

Soft Phonon Theorems

Clifford Cheung, Maria Derda, Andreas Helset, and Julio Parra-Martinez

*Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125*

Abstract

A variety of condensed matter systems describe gapless modes that can be interpreted as Nambu-Goldstone bosons of spontaneously broken Poincaré symmetry. In this paper we derive new soft theorems constraining the tree-level scattering of these degrees of freedom, as exhibited in solids, fluids, superfluids, and framids. These soft theorems are in one-to-one correspondence with various broken symmetries, including spacetime translations, Lorentz boosts, and, for the case of fluids, volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. We also implement a bootstrap in which the enhanced vanishing of amplitudes in the soft limit is taken as an input, thus sculpting out a subclass of exceptional solid, fluid, and framid theories.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Soft Theorem	5
2.1	Degrees of Freedom	5
2.2	Proof of Theorem	6
2.3	Field Basis Independence	10
3	Superfluids	12
3.1	Setup	12
3.2	Amplitudes	13
3.3	Soft Theorem	14
4	Solids	16
4.1	Setup	16
4.2	Amplitudes	18
4.3	Soft Theorem	18
5	Fluids	20
5.1	Setup	20
5.2	Amplitudes	21
5.3	Soft Theorem	22
6	Framids	23
6.1	Setup	23
6.2	Amplitudes	24
6.3	Soft Theorem	25
7	Soft Bootstrap	25
7.1	Exceptional Phonons	26
7.2	Exceptional Framons	30
7.3	Alternative Bootstraps	31
8	Conclusions	31
A	Nonrelativistic Kinematics	33

1 Introduction

The seminal work of Nambu and Goldstone [1–3] revealed a deep connection between spontaneously broken internal symmetries and a corresponding set of gapless degrees of freedom. These Nambu-Goldstone bosons (NGBs) parameterize a continuous degeneracy of vacua and transform nonlinearly under the broken symmetries. Notably, spontaneous symmetry breaking often mandates universal features in scattering, as perhaps best illustrated by the Adler zero [4], which refers to the vanishing of certain NGB amplitudes in the soft limit.

As is well-known, similar statements apply to the spontaneous breaking of *spacetime symmetries* [5–9], albeit with a fewer number of NGBs than naively expected [10–13]. More recently, it has also been suggested that spontaneous breaking of Poincaré invariance is not merely a calling card of certain condensed matter systems, but can actually be elevated to an *organizing principle* for these theories [14]. In this approach, nonrelativistic effective field theories (EFTs) are classified by their spacetime symmetry breaking pattern, yielding a rich array of physical systems describing the phonon excitations of solids and fluids, as well as modes of a superfluid. The authors of [14] also discovered some exotic, yet-to-be-experimentally-realized systems which include the framid, whose corresponding framon degree of freedom exhibits the minimal nonlinear realization of spontaneous Lorentz symmetry breaking.

In this paper we study the soft behavior of scattering amplitudes of NGBs arising from the spontaneous breaking of spacetime symmetries. Our analysis focuses on the condensed matter systems classified in [14], which include solids, fluids, superfluids, and framids. In all of these systems, the group of spatial rotations is preserved at low energies, so the NGBs reside in a linear representation of $SO(3)$. For example, the superfluid phonon is described by a scalar field π , while solid and fluid phonons and framons are described by a three-vector field π^i .¹ In general, the latter NGBs nonlinearly realize the underlying broken spacetime symmetries via

$$\pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + \alpha^i + \beta^i{}_j \pi^j + \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

for parameters α and β , which are in general *position-dependent*, and where the ellipses denote terms higher order in the field. In our analysis, we focus primarily on the case in which the broken spacetime symmetry generators are translations or boosts, but also consider the case of broken spatial diffeomorphisms in a fluid.

¹Throughout, we use Greek letters μ, ν, ρ, \dots , to denote four-vector indices, late Latin letters i, j, k, \dots , to denote three-vector indices, and early Latin letters a, b, c, \dots , to denote external particle labels. For products of three-momenta we will sometimes employ the shorthand, $p \cdot q = p^i q_i$.

Following the logic of [15], we use current conservation to derive a broad class of soft theorems applicable to NGBs arising from the spontaneous breaking of *any* symmetry. Technically, our results apply to any symmetry breaking pattern involving spacetime or internal symmetries or both.² The schematic form of our soft theorem is

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha[A_{n+1}] \sim - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha \left[\sum V_3 \Delta A_n \right] - \sum \beta[A_n], \quad (1.2)$$

where the soft limit $q \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to sending the energy and momentum components of the soft leg to zero. Here both sides of Eq. (1.2) are $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ in the soft momentum, which is to say that terms $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ or higher have been dropped. The summations above run over all external legs in A_n , which are assumed to be hard.

Since α and β are in general functions of spacetime, they act as *differential operators* on the external momenta. In particular, in Eq. (1.2), α acts on the soft energy or momentum, while β acts on the energy or momenta of each hard leg in A_n . Depending on the differential degree of α and β , they will extract different powers in the soft expansion of the amplitudes. For example, if α is a constant, then Eq. (1.2) extracts the $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ piece of A_{n+1} , while if α is a single derivative with respect to the soft energy or momentum, then it probes the $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ piece of A_{n+1} .

Eq. (1.2) is an on-shell soft theorem because both the left- and right-hand sides are operations acting on the on-shell amplitudes A_{n+1} and A_n . Furthermore, as required of any physical on-shell scattering, the soft theorem in Eq. (1.2) is satisfied irrespective of the choice of field basis. This feature is actually rather miraculous when one considers that Eq. (1.2) depends explicitly on the *off-shell* three-point vertex V_3 , which is field basis dependent along with the symmetry parameter β . However, as we will later argue, any change of field basis that sends V_3 and β to an alternative choice of V'_3 and β' *necessarily* cancels in the soft theorem. The fact that the soft theorem is on-shell makes our results distinct from the soft theorems for correlation functions derived in [16–20].

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we state the general soft theorem and present a proof as well as a discussion of its invariance under changes of field basis. We then turn to concrete examples of theories that satisfy the soft theorem: superfluids in Sec. 3, solids in Sec. 4, fluids in Sec. 5, and framids in Sec. 6. In Sec. 7 we discuss a soft bootstrap for nonrelativistic theories, and we conclude in Sec. 8.

²While the present work focuses solely on theories which preserve $SO(3)$ rotation symmetry, this is actually not required for our soft theorem. In particular, our results apply to any symmetry breaking pattern that preserves some version of spacetime translations in the broken phase such that energy and momentum are well-defined. We leave an analysis of more drastic symmetry breaking patterns for later work.

2 Soft Theorem

2.1 Degrees of Freedom

In this work we focus on nonrelativistic theories describing a NGB arising from a spontaneously broken spacetime symmetry.³ For concreteness, let us consider here the case of an $SO(3)$ vector field π^i , which transforms linearly under

$$\text{spatial rotations: } \pi^i \rightarrow R^i{}_j \pi^j, \quad (2.1)$$

for a constant orthogonal matrix R . Here we emphasize that π^i does *not* describe a gauge theory in the conventional sense, since all three of its components are physical: they correspond to one longitudinal and two transverse modes of the NGB, which we describe in terms of one-particle states, $|\omega, p, L\rangle$ and $|\omega, p, T\rangle$, respectively. These states overlap with the π^i field according to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \pi^i(t, x) | \omega, p, L \rangle &= e_L^i(p) e^{-i\omega t + ip \cdot x}, \\ \langle 0 | \pi^i(t, x) | \omega, p, T \rangle &= e_T^i(p) e^{-i\omega t + ip \cdot x}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here ω and p are the energy and three-momentum of the particle. Depending on the particle type, these quantities obey the dispersion relations,

$$\omega^2 - c_L^2 p^2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \omega^2 - c_T^2 p^2 = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where c_L and c_T are the speeds of sound for the longitudinal and transverse modes, respectively. The polarization vectors in Eq. (2.2) satisfy

$$p_i e_T^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_{ijk} p^j e_L^k = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

This implies that e_L encodes the single longitudinal mode while e_T encodes the two transverse modes. For explicit calculations, we will use the unit normalized longitudinal polarization,

$$e_L^i = \frac{c_L p^i}{\omega}. \quad (2.5)$$

We can think of Eq. (2.3) and Eq. (2.4) as the on-shell conditions for the kinematic variables that characterize the phonon modes.

³For simplicity, we focus on the case of NGBs of type I with a linear dispersion relation. While we do not explicitly analyze NGBs of type II with quadratic dispersion relations (see [12, 21–28]), all of our results, including the general soft theorem, should apply more generally.

Here it will be convenient to define the projection operators,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_L^{ij}(p) &= \frac{p^i p^j}{p^2}, \\ \Pi_T^{ij}(p) &= \delta^{ij} - \frac{p^i p^j}{p^2},\end{aligned}\tag{2.6}$$

which leave the polarizations invariant, so

$$\Pi_L^{ij}(p)e_{Lj} = e_L^i \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi_T^{ij}(p)e_{Tj} = e_T^i.\tag{2.7}$$

In terms of these projectors, the phonon propagator is

$$\Delta^{ij}(\omega, p) = \frac{\Pi_L^{ij}(p)}{\omega^2 - c_L^2 p^2} + \frac{\Pi_T^{ij}(p)}{\omega^2 - c_T^2 p^2},\tag{2.8}$$

whose inverse, $\Delta_{ij}^{-1}(\omega, p)$, is the two-point Lagrangian term in momentum space.

To define the n -point scattering amplitude of phonons we define a set of external particles labelled by $a = 1, \dots, n$, whose corresponding momenta are $p_a^\mu = (\omega_a, p_a^i)$, with speed of sound c_a and polarization vector e_a^i which is chosen to be either longitudinal or transverse. The n -point scattering amplitude is

$$A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \langle 0 | (|\omega_1, p_1\rangle^{i_1} \dots |\omega_n, p_n\rangle^{i_n}) ,\tag{2.9}$$

where we have defined a shorthand for a state $|\omega, p\rangle^i$ that carries an arbitrary polarization and is related to the physical longitudinal and transverse states defined previously by $|\omega, p, L\rangle = e_L^i |\omega, p\rangle_i$ and $|\omega, p, T\rangle = e_T^i |\omega, p\rangle_i$, respectively.

The quantity $A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is simply the amputated correlation function of phonon fields, which can be computed straightforwardly using Feynman diagrams. To compute the physical amplitude we simply dot this object into external polarization vectors. Note that we have used a schematic notation in which $A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is written as a function of just the three-momenta p_1, \dots, p_n . However, since we are interested in on-shell kinematics, momenta and energies can be interchanged freely. So in explicit calculations, our actual amplitudes may be functions of energies as well as the three-momenta.

2.2 Proof of Theorem

To begin, recall that the NGB of spontaneous spacetime symmetry breaking transforms nonlinearly under the broken symmetry transformations,

$$\pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + \delta\pi^i.\tag{2.10}$$

In general, the nonlinearly realized symmetry transformation may also involve changes of coordinates, but this will not be important for our analysis. The statement that the Lagrangian is invariant implies that

$$L \rightarrow L + \delta L, \quad (2.11)$$

where the Lagrangian variation is

$$\delta L = \partial_\mu \left(\delta\pi^i \frac{\delta L}{\delta\partial_\mu\pi^i} \right) - \delta\pi^i E_i = \partial_\mu K^\mu. \quad (2.12)$$

Here K describes any shift of the Lagrangian by a total derivative, as would often appear in a spacetime symmetry transformation. Meanwhile, E denotes the equation of motion,

$$E_i = \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta\partial_\mu\pi^i} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta\pi^i} \stackrel{\text{on-shell}}{=} 0, \quad (2.13)$$

which vanishes on the support of on-shell, physical field configurations. Recalling the definition of the conserved current,

$$J^\mu = \delta\pi^i \frac{\delta L}{\delta\partial_\mu\pi^i} - K^\mu, \quad (2.14)$$

which satisfies the conservation equation,

$$\partial_\mu J^\mu = \delta\pi^i E_i \stackrel{\text{on-shell}}{=} 0, \quad (2.15)$$

for on-shell configurations of fields. In order to derive our soft theorem we evaluate matrix elements of the above equation, keeping contributions up to $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ in the soft limit.

For later convenience, let us define a bracket that acts on a local field operator $\mathcal{O}(t, x)$ via

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle \delta^4(p_1 + \dots + p_n) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \int dt d^3x e^{-i\omega t} e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}(t, x) (|\omega_1, p_1\rangle^{i_1} \dots |\omega_n, p_n\rangle^{i_n}) \rangle, \quad (2.16)$$

which is the matrix element obtained by sandwiching the operator between a set of on-shell physical states with arbitrary polarizations. By construction, the field operator itself is imparted with energy ω and three-momentum q which are taken to zero, yielding a soft limit. Throughout, we assume that an on-shell momentum flows through the operator, so ω also scales as q and is implicitly sent to zero in the soft limit.

To derive a soft phonon theorem we evaluate Eq. (2.15) as an operator equation sandwiched between on-shell physical states. To this end, let us define a general parameterization of the infinitesimal shift of the NGB field,

$$\delta\pi^i = \alpha^i + \beta^i_j \pi^j + \dots, \quad (2.17)$$

where α and β are spacetime-dependent in general and the ellipses denote terms that are higher order in the field. Meanwhile, the equation of motion takes the general form,

$$E_i = V_{2ij}\pi^j + \frac{1}{2}V_{3ijk}\pi^j\pi^k + \dots, \quad (2.18)$$

where V_{2ij} and V_{3ijk} correspond to the two- and three-point Lagrangian terms. Going to momentum space, the Feynman propagator and three-point Feynman vertex are equal to $\Delta_{ij} = V_{2ij}^{-1}$ and V_{3ijk} , all multiplied by i . The classical conservation of the current in Eq. (2.15) uplifts to the operator statement,

$$0 = \langle \partial_\mu J^\mu \rangle = \langle \delta\pi^i E_i \rangle = \langle (\alpha^i + \beta^i_j \pi^j + \dots) ((\Delta^{-1})_{ik} \pi^k + \frac{1}{2} V_{3ikl} \pi^k \pi^l + \dots) \rangle. \quad (2.19)$$

To derive the soft theorem we must calculate the matrix elements in each term in Eq. (2.19). In principle one should evaluate all possible insertions of each operator, both on internal and external lines. However, many terms can be neglected since we are only interested in terms at $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ but not higher. In particular, since the first equality in Eq. (2.19) implies that the matrix element is automatically equipped with an overall factor of q , any contributions to $\langle J^\mu \rangle$ which are analytic in q will only generate $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ contributions to the matrix element. Conversely, $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ contributions only arise from terms in $\langle J^\mu \rangle$ that go as $\mathcal{O}(q^{-1})$. Such terms appear due to soft pole contributions from q -dependent propagators, which in turn only arise from insertions of the operator on external legs. On the other hand, operator insertions on internal legs and terms of $\mathcal{O}(\pi^3)$ or higher yield terms that are analytic in q and thus vanish in the $q \rightarrow 0$ soft limit. Thus we can drop all such terms in the evaluation of the right-hand side of Eq. (2.19).

Shuffling around terms in Eq. (2.19), we arrive at

$$\langle \alpha_i (\Delta^{-1})^{ij} \pi_j \rangle = -\langle \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i V_3^{ijk} \pi_j \pi_k + \beta_i^j \pi_j (\Delta^{-1})^{ik} \pi_k \rangle_{\text{ext}} + \dots, \quad (2.20)$$

where the ellipses denote irrelevant $\mathcal{O}(\pi^3)$ contributions and the “ext” subscript instructs that the matrix element should be evaluated with the operator inserted only on external legs. As described above, all insertions of the operator on internal legs are subleading in the soft limit and can be neglected. Importantly, each of the terms in Eq. (2.20) can be recast in terms of on-shell scattering amplitudes.

As a warmup, let us consider the matrix element

$$\langle (\Delta^{-1})^{ij} \pi_j \rangle = -\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q), \quad (2.21)$$

where as before, we use an abbreviated notation where we write out explicitly the three-momentum dependence of functions, but implicitly there is also energy dependence everywhere.

Eq. (2.21) simply says that the matrix element of the one-point function of an amputated field is precisely the amputated $(n+1)$ -point amplitude. In the case where the operator includes the spacetime-dependent factor α , we obtain

$$\langle \alpha_i (\Delta^{-1})^{ij} \pi_j \rangle = - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha_i \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \left[A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i} (p_1, \dots, p_n, q) \right]. \quad (2.22)$$

Note that in transforming to momentum space, the dependence of α on time t and position x becomes dependence on $i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}$ and $-i \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$, respectively.

Meanwhile, the terms involving β are written in terms of amplitudes as

$$\langle \beta_i^j \pi_j (\Delta^{-1})^{ik} \pi_k \rangle_{\text{ext}} = - \sum_{a=1}^n \beta_{j_a}^{i_a} \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a}, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a} \right) \left[A_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n} (p_1, \dots, p_a, \dots, p_n) \right], \quad (2.23)$$

which corresponds to the sum over β acting on each external leg in the n -point amplitude. Last but not least, the term $\langle \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i V_3^{ijk} \pi_j \pi_k \rangle_{\text{ext}}$ is

$$- \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a=1}^n \alpha_i \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \left[V_3^{i j_a} (q, p_a) \Delta_{k_a}^{j_a} (p_a + q) A_n^{i_1 \dots k_a \dots i_n} (p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n) \right]. \quad (2.24)$$

Here the propagator and n -point amplitude on the right-hand side are evaluated at *shifted* external energy and three-momentum, $\omega_a + \omega$ and $p_a + q$, where we have suppressed the dependence on the former in the various expressions for ease of notation. At low orders in the soft expansion we can express this alternatively as $(1 + \omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} + q^i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a^i})$ acting on these objects.

As noted earlier, the n -point amplitude is in general a function of three-momenta as well as energies—which is expected since these are generally interchangeable due to the on-shell conditions. Thus, the energies and three-momenta in the n -point amplitude should both be shifted. We will discuss later on how this shift is explicitly implemented in order to maintain the on-shell conditions.

In conclusion, each term in Eq. (2.20) can be expressed in terms of differential operators acting on the $(n+1)$ -point and n -point scattering amplitudes. Hence, Eq. (2.20) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha_i \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \left[A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i} (p_1, \dots, p_n, q) \right] = \\ - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a=1}^n \alpha_i \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega}, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right) \left[V_3^{i j_a} (q, p_a) \Delta_{k_a}^{j_a} (p_a + q) A_n^{i_1 \dots k_a \dots i_n} (p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n) \right] \\ - \sum_{a=1}^n \beta_{j_a}^{i_a} \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a}, -i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_a} \right) \left[A_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n} (p_1, \dots, p_a, \dots, p_n) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

which is our final expression for the soft theorem after dropping terms $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ or higher.

Let us comment on several subtle aspects of Eq. (2.25). First of all, the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ with all other momenta unchanged will not, in general, preserve the on-shell conditions. Hence, a strict soft limit of this kind is not actually well-defined. The same is true for the shift of energy and momenta on the right-hand side of Eq. (2.25). For these reasons, all of the amplitudes in Eq. (2.25) should be evaluated in a minimal basis of kinematic invariants, which we will describe in great detail in Appendix A. With this prescription, the amplitudes will be on-shell for any value of q and any value of p_a , so the soft limit and the shift of momentum are both well-defined on-shell operations.

2.3 Field Basis Independence

Next, we show how the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) is invariant under changes of field basis. To begin, we clarify that there are actually two physically distinct senses in which a soft theorem can be considered field basis invariant.

The first sense is simply the statement that the soft theorem is valid irrespective of which field basis the quantities β and V_3 are defined in, which enter explicitly into Eq. (2.25). If we transform to a different field basis, these quantities will change to β' and V'_3 . But crucially, all of the manipulations in the previous section still hold. Hence the soft theorem will still apply, so its validity is field basis independent.

The second sense is more nontrivial, and it is the statement that the on-shell amplitudes A_{n+1} and A_n can be computed in different field bases and the soft theorems will still be satisfied. As is well-known, changes of field basis induce new terms which always *vanish on-shell* in the amplitudes. However, since our soft theorems involve differential operators in energy and momentum, one can worry whether these vanishing terms end up contributing to the soft theorems anyway. We consider this possibility now, and show that such terms have no effect.

Concretely, we will now show how terms that vanish due to on-shell conditions in $A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ will always cancel automatically in the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25). Thus, the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) commutes with the on-shell condition, ensuring the field basis independence of the soft theorem. We assume $c_L \neq c_T$. The case where the transverse and longitudinal speeds of sound are equal is simpler, since then we don't need the projection operators.

A key relation we will need to show this cancellation comes from the symmetry transformation in Eq. (2.17). Since this is an invariance of the Lagrangian, this symmetry transformation relates the inverse propagator and three-point vertex,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha_i [V_3^{i i_a}_{j_a}(q, p_a)] + \beta^{i_a}_{k_a} [\Delta^{-1}(p_a)^{k_a}_{j_a}] = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

which is even satisfied off-shell. In the following, we will assume that α and β are linear operators, which is indeed the case for all the examples we will discuss in this paper.

There are two types of off-shell contributions that will vanish on-shell. The first is the dispersion relation for a specific external particle a . Consider corrections to the amplitude of the form

$$\delta A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n} = (\omega_a^2 - c_a^2 p_a^2) \Pi^a (p_a)^{i_a}_{j_a} \mathcal{O}_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n}, \quad (2.27)$$

where we have inserted a projector Π^a that enforces that leg a has the correct corresponding longitudinal or transverse polarization, thus making the on-shell condition manifest. By construction, $\delta A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ vanishes on-shell. Next, we apply the right-hand side of the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) to the above expression and then apply the on-shell conditions, yielding

$$- \Pi^a (p_a)^{i_a}_{j_a} \left[\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha_i \left(V_3^{i j_a k_a} \right) + \beta^{j_a k_a} (\omega_a^2 - c_a^2 p_a^2) \right] \Pi^a (p_a)_{k_a l_a} \mathcal{O}_n^{i_1 \dots l_a \dots i_n}, \quad (2.28)$$

at the relevant order in the soft expansion. To show that Eq. (2.28) vanishes, we sandwich Eq. (2.26) between two projectors for particle a . Suppressing the indices, we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^a \left[\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha(V_3) \right] \Pi^a &= -\Pi^a [\beta(\Delta^{-1})] \Pi^a \\ &= -\Pi^a [\beta((\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2) \Pi^a + (\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2) \Pi^{\bar{a}})] \Pi^a \\ &= -\Pi^a [\beta(\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2)] \Pi^a, \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

where \bar{a} denotes the mode orthogonal to a . To obtain the last line in Eq. (2.29), we have used that $\Pi^a \Pi^{\bar{a}} = 0$ and $\Pi^a [\beta(\Pi^a)] \Pi^a = \Pi^a [\beta(\Pi^{\bar{a}})] \Pi^a = 0$. This can be seen from

$$\Pi^a [\beta(\Pi^b)] \Pi^a = \Pi^a [\beta(\Pi^{b^2})] \Pi^a = \Pi^a [\Pi^b \beta(\Pi^b) + \beta(\Pi^b) \Pi^b] \Pi^a, \quad (2.30)$$

which vanishes both when $b = a$ and $b = \bar{a}$. Hence the sum of field basis dependent contributions in Eq. (2.28) vanishes.

The second off-shell contribution we consider, when the speeds of the two types of modes are different, $c_L \neq c_T$, is

$$\delta A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n} = \Pi^{\bar{a}} (p_a)^{i_a}_{j_a} \mathcal{O}_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n}, \quad (2.31)$$

corresponding to contributions that vanish due to the projectors coming from the choice of external polarizations. As before, \bar{a} denotes the mode orthogonal to a , so $\delta A_n^{i_1 \dots i_n}$ again vanishes on-shell. First applying the soft theorem followed by the on-shell condition, we obtain

$$- \Pi^a (p_a)^{i_a}_{j_a} \left[\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha_i \left(V_3^{i j_a k_a} \right) \Delta^{\bar{a}} (p_a)_{k_a l_a} + \beta^{j_a k_a} (\Pi^{\bar{a}} (p_a)_{k_a l_a}) \right] \mathcal{O}_n^{i_1 \dots l_a \dots i_n}. \quad (2.32)$$

To show that these terms vanish, we sandwich Eq. (2.26) between Π^a and $\Delta^{\bar{a}}$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^a \left[\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha(V_3) \right] \Delta^{\bar{a}} &= -\Pi^a [\beta(\Delta^{-1})] \Delta^{\bar{a}} \\ &= -\Pi^a \left[\beta \left((\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2) \Pi^a + (\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2) \Pi^{\bar{a}} \right) \right] \Delta^{\bar{a}} \\ &= -\Pi^a \left[(\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2) \beta(\Pi^a) + (\omega^2 - c_a^2 p^2) \beta(\Pi^{\bar{a}}) \right] \Delta^{\bar{a}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

where again we have used $\Pi^a \Pi^{\bar{a}} = 0$ to get to the third line in Eq. (2.33). The first term in the square brackets in the third line in Eq. (2.33) vanishes on-shell. Hence we obtain

$$\Pi^a \left[\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \alpha(V_3) \right] \Delta^{\bar{a}} = -\Pi^a \beta[\Pi^{\bar{a}}] \Pi^{\bar{a}}, \quad (2.34)$$

so that the off-shell terms in Eq. (2.32) cancel out. This shows that the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) commutes with the on-shell conditions, thereby guaranteeing the field basis independence of the soft theorem.

3 Superfluids

To start, we will consider the soft theorems for superfluids corresponding to nonlinearly realized time translations and Lorentz boosts. These constrain the $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ terms in the amplitude in the soft limit, respectively. Note that the latter was exhaustively studied in the interesting recent work of [15] in the context of single field inflation, which in the flat space limit is described by the superfluid EFT [29]. For completeness, we recapitulate results for superfluids here even though the important insights on this theory were discussed already in [15].

3.1 Setup

The superfluid EFT arises from spontaneous symmetry breaking an internal $U(1)$ symmetry where the phase degree of freedom ϕ has a time-dependent vacuum expectation value (VEV),

$$\langle \phi \rangle = t. \quad (3.1)$$

Since ϕ shifts under the $U(1)$ symmetry and time translations, the VEV breaks these down to a diagonal subgroup. Lorentz symmetry is also spontaneously broken. The fluctuations around the VEV are described by a real scalar field π , so

$$\phi = t + \pi. \quad (3.2)$$

Under time translations and Lorentz boosts, this scalar transforms nonlinearly,

$$\text{time translations: } \pi \rightarrow \pi + T, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{Lorentz boosts: } \pi \rightarrow \pi + v_i x^i + v_i (x^i \partial_t + t \nabla^i) \pi, \quad (3.4)$$

where T and v are constant parameters.

As is well-known, the superfluid Lagrangian can be written in terms of the spacetime translation and Lorentz invariant combination,

$$X = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + 1) = \dot{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \pi \partial^\mu \pi = \dot{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_i \pi)^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Considering terms with the fewest possible derivatives per field, we write down the leading terms in the superfluid EFT Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{superfluid}} &= M_1 X + \frac{M_2}{2} X^2 + \frac{M_3}{3!} X^3 + \dots \\ &= M_1 \dot{\pi} + \frac{M_1 + M_2}{2} \dot{\pi}^2 - \frac{M_1}{2} (\partial_i \pi)^2 + \frac{M_3}{3!} \dot{\pi}^3 + \frac{M_2}{2} \dot{\pi} (\dot{\pi}^2 - (\partial_i \pi)^2) + \dots \\ &= c^2 \dot{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\pi}^2 - c^2 (\partial_i \pi)^2) + \frac{g_3}{3!} \dot{\pi}^3 + \frac{c^{-2} - 1}{2} \dot{\pi} (\dot{\pi}^2 - c^2 (\partial_i \pi)^2) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where c is the speed of sound, g is the coupling in the three-point on-shell amplitude, and the Lagrangian parameters are

$$M_1 = c^2, \quad M_2 = 1 - c^2, \quad M_3 = g_3 + 3 \frac{(1 - c^2)^2}{c^2}. \quad (3.7)$$

As is common for spontaneously broken spacetime symmetries, the interactions are related to the speed of sound by symmetry.

The Feynman rules for the superfluid are trivial to derive from the Lagrangian in Eq. (3.6). The propagator for the superfluid scalar is

$$\Delta(p) = \frac{1}{\omega^2 - c^2 p^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Given the convention defined in Eq. (2.18), the cubic interaction vertex is

$$V_3 = i g_3 \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 + i (c^{-2} - 1) (\omega_1 (\omega_2 \omega_3 - c^2 p_2 \cdot p_3) + \text{cyclic}). \quad (3.9)$$

3.2 Amplitudes

For completeness, let us summarize here some amplitudes describing the scattering of superfluid modes. For example, the three-point scattering amplitude is

$$A_3 = i g_3 \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3. \quad (3.10)$$

For later convenience, let us define the kinematic variables

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{ab}^2 &= (\omega_a + \omega_b)^2, \\ s_{ab} &= (\omega_a + \omega_b)^2 - c^2(p_a + p_b)^2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where s_{ab} reduces to the familiar Mandelstam variables for $c = 1$. The four-point amplitude is

$$\begin{aligned}A_4 &= -g_3^2 \left(\frac{\omega_{12}^2}{s_{12}} + \frac{\omega_{13}^2}{s_{13}} + \frac{\omega_{14}^2}{s_{14}} \right) \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4 + \frac{1-c^2}{4c^4} (s_{12}^2 + s_{13}^2 + s_{14}^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{g_3}{2c^2} (\omega_{12}^2 s_{12} + \omega_{13}^2 s_{13} + \omega_{14}^2 s_{14}) + g_4 \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4,\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

where $g_4 = M_4 - 14g_3(1-c^2)/c^2 - 15(1-c^2)^3/c^4$. Note that the coefficient of the first term in A_4 is fixed by factorization. Naively, the coefficients of $(s_{12}^2 + s_{13}^2 + s_{14}^2)$ and $(\omega_{12}^2 s_{12} + \omega_{13}^2 s_{13} + \omega_{14}^2 s_{14})$ could have been independent contact terms. Nevertheless, the nonlinearly realized boost symmetry relates them to the speed of sound c and the tree-point coupling g_3 .

3.3 Soft Theorem

As noted earlier, the superfluid exhibits the spontaneous breaking of time translations as well as Lorentz boosts. Let us now derive the soft theorems correspond to each of these broken symmetries. To achieve this, we take the general form of the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), plug in the cubic interaction vertex V_3 for the superfluid, and then insert the α and β parameters corresponding to either time translations or Lorentz boosts. These will constrain the $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ terms in the amplitudes, respectively.

3.3.1 Time Translations

For the case of time translations, we see by inspection from Eq. (3.3) that the symmetry transformation parameters α and β defined in Eq. (2.17) are simply

$$\alpha = T \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 0.\tag{3.13}$$

Hence, the corresponding soft theorem is

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q) &= -\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a=1}^n V_3(q, p_a) \Delta(p_a + q) A_n(p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{ig\omega\omega_a^2}{\omega\omega_a - c^2 q \cdot p_a} A_n(p_1, \dots, p_n).\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

To derive the second line in Eq. (3.14) we have used that

$$\Delta(p_a + q) = \frac{1}{(\omega_a + \omega)^2 - c^2(p_a + q)^2} = \frac{1}{2(\omega\omega_a - c^2q \cdot p_a)}, \quad (3.15)$$

and that the three-point interaction vertex with two legs on-shell,

$$\begin{aligned} V_3(q, p_a) &= -ig\omega\omega_a(\omega_a + \omega) + 2i(c^{-2} - 1)(\omega_a + \omega)(\omega\omega_a - c^2q \cdot p_a) \\ &\stackrel{q \rightarrow 0}{=} -ig\omega\omega_a^2 + 2i(c^{-2} - 1)\omega_a(\omega\omega_a - c^2q \cdot p_a), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where in the second line we have taken the soft limit. Here the first term persists while the second term pinches the propagator and cancels due to energy conservation $\sum_a \omega_a = 0$.

3.3.2 Lorentz Boosts

Next, we consider the soft theorem arising from the spontaneously broken Lorentz boosts. The parameters in Eq. (2.17) are identified by comparing with Eq. (3.4), giving

$$\alpha = v_i x^i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = v_i (x^i \partial_t + t \nabla^i). \quad (3.17)$$

By specifying to the values in Eq. (3.17) for the general soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} [A_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q)] &= - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \sum_{a=1}^n [V_3(q, p_a) \Delta(p_a + q) A_n(p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{a=1}^n i \left(\omega_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ai}} + p_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} \right) [A_n(p_1, \dots, p_n)], \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

where the propagator and three-point vertex are those in eqs (3.8) and (3.9) respectively, and we have stripped the constant vector v .

Our derivation of this soft theorem only differs from that in [15] in the field basis chosen, which changes the form of V_3 and β . The basis chosen in [15] is related to ours by

$$\pi \rightarrow \pi - (c^{-2} - 1)\pi\dot{\pi}. \quad (3.19)$$

In such basis the three-point vertex is

$$V'_3 = ig\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3, \quad (3.20)$$

and the symmetry transformation is

$$\alpha' = v_i x^i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta' = v_i (c^{-2} x^i \partial_t + t \nabla^i), \quad (3.21)$$

so the soft theorem is as derived in [15]

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} [A_{n+1}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q)] &= - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} \sum_{a=1}^n [V_3'(q, p_a) \Delta(p_a + q) A_n(p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{a=1}^n i \left(c^{-2} \omega_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ai}} + p_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} \right) [A_n(p_1, \dots, p_n)] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

The above version of the soft theorem features a boost operator that is nonrelativistic, whereas the one in Eq. (3.18) is relativistic. The former has the advantage that it annihilates the on-shell condition $\omega_a^2 - c^2 p_a^2 = 0$, thus making invariance under field redefinitions more manifest. Nevertheless, as explained in Sec. 2.3, the soft theorem can be written in any basis.

4 Solids

4.1 Setup

The Lagrangian description for solids utilizes a three-vector field which acquires a vacuum expectation value,

$$\langle \phi^i \rangle = x^i , \quad (4.1)$$

which spontaneously breaks part of the Poincaré symmetry. Fluctuations of this field are the NGBs for symmetry breaking, defined via

$$\phi^i = x^i + \pi^i , \quad (4.2)$$

where π is the phonon field. Under spatial translations, the phonon transforms as

$$\text{spatial translation: } \pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + w^i , \quad (4.3)$$

for a constant vector w . At the same time, the phonon transforms nonlinearly under boosts as

$$\text{Lorentz boost: } \pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + v^i t + v_j (x^j \partial_t + t \nabla^j) \pi^i , \quad (4.4)$$

for a constant vector v . Here the first term on the right-hand side arises because π transforms under boosts exactly like spatial position, as implied by Eq. (4.2). The second term on the right-hand side arises because the spacetime argument of the phonon field actively transforms under boosts also.

To construct a Lagrangian that is invariant under nonlinearly realized boosts, we follow the procedure of [14, 30] and define

$$B^{ij} = \partial_\mu \phi^i \partial^\mu \phi^j - \delta^{ij} = \nabla^i \pi^j + \nabla^j \pi^i + \partial_\mu \pi^i \partial^\mu \pi^j . \quad (4.5)$$

In three spatial dimensions, the only independent scalar components of this matrix are $[B]$, $[B^2]$, and $[B^3]$, where the square brackets denote a trace over spatial indices. Hence, the general Lagrangian for the phonon is

$$L_{\text{solid}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{ijk} [B]^i [B^2]^j [B^3]^k, \quad (4.6)$$

corresponding to the modes of a solid.

The three components of the phonon can be further decomposed into a single longitudinal mode and two transverse modes via

$$\pi^i = \pi_L^i + \pi_T^i \quad \text{where} \quad \nabla_i \pi_T^i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \nabla^j \pi_L^k = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

By expanding the Lagrangian to quadratic order, we learn that the longitudinal and transverse speeds of sound, c_L and c_T , are related to the coupling constants via

$$\lambda_{010} = \frac{1}{4}(1 - c_T^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{200} = -\frac{1}{8}(1 + c_L^2 - 2c_T^2). \quad (4.8)$$

Otherwise, the couplings are completely unfixed.

The soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) depends on the propagator and cubic vertex, Δ and V_3 . Let us briefly present expressions for these quantities in the case of a solid. The propagator for the phonons of the solid is given in Eq. (2.8). From the solid Lagrangian in Eq. (4.6), we also compute the cubic interaction vertex,

$$\begin{aligned} V_3^{i_1 i_2 i_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = & -\frac{i}{2}(1 + c_L^2 - 2c_T^2)((\omega_2 \omega_3 - p_2 \cdot p_3) p_1^{i_1} \delta^{i_2 i_3}) \\ & + i(1 - c_T^2)((\omega_2 \omega_3 - p_2 \cdot p_3)(p_1^{i_3} \delta^{i_1 i_2} + p_1^{i_2} \delta^{i_1 i_3})) \\ & - 2i\lambda_{001}(p_1^{i_2} p_2^{i_3} p_3^{i_1} + 3(p_2 \cdot p_3) p_1^{i_2} \delta^{i_1 i_3}) \\ & - 4i\lambda_{110}(p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_3} p_3^{i_2} + (p_2 \cdot p_3) p_1^{i_1} \delta^{i_2 i_3}) \\ & - 8i\lambda_{300} p_1^{i_1} p_2^{i_2} p_3^{i_3} + \text{permutations}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

which we can freely rewrite on the support of total momentum conservation.

4.2 Amplitudes

Next, let us briefly describe some explicit phonon amplitudes. The three-point scattering amplitudes for various combinations of longitudinal and transverse polarizations are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{LLL} &= -i \frac{3}{c_L^3} ((1 - c_L^2)^2 + 16(\lambda_{001} + \lambda_{110} + \lambda_{300})) \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3, \\
A_{TTT} &= -i \frac{1}{c_T^2} ((1 - c_T^2)^2 + 6\lambda_{001}) \omega_3 (\omega_1 - \omega_2) (e_1 \cdot e_2) (p_2 \cdot e_3) + \text{cyclic}, \\
A_{LLT} &= i \frac{\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2}{2c_L^2 c_T^2 \omega_1 \omega_2} (p_1 \cdot e_3) \left(2c_L^2 c_T^2 ((c_T^2 - c_L^2)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) + \omega_1 \omega_2 (c_T^2 - 3c_L^2)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (2 - c_T^2 - 3c_L^2 + 8(2\lambda_{110} + 3\lambda_{001})) ((c_T^2 - c_L^2)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) - 2c_L^2 \omega_1 \omega_2) \right), \\
A_{TTL} &= -i \frac{(p_2 \cdot e_1)(p_1 \cdot e_2)}{c_L c_T^2 \omega_3} \left(2c_T^2 (1 - c_T^2 + 4\lambda_{110} + 9\lambda_{001}) \omega_3^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - c_L^2 ((1 - c_T^4 + 6\lambda_{001})(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) + 4c_T^2 (1 - c_T^2) \omega_1 \omega_2) \right) \\
&\quad + i \frac{e_1 \cdot e_2}{2c_L^3 c_T^4} \omega_3 \left(c_L^2 c_T^2 ((c_T^2 - c_L^2)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) + 2c_T^2 (1 - c_L^2 + c_T^2) \omega_1 \omega_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_L^2 ((1 - c_T^2)^2 + 6\lambda_{001}) ((c_L^2 - c_T^2)(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) - 2c_L^2 \omega_1 \omega_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + c_T^2 (c_T^2 + 8\lambda_{110} + 6\lambda_{001}) (c_L^2 (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2) - c_T^2 \omega_3^2) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we have eliminated $p_i \cdot p_j$ using the minimal on-shell kinematic basis defined in Eq. (A.7). As noted in [31], for real on-shell kinematics the external three-momenta are necessarily collinear, so the three-point amplitudes with an odd number of transverse polarizations are zero. However, collinearity is avoided in the case of complex kinematics, which we assume throughout, and as is commonly used in the study of gauge theory amplitudes.

The four-point amplitude is immensely complicated so we do not write it explicitly. However, it can be found in an ancillary `Mathematica` notebook included with this paper containing all of our amplitudes.

4.3 Soft Theorem

Next, to evaluate Eq. (2.25) we must specify α and β which depend on which symmetry is spontaneously broken. In what follows, we consider the case of spatial translations and Lorentz boosts, respectively.

4.3.1 Spatial Translations

By inspection, we see that the nonlinearly realized spatial translation in Eq. (4.4) corresponds to Eq. (2.17) by identifying

$$\alpha^i = w^i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j^i = 0. \quad (4.11)$$

Plugging this into Eq. (2.25), we obtain the soft theorem corresponding to spatial translations of a phonon in a solid,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q) = - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a=1}^n V_3^{i i_a}_{j_a}(q, p_a) \Delta_{k_a}^{j_a}(p_a + q) A_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n), \quad (4.12)$$

where we have stripped off the constant translation vector w_i , leaving a free i index.

We have explicitly evaluated Eq. (4.12) for the case of four- and three-point amplitudes and verified its validity. Here A_3 and A_4 should be evaluated in the minimal kinematic bases defined in Eq. (A.7) and Eq. (A.10). Also, to evaluate the above expression one must, in the end, contract the polarization indices of the hard particles, i_1, i_2, i_3 , with explicit polarizations which are either longitudinal or transverse. The on-shell conditions for those legs should also correlate with the choice of polarizations, since the longitudinal and transverse speeds of sound are in general different. By computing all possible combinations of longitudinal and transverse combinations for the external legs, we have verified that the above formula holds.

Note that in general for the soft limit of A_n with $n > 4$ we do not encounter the fractional soft limits of [31]. In that setup, the authors assume real kinematics, for which taking the soft limit from four- to three-point yields collinear momenta for the latter. For five- and higher-point amplitudes the soft limit does not yield collinear kinematics in the amplitudes on the right-hand side of the soft theorem. Moreover, as noted earlier, we assume complex kinematics throughout, as is common in the study of gauge theory amplitudes.

4.3.2 Lorentz Boosts

Next, we consider the soft theorem corresponding to spontaneously broken Lorentz transformations. Comparing the nonlinearly realized Lorentz boost of the phonon in Eq. (4.4) to Eq. (2.17), we see that the transformation parameters are

$$\alpha^i = v^i t \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j^i = v_k (x^k \partial_t + t \nabla^k) \delta_j^i. \quad (4.13)$$

Inserting Eq. (4.13) into Eq. (2.25), we obtain the soft theorem corresponding to Lorentz boosts of a phonon in a solid,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} [A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q)] = \\
& - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} [V_3^{i i_a j_a}(q, p_a) \Delta_{k_a}^{j_a}(p_a + q) A_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n)] \\
& - \sum_{a=1}^n i \left(\omega_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ai}} + p_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} \right) [A_n^{i_1 \dots i_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_a, \dots, p_n)] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where the propagator Δ and cubic vertex V_3 are defined in Eq. (2.8) and Eq. (4.9), respectively. As before, we have stripped off the constant Lorentz boost vector v_i , leaving a free i index.

We have verified by explicit calculation that the soft theorem relating the four-point and three-point amplitudes is satisfied. As before, in order to verify this soft theorem it is important to go to the minimal kinematic bases for A_3 and A_4 in Eq. (A.7) and Eq. (A.10). Furthermore, we must contract with explicit longitudinal or transverse polarizations for the hard external states. Performing this for all combinations, we find that the above soft theorem is indeed satisfied.

5 Fluids

5.1 Setup

As emphasized in [14], fluids are nothing more than solids with an enhanced symmetry. In particular, the Lagrangian for fluids is the same as the one for solids except with couplings constrained to exhibit an additional invariance under infinitesimal volume-preserving diffeomorphisms,

$$\text{diffeomorphism: } \phi^i \rightarrow \phi^i + \xi^i(\phi). \tag{5.1}$$

The volume-preserving condition implies that $\partial_i \xi^i = 0$. In terms of the physical phonon field this corresponds to

$$\text{diffeomorphism: } \pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + \xi^i(x + \pi) = \pi^i + \xi^i(x) + \partial_j \xi^i(x) \pi^j + \dots, \tag{5.2}$$

expanded to linear order in the phonon field.

Invariance under volume-preserving diffeomorphisms implies that the fluid Lagrangian can only depend on the combination

$$\det B = \frac{1}{3!} ([B]^3 - 3[B][B^2] + 2[B^3]), \tag{5.3}$$

and thus it takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fluid}} = -\frac{1}{2}\det B + \tau_0 \det B^2 + \tau_1 \det B^3 + \tau_2 \det B^4 + \dots, \quad (5.4)$$

where $\tau_0 = (1 - c_L^2)/8$. This implies that fluid dynamics are obtained by imposing the following constraints on the solid Lagrangian,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{010} &= \frac{1}{4}, \\ \lambda_{001} &= -\frac{1}{6}, \\ \lambda_{200} &= -\frac{1}{8}(1 + c_L^2), \\ \lambda_{020} &= \frac{1}{32}(1 - c_L^2), \\ \lambda_{101} &= \frac{1}{12}(1 - c_L^2), \\ \lambda_{110} &= \frac{1}{8}(1 + c_L^2), \\ \lambda_{210} &= -\frac{3}{16}(1 - c_L^2) - \frac{3}{2}\tau_1, \\ \lambda_{300} &= \frac{1}{24}(1 - 3c_L^2) + \tau_1, \\ \lambda_{400} &= \frac{7}{96}(1 - c_L^2) + \frac{3}{2}\tau_1 + \tau_2, \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where τ_1 and τ_2 are residual free parameters of the fluid Lagrangian.

As emphasized in [14, 32], the fluid EFT is peculiar because the transverse speed of sound is vanishing, so $c_T = 0$. Consequently, the transverse modes lack a gradient kinetic term and the corresponding degrees of freedom are not localized particles in any conventional sense.⁴ Instead, we will consider the fluid case as a mathematically well-defined limit of small $c_T \rightarrow 0$. While strict vanishing may be ill-defined, the limit is a straightforward way to regulate the corresponding solid amplitudes on the approach to fluid dynamics.

5.2 Amplitudes

Next, we record explicit fluid phonon amplitudes. The three-point scattering amplitude of longitudinal modes is

$$A_{LLL} = -i\frac{\tilde{\tau}_1}{c_L^3}\omega_1\omega_2\omega_3, \quad (5.6)$$

⁴We will not shed any new insight on this particular problem, though there has been recent progress making sense of perfect fluids in two dimensions by recasting volume-preserving diffeomorphisms as $SU(N)$ transformations as $N \rightarrow \infty$ [33]. Curiously, a similar construction yields a nonperturbative formulation double copy relating scalar EFTs in two dimensions [34]. This double copy structure also arises in certain non-Abelian generalizations of the Navier-Stokes equations [35].

where $\tilde{\tau}_1 = 3((1 - c_L^2)^2 + 16\tau_1)$, whereas the four-point amplitude is

$$A_{LLLL} = -\frac{\tilde{\tau}_1^2}{c_L^6} \left(\frac{\omega_{12}^2}{s_{12}} + \frac{\omega_{13}^2}{s_{13}} + \frac{\omega_{14}^2}{s_{14}} \right) \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4 + \frac{1 - c_L^2}{4c_L^2} (s_{12}^2 + s_{13}^2 + s_{14}^2) \\ + \frac{\tilde{\tau}_1}{2c_L^4} (\omega_{12}^2 s_{12} + \omega_{13}^2 s_{13} + \omega_{14}^2 s_{14}) + \frac{\tilde{\tau}_2}{c_L^6} \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\tilde{\tau}_2 = 3c_L^2(128\tau_2 - 5(1 - c_L^2)^3) + \tilde{\tau}_1(3\tilde{\tau}_1 + 10c_L^2(1 - c_L^2))$.

5.3 Soft Theorem

5.3.1 Diffeomorphisms

In order to verify the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), we must compute the transformation parameters α and β for volume-preserving diffeomorphisms, and the propagator and cubic vertex Δ and V_3 for the fluid.

To begin, let us series expand a general volume-preserving diffeomorphism in powers of the space coordinate,

$$\xi^i(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \xi_{j_1 \dots j_a}^i x^{j_1} \dots x^{j_a} \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_{j_1 \dots i \dots j_a}^i = 0. \quad (5.8)$$

Here we will be interested in verifying the leading nontrivial component of the diffeomorphism, which is linear in the space coordinate

$$\xi^i(x) = \xi^i_j x^j \quad \text{where} \quad \xi^i_i = 0. \quad (5.9)$$

Recasting this leading diffeomorphism in terms of the parameters in Eq. (2.17), we find that

$$\alpha^i = \xi^i_j x^j \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^i_j = \xi^i_j. \quad (5.10)$$

Next, to obtain Δ and V_3 we simply take the expressions in Eq. (2.8) and Eq. (4.9) for the solid and insert Eq. (5.5).

Putting this all together, we learn that the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) applied to the leading volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of a fluid is

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} [A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q)] = \\ - \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \sum_{a=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} [V_3^{i_1 i_a}_{j_a}(q, p_a) \Delta^{j_a}_{k_a}(p_a + q) A_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_a + q, \dots, p_n)] \\ - \sum_{a=1}^n i \delta^{i_a} \delta_{j_a}^j [A_n^{i_1 \dots j_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_a, \dots, p_n)] + \text{terms proportional to } \delta^{ij}, \quad (5.11)$$

where we have stripped off the constant diffeomorphism parameter ξ_{ij} , leaving free i and j indices. Thus we see that the terms proportional to δ^{ij} are projected out when the left- and right-hand sides are contracted into ξ_{ij} , which is by construction traceless.

To verify the above soft theorem we compute the three- and four-point amplitudes for fluid phonons by imposing the conditions on coupling constants in Eq. (5.5) on our amplitudes for solid phonons. By explicit computation we have verified the validity of the above soft theorem relating the four- and three-point amplitudes. As before, this check requires going to minimal kinematic basis for A_3 and A_4 . Furthermore, to avoid pathologies involving transverse polarizations of external states, we restrict to the case where all external polarizations are longitudinal.

Note that in principle, one can also derive soft theorems for high-order diffeomorphisms. In particular, we could consider the next-to-leading diffeomorphism defined by

$$\alpha^i = \frac{1}{2}\xi^i_{jk}x^jx^k \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^i_j = \xi^i_{jk}x^k, \quad (5.12)$$

where $\xi^i_{ik} = \xi^i_{ki} = 0$. In this case, the corresponding soft theorem will involve the action of the differential operator, $\alpha^i = -\frac{1}{2}\xi^i_{jk}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_k}$. This effectively extracts $\mathcal{O}(q^2)$ terms from the amplitude. The general soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) applies for *any* spontaneously broken symmetry, including next-to-leading diffeomorphism. We do not explicitly construct and evaluate the soft theorem for next-to-leading diffeomorphism in this paper, but it is straightforward to do so by inserting Eq. (5.12) into Eq. (2.25).

6 Framids

6.1 Setup

The framid theory exhibits a minimal field content needed to represent the spontaneous breaking of Lorentz symmetry. The setup centers on a four-vector field whose vacuum expectation value,

$$\langle A_\mu(x) \rangle = \delta_\mu^0, \quad (6.1)$$

spontaneously breaks Lorentz symmetry. Fluctuations about this value are parameterized by framon fields which are the NGBs of boosts,

$$A_\mu = \exp(i\pi^j K_j)_\mu{}^\nu \delta_\nu^0, \quad (6.2)$$

where K^i is a three-vector parameterizing Lorentz boosts. Expanding in powers of the fields, we obtain explicit formulas for the four-vector field,

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 + \dots, \\ A_i &= \pi_i(1 + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2 + \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

By construction, boosts are nonlinearly realized as constant shifts of the framon field,

$$\text{Lorentz boost: } \pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + v^i + v_j (x^j \partial_t + t \nabla^j) \pi^i, \quad (6.4)$$

where, as before, the last term on the right-hand side appears because the spacetime position of the framon is boosted in the transformation. Note that the framon does not nonlinearly realize translation symmetries.

The leading order boost invariant Lagrangian for the framon is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{framid}} = -\frac{1}{2}M_3^2(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}M_2^2(\partial_\mu A_\nu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(M_2^2 - M_1^2)(A^\rho \partial_\rho A_\mu)^2. \quad (6.5)$$

As before, we can expand to quadratic order in the framons in order to express some of the couplings in terms of the speeds of sound of the longitudinal and transverse modes,

$$c_T^2 = \frac{M_2^2}{M_1^2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_L^2 = \frac{M_2^2 + M_3^2}{M_1^2}. \quad (6.6)$$

In order to evaluate the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) we must compute the propagator Δ and cubic vertex V_3 of the framid theory. Conveniently, the framon has an identical dispersion relation to the phonon, so Δ is defined as in Eq. (2.8).

Meanwhile, the cubic interaction vertex is straightforwardly extracted from $\mathcal{L}_{\text{framid}}$, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} V_3^{i_1 i_2 i_3}(p_1, p_2, p_3) = & - (1 - c_T^2) (\omega_1 (\delta^{i_1 i_2} p_2^{i_3} + \delta^{i_1 i_3} p_3^{i_2})) \\ & - (c_T^2 - c_L^2) (\omega_1 (\delta^{i_1 i_2} p_3^{i_3} + \delta^{i_1 i_3} p_2^{i_2})) + \text{cyclic}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

As noted in [14], in the relativistic limit of $c_L = c_T = 1$, the framid coincides with the nonlinear sigma model (NLSM), which is why V_3 vanishes in this case.

6.2 Amplitudes

The three-point on-shell scattering amplitudes for framons are

$$\begin{aligned} A_{LLL} &= \frac{(1 - c_L^2)}{c_L} (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + \omega_3^2), \\ A_{TTT} &= (1 - c_T^2) (\omega_1 - \omega_2) (e_1 \cdot e_2) (p_1 \cdot e_3) + \text{cyclic}, \\ A_{LLT} &= \omega_1 \left(\frac{(c_L^2 - c_T^2)}{c_T^2} \frac{\omega_3^2}{\omega_1 \omega_2} + (1 - c_L^2) \right) (p_1 \cdot e_3) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ A_{TTL} &= 3c_L (1 - c_T^2) (p_2 \cdot e_1) (p_1 \cdot e_2) + \left(\frac{(c_L^2 - c_T^2)(1 - 3c_T^2)}{2c_L c_T^2} \omega_3^2 - \frac{2c_L(1 - c_T^2)}{c_T^2} \omega_1 \omega_2 \right) (e_1 \cdot e_2), \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

while our four-point amplitude can be found in the attached ancillary `Mathematica` file.

6.3 Soft Theorem

6.3.1 Lorentz Boosts

Comparing Eq. (2.17) to Eq. (6.4), we see that the transformation parameters corresponding to the nonlinearly realized Lorentz boosts of the framon are

$$\alpha^i = v^i \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_j^i = v_k (x^k \partial_t + t \nabla^k) \delta_j^i. \quad (6.9)$$

Combining this with Eq. (2.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}^{i_1 \cdots i_{n+1}}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q) = & \\ & - \sum_{a=1}^n V_3^{i_a j_a}(q, p_a) \Delta_{p_a}^{j_a}(p_a + q) A_n^{i_1 \cdots j_a \cdots i_n}(p_1, \cdots, p_a + q, \cdots, p_n) \\ & - \sum_{a=1}^n \left(\omega_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ai}} + p_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} \right) [A_n^{i_1 \cdots i_a \cdots i_n}(p_1, \cdots, p_a, \cdots, p_n)], \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

which is the soft theorem corresponding to boosts in the framid.

By explicit calculation, we have verified the framon soft theorem at five-, four- and three-point, by using a minimal kinematic basis and plugging in all possible combinations of longitudinal or transverse polarizations for the hard external legs.

7 Soft Bootstrap

Our analysis thus far has focused on incarnations of the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), which relate *nonzero* expressions involving the $(n+1)$ -point and n -point amplitudes. However, in the special circumstance where soft limits vanish—also known as Adler zeros—the corresponding theories typically exhibit enhanced symmetry structures. Concretely, the Adler zero stipulates that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q) = \mathcal{O}(q^1), \quad (7.1)$$

which is the case for, e.g., amplitudes of pions in the NLSM [4]. In special circumstances, scalar EFTs can exhibit an *enhanced* Adler zero,

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}(p_1, \cdots, p_n, q) = \mathcal{O}(q^2). \quad (7.2)$$

This is the case for Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) theory and the Galileon. Remarkably, the NLSM, DBI, and the Galileon exhibit a soft behavior of amplitudes that is enhanced beyond what is naively expected simply from counting the number of derivatives per interaction vertex. Hence,

by writing an ansatz and imposing Eq. (7.1) or Eq. (7.2) as constraints, one can bootstrap these theories from first principles [36–39]. These resulting theories have highly constrained interactions, and were dubbed *exceptional* scalar EFTs. The soft bootstrap has also been extended to broader classes of theories, including theories with vectors or fermions [40–54] as well as nonrelativistic theories [55–57].

In the context of condensed matter systems, it is natural to ask: Are there exceptional nonrelativistic EFTs? Are there exceptional theories of phonons and framons?

7.1 Exceptional Phonons

We start by considering theories of phonons, i.e., solids, fluids, and superfluids, which all have interaction vertices with one derivative per field. First, we want to establish the Adler zero for these theories, i.e., the requirement that the amplitudes vanish as $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ in the soft limit.

For a theory with one derivative per field, all interaction vertices involving the soft particle will scale as $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$. This suggests that the on-shell amplitudes should also scale as $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$, thus exhibiting an Adler zero. However, as is well-known, this reasoning fails in the presence of three-point interaction vertices, which generically induce $\mathcal{O}(q^{-1})$ soft poles. These contributions can, in principle, conspire with $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ contributions from the interaction vertex to give an amplitude that scales as $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$.

In relativistic theories of derivatively coupled scalars, there are no such soft poles because there are no on-shell three-point amplitudes. The only nonzero three-point amplitude for relativistic scalars is a constant arising from a cubic potential term, which is absent by definition for derivatively coupled scalars. The absence of relativistic three-point scalar amplitudes implies the existence of a field basis where the corresponding three-point vertex is zero and thus there are no singular terms in the soft limit.

In contrast, nonrelativistic theories can have nontrivial three-point amplitudes, even in theories with interaction vertices with one derivative per field. Thus, the Adler zero is not automatic. A sufficient condition for having an Adler zero for such nonrelativistic theories is to demand that all three-point amplitudes vanish.

7.1.1 Solids

Let us begin by imposing an Adler zero for phonons in a solid. The three-point solid amplitudes are given in Eq. (4.10). Demanding that all of these vanish for any choice of external modes,

transverse or longitudinal, implies a universal speed of sound,

$$c_T = c_L = c, \quad (7.3)$$

in addition to the constraints

$$6\lambda_{001} = -8\lambda_{110} = 48\lambda_{300} = -(1 - c^2)^2. \quad (7.4)$$

Given the restrictions in Eqs. (7.3) and (7.4), the following field redefinition

$$\pi^i \rightarrow \pi^i + (1 - c^2)\pi^j \partial_j \pi^i, \quad (7.5)$$

sets the three-point vertex to zero. In this basis the soft theorem for spontaneously broken spatial translations in Eq. (4.12) with $V_3 = 0$ shows the existence an Adler zero for n -point amplitudes.

One might ask whether such restrictions on the solid couplings are technically natural. In other words, do the choices of couplings in Eqs. (7.3) and (7.4) enhance the symmetries of the solid EFT? Unfortunately, the answer is no. While the vanishing of the three-point amplitude and a relativistic dispersion relation suggest a possible emergent Lorentz symmetry, this is trivially broken by higher-point amplitudes.

Next, it is natural to further impose the condition that the $\mathcal{O}(q^1)$ term in the amplitude vanishes, yielding an enhanced $\mathcal{O}(q^2)$ soft limit. A necessary condition for this is given by the soft theorem for spontaneously broken boosts. In the basis where the three-point vertex is zero it takes the form

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} [A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q)] = - \sum_{a=1}^n i \left(\omega_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ai}} + c^2 p_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} \right) [A_n^{i_1 \dots i_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_n)]. \quad (7.6)$$

Interestingly, the enhanced Adler zero requires choosing couplings in the solid EFT such that the theory has an emergent boost symmetry with respect to the speed of sound c .

The boost soft theorem in Eq. (4.14) does not capture all terms of $\mathcal{O}(q)$ in the soft expansion of the solid amplitude. Hence, the emergence of a relativistic symmetry is not sufficient to guarantee an enhanced Adler zero. By explicitly imposing the enhanced Adler zero on the four- and five-point scattering amplitudes of longitudinal phonons in a solid, we constrain the couplings in the solid Lagrangian in Eq. (4.6) according to

$$\begin{aligned} 252\lambda_{101} &= 672\lambda_{020} = 1152\lambda_{400} = -224\lambda_{210} = 21(1 - c^2)^3, \\ 192\lambda_{310} &= 320\lambda_{120} = -240\lambda_{201} = -120\lambda_{011} = -768\lambda_{500} = 5(1 - c^2)^4. \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

These conditions must be imposed in addition to the constraints in Eqs. (7.3) and (7.4) which are needed to ensure the ordinary Adler zero. We find that the five-point scattering amplitude vanishes identically for this choice of couplings.

This bootstrap suggests that there should exist a solid with an enhanced Adler zero. To investigate this possibility, we generalize to a solid in arbitrary spacetime dimension while including all $[B^n]$ operators in the Lagrangian. Remarkably, we find that the three-, four-, and five-point scattering amplitudes for a solid with the constraints in Eqs. (7.3), (7.4), and (7.7) agree with the scattering amplitudes derived from a physically equivalent Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{exc. solid}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sqrt{-\det(\eta_{\mu\nu} + \kappa(\partial'_\mu \pi_i \partial'_\nu \pi^i))}, \quad (7.8)$$

with a coupling constant $\kappa = -(1-c^2)$, where we introduced ∂'_μ such that $\partial'_\mu \partial'^\mu = -\partial_t^2 + c^2 \partial_i^2$. In addition, we have verified that the theory in Eq. (7.8) yields a six-point amplitude that vanishes as $\mathcal{O}(q^2)$ in the soft limit.⁵

Since the phonon indices in Eq. (7.8) are only contracted with each other, they effectively label an internal symmetry. Moreover, Eq. (7.8) clearly describes a theory that linearly realizes the Lorentz symmetry with respect to the speed of sound c in Eq. (7.6). Note that the coupling κ vanishes when $c = 1$, yielding a free theory. Thus, we have arrived at the Lagrangian for multiple relativistic DBI fields. The enhanced soft limit we have encountered is not surprising in light of the results of [37–39], which show that the only relativistic theory of single derivatively coupled scalar with an enhanced soft limit is DBI.

7.1.2 Fluids

Let us now attempt to construct an exceptional fluid theory. As noted earlier, we only consider the longitudinal external states. That makes the fluid case different from the solid case. The only condition for the fluid comes from requiring A_{LLL} in Eq. (4.10) to vanish, subject to the fluid constraints in Eq. (5.5). That fixes the free coupling in the fluid amplitude in terms of the speed of sound for the longitudinal modes,

$$\tau_1 = -\frac{1}{16}(1 - c_L^2)^2. \quad (7.9)$$

As for the solid, this is sufficient to ensure an Adler zero thanks to the soft theorem for spontaneously broken spatial translations in Eq. (4.12). Similarly, the soft theorem for spontaneously

⁵Since Eq. (7.8) is expressed in terms of a determinant over spacetime indices, one must impose kinematics in a specific dimension in order to verify the enhanced soft theorem. In this case, the on-shell identities in App. A must be supplemented with dimensionally specific Gram determinant constraints.

broken boosts in Eq. (4.14) shows that an enhanced Adler zero requires an emergent relativistic symmetry.

Next we demand that the four-point amplitude for all longitudinal polarizations has an enhanced Adler zero. Imposing the constraints in Eqs. (5.5) and (7.9), we find that the four-point amplitude is

$$A_{LLLL} = -\frac{3}{c_L^4} (5(1 - c_L^2)^3 - 128\tau_2) \omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4 + \frac{1 - c_L^2}{4c_L^2} (s_{12}^2 + s_{13}^2 + s_{14}^2), \quad (7.10)$$

where s_{ab} was defined in Eq. (3.11) and here $c = c_L$. In the soft limit, the first term scales as $\mathcal{O}(q)$ and the second as $\mathcal{O}(q^2)$. Imposing the enhanced Adler zero constraints the coupling to be

$$\tau_2 = \frac{5}{128} (1 - c_L^2)^3. \quad (7.11)$$

From the choice of coefficients in Eqs. (7.9) and (7.11) it is easy to guess the pattern for the exceptional fluid Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{exc. fluid}} &= \frac{1}{1 - c_L^2} \sqrt{1 + (1 - c_L^2) \det B} - \frac{1}{1 - c_L^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \det B + \frac{1}{8} (1 - c_L^2) \det B^2 - \frac{1}{16} (1 - c_L^2)^2 \det B^3 + \frac{5}{128} (1 - c_L^2)^3 \det B^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

Although not obvious, can we identify a different Lagrangian which reproduces exactly these fluid amplitudes which have an enhanced soft limit. That Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\text{exc. fluid}} = \frac{1}{\kappa'} \sqrt{1 + \kappa' (\dot{\pi}^2 - c_L^2 (\partial_i \pi^i)^2)}. \quad (7.13)$$

The coupling constant is $\kappa' = -(1 - c_L^2)/c_L^2$. As it turns out, this Lagrangian is tree-level equivalent to relativistic DBI with the longitudinal phonon playing the role of the DBI scalar. To understand why this is so, we simply expand the phonon field in terms of its longitudinal and transverse components as in Eq. (4.7), yielding

$$\mathcal{L}'_{\text{exc. fluid}} = \frac{1}{\kappa'} \sqrt{1 + \kappa' (\dot{\pi}_T^2 + \dot{\pi}_L^2 - c_L^2 (\partial_i \pi_L^i)^2)}. \quad (7.14)$$

Crucially, since π_T enters quadratically, it can only be pair-produced. Thus, for tree-level amplitudes with all external legs with longitudinal polarizations, the transverse modes decouple completely. Hence, the resulting Lagrangian is equivalent to that of DBI for the longitudinal phonon mode at tree level.

7.1.3 Superfluids

A similar analysis was carried out for the superfluid in [15]. In order to have an Adler zero, one demands that the tree-point amplitude in Eq. (3.10) vanishes, which imposes $g_3 = 0$. Then the soft theorem in Eq. (3.22) shows that an enhanced Adler zero requires an emergent boost symmetry. From [37–39] it follows that the only such theory is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{exc. superfluid}} = \frac{1}{\kappa''} \sqrt{1 + \kappa'' (\dot{\varphi}^2 - c^2 (\partial_i \varphi)^2)}, \quad (7.15)$$

with $\kappa'' = -(1 - c^2)/c^4$, which describes a brane moving with constant velocity in a fifth dimension [58].

A more general soft bootstrap for nonrelativistic theories with a single scalar was also performed in [55], including the case of NGB with quadratic dispersion relations. By imposing the enhanced Adler zero for a theory with a single scalar with one derivative per field—such as the superfluid—the resulting theory was also found to be effectively relativistic.

7.2 Exceptional Framons

The analysis of enhanced soft limits for framids is slightly different. Framon interactions, unlike phonons, do not involve one derivative per field. This is analogous to what happens in the relativistic NLSM, where at leading order in the derivative expansion the interactions have the structure $\pi^n (\partial_\mu \pi)^2$.

The framon soft theorem in Eq. (6.10) shows that an Adler zero requires the vanishing of the three-point amplitude. This is achieved for

$$c_T = c_L = 1, \quad (7.16)$$

corresponding to a genuine relativistic dispersion relation for all modes. With this choice the soft theorem still yields

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} A_{n+1}^{i_1 \dots i_n i}(p_1, \dots, p_n, q) = - \sum_{a=1}^n \left(\omega_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{ai}} + p_a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_a} \right) [A_n^{i_1 \dots i_a \dots i_n}(p_1, \dots, p_a, \dots, p_n)], \quad (7.17)$$

so an Adler zero requires full boost symmetry of the framon amplitudes. This is indeed a consequence of the choice in Eq. (7.16) which corresponds to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{exc. framid}} = -\frac{1}{2} M_2^2 (\partial_\mu A_\nu)^2, \quad (7.18)$$

at the leading order in the EFT derivative expansion. Note that the derivative indices are independent from the Lorentz indices of A_μ . Thus, this theory simply describes a relativistic

NLSM realizing the spontaneous breaking of an internal $SU(2)$ symmetry corresponding to the boosts of A_μ . That such choice of couplings at this order corresponds to the relativistic NLSM was already pointed out in [14]. Here we have derived this condition from the bottom up as a necessary condition for an Adler zero. Furthermore, since our soft theorem is a consequence of symmetry it is valid to all orders in the EFT derivative expansion.

7.3 Alternative Bootstraps

Given the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), can we extend the approach of on-shell soft bootstrap to theories with nonzero soft limits? Immediately, we see an obstruction: as we have noted, the soft theorem in Eq. (2.25) contains field basis dependent terms: the off-shell three-point vertex V_3 and the field transformation under the symmetry β . If we do not know these beforehand, how can we initiate a bootstrap procedure?

Despite this apparent lack of data, such a bootstrap is actually possible in some circumstances, e.g., for the framid. Suppose we want to find theories with two derivatives per interaction vertex which spontaneously break Lorentz boosts. Let us start with the general soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), together with Eq. (6.4) for the framons. For this particular case, the soft theorem for the on-shell three-point amplitude encodes enough information to constrain all couplings in V_3 . Then, imposing the soft theorem on higher point amplitudes recursively, we obtain a set of constraints on the couplings in a Lagrangian ansatz with only rotational symmetry. We find that the only solution at the two-derivative order coincides with the expansion of the framid Lagrangian in [14], which was constructed using the top-down approach.

Similarly, higher-derivative deformations of the framid Lagrangian can be obtained either from the top-down or the bottom-up construction (by adding higher dimensional operators to the Lagrangian ansatz). The two methods are complementary, however the bottom-up on-shell approach allows us to bypass the field-dependent redundancies of the Lagrangian and directly obtain the on-shell framid amplitudes.

8 Conclusions

In this paper we have initiated a systematic analysis of the soft behavior of scattering amplitudes in a broad class of condensed matter systems. The common thread linking these theories is that their gapless modes are the NGBs of spontaneously broken spacetime symmetries. As per the classification of [14], the dynamics of superfluids, solids, fluids, and framids can all be derived from universal principles governing nonlinearly realized symmetries.

Using current conservation, we have derived the general soft theorem in Eq. (2.25), which encodes the action of broken symmetry generators on the NGBs. The ingredients entering the soft theorem are the parameters of the broken symmetry transformation, α and β , together with the propagator and cubic vertex of the theory, Δ and V_3 . While β and V_3 are generally dependent on the field basis, and thus not individually invariant, they enter into Eq. (2.25) in a way that is field basis independent. Furthermore, Eq. (2.25) should be viewed as a soft theorem because it is an operation relating on-shell scattering amplitudes.

Applying this construction to various EFTs, we present and check a broad array of soft theorems, including those corresponding to temporal translations and Lorentz boosts of the superfluid, spatial translations and Lorentz boosts of the solid, volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of the fluid, and Lorentz boosts of the framid.

Last but not least, we have applied a soft bootstrap approach to these condensed matter systems. In this analysis we take as input the assumption of an enhanced Adler zero condition for soft NGBs. While we have identified exceptional theories of the solid and fluid with these enhanced infrared properties, they are all closely related in structure to relativistic DBI.

Our analysis leaves a number of directions for future work. For example, as noted earlier, our soft theorem does not actually require $SO(3)$ rotation invariance in the broken phase. Indeed, the only requirement is that symmetry breaking preserves some notion of a conserved energy and momentum. For this reason one can in principle study condensed matter systems with even less rotational symmetry. It would be interesting to classify these theories and their corresponding soft theorems.

Throughout this paper we have focused on scattering induced by the self-interactions of phonons. However, the interactions of phonons with other degrees of freedom, e.g., crystal defects or vortices are also of interest for many condensed matter systems. As long as these other modes can be incorporated consistently into the EFT of spontaneous spacetime symmetry breaking, it should be possible to mechanically derive new soft theorems for scattering processes involving these other degrees of freedom.

Another avenue for exploration is more elaborate variations of the soft bootstrap. In particular, it should be possible to *assume* a general ansatz for the broken symmetry parameters α and β , as well as a general ansatz for the propagator and cubic vertex, Δ and V_3 . Sculpting out the space of amplitudes satisfying our soft theorem might offer insight into new condensed matter systems of interest.

Finally, it would be interesting to understand whether the geometric perspective on soft theorems presented in [59, 60] extends to a nonrelativistic setting. A geometric description of

the corresponding EFTs has already been described in [26], so generalizing to this case should be relatively straightforward.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Tomas Brauner, James Mangan, Ira Rothstein, and Chia-Hsien Shen for useful discussions and comments on the paper. This work is supported by the DOE under grant no. DE-SC0011632 and by the Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics. J.P.-M. is also supported in part by the NSF under Grant No. NSF PHY-1748958 and would like to thank Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, the Korea Institute for Advanced Study, and the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality while this work was being completed.

A Nonrelativistic Kinematics

Our analysis will require a careful treatment of on-shell kinematics for scattering amplitudes with nonrelativistic dynamics. For the n -point amplitude, A_n , we define the four-momenta of the n hard legs to be

$$p_a^\mu = (\omega_a, p_a^i), \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where the external particle index is an integer in the range $1 \leq a \leq n$.

It will be crucial to define the notion of a minimal on-shell basis of kinematic invariants. A priori, the n -point amplitude is an $SO(3)$ invariant quantity that is a function of the energies ω_a and all inner products of three-momenta, $p_a \cdot p_b$ for $1 \leq a \leq b \leq n$. A minimal on-shell basis defines a set of kinematic variables for which all on-shell constraints are automatically imposed.

To achieve this, we first eliminate the energy and three-momentum of some leg, chosen here to be leg n , so

$$\omega_n = -\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \omega_a \quad \text{and} \quad p_n^i = -\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} p_a^i. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The elimination of the energy ω_n and three-momentum p_n^i of leg n via the above equations then automatically enforces total momentum conservation. Second, we impose the on-shell conditions for the external legs, allowing us to eliminate the kinematic invariants

$$p_a^2 = \frac{\omega_a^2}{c_a^2} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-1, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where c_a is the speed of sound for the corresponding leg. For example, for phonons it would be the longitudinal or transverse speeds of sound, c_L or c_T . The above condition allows us to

eliminate p_a^2 for $1 \leq a \leq n-1$. However since we have already eliminated p_n^i by momentum conservation, for the case of $a = n$ the on-shell condition imposes a more elaborate constraint,

$$p_n^2 = \left(\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} p_a \right)^2 = \frac{1}{c_n^2} \left(\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \omega_a \right)^2, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which should can be used to eliminate one more kinematic invariant, which we can choose to be $p_{n-2} \cdot p_{n-1}$ without loss of generality. In summary, the minimal kinematic basis is comprised of the variables

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_a & \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-1, \\ p_a \cdot p_b & \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a < b \leq n-2 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-3, \quad b = n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

with all other kinematic variables fixed by on-shell conditions.

With the inclusion of external polarization vectors, e_a^i for $1 \leq a \leq n$, similar logic applies. Without assuming any special properties of the external polarizations, for example whether they are longitudinal or transverse, we simply eliminate all invariants involving p_n^i . Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e_a \cdot e_b & \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a < b \leq n, \\ p_a \cdot e_b & \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq b \leq n, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

for the elements of the minimal kinematic basis involving polarizations.

Let us also write down the explicit minimal kinematic basis for three-point scattering,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{basis for } A_3 : \quad & \omega_1, \omega_2, \\ & p_1 \cdot e_1, p_1 \cdot e_2, p_1 \cdot e_3, p_2 \cdot e_1, p_2 \cdot e_2, p_2 \cdot e_3, \\ & e_1 \cdot e_2, e_1 \cdot e_3, e_2 \cdot e_3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The utility of these variables is that we can freely change them while remaining on the kinematic surface that defines on-shell configurations. From here on, we will write all on-shell quantities in terms of these minimal bases.

Finally, to evaluate the amplitude for a specific configuration of external modes, we plug in explicit longitudinal or transverse polarizations. The trasverse conditions put additional constraints on the minimal basis

$$\begin{aligned} p_a \cdot e_a & = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq a < n, \\ p_{n-1} \cdot e_n & = - \sum_{a=1}^{n-2} p_a \cdot e_n. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

For our analysis, we will be interested in how the soft limit of the $(n+1)$ -point amplitude, A_{n+1} , can be expressed in terms of the n -point amplitude, A_n . For this reason, we define legs

$1, \dots, n$ to be hard, since they are present in both A_{n+1} and A_n . On the other hand, leg $n+1$, with external polarization e^i , will be taken soft, so we parameterize it with a special four-momentum

$$q^\mu = (\omega, q^i). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

To be very explicit, A_{n+1} is a function of p_1, \dots, p_n, q while A_n is a function of $, \dots, p_n$. Both should be evaluated in a minimal kinematic basis in which the energy ω_n , the three-momentum p_n^i , and the invariant $p_{n-2} \cdot p_{n-1}$ have been eliminated. Consequently, for *any values* of the soft momentum q , the amplitudes remain on-shell. This ensures that the soft limit is taken while maintaining all on-shell conditions. The minimal basis for four-point scattering is then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{basis for } A_4 : \quad & \omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, q \cdot p_1, q \cdot p_2, q \cdot e, q \cdot e_1, q \cdot e_2, q \cdot e_3, \\ & p_1 \cdot e, p_1 \cdot e_1, p_1 \cdot e_2, p_1 \cdot e_3, p_2 \cdot e, p_2 \cdot e_1, p_2 \cdot e_2, p_2 \cdot e_3, \\ & e \cdot e_1, e \cdot e_2, e \cdot e_3, e_1 \cdot e_2, e_1 \cdot e_3, e_2 \cdot e_3. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

By construction, the minimal basis for A_4 in Eq. (A.10) reduces to the minimal basis for A_3 in Eq. (A.7) in the soft limit, $q \rightarrow 0$.

While the above approach is somewhat convoluted, we emphasize that any definition of the soft limit of an on-shell amplitude requires something analogous. In general, simply changing the momentum q of a leg to be soft will not maintain on-shell conditions. For the case of on-shell soft recursion [39, 41, 61, 62], the soft limit of a given leg must always be compensated by a slight shift of one of the hard legs. The minimal basis construction we have described above achieves this automatically.

References

- [1] Y. Nambu, “Quasiparticles and Gauge Invariance in the Theory of Superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev.* **117** (1960) 648–663.
- [2] J. Goldstone, “Field Theories with Superconductor Solutions,” *Nuovo Cim.* **19** (1961) 154–164.
- [3] J. Goldstone, A. Salam, and S. Weinberg, “Broken Symmetries,” *Phys. Rev.* **127** (1962) 965–970.
- [4] S. L. Adler, “Consistency conditions on the strong interactions implied by a partially conserved axial vector current,” *Phys. Rev.* **137** (1965) B1022–B1033.
- [5] H. Leutwyler, “Phonons as goldstone bosons,” *Helv. Phys. Acta* **70** (1997) 275–286, [arXiv:hep-ph/9609466](#).
- [6] H. Leutwyler, “Nonrelativistic effective Lagrangians,” *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 3033–3043, [arXiv:hep-ph/9311264](#).
- [7] D. T. Son, “Low-energy quantum effective action for relativistic superfluids,” [arXiv:hep-ph/0204199](#).
- [8] J. O. Andersen, “Effective field theory for Goldstone bosons in nonrelativistic superfluids,” [arXiv:cond-mat/0209243](#).
- [9] T. Brauner, “Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking and Nambu-Goldstone Bosons in Quantum Many-Body Systems,” *Symmetry* **2** (2010) 609–657, [arXiv:1001.5212 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] E. A. Ivanov and V. I. Ogievetsky, “The Inverse Higgs Phenomenon in Nonlinear Realizations,” *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **25** (1975) 164–177.
- [11] I. Low and A. V. Manohar, “Spontaneously broken space-time symmetries and Goldstone’s theorem,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 101602, [arXiv:hep-th/0110285](#).
- [12] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, “Redundancies in Nambu-Goldstone Bosons,” *Physical Review Letters* **110** no. 18, (May, 2013) 181601, [arXiv:1302.4800 \[cond-mat.other\]](#).
- [13] T. Brauner and H. Watanabe, “Spontaneous breaking of spacetime symmetries and the inverse Higgs effect,” *Phys. Rev. D* **89** no. 8, (2014) 085004, [arXiv:1401.5596 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [14] A. Nicolis, R. Penco, F. Piazza, and R. Rattazzi, “Zoology of condensed matter: Framids, ordinary stuff, extra-ordinary stuff,” *JHEP* **06** (2015) 155, [arXiv:1501.03845 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] D. Green, Y. Huang, and C.-H. Shen, “Inflationary Adler Conditions,” [arXiv:2208.14544 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] V. Assassi, D. Baumann, and D. Green, “On Soft Limits of Inflationary Correlation Functions,” *JCAP* **11** (2012) 047, [arXiv:1204.4207 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] B. Finelli, G. Goon, E. Pajer, and L. Santoni, “Soft Theorems For Shift-Symmetric Cosmologies,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** no. 6, (2018) 063531, [arXiv:1711.03737 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] L. Hui, A. Joyce, and S. S. C. Wong, “Inflationary soft theorems revisited: A generalized consistency relation,” *JCAP* **02** (2019) 060, [arXiv:1811.05951 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] S. Jazayeri, E. Pajer, and D. van der Woude, “Solid Soft Theorems,” *JCAP* **06** (2019) 011, [arXiv:1902.09020 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] L. Hui, A. Joyce, I. Komissarov, K. Parmentier, L. Santoni, and S. S. C. Wong, “Soft theorems for boosts and other time symmetries,” [arXiv:2210.16276 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] R. V. Lange, “Goldstone Theorem in Nonrelativistic Theories,” *Physical Review Letters* **14** no. 1, (1965) 3–6.
- [22] R. V. Lange, “Nonrelativistic Theorem Analogous to the Goldstone Theorem,” *Physical Review* **146** no. 1, (1966) 301–303.
- [23] H. Nielsen and S. Chadha, “On how to count Goldstone bosons,” *Nuclear Physics B* **105** no. 3, (1976) 445–453.
- [24] H. Watanabe and T. Brauner, “Spontaneous breaking of continuous translational invariance,” *Physical Review D* **85** no. 8, (2012) 085010, [arXiv:1112.3890 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [25] H. Watanabe and T. Brauner, “On the number of Nambu-Goldstone bosons and its relation to charge densities,” *Physical Review D* **84** no. 12, (2011) 125013, [arXiv:1109.6327 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [26] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, “Unified Description of Nambu-Goldstone Bosons without Lorentz Invariance,” *Physical Review Letters* **108** no. 25, (2012) 251602, [arXiv:1203.0609 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] A. Kapustin, “Remarks on nonrelativistic Goldstone bosons,” [arXiv:1207.0457 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [28] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, “Effective Lagrangian for Nonrelativistic Systems,” *Physical Review X* **4** no. 3, (Sept., 2014) 031057, [arXiv:1402.7066 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] C. Cheung, P. Creminelli, A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, and L. Senatore, “The Effective Field Theory of Inflation,” *JHEP* **03** (2008) 014, [arXiv:0709.0293 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [30] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, and J. Wang, “Solid Inflation,” *JCAP* **10** (2013) 011, [arXiv:1210.0569 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [31] T. Brauner, A. Esposito, and R. Penco, “Fractional Soft Limits of Scattering Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128** no. 23, (2022) 231601, [arXiv:2203.00022 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [32] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi, and J. Wang, “The Quantum mechanics of perfect fluids,” *JHEP* **04** (2011) 102, [arXiv:1011.6396 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] A. Dersy, A. Khmelnitsky, and R. Rattazzi, “The Quantum Perfect Fluid in 2D,” [arXiv:2211.09820 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] C. Cheung, J. Mangan, J. Parra-Martinez, and N. Shah, “Non-perturbative Double Copy in Flatland,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** no. 22, (2022) 221602, [arXiv:2204.07130 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] C. Cheung and J. Mangan, “Scattering Amplitudes and the Navier-Stokes Equation,” [arXiv:2010.15970 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [36] L. Susskind and G. Frye, “Algebraic aspects of pionic duality diagrams,” *Phys. Rev. D* **1** (1970) 1682–1686.
- [37] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, and J. Trnka, “Effective Field Theories from Soft Limits of Scattering Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** no. 22, (2015) 221602, [arXiv:1412.4095 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, C.-H. Shen, and J. Trnka, “A Periodic Table of Effective Field Theories,” *JHEP* **02** (2017) 020, [arXiv:1611.03137 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [39] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, C.-H. Shen, and J. Trnka, “On-Shell Recursion Relations for Effective Field Theories,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** no. 4, (2016) 041601, [arXiv:1509.03309 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] K. Kampf, J. Novotny, and J. Trnka, “Tree-level Amplitudes in the Nonlinear Sigma Model,” *JHEP* **05** (2013) 032, [arXiv:1304.3048 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] H. Luo and C. Wen, “Recursion relations from soft theorems,” *JHEP* **03** (2016) 088, [arXiv:1512.06801 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] H. Elvang, M. Hadjiantonis, C. R. T. Jones, and S. Paranjape, “On the Supersymmetrization of Galileon Theories in Four Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **781** (2018) 656–663, [arXiv:1712.09937 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [43] H. Elvang, M. Hadjiantonis, C. R. T. Jones, and S. Paranjape, “Soft Bootstrap and Supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **01** (2019) 195, [arXiv:1806.06079 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [44] C. Cheung, K. Kampf, J. Novotny, C.-H. Shen, J. Trnka, and C. Wen, “Vector Effective Field Theories from Soft Limits,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** no. 26, (2018) 261602, [arXiv:1801.01496 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [45] I. Low, “Adler’s zero and effective Lagrangians for nonlinearly realized symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **91** no. 10, (2015) 105017, [arXiv:1412.2145 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [46] I. Low, “Double Soft Theorems and Shift Symmetry in Nonlinear Sigma Models,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93** no. 4, (2016) 045032, [arXiv:1512.01232 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] I. Low and Z. Yin, “Ward Identity and Scattering Amplitudes for Nonlinear Sigma Models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** no. 6, (2018) 061601, [arXiv:1709.08639 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] I. Low and Z. Yin, “The Infrared Structure of Nambu-Goldstone Bosons,” *JHEP* **10** (2018) 078, [arXiv:1804.08629 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] D. Liu, I. Low, and R. Vega-Morales, “A Golden Probe of Nonlinear Higgs Dynamics,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** no. 9, (2020) 829, [arXiv:1904.00026 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [50] I. Low and Z. Yin, “Soft Bootstrap and Effective Field Theories,” *JHEP* **11** (2019) 078, [arXiv:1904.12859 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [51] L. Dai, I. Low, T. Mehen, and A. Mohapatra, “Operator Counting and Soft Blocks in Chiral Perturbation Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 116011, [arXiv:2009.01819 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [52] D. Roest, D. Stefanyszyn, and P. Werkman, “An Algebraic Classification of Exceptional EFTs,” *JHEP* **08** (2019) 081, [arXiv:1903.08222 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] K. Kampf, J. Novotny, F. Preucil, and J. Trnka, “Multi-spin soft bootstrap and scalar-vector Galileon,” [arXiv:2104.10693 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [54] K. Kampf, J. Novotny, and P. Vasko, “Extended DBI and its generalizations from graded soft theorems,” *JHEP* **10** (2021) 101, [arXiv:2107.04587 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] M. A. Mojahed and T. Brauner, “Nonrelativistic effective field theories with enhanced symmetries and soft behavior,” *JHEP* **03** (2022) 086, [arXiv:2201.01393 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] E. Pajer, D. Stefanyszyn, and J. Supeł, “The Boostless Bootstrap: Amplitudes without Lorentz boosts,” *JHEP* **12** (2020) 198, [arXiv:2007.00027 \[hep-th\]](#). [Erratum: *JHEP* **04**, 023 (2022)].
- [57] D. Stefanyszyn and J. Supeł, “The Boostless Bootstrap and BCFW Momentum Shifts,” *JHEP* **03** (2021) 091, [arXiv:2009.14289 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [58] T. Grall, S. Jazayeri, and D. Stefanyszyn, “The cosmological phonon: symmetries and amplitudes on sub-horizon scales,” *JHEP* **11** (2020) 097, [arXiv:2005.12937 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [59] C. Cheung, A. Helset, and J. Parra-Martinez, “Geometric soft theorems,” *JHEP* **04** (2022) 011, [arXiv:2111.03045 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [60] C. Cheung, A. Helset, and J. Parra-Martinez, “Geometry-kinematics duality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **106** no. 4, (2022) 045016, [arXiv:2202.06972 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [61] F. Cachazo, P. Cha, and S. Mizera, “Extensions of Theories from Soft Limits,” *JHEP* **06** (2016) 170, [arXiv:1604.03893 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [62] M. A. Mojahed and T. Brauner, “On-shell recursion relations for nonrelativistic effective field theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **822** (2021) 136705, [arXiv:2108.03189 \[hep-th\]](#).