

Schwinger-Dyson equation in complex plane

– The $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional Gross-Neveu model –

Hidekazu TANAKA ^{*)} and Shuji SASAGAWA
Rikkyo University, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan

ABSTRACT

Effective mass and energy of fermions are investigated using the Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) in the complex plane. As a simple example, we solve the SDE for the $(1+1)$ -dimensional Gross-Neveu model and study some properties of the effective mass and energy of fermions in the complex plane.

arXiv:2301.11793v1 [hep-th] 27 Jan 2023

^{*)} E-mail:tanakah@rikkyo.ac.jp

§1. Introduction

Behavior of effective mass and energy in non-perturbative region is one of interesting problems to be studied, because they are related to the properties of the propagator in non-perturbative region.

Particularly, interesting phenomena are expected in Minkowski space. In some studies, it has been pointed out that the positivity of the gluon spectral function in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) appears to be violated in strong coupling region. [1,2] This indicates that gluons do not have asymptotic states, suggesting that gluons are confined to hadrons.

Unfortunately, lattice simulations for studying non-perturbative region do not allow direct evaluation of the imaginary part of the effective mass in Minkowski space. One useful tool for studying non-perturbative phenomena is the Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) [3,4]. The structure of the gluon propagator has been evaluated by the SDE, in which the squared momentum for the gluon is extended to the complex value. [5,6]. They found that the gluon propagator has poles not on the real axis in the squared momentum plane at zero temperature. In their framework, they also showed that the spectral function of the gluon violates positive value condition.

In evaluations using the SDE, one of difficulties in Minkowski space is the existence of poles in propagator. This requires knowledge of the precise pole positions of the propagator in the self-energy calculation. To avoid this, it is computed by Wick-rotating the axis of integration from the real axis to the imaginary axis. However, the Wick rotation requires the location of the poles to be known in advance, but the value of the mass in the non-perturbative region is non-trivial.

In this paper, as a starting point for thinking about these problems, we examine the $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional (one dimension of time and one dimension of space) Gross-Neveu (GN) model at zero temperature. [7] We extend the SDE to the complex plane, and integrate the loop momentum around poles of the propagator in the self-energy with two different integration paths in the complex energy plane. Then we examine the properties of the solutions obtained by the SDE in the complex plane.

In Section 2, we formulate the SDE for the $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional GN model in terms of complex mass and energy. In Section 3, we discuss analytical solutions for effective mass and energy in the complex plane with finite cutoff values of the momentum. In Section 4, we numerically calculate the effective mass and energy using the SDE. Section 5 is devoted to the summary and some comments. Explicit expressions of the complex mass and energy implemented in calculations are given in Appendix.

§2. The SDE for effective mass of fermion in complex plane

The Lagrangian density of the GN model is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\not{\partial}\psi + \frac{g^2}{2}(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where ψ and g^2 are the 2-component fermion field in $(1+1)$ dimensions and the coupling constant of 4-fermion interaction, respectively.

In this paper, we evaluate the fermion effective mass M using the SDE. In order to obtain the effective mass, we calculate the one-loop self-energy Σ of the fermion in $(1+1)$ dimensions, which is given by

$$\Sigma = i\frac{g^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2Q \text{Tr}[S(Q)]. \quad (2.2)$$

In Eq.(2.2), $S(Q)$ is an effective propagator of the fermion with momentum $Q = (q_0, q)$, which is given by

$$iS(Q) = \frac{i}{Q - \Sigma + i\varepsilon} \quad (2.3)$$

Here, we define $\Sigma \equiv M$, because the wave-function renormalization constant of the fermion is $\sqrt{Z_2} = 1$ in one-loop order of perturbation.

Therefore, the SDE for the effective mass M is given by

$$M = i\frac{2g^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2Q \frac{M}{Q^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon} = i\lambda \int dq_0 dq \frac{M}{q_0^2 - q^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon}, \quad (2.4)$$

where we define $\lambda \equiv 2g^2/(2\pi)^2$ for simplicity. The propagator $S(Q)$ has poles, which satisfies $q_0^2 - q^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon = 0$.

In this paper, we extend q_0 as a complex value z and the effective mass M is also extended as a complex value. Explicitly, they are written as $q_0 = (q_0)_R + i(q_0)_I \equiv z_R + iz_I = z$ and $M \equiv M_R + iM_I$, respectively. Here, we write the denominator of the fermion propagator $S(Q)$ as

$$z^2 - q^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon \equiv z^2 - E^2(q) = (z - E(q))(z + E(q)) \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$E(q) \equiv \sqrt{E^2(q)} = \sqrt{q^2 + M^2 - i\varepsilon} \equiv E_R(q) + iE_I(q). \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore, the poles are located at $z = \pm E(q)$ in the complex z plane. Here, we define $E_R(q) > 0$. Explicit relations among the complex values are given in Appendix.

The SDE for the effective fermion mass in terms of the complex values is written as

$$M = i\lambda \int dq \oint_C dz \frac{M}{z^2 - q^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon} = i\lambda \int dq \oint_C dz \frac{M}{(z - E(q))(z + E(q))} \quad (2.7)$$

Here, we write above equation as

$$M = \frac{1}{2}M^{(+)} + \frac{1}{2}M^{(-)}, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$M^{(\pm)} = i\lambda \int dq \oint_C dz \frac{1}{z - z_{\pm}} \left[\frac{M}{z + z_{\pm}} \right] \equiv i\lambda \int dq \oint_C dz \frac{1}{z - z_{\pm}} f^{(\pm)}(z, q) \quad (2.9)$$

with $z_{\pm} = \pm E(q)$ and

$$f^{(\pm)}(z, q) = \frac{M}{z + z_{\pm}}. \quad (2.10)$$

In our calculation, we integrate Eq.(2.9) around $z = z_{\pm}$ with following two integral paths.

(1) Integral path including the imaginary axis

In this case, we separate the integral path around the poles $z_{\pm} = \pm E(q)$ to C_1 and C_2 as follows:

For the integral path around $z_+ = E(q)$, we take $-i\Lambda_0 - \eta < z < i\Lambda_0 - \eta$ as the path C_1 , and the path C_2 is defined as clockwise rotation in right-half on the complex energy plane with $z = \Lambda_0 e^{i\theta}$, where we take the integration from $\theta = \pi/2$ to $\theta = -\pi/2$.

On the other hand, for the integral path around $z_- = -E(q)$, we take $-i\Lambda_0 + \eta < z < i\Lambda_0 + \eta$ as the path C_1 , and the path C_2 is defined as anticlockwise rotation in left-half on the complex energy plane with $z = \Lambda_0 e^{i\theta}$, where we take the integration from $\theta = \pi/2$ to $\theta = 3\pi/2$. *)

Integrating over the integral path C around the pole $z_{\pm} = \pm E(q)$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (2.9), we have

$$M^{(\pm)} = i\lambda \int dq (\mp 2\pi i) f^{(\pm)}(z_{\pm}, q) = \pi\lambda \int dq \frac{M}{E(q)} \quad (2.11)$$

for $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the SDE for the effective mass is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{2}M^{(+)} + \frac{1}{2}M^{(-)} = \pi\lambda \int dq \frac{M}{E(q)}. \quad (2.12)$$

For $\eta \rightarrow 0$, this case corresponds to the SDE for Euclidian momentum integration with the complex mass M , which is given as

$$M = \lambda \int dq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_4 \frac{M}{q_4^2 + q^2 + M^2 - i\varepsilon} \quad (2.13)$$

with $z = iq_4$ in Eq. (2.7).

*) In order to evaluate the contributions from the singular poles on the imaginary axis, we sift the integral path by $\mp\eta$ from the imaginary axis.

(2) Integral path including the real axis

In this case, we separate the integral path around the poles $z_{\pm} = \pm E(q)$ to C_1 and C_2 as follows:

For the integral path around $z_+ = E(q)$, we take $-\Lambda_0 - i\eta < z < \Lambda_0 - i\eta$ as the path C_1 if $E_I > 0$, and the path C_2 is defined as anticlockwise rotation in upper-half on the complex energy plane with $z = \Lambda_0 e^{i\theta}$, where we take the integration from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = \pi$. If $E_I < 0$, we take $-\Lambda_0 + i\eta < z < \Lambda_0 + i\eta$ as the path C_1 , and the path C_2 is defined as clockwise rotation in lower-half on the complex energy plane with $z = \Lambda_0 e^{i\theta}$, where we take the integration from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = -\pi$.

For the integral path around $z_- = -E(q)$, we take $-\Lambda_0 - i\eta < z < \Lambda_0 - i\eta$ as the path C_1 if $E_I < 0$, and the path C_2 is defined as anticlockwise rotation in upper-half on the complex energy plane with $z = \Lambda_0 e^{i\theta}$, where we take the integration from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = \pi$. If $E_I > 0$, we take $-\Lambda_0 + i\eta < z < \Lambda_0 + i\eta$ as the path C_1 , and the path C_2 is defined as clockwise rotation in lower-half on the complex energy plane with $z = \Lambda_0 e^{i\theta}$, where we take the integration from $\theta = 0$ to $\theta = -\pi$.^{*}

Integrating over the integral path C around the pole $z_{\pm} = \pm E(q)$ in the right-hand side of Eq. (2·9), we have

$$M^{(\pm)} = i\lambda \int dq (\pm 2\pi i) \left(\frac{E_I(q)}{|E_I(q)|} \right) f^{(\pm)}(z_{\pm}, q) = -\pi\lambda \int dq \left(\frac{E_I(q)}{|E_I(q)|} \right) \frac{M}{E(q)} \quad (2\cdot14)$$

for $\Lambda_0 \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, the effective mass is given as

$$M = \frac{1}{2}M^{(+)} + \frac{1}{2}M^{(-)} = -\pi\lambda \int dq \left(\frac{E_I(q)}{|E_I(q)|} \right) \frac{M}{E(q)}. \quad (2\cdot15)$$

For $\eta \rightarrow 0$, this case corresponds to the SDE for Minkowski momentum integration with the complex mass M , which is given as

$$M = i\lambda \int dq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_0 \frac{M}{q_0^2 - q^2 - M^2 + i\varepsilon} \quad (2\cdot16)$$

with $z = q_0$ in Eq. (2·7).

§3. Analytical solutions

We can find analytical solutions for the SDE obtained in the previous section. Here, we write the SDE for two different integral paths as

$$M = \pi s \lambda \int dq \frac{M}{E(q)} \quad (3\cdot1)$$

with $s = 1$ for the case (1) and $s = -E_I(q)/|E_I(q)| = -[(M^2)_I - \varepsilon]/|(M^2)_I - \varepsilon|$ for the case (2), respectively.

^{*} In order to evaluate the contributions from the singular poles on the real axis, we sift the integral path by $\mp i\eta$ from the real axis.

From Eq.(3.1), nontrivial solutions with $M \neq 0$ are given by solving the equation

$$1 - \pi s \lambda \int dq \frac{1}{E(q)} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, the real and imaginary parts of Eq.(3.2) satisfy

$$1 - \pi s \lambda \int dq \frac{E_{\text{R}}(q)}{|E(q)|^2} = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\pi s \lambda \int dq \frac{E_{\text{I}}(q)}{|E(q)|^2} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

respectively.

Here, Eq. (3.4) is written as

$$\pi s \lambda \int dq \frac{E_{\text{I}}(q)}{|E(q)|^2} = \pi s \lambda ((M^2)_{\text{I}} - \varepsilon) \int dq \frac{1}{2E_{\text{R}}(q)|E(q)|^2} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

For $E_{\text{R}}(q) > 0$, we obtain $(M^2)_{\text{I}} - \varepsilon = 0$ for $s\lambda \neq 0$, which gives $E_{\text{I}}(q) = 0$.*) Moreover, from

$$(M^2)_{\text{I}} - \varepsilon = 2M_{\text{R}}M_{\text{I}} - \varepsilon = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

the imaginary part of the effective mass M_{I} is given by

$$M_{\text{I}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2M_{\text{R}}}. \quad (3.7)$$

In the calculation below, we neglect the imaginary part of the effective mass for small ε . Thus, we approximate as $(M^2)_{\text{R}} \simeq M_{\text{R}}^2$ for simplicity.

Using $E_{\text{I}}(q) = 0$, and introducing a ultraviolet cutoff Λ and an infrared cutoff δ for the momentum q , we write Eq. (3.3) as

$$1 = \pi s \lambda \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} dq \frac{1}{E_{\text{R}}} \theta(|q| - \delta) = 2\pi s \lambda \int_{\delta}^{\Lambda} dq \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2 + M_{\text{R}}^2}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Here, $\theta(|q| - \delta)$ denotes the step function for restriction of the momentum q .

Eq. (3.8) gives

$$1 = 2\pi s \lambda \log \left| \frac{\Lambda + \sqrt{\Lambda^2 + M_{\text{R}}^2}}{\delta + \sqrt{\delta^2 + M_{\text{R}}^2}} \right|, \quad (3.9)$$

which is satisfied if $s\lambda > 0$. Therefore, for $\lambda > 0$, $s = -E_{\text{I}}(q)/|E_{\text{I}}(q)| = 1$ should be satisfied for the case (2).

*) As shown in the next section, $E_{\text{I}}(q)$ is determined by an asymptotic value, which is numerically calculated by the SDE with a given initial value of the mass M .

Defining $m_{\text{R}} = M_{\text{R}}/\Lambda$, $\bar{\delta} = \delta/\Lambda$ and

$$\frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + m_{\text{R}}^2}}{\bar{\delta} + \sqrt{\bar{\delta}^2 + m_{\text{R}}^2}} = e^{1/(2\pi s\lambda)} \equiv \zeta, \quad (3.10)$$

Eq. (3.10) is written as

$$m_{\text{R}}^2(Am_{\text{R}}^2 - B) = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

with $A = (1 - \zeta^2)^2$ and $B = 4\zeta(1 - \bar{\delta}\zeta)(\zeta - \bar{\delta})$.

The solution for $m_{\text{R}}^2 \neq 0$ is given as

$$m_{\text{R}}^2 = \frac{B}{A} = \frac{4\zeta(1 - \bar{\delta}\zeta)(\zeta - \bar{\delta})}{(1 - \zeta^2)^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

For $s\lambda > 0$, $\zeta - \bar{\delta} > 0$ is satisfied. Moreover, $m_{\text{R}}^2 > 0$ demands $1 - \bar{\delta}\zeta > 0$, which gives

$$\zeta = e^{1/(2\pi s\lambda)} < \frac{1}{\bar{\delta}} = \frac{\Lambda}{\delta}. \quad (3.13)$$

Eq. (3.13) restricts the coupling constant λ as

$$\lambda > \frac{1}{2\pi \log \frac{\Lambda}{\delta}} \equiv \lambda_c \quad (3.14)$$

with $s = 1$.

For above restriction of λ , the real part of the effective mass is given as

$$m_{\text{R}} = \frac{M_{\text{R}}}{\Lambda} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{4\zeta(1 - \bar{\delta}\zeta)(\zeta - \bar{\delta})}{(1 - \zeta^2)^2}}. \quad (3.15)$$

§4. Numerical solutions

In this section, we calculate the SDE for two different integral paths. The SDE is given in Eq. (3.1). In numerical calculation, we write the SDE for the real and imaginary parts of the mass as

$$M_{\text{R}} = 2\pi s\lambda \int_{\delta}^{\Lambda} dq \frac{[M(E(q))^*]_{\text{R}}}{|E(q)|^2} = 2\pi s\lambda \int_{\delta}^{\Lambda} dq \frac{M_{\text{R}}E_{\text{R}}(q) + M_{\text{I}}E_{\text{I}}(q)}{|E(q)|^2} \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$M_{\text{I}} = 2\pi s\lambda \int_{\delta}^{\Lambda} dq \frac{[M(E(q))^*]_{\text{I}}}{|E(q)|^2} = 2\pi s\lambda \int_{\delta}^{\Lambda} dq \frac{M_{\text{I}}E_{\text{R}}(q) - M_{\text{R}}E_{\text{I}}(q)}{|E(q)|^2}, \quad (4.2)$$

,respectively with $|E(q)|^2 = E_{\text{R}}^2(q) + E_{\text{I}}^2(q)$.

We solve the SDE by iteration method from some initial input values for the real and imaginary parts of the effective mass denoted by $M_{R(0)}$ and $M_{I(0)}$.

For the case (1), we can start from any values of the mass to solve the SDE, since s is independent on the mass. However, for the case (2), the SDE has non-trivial solutions only for $s = -E_I(q)/|E_I(q)| = -[(M^2)_I - \varepsilon]/|(M^2)_I - \varepsilon| = 1$. Since $(M^2)_I = 2M_R M_I$, we set initial input values of the real and imaginary parts of the mass, which satisfy $(M^2)_{I(0)} = 2M_{R(0)}M_{I(0)} < 0$.




Fig. 1. The convergence behaviors of $|M|/\Lambda$ for $\lambda = 0.020, 0.025, 0.030$ with $M_{R(0)} = -M_{I(0)} = 0.01\Lambda$. The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.

In Fig.1, we present the convergence behaviors of $|M|/\Lambda = \sqrt{M_R^2 + M_I^2}/\Lambda$ near the critical coupling constant λ_c denoted in Eq. (3.14) with $\delta/\Lambda = 10^{-3}$, which gives $\lambda > 0.023$. Here, we set the input values of the mass as $M_{R(0)} = -M_{I(0)} = 0.01\Lambda$.*)

From Fig.1, we can conclude that λ_c locates between $\lambda = 0.020$ and $\lambda = 0.025$.




Fig. 2. The λ dependence of $|M|/\Lambda$ for $0.03 \leq \lambda \leq 3$ with $M_{R(0)} = -M_{I(0)} = 0.01\Lambda$. The dotted curve denotes the calculated result by the analytical solution divided by Λ .

*) We set $\varepsilon = 10^{-5}\Lambda^2$.

In Fig.2, we present the λ dependence of the absolute value of the effective mass $|M|/\Lambda$ for $0.03 \leq \lambda \leq 3$ with $M_{R(0)} = -M_{I(0)} = 0.01\Lambda$. The dotted curve denotes the calculated result using Eqs. (3.7) and (3.15).

In the following calculations, we set four initial values for the mass as $M_{R(0)} = \pm 0.01\Lambda$ and $M_{I(0)} = \pm 0.01\Lambda$, respectively.




Fig. 3. The convergence behavior of $E_R(q)/\Lambda$ with $q = 0$. The straight lines denote the energy divided by Λ calculated using the analytical solutions of the mass. The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.




Fig. 4. The convergence behavior of $E_I(q)/\Lambda$ with $q = 0$. The straight lines denote the analytical solutions of energy, which is $E_I(q)/\Lambda = 0$. The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.

In Figs.3 and 4, we show the convergence behaviors of the real and imaginary parts of the energy with the momentum $q = 0$, respectively. The straight lines denote the energy calculated using the analytical solutions of the mass given in Eqs.(3.7) and (3.15).(See Appendix.)

Since the real part of the energy is defined to be positive, the numerical results do not depend on the sign of initial values of the mass. The imaginary part of the energy converges $E_I \rightarrow 0$, in which the convergence behavior depends on the sign of

$(M^2)_{I(0)}$. The calculated results shown in Figs. 1-4 are common for the two integral paths (1) and (2).

On the other hand, the convergence behaviors for the effective mass are different for the two integral paths.




Fig. 5. The convergence behavior of M_R/Λ for the case (1). The straight lines denote the analytical solutions of the real part of the effective mass divided by Λ . The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.




Fig. 6. The convergence behavior of M_I/Λ for the case (1). The straight lines denote the analytical solutions of the imaginary part of the effective mass divided by Λ . The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.

For the case (1), the real and imaginary parts of the effective mass calculated by the SDE are shown in Figs.5 and 6, respectively. As shown in Fig. 5, the convergent solution splits into two values depending on the sign of $M_{R(0)}/\Lambda$. As shown in Fig.6, the imaginary part of the effective mass is small and it depends on ε . Moreover, M_I/Λ initially behaves according to the sign of the initial value of $M_{I(0)}/\Lambda$, but the convergent solution depends on the sign of the initial value $M_{R(0)}/\Lambda$.




Fig. 7. The convergence behavior of M_R/Λ for the case (2). The straight lines denote the analytical solutions of the real part of the effective mass divided by Λ . The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.




Fig. 8. The convergence behavior of M_I/Λ for the case (2). The straight lines denote the analytical solutions of the imaginary part of the effective mass divided by Λ . The horizontal axis denotes the number of iterations.

For the case (2), the convergence behaviors of the effective mass calculated by the SDE are shown in Figs.7 and 8, respectively. As shown in Figs. 7 and 8, the convergent solution splits into two values depending on the sign of the initial value of $M_{R(0)}/\Lambda$ for $(M^2)_{I(0)} < 0$. However, for $(M^2)_{I(0)} > 0$, the iterated values are oscillated. In this case, since $s < 0$, the SDE has no non-trivial solution.

§5. Summary and Comments

In this paper, we examined the (1 + 1)-dimensional Gross-Neveu (GN) model at zero temperature and solved the Schwinger-Dyson equation (SDE) in the complex plane. We compared the effective mass and energy calculated in two different integral paths in the complex energy plane. Then we examined the properties of the solutions

obtained by the SDE.

First, we investigated the effect of the momentum cutoff on chiral symmetry breaking. Though the cutoff on the momentum is an artificial parameter for numerical calculations, this example suggests a possibility of changing the critical point in a physical system with restricted momentum.

In the model treated in this paper, the imaginary part of the energy is zero and the poles of the effective propagator are on the real axis, which is different situation in QCD pointed out in Ref.[5,6], in which the poles are not on the real axis.

We also investigated the dependence of the solutions obtained by the SDE on the initial input parameters. The effective mass obtained by the SDE depends on the sign of the input initial input values. Our calculations suggest that the SDE may lead to multiple solutions depending on the initial input values. Moreover, it can be seen that, for the integral path including the real axis, which corresponds to the SDE in Minkowski space, the input values leading to chiral symmetry broken phases are limited than the case with the integral path including the imaginary axis, which corresponds to the SDE in Euclidean space. This result suggests that the calculation of SDE requires careful selection of input values. On the other hand, in our example, when an oscillating solution exists, there exists a solution with broken chiral symmetry for input values of appropriate sign.

The SDE extended to complex plane may be useful for investigating a wider class of non-perturbative solutions. Although further computational techniques will be required, it is expected that the method presented in this paper can be applied to other models such as non-perturbative QCD in Minkowski space.

Appendix. Complex mass and energy

In order to solve the SDE in complex energy plane, we need the explicit forms of complex mass and energy.

We define the complex mass as $M = M_R + iM_I$ and the squared of the mass as $M^2 = (M^2)_R + i(M^2)_I$. Here, $(M^2)_R$ and $(M^2)_I$ are given by

$$(M^2)_R = M_R^2 - M_I^2, \quad (M^2)_I = 2M_R M_I.$$

The squared energy E^2 is defined by

$$E^2 = q^2 + M^2 - i\varepsilon \equiv (E^2)_R + i(E^2)_I.$$

with

$$(E^2)_R = q^2 + (M^2)_R, \quad (E^2)_I = (M^2)_I - \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand, using the complex energy $E = E_R + iE_I$, $(E^2)_R$ and $(E^2)_I$ are also written as

$$(E^2)_R = E_R^2 - E_I^2, \quad (E^2)_I = 2E_R E_I.$$

Therefore the imaginary part of the energy is written as

$$E_I = \frac{(E^2)_I}{2E_R}.$$

Substituting above equation to $(E^2)_R = E_R^2 - E_I^2$, we have a quadratic equation for E_R^2 as

$$(E_R^2)^2 - E_R^2(E^2)_R - (E^2)_I^2/4 = 0.$$

The solution of the equation for $E_R^2 > 0$ is given by

$$E_R^2 = \frac{(E^2)_R + |E^2|}{2}$$

with $|E^2| = \sqrt{[(E^2)_R]^2 + [(E^2)_I]^2}$.

Therefore, we have the solution

$$E_R = \sqrt{\frac{(E^2)_R + |E^2|}{2}}, \quad E_I = \frac{(E^2)_I}{2E_R}$$

for $E_R > 0$.

-

References

- 1) D.Dudal,O.Oliveira and P.J.Silva, Phys.Rev.**D89**,014010(2014) [arXiv:1310:4069 [hep-lat]].
- 2) F.Siringo, Phys.Rev.**D94**,0114036(2016) [arXiv:1605:07357 [hep-ph]].
- 3) F.J.Dyson, Phys.Rev.**75** (1949) ,1736.
- 4) J.S.Schwinger,Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.**37** (1951),452.
- 5) S.Strauss, C.S.Fischer and C.Kellermann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109** (2012),252001 [arXiv:1208:6239 [hep-ph]].
- 6) C.S.Fischer and M.Q.Huber, Phys. Rev. **D102** (2020),094005 [arXiv:2007.11505].
- 7) D.J.Gross and A.Neveu, Phys. Rev. **D10** (1974),3235.