

Non-quasistatic response coefficients and dissipated availability for macroscopic thermodynamic systems

Yuki Izumida

*Department of Complexity Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa 277-8561, Japan**

The characterization of finite-time thermodynamic processes is of crucial importance for extending equilibrium thermodynamics to nonequilibrium thermodynamics. The central issue is to quantify responses of thermodynamic variables and irreversible dissipation associated with non-quasistatic changes of thermodynamic forces applied to the system. In this study, we derive a simple formula that incorporates the non-quasistatic response coefficients with Onsager's kinetic coefficients, where the Onsager coefficients characterize the relaxation dynamics of fluctuation of extensive thermodynamic variables of semi-macroscopic systems. Moreover, the dissipated availability that quantifies the efficiency of the irreversible thermodynamic process is formulated in terms of the derived non-quasistatic response coefficients. The present results are demonstrated by using an ideal gas model. The present results are, in principle, verifiable through experiments and are thus expected to provide a guiding principle for the nonequilibrium control of macroscopic thermodynamic systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

A quasistatic thermodynamic process is an important building block of thermodynamics. Consider a response of thermodynamic variables \mathbf{X} upon quasistatically added small perturbation \mathbf{F} :

$$\mathbf{x} = \chi \mathbf{F}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{x} is the deviation of \mathbf{X} from the equilibrium value, and χ denotes the quasistatic response coefficient to the perturbation \mathbf{F} . According to equilibrium statistical mechanics, the quasistatic response coefficient χ can be calculated based on the equilibrium correlation function of fluctuations of thermodynamic variables in the absence of perturbation, which is referred to as the fluctuation-response relation [1].

When the small perturbation is added slowly in time but non-quasistatically, Eq. (1) may be generalized as

$$\mathbf{x} = \chi \dot{\mathbf{F}} - R \dot{\mathbf{F}}, \quad (2)$$

where the dot denotes the time derivative, and we call R the non-quasistatic response coefficients. Using the linear response theory [2, 3], in the same manner as the quasistatic response coefficient χ , R can be expressed in terms of temporal equilibrium correlation functions of fluctuations [4, 5]. A similar quantity has been studied extensively [4–12], sometimes referred to as the friction tensor [4] or the generalized friction coefficient [5], which has been used to formulate the dissipated availability. The dissipated availability quantifies the efficiency of irreversible thermodynamic processes carried out by controlling parameters of a system in finite time [6]. It was originally proposed for macroscopic endoreversible systems using extensive variables as control parameters [6], while recent applications mainly focus on stochastic systems [4, 8]. In particular, recent applications include those to stochastic heat engine cycles [13–22], where both extensive and intensive variables, such as volume and temperature, are used as control parameters.

In this paper, we derive the non-quasistatic response coefficients of extensive thermodynamic variables for macroscopic thermodynamic systems against external thermodynamic forces which are intensive. Through the application of a singular perturbation theory to the dynamics of fluctuations of extensive thermodynamic variables in the presence of external thermodynamic forces, the non-quasistatic response coefficients in terms of Onsager's kinetic coefficients are derived. The Onsager's kinetic coefficients govern the relaxation dynamics of fluctuations of extensive variables of semi-macroscopic systems in the vicinity of the equilibrium state without the external thermodynamic forces. Moreover, the derived non-quasistatic response coefficients are used for the formulation of the dissipated availability. Our results provide a guiding principle for the nonequilibrium control of macroscopic thermodynamic systems and contribute to the fundamental understanding of nonequilibrium thermodynamics.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the setup of the theory. In Sec. III, we derive the non-quasistatic response coefficients and the dissipated availability as our main results. In Sec. IV, we demonstrate the main results by using an ideal gas model. Finally, in Sec. V, we summarize the paper with discussion.

II. SETUP

Consider a semi-macroscopic thermodynamic system. Let $\hat{\mathbf{X}} = (\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n)^T$ ($n \geq 2$) be independent extensive thermodynamic variables of the system, where $\hat{X}_1 = \hat{U}$ and $\hat{X}_2 = \hat{V}$ are the internal energy and the volume by taking an entropy representation [23]. Here, the quantities with a hat denote random variables. The system is in contact with a large bath with externally controllable intensive parameters, such as a heat bath, a pressure bath, and a particle bath, or the system is a small partial system of such a bath. The system exchanges its extensive variables with the bath. The bath is assumed to be sufficiently large so that its intensive parameters do not change upon exchanges of the extensive variables with the system. The system may also be controlled by external forces, such as

* izumida@k.u-tokyo.ac.jp

a mechanical force through a piston.

Let $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \delta\hat{\mathbf{X}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}_{\text{eq}}$ be the fluctuation of $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$, where \mathbf{X}_{eq} is the equilibrium value of $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$. At equilibrium, the fluctuation $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ obeys the celebrated Einstein's fluctuation formula [1, 24]:

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \propto e^{\delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}})/k_B}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ is the equilibrium probability distribution of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and $\delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ is the second-order entropy variation of the system from the equilibrium value serving as a potential function of the fluctuation $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$:

$$\delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathcal{S} \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{S} is a positive definite symmetric matrix and T denotes the transpose. In general, in the vicinity of the equilibrium state, the dynamics of the fluctuation $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ obeys the following Langevin equation [1, 24]:

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{dt} = L \frac{\partial \delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (5)$$

where L is Onsager's kinetic coefficients. Onsager's kinetic coefficients L are symmetric as $L = L^T$, assuming that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is the time-reversely symmetric quantities under time-reversely symmetric microscopic dynamics. Moreover, L is a positive definite matrix, as shown below. $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ is Gaussian white noise satisfying $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{0}$ and $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}(t) \boldsymbol{\xi}(t')^T \rangle = 2Lk_B \delta(t - t')$, which assures that the stationary probability distribution of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ agrees with the Einstein's fluctuation formula Eq. (3) (the fluctuation-dissipation theorem).

By defining the thermodynamic forces $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ as restoring forces to the equilibrium as

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} \equiv -\frac{\partial \delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}})}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}} = \mathcal{S} \hat{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (6)$$

Eq. (5) may be expressed as the linear flux-force relation $d\hat{\mathbf{x}}/dt = -L\hat{\mathbf{y}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$. By defining an ensemble average $\mathbf{x} \equiv \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$ and $\mathbf{y} \equiv \langle \hat{\mathbf{y}} \rangle$, the positive definiteness of L is concluded from the positivity of $d\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})/dt$ during relaxation to the equilibrium [24]: $d\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})/dt = -(\mathcal{S}\mathbf{x})^T \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{y}^T L \mathbf{y} \geq 0$, where we used $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -L\mathbf{y}$ and the equality holds for $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$.

By defining a relaxation matrix A as

$$A \equiv L\mathcal{S}, \quad (7)$$

which is a positive definite matrix reflecting the stability of the equilibrium state, we can write Eq. (5) as

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{dt} = -A\hat{\mathbf{x}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}. \quad (8)$$

We add small external thermodynamic forces $\mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ changing slowly with time from $t = 0$ to $t = \mathcal{T}_F$ ($0 \leq t \leq \mathcal{T}_F$), which are intensive quantities as are constituted with variations of intensive thermodynamic variables of the bath or external mechanical forces. Then, the dynamics Eq. (5) may be altered as [24]

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{dt} = L \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{x}}} \left(\delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) + \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T \mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F) \right) + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (9)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{dt} = -L(\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F)) + \boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad (10)$$

using $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, with $\hat{\mathbf{y}} - \mathbf{F}$ being considered as the effective thermodynamic forces. Using Eq (7), we can rewrite Eq. (9) as

$$\frac{d\hat{\mathbf{x}}}{dt} = -A\hat{\mathbf{x}} + L\mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F) + \boldsymbol{\xi}. \quad (11)$$

By taking an ensemble average of both sides, we obtain the following:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = -A\mathbf{x} + L\mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F). \quad (12)$$

Or, equivalently, by taking an ensemble average of both sides of Eq. (10), we obtain the following:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = -L(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F)). \quad (13)$$

III. MAIN RESULTS

A. Non-quasistatic response coefficients

Equation (12) can be solved perturbatively using a two-timing method [25]. We introduce a small dimensionless parameter $\epsilon \equiv t_s/\mathcal{T}_F \ll 1$ defined as the ratio of the typical time scale characterizing the relaxation of the system t_s to the duration \mathcal{T}_F required for a process changing $\mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ ($0 \leq t \leq \mathcal{T}_F$). By introducing the dimensionless time $\tilde{t} \equiv t/t_s$ ($0 \leq \tilde{t} \leq 1/\epsilon$), we expand $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{t})$ in terms of the fast and slow time scales $\tau \equiv \tilde{t}$ and $\mathcal{T} \equiv \epsilon \tilde{t}$ as $\mathbf{x}(\tilde{t}, \epsilon) = \mathbf{x}^{(0)}(\tau, \mathcal{T}) + \epsilon \mathbf{x}^{(1)}(\tau, \mathcal{T}) + O(\epsilon^2)$. The time-differential operator thus becomes $d\mathbf{x}/d\tilde{t} = \partial\mathbf{x}/\partial\tau + \epsilon \partial\mathbf{x}/\partial\mathcal{T}$. By putting this into Eq. (12), the following equation for each order of ϵ is obtained:

$$O(1) : \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{(0)}}{\partial \tau} = -A\mathbf{x}^{(0)} + L\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{T}), \quad (14)$$

$$O(\epsilon) : \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{(1)}}{\partial \tau} = -A\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{(0)}}{\partial \mathcal{T}}. \quad (15)$$

For each order, we consider a stationary solution with respect to the fast time, $\partial_\tau \mathbf{x}_s^{(0)} = \partial_\tau \mathbf{x}_s^{(1)} = \mathbf{0}$, under the assumption of time-scale separation:

$$\mathbf{x}_s^{(0)} = A^{-1} L\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{T}), \quad (16)$$

and

$$\mathbf{x}_s^{(1)} = -A^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{(0)}}{\partial \mathcal{T}}, \quad (17)$$

respectively. Note that stationary $\mathbf{x}_s^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{x}_s^{(1)}$ are dependent only on the slow time scale \mathcal{T} . Consequently, this yields

$$\mathbf{x}_s = \chi \mathbf{F} - R\dot{\mathbf{F}} = \chi \mathbf{F} - \epsilon R\mathbf{F}'(\mathcal{T}), \quad (18)$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to \mathcal{T} , and the quasistatic response coefficients χ and the non-quasistatic response coefficients R are given as

$$\chi = \mathcal{S}^{-1}, \quad (19)$$

$$R = A^{-1}\mathcal{S}^{-1} = \mathcal{S}^{-1}L^{-1}\mathcal{S}^{-1}, \quad (20)$$

respectively. It is remarkable that the non-quasistatic response coefficient R is directly related to Onsager's kinetic coefficients L that govern the relaxation dynamics of fluctuations of thermodynamic variables.

Several remarks are in order with respect to the results Eqs. (18)–(20).

From Eq. (20), it can be concluded that R is symmetric as $R = R^T$, using the symmetric Onsager's kinetic coefficients L and the symmetric \mathcal{S} . Moreover, R is a positive definite matrix. This is confirmed by noticing that R in Eq. (20) can be written as $R = (\mathcal{S}^{-1})^T L^{-1} \mathcal{S}^{-1}$, where we used $\mathcal{S}^{-1} = (\mathcal{S}^{-1})^T$. This shows that L^{-1} and R are congruent, and because L^{-1} is positive definite, R is also positive definite.

Equations (18)–(20) are consistent with the linear response theory. We can show $\langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{x}}^T \rangle_{\text{eq}} = k_B \mathcal{S}^{-1}$, which implies that χ is calculated using the equilibrium correlation function of $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ as $\chi = \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}\hat{\mathbf{x}}^T \rangle_{\text{eq}} / k_B$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\text{eq}}$ is taken with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{\text{eq}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$ in Eq. (3). Moreover, in the linear response theory, R can also be calculated using the time integral of the temporal equilibrium correlation function:

$$R = \frac{1}{k_B} \int_0^\infty \langle \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)\hat{\mathbf{x}}(0)^T \rangle dt. \quad (21)$$

This can be easily shown by substituting the explicit solution of Eq. (11)

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t) = e^{-At}\hat{\mathbf{x}}(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A(t-t')} \xi(t') dt' \quad (22)$$

into Eq. (21). Thus, Eq. (20) shows the detailed constituents of R for the fluctuations of thermodynamic variables governed by Eq. (5).

B. Dissipated availability

The dissipated availability A_{diss} , which quantifies the efficiency of irreversible thermodynamic processes [6], is formulated by using the entropy variation $\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})$ serving as the potential function of \mathbf{x} . For a periodic thermodynamic force $\mathbf{F}(0) = \mathbf{F}(1)$ with period \mathcal{T}_F , we can show (see Appendix A for the derivation)

$$A_{\text{diss}} \equiv \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \frac{d\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})}{dt} dt \approx \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \dot{\mathbf{F}}^T R \dot{\mathbf{F}} dt \geq 0, \quad (23)$$

where the inequality holds by using the positive semi-definiteness of R shown above. Moreover, by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we can obtain the tighter bound for A_{diss} than Eq. (23):

$$A_{\text{diss}} \geq \frac{\mathcal{L}^2}{\mathcal{T}_F} \equiv A_{\text{diss}}^*, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} \equiv \oint_{\gamma_F} \sqrt{d\mathbf{F}^T R d\mathbf{F}} = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\dot{\mathbf{F}}^T R \dot{\mathbf{F}}} d\mathcal{T} \quad (25)$$

is the thermodynamic length for the closed path γ_F on the control space of thermodynamic forces \mathbf{F} with R the metric tensor defined on it [6, 13]. The equality of Eq. (24), the minimum dissipated availability A_{diss}^* , is achieved for a geodesic path that yields the constant dissipation such that the integrand of Eq. (25) becomes constant [6]. As R is the constant matrix evaluated at \mathbf{X}_{eq} , this equality is expected to be realized for, e.g., periodic \mathbf{F} with linear profile symmetric in time.

It is also noteworthy that A_{diss} in Eq. (23) can also be expressed in terms of $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s$ instead of $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$:

$$A_{\text{diss}} = \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_s^T L^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_s dt \equiv \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \Phi(\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s) dt \geq 0, \quad (26)$$

where we used $\dot{\mathbf{F}} = \mathcal{S}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s$ derived from the time derivative of Eq. (16) and Eq. (20). The integrand $\Phi(\dot{\mathbf{x}}_s)$ of Eq. (26) is essentially the same quantity as the dissipation function [7]. The inequality in Eq. (26) is assured by the positive definiteness of L^{-1} .

Moreover, when expressed in terms of the effective thermodynamic force $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}$, we obtain

$$A_{\text{diss}} = \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} (\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{F})^T L (\mathbf{y}_s - \mathbf{F}) dt \equiv \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \sigma(\mathbf{y}_s) dt \geq 0, \quad (27)$$

where we used Eq. (13) in the first equality. The integrand $\sigma(\mathbf{y}_s)$ of Eq. (27) is the ‘‘familiar’’ total entropy production rate. The inequality in Eq. (27) is assured by the positive definiteness of L . These apparently different but the equivalent expressions Eqs. (23), (26), and (27) are informative, which will be used in Sec. IV B for numerical demonstration of the present theory. We should note that as what is controlling here is the intensive thermodynamic force \mathbf{F} , the expression Eq. (23) using $\dot{\mathbf{F}}$ serves as the basic form. This may contrast to both the original formulation of the dissipated availability using the extensive variables [6] as control parameters and the recent formulation of thermodynamic geometry using extensive and intensive parameters [13] as control parameters.

IV. EXAMPLE

A. Ideal gas model

As a demonstration of our theory, we identify the non-quasistatic response coefficients of a macroscopic phenomenological model of a thermodynamic system. Our model is an ideal gas confined in a cylinder with a movable piston subject to an external mechanical force $f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ in contact with a heat bath at changeable temperature $T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ by a heater (Fig. 1). Let $\mathbf{X} = (U, V)$ ($n = 2$) be the extensive thermodynamic variables of the ideal gas, where $U = C_V T = f_d N k_B T / 2$ is the internal energy with the constant-volume heat capacity C_V , the temperature of the gas T , and




FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of a thermodynamic system under consideration: The ideal gas is confined in the cylinder enclosed by the insulated walls with a movable piston on one side to which the external mechanical force f is applied. Further, it is in thermal contact with a heat bath at changeable temperature T_R by a heater. The system and the heat bath exchange their extensive quantities, internal energy and volume.

the internal degrees of freedom f_d . Further, V is the volume of the ideal gas. Under an assumption of spatial uniformity, macroscopic dynamics for \mathbf{X} is given by

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \kappa(T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) - T) - \frac{f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)}{\sigma} \frac{dV}{dt}, \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{Nk_B T}{V} - \frac{f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)}{\sigma} \right). \quad (29)$$

Equation (28) is the first law of thermodynamics (the energy conservation law) per unit time, where the first term on the right-hand side denotes the Fourier's law for the heat flow with κ the thermal conductance and the second term does the work flow done by the gas against the mechanical force $f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ acting on the piston in one direction, where σ is the section area of the piston. Equation (29) is the equation of motion for an overdamped piston whose inertia can be neglected, where Γ is the friction coefficient of the piston. The piston moves back and forth subject to the net force due to the internal gas pressure $Nk_B T/V$ and the mechanical pressure $f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)/\sigma$.

For the fixed temperature and mechanical force $T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = T_{\text{eq}}$ and $f(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = f_{\text{eq}}$, respectively, the stationary state $\mathbf{X}_{\text{eq}} = (U_{\text{eq}}, V_{\text{eq}}) = (C_V T_{\text{eq}}, \sigma Nk_B T_{\text{eq}}/f_{\text{eq}})$ satisfying $d\mathbf{X}/dt = \mathbf{0}$ corresponds to the equilibrium state.

Equations (28) and (29) around the equilibrium state \mathbf{X}_{eq} can be rewritten into the form Eq. (12). First, consider the case of fixed temperature and mechanical force $T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = T_{\text{eq}}$ and $f(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = f_{\text{eq}}$. By expanding Eqs. (28) and (29) in terms of \mathbf{x} around \mathbf{X}_{eq} , $d\mathbf{x}/dt = -A\mathbf{x}$ is obtained, neglecting the higher order terms of \mathbf{x} , where $A_{11} = \frac{\kappa}{C_V} + f_{\text{eq}} \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} \frac{Nk_B}{C_V V_{\text{eq}}}$, $A_{12} = -f_{\text{eq}} \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} \frac{Nk_B T_{\text{eq}}}{V_{\text{eq}}^2}$, $A_{21} = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\Gamma} \frac{Nk_B}{C_V V_{\text{eq}}}$, and $A_{22} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\Gamma} \frac{Nk_B T_{\text{eq}}}{V_{\text{eq}}^2}$. The Einstein's fluctuation formula for the corresponding fluctuation $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \delta\hat{\mathbf{X}} = (\delta\hat{U}, \delta\hat{V})$ is given as [24]

$$\delta^2 S(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{C_V T_{\text{eq}}^2} \delta\hat{U}^2 + \frac{Nk_B}{V_{\text{eq}}^2} \delta\hat{V}^2 \right], \quad (30)$$

from which $\mathcal{S}_{11} = \frac{1}{C_V T_{\text{eq}}^2}$, $\mathcal{S}_{12} = \mathcal{S}_{21} = 0$, and $\mathcal{S}_{22} = \frac{Nk_B}{V_{\text{eq}}^2}$ are identified. Then, we obtain the quasistatic response coefficient

$\chi = \mathcal{S}^{-1}$ as

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix} C_V T_{\text{eq}}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{V_{\text{eq}}^2}{Nk_B} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

We can also obtain the Onsager's kinetic coefficients $L = A\mathcal{S}^{-1}$ as

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa T_{\text{eq}}^2 + \frac{f_{\text{eq}}^2}{\Gamma} T_{\text{eq}} & -f_{\text{eq}} \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} T_{\text{eq}} \\ -f_{\text{eq}} \frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} T_{\text{eq}} & \frac{\sigma^2}{\Gamma} T_{\text{eq}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

Next, we consider the effect of time-dependent temperature of the heat bath $T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = T_{\text{eq}} + \delta T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ and mechanical force $f(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = f_{\text{eq}} + \delta f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$, where $|\delta T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)| \ll T_{\text{eq}}$ and $|\delta f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)| \ll f_{\text{eq}}$. By expanding Eqs. (28) and (29) up to $O(\mathbf{x}, \delta T_R, \delta f)$, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = -A\mathbf{x} + \begin{pmatrix} \kappa \delta T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) + \frac{f_{\text{eq}}}{\Gamma} \delta f(t/\mathcal{T}_F) \\ -\frac{\sigma}{\Gamma} \delta f(t/\mathcal{T}_F) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

Through comparisons of Eq. (33) and Eq. (12), the external thermodynamic force $\mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ acting on \mathbf{x} is identified as

$$\mathbf{F}(t/\mathcal{T}_F) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)}{T_{\text{eq}}^2} \\ \frac{\delta p_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)}{T_{\text{eq}}} - \frac{\delta f(t/\mathcal{T}_F)/\sigma}{T_{\text{eq}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where $\delta p_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) \equiv Nk_B \delta T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)/V_{\text{eq}}$. Here, $F_2(t/\mathcal{T}_F)$ denotes the net force increment acting on the piston that arises owing to the combined effect of the change of the gas pressure upon the temperature change of the heat bath and the change of the external mechanical force. Using Eqs. (20), (31), and (32), the non-quasistatic response coefficient R is derived as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C_V^2 T_{\text{eq}}^2}{\kappa} & \frac{C_V T_{\text{eq}} V_{\text{eq}}}{\kappa} \\ \frac{C_V T_{\text{eq}} V_{\text{eq}}}{\kappa} & \frac{V_{\text{eq}}^2}{\kappa} + \frac{f_{\text{eq}}^2 \Gamma V_{\text{eq}}^4}{4\sigma^2 C_V^2 T_{\text{eq}}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (35)$$

Through explicit writing, the following is obtained as Eq. (18):

$$\delta U_s(\mathcal{T}) = \chi_{11} F_1(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon R_{11} F'_1(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon R_{12} F'_2(\mathcal{T}), \quad (36)$$

$$\delta V_s(\mathcal{T}) = \chi_{22} F_2(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon R_{21} F'_1(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon R_{22} F'_2(\mathcal{T}). \quad (37)$$

Note that while the non-diagonal components of χ in Eq. (31) identically vanish, the non-diagonal components of R in Eq. (35) are non-zero. Therefore, the cross effect between δU and δV appears as a consequence of a nonequilibrium control of the external thermodynamic forces.

B. Numerical demonstration

The calculations in Sec. IV A can be confirmed numerically. For this purpose, Eqs. (28) and (29) are made non-dimensional as follows:

$$\frac{d\tilde{U}}{d\tilde{t}} = \tilde{T}_R(\epsilon\tilde{t}) - \tilde{U} - \tilde{f}(\epsilon\tilde{t}) \frac{d\tilde{V}}{d\tilde{t}}, \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{d\tilde{V}}{d\tilde{t}} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\Gamma}} \left(\frac{2\tilde{U}}{f_d \tilde{V}} - \tilde{f}(\epsilon\tilde{t}) \right), \quad (39)$$

TABLE I. Summary for nondimensionalized quantities

Time t	$\tilde{t} = t/t_s$ with $t_s = C_V/\kappa$
Internal energy U	$\tilde{U} = U/U_{\text{eq}} = U/(C_V T_{\text{eq}})$
Volume V	$\tilde{V} = V/V_{\text{eq}}$
Temperature T, T_R	$\tilde{T} = T/T_{\text{eq}}, \tilde{T}_R = T_R/T_{\text{eq}}$
Friction coefficient Γ	$\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma/(\sigma^2 C_V^2 T_{\text{eq}}/\kappa V_{\text{eq}}^2)$
Mechanical force f	$\tilde{f} = f/(\sigma C_V T_{\text{eq}}/V_{\text{eq}})$

TABLE II. Summary for nondimensionalized \mathbf{F}, χ , and R

$F_1(\mathcal{T})$	$\tilde{F}_1(\mathcal{T}) = T_{\text{eq}} F_1(\mathcal{T}) = \delta \tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T})$
$F_2(\mathcal{T})$	$\tilde{F}_2(\mathcal{T}) = \frac{V_{\text{eq}}}{C_V} F_2(\mathcal{T}) = 2\delta \tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T})/f_d - \delta \tilde{f}(\mathcal{T})$
χ_{11}	$\tilde{\chi}_{11} = 1$
χ_{22}	$\tilde{\chi}_{22} = f_d/2$
R_{11}	$\tilde{R}_{11} = 1$
R_{12}	$\tilde{R}_{12} = 1$
R_{21}	$\tilde{R}_{21} = 1$
R_{22}	$\tilde{R}_{22} = 1 + f_d^2 \tilde{\Gamma}/4$

respectively, where we put $T = U/C_V$ on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (28) and (29) before the nondimensionalization and the nondimensionalized quantities are summarized in Table I. The quantities with a tilde denote nondimensionalized quantities. Then, Eqs. (36) and (37) are also nondimensionalized as

$$\delta \tilde{U}_s(\mathcal{T}) = \tilde{\chi}_{11} \tilde{F}_1(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon \tilde{R}_{11} \tilde{F}'_1(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon \tilde{R}_{12} \tilde{F}'_2(\mathcal{T}), \quad (40)$$

$$\delta \tilde{V}_s(\mathcal{T}) = \tilde{\chi}_{22} \tilde{F}_2(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon \tilde{R}_{21} \tilde{F}'_1(\mathcal{T}) - \epsilon \tilde{R}_{22} \tilde{F}'_2(\mathcal{T}), \quad (41)$$

respectively, where the nondimensionalized \mathbf{F}, χ , and R are summarized in Table II.

In Fig. 2, the theoretical results Eqs. (40) and (41) for $\mathcal{T} = 1$ are compared with the numerical results obtained by solving Eqs. (38) and (39) numerically with the following linear profile as $\delta \tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T})$ and $\delta \tilde{f}(\mathcal{T})$:

$$\delta \tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T}) = \Delta \tilde{T} \mathcal{T} \quad (0 \leq \mathcal{T} \leq 1), \quad (42)$$

$$\delta \tilde{f}(\mathcal{T}) = \Delta \tilde{f} \mathcal{T} \quad (0 \leq \mathcal{T} \leq 1), \quad (43)$$

where $\Delta \tilde{T} \ll 1$ and $\Delta \tilde{f} \ll 1$ are small increments. As evident, for sufficiently small ϵ , the numerical results are consistent with the theoretical results as expected, with the discrepancies observed with an increase in ϵ where the nonlinear effects begin to appear.

Finally, we demonstrate the dissipated availability A_{diss} in Eq. (23). It is nondimensionalized as

$$\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^* = \frac{A_{\text{diss}}^*}{C_V} = \epsilon \left(\int_0^1 \sqrt{\tilde{\mathbf{F}}'^T \tilde{R} \tilde{\mathbf{F}}'} d\mathcal{T} \right)^2 = \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^2. \quad (44)$$

As we know from Eq. (27) that the dissipated availability is equivalent to the total entropy production for small ϵ , we introduce the entropy production of the total system (system and




FIG. 2. (a) and (b): ϵ dependence of $\delta \tilde{U}_s(1)$ for (a) $\tilde{F}'_2(1) = 0$ and (b) $\tilde{F}'_1(1) = 0$. The theory denotes Eq. (40) with $\mathcal{T} = 1$. (c) and (d): ϵ dependence of $\delta \tilde{V}_s(1)$ for (c) $\tilde{F}'_2(1) = 0$ and (d) $\tilde{F}'_1(1) = 0$. The theory denotes Eq. (41) with $\mathcal{T} = 1$. As parameter values, $f_d = 3$, $\tilde{\Gamma} = 1$, $\Delta \tilde{T} = 10^{-3}$, and $\Delta \tilde{f} = 10^{-3}$ were used. The fourth Runge-Kutta method with time step 10^{-3} was used for obtaining the numerical results.




FIG. 3. ϵ dependence of the total entropy production over one cycle $\Delta \tilde{S}_{\text{tot}}$ in Eq. (46) calculated for Eqs. (47) and (48) (bold solid line) and for Eqs. (49) and (50) (thin solid line). The theory denotes the theoretical minimum dissipated availability $\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^*$ in Eq. (51). The same parameter values and numerical scheme as those in Fig. 2 were used.

bath) over one cycle for comparison with A_{diss} :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S_{\text{tot}} &\equiv - \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \frac{\kappa(T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F) - T(t))}{T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)} dt, \\ &= -\kappa \int_0^{\mathcal{T}_F} \left(1 - \frac{T(t)}{T_R(t/\mathcal{T}_F)} \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

which coincides with the entropy change of the heat bath as the system's state returns to the initial state after one cycle. Its nondimensionalized form reads

$$\Delta \tilde{S}_{\text{tot}} = \frac{\Delta S_{\text{tot}}}{C_V} = - \int_0^{1/\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{T}(\tilde{t})}{\tilde{T}_R(\epsilon \tilde{t})} \right) d\tilde{t}. \quad (46)$$

For the demonstration, we use \mathbf{F} with the following periodic linear profile as $\delta \tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T})$ and $\delta \tilde{f}(\mathcal{T})$ as the geodesic path on the

control space:

$$\delta\tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T}) = \begin{cases} 2\Delta\tilde{T}\mathcal{T} & (0 \leq \mathcal{T} \leq 1/2), \\ 2\Delta\tilde{T}(1-\mathcal{T}) & (1/2 < \mathcal{T} \leq 1), \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

$$\delta\tilde{f}(\mathcal{T}) = \begin{cases} 2\Delta\tilde{f}\mathcal{T} & (0 \leq \mathcal{T} \leq 1/2), \\ 2\Delta\tilde{f}(1-\mathcal{T}) & (1/2 < \mathcal{T} \leq 1). \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

For comparison, we also use \mathbf{F} with the following periodic quadratic profile as the non-geodesic path:

$$\delta\tilde{T}_R(\mathcal{T}) = \begin{cases} 4\Delta\tilde{T}\mathcal{T}^2 & (0 \leq \mathcal{T} \leq 1/2), \\ 4\Delta\tilde{T}(1-\mathcal{T})^2 & (1/2 < \mathcal{T} \leq 1), \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

$$\delta\tilde{f}(\mathcal{T}) = \begin{cases} 4\Delta\tilde{f}\mathcal{T}^2 & (0 \leq \mathcal{T} \leq 1/2), \\ 4\Delta\tilde{f}(1-\mathcal{T})^2 & (1/2 < \mathcal{T} \leq 1). \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

As we noted in Sec. III B, the minimum dissipated availability $\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^*$ is expected to be achieved for periodic linear profiles such as Eqs. (47) and (48). Explicitly, $\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^*$ calculated for Eqs. (47) and (48) is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^* = \epsilon \left\{ 4\Delta\tilde{T}^2 + 4\Delta\tilde{T} \left(\frac{4\Delta\tilde{T}}{f_d} - 2\Delta\tilde{f} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(1 + \frac{f_d^2\tilde{T}}{4} \right) \left(\frac{4\Delta\tilde{T}}{f_d} - 2\Delta\tilde{f} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In Fig. 3, we show the total entropy production $\Delta\tilde{S}_{\text{tot}}$ numerically calculated for the periodic linear profile Eqs. (47) and (48) and for the periodic quadratic profile Eqs. (49) and (50), with a comparison with the minimum dissipated availability $\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^*$ in Eq. (51). We can confirm that $\Delta\tilde{S}_{\text{tot}}$ for the periodic linear profile as the geodesic path agrees with $\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^*$ in the small ϵ region as expected. Meanwhile, we can also confirm that $\Delta\tilde{S}_{\text{tot}}$ for the periodic quadratic profile as the non-geodesic path is larger than $\tilde{A}_{\text{diss}}^*$ in the small ϵ region, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction.

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In summary, we derived a simple formula for the non-quasistatic response coefficients for macroscopic thermodynamic systems. The formula revealed the general relationship between the non-quasistatic response coefficients and Onsager's kinetic coefficients that govern the relaxation dynamics of fluctuations of semi-macroscopic thermodynamic variables. Similarly to the quasistatic response coefficients whose symmetry has been already established in equilibrium thermodynamics, the non-quasistatic response coefficients are also symmetric as $R = R^T$, which is related to the symmetry of Onsager's kinetic coefficients. Moreover, we also formulated the dissipated availability A_{diss} for the present system

that quantifies the efficiency of irreversible thermodynamic processes. It is expressed in terms of the time derivative of the entropy variation, and the equivalent expressions using the dissipation function or the total entropy production were provided. Our theory was demonstrated by using the ideal gas model.

It is expected to measure the predicted symmetry of R and the dissipated availability A_{diss} in realistic nonequilibrium experiments such as those illustrated in Fig. 1 where Eqs. (28) and (29) serve as a good approximation. Real systems may be accompanied by complicated fluid motion as well as the non-uniform heat conduction inside the system associated with a piston motion. However, from recent experiments on real heat engines using a gas [26, 27], it can be concluded that simple models similar to those presented in Eqs. (28) and (29) explain the experimental results to a sufficiently good approximation. Therefore, experimental verification will be an important direction for future investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 19K03651 and 22K03450.

Appendix A: Derivation of Eq. (23)

First, we evaluate the time derivative of the entropy variation $d\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})/dt$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})}{dt} &= -(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x})^T \dot{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= -\mathbf{y}^T \dot{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^T L(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{F}), \end{aligned} \quad (A1)$$

where we used Eq. (13) in the third equality. By applying \mathcal{S} to both sides of Eq. (18), we can approximate \mathbf{y} up to $O(\epsilon)$ as

$$\mathbf{y} \simeq \mathbf{y}_s \equiv \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_s = \mathbf{F} - \epsilon \mathcal{S}R\mathbf{F}'. \quad (A2)$$

By substituting Eq. (A2) into Eq. (A1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\delta^2 S(\mathbf{x})}{dt} &\simeq -\epsilon \mathbf{F}^T \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathbf{F}' + \epsilon^2 \mathbf{F}'^T R \mathbf{F}' \\ &= -\mathbf{F}^T \mathcal{S}^{-1} \dot{\mathbf{F}} + \dot{\mathbf{F}}^T R \dot{\mathbf{F}} \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}^T \mathcal{S}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \right) + \dot{\mathbf{F}}^T R \dot{\mathbf{F}}. \end{aligned} \quad (A3)$$

The first term vanishes by the time-integration of Eq. (A3) from $t = 0$ to $t = \mathcal{T}_F$ and using $\mathbf{F}(0) = \mathbf{F}(1)$ for the periodic thermodynamic force, and we derive Eq. (23).

[1] Y. Oono, *Perspectives on Statistical Thermodynamics*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017).

[2] R. Kubo, M. Toda, and N. Hashitsume, *Statistical Physics II: Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, 2nd ed. (Springer,

- Berlin, 1991).
- [3] U. M. B. Marconi, A. Puglisi, L. Rondoni, and A. Vulpiani, Fluctuation-dissipation: Response theory in statistical physics, *Phys. Rep.* **461**, 111 (2008).
 - [4] D. A. Sivak and G. E. Crooks, Thermodynamic Metrics and Optimal Paths, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 190602 (2012).
 - [5] L. Peliti and S. Pigolotti, *Stochastic Thermodynamics: An Introduction* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2021).
 - [6] P. Salamon and R. S. Berry, Thermodynamic Length and Dissipated Availability, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51**, 1127 (1983).
 - [7] K. Sekimoto and S.-i. Sasa, Complementarity relation for irreversible process derived from stochastic energetics, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **66**, 3326 (1997).
 - [8] G. E. Crooks, Measuring Thermodynamic Length, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 100602 (2007).
 - [9] P. R. Zulkowski, D. A. Sivak, G. E. Crooks, and M. R. DeWeese, Geometry of thermodynamic control, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 041148 (2012).
 - [10] B. B. Machta, Dissipation Bound for Thermodynamic Control, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 260603 (2015).
 - [11] S. Deffner and M. V. S. Bonança, Thermodynamic control—An old paradigm with new applications, *Europhys. Lett.* **131**, 20001 (2020).
 - [12] K. Sekimoto, *Stochastic Energetics* (Springer, New York, 2010).
 - [13] K. Brandner and K. Saito, Thermodynamic Geometry of Microscopic Heat Engines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 040602 (2020).
 - [14] H. J. D. Miller and M. Mehboudi, Geometry of Work Fluctuations versus Efficiency in Microscopic Thermal Machines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 260602 (2020).
 - [15] A. G. Frim and M. R. DeWeese, Optimal finite-time Brownian Carnot engine, *Phys. Rev. E* **105**, L052103 (2022).
 - [16] A. G. Frim and M. R. DeWeese, Geometric Bound on the Efficiency of Irreversible Thermodynamic Cycles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 230601 (2022).
 - [17] J. Eglinton and K. Brandner, Geometric bounds on the power of adiabatic thermal machines, *Phys. Rev. E* **105**, L052102 (2022).
 - [18] Y. Izumida, Hierarchical Onsager symmetries in adiabatically driven linear irreversible heat engines, *Phys. Rev. E* **103**, L050101 (2021).
 - [19] Y. Izumida, Irreversible efficiency and Carnot theorem for heat engines operating with multiple heat baths in linear response regime, *Phys. Rev. Research* **4**, 023217 (2022).
 - [20] G. Watanabe and Y. Minami, Finite-time thermodynamics of fluctuations in microscopic heat engines, *Phys. Rev. Research* **4**, L012008 (2022).
 - [21] P. Abiuso and M. Perarnau-Llobet, Optimal Cycles for Low-Dissipation Heat Engines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 110606 (2020).
 - [22] H. J. D. Miller, M. H. Mohammady, M. Perarnau-Llobet, and G. Guarnieri, Thermodynamic Uncertainty Relation in Slowly Driven Quantum Heat Engines, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 210603 (2021).
 - [23] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1985).
 - [24] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 5. Statistical Physics*, 3rd ed. (Elsevier, 1980), Part 1.
 - [25] S. H. Strogatz, *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: With Applications to Physics, Biology, Chemistry, and Engineering* (Westview Press, 2001).
 - [26] Y.-H. Ma, R.-X. Zhai, J. Chen, C. P. Sun, and H. Dong, Experimental Test of the $1/\tau$ -Scaling Entropy Generation in Finite-Time Thermodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 210601 (2020).
 - [27] S. Toyabe and Y. Izumida, Experimental characterization of autonomous heat engine based on minimal dynamical-system model, *Phys. Rev. Research*, **2**, 033146 (2020).