

Unbiased estimators for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates

Chao Zheng ^{*}and Jiangtao Pan

School of Data Sciences, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics,
Hangzhou, China

Abstract

We combine the unbiased estimators in Rhee and Glynn (Operations Research: 63(5), 1026-1043, 2015) and the Heston model with stochastic interest rates. Specifically, we first develop a semi-exact log-Euler scheme for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates, and then, under mild assumptions, we show that the convergence rate in L^2 norm is $O(h)$, where h is the step size. The result applies to a large class of models, such as the Heston-Hull-White model, the Heston-CIR model and the Heston-Black-Karasinski model. Numerical experiments confirm our theoretical convergence rate.

Keywords: Heston model, stochastic interest rates, unbiased estimators, convergence rate, Heston-Hull-White model, Heston-CIR model

AMS subject classifications (2000): 60H35, 65C30, 91G60

1 Introduction

The classical Heston model (Heston [11]) is one of the fundamental models in finance, and it has been widely applied in various financial markets, such as the equity, the fixed income and the foreign exchange markets, due to its tractability in modelling the term structure of implied volatility. However, in this model, the interest rate is constant, and this assumption is often not appropriate for long-maturity options, because the long-term behaviour of the interest rate is typically far from being constant. This phenomenon has been empirically investigated by Bakshi, Cao and Chen [2]. A natural extension of the Heston model is to add a stochastic interest rate, which is usually referred to as the Heston model with stochastic interest rates. There are several contributions in this direction, such as Grzelak and Oosterlee [10], Van Haastrecht and Pelsler [18] and references therein.

^{*}Email: chao.zheng12@gmail.com. This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11801504).

Under the Heston model with stochastic interest rates, the price of an option can be written as

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S) \right)$$

where S is the solution to the Heston model with stochastic interest rates and r_t is the underlying interest rate. Here, P denotes the payoff functional of an option. We are interested in calculating this expectation, as for the majority of options, there are no closed-form formulas. A classical approach is to use a Monte Carlo method associated with a time-discrete scheme on S for an approximate value. Recently, Rhee and Glynn [17] proposed several unbiased Monte Carlo estimators based on a randomization idea for a stochastic differential equation, which are unbiased versions of multilevel Monte Carlo estimators by Giles [8]. These unbiased estimators have a clear advantage over the standard Monte Carlo estimator, as the latter is typically biased when S has to be approximated through a time-discrete scheme. To combine Rhee and Glynn's estimators and the Heston model with stochastic interest rates, it is essential to develop a numerical scheme with a good convergence rate in L^2 norm. However, the standard theorems, such as those in Kloeden and Platen [13], require that the drift and diffusion coefficients satisfy the global Lipschitz and linear growth assumptions, which are not satisfied by the Heston model with stochastic interest rates. Research on developing time-discrete schemes for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates is scarce. Cozma, Mariapragassam and Reisinger [6] proposed a different log-Euler scheme for the stochastic-local volatility model with stochastic rates, which includes the model we consider, and they demonstrated strong convergence without providing a rate. This is the only reference we are aware of concerning the convergence of Monte Carlo algorithms for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates.

In this article, we develop a semi-exact log-Euler scheme for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates, where the driven Brownian motion for interest rate models is independent of the driven Brownian motions for the Heston component. The scheme is an extension of those in Mickel and Neuenkirch [14] and Zheng [19] for the classical Heston model. Under mild assumptions on interest rate models and the assumption that the payoff of an option is Lipschitz continuous and bounded, we show that the underlying scheme converges with order one in L^2 norm. There are two advantages of the scheme we develop. One is that the convergence rate is free of Feller's index, i.e., the convergence rate is valid for the full range of parameters in the Heston component of the Heston model with stochastic interest rates. The other is that the convergence rate is higher than the usual convergence rate (one-half in L^2 norm) of the standard Euler scheme under standard assumptions. When a numerical scheme has a convergence rate higher than one-half, it is convenient to combine it into Rhee and Glynn's unbiased estimators. Our result applies to a large class of models, including the Heston-Hull-White model, the Heston-CIR model and the Heston-Black-Karasinski model, among which the Heston-Hull-White model and the Heston-CIR model are particularly attractive in practical applications. We refer readers to Grzelak and Oosterlee [10] for more discussions.

The remainder of the article is organized as follows. In section 2, we review the Heston model with stochastic interest rates and develop a log-Euler scheme for it. Section

3 reviews the unbiased estimators from Rhee and Glynn [17]. In section 4, we derive the relevant convergence rate under several mild assumptions. Section 5 illustrates numerical results to support our theoretical analysis.

2 Heston model with stochastic interest rates

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space satisfying the usual assumptions. The Heston model with stochastic interest rates is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= r_t S_t dt + \sqrt{V_t} S_t (\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2) \\ dV_t &= k(\theta - V_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{V_t} dW_t^1, \end{aligned}$$

where $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$ are two independent \mathcal{F}_t -adapted Brownian motions and the parameters $k, \theta, \sigma > 0$ and $\rho \in [-1, 1]$. Here, $(r_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a stochastic interest rate. The classical interest rate models and their generalizations can be found at Brigo and Mercurio [4]. Among them, a large class of interest rate models can be written as

$$dr_t = \mu(t, r_t) dt + \phi(t, r_t) dW_t^3,$$

where $\mu, \phi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and $(W_t^3)_{t \geq 0}$ is a \mathcal{F}_t -adapted Brownian motion. We assume that $(W_t^3)_{t \geq 0}$ is independent of $(W_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$. Furthermore, we assume that there is a unique solution to the equation of r_t above.

Let $X_t = \ln(S_t)$. By using Itô's lemma, we have

$$dX_t = \left(r_t - \frac{1}{2} V_t \right) dt + \sqrt{V_t} \left(\rho dW_t^1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_t^2 \right).$$

Then substituting the equation of V_t into the equation above, we obtain

$$dX_t = \left(\left(r_t - \frac{k\rho\theta}{\sigma} \right) + \left(\frac{k\rho}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \right) V_t \right) dt + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} dV_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sqrt{V_t} dW_t^2.$$

Since $(V_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is independent of $(W_t^2)_{t \geq 0}$, the stochastic integral $\int_0^T \sqrt{V_t} dW_t^2$ is normally distributed with mean 0 and variance $\int_0^T V_t dt$. Therefore, the solution at any finite time horizon $T > 0$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} X_T = X_0 + & \left[\int_0^T r_t dt + \left(\frac{\rho k}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \int_0^T V_t dt + \frac{\rho}{\sigma} (V_T - V_0 - k\theta T) \right. \\ & \left. + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sqrt{\int_0^T V_t dt} N \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where N is a standard normal random variable, that is independent of $(V_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Note that N is also independent of $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, because the driving Brownian motion $(W_t^3)_{t \in [0, T]}$ of $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is independent of $(W_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(V_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. We see that there are several integrals in equation (1) to be approximated.

It is known that V_t follows a scaled noncentral chi-squared distribution given V_u for any $u \in [0, t)$, i.e.,

$$V_t \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\sigma^2(1 - e^{-k(t-u)})}{4k} \chi_d^2 \left(\frac{4ke^{-k(t-u)}}{\sigma^2(1 - e^{-k(t-u)})} V_u \right),$$

where $\chi_d^2(\lambda)$ denotes a non-central chi-squared random variable with degrees of freedom $d = \frac{4k\theta}{\sigma^2} > 0$ and noncentrality parameter $\lambda > 0$ (see Glasserman [9]). Hence, V_t can be sampled exactly. Let $(\hat{r}_{ih})_{i=1, \dots, T/h}$ be an approximate path of $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. It is convenient to approximate

$$\int_0^T r_t dt \approx \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h, \quad \int_0^T V_t dt \approx \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h,$$

using the Euler scheme based on step size h . We denote by \hat{X}_T^h the approximated solution of X_T . Let $\hat{S}_T^h := e^{\hat{X}_T^h}$, so that \hat{S}_T^h is an approximation of S_T .

3 Unbiased estimators for SDEs

In this section, we review the unbiased estimators introduced in Rhee and Glynn [17]. The prices of many options can be expressed as

$$\mathbb{E}(Y) := \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) \right)$$

where P is the payoff functional and $Y \in L^2$ (i.e., $\mathbb{E}(Y^2) < \infty$). To estimate this expectation, Rhee and Glynn [17] proposed an estimator

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\mathcal{N}} \Delta_n / \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \geq n)$$

where $\Delta_n = Y_n - Y_{n-1}$ and Y_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is an approximation of Y with step size $T/2^n$ and $Y_{-1} = 0$. In this article, we let

$$Y_n = e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h), \quad h = T/2^n.$$

Here, \mathcal{N} is a nonnegative integer-valued random variable that is independent of Y_n . This estimator is usually referred to as the coupled sum estimator. There are other unbiased estimators constructed in a similar way (see Rhee and Glynn [17]).

Suppose that Y_n converges to Y in L^2 norm as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Theorem 1 of Rhee and Glynn [17] showed that if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[(Y_{n-1} - Y)^2]}{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \geq n)} < \infty \quad (2)$$

then Z is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbb{E}(Y)$ (i.e., $\mathbb{E}(Z) = \mathbb{E}(Y)$) with a finite variance. Furthermore, the average computational time of Z is proportional to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \geq n). \quad (3)$$

Therefore, if $\mathbb{E}[(Y_n - Y)^2] = O(2^{-2np}) = O(h^{2p})$ with $p > 1/2$ (Here, p is the convergence rate in L^2 norm), we can easily construct a distribution for \mathcal{N} such that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \geq n) = O(2^{-n(p+1/2)})$ to ensure that (2) and (3) are finite. The optimal distribution of \mathcal{N} can be calculated based on minimizing the product of the variance and the average computational time of Z ; see Rhee and Glynn [17] for more discussions. Hence, it is important to investigate the convergence rate of $\mathbb{E}[(Y_n - Y)^2]$.

4 Convergence analysis

Recall that $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ follows the stochastic differential equation

$$dr_t = \mu(t, r_t)dt + \phi(t, r_t)dW_t^3,$$

where $(W_t^3)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is independent of $(W_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(W_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Let c and c_n be constants regardless of their values, where c_n relies on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Our analysis throughout this article is based on the following assumption:

Assumption 1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[(\mu(t, r_t))^{2n}] < \infty, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[(\phi(t, r_t))^{2n}] < \infty,$$

and the approximate interest rate $(\hat{r}_{ih})_{i=1, \dots, T/h}$ satisfies

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, T/h} \mathbb{E}[(\hat{r}_{ih} - r_{ih})^{2n}] < c_n h^{2n}.$$

Remark 1. A typical time-discrete scheme that may satisfy Assumption 1 is the Milstein scheme. Under some standard assumptions on model coefficients (e.g., Lipschitz continuity, linear growth), the convergence rate in L^2 norm is one.

Theorem 4.1. Under Assumption 1, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(X_T - \hat{X}_T^h \right)^{2n} \right] = O(h^{2n}), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation verifies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(X_T - \hat{X}_T^h \right)^{2n} \right] \\
& \leq c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] + c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T V_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] \\
& \quad + c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sqrt{\int_0^T V_t dt} - \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h} \right)^{2n} \right], \tag{4}
\end{aligned}$$

where the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sqrt{\int_0^T V_t dt} - \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h} \right)^{2n} \right] \\
& = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{\int_0^T V_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h}{\sqrt{\int_0^T V_t dt} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h}} \right)^{2n} \right] \\
& \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T V_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h \right)^{4n} \right]} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\int_0^T V_t dt} \right)^{2n} \right]}. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 4.1(a) in Dufresne [7], we learn that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\frac{1}{\int_0^T V_t dt} \right)^{2n} \right] < \infty$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, it suffices to investigate the two quantities

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T V_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right], \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right].$$

Let us focus on the quantity of r_t , because the analysis of the quantity of V_t is similar. It holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] \\
& \leq c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} r_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] + c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} (\hat{r}_{ih} - r_{ih}) h \right)^{2n} \right]. \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\eta(t) := \max\{lh : lh \leq t, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For the first term of (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} r_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_{\eta(t)} dt - \int_0^T r_t dt \right)^{2n} \right] \\
&\leq c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\eta(t)}^t \mu(u, r_u) du \right) dt \right)^{2n} \right] + c_n \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\eta(t)}^t \phi(u, r_u) dW_u^3 \right) dt \right)^{2n} \right]. \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

An application of the Fubini theorem yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \left(\int_{\eta(t)}^t \mu(u, r_u) du \right) dt &= \int_0^T \left(\int_u^{\eta(u)+h} \mu(u, r_u) dt \right) du \\
&= h \int_0^T \left(1 + \frac{\eta(u) - u}{h} \right) \mu(u, r_u) du.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, with substitution of the equation above, it follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\eta(t)}^t \mu(u, r_u) du \right) dt \right)^{2n} \right] \leq c_n h^{2n} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\mu^{2n}(u, r_u)] du = O(h^{2n}). \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, we obtain from the stochastic Fubini theorem (see Theorem 65, Protter [16]) and the Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left(\int_{\eta(t)}^t \phi(u, r_u) dW_u^3 \right) dt \right)^{2n} \right] \\
&= h^{2n} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \left(1 + \frac{\eta(u) - u}{h} \right) \phi(u, r_u) dW_u^3 \right)^{2n} \right] \\
&\leq c_n h^{2n} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \phi^2(u, r_u) du \right)^n \right] \leq c_n h^{2n} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\phi^{2n}(u, r_u)] du = O(h^{2n}). \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using (8) and (9), we show that (7) is $O(h^{2n})$. For the second term of (6), by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} (\hat{r}_{ih} - r_{ih}) h \right)^{2n} \right] \leq \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \mathbb{E} [(\hat{r}_{ih} - r_{ih})^{2n}] h = O(h^{2n}). \tag{10}$$

Thus, combining (7) and (10) into (6), we conclude that $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] = O(h^{2n})$. It can be proven analogously that $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T V_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} V_{ih} h \right)^{2n} \right] = O(h^{2n})$. Finally, we substitute (5) and the two $O(h^{2n})$ terms above into (4) to complete the proof. \square

Then we proceed to the convergence rate of the underlying log-Euler scheme to approximate the price of an option. This requires us to impose a boundedness assumption on the option payoff.

Assumption 2. *The payoff $P : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous and there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that $P(U) = P(C)$ for all $U > C$.*

Under Assumption 2, it holds that

$$|P(U_1) - P(U_2)| \leq c |\ln U_1 - \ln U_2| \quad (11)$$

for all $U_1, U_2 \in [0, +\infty)$, see Theorem 3.1 in Zheng [19]. The payoff that satisfies Assumption 2 is bounded, which is typically suitable for a put-style option. The put-style option becomes worthless when the price of the underlying asset S_T is sufficiently high. For example, the standard European put option has the payoff $P(S_T) := \max\{K - S_T, 0\}$ with $K > 0$, which satisfies Assumption 2.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that Assumptions 1 and 2 are satisfied. Suppose that $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and its approximation $(\hat{r}_{ih})_{i=1, 2, \dots, T/h}$ are nonnegative. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) \right)^2 \right] < \infty$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] = O(h^2).$$

Proof. For the first term, since $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is nonnegative and Assumption 2 implies that P is bounded, it is trivial that $\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) \right)^2 \right] < \infty$. For the second term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} \left(P(S_T) - P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right) + P(\hat{S}_T^h) \left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right) \right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[e^{-2\int_0^T r_t dt} \left(P(S_T) - P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[P^2(\hat{S}_T^h) \left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

As it holds that

$$|e^{-x} - e^{-y}| \leq |x - y|$$

for any $x, y \geq 0$ and the processes $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $(\hat{r}_{ih})_{i=1, 2, \dots, T/h}$ are nonnegative, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[P^2(\hat{S}_T^h) \left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right)^2 \right] \leq c \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^2 \right] = O(h^2). \quad (13)$$

The right-hand side of (13) is $O(h^2)$; see the proof of Theorem 4.1. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, inequality (11) and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-2 \int_0^T r_t dt} \left(P(S_T) - P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4 \int_0^T r_t dt} \right)} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(P(S_T) - P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^4 \right]} \\ & \leq c \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\ln(S_T) - \ln(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^4 \right]} = O(h^2), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4 \int_0^T r_t dt} \right) < \infty$, since r_t is nonnegative. With (13) and (14) substituted into (12), the proof is complete. \square

We emphasize that Theorem 4.2 is for nonnegative interest rate processes, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(r_t \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, T]) = 1$, which are satisfied by a large class of interest rate models. However, if r_t can be negative, for example when r_t follows the Hull-White model, then inequality (13) may not be satisfied. To address this problem, we establish Theorem 4.3 below:

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that Assumptions 1 and Assumption 2 are satisfied. Suppose that $\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4 \int_0^T r_t dt} \right) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4 \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right) < \infty$. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) \right)^2 \right] < \infty$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] = O(h^2).$$

Proof. For the first term, it follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) \right)^2 \right] < c \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-2 \int_0^T r_t dt} \right) < c \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4 \int_0^T r_t dt} \right)} < \infty.$$

Then, we focus on the second term. The classical Taylor expansion, together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-2\varepsilon} \left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left(\max(e^{-4\int_0^T r_t dt}, e^{-4\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h}) \right)} \cdot \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^4 \right]}
\end{aligned}$$

where ε is between $\int_0^T r_t dt$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h$. We have proved in Theorem 4.1 that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T r_t dt - \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h \right)^4 \right] = O(h^4).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\max(e^{-4\int_0^T r_t dt}, e^{-4\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h}) \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4\int_0^T r_t dt} \right) + \mathbb{E} \left(e^{-4\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right) < \infty.$$

Consequently

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right)^2 \right] = O(h^2).$$

The remaining of the proof can be easily completed by using the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[e^{-2\int_0^T r_t dt} \left(P(S_T) - P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] + 2\mathbb{E} \left[P^2(\hat{S}_T^h) \left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} \right)^2 \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and following similar steps as in Theorem 4.2. \square

5 Applications

In this section, we apply our results from Section 4 to several well-known interest rate models in finance, including the CIR model, the Hull-White model and the Black-Karasinski model. We always assume that the payoff P satisfies Assumption 2.

5.1 Heston-CIR model

The CIR model, which was introduced by Cox, Ingersoll and Ross [5], is represented as

$$dr_t = \alpha(\beta - r_t)dt + \gamma\sqrt{r_t}dW_t^3,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$. It is known that r_t follows a scaled noncentral chi-squared distribution given $r_u, u \in [0, t)$, i.e.,

$$r_t \stackrel{d}{=} \frac{\gamma^2(1 - e^{-\alpha(t-u)})}{4\alpha} \chi_d^2 \left(\frac{4\alpha e^{-k(t-u)}}{\sigma^2(1 - e^{-\alpha(t-u)})} r_u \right),$$

where $\chi_d^2(\lambda)$ denotes a noncentral chi-squared random variable with degrees of freedom $d = \frac{4\alpha\beta}{\gamma^2}$ and noncentrality parameter λ (see Glasserman [9]). For the exact simulation of r_t , i.e., $\hat{r}_t = r_t, t \in [0, T]$, we have $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}(r_t^n) < \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, it is easy to verify that Assumption 1 is satisfied. As $\mathbb{P}(r_t \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, T]) = 1$, it follows from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] = O(h^2) \quad (15)$$

for the full parameter regime of the Heston-CIR model.

Since the exact simulation of the CIR process can be time-consuming, there are several time-discrete schemes for the CIR process (see Alfonsi [1] for discussions). Neuenkirch and Szpruch [15] showed that the BEM scheme and the drift-implicit Milstein scheme preserve the nonnegativity of the CIR process, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(\hat{r}_t \geq 0, \forall t \in [0, T]) = 1$ and both of them are strongly convergent with order one when $\frac{2\alpha\beta}{\gamma^2} > 3$. Specifically, for the BEM scheme, Proposition 3.1 in Neuenkirch and Szpruch [15] demonstrated that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\max_{i=1, \dots, T/h} (\hat{r}_{ih} - r_{ih})^p \right] < c_n h^p,$$

if $2 \leq p < \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma^2}$. For the drift-implicit Milstein scheme, Lemma 4.1 in Neuenkirch and Szpruch [15] guaranteed that

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, T/h} \mathbb{E} |\hat{r}_{ih} - r_{ih}| < ch,$$

if $\frac{\alpha\beta}{\gamma^2} > \frac{3}{2}$. These results indicate that the assumptions in Theorem 4.2 might be satisfied; thus, (15) might hold for both the BEM scheme and the drift-implicit Milstein scheme applied to the CIR process.

5.2 Heston-Hull-White model

The Hull-White model (Hull and White [12]) is of the form

$$dr_t = \alpha(\beta(t) - r_t)dt + \gamma dW_t^3,$$

where $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $\beta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous. Given $r_u, u \in [0, t)$, the interest rate r_t is normally distributed with mean

$$e^{-\alpha(t-u)} r_u + \alpha \int_u^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \beta(s) ds$$

and variance

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{2\alpha}(1 - e^{-2\alpha(t-u)})$$

(see Glasserman [9], p109). In practice, it is often the case that $\beta(t)$ has a simple structure so that it is convenient to simulate r_t exactly. Let $\hat{r}_t = r_t$, $t \in [0, T]$. Since it holds that $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}(r_t^n) < \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Assumption 1 is satisfied. Furthermore, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-4 \int_0^T r_t dt}\right) < \infty$ (see Glasserman [9], p111) and $\mathbb{E}\left(e^{-4 \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h}\right) < \infty$.

The latter expectation is finite because $e^{-4 \sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h}$ is lognormal distributed. Thus, from Theorem 4.3 we find that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h)\right)^2\right] = O(h^2)$$

for the full parameter regime of the Heston-Hull-White model.

5.3 Heston-Black-Karasinski model

The Black-Karasinski model (Black and Karasinski [3]) can be written as

$$d \ln r_t = (\beta(t) - \alpha \ln r_t) dt + \gamma dW_t^3,$$

where $\alpha, \gamma > 0$ and $\beta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous. It follows from Itô's formula that

$$dr_t = r_t \left(\beta(t) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \alpha \ln r_t \right) dt + \gamma r_t dW_t^3.$$

Given $r_u, u \in [0, t)$, the random variable r_t has a lognormal distribution (see Brigo and Mercurio [4]); hence, r_t is usually simulated exactly. Specifically, given $r_u, u \in [0, t)$, the logarithm of the interest rate $\ln r_t$ is normally distributed with mean

$$e^{-\alpha(t-u)} \ln r_u + \int_u^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \beta(s) ds$$

and variance

$$\frac{\gamma^2}{2\alpha}(1 - e^{-2\alpha(t-u)}).$$

Let $\hat{r}_t = r_t$, $t \in [0, T]$. Since each moment of a lognormal random variable is finite, we have $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}(r_t^{2n}) < \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It holds from the continuity of β and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(r_t \left(\beta(t) + \frac{\gamma^2}{2} - \alpha \ln r_t \right) \right)^{2n} \right] \\ & \leq c_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}(r_t^{2n}) + c_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[(r_t \ln r_t)^{2n} \right] \\ & \leq c_n \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}(r_t^{2n}) + c_n \sqrt{\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}(r_t^{4n}) \cdot \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[(\ln r_t)^{4n} \right]} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

	k	θ	σ	ρ	α	β	γ	r_0	V_0	S_0
CIR-exact	3	0.04	0.25	0.5	1	0.06	0.25	0.05	0.04	1
CIR-BEM	3	0.04	0.25	0.5	3.5	0.06	0.25	0.05	0.04	1
HW	2	0.04	0.25	0.5	1	0.05	0.25	0.05	0.04	1
BK	3	0.04	0.25	0.5	1	0.06	0.25	0.05	0.04	1

Table 1: Parameters of the Heston model with stochastic interest rates.

Thus, Assumption 1 is satisfied. As r_t is nonnegative, we obtain from Theorem 4.2 that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right] = O(h^2)$$

for all parameter regimes of the Heston-Black-Karasinski model.

6 Numerical results

In this section, we conduct numerical experiments to verify the convergence rate derived in Section 4 and then evaluate the efficiency of the log-Euler scheme we develop combined with Rhee and Glynn's unbiased estimators.

We consider the Heston model with three different interest rate models: the CIR model, the Hull-White model and the Black-Karasinski model. For the CIR model, we focus on two methods to simulate the path: one is the exact simulation method and the other is the BEM scheme from Neuenkirch and Szpruch [15]. For the the Hull-White model and the Black-Karasinski model, we simulate the paths exactly. We aim to test the convergence rate of

$$Err(h) := \mathbb{E} \left[\left(e^{-\int_0^T r_t dt} P(S_T) - e^{-\sum_{i=0}^{T/h-1} \hat{r}_{ih} h} P(\hat{S}_T^h) \right)^2 \right],$$

where $P(S_T) := \max(K - S_T, 0)$, $K > 0$, is the payoff of an European put option. Note that the payoff of such an option satisfies Assumption 2. The model parameters are available at Table 1 and we set $T = 1$ and $S_0 = K = 1$ for all cases. All experiments are performed in Matlab.

Figure 1 plots $\log_2(Err(h))$ against $-\log_2(h)$, with $h = 2^{-n}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 7$. Here, the values of S_T and $\int_0^T r_t dt$ are approximated using the Euler scheme in Section 2 based on a very small step size 2^{-10} . For the BEM method, $(r_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ needs an additionally approximation also using step size 2^{-10} , which shares the same Brownian motion path with the corresponding $(\hat{r}_{ih})_{i=1, 2, \dots}$. To estimate $Err(h)$, the number of Monte Carlo samples for each h in each model is at least 0.5 million. As illustrated in Figure 1, the convergence rate in all cases is two, which is consistent with the theoretical convergence rate.

Next, we incorporate the log-Euler scheme into Rhee and Glynn's unbiased estimators. As discussed in Section 3, the implementation of the unbiased estimator Z requires




Figure 1: Convergence rate for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates. The model parameters are from Table 1.

	RMSE	Computational time
CIR-exact	2.18×10^{-4}	8.34
CIR-BEM	1.49×10^{-4}	8.62
HW	2.45×10^{-4}	5.90
BK	1.58×10^{-4}	9.06

Table 2: The RMSE and computational time (in seconds) of Z based on 10^6 samples. The model parameters are from Table 1.

setting a distribution of \mathcal{N} . In this experiment, we simply take $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N} \geq n) = 2^{-3n/2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that (2) and (3) are finite. Hence, Z is unbiased with a finite variance and finite computational time. Table 2 reports the root mean square error (RMSE) and the computational time (in seconds) of Z based on 1 million samples. Note that for some applications, either the variance or the computational time of Z can be infinite, see Zheng, Blanchet and Glynn [21]. We see from Table 2 that all of these quantities are finite, which again coincides with the theory. This suggests that the log-Euler scheme we develop is well-suited to the framework of Rhee and Glynn’s unbiased estimators. Furthermore, compared with the result from Figure 1, we observe that a method for an interest rate model with a large RMSE of Z tends to have a large $Err(h)$. Thus, to make the RMSE small, we may prefer a method with a small error in L^2 norm for the same model parameters.

7 Conclusion

In this article, we develop a semi-exact log-Euler scheme for the Heston model with stochastic interest rates and analyse the relevant convergence rate in L^2 norm. The SDEs of the Heston model with stochastic interest rates can be divided into two components: the Heston component and the interest rate component. Under mild assumptions on the interest rate component but with no assumption on the Heston component, we show that the convergence rate is one, which allows us to easily incorporate the log-Euler scheme into Rhee and Glynn's unbiased estimators. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the log-Euler scheme and the convergence analysis apply to a large class of interest rate models.

There are two directions of extensions that might be interesting: The log-Euler scheme we consider is based on the assumption that the driven Brownian motion W^3 for the interest rate model is independent of the driven Brownian motions W^1 and W^2 for the SDEs of S and V . One direction is to extend the scheme to the case without this assumption, i.e., the full constant correlation case, and analyse the convergence rate. This is nontrivial because the random variable N and stochastic process r in equation (1) then is not independent. The other direction is to extend the payoff P to more complicated cases, as those in Cozma, Mariapragassam and Reisinger [6].

References

- [1] Alfonsi, A. (2005). On the discretization schemes for the CIR (and Bessel squared) processes. *Monte Carlo Methods and Applications*, 11(4), 355-384.
- [2] Bakshi, S., Cao, C., Chen, Z. (2000). Pricing and hedging long-term options. *Journal of Econometrics* 94, 2003-2049.
- [3] Black, F and Karasinski, P (1991). Bond and option pricing when short rates are lognormal, *Financial Analysts Journal*, 52-59.
- [4] Brigo, D and Mercurio, F (2006). *Interest rate models—theory and practice: with smile, inflation and credit*, Springer Verlag.
- [5] Cox, J. Ingersoll, J. and Ross, S (1985). A theory of term structure of interest rates. *Econometrica*, 53(2), 385-407.
- [6] Cozma, A., Mariapragassam, M and Reisinger, C. (2018). Convergence of an Euler scheme for a hybrid stochastic-local volatility model with stochastic rates in foreign exchange markets, *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics*, 9, 127-170.
- [7] Dufresne, D (2001). The integrated square-root process. Working Paper, University of Montreal. <https://minerva-access.unimelb.edu.au/handle/11343/33693>.
- [8] Giles, M (2008). Multilevel Monte Carlo path simulation. *Operations Research*, 56(3), 607-617.
- [9] Glasserman, P (2003). *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering*. Springer Sciences and Business media, New York.

- [10] Grzelak, L.A and Oosterlee, C.W (2011). On the Heston model with stochastic interest rates. *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics*, 2(1), 255-286.
- [11] Heston, S (1993). A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility with applications to bond and currency options. *Review of Financial Studies*, 6(2), 327 - 343.
- [12] Hull, J.C and White, A (1990). Pricing interest rate derivative securities. *Review of Financial Studies*, 3(4), 573-592.
- [13] Kloeden, P and Platen, E (1999). *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, 3rd edition, Springer Verlag, New York.
- [14] Mickel, A. and Neuenkirch, A (2021). The weak convergence rate of two semi-exact discretization schemes for the Heston model. *Risks*, 9(1), 23.
- [15] Neuenkirch, A. and Szpruch, L (2014). First order strong approximations of scalar SDEs with values in a domain. *Numerische Mathematik*, 128, 103-136.
- [16] Protter, P (2005). *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, 2nd edition, Springer.
- [17] Rhee, C-H and Glynn, P.W (2015). Unbiased estimation with square root convergence for SDE models. *Operations Research*, 63(5), 1026-1043.
- [18] Van Haastrecht, A and Pelsser, A (2011). Generic pricing of FX, inflation and stock options under stochastic interest rates and stochastic volatility, *Quantitative Finance*, 11, 665-691.
- [19] Zheng, C (2017). Weak convergence rate of a time-discrete scheme for the Heston stochastic volatility model. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 55(3), 1243-1263.
- [20] Zheng, C (2020). Multilevel Monte Carlo simulation for the Heston stochastic volatility model. Preprint at SSRN: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2804894>.
- [21] Zheng, Z., Blanchet, J. and Glynn, P (2018). Rates of convergence and CLTs for subcanonical debiased MLMC. In: Owen, A., Glynn, P. (eds) *Monte Carlo and Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods in Scientific Computing 2016*.