Three-wave mixing of anharmonically coupled magnons

Zhuquan Zhang^{1†}, Frank Y. Gao^{2†}, Jonathan B. Curtis³, Zi-Jie Liu¹, Yu-Che Chien¹, Alexander von Hoegen⁴, Takayuki Kurihara⁵, Tohru Suemoto⁵, Prineha Narang³, Edoardo Baldini^{2*}, and Keith A. Nelson^{1*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, 02139

²Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, USA, 78712 ³College of Letters and Science, University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA, 90095

⁴Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, 02139

⁵Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba, Japan, 277-8581

*E-mail: kanelson@mit.edu, edoardo.baldini@austin.utexas.edu

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Magnons are quantized collective spin-wave excitations in magnetically ordered systems. Revealing their interactions among these collective modes is crucial for the understanding of fundamental many-body effects in such systems and the development of high-speed information transport and processing devices based on them. Nevertheless, identifying couplings between individual magnon modes remains a long-standing challenge. Here, we observe unambiguous spectroscopic fingerprints of anharmonic coupling between distinct magnon modes in an antiferromagnet, as evidenced by coherent photon emission at the sum and difference frequencies of the two modes. This discovery is enabled by driving two magnon modes coherently with a pair of tailored terahertz fields and then disentangling a mixture of nonlinear responses with different origins, symmetries, and field dependences in a two-dimensional frequency-frequency correlation map. Our approach provides a new platform for generating nonlinear magnon-magnon mixings and establishes a systematic means of unveiling intricate couplings among distinct low-energy collective modes.

Main Text

Understanding the interactions between quasiparticles lies at the core of condensed matter research. In magnetically ordered systems, the collective excitations of spin precessions are spin waves – the quanta of which are magnons. The coupling between multiple magnons can lead to a magnon bound state, an exotic phenomenon first predicted by Bethe in 1931 for one-dimensional ferromagnets¹ and then extended to two and three dimensions². Since then, the investigation of magnon-magnon couplings has become a thriving field which can provide invaluable insights into a wide variety of magnetic systems including those exhibiting frustrated interactions³, magnon Bose-Einstein condensates^{4,5}, and two-dimensional magnetism⁶. However, the search for signatures of intrinsic couplings among distinct magnon modes has proved challenging, as evidence for mode-mode interactions usually appears only under special circumstances when an external field brings magnon frequencies into near-degeneracy^{7,8}. Tailored light excitation has recently emerged as a powerful tool to study complex phenomena in quantum materials and can serve as a dynamic tuning knob to unveil the coupling between distinct collective modes.^{9–15} Despite the potential, such a methodology has never been applied to study magnon-magnon mode mixings, which are usually hidden in conventional spectroscopies dominated by linear magnon excitations. 16 Here, we explore an uncharted territory in nonlinear coupled magnonics by measuring the coherence and interactions between two distinct magnon modes in the canted antiferromagnet YFeO₃

(YFO) at room temperature. The dynamics of interacting magnons are imprinted on the nonlinear electrodynamic response that emerges upon the simultaneous driving of modes through a pair of THz pulses. By transforming the time-domain response into a two-dimensional (2D) frequency-frequency map, we uncover the nonlinear mixing of anharmonically coupled magnon modes. This discovery was made possible by a state-of-the-art 2D THz electron spin resonance polarimetry (ESR) technique utilizing single-shot detection of coherent THz signals^{17,18}. This method allows us to rapidly collect over a hundred 2D THz spectra with various crystalline orientations with respect to the incident THz field polarization, enabling us to observe anisotropic responses that arise from nonlinear magnon-magnon mixing.

Figure 1a depicts the crystal structure and spin configurations of YFO. As a model antiferromagnetic insulator, YFO crystallizes in an orthorhombically distorted perovskite structure, with the two nearest neighboring Fe³⁺ ions ordered nearly antiparallel to the a axis. However, due to the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction^{19,20}, the spins are slightly canted, leading to a net magnetization along the c axis. This peculiar magnetic structure allows for two primary cooperative motions of sublattice spins, corresponding to two distinct magnon modes^{21,22}, i.e., the quasi-ferromagnetic (qFM) mode, which corresponds to a precession of the magnetization orientation, and the quasi-antiferromagnetic (qAFM) mode, which corresponds to an oscillation of the magnetization amplitude. The real-space spin precessions of both are displayed in Fig.

1a.

As a first step, we study how the magnon modes in YFO respond to a single THz pulse. As shown in Fig. 1b, a single-cycle THz transient is focused on the sample, and the radiated free induction decay (FID) signals are tracked in the time-domain by our single-shot measurement technique^{17,18}, which yields the field amplitudes at 500 different detection times t given by the times at which each of 500 optical readout pulses overlaps with the THz signal field inside an electro-optic crystal. By rotating the sample, we can selectively drive either magnon mode or both modes, depending on the relative orientations of the THz field polarization and the crystallographic axes. Figure 1c shows the time-domain FID signals when the THz magnetic field is oriented along the b, c, or bc (i.e., 45° to b and c axes) directions. In the bc orientation, the crystal birefringence leads to a temporal walk-off of the THz field components along the different crystallographic axes^{22,23}, resulting in a signal with two peaks (see Supplementary Note 1). The FID signals show two types of oscillations which are assigned to the previously reported qFM ($\Omega_{qFM} = 0.30$ THz) and qAFM ($\Omega_{qAFM} = 0.53$ THz) magnon modes²² as evidenced by the Fourier transforms in Fig. 1d.

To further reveal the anisotropic responses of the driven magnon modes, we use THz ESR polarimetry to follow the azimuthal dependence of each signal. We perform this measurement by rotating the sample in 5° intervals, completing a full 360° sweep

and measuring the resulting FID signal polarizations both parallel ($H_{det} \parallel H_{THz}$) and perpendicular ($H_{det} \perp H_{THz}$) to the incident THz field polarization, controlled with a wire grid polarizer. We extract the amplitudes of each magnon mode from the Fourier spectra of the polarimetry signals and plot them as a function of the azimuthal angle, θ , relative to the c crystallographic axis, as shown in Figs. 1e and 1f. From these data, it is evident that only a single magnon mode is excited when the THz magnetic field is polarized along either crystallographic axis (Fig. 1e), in line with the expected Zeeman interaction-induced out-of-phase or in-phase rotations of two sublattice spins. However, when the THz polarization is off-axis, the Zeeman interaction has components that simultaneously induce excitation of both modes (Fig. 1f). The azimuthal dependence agrees with excitation of magnon modes via the Zeeman interaction with the magnetic component of the THz field.

To uncover the inherent nonlinear couplings between the two distinct magnon modes, we add another THz pulse with a time delay τ between the pulses, and extract the nonlinear signal arising from both pulses for different values of τ . (See Methods section and previous reports¹⁷). At each value of τ , the time-dependent coherent THz signal field is recorded using the single-shot measurement method^{15,18}. 2D Fourier transforms of the nonlinear signals $S(\tau,t)$ allow us to map the nonlinear spectral signal $S(\nu,f)$ for three different incident THz magnetic field orientations (Fig. 2d: b axis, 2e: c axis and 2f: bc bisector). THz magnetic fields orientated along the b and c axes show only

excitation of the qFM (i.e. I) and qAFM (i.e. II) magnon modes respectively. Nonlinear non-rephasing (NR, $[\Omega, \Omega]$) and rephasing (R, i.e. photon echo, $[\Omega, -\Omega]$) signals corresponding to individual magnon modes are seen as well as pump-probe (PP, $[\Omega, 0]$), twoquantum (2Q, $[\Omega, 2\Omega]$), THz rectification (TR, $[0, \Omega]$), and second-harmonic generation (SHG, $[2\Omega, \Omega]$) signals. These observations are consistent with previous measurements which only involved excitation of individual magnon modes in YFO (see Supplementary Note 2).²¹ 2D THz spectra measured with the THz magnetic field oriented along the bc bisector show excitations of both FM and AFM magnon modes as evidenced by nonlinear peaks corresponding to individual excitations of either mode. In addition to these signals, we also observe a set of four emergent peaks corresponding to the excitation of both qFM and qAFM modes, in either temporal order. A pair of these signals (SFG I and SFG II) appear at the sum-frequency of the two magnon modes $\Omega_{qFM}+\Omega_{qAFM}$ (0.83 THz), while the other signals (DFG I and DFG II) appear at the difference frequency $\Omega_{qAFM}-\Omega_{qFM}$ (0.27 THz) along the detection axis in the 2D spectrum. Our labeling of the SFG and DFG peaks with mode I or II indicates that as the time τ between THz pulses was varied, the signal amplitude showed oscillations at frequency Ω_{qFM} or Ω_{qAFM} respectively, i.e. at the excitation frequency in the 2D spectrum. The presence of these peaks suggests that following excitation of one mode by the first THz pulse and excitation of the other mode by the second THz pulse, second-order nonlinear sum and difference frequency generation occurs as the result of coherent anharmonic coupling

between the two distinct magnon modes.

To elucidate the genesis of these peaks, we perform both field-dependent and THz ESR polarimetry measurements of the 2D spectra. Figures 3a and 3d show the resulting field dependences of the peak amplitudes of the SFG and DFG signals. In all cases, the amplitudes of these signals scale quadratically with the incident THz magnetic field, consistent with their assignment to magnetic $\chi_m^{(2)}$ processes. For the 2D THz polarimetry measurements, the sample is rotated as in our linear polarimetry measurements and parallel and crossed-polarized 2D THz spectra are collected for each angle. Polar plots depicting the peak amplitudes of SFG and DFG signals as a function of the incident THz magnetic field orientation are shown in Figs. 3b-c and 3e-f respectively. All polar plots show that the SFG and DFG signals reach their minima when the incoming THz magnetic field is oriented along the b or c axis and are maximized along the bc bisector. These polar patterns are a direct consequence of the fact that both second-order signals depend on two distinct magnon modes driven along orthogonal coordinates, yielding an azimuthal dependence whose symmetry is a product of the two $(A(\theta) \propto \left|\chi_m^{(2)} H \sin \theta \cos \theta\right|)$, where $\chi_m^{(2)}$ is the corresponding second-order polarizability). These second-order nonlinear responses indicate the existence of coherent coupling between the qFM and qAFM modes. Figure 4a depicts the energy-level diagram of the nonlinear magnonic states. The linear interaction between the THz magnetic field and spins gives rise to a one-quantum (1Q) coherence between the ground state and the ex-

cited magnon state, which radiates signals at frequency Ω_{qFM} or Ω_{qAFM} , depending on which mode is being driven. This linear response dominates the single-pulse FID signals as shown in Fig. 1c-d. With the aid of the second field interaction, the 1Q coherence can be converted to a two-quantum (2Q) coherence, accumulating phase at twice the frequency of the driven magnon mode, i.e., $2\Omega_{qFM}$ or $2\Omega_{qAFM}$. In addition to these 2Q signals that reveal correlations between pairs of the same zone-center magnons, several new signals emerge when we excite both the qFM and qAFM magnon modes. After the creation of the 1Q coherence between the ground state and the excited qFM (qAFM) mode, the second field can promote the SFG coherence involving the other magnon excitation, i.e., the qAFM (qFM) mode. In this case, the direct coupling between two magnon modes leads to the formation of a distinct correlated magnonic state, accumulating phase at their sum frequency $\Omega_{qFM}+\Omega_{qAFM}$. Therefore, the appearance of SFG signals indicates a dynamical renormalization of coherent magnons, going beyond what can be achieved in thermal equilibrium. In addition, two magnon modes can be sequentially driven from the ground state without forming a new state and upon doing so they mutually interact, leading to the DFG coherence between the two 1Q states that accumulates phase at the difference frequency $\Omega_{qAFM}-\Omega_{qFM}$.

It is worth emphasizing here that in centrosymmetric materials the even-order quantum coherences driven by electric dipole transitions do not radiate and are therefore not directly observable. In general, a third field interaction in the second time-delayed THz pulse is required to induce transitions from these even-order coherences into 1Q coherences that radiate the nonlinear (third order in the electric field) signals.^{9,24} Because the third field interferes coherently with the 2Q coherences, the amplitudes of the detected signals as a function of the inter-pulse delay time showsed oscillations at the 2Q frequencies, allowing them to be measured indirectly. In contrast, the SFG and DFG signals observed here are coherent emissions from the second-order coherences, as shown in Fig. 4b and 4c. In YFO, the lattice structure preserves inversion symmetry, but the canted spins and resulting net magnetization break time-reversal symmetry, allowing the second-order coherences to radiate. In this picture, only the THz magnetic field exerts an influence on the second-order signals. Furthermore, we note that classical Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert (LLG) spin dynamics simulations performed with a two-sublattice spin model do not account for these SFG and DFG signals though other nonlinear responses, i.e. those involving a single mode, are reproduced in the simulated 2D spectra (See Supplementary Note 3 and 4). In this regard, the magnon-magnon mixings observed here may motivate future theoretical works that go beyond the LLG equation.

The current study highlights the strength of 2D THz spectroscopy in uncovering the coherent anharmonic couplings between distinct magnon modes. We envision that further investigations using our methodology can observe other exotic dynamics of magnetic excitations and provide insights into elusive states of matter, such as quantum spin

liquids with long range entanglement^{25–28}. Finally, the unique coherences observed here could result in future advances in quantum technology based upon nonlinear magnon mixing, such as all-magnonic mixers and converters.

Methods

Sample Preparation

A single crystal of YFO (2 mm thick) grown by a floating zone melting technique was used in this work. The crystal was cut perpendicular to the a-axis. Before each THz measurement, the sample was magnetized to reinforce the residual magnetization and ensure the formation of a single magnetic domain.

Linear and 2D THz Polarimetry

The laser source was a 1-kHz repetition rate Ti:Sapphire regenerative amplifier system which outputs 12-mJ, 35-fs pulses with a spectrum centered at 800 nm. The majority of the laser power was split evenly into two arms, which were then recombined with a controlled relative time delay on a single MgO:LiNbO₃ crystal in a tilted pulse-front geometry²⁹ to generate a pair of time-delayed single-cycle THz pulses via optical rectification. The THz beams were then focused onto a sample with a pair of off-axis parabolic mirrors in a 4f configuration. The transmitted THz light was then recollimated and refocused by another pair of 4f parabolic mirrors onto a 2-mm thick ZnTe electro-

optic (EO) sampling crystal where it was overlapped with the EO sampling probe beam derived from a small portion of the fundamental laser power. To obtain single-shot readout of the time-dependent THz signal waveforms, the optical probe beam was first expanded and then reflected off a 500-step staircase echelon mirror to generate 500 beamlets with pulses that were delayed successively by 40 fs, given by the step heights which determined the additional distances traveled by the successive pulses. The 500 probe pulses were then overlapped spatially with the THz beam in the EO crystal and relayed onto to distinct regions of a CCD camera through a pair of 4f imaging systems. The THz field-induced birefringence in the EO crystal was measured by separating the beams into two orthogonal polarizations with a balanced detection scheme. Images of the probe beams were downloaded from the CCD camera at the 1-kHz repetition rate of the laser, permitting the full time-dependence of the THz signal within a 20-ps window to be measured on a shot-to-shot basis. The single-shot measurement method has been described previously.¹⁸ For the linear THz measurements, one of the optical pulses that pumps the LN crystal is blocked and the signal generated through only the interaction with one THz pulse is measured. For 2D THz measurements, differential chopping of the two LN pump beams (A and B) is used to extract the nonlinear signal as the delay between the two THz pulses, τ , is varied. The nonlinear signal \mathbf{H}_{NL} is calculated via:

$$\mathbf{H}_{NL}(\tau,t) = \mathbf{H}_{AB}(\tau,t) - \mathbf{H}_{A}(\tau,t) - \mathbf{H}_{B}(t) + \mathbf{H}_{0}(t), \tag{1}$$

where \mathbf{H}_A , \mathbf{H}_B , \mathbf{H}_{AB} and \mathbf{H}_0 are the THz signals measured with pump A, pump B, both pumps and no pumps, respectively. A 2D Fourier transform of the resulting time-domain signal yields the 2D THz spectrum. For all polarimetry measurements, the sample was mounted on a rotational stage and 2D THz signals were measured as the crystal was rotated by 5° increments through a complete 360° revolution. A wire-grid polarizer placed after the sample acted as an analyzer to select the polarization of the THz emission detected, with the polarization of the optical probe beams and the orientation of the ZnTe crystal adjusted accordingly. The complete 2D THz polarimetry measurement included 144 2D spectra. Using the single-shot detection method, the total data acquisition time was about 22 hours. To measure the field dependence on the 2D spectra, a pair of wire grid polarizers was added before the sample to allow for the adjustment of the peak field level.

Data availability All data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments Z.Z., Z.-J.L. and K.A.N acknowledge support from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Award No. DE-SC0019126. F.Y.G. and E.B. acknowledge support from the Robert A. Welch Foundation (grant F-2092-20220331). Y.-C.C. acknowledges direct funding from the MIT UROP. Work by JC and PN was partially supported by the Department of Energy, Photonics at Thermodynamic Limits Energy Frontier Research Center, under Grant No. DE-SC0019140 and by the Quantum Science Center (QSC), a National Quantum Information Science Research Center of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). P.N. acknowledges support as a Moore Inventor Fellow through Grant No. GBMF8048 and gratefully acknowledges support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. T.K. acknowledges support from JSPS KAKENHI (21K14550, 20K22478).

Author contributions Z.Z. and F.Y.G. conceived the study and designed the research; Z.Z. and F.Y.G. performed the experiments and analyzed the data, supported by Z.-J.L. and Y.-C.C.; T.K. and T.S. provided the sample; Z.Z., F.Y.G., J.B.C., A.v.H., P.N., E.B., and K.A.N. interpreted the data; Z.Z., F.Y.G., E.B. and K.A.N. wrote the manuscript; K.A.N. and E.B. supervised the project.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Bethe, H. Zur theorie der metalle. Zeitschrift für Physik 71, 205–226 (1931).
- 2. Wortis, M. Bound states of two spin waves in the Heisenberg ferromagnet. Phys. Rev. 132, 85 (1963).
- 3. Bai, X. *et al.* Hybridized quadrupolar excitations in the spin-anisotropic frustrated magnet FeI₂. *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 467–472 (2021).
- 4. Demokritov, S. O. *et al.* Bose-Einstein condensation of quasi-equilibrium magnons at room temperature under pumping. *Nature* **443**, 430–433 (2006).
- 5. Borisenko, I. *et al.* Direct evidence of spatial stability of Bose-Einstein condensate of magnons. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–7 (2020).
- 6. Gong, C. *et al.* Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in two-dimensional van der Waals crystals.

 *Nature 546, 265–269 (2017).
- MacNeill, D. et al. Gigahertz frequency antiferromagnetic resonance and strong magnon-magnon coupling in the layered crystal crcl 3. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 047204 (2019).
- 8. Makihara, T. *et al.* Ultrastrong magnon-magnon coupling dominated by antiresonant interactions. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–9 (2021).
- 9. Turner, D. B. & Nelson, K. A. Coherent measurements of high-order electronic correlations in quantum wells. *Nature* **466**, 1089–1092 (2010).
- Först, M. et al. Nonlinear phononics as an ultrafast route to lattice control. Nat. Phys. 7, 854–856 (2011).
- 11. Bae, Y. J. et al. Exciton-coupled coherent magnons in a 2D semiconductor. *Nature* **609**, 282–286 (2022).
- 12. Kozina, M. et al. Terahertz-driven phonon upconversion in SrTiO₃. Nat. Phys. 15, 387–392 (2019).

- Disa, A. S. *et al.* Polarizing an antiferromagnet by optical engineering of the crystal field. *Nat. Phys.* 937–941 (2020).
- 14. Mashkovich, E. A. *et al.* Terahertz light–driven coupling of antiferromagnetic spins to lattice. *Science* **374**, 1608–1611 (2021).
- 15. Zhang, Z. *et al.* Nonlinear coupled magnonics: Terahertz field-driven magnon upconversion. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2207.07103 (2022).
- Kampfrath, T. et al. Coherent terahertz control of antiferromagnetic spin waves. Nat. Photonics. 5, 31–34 (2011).
- 17. Teo, S. M., Ofori-Okai, B. K., Werley, C. A. & Nelson, K. A. Single-shot THz detection techniques optimized for multidimensional THz spectroscopy. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **86**, 051301 (2015).
- 18. Gao, F. Y., Zhang, Z., Liu, Z.-J. & Nelson, K. A. High-speed two-dimensional terahertz spectroscopy with echelon-based shot-to-shot balanced detection. *Opt. Lett.* **47**, 3479–3482 (2022).
- 19. Dzyaloshinsky, I. A thermodynamic theory of "weak" ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **4**, 241–255 (1958).
- Moriya, T. Anisotropic superexchange interaction and weak ferromagnetism. *Phys. Rev.* 120, 91 (1960).
- 21. Lu, J. et al. Coherent two-dimensional terahertz magnetic resonance spectroscopy of collective spin waves. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 207204 (2017).
- 22. Yamaguchi, K., Nakajima, M. & Suemoto, T. Coherent control of spin precession motion with impulsive magnetic fields of half-cycle terahertz radiation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 237201 (2010).
- 23. Jin, Z. *et al.* Single-pulse terahertz coherent control of spin resonance in the canted antiferromagnet YFeO₃, mediated by dielectric anisotropy. *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 094422 (2013).

- 24. Stone, K. W. *et al.* Two-quantum 2D FT electronic spectroscopy of biexcitons in GaAs quantum wells. *Science* **324**, 1169–1173 (2009).
- 25. Wan, Y. & Armitage, N. Resolving continua of fractional excitations by spinon echo in THz 2D coherent spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 257401 (2019).
- 26. Choi, W., Lee, K. H. & Kim, Y. B. Theory of two-dimensional nonlinear spectroscopy for the Kitaev spin liquid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 117205 (2020).
- 27. Nandkishore, R. M., Choi, W. & Kim, Y. B. Spectroscopic fingerprints of gapped quantum spin liquids, both conventional and fractonic. *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 013254 (2021).
- 28. Li, Z.-L., Oshikawa, M. & Wan, Y. Photon echo from lensing of fractional excitations in Tomonaga-Luttinger spin liquid. *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 031035 (2021).
- 29. Yeh, K.-L., Hebling, J., Hoffmann, M. C. & Nelson, K. A. Generation of high average power 1 kHz shaped THz pulses via optical rectification. *Opt. Commun.* **281**, 3567–3570 (2008).




Fig. 1: Two distinct magnon modes in the canted antiferromagnet YFO. a, YFO orders in an orthorhombically distorted perovskite (space group: Pbnm) with the net magnetization M along the crystal c axis. The out-of-phase or in-phase spin precession of the sublattice spins S_1 and S_2 results respectively in either the precession (qFM) or the amplitude oscillation (qAFM) of M. b, Depiction of the experimental setup for the THz FID measurements. The orientation of the wire-grid polarizer (WGP) relative to the polarization of the incident THz field is used to select parallel (Hdet || HTHz) or perpendicular ($\mathbf{H}_{def} \perp \mathbf{H}_{THz}$) configurations for the detected signal field \mathbf{H}_{det} . c, The time-domain FID signals in the parallel configuration corresponding to the excitation of the qFM mode ($\mathbf{H}_{THz} \parallel b$ axis, purple), qAFM mode ($\mathbf{H}_{THz} \parallel c$ axis, red) and both ($\mathbf{H}_{THz} \parallel bc$ bisector direction, yellow). Their Fourier transforms are in d, e and f show the azimuthal dependence of ESR polarimetry with the parallel ($\mathbf{H}_{det} || \mathbf{H}_{THz}$) and crossed ($\mathbf{H}_{def} \perp \mathbf{H}_{THz}$) geometries. The numbers in e and f are spectral amplitudes of the corresponding modes with an arbitrary unit.




Fig. 2: Nonlinear 2D THz spectra of YFO. a-c, Schematic illustrations of the excitation configurations for three different THz magnetic field orientations corresponding to $\mathbf{H}_{THz} \propto b$ axis, $\mathbf{H}_{THz} \propto c$ axis, and $\mathbf{H}_{THz} \propto bc$ bisector. The orange and purple arrows indicate the THz magnetic field orientation and the net magnetization, respectively. d-f, The corresponding nonlinear 2D THz spectra. Peaks corresponding to pump-probe (PP), rephasing photon-echo (R), non-rephasing (NR), two-quantum (2Q), second harmonic generation (SHG), and sum-frequency generation (SFG) and difference-frequency generation (DFG) signals are indicated. I and II refer to the qFM (Ω_{qFM}) and qAFM (Ω_{qAFM}) modes respectively. For the SFG and DFG signals, the assignment refers to the excitation frequency and indicates the time-ordering, i.e. which magnon mode was excited by the first THz field interaction.




Fig. 3: Field dependence and 2D THz ESR polarimetry of the SFG and DFG signals. The field dependences of the spectral amplitudes (circles) of the SFG and DFG peaks in 2D spectra recorded as shown in Fig. 2c ($\mathbf{H}_{THz} \propto bc$ bisector direction) and quadratic fits of the form $\propto \mathbf{H}^2$ (dashed lines) are shown in \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{d} , respectively. Polarimetry results showing the azimuthal dependences of the spectral amplitudes of the SFG and DFG signals are shown in \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{c} and \mathbf{e} - \mathbf{f} respectively for both crossed and parallel analyzer configurations. Accompanying the experimental data (circles) are fits to functions of the form $\propto |\sin\theta\cos\theta|$ (solid lines). All spectral amplitudes were obtained directly from the nonlinear 2D THz spectra.




Fig. 4: Excitation pathways of nonlinear magnonic states. a, Magnon energy level diagrams in the qFM and qAFM mode basis show the origins of different magnon states without coupling. Different states are connected by arrows which indicate the stepwise excitation pathways and 1Q coherences (solid) and the 2Q coherences (dashed). **b,** Excitation pathways that lead to the SFG signal via a second-order THz-magnon interaction. **c,** Excitation pathways that lead to the DFG signal via a second-order THz-magnon interaction. Pathways **b** and **c** are enabled through coupling between the qFM and qAFM magnon modes.