

Kohn-Sham approximation scheme for an interacting Bose-condensed gas

Anna Okopińska

Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University,
Uniwersytecka 7, 25-406 Kielce, Poland

and

Institute of Mathematics and Cryptology, Military University of Technology
Kaliskiego 2, 00-908 Warszawa, Poland

e-mail: anna.okopinska@wat.edu.pl

(Dated:)

The grand canonical density functional theory for inhomogeneous systems of interacting bosons is developed in the effective action approach. The Legendre transform of the generating functional for Green's functions is used to define the effective action as a functional of both the particle density and the order parameter. Expanding the thermal effective action in powers of the Planck constant we obtain a systematic approximation scheme, which practically implements the Kohn-Sham idea: the problem of interacting bosons is reduced to a single-particle system in a fictitious external potential. The Kohn-Sham potential, the density and the order parameter have to be determined self-consistently in a given order approximation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Density Functional Theory (DFT), has become nowadays a method of choice in quantum chemistry and solid state physics [1, 2]. The theory is based on the exact theorem of Hohenberg and Kohn [3] that a functional exists, by minimization of which the density and other ground state properties of the many-body system are completely determined. The practical applications are successfully developed using the idea of Kohn and Sham [4] to replace the interacting many-electron problem by the exactly equivalent problem of non-interacting particles moving in an appropriately chosen external potential. Solving the single-particle problem numerically is a standard task, all the difficulties being transferred to the construction of the Kohn-Sham potential. The rigorous definition of the density functional (DF), was given by Levy [6] and Lieb [7] in the constrained search approach. Later, an extension to finite temperatures has been discussed by Mermin in the grand canonical ensemble [5]. The constrained search approach does not provide, however, an explicit method to construct the DF; therefore various physically motivated approximate forms have been guessed and discussed in many works, both for solids and for molecules [1, 2].

Several years ago, Fukuda et al. [8] provided a new formulation of DFT, using generating functionals with an external source $J(\mathbf{r}, t)$ linearly coupled to the local composite operator $\hat{\Phi}^+(\mathbf{r})\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$. The effective action, obtained as the Legendre transform of the generating functional for connected Green's functions, has been used to define the time-dependent DF in a way different from that developed by Runge and Gross [9]. Using the path integral representation, Fukuda et al. [8] were able to express the DF as a series in powers of the interaction strength, formulating diagrammatic rules for coefficients [10, 11]. Extending the formalism to finite temperature, Valiev and Fernando [12] demonstrated that the imaginary time effective action coincides with the Mermin grand canonical DF. Moreover, they have shown that the approximation scheme generated by the expansion in powers of the interaction strength can be regarded as an implementation of the idea of Kohn and Sham [4]. The leading order approximation describes the thermal equilibrium of non-interacting particles in an unknown potential, which can be determined by higher-order corrections to the effective action. An interesting modification of the approximation scheme for DF in an effective field theory has been proposed in nuclear physics with the effective parameter as a parameter of expansion [13]. The application of this scheme to dilute Fermi system in a harmonic trap demonstrated the convergence of densities and energies with increasing order calculations [13, 14]. An alternative scheme of gradually including interactions, motivated by renormalization group [15], is successfully developed [16].

In the case of bosons, the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem is valid, but as argued by Griffin [17], the problem is complicated by the phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation, which takes place below the critical temperature. In the field-theoretic approach, this is attributed [18] to the spontaneously broken symmetry with the macroscopic wave-function $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ playing the role of the order parameter, which determines the condensate density $\rho_c(\mathbf{r}) = |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2$. The proper extension of the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem to bosonic fields makes it necessary to consider a functional of both the particle density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ and the order parameter $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ [17]. However, because of difficulties in defining the Kohn-Sham reference system, the dependence on the order parameter was never included in the practical applications of DFT for bosons, and only the approximate functionals depending on the total particle density have been discussed [19].

In this work, we show that the density functional for bosonic systems can be conveniently defined as the effective action of quantum field theory. We consider the connected generating functional $W[j, J]$, which depends on two kinds of sources, $J(\mathbf{r}, t)$ coupled to the composite density operator $\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$, and $j(\mathbf{r}, t)$ coupled to the elementary field $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$. The Legendre transform of $W[j, J]$ with respect to both sources defines the effective action as a functional of the particle density $\rho(t, \mathbf{r})$ and the order parameter $\Phi(t, \mathbf{r})$. We formulate a systematic approximation scheme by expanding the effective action in powers of the Planck constant. In the non-condensed phase, the expansion is reduced to that in powers of the interaction strength, where the leading order Schrödinger equation describes the non-interacting gas subjected to an unknown potential. In the Bose-condensed phase, the leading order approximation is also of the single-particle type, but given by the non-linear Gross-Pitaevskii equation with an additional external potential. In both cases the external potential is defined by higher-order contributions to the effective action, and can be self-consistently determined in the given order approximation. In this way a scheme implementing the Kohn-Sham idea emerges naturally in this approach and the many-body effects are taken into account in a systematic manner. The scheme is formulated for spatially inhomogeneous systems, which is important in describing the properties of Bose-condensed gases in magnetic traps.

The outline of the present work is as follows. The effective action will be discussed in the Lagrangian approach, but first, in Section II, we review briefly the grand canonical DFT in the Hamiltonian approach in order to relate the two formulations. In Section III generating functionals for Green's functions are presented and the effective action is defined as a functional of the order parameter and particle density. Expansion of the effective action in powers of \hbar is developed in Section IV. Section V discusses the case of thermal equilibrium, and the Kohn-Sham approximation scheme for interacting bosons is described in Section VI. Our conclusions are summarized in Section VII.

II. DENSITY FUNCTIONAL IN THE CONSTRAINED SEARCH APPROACH

The quantum many-body system is usually described by the second quantized Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{U} + \hat{V}_{ext} = & - \int d^3r \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) \\ & + \int d^3r V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}') \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with the inter-particle interaction $U(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$, and the external potential $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i)$ characterizing the considered system (the potential of nuclei for a molecule or a solid, the potential of magnetic forces for a trapped atomic gas, etc.). This form of the Hamiltonian applies both to fermions and bosons, the different particle statistics is included by the appropriate commutation relations for the field operator $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$. The rigorous definition of the DF, provided by the constrained search approach [6, 7], can be extended to the systems at fixed temperature $T = \frac{1}{\beta}$ [1]. In the grand canonical ensemble, the states of the system are represented by Fock space density operators:

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \sum_N \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_N^i |\Phi_{Ni}\rangle \langle \Phi_{Ni}| \quad (2)$$

with p_N^i being the probability of finding the system in the N -particle state $|\Phi_{Ni}\rangle$. Since the Hamiltonian (1) commutes with the number operator $\hat{N} = \int d^3r \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d^3r \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$, and the total number of particles N is conserved, one introduces a chemical potential μ with a value adjusted such that the average number of particles would be equal to N . The grand canonical functional of the state, defined as

$$\omega^{state}[\hat{\Gamma}] = Tr \left\{ \hat{\Gamma} (\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \hat{\Gamma}) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

reaches a minimum for the equilibrium state, $\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\Gamma}_{eq}$, its value determines the grand canonical potential of the system

$$\omega(\beta, \mu) = \omega^{state}[\hat{\Gamma}_{eq}] = \inf_{\hat{\Gamma}} Tr \left\{ \hat{\Gamma} (\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \hat{\Gamma}) \right\}. \quad (4)$$

The search for the minimum can be split in two steps. First, the constrained search is performed over all states $\hat{\Gamma}[\rho]$ with the expectation value of the density operator equal to the prescribed function $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ as defined by

$$Tr [\hat{\Gamma} \hat{\rho}] = \sum_N \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_N^i \langle \Phi_i | \hat{\rho} | \Phi_i \rangle = \rho(\mathbf{r}), \quad (5)$$

and later the obtained functional is minimized over all possible $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. This allows Eq. 4 to be represented as

$$\omega(\beta, \mu) = \inf_{\rho(\mathbf{r})} \inf_{\hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \rho} \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\Gamma}[\rho] (\hat{T} + \hat{U} + \hat{V}_{ext} - \mu \hat{N} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \hat{\Gamma}[\rho]) \right\} = \inf_{\rho(\mathbf{r})} \left[F[\rho] + \int d^3r \rho(\mathbf{r}) V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \right], \quad (6)$$

where the universal functional

$$F[\rho] = \inf_{\hat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \rho} \text{Tr} \left\{ \hat{\Gamma}[\rho] (\hat{T} + \hat{U} - \mu \hat{N} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \hat{\Gamma}[\rho]) \right\} \quad (7)$$

does not depend on external potential. The functional

$$\Omega[\rho] = F[\rho] + \int d^3r V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) \quad (8)$$

provides a rigorous construction of the grand canonical DF, introduced by Mermin [5]. Eq.6 clearly shows that $\Omega[\rho]$ determines the equilibrium density and the grand canonical potential of the interacting system by the minimum principle. The infimum is searched in the class of functions which may be obtained from a Fock space density matrix by (5). All the functions fulfilling the conditions

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int d^3r \left| \nabla \rho^{1/2}(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 < \infty, \quad (9)$$

belong to this class, since any function of this type, normalized to N , can be obtained from an N -particle density matrix [2]. It was observed by Lieb [7] that regarding the grand potential (3) as a functional of an arbitrary one-particle potential $V(\mathbf{r})$,

$$\omega[V] = \inf_{\hat{\Gamma}} \text{tr} \left\{ \hat{\Gamma} (\hat{T} + \hat{U} + \hat{V} - \mu \hat{N} + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \hat{\Gamma}) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

the universal DF (7) can be represented by the Legendre transform

$$F[\rho] = \sup_{V(\mathbf{r})} \left[\omega[V] - \int d^3r V(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) \right], \quad (11)$$

where the maximum is searched over all reasonable functions $V(\mathbf{r})$ at fixed $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. Knowing $F[\rho]$, the Mermin functional $\Omega[\rho]$ can be easily obtained via Eq.8. For our purposes, it is more convenient to represent the thermal DF directly in terms of the Legendre transformation

$$\Omega[\rho] = \sup_{J(\mathbf{r})} \left[W[J] - \int d^3r J(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) \right], \quad (12)$$

where

$$W[J] = \omega[V] = \omega[V_{ext} + J] \quad (13)$$

is the grand potential (3) regarded as a functional of a new functional variable $J(\mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r}) - V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})$. Observe that $J(\mathbf{r})$ plays a role of a fictitious external potential which adds to the potential $V_{ext}(\mathbf{r})$, which really operates in the considered system. The formula (12) explains the key idea of DFT by the Legendre concept of switching between different independent variables: the dependence on the fictitious potential $J(\mathbf{r})$ is replaced by the dependence on the density distribution $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ [7, 20]. The local Legendre transform (12) is a functional generalization of the transformation from the chemical potential μ to the number of particles N . Unfortunately, neither (7) nor (12) does present a useful way to calculate $\Omega[\rho]$ in practice. A more suitable form can be obtained if the functional $W[J]$ has appropriate differentiability properties and the supremum in Eq. 12 occurs at

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta W}{\delta J(\mathbf{r})}. \quad (14)$$

With the solution of the above equation expressed as a functional of the density, $J[\rho]$, the Legendre transform is obtained just by substitution:

$$\Omega[\rho] = \left[W[J] - \int d^3r J(\mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r}) \right]_{J=J[\rho]}. \quad (15)$$

Although not shown explicitly, it has to be borne in mind that the Mermin DF depends on the chemical potential. The value of μ is determined by the relation

$$N = -\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta\mu}, \quad (16)$$

which ensures the average number of particles to be equal to N .

III. GENERATING FUNCTIONALS FOR GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

Full information on the quantum system requires the knowledge of all Green's functions, and can be conveniently encoded in generating functionals, which describe the system probed by external classical sources. Here we consider the generating functional in the form

$$Z[j, J] = \left\langle T e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt (\hat{H} - \mu \int d^3r \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r j^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r j(t, \mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) J(t, \mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r}))} \right\rangle, \quad (17)$$

where the expectation value is taken in the vacuum state, and T denotes the time-ordering operator. Besides the complex source $j(t, \mathbf{r})$, linearly coupled to the elementary quantum field $\hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$, a real source $J(t, \mathbf{r})$, coupled to the density operator $\hat{\Phi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Phi}(\mathbf{r})$, has been introduced for more efficient probing of the system. The above functional can be conveniently represented [21] as a path integral

$$Z[j, J] = \int D\Phi D\Phi^* e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt d^3r [L[\Phi] + \mu \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) - j^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) - j(t, \mathbf{r}) \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) - \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) J(t, \mathbf{r}) \Phi(t, \mathbf{r})]}, \quad (18)$$

where the Lagrangian density, derived from the Hamiltonian (1), reads

$$\begin{aligned} L[\Phi] = & i\hbar \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial \Phi(t, \mathbf{r})}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) \\ & - V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) - \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r' \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}') U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}') \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In the general case of a time-dependent system, the path integral for the generating functional (18) is defined within the Schwinger-Keldysh formalism [22] on the three-branch contour in the complex-time plane $\{(-\infty, +\infty), (+\infty, -\infty), (-\infty, -\infty + i\beta)\}$. The boundary conditions on the fields are periodic in imaginary time, with a period being the inverse temperature, $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$. Although almost all attention in this work is given to equilibrium applications, we keep the formulation general as long as possible, having in mind possible studies of time-dependent issues. The generating functional for connected Green's functions, $W[j, J]$, is defined by

$$Z[j, J] = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} W[j, J]}. \quad (20)$$

The background field in the presence of external sources can be obtained as

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{\delta W}{\delta j^*(x)} = \langle \hat{\Phi}(x) \rangle_{j, J} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*(x) = \frac{\delta W}{\delta j(x)} = \langle \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \rangle_{j, J}, \quad (21)$$

and the total density

$$n(x) = \frac{\delta W}{\delta J(x)} = \langle \hat{\Phi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\Phi}(x) \rangle_{j, J} = \langle \hat{\rho}(x) \rangle_{j, J} = \hbar \rho(x) + |\Phi(x)|^2 \quad (22)$$

consists of the uncondensed particles density $\rho(x)$ and the condensate density $n_{cond}(x) = |\Phi(x)|^2$. Here and in the following x stands for (t, \mathbf{r}) . The effective action for composite density operator is defined as the double Legendre transform

$$\Gamma[\Phi, \rho] = W[j, J] - \int \Phi^*(x) j(x) dx - \int j^*(x) \Phi(x) - \int J(x) (\hbar \rho(x) + |\Phi(x)|^2) dx \quad (23)$$

with the sources $j(x)$ and $J(x)$ eliminated in favor of $\Phi(x)$ and $\rho(x)$ with the aid of Eqs.21 and 22. The above functional contains full information on the system in terms of ρ and Φ , corresponding to external sources j and J . Due to Legendre transform properties, the effective action fulfils

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi(x)} = -j^*(x), \quad \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \Phi^*(x)} = -j(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta \Gamma}{\delta \rho(x)} = -\hbar J(x). \quad (24)$$

The original system is recovered by setting sources to zero, its states can be thus determined by solving the stationarity conditions

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\Phi(x)} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\Phi^*(x)} = 0 \quad (25)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\rho(x)} = 0. \quad (26)$$

Since the interaction potential does not depend on time, a time-independent solution can be found, $\Phi_{eq}(x) = \Phi_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\rho_{eq}(x) = \rho_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$, which corresponds to the equilibrium state.

Let us observe that the conventionally used effective action

$$\Gamma[\Phi] = W[j, J = 0] - \int \Phi^*(x)j(x) dx - \int j^*(x)\Phi(x) \quad (27)$$

can be obtained as $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ at $J(x) = 0$, or equivalently as $\Gamma[\Phi] = \Gamma[\Phi, \rho_0[\Phi]]$, where ρ_0 is a solution of (26). Both the conventional effective action, $\Gamma[\Phi]$, and the effective action for composite density operator, $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$, contain full information on quantum field theory, but considering $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ as a functional of two independent variables provides an easier access to some physical observables. Both effective actions can be used to generate proper vertices, which are the simplest, one-particle irreducible Green's functions, directly related to the excitations of the system. Proper vertices of elementary fields, defined through differentiation of $\Gamma[\Phi]$, can be also obtained as derivatives of $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ taken at the equilibrium values of the order parameter and density. Especially useful is the second derivative

$$\Gamma(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{\Phi\Phi^*}(x, y) & \Gamma_{\Phi\Phi}(x, y) \\ \Gamma_{\Phi^*\Phi^*}(x, y) & \Gamma_{\Phi^*\Phi}(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \left. \frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\Phi(x)\delta\Phi^*(y)} \right|_{\substack{\Phi_{eq} \\ \rho_{eq}}} & \left. \frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\Phi^*(x)\delta\Phi^*(y)} \right|_{\substack{\Phi_{eq} \\ \rho_{eq}}} \\ \left. \frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\Phi(x)\delta\Phi(y)} \right|_{\substack{\Phi_{eq} \\ \rho_{eq}}} & \left. \frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\Phi^*(x)\delta\Phi(y)} \right|_{\substack{\Phi_{eq} \\ \rho_{eq}}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (28)$$

which fulfils

$$\int \Gamma(x, y)G(y, z)dz = -\delta(x, z), \quad (29)$$

where the full propagator $G(x, y)$ is given by the connected Green's function

$$G(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} G_{\Phi\Phi^*}(x, y) & G_{\Phi\Phi}(x, y) \\ G_{\Phi^*\Phi^*}(x, y) & G_{\Phi^*\Phi}(x, y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \left. \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta j(x)\delta j^*(y)} \right|_{j=J=0} & \left. \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta j^*(x)\delta j^*(y)} \right|_{j=J=0} \\ \left. \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta j(x)\delta j(y)} \right|_{j=J=0} & \left. \frac{\delta^2 W}{\delta j^*(x)\delta j(y)} \right|_{j=J=0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (30)$$

Zero modes of $\Gamma(x, y)$, corresponding to the poles of the propagator $G(x, y)$, describe thus the one-particle excitations.

The functional $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ offers an additional possibility of taking functional derivatives with respect to the density, which can be useful in studying collective excitations. For instance, the density fluctuations are described by the two-point composite vertex, given by the second derivative

$$\chi(x, y) = \left. \frac{\delta^2\Gamma}{\delta\rho(x)\delta\rho(y)} \right|_{\substack{\Phi_{eq} \\ \rho_{eq}}}. \quad (31)$$

IV. EXPANSION OF EFFECTIVE ACTION

In the case of interacting particles, the effective action functionals cannot be calculated exactly, so one resorts to approximations. It is advantageous to formulate an approximation scheme for the effective action functional, which makes it possible to generate consistent sets of approximate Green's functions through functional differentiation. A natural approximation scheme emerges if $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ can be represented as a series in powers of a conveniently chosen parameter. Because of the implicit definition (23), the expansion of $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ must be obtained in three steps: expanding $Z[j, J]$ in powers of the chosen parameter, deriving the expansion for $W[j, J] = \ln Z[j, J]$, and performing the Legendre transform order by order in the chosen parameter. Expansions of effective actions were obtained in this way for the cases when only one source is present. Expanding the conventional effective action $\Gamma[\Phi]$, being the Legendre transform

of $W[j, J = 0]$, in powers of the Planck constant, results in the well-known loop expansion, represented by Feynman diagrams with Φ -dependent propagator and vertices [21, 23]. Expansion of the density-dependent effective action $\Gamma[\rho]$, being the Legendre transform of $W[j = 0, J]$ in powers of the interaction strength has been derived by Fukuda et al. [8]. The diagrammatic representation of $\Gamma[\rho]$ has been established in terms of the propagator, being related to $\rho(x)$ via an implicit relation [10, 11]. Later, considering the effective action for composite operators $\hat{\Phi}^2(\mathbf{r})$ and $\hat{\Phi}^4(\mathbf{r})$ we have shown [24] that by using the Planck constant as a parameter of expansion, the Fukuda's approach can be extended to the case when the effective action depends on several functional variables. Now, we shall exploit this idea to derive a diagrammatic representation of $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$, being the Legendre transform of $W[j]$ for non-relativistic system of interacting bosons.

The double Legendre transform for the effective action (23) can be performed sequentially. First, we perform the Legendre transform with respect to the source $j(x)$:

$$\Gamma_{1PI}[\Phi, J] = W[j, J] - \int \Phi^*(x) j(x) dx - \int j^*(x) \Phi(x) dx, \quad (32)$$

calculating the integral (18) by the steepest-descent method. As stressed in [21], the steepest-descent method does not strictly yield a semi-classical expansion in powers of \hbar , since the Planck constant appears not only in the parameter $\frac{1}{\hbar}$ multiplying the action in the exponent in (18) but also in the Lagrangian (19). Choosing the strategy, routinely used in relativistic QFT, which consists in keeping the term $\frac{1}{\hbar}$ in the exponent but setting $\hbar = 1$ in the Lagrangian, we obtain the steepest-descent expansion in the form

$$Z[j, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k Z^{(k)}[j, J]. \quad (33)$$

This yields the series representation of the connected generating functional

$$W[j, J] = \ln Z[j, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k W^{(k)}[j, J], \quad (34)$$

which can be differentiated to obtain

$$\Phi[j, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \Phi^{(k)}[j, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \frac{\delta W^{(k)}[j, J]}{\delta j}. \quad (35)$$

The series for the background field can be explicitly inverted order by order in \hbar to the form

$$j[\Phi, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k j^{(k)}[\Phi, J], \quad (36)$$

which enables $j(x)$ to be eliminated in favor of $\Phi(x)$ in the Legendre transform (32) leading to the loop expansion formula

$$\Gamma_{1PI}[\Phi, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \Gamma^{(k)}[\Phi, J] = S_E[\Phi] + \int J(x) |\Phi(x)|^2 dx + \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{Tr} L n G^{-1}$$

(37)

with the line denoting the propagator functional $G_J(x, y)$, the inverse of which is defined by

$$G_J^{-1}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \left(i\partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_J(x) \right) \delta(x-y) + 2\Phi^*(x)U(x, y)\Phi(y) & \Phi(x)U(x, y)\Phi(y) \\ \Phi^*(x)U(x, y)\Phi^*(y) & \left(-i\partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_J(x) \right) \delta(x-y) + 2\Phi^*(x)U(x, y)\Phi(y) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (38)$$

where the auxiliary potential $V_J(x) = -\mu + V_{ext}(x) + J(x)$. Dots represent Hugenholtz vertices, which depend on the interaction potential $U(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$ and the background field $\Phi(x)$. The diagrams in the above expansion should be interpreted according to the rules of non-equilibrium theory on the three-branch contour in the complex-time plane.

The next step consists in eliminating $J(x)$ in favor of $\rho(x)$, while performing the second Legendre transform

$$\Gamma[\Phi, \rho] = \Gamma_{1PI}[\Phi, J] - \int (\hbar\rho(x) + |\Phi(x)|^2)J(x)dx. \quad (39)$$

After substituting the loop expansion (37) into the relation

$$|\Phi|^2 + \hbar\rho = \frac{\delta\Gamma_{1PI}}{\delta J} \quad (40)$$

one obtains the power series representation of the density

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar\rho[\Phi, J] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k \rho^{(k)}[\Phi, J] = & \hbar \text{ (circle with one external line) } + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \text{ (two circles connected by a line) } + \frac{\hbar^2}{3} \text{ (circle with two internal lines) } \\ & + \frac{\hbar^3}{4} \text{ (three circles in a triangle) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{4} \text{ (circle with three external lines) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{2} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{2} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } \\ & + \frac{\hbar^3}{4} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{4} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + \hbar^3 \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{6} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } \\ & + \frac{\hbar^3}{2} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{2} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + \frac{\hbar^3}{2} \text{ (circle with two internal lines and one external line) } + O(\hbar^4) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

which should be inverted to the form

$$J[\Phi, \rho] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k J^{(k)}[\Phi, \rho]. \quad (42)$$

In difference with the case of $j(x)$, the above inversion cannot be performed explicitly, since the lowest order functional relation

$$\rho^{(0)}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} G_J(x, x) \quad (43)$$

cannot be solved for $J(x)$. The best thing one can do is to keep a definition of the functional $J^{(0)}[\Phi, \rho]$ in an implicit form

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} G_{J^{(0)}}(x, x), \quad (44)$$

and to determine the higher-order coefficients $J^{(k)}$ as functionals of $J^{(0)}$, which enables us to perform the Legendre transform order by order in \hbar . For simplicity, from here on we take the interaction potential to be local

$$U(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j) = g\delta(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j), \quad (45)$$

which is usually assumed in describing the Bose condensed gas at very low energies, with $g = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a}{m}$ being related to the scattering length a [25]. In this case, the Hugenholtz vertices are reduced to the local ones: the 3-point vertices $-2g\Phi(x)$ and $-2g\Phi^*(x)$, and the 4-point vertex $-2g$, and the diagrammatic representation of the effective action is obtained in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[\Phi, \rho] = & S[\Phi] + \hbar \int \rho(x) J^{(0)}(x) dx + \frac{\hbar}{2} \text{Tr} \text{Ln} G^{-1} \\ & + \frac{3\hbar^2}{2} g \int \rho^2(x) dx - 3\hbar^2 \text{ (loop with one vertex)} - 81\hbar^3 \text{ (loop with two vertices)} - 54\hbar^3 \text{ (loop with three vertices)} \\ & - 27\hbar^3 \text{ (triangle)} + 81\hbar^3 \text{ (two loops)} - \frac{3\hbar^3}{4} \text{ (self-energy)} + O(\hbar^4) \end{aligned}$$

where the inverse composite propagator $\text{ (loop with two vertices) } = \chi(x, y) = -\frac{\partial J^{(0)}(x)}{\partial \rho(y)}$.

(46)

The line denotes the propagator $G_J(x, y)$ (38) taken at $J = J_0[\Phi, \rho]$, which is implicitly given by (44). In fact, the implicit form of this relation is the advantage of the method of composite operators, because more information is included in the lowest order. It can be observed that for vanishing background field, $\Phi = 0$, the loop expansion (46) would be reduced to an expansion of $\Gamma[\rho]$ in powers of the interaction strength, similar to that obtained by Fukuda for fermions [8].

The lowest order of the time-dependent effective action is the classical action

$$\Gamma^{(0)}[\Phi, \rho] = S[\Phi] = \int dt d^3r \left[\Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r}) \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + \mu \right) \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) - \frac{g}{2} |\Phi(t, \mathbf{r})|^4 \right], \quad (47)$$

which does not depend on ρ , and trivially fulfills (26). In this approximation, the stationarity equation (25) yields the time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma^{(0)}}{\delta \Phi^*(t, \mathbf{r})} = \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} - V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + \mu - g |\Phi(t, \mathbf{r})|^2 \right) \Phi(t, \mathbf{r}) = 0. \quad (48)$$

In order to determine corrections to the above equation and other time-dependent characteristics, it would be necessary to calculate higher-order diagrams of $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ by means of Schwinger-Keldysh rules. We will not develop this point here, and in the following we restrict our discussion to the equilibrium case, discussing a systematic approximation scheme for the thermal DF.

V. THERMAL DENSITY FUNCTIONAL

In the case of thermal equilibrium, the path integral formalism becomes greatly simplified, since only the branch along the imaginary axis on the Schwinger-Keldysh contour matters. Changing to imaginary time $\tau = it$ reduces the grand canonical generating functional to the Matsubara integral,

$$Z[j, J] = \int D\Phi D\Phi^* e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int dx [L_E[\Phi, \Phi^*] - \mu \Phi^*(x)\Phi(x) + j^*(x)\Phi(x) + j(x)\Phi^*(x) + \Phi^*(x)J(x)\Phi(x)]}, \quad (49)$$

where x stands for (τ, \mathbf{r}) , and the integral over τ is taken on the interval $(0, \beta)$, as the functions are periodic in τ . The Wick's rotated Lagrangian density takes a form

$$L_E[\Phi] = \Phi^*(\tau, \mathbf{r}) \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \Phi(\tau, \mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3 r' (\Phi^*(\tau, \mathbf{r}) \Phi^*(\tau, \mathbf{r}') \tau) U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \Phi(\tau, \mathbf{r}') \Phi(\tau, \mathbf{r})). \quad (50)$$

For studying the equilibrium properties of the system, it is sufficient to consider time-independent generating functionals. The functional

$$w[j, J] = - \frac{1}{\beta} W[j, J] \Big|_{\substack{j=j(\mathbf{r}) \\ J=J(\mathbf{r})}} \quad (51)$$

represents the grand canonical potential of the system being probed by the time-independent sources $j(\mathbf{r})$ and $J(\mathbf{r})$. In this case, the background field and density, given respectively by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta w}{\delta j^*(\mathbf{r})}, \quad \Phi^*(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta w}{\delta j(\mathbf{r})}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta w}{\delta J(\mathbf{r})} = \hbar \rho(\mathbf{r}) + |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2, \quad (52)$$

are also time-independent, and the effective action can be used to define the thermal density functional

$$\Omega[\Phi, \rho] = - \frac{1}{\beta} \Gamma[\Phi, \rho] \Big|_{\substack{\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \\ \rho(\mathbf{r})}} = w[j, J] - \int d^3 r \Phi^*(\mathbf{r}) j(\mathbf{r}) - \int d^3 r \Phi(\mathbf{r}) j^*(\mathbf{r}) - \int J(x) (\hbar \rho(x) + |\Phi(x)|^2). \quad (53)$$

Since the functional $w[j, J]$ is strictly concave in both variables,

$$w[j, \alpha J + (1 - \alpha) J'] > \alpha w[j, J] + (1 - \alpha) w[j, J'], \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad J \neq J' \quad (54)$$

$$w[\alpha j + (1 - \alpha) j', J] > \alpha w[j, J] + (1 - \alpha) w[j', J], \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j \neq j', \quad (55)$$

the transformation $j, J \rightarrow \Phi, \rho$, given by (52), is bijective, i.e. for any $\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ and $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ the corresponding $j(\mathbf{r})$ and $J(\mathbf{r})$ exist. Therefore, the thermal density functional $\Omega[\Phi, \rho]$ is strictly convex and provides an appropriate extension of the Mermin functional (15) to non-vanishing background fields. The equilibrium values of the order parameter, $\Phi_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$, and density, $\rho_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$, are determined by the minimum principle

$$\frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \Phi(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \Phi^*(\mathbf{r})} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} = 0, \quad (56)$$

and the grand canonical potential can be obtained as $\omega(\beta, \mu) = \Omega[\Phi_{eq}, \rho_{eq}]$. The value of the chemical potential is fixed by the additional condition

$$\frac{\delta \Omega}{\delta \mu} = -N, \quad (57)$$

which guarantees that the average number of particles is equal to N . One can notice that in the limit of $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, $\Omega[\Phi, \rho]$ approaches the zero-temperature DF, which describes the ground state properties of the bosonic system.

Expansion of $\Omega[\Phi, \rho]$ in powers of \hbar is obtained from Eq.46 by replacing the Feynman rules by those of the imaginary-time formalism at fixed temperature $T = \frac{1}{\beta}$ and chemical potential μ . In the frequency-coordinate representation, the thermal propagator reads

$$\mathcal{G}_{J(0)}^{-1}(\omega_n, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \begin{pmatrix} -i\omega_n - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + 2g|\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + V_{J(0)}(\mathbf{r}) & g\Phi^2(\mathbf{r}) \\ g\Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{r}) & i\omega_n - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + 2g|\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + V_{J(0)}(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (58)$$

where $\omega_n = \frac{2\pi n}{\beta}$ is the n -th Matsubara frequency, and the vertex labeled (ω_n, \mathbf{r}) implies the combined sum and integral $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int d^3r$. The auxiliary potential is given by $V_{J^{(0)}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\mu + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + J^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ with the functional $J^{(0)}[\Phi, \rho]$ implicitly defined by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{tr} \mathcal{G}_{J^{(0)}}(\omega_n, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}). \quad (59)$$

VI. KOHN-SHAM APPROXIMATION SCHEME

Now, we construct a systematic approximation scheme for bosonic fields from the expansion of the thermal DF proceeding in a way analogous to that of Valiev and Fernando [12]. They established the Kohn-Sham approximation scheme for fermions using the effective action $\Gamma[\rho]$ with the coupling constant as the expansion parameter. In the case of bosons, the background fields do not necessarily vanish, so we have to consider the effective action $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ and its expansion in powers of \hbar (46).

The K -th order approximation at the temperature $\frac{1}{\beta}$ is obtained from the thermal DF series truncated at the K th order

$$\Omega^{(K)}[\Phi, \rho] = \sum_{k=0}^K \hbar^k \Omega^{(k)}[\Phi, \rho]. \quad (60)$$

The approximate values of the order parameter and density are determined by the stationarity conditions

$$\frac{\delta \Omega^{(K)}}{\delta \Phi(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\delta \Omega^{(K)}}{\delta \Phi^*(\mathbf{r})} = 0 \quad (61)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta \Omega^{(K)}}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} = 0, \quad (62)$$

and the chemical potential is fixed by

$$N = -\frac{\delta \Omega^{(K)}}{\delta \mu}. \quad (63)$$

The zero-th order approximation to the thermal DF

$$\Omega^{(0)}[\Phi, \rho] = \int d^3r \left[\Phi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{g}{2} |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^4 \right], \quad (64)$$

yields the time-independent Gross-Pitaevskii equation

$$\frac{\delta \Omega^{(0)}}{\delta \Phi^*(\mathbf{r})} = \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu + g |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (65)$$

and the constraint (63) takes a form

$$N = -\frac{\delta \Omega^{(0)}}{\delta \mu} = \int d^3r |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2. \quad (66)$$

This means that in this approximation the total density is equal to the condensate density, which is consistent with the absence of the contributions to the particle density in the zero-th order. One has to stress that $\Omega^{(0)}[\Phi, \rho]$ does not include any temperature corrections, and can be regarded only as an approximation to the zero-temperature DF, which describes a full condensation into the ground state.

The first order thermal DF for bosons is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(1)}[\Phi, \rho] = & \int d^3r \left[\Phi^*(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{g}{2} |\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^4 \right] \\ & - \hbar \int d^3r \rho(\mathbf{r}) J_0(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{\hbar}{2\beta} \text{Tr} L n \mathcal{G}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

with

$$\text{Tr} L n \mathcal{G}^{-1} = \sum_i \ln \lambda_i, \quad (68)$$

where the eigenvalues λ_i of the operator \mathcal{G}^{-1} are determined by the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} -i\omega_n - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + 2g|\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + V_{J^{(0)}}(\mathbf{r}) & g\Phi^2(\mathbf{r}) \\ g\Phi^{*2}(\mathbf{r}) & i\omega_n - \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + 2g|\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 + V_{J^{(0)}}(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ v_i(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} u_i(\mathbf{r}) \\ v_i(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

$$\frac{\delta\Omega^{(1)}}{\delta\Phi^*(\mathbf{r})} = \left(-\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) - \mu + g|\Phi(\mathbf{r})|^2 \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \quad (70)$$

The above functional describes a system of independent particles subjected to an external potential $V_{J^{(0)}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\mu + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}) + J^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$, where the function $J^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ is unknown. Therefore, $\Omega^{(1)}[\Phi, \rho]$ can be taken as the reference system in the Kohn-Sham approximation scheme. The K -th order density functional may be split as

$$\Omega^{(K)} = \Omega^{(1)} + \Omega_{m-b}^{(K)}, \quad (71)$$

where the many-body contribution, $\Omega_{m-b}^{(K)}$, contains the terms of the order \hbar^2 and higher. This results in the splitting of the condition (62) into two equations

$$\frac{\delta\Omega^{(1)}}{\delta\hbar\rho(\mathbf{r})} = -J^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\delta\Omega_{m-b}^{(K)}}{\delta\hbar\rho(\mathbf{r})} = J^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (72)$$

where the first equality follows from the formula (59).

The first of the above equations can be regarded as describing the single-particle Kohn-Sham reference system. The fictitious potential $J^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ is determined by the second equation, which includes many-body effects to the order K . An implicit character of the relation between the density and Kohn-Sham potential (59) leads to the self-consistent scheme for calculating physical quantities. The equilibrium density $\rho_{eq}^{(K)}(\mathbf{r})$ and order parameter $\Phi_{eq}^{(K)}(\mathbf{r})$ have to be determined by solving Eqs. 61, 62 and 72 self-consistently. The K -th order approximation to the grand canonical potential can be obtained as $\omega^{(K)}(\mu, \beta) = \Omega^{(K)}[\Phi_{eq}^{(K)}, \rho_{eq}^{(K)}]$. The Legendre construction guarantees that the density and the order parameter determined by the exact functional $\Omega[\Phi, \rho]$ are equal to those of the true system at the same temperature and chemical potential. The approximation series provides a systematic way of approaching $\rho_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$, $\Phi_{eq}(\mathbf{r})$ and the grand canonical potential $\omega(\mu, \beta)$. Approximations to other physical quantities have to be derived first from the approximate functional $\Gamma^{(K)}[\Phi, \rho]$, and then evaluated at $\Phi = \Phi_{eq}^{(K)}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\rho = \rho_{eq}^{(K)}(\mathbf{r})$. For example, approximations to one-particle excitation energies may be obtained from zero-modes of the inverse one-particle propagator

$$\Gamma^{(K)}(x, y) = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma^{(K)}[\Phi, \rho]}{\delta\Phi(x) \delta\Phi^*(y)} \Big|_{\Phi_{eq}^{(K)}, \rho_{eq}^{(K)}} \quad (73)$$

and those to density fluctuations from zero-modes of the inverse composite propagator

$$\chi^{(K)}(x, y) = \frac{\delta^2 \Gamma^{(K)}[\Phi, \rho]}{\delta\rho(x) \delta\rho(y)} \Big|_{\Phi_{eq}^{(K)}, \rho_{eq}^{(K)}}. \quad (74)$$

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The Lagrangian formulation of QFT provides a rigorous formulation of DFT for fermions and bosons. The functional $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ is defined as the effective action for both elementary field and density operator. The formalism is universal and can be used to study time-dependent systems and the equilibrium phenomena. The formalism allows for extensions to other functional theories (spin-density, current-density, ...) by introducing sources coupled to the corresponding operators. The path integral formulation provides a method for representing the effective action functional as a series in powers of the Planck constant. The expansion allows to formulate a systematic approximation scheme, which is a generalization of the Kohn-Sham approach to bosonic fields. From an approximation to the effective action a consistent set of approximations to physical quantities may be obtained. A single approximation to $\Gamma[\Phi, \rho]$ describes

the ground state, and provides a way to determine approximations to other quantities, such as for example one-particle excitations or density fluctuations.

-
- [1] R.G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford, Oxford, 1989).
 - [2] R.M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross, *Density Functional Theory: An Approach to the Quantum Many Body Problem* (Springer, Berlin, 1990).
 - [3] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* B136 (1964) 864.
 - [4] W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.* A140 (1965) 1133.
 - [5] D. Mermin, *Phys. Rev.* 137 (1965) A1441.
 - [6] M.Levy, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Soc.* 76 (1979) 6062, *Phys.Rev.* **A26** (1982) 1200.
 - [7] E.H.Lieb, *Int.Jour.Quant.Chem.* **24** (1982) 243.
 - [8] R.Fukuda, T.Kotani, Y.Suzuki and S.Yokojima, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **92** (1994) 833; R.Fukuda, M.Komachiya, S.Yokojima, Y.Suzuki, K.Okumura and T.Inagaki *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **121** (1995) 1.
 - [9] E. Runge and E.K.U. Gross, *Phys. Rev. Letts.* **52** (1984) 997
 - [10] K.Okumura, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.***A11**, 65 (1995).
 - [11] S.Yokojima, *Phys.Rev.***D51** (1995) 2996.
 - [12] M.Valiev and G.W. Fernando, Chapter 6, *Handbook of Metal Physics* 4 (1997), 131.
 - [13] S.J.Puglia, A.Bhattacharyya, and R.J.Furnstahl, *Nucl.Phys* **A723** (2003) 145.
 - [14] Drut, JE; Furnstahl, RJ; Platter, L., *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* **64** (2010) 120.
 - [15] J.Polonyi and K.Sailer, *Phys.Rev* **B66** (2002) 155113.
 - [16] Takeru Yokota and Tomoya Naito, *Phys.Rev. Research* 3, L012015 (2021)
 - [17] A. Griffin, *Can.J.Phys.***73** (1995) 775.
 - [18] N.N. Bogoliubov, *J. Phys. U.S.S.R.* 11, 23 (1947).
 - [19] C. Ebner and W.F. Saam, *Phys. Rev.* B12, 923 (1975); S. Stringari and J.Treiner, *Phys. Rev.* B36, 8369 (1987); F. Dalfovo, A. Latri, L.Pricoupenko, S. Stringari and J. Treiner, *Phys. Rev.* **B52**, 1193 (1995).
 - [20] R.Nalewajski and R.G. Parr, *J. Chem.Phys.*, **77** (1982) 399 ; R.Nalewajski and T.S. Carlton, *J. Chem.Phys.* 78 (1983) 1616; R.Nalewajski, *J. Chem. Phys.* 78 (1983) 6112.
 - [21] J.W.Negele and H.Orland, *Quantum Many Particle Systems* (Addison Wesley, New York,(1988).
 - [22] J. S. Schwinger, *J.Math. Phys.* 2: 407, 1961; L. V. Keldysh, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* 47: 1515, 1964.
 - [23] R.Jackiw, *Phys. Rev.* **D9** (1974) 1686.
 - [24] A.Okopińska, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.***A12**(1997) 585.
 - [25] Pethick C.J. and Smith, H., 2002, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases*, Cambridge University Press, UK.