; k]$. Then two states produce different values in $G_0 * G_1 * \dots * G_k$ if and only if they produce different values in some $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$. Without loss of generality, we suppose $G_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$ in the sequel. Let C^i , $i \in [0; k-1]$ be the state subset that produce δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$. Since $G_{i+1} = G_i M$,

$$\text{Col}_k(G_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \delta_2^1, & \text{if } M\delta_{2^n}^k \in C^i, \\ \delta_2^2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

That is, the out-neighbors of C^{i+1} are in C^i , $i \in [0; k-1]$.

Lemma 3.9. (i) Consider the STG \mathcal{G} of a given BN. For any given vertex set $C^0 \subseteq V(\mathcal{G})$, if $C_1 = N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ is nonempty, then it is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

(ii) If $C_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) \preceq \{C_2, \{v_{r+1}\}, \dots, \{v_{2^n}\}\} := \Delta_{2^n}/C_2$.

(iii) If $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

(iv) If $C_2 \subseteq C^0$ and $\{C_2, C^0 \setminus C_2, \Delta_{2^n} \setminus C^0\} \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2})$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $G_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$ is the structure matrix of \mathcal{Z}_{C^0} . Recall from Algorithm 1 that the structure matrix of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}$ can be $G_0 * G_1 * \dots * G_k$, where $G_i = G_0 M^i$, $i \in [1; k]$. Since only vertices in C_1 always produce δ_2^2 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$ for all $i \in [0; k]$, C_1 is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

(ii) The proof is straightforward.

(iii) As illustrated above, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2})$ is the coarsest equitable partition among the ones that are finer than $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2})$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is equitable and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. We get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

(iv) If $C_2 \subseteq C^0$ and $\{C_2, C^0 \setminus C_2, \Delta_{2^n} \setminus C^0\} \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0 \setminus C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Moreover $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0 \setminus C_2}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2})$. According to Lemma 2.16, dual subspace $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2}$ is invariant. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2} \cup \overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2})$ is equitable. We get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2})$. In conclusion, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_2}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus C_2})$. \square

3.2.1 BNs with loops

In this subsection, we discuss BNs with connected STGs which contain loops. We start from STGs with paths as spanning branchings. Next we analyze the more general STGs with loops. The obtained results are given in the form of algorithms.

In any connected STG \mathcal{G} with a loop e , each trajectory will eventually converge to e . We denote the vertex in the loop e by v_1 . Since $\mathcal{G} \setminus \{e\}$ is the unique spanning branching of \mathcal{G} and every vertex reaches v_1 , we call v_1 the root of \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 3.10. *Consider BN (8). Suppose that its STG \mathcal{G} is connected and has a loop e , where v_1 is the root. Given a dual subspace \mathcal{Z}_{C^0} where $v_1 \in C^0$, if there are in-neighbors of v_1 in C^0 , then v_1 and these in-neighbors are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Otherwise, v_1 forms a singleton cell.*

Proof. Suppose that $G_0 \in \mathcal{L}_{2 \times 2^n}$ is the structure matrix of \mathcal{Z}_{C^0} . Like in the proof of Lemma 3.9, let the structure matrix of $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}$ be $G_0 * G_1 * \dots * G_k$, where $G_i = G_0 M^i$, $i \in [1; k]$. Since v_1 produces δ_2^1 in $z_0(x) = G_0 x$, v_1 always produces value δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$ according to (22). By the same reason if $v_2 \in N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0$, v_2 always produces value δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$. That is, v_2 and v_1 are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Then v_1 and all states in $N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0$ are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

If $N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0 = \emptyset$, we will prove v_1 is the only vertex which always produces value δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$, and v_1 forms a singleton cell. Suppose that $v_i \in C^0 \setminus v_1$ always produces value δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$. As illustrated in (22), the out-neighbors of C^{i+1} are in C^i . We get that the state in $N_{out}(v_i, 1)$ always produces δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$. That is, the out-neighbor of v_i is a subset of C^0 and always produces δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$. By repeating this procedure we get that all the vertices in the path from v_i to v_1 are contained in C_0 , which is contradicted to the assumption that C^0 contains no in-neighbor of v_1 .

In conclusion, if $N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0 \neq \emptyset$, then $(\{v_1\} \cup N_{in}(v_1)) \cap C^0$ is a subset of one cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Otherwise, $\{v_1\} \in \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. \square

Case 1. STG \mathcal{G} has a path as its spanning branching

Since \mathcal{G} has a path as its spanning branching, we write \mathcal{G} as $v_{2^n} v_{2^n-1} \dots v_1$, where v_1 is the root. Recall that the root is the vertex with out-degree 0 in the current paper.

We first consider $|C^0| = 1$. (1) If $C^0 = \{v_1\}$, then we can get from (22) that $C^{i+1} = \{v_{i+2}\} \cup C^i$ for $i \in [0; k-1]$. Each vertex forms a singleton cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is trivial. (2) If $C^0 = \{v_r\}$, $r \neq 1$, then $C_1 = N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C_1}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ according to Lemma 3.9. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Since the equitable partition generated by the root C_1 of \mathcal{G}/C_1 is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) = \{C_1, \{v_r\}, \dots, \{v_{2^n}\}\}$, we get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \{C_1, \{v_r\}, \dots, \{v_{2^n}\}\}$.

Assume, inductively, that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is known when $|C^0| < m$, $2 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$. For the case $|C^0| = m$, (1) if $v_1, v_2 \in C^0$, then they are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ according to Lemma 3.10. We can get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ from $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0/C})$ in quotient digraph \mathcal{G}/C , where $C = \{v_1, v_2\}$. (2) If $v_1 \in C^0$ but $v_2 \notin C^0$, then v_1 forms

a singleton cell. Then we get $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{\{v_1\}}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_1\}}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ from Lemma 3.9. Since $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_1\}})$ is trivial, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is trivial. (3) If $v_1 \notin C^0$, then $C_1 = N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ is nonempty and a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Because $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1})$ is a trivial partition for vertex set of \mathcal{G}/C_1 , we get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1})$.

Case 2. STG \mathcal{G} has a general spanning branching

Case 2.1. First consider the case where C^0 contains a single vertex. (1) If $C^0 = \{v_1\}$, then $C^{i+1} = N_{in}(v_1, i+1) \cup C^i$ in (22). Thus $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \{\{v_1\}, N_{in}(v_1, 1), \dots, N_{in}(v_1, \text{dist}_{in}(v_1))\}$ and the quotient digraph is a path. (2) If $C^0 = \{v_r\}$ and $r \neq 1$, then $C_1 = N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and a root of \mathcal{G}/C_1 . Moreover, v_r is the only vertex adjacent to C_1 . Then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) = \{C_1, C^0, N_{in}(v_r, 1), \dots, N_{in}(v_r, \text{dist}_{in}(v_r))\}$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. It is easy to get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1})$.

Case 2.2. Assume, inductively, that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is known when $|C^0| < m$, $2 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$. For the case $|C^0| = m$, (1) we first assume $v_1 \in C^0$. 1) if $N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0 \neq \emptyset$, then $(\{v_1\} \cup N_{in}(v_1)) \cap C^0$ is a subset of one cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. We shrink $C := \{v_1\} \cup N_{in}(v_1)$ in \mathcal{G} . And $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ can be obtained by the equitable partition generated by C^0/C in quotient digraph \mathcal{G}/C . 2) If $N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0 = \emptyset$, then v_1 forms a singleton cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, that is, $\{v_1\}$ and $C^0 \setminus \{v_1\}$ are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus \{v_1\}}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_1\}})$ according to Lemma 3.9.

(2) In the case $v_1 \notin C^0$, that is, $C_1 := N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ is nonempty. Then C_1 is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) = \{C_1, N_{in}(C_1, 1), \dots, N_{in}(C_1, \text{dist}_{in}(C_1))\}$. Moreover, $N_{in}(C_1, 1) \subseteq C^0$. 1) If $N_{in}(C_1, 1) = C^0$, then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1})$. According to $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. 2) If $N_{in}(C_1, 1) \subsetneq C^0$, then $N_{in}(C_1, 1) \subseteq C^0$ and $C^0 \setminus N_{in}(C_1, 1)$ are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1})$. According to $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, $N_{in}(C_1, 1)$ and $C^0 \setminus N_{in}(C_1, 1)$ are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{N_{in}(C_1, 1)}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0 \setminus N_{in}(C_1, 1)})$.

In conclusion, the algorithm for finding $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ in the connected STG which has a loop as follows.

Example 3.11. Let us illustrate Algorithm 2 by a simple example. Suppose that the STG of a BN is shown in Fig.3 and $C^0 = \{v_1, v_4, v_5\}$, where v_1 is the root. Since v_1 and v_4 are adjacent, $C_1 = \{v_1, v_4\}$ is a subset of one cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and \mathcal{G}/C_1 is shown in Fig.3 (b). In \mathcal{G}/C_1 , C_1 is the root but v_5 is not adjacent to it. Then C_1 and $\{v_5\}$ are in different cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ according to Lemma 3.10. Thus we get $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_5\}})$ from Lemma 3.9 and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_5\}})$ are shown in Fig. 3 (c) and (d). And Fig. 3 (c) is also the quotient digraph of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.

3.2.2 BNs with cycles

Now we consider the case that the STG contains a cycle \mathcal{C} . We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a directed cycle with length l (l -cycle) and $v_1 \in V(\mathcal{C})$, a partition π of \mathcal{C} is equitable if and only if there exists a factor q of l such that $\pi = \{\{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j \pmod{q}\} | j \in [0 : q-1]\}$. And the quotient digraph \mathcal{C}/π is a directed q -cycle.

Proof. Suppose that $\pi = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_q\}$ is a nontrivial equitable partition for \mathcal{C} . Let $v_1 \in C_1$. If $N_{out}(v_1) \subseteq C_1$, then $C_1 = V(\mathcal{C})$. If $C_1 \neq V(\mathcal{C})$, then any $u, v \in C_i$, $i \in [1; q-1]$ are non-adjacent and $N_{out}(u)$ and $N_{out}(v)$ are subsets of the same cell of π , denoted by C_{i+1} . For C_q , the out-neighbors of vertices in C_q are contained in C_1 since \mathcal{C} is a directed l -cycle. Therefore \mathcal{C}/π is a directed cycle and $|C_1| = |C_2| = \dots = |C_q| = l/q$. And $N_{out}(v_1, q)$ is also a subset of C_1 . By repeating this procedure we get $\pi = \{\{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j \pmod{q}\} | j \in [0; q-1]\}$. It follows that $q|l$.

Algorithm 2 An algorithm for computing the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given dual subspace when the STG is connected and have a loop.

Input: STG \mathcal{G} , state set $C^0 \subseteq \Delta_{2^n}$

Output: π


Ensure: v_1 is the root

Ensure: \mathcal{G} is connected


```

1: function PARTITION1( $\mathcal{G}, C^0$ )
2:    $C_1 \leftarrow N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ 
3:   if  $|C^0| = 1$  then
4:     if  $C_1 = \emptyset$  then
5:        $\pi \leftarrow \{C^0, N_{in}(C^0, 1), \dots, N_{in}(C^0, \text{dist}_{in}(C^0))\}$ 
6:     else
7:        $\pi \leftarrow \{C_1, C^0, N_{in}(C^0, 1), \dots, N_{in}(C^0, \text{dist}_{in}(C^0))\}$ 
8:     end if
9:   else if  $C_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\triangleright v_1 \in C^0.$ 
10:    if  $N_{in}(v_1) \cap C^0 \neq \emptyset$  then
11:       $C_2 \leftarrow (\{v_1\} \cup N_{in}(v_1)) \cap C^0$ 
12:       $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}/C_2, C^0/C_2)$ 
13:    else  $\triangleright v_1$  forms a singleton cell.
14:       $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}, C^0 \setminus \{v_1\}) \sqcup \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}, \{v_1\})$ 
15:    end if
16:  else  $\triangleright C_1$  is a cell.
17:     $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \mathcal{G}/C_1$ 
18:     $C_2 \leftarrow N_{in}(C_1)$   $\triangleright C_2 \subseteq C^0.$ 
19:    if  $C_2 = C^0$  then
20:       $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}, C_1)$ 
21:    else
22:       $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}, C^0 \setminus C_2) \sqcup \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}, C_2)$ 
23:    end if
24:  end if
25:  return  $\pi$ 
26: end function


```



(a) \mathcal{G} and $C^0 = \{v_1, v_4, v_5\}$. Since v_1 is the root and v_4 is adjacent to v_1 , $C_1 = \{v_1, v_4\}$ is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ according to Lemma 3.10.



(b) \mathcal{G}/C_1 , where $C_1 = \{v_1, v_4\}$ and $C/C_1 = \{C_1, v_5\}$. In \mathcal{G}/C_1 , C_1 is the root and v_5 is not adjacent to it, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_C) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_5\}})$.



(c) $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C_1}) = \{C_1, C_2 = \{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_5\}, C_3 = \{v_6, v_7\}, \{v_8\}\} = \{\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_5\}, \{v_6, v_7\}, \{v_8\}\}.$



(d) $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_5\}}) = \{C_4 = \{C_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{v_5\}, \{C_3\}, \{v_8\}\} = \{\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}, \{v_5\}, \{v_6, v_7\}, \{v_8\}\}.$

Figure 3: An example of the Algorithm 2 and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \{\{v_1, v_4\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_5\}, \{v_6, v_7\}, \{v_8\}\}.$

On the contrary, it is easy to show that $\pi = \{\{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } q)\} | j \in [0; q-1]\}$ is equitable for any $q|l$. \square

Case 1. STG \mathcal{G} is a single cycle

For dual subspace \mathcal{Z} with 2-partition $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0})$, if $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is nontrivial, there exists a proper factor q of l such that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \{\{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } q)\} | j \in [0; q-1]\}$. Since $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, $C^0 = \cup_{j \in S} \{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } q)\}$ for some $S \subseteq [0; q-1]$. If there is no such a proper factor, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is trivial.

On the other hand, if there exists a proper factor q of l such that $C^0 = \cup_{j \in S} \{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } q)\}$ for some $S \subseteq [0; q-1]$, then $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z}_{C^0}) \preceq \pi := \{\{v_i | \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } q)\} | j \in [0; p-1]\}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) \preceq \pi$ is nontrivial. We can continue finding the equitable partition generated by C^0 in \mathcal{G}/π until it is trivial. Figure 4 is an example of this case.


Case 2. STG \mathcal{G} is not a cycle

Let \mathcal{C} be the only cycle in \mathcal{G} .


(1) If $C^0 = V(\mathcal{C})$, then only the vertices in \mathcal{C} always produce δ_2^1 in $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$. Thus C^0 is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Since $\mathcal{G}/V(\mathcal{C})$ is a connected digraph with a loop and $V(\mathcal{C})$ is the root with this loop, the original problem can be reduced to finding the equitable partition generated by the root in $\mathcal{G}/V(\mathcal{C})$ which coincides with the case in subsection 3.2.1. Figure 5 is an example of this case.

(2) If $C^0 \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus V(\mathcal{C})$, then $V(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$. Thus $C_1 := N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ is nonempty and a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ according to Lemma 3.9. We can simplify the original problem to finding the equitable partition generated by C^0 in \mathcal{G}/C_1 which has a loop.

(3) In the case $C^0 \subsetneq V(\mathcal{C})$, let $v_1 \in C^0$ and e be the out-edge of v_1 .




(a) \mathcal{G} is a cycle and $C^0 = \{v_1, v_2, v_5, v_6\}$. Since $\text{dist}(v_5 \rightarrow v_1) = \text{dist}(v_6 \rightarrow v_2) = 4$, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) \preceq \pi := \{\{v_1, v_5\}, \{v_2, v_6\}, \{v_3, v_7\}, \{v_4, v_8\}\}$.




(b) \mathcal{G}/π is a 4-cycle. Since $C^0 = \{C_1, C_2\}$ generate a trivial equitable partition in \mathcal{G}/π , $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \pi$.

Figure 4: An equitable partition of an 8-cycle.



(a) \mathcal{G} with 6-cycle \mathcal{C} and $C^0 = V(\mathcal{C})$.



(b) $\mathcal{G}/V(\mathcal{C})$ has root C^0 . The equitable partition generated by C^0 in $\mathcal{G}/V(\mathcal{C})$ is trivial, that is, $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \{C^0, \{v_7\}, \{v_8\}\}$.

Figure 5: STG \mathcal{G} with 6-cycle \mathcal{C} and its quotient graph, where $C^0 = V(\mathcal{C})$.




Figure 6: STG \mathcal{G} with 6-cycle \mathcal{C} and its quotient graph (d), where $C^0 = \{v_2, v_4, v_6\}$.

1) If $|C^0| = 1$, then v_1 forms a singleton cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Since $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$ is a spanning branching of \mathcal{G} according to Lemma 3.8, we can obtain $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ in digraph \mathcal{G}' which is constructed from $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$ by adding a loop to v_1 .

2) Assume, inductively, that $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is known when $|C^0| < m$, $2 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$. Consider $|C^0| = m$. If C^0 can generate a nontrivial equitable partition π_1 of \mathcal{C} , we shrink the cells of π_1 in \mathcal{G} and denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ the resulting digraph. And $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ also has a cycle. We can reduce the original problem to finding the equitable partition generated by C^0 in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Since C^0 may be partitioned into some cells of π_1 , C^0 in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ may be a vertex subset. Figure 6 is an example of this case.

If the equitable partition generated by C^0 in \mathcal{C} is trivial, then vertices in C^0 are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \sqcup_{v_i \in C^0} \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_i\}})$ according to Lemma 3.9.

(4) The most difficult case is that C^0 consists of both vertices in $V(\mathcal{C})$ and $V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus V(\mathcal{C})$. We denote $C^0 = C' \cup C''$, where $C' \subseteq V(\mathcal{C})$ and $C'' \subseteq V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus V(\mathcal{C})$.

1) Consider the case $|C'| = 1$ and $|C''| = 1$. For $z_i(x) = G_i x$, $i \in [0; k]$ which are defined in the proof of Lemma 3.9, $v_1 \in C'$ produces value δ_2^1 in a cycle of length $l := |V(\mathcal{C})|$. Then C^0 is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ if and only if the distance from v' to v_1 is l , where $v' \in C''$. In the case that C^0 is a cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$, we denote the induced subgraph on vertices $\{v'\} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by \mathcal{G}_1 , where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the vertex set reachable from v' . In \mathcal{G}_1 , the equitable partition generated by C^0 is $\pi_1 := \{\{v_i \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j \pmod{l}\} \mid j \in [0; l-1]\}$ which contains C^0 as a cell. The quotient graph \mathcal{G}_1/π_1 is a directed l -cycle and has C^0 as a vertex.

We shrink the cells of π_1 in the original digraph \mathcal{G} and denote the new digraph by $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. And $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ can be generated by C^0 in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. Figure 7 is an example of this case.

2) Assume, inductively, that $C' = \{v_1\}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is known when $|C''| < m$, $2 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$. Consider the case $|C''| = m$. We will discuss whether there exists $u \in C''$ such that u and v_1 are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$.




Figure 7: STG \mathcal{G} with 2-cycle \mathcal{C} and its quotient graph (b).

Before proceeding further, let us define the vertex set $S \subseteq C''$ closest to \mathcal{C} . For $u \in C''$, if there exists no other vertex in C'' that is reachable from u then $u \in S$.

If there exists $u \in S$ such that $\text{dist}(u \rightarrow v_1) = l$, then u and v_1 are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Like the above discussion, we consider the induced subgraph on vertices $\{u\} \cup \tilde{C}$, denoted by \mathcal{G}_1 , where \tilde{C} is the vertex set reachable from u . In \mathcal{G}_1 , we denote the equitable partition generated by $\{u, v_1\}$ by π_1 . We can obtain $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ from the equitable partition generated by $C^0/\{u, v_1\}$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, which is obtained from \mathcal{G} by shrinking the cells of π_1 .

If there exists no such $u \in S$, then v_1 forms a singleton cell in $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C''}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C'})$.

3) Assume, inductively, that we know $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ when $|C'| < m$, $2 \leq m \leq 2^{n-1}$. In the case $|C'| = m$, if C' generate a nontrivial equitable partition π_1 in cycle \mathcal{C} , then C' is partitioned into C'_1, \dots, C'_r , where $C'_1, \dots, C'_r \in \pi_1$ and $r < m$. We construct $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ from \mathcal{G} by shrinking cells in π_1 . Then $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ is equal to the equitable partition generated by $C'' \cup C'_1 \cup \dots \cup C'_r$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

If the equitable partition generated by C' in \mathcal{C} is trivial, then each vertex in $C' = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is in a separate cell of $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$. In the following, we only need to consider the case whether v_i , $i = 1, \dots, m$, is contained in the same cell with some vertices in C'' . Thus $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0}) = \sqcup_{v_i \in C'} \mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C'' \cup \{v_i\}})$.

In conclusion, the algorithms for finding $\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{C^0})$ in STGs with cycles are as in Algorithm 3 and 4.

4 Construction of observability outputs for a given BN

4.1 Unobservable subspaces and the smallest invariant dual subspaces

A Boolean network (BN) in an algebraic form is described as follows [10]

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = Mx(t), \\ y(t) = Ex(t). \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

where $M \in \mathcal{L}_{2^n \times 2^n}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}_{2^q \times 2^n}$ are the state-transition and output matrices, respectively. The solution to BN (23) with initial state $x_0 \in \Delta_{2^n}$ is denoted by $x(t; x_0)$. The output is denoted by $y(t; x_0)$, that is, $y(t; x_0) = Ex(t; x_0)$. For convenience, we define $Y(t; x_0) := [y(0; x_0)^T y(1; x_0)^T \dots y(t; x_0)^T]^T$ and denote by $\mathbf{y}(t; x_0)$ the algebraic form of $Y(t; x_0)$, i.e., $\mathbf{y}(t; x_0) := y(0; x_0) \times y(1; x_0) \times \dots \times y(t; x_0)$.

Two distinct initial states x_0 and \bar{x}_0 are said to be distinguishable if there exists a positive integer t such that $\mathbf{y}(t; x_0) \neq \mathbf{y}(t; \bar{x}_0)$. BN (23) is said to be observable if any two distinct initial states are distinguishable.

Algorithm 3 An algorithm for constructing the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given subspace when STG is connected and with a cycle.

Input: \mathcal{G}, C^0

Output: π

Ensure: \mathcal{G} has a cycle \mathcal{C}

Ensure: \mathcal{G} is connected

Ensure: $C^0 \subseteq V(\mathcal{C})$

```

1: function PARTITION2( $\mathcal{G}, C^0$ )
2:    $n \leftarrow |V(\mathcal{G})|$ 
3:    $l \leftarrow |V(\mathcal{C})|$ 
4:   if  $l = n$  then  $\triangleright \mathcal{G}$  is a cycle.
5:     if there exists  $q|l$  and  $S \subseteq [0 : q-1]$  such that  $C^0 = \cup_{j \in S} \{v_i \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j \pmod{q}\}$  then
6:        $\pi_1 \leftarrow \{\{v_i \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j \pmod{q}\} \mid j \in [0; q-1]\}$ 
7:        $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION2}(\mathcal{G}/\pi_1, C^0)$ 
8:     else
9:        $\pi$  is trivial
10:    end if
11:  else if  $|C^0| = 1$  then
12:     $v_1 \leftarrow$  the vertex in  $C^0$ ,  $e \leftarrow$  the out-edge of  $v_1$ 
13:     $\mathcal{G}_1 \leftarrow$  adding a loop to  $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$  on  $v_1$ 
14:     $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION1}(\mathcal{G}_1, C^0)$ 
15:  else
16:     $\pi_1 \leftarrow \text{PARTITION2}(\mathcal{C}, C^0)$ 
17:    if  $\pi_1$  is nontrivial then
18:       $\mathcal{G} \leftarrow$  shrinking the cells of  $\pi_1$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ 
19:       $\pi \leftarrow \text{PARTITION2}(\mathcal{G}, C^0)$ 
20:    else
21:       $\pi \leftarrow \sqcup_{v_i \in C^0} \text{PARTITION2}(\mathcal{G}, \{v_i\})$ 
22:    end if
23:  end if
24:  return  $\pi$ 
25: end function

```

Algorithm 4 An algorithm for constructing the smallest invariant dual subspace containing a given subspace when STG is connected and with a cycle.

Input: \mathcal{G}, C^0

Output: π

Ensure: \mathcal{G} has a cycle \mathcal{C}

Ensure: \mathcal{G} is connected and \mathcal{G} is not a cycle

```

1: function PARTITION3( $\mathcal{G}, C^0$ )
2:    $\mathcal{C} \leftarrow$  the cycle in  $\mathcal{G}$ 
3:    $n \leftarrow |V(\mathcal{G})|, l \leftarrow |V(\mathcal{C})|$ 
4:    $C' \leftarrow V(\mathcal{C}) \cap C^0, C'' \leftarrow C^0 \setminus C'$ 
5:   if  $|C'| = 0$  then
6:      $C_1 \leftarrow N_{in}(C^0, \infty)$ 
7:      $\pi \leftarrow$  PARTITION1( $\mathcal{G}/C_1, C^0$ )
8:   else if  $|C'| = 1$  then
9:      $v_1 \leftarrow$  the vertex in  $C'$ 
10:    if  $|C''| = 1$  then
11:       $u \leftarrow$  the vertex in  $C''$ 
12:      if  $\text{dist}(u \rightarrow v_1) = l$  then
13:         $\tilde{C} \leftarrow$  the vertex set which  $u$  can reach to
14:         $\pi_1 \leftarrow \{\{v_i \in \tilde{C} \cup \{u\} \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } l)\} \mid j \in [0; l-1]\}$ 
15:         $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \leftarrow$  shrinking the cells of  $\pi_1$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ 
16:         $\pi \leftarrow$  PARTITION2( $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, C^0$ )
17:      else
18:         $\pi \leftarrow$  PARTITION2( $\mathcal{G}, \{v_1\}\bigsqcup$  PARTITION3( $\mathcal{G}, \{u\}$ ))
19:      end if
20:    else if there exists  $u \in C''$  such that PARTITION3( $\mathcal{G}, \{u, v_1\}$ ) is nontrivial then
21:       $\pi_1 \leftarrow \{\{v_i \in \tilde{C} \cup \{u\} \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j(\text{mod } l)\} \mid j \in [0; l-1]\}$ 
22:       $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \leftarrow$  shrinking the cells of  $\pi_1$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ 
23:       $\pi \leftarrow$  PARTITION2( $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, C^0/\{u, v_1\}$ )
24:    else
25:       $\pi \leftarrow$  PARTITION2( $\mathcal{G}, C'\bigsqcup$  PARTITION3( $\mathcal{G}, C''$ ))
26:    end if
27:  else if PARTITION2( $\mathcal{C}, C'$ ) is nontrivial then
28:     $\pi_1 \leftarrow$  PARTITION2( $\mathcal{C}, C'$ )
29:     $C'$  is partitioned into  $C'_1, \dots, C'_r$ 
30:     $\pi \leftarrow$  PARTITION3( $\mathcal{G}, C'' \cup C'_1 \cup \dots \cup C'_r$ )
31:  else if PARTITION2( $\mathcal{C}, C'$ ) is trivial then
32:     $\pi \leftarrow \bigsqcup_{v_i \in C'} \text{PARTITION3}(\mathcal{G}, C'' \cup \{v_i\})$ 
33:  end if
34:  return  $\pi$ 
35: end function

```

Denote $\mathcal{O}_r^* := E * (EM) * \dots * (EM^{r-1})$. The observability index of BN (23) is defined as $r_0 = \min \{r \mid \text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_r^*) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_{r+1}^*)\}$. The observability matrix is $\mathcal{O}_{r_0}^*$.

Theorem 4.1 ([13]). *In BN (23), two distinct states x_0 and \bar{x}_0 are distinguishable if and only if $\mathcal{O}_{r_0}^* x_0$ and $\mathcal{O}_{r_0}^* \bar{x}_0$ are different. Moreover, BN (23) is observable if and only if no two columns of $\mathcal{O}_{r_0}^*$ are identical.*

According to Algorithm 1 and the definition of r_0 , $\mathcal{O}_{r_0}^*$ is exactly the structure matrix of the smallest invariant dual subspace $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ containing $\mathcal{F}_\ell\{y(t)\}$. It is easy to get the following lemma which is a special case of [12, Theorem 6]. Theorem 6 of [12] was briefly restated in [9, Remark 4.1].

Lemma 4.2. *In BN (23), two states are distinguishable if and only if they are in different cells of $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$, where $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the smallest invariant dual subspace containing $\mathcal{F}_\ell\{y(t)\}$. Moreover BN (23) is observable if and only if $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$ is trivial.*

4.2 Construction of observable output functions

In this subsection, we study the graphical properties preventing two vertices from being distinguishable for a given BN. We can construct observable output functions from following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that BN (23) has output function $y(t)$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{F}_\ell\{y(t)\}$. If*

- (i) *the vertex with a loop (if it exists) and all of its in-neighbours are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$ (i.e., these vertices produce distinct outputs) or there is a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{C})$ (if there exists a cycle \mathcal{C} in STG \mathcal{G}) such that all vertices of \mathcal{C} are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$;*
- (ii) *the in-neighbours of any vertex are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$,*

then (23) is observable.

Proof. (1) If the STG \mathcal{G} of (23) has a vertex v_1 with a loop and v_1 and all its in-neighbours are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$, then v_1 forms a singleton cell of $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$ according to Lemma 3.10. Thus $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_1\}}) = \{\{v_1\}, N_{in}(v_1, 1), \dots, N_{in}(v_1, \text{dist}_{in}(v_1))\} \preceq \mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$. Suppose $N_{in}(v_1, 1) := \{v_2, \dots, v_r\}$. According to the assumption (ii), v_2, \dots, v_r are in distinct cells of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$. We get $\{\{v_1\}, \dots, \{v_r\}, N_{in}(v_1, 2), \dots, N_{in}(v_1, \text{dist}_{in}(v_1))\} \preceq \mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$. Then $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_i\}}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$, $i \in [1; r]$ according to Lemma 3.9. Thus

$$\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_1\}}) \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_2\}}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}_{\{v_r\}}) \preceq \mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}}).$$

Repeating this procedure, finally we have $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$ is trivial.

(2) If \mathcal{G} has a l -cycle \mathcal{C} and all vertices of \mathcal{C} are in distinct cells of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Z})$, then all vertices in $V(\mathcal{C})$ are in different cells of $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$.

We only need consider whether v_1 is in the same cell as other vertices in $N_{in}(v_1, l)$. Assume that $u \in N_{in}(v_1, l)$ and v_1 and u are in the same cell of $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$. Then in the induced subgraph $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on vertex set $\{u\} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is the vertex set reachable from u , there is a nontrivial partition $\pi_1 := \{\{v_i \mid \text{dist}(v_i \rightarrow v_1) \equiv j \pmod{l}\} \mid j \in [0; l-1]\}$ and each cell of π_1 is a subset of some cell of $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$. There exists a cell $\{v_i, v_j\} \in \pi_1$ has the same out-neighbour, which contradicts to the assumption (ii). In conclusion, v_1 forms a singleton cell of $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$.

As in the discussion above, the problem of finding the equitable partition generated by $\{v_1\}$ when \mathcal{G} contains a cycle can be reduced to the problem in \mathcal{G}' , which is constructed from \mathcal{G} by deleting the out-edge of v_1 and adding a loop to v_1 . As our analysis for STG with a loop, we get $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mathcal{Z}})$ is trivial from condition (i) and (ii), that is, (23) is observable. \square

Example 4.4 ([14]). *A logical equation about the gene network of the λ bacteriophage can be expressed in the following form*

$$\begin{cases} N(t+1) = [\neg cI(t)] \wedge [\neg cro(t)], \\ cI(t+1) = [\neg cro(t)] \wedge [cI(t) \vee cII(t)], \\ cII(t+1) = [\neg cI(t)] \wedge [N(t) \vee cIII(t)], \\ cIII(t+1) = [\neg cI(t)] \wedge N(t), \\ cro(t+1) = [\neg cI(t)] \wedge [\neg cII(t)]. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Suppose $x = N \ltimes cI \ltimes cII \ltimes cIII \ltimes cro$. Equation (24) can be transformed into form $x(t+1) = Mx(t)$, where

$$M = \delta_{32} \begin{bmatrix} 32 & 24 & 32 & 24 & 32 & 24 & 32 & 24 \\ 26 & 2 & 26 & 2 & 25 & 9 & 25 & 9 \\ 32 & 24 & 32 & 24 & 32 & 24 & 32 & 24 \\ 28 & 4 & 32 & 8 & 27 & 11 & 31 & 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{cases} x(t+1) = Mx(t), \\ y(t) = Ex(t). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

An observable output matrix is

$$E = \delta_{16} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 5 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The STG \mathcal{G} is shown in Fig 8. For the purpose to ensure the condition (ii) of Theorem 4.3 holds, we need at least 9 cells to partition the in-neighbours of δ_{32} in different cells. To study the observability of BN (25), we associate $y(t)$ with a partition $\pi = \{\{x | Ex = \delta_9^i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$. We color the vertices in the same cell with the same color. Let the color set be C , where C is all the possible output values of the BN, so $C = \{\delta_9^1, \dots, \delta_9^9\}$. Given any output sequence of (25), we can determine the initial state.

5 Conclusion

In this paper an invariant dual subspace was proven to be an equitable partition of the state-transition graph of a BN. Using this idea, we research the dual dynamics of every invariant dual subspace from a graphical perspective. Furthermore, we proved that the unobservable subspace of a BN is equal to the smallest invariant dual subspace containing its output functions. One rule to construct observable outputs was obtained.

When a BN/BCN is of large scale, the structure matrix of the overall BN/BCN might be huge and practically uncomputable. However, the dual dynamics of a huge BN with respect to a dual subspace is




Figure 8: STG of BN (25).

usually smaller than the original BN but still can reflect partial properties of the BN. For example, the dual dynamics of a BN with respect to a dual subspace can directly reflect the observability of the BN with the dual subspace as its output function, because the quotient graph generated by the corresponding unobservable subspace as a dynamic system is exactly the dual dynamics of the BN with respect to the dual subspace, see Theorem 3.6. Hence, this technique may provide a promising way to overcoming high computational complexity in problem in large-scale BN/BCNs.

References

- [1] S.A. Kauffman, “Metabolic stability and epigenesis in randomly constructed genetic nets,” *J. Theor. Biol.*, vol. 22, pp. 437-467, 1969.
- [2] D. Cheng, H. Qi, Z. Li, *Analysis and Control of BNs: A Semi-tensor Product Approach*, Springer, London, 2011.
- [3] D. Cheng, H. Qi, Y. Zhao, *An Introduction to Semi-tensor Product of Matrices and Its Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2012.
- [4] D. Cheng, L. Zhang, D. Bi, “Invariant subspace approach to Boolean (control) networks,” *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.*, to be published.
- [5] A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer, London, 2008.
- [6] C. O. Aguilar, B. Ghahesifard, “Almost equitable partitions and new necessary conditions for network controllability,” *Automatica*, vol. 80, pp. 25-31, 2017.
- [7] D. M. Cardoso, C. Delorme, P. Rama, “Laplacian eigenvectors and eigenvalues and almost equitable partitions,” *Eur. J. Comb.*, vol. 28, pp. 665-673, 2007.

- [8] D. Cheng, H. Qi, “Controllability and observability of Boolean control networks,” *Automatica*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 1659-1667, 2009.
- [9] K. Zhang, L. Zhang, L. Xie, *Discrete-Time and Discrete-Space Dynamical Systems*, Springer, Hong Kong, 2020.
- [10] D. Cheng and Y. Zhao, “Identification of Boolean control networks,” *Automatica*, vol. 47, pp. 702–710, 2011.
- [11] D. Cheng, H. Qi, “State-space analysis of Boolean networks,” *IEEE Trans. Neur. Netw.*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 584-594, 2010.
- [12] E. F. Moore, “Gedanken-experiments on sequential machines,” *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 34, pp. 129-153, 1956.
- [13] Y. Guo, W. Gui, C. Yang, “Redefined observability matrix for Boolean networks and distinguishable partitions of state space,” *Automatica*, vol. 91, pp. 316-319, 2018.
- [14] D. Laschov, M. Margaliot. “Minimum-time control of Boolean networks,” *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 2869-2892, 2013.