

Diffusive Representations for the Numerical Evaluation of Fractional Integrals

Kai Diethelm

FANG, Technical University of Applied Sciences Würzburg-Schweinfurt, Ignaz-Schön-Str. 11, 97421 Schweinfurt, Germany
Email: kai.diethelm@thws.de

Abstract—Diffusive representations of fractional differential and integral operators can provide a convenient means to construct efficient numerical algorithms for their approximate evaluation. In the current literature, many different variants of such representations have been proposed. Concentrating on Riemann-Liouville integrals whose order is in $(0, 1)$, we here present a general approach that comprises most of these variants as special cases and that allows a detailed investigation of the analytic properties of each variant. The availability of this information allows to choose concrete numerical methods for handling the representations that exploit the specific properties, thus allowing to construct very efficient overall methods.

Index Terms—fractional integral operator, Riemann-Liouville integral, diffusive representation, asymptotic behaviour, smoothness

I. INTRODUCTION

Owing to the non-local character of fractional order differential and integral operators, their numerical evaluation in their traditional representation is a computationally much more complex task than the analog evaluation of their integer order counterparts, both with respect to run time and with respect to memory requirements, especially when an evaluation at many points is required. Diffusive representations of the fractional operators [1], [2], also known as infinite state representations [3], can be used as a foundation upon which algorithms can be constructed that do not have such a high complexity; indeed in an asymptotic sense (i.e. when the number N of evaluation points is large) these methods require only $O(N)$ operations and an $O(1)$ amount of memory, which is the same as observed in methods for integer order problems. In this paper, we shall investigate representations of this type for Riemann-Liouville integrals

$$J_a^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - \tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau \quad (1)$$

of order $\alpha > 0$ with starting point $a \in \mathbb{R}$ for functions $f \in C[a, b]$. This continues the author's recent investigations of similar properties of fractional differential operators [4]–[6]. The main technical goal will be to provide the basic elements of a general theory of such representations that comprises many previously suggested concrete approaches as special cases. In this context, we concentrate on those properties of the diffusive representations that are particularly relevant when one attempts to design efficient numerical methods.

II. THE GENERAL APPROACH

Our first result is a general fundamental representation for Riemann-Liouville integrals of a given continuous function f . Here and in the following, given a number $\alpha \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ that denotes the order of the fractional operator under consideration, we use the notational conventions

$$n = \lceil \alpha \rceil \quad \text{and} \quad c_\alpha = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \cdot \prod_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\ell - \alpha}. \quad (2)$$

In the case $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ (which is the case that arises in the vast majority of practical applications of fractional calculus based models), this reduces to

$$n = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_\alpha = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi}. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, we will use the following definition.

Definition 1: A function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called an *admissible transformation* if it has the following properties:

- Its domain Ω is a non-empty open interval.
- $\psi \in C^1(\Omega)$.
- ψ is strictly monotonically increasing.
- $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \inf \Omega} \psi(\omega) = 0$ and $\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \sup \Omega} \psi(\omega) = +\infty$.

With these conventions, our first main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1: Let $f \in C[a, b]$ with some real numbers $a < b$, and let $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, assume that $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is an admissible transformation. Then, for every $t \in [a, b]$, the Riemann-Liouville integral of order α of the function f can be expressed in the form of the *diffusive representation*

$$J_a^\alpha f(t) = \int_\Omega \phi(t, \omega) d\omega \quad (4a)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(t, \omega) &= c_\alpha \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{n-\alpha-1} \\ &\times \int_a^t (t - \tau)^{n-1} \exp(-(t - \tau)\psi(\omega)) f(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4b)$$

The proof of this result will be given in Section V.

The essential basis for all the constructions of the numerical algorithms that exploit such diffusive representations is the observation that, for any fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the function $\phi(\cdot, \omega)$ can be characterized as being the unique solution to an initial value problem for a very simple differential equation of *integer* order. The precise structure of this differential equation is given in the following theorem. Like Theorem 1, we shall also prove this result in Section V.

Theorem 2: Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Then, for any $\omega \in \Omega$, the function $\phi(\cdot, \omega)$ is the unique solution on the interval $[a, b]$ to the n -th order differential equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} \frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial t^k}(t, \omega) = c_\alpha \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{n-1-\alpha} (n-1)! \cdot f(t) \quad (5a)$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$\frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial t^k}(a, \omega) = 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1). \quad (5b)$$

Remark 1: The differential equation (5a) only contains derivatives with respect to t , and all these derivatives are of integer order. Therefore, when only looking at this equation, one may consider t as the variable and all other quantities (in particular, ω) as (fixed) parameters. Then, it is natural to consider (5a) as an ordinary, not a partial, differential equation. More precisely, it is an inhomogeneous n -th order linear ordinary differential equation with constant coefficients subject to the homogeneous initial conditions (5b).

In the special case $0 < \alpha < 1$, the statement of Theorem 2 reduces to the following result.

Corollary 3: Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2. Moreover, let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then, for any $\omega \in \Omega$, the function $\phi(\cdot, \omega)$ is the unique solution on the interval $[a, b]$ to the first order differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, \omega) = -\psi(\omega) \phi(t, \omega) + c_\alpha \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{-\alpha} f(t) \quad (6a)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$\phi(a, \omega) = 0. \quad (6b)$$

Remark 2: A number of special cases of such representations (i.e., special choices of the transformation ψ and the corresponding domain Ω) have been discussed in the literature. We mention the following examples:

- $\Omega = (0, \infty)$ and $\psi(\omega) = \omega^2$ [7],
- $\Omega = (0, \infty)$ and $\psi(\omega) = \omega^{1-\alpha}$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$ [8], [9],
- $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$ and $\psi(\omega) = e^\omega$ [5], [6].

Other options that do not seem to have been discussed so far might be, e.g.,

- $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $\psi(\omega) = \tan(\omega\pi/2)$ and
- $\Omega = (0, 1)$ and $\psi(\omega) = \omega^\sigma / (1-\omega)^\rho$ with some $\sigma, \rho > 0$.

III. PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTION ϕ

The main motivation of this paper is to provide a basis upon which one can construct efficient numerical methods for the calculation of $J_a^\alpha f(t_\nu)$, $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$, with a potentially very large value of N . From Theorems 1 and 2, we can conclude that a possible option in this context is to use the representation (4a) for $t = t_\nu$ and to evaluate this integral numerically with the help of a suitably chosen quadrature formula. The integrand $\phi(t_\nu, \cdot)$ needs to be known of course, and this can be accomplished by numerically solving the initial value problem (5) with an appropriate algorithm. When

taking a concrete decision for the specific choices of these two numerical schemes, it is important to take the properties of the function ϕ into account. The numerical methods should be chosen in a way that is suitable for functions with these properties. Therefore, we now provide an analysis of the function ϕ , attempting to establish those properties that are relevant in the context of choosing the numerical methods. It will turn out that the properties under investigation strongly depend on which admissible transformation ψ has been selected.

A. The integration of ϕ

We begin with some properties that are related to the integration in eq. (4a). Our first result in this context deals with the smoothness of the integrand in this equation, i.e. the function $\phi(t, \cdot)$ for a fixed value of t . This is an important feature because, from classical results in the theory of numerical integration [10], we know that integrals can be numerically computed with high accuracy with a relatively small effort if the integrand is many times differentiable (with respect to the integration variable) whereas this requires a much higher effort if the integrand has only a few derivatives.

Theorem 4: Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, we have:

- If the transformation function ψ satisfies $\psi \in C^k(\Omega)$ with some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then, for any $t \in [a, b]$, we have $\phi(t, \cdot) \in C^{k-1}(\Omega)$.
- If the transformation function ψ satisfies $\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ then, for any $t \in [a, b]$, we have $\phi(t, \cdot) \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Bearing in mind the reasoning mentioned above, it is therefore advisable to use functions ψ that are differentiable very frequently, ideally being in $C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the representation (4b). \square

A second aspect that is relevant in the context of identifying a numerical integration method that performs well for the integrand in question is the integrand's asymptotic behaviour as the integration variable tends towards the ends of the integration interval. In this context, we can provide the following results.

Theorem 5: Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1, and let $t \in [a, b]$ be fixed.

- 1) There exists some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\phi(t, \omega)| \leq C \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{-\alpha-1} \quad \text{for } \omega \rightarrow \sup \Omega.$$

- 2) There exists some constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\phi(t, \omega)| \leq C \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{n-\alpha-1} \quad \text{for } \omega \rightarrow \inf \Omega.$$

Remark 3: For the interpretation of these bounds, it is useful to remember that, by definition of the admissible transformation ψ , we have

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \sup \Omega} \psi(\omega) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \inf \Omega} \psi(\omega) = 0$$

(see the last item in the list of defining properties of the admissible transformations in Definition 1).

Proof of Theorem 5. From (4b), using the substitution $\rho = (t - \tau)\psi(\omega)$, we find that

$$|\phi(t, \omega)| \leq |c_\alpha| \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{-\alpha-1} \sup_{u \in [a, b]} |f(u)| \times \int_0^{(t-a)\psi(\omega)} \rho^{n-1} e^{-\rho} d\rho.$$

Depending on whether we are dealing with part 1 or part 2 of the statement, we now continue by estimating the integral on the right-hand side of this inequality in two different ways.

For part 1, we note that

$$\int_0^{(t-a)\psi(\omega)} \rho^{n-1} e^{-\rho} d\rho < \int_0^\infty \rho^{n-1} e^{-\rho} d\rho = (n-1)!$$

which completes the proof in this case.

To prove part 2, we use the fact that $e^{-\rho} \leq 1$ for all values of ρ inside the integration range. This allows us to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{(t-a)\psi(\omega)} \rho^{n-1} e^{-\rho} d\rho &\leq \int_0^{(t-a)\psi(\omega)} \rho^{n-1} d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{n} ((t-a)\psi(\omega))^n = C'(\psi(\omega))^n \end{aligned}$$

with some positive constant C' , and the proof is complete in this case too. \square

Example 1: For $\psi(\omega) = e^\omega$, we have $\Omega = (-\infty, \infty)$. Then, the function ψ is in $C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\psi(t, \omega) = O(e^{-\alpha\omega})$ for $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ and $\psi(t, \omega) = O(e^{(n-\alpha)\omega})$ for $\omega \rightarrow -\infty$. Therefore, an integral with this integrand is highly suitable for being numerically computed by dividing the integration range up into $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$, using a linear transformation of variables such that the new integrands on the subintervals asymptotically behave as $ce^{-\omega}$ and ce^ω , respectively, and with approximating the integral over each part with the help of, e.g., a Gauss-Laguerre quadrature formula [11]. This special case has been discussed in detail in [5], [6].

B. The solution of the initial value problem

Next we address the aspects that are related to the initial value problem (5). The main result here refers to the smoothness of the solution $\phi(\cdot, \omega)$ to this initial value problem as a function of the variable according to which we differentiate in eq. (5a):

Theorem 6: Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1. Moreover, let $f \in C^\ell[a, b]$ with some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, for any $\omega \in \Omega$, $\phi(\cdot, \omega) \in C^{\ell+n}[a, b]$.

We shall once again prove this result in Section V.

Remark 4: Let us consider the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the differential equation that ϕ solves takes the form (6a). Introducing the notation

$$F_\omega(t, Y) = -\psi(\omega)Y + c_\alpha \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{-\alpha} f(t)$$

so that the right-hand side of the differential equation (6a) is $F_\omega(t, \phi(t, \omega))$, we can see (taking into consideration that our assumptions on the function ψ imply that $\psi(\omega) \geq 0$ for all ω) that

$$(F_\omega(t, Y_1) - F_\omega(t, Y_2))(Y_1 - Y_2) = -\psi(\omega)(Y_1 - Y_2)^2 \leq 0$$

for all $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we conclude that the differential equation (6a) is dissipative [12, Definition 8.58]. This is a feature that is very helpful when trying to derive error bounds for (implicit) numerical solvers that do not massively overestimate the true errors [12, Section 8.9].

Remark 5: In practical cases, the function f to be fractionally integrated is the solution to a fractional differential equation or a function closely related to that. It is well known [13, Section 6.4] that such functions tend to be continuous but not differentiable at the initial point a , although differentiability at the other points of the interval $[a, b]$ can usually be observed. This fact limits the practical applicability of Theorem 6. However, the precise nature of the nondifferentiable components of the functions under consideration is often well understood. This information can in principle be exploited to obtain a more precise description of the smoothness properties of the function ϕ . We intend to address this question in future work.

IV. NUMERICAL SCHEMES

We now briefly indicate how the findings described above can be used to construct efficient numerical methods. To this end, we shall in this section restrict our attention to the practically most important case $0 < \alpha < 1$.

The basic idea is to use, for a given function f and a given data point t_k , a quadrature formula to evaluate the integral in eq. (4a), i.e. we write

$$J_a^\alpha f(t_k) \approx \sum_{m=1}^M w_{m,M} \tilde{\phi}(t_k, \omega_{m,M}) \quad (7)$$

with suitable weights $w_{m,M} \in \mathbb{R}$ and nodes $\omega_{m,M} \in \Omega$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, M$) that should be chosen in a way that is adapted to the asymptotic behaviour of the function ϕ indicated in Theorem 5. In eq. (7), the function $\tilde{\phi}$ ideally should be identical to ϕ itself, but in practical applications this is likely to be unknown, and therefore one usually needs to replace it by an approximation.

To obtain this approximation, one exploits the fact that ϕ solves the initial value problem (5) and solves this problem numerically. (Note that, in view of our assumption $0 < \alpha < 1$, this involves a first order differential equation.) For the sake of simplicity, we shall here concentrate on the case that this is done with the help of a one-step solver like the backward Euler method. This allows us to obtain the required solution at the point t_k based on the knowledge of the solution at the preceding point t_{k-1} (which is known from the initial condition for $k = 1$ and has been computed in the preceding time step otherwise). From eq. (7), we can see that we need to solve the initial value problem not just once, but actually M times, namely for the parameter values $\omega = \omega_{m,M}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, M$).

In these cases, the differential equation (5a) (which, in this case, has the form given in (6a)) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}(t, \omega_{m,M}) = -\lambda_{m,M} \phi(t, \omega_{m,M}) + f_{m,M}(t) \quad (8)$$

with $f_{m,M}(t) = c_\alpha \psi'(\omega_{m,M})(\psi(\omega_{m,M}))^{-\alpha} f(t)$ and $\lambda_{m,M} = \psi(\omega_{m,M})$. Taking into account that eq. (8) is dissipative (see Remark 4), the differential equation (8) will be classified as stiff if

$$\lambda_{m,M} = \psi(\omega_{m,M}) \gg 0,$$

cf. [12, Section 8.9].

This observation explains the main challenge that one has to face when constructing numerical methods based on this approach: If $\omega_{m,M}$ is such that $\psi(\omega_{m,M})$ is large then the differential equation (8) becomes very stiff, and this is likely to cause difficulties in the numerical solution. In particular, reasonable results can only be expected when an implicit method is used [12, Section 8.9], e.g. the backward Euler method or the trapezoidal method. Nevertheless, it might be advisable to avoid such points as much as possible. Clearly, in view of the fact that $\psi(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \sup \Omega$ by definition of an admissible transformation, we cannot avoid such points completely in the limit case $M \rightarrow \infty$ since then we must not have any nonempty subintervals of Ω that do not contain any $\omega_{m,M}$. But in practical applications, M is finite, and then the discrete points $\{\omega_{m,M} : m = 1, 2, \dots, M\}$ leave gaps between them. In this situation, since we want to have a good approximation to the integral in eq. (4a) with the formula (7), one should aim to place those gaps (where the value of the integrand does not contribute to the quadrature sum) in regions where $|\phi(t, \omega)|$ is small (i.e. in regions which do not contribute to the overall value of the integral in a very substantial way). By Theorem 5, this is the case if $\psi'(\omega)(\psi(\omega))^{-\alpha-1}(\omega)$ is small.

We intend to devote a future separate paper to a thorough and systematic search for admissible transformations with this property, for suitable quadrature formulas that exploit this behaviour, and for (implicit) solvers for initial value problems that can be well combined with these quadrature formulas.

V. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will provide the proofs of our main results that have not been given already, viz. Theorems 1, 2 and 6.

A. Proof of Theorem 1

For the proof of Theorem 1, we need some well known fundamental properties of the Gamma function that we recall here, namely its definition [14, eq. (2.1)]

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty u^{z-1} e^{-u} du \quad \text{for } z > 0, \quad (9)$$

the functional equation [14, eq. (2.2)]

$$z\Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1) \quad \text{for } z > 0, \quad (10)$$

and the reflection formula [14, eq. (4.5)]

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = \frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} \Gamma(1-z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}. \quad (11)$$

In the first step of the proof, we combine the definition (1) of $J_a^\alpha f(t)$ with the reflection formula (11) and obtain

$$J_a^\alpha f(t) = \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \Gamma(1-\alpha) \int_a^t (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau.$$

In the next step, we apply the functional equation (10) $n-1$ times which, in view of the definition of c_α given in (2), yields

$$J_a^\alpha f(t) = c_\alpha \int_a^t \Gamma(n-\alpha)(t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau.$$

Noting that, by the definition (2) of n , the argument $n-\alpha$ of the Gamma function in this equation is in $(0, 1)$, we replace the Gamma function by the integral on the right-hand side of (9), thus arriving at

$$\begin{aligned} J_a^\alpha f(t) &= c_\alpha \int_a^t \int_0^\infty u^{n-\alpha-1} e^{-u} du (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1} f(\tau) d\tau \\ &= c_\alpha \int_a^t \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{u}{t-\tau}\right)^{1-\alpha} e^{-u} u^{n-2} f(\tau) du d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution $u = (t-\tau)\psi(\omega)$ in the inner integral then leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} J_a^\alpha f(t) &= c_\alpha \int_a^t \int_\Omega (\psi(\omega))^{n-1-\alpha} e^{-(t-\tau)\psi(\omega)} \\ &\quad \times (t-\tau)^{n-1} f(\tau) \psi'(\omega) d\omega d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Under the given conditions, Fubini's Theorem allows to interchange the order of integration, and this gives the desired representation (4). \square

B. An auxiliary result

Next, we state an auxiliary result that we will need later in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 7: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Then,

$$\sum_{k=\mu}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\mu} (-1)^{k-\mu} = 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=\mu}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\mu} (-1)^{k-\mu} \\ &= \sum_{k=\mu}^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{k!}{\mu!(k-\mu)!} (-1)^{k-\mu} \\ &= \frac{n!}{\mu!(n-\mu)!} \sum_{k=\mu}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-\mu} (n-\mu)!}{(k-\mu)!(n-k)!} \\ &= \binom{n}{\mu} \sum_{k=\mu}^n (-1)^{k-\mu} \binom{n-\mu}{n-k} \\ &= (-1)^{n-\mu} \binom{n}{\mu} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-\mu} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-\mu}{\ell} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last step, we have used a well known identity for binomial coefficients [15, eq. 3.1.7]. \square

C. Proof of Theorem 2

We start by collecting some basic properties of the function ϕ . To this end, we shall use the notation

$$g_1(z) = z^{n-1}, \quad g_2(z) = e^{-z\psi(\omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = g_1(z)g_2(z).$$

A k -fold differentiation of g where $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ leads to

$$g^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{\mu=0}^k \binom{k}{\mu} g_1^{(\mu)}(z) g_2^{(k-\mu)}(z). \quad (12)$$

Since g_1 has an $(n-1)$ -fold zero at 0, it is clear that $g_1^{(\mu)}(0) = 0$ for $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$. We thus conclude

$$g^{(k)}(0) = 0 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2. \quad (13)$$

By definition of g , it follows from (4b) that

$$\phi(t, \omega) = c_\alpha^* \int_a^t g(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \quad (14)$$

where

$$c_\alpha^* = c_\alpha \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{n-\alpha-1}.$$

Hence, using (13), a k -fold differentiation of (14) yields

$$\frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial t^k}(t, \omega) = c_\alpha^* \int_a^t g^{(k)}(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (15)$$

Evaluating these expressions and eq. (14) at $t = a$, we can see that ϕ satisfies the initial condition (5b).

Using the case $k = n-1$ of eq. (15) and differentiating once more, we find

$$\frac{\partial^n \phi}{\partial t^n}(t, \omega) = c_\alpha^* \left(g^{(n-1)}(0) f(t) + \int_a^t g^{(n)}(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \right). \quad (16)$$

Taking into account eqs. (12) and (13), we can see that

$$g^{(n-1)}(0) = g_1^{(n-1)}(0) g_2(0) = \left[\frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} z^{n-1} \right]_{z=0} = (n-1)!.$$

Plugging this relation into (16), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^n \phi}{\partial t^n}(t, \omega) = c_\alpha^* \left((n-1)! \cdot f(t) + \int_a^t g^{(n)}(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \right). \quad (17)$$

Exploiting eqs. (15) and (17), we thus derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} \frac{\partial^k \phi}{\partial t^k}(t, \omega) \\ &= c_\alpha^* \left((n-1)! \cdot f(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} \int_a^t g^{(k)}(t-\tau) f(\tau) d\tau \right) \\ &= c_\alpha^* \left((n-1)! \cdot f(t) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_a^t f(\tau) \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} g^{(k)}(t-\tau) d\tau \right) \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the proof of our desired result (5a), it now suffices to show that the sum inside of the integral on the

right-hand side of this equation vanishes identically. To see this, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} g^{(k)}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} \sum_{\mu=0}^k \binom{k}{\mu} g_1^{(\mu)}(z) g_2^{(k-\mu)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the definitions of g_1 and g_2 , the derivatives of these functions are easy to compute, namely

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{(\mu)}(z) &= \begin{cases} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-\mu)!} z^{n-1-\mu} & \text{for } \mu = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \mu = n, \end{cases} \\ g_2^{(k-\mu)}(z) &= (-\psi(\omega))^{k-\mu} e^{-z\psi(\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} g^{(k)}(z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} e^{-z\psi(\omega)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\mu=0}^{\min(k, n-1)} \binom{k}{\mu} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-\mu)!} z^{n-1-\mu} (-\psi(\omega))^{k-\mu} \\ &= e^{-z\psi(\omega)} (n-1)! \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{n-1-\mu} (\psi(\omega))^{n-\mu}}{(n-1-\mu)!} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{k=\mu}^n \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\mu} (-1)^{k-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

The innermost sum is zero because of Lemma 7, and hence the entire expression is zero as required. \square

D. Proof of Theorem 6

For the sake of exposition, we provide two different proofs of Theorem 6.

Firstly, using the notation $\Phi(t) = \phi(t, \omega)$ for some arbitrary but fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the differential equation (5a) can be written in the form

$$\Phi^{(n)}(t) = G(t, \Phi(t), \Phi'(t), \dots, \Phi^{(n-1)}(t)) \quad (18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & G(t, z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (\psi(\omega))^{n-k} z_k \\ & \quad + c_\alpha \psi'(\omega) (\psi(\omega))^{n-1-\alpha} (n-1)! \cdot f(t). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, under the given assumptions, the function G on the right-hand side of eq. (18) possesses ℓ continuous partial derivatives with respect to t . The claim is then an immediate consequence of some well known general properties of differential equations [16, Chapter 1, Theorem 1.2]. \square

Alternatively, we can also resort to the representation of the n -th partial derivative of $\phi(t, \omega)$ with respect to t that was

given in eq. (16). It is evident that, under our assumptions, the expression on the right-hand side of (16) possesses ℓ continuous derivatives with respect to t , and this also implies our claim. \square

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a general framework for designing many possible special cases of diffusive representations for fractional integral operators. Our abstract analysis of these representations allowed us to establish a number of their properties. The knowledge of these properties can be exploited to design fast and accurate algorithms for the numerical evaluation of Riemann-Liouville integrals at multiple data points.

In view of the well known relationships between Riemann-Liouville integrals and Caputo derivatives, the results can also be transferred to numerical methods for the latter. Moreover, algorithms based on our findings can form a basic building block for fast solvers for fractional differential equations.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Montseny, “Diffusive representation of pseudo-differential time-operators,” *ESAIM, Proc.*, vol. 5, pp. 159–175, 1998.
- [2] —, *Représentation diffusive*. Paris: Hermes Science/Lavoisier, 2005.
- [3] M. Hinze, A. Schmidt, and R. I. Leine, “Numerical solution of fractional-order ordinary differential equations using the reformulated infinite state representation,” *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.*, vol. 22, pp. 1321–1350, 2019.
- [4] K. Diethelm, “Fast solution methods for fractional differential equations in the modeling of viscoelastic materials,” in *Proc. 9th International Conference on Systems and Control*. Piscataway: IEEE, 2021, pp. 455–460.
- [5] —, “A new diffusive representation for fractional derivatives, part II: Convergence analysis of the numerical scheme,” *Mathematics*, vol. 10, p. 1245, 2022.
- [6] —, “A new diffusive representation for fractional derivatives, part I: Construction, implementation and numerical examples,” in *Fractional Differential Equations: Modeling, Discretization, and Numerical Solvers*, A. Cardone, M. Donatelli, F. Durastante, R. Garrappa, M. Mazza, and M. Popolizio, Eds. Singapore: Springer Nature, 2023.
- [7] L. Yuan and O. P. Agrawal, “A numerical scheme for dynamic systems containing fractional derivatives,” *J. Vibration Acoustics*, vol. 124, pp. 321–324, 2002.
- [8] A. Chatterjee, “Statistical origins of fractional derivatives in viscoelasticity,” *J. Sound Vibration*, vol. 284, pp. 1239–1245, 2005.
- [9] S. J. Singh and A. Chatterjee, “Galerkin projections and finite elements for fractional order derivatives,” *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 45, pp. 183–206, 2006.
- [10] H. Braß and K. Petras, *Quadrature Theory*. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 2011.
- [11] P. J. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, 2nd ed. San Diego: Academic Press, 1984.
- [12] R. Plato, *Concise Numerical Mathematics*. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [13] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*. Berlin: Springer, 2010.
- [14] E. Artin, *The Gamma Function*. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1964.
- [15] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Washington: National Bureau of Standards, 1972.
- [16] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1955.