

Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model with A_4 modular symmetry

Ankita Kakoti,^{1,*} Bichitra Bijay Boruah,^{1,†} and Mrinal Kumar Das^{1,‡}

¹*Department of Physics, Tezpur University, Tezpur 784028, India*

Abstract

In this paper, we have realized the left-right symmetric model with modular symmetry. We have used $\Gamma(3)$ modular group which is isomorphic to non-abelian discrete symmetry group A_4 . The advantage of using modular symmetry is the non-requirement for the use of extra particles called 'flavons'. In this model, the Yukawa couplings are expressed in terms of modular forms (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) . In this work, we have studied minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model for both type-I and type-II dominances. Here, we have calculated the values for the Yukawa couplings and then plotted it against the sum of the neutrino masses. The results obtained are well within the experimental limits for the desired values of sum of neutrino masses. We have also briefly analyzed the effects of the implications of modular symmetry on neutrinoless double beta decay with the new physics contributions within Left-Right Symmetric Model.

* ankitak@tezu.ernet.in

† bijay@tezu.ernet.in

‡ mkdas@tezu.ernet.in

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite the huge and continued success of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics, it leaves some of the puzzles unanswered like the existence of neutrino masses, baryon asymmetry of the universe, existence of dark matter etc. The discovery of neutrino oscillation by Sudbury neutrino observatory and Super-Kamiokande experiments was a milestone discovery in the area of neutrino physics. The experiments like MINOS [1], T2K [2], Daya-Bay [3], Double-Chooz [4], RENO [5] etc. provided evidence on the neutrinos being massive which is one of the most compelling revelation that we need to go beyond Standard Model. However inspite of the huge achievements in determining the neutrino oscillation parameters in solar, atmospheric , reactor and accelerator neutrino experiments, many questions related to neutrino still remain unsolved. Among these lies the question regarding the absolute mass scale of neutrinos, exact nature of the particle (Dirac or Majorana), hierarchical pattern of the mass spectrum (Normal or Inverted) and leptonic CP violation. The absolute mass scale of the neutrinos is not yet known. However experiments like Planck has given an upper bound on the sum of the light neutrino masses to be $\Sigma|m_{\nu_i}| < 0.23eV$ in 2012 [6] and recently the bound has been constarined to $\Sigma|m_{\nu_i}| < 0.11eV$ [7]. The most successful data pertaining to neutrino oscillation parameters is found in the 3σ global fit data [8] as shown in table (1) .

Parameters	Normal Ordering	Inverted Ordering
Δm_{21}^2 ($10^{-5}eV^2$)	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$	$6.82 \rightarrow 8.04$
Δm_{3l}^2 ($10^{-5}eV^2$)	$2.435 \rightarrow 2.598$	$-2.581 \rightarrow$ -2.414
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	$0.264 \rightarrow 0.343$	$0.269 \rightarrow 0.343$
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	$0.415 \rightarrow 0.616$	$0.419 \rightarrow 0.617$
$\sin^2 \theta_{13}$	$0.02032 \rightarrow$ 0.02410	$0.02052 \rightarrow$ 0.02428

TABLE I: Global fit 3σ values for neutrino oscillation parameters.

We have used the definition,

$$\Delta m_{3l}^2 = \Delta m_{31}^2; \Delta m_{31}^2 > 0; NO \quad (1.1)$$

$$\Delta m_{3l}^2 = \Delta m_{32}^2; \Delta m_{32}^2 < 0; IO \quad (1.2)$$

The simplest way to look for neutrino masses is by the seesaw mechanism. The mechanism may be of type I [9], [10], type II [11], [12], type III [13] and Inverse Seesaw [14]. These are extensions of the SM where we incorporate extra particles like right-handed fermions, scalar fermion triplets, gauge singlet neutral fermions etc. The BSM physics also sheds light upon the phenomena like baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU) [15], Lepton Number Violation (LNV) [16], Lepton Flavor violation (LFV) [17], existence of dark matter [18], [19] etc. A BSM framework which has been successful in explaining the first three of the phenomenologies is the Left- Right Symmetric Model (LRSM) [20–24], an extension of the SM corresponding to the addition of $SU(2)_R$ group into the theory. The gauge group of LRSM is $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$. The type I and type II seesaw masses appear naturally in the model. The right-handed neutrinos are an essential part of the model, which acquires Majorana mass when $SU(2)_R$ symmetry is broken. LRSM provides a natural framework to understand the spontaneous breaking of parity and origin of small neutrino masses by seesaw mechanism [25].

Another concerning aspect is the ambiguity regarding nature of neutrinos which has not been yet predicted by the SM of particle physics, that whether neutrinos are Dirac or Majorana fermions. This problem is directly connected to the issue of lepton number conservation. One of the process of fundamental importance which arises in almost any extension of the SM is Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay (NDBD) [26], [27] which when verified can assure that neutrinos are Majorana fermions. NDBD is a slow, radiative process that transforms a nuclide of atomic number Z into its isobar with atomic number $Z+2$ [28],

$$N(A, Z) \rightarrow N(A, Z + 2) + e^- + e^- \quad (1.3)$$

The main aim in the search of NDBD ($0\nu\beta\beta$) is the measurement of effective Majorana neutrino mass, which is a combination of the neutrino mass eigenstates and neutrino mixing matrix terms [28]. However, no experimental evidence regarding the decay has been in picture till date. In

addition to the determination of the effective masses, the half-life of the decay [29] combined with sufficient knowledge of the nuclear matrix elements (NME), we can set a constraint on the neutrino masses. The experiments like KamLAND-Zen [30] and GERDA [31] which uses Xenon-136 and Germanium-76 respectively have improved the lower bound on the half-life of the decay process. However, KamLAND-Zen imposes the best lower limit on the half life as $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} > 1.07 \times 10^{26}$ yr at 90 % CL and the corresponding upper limit of the effective Majorana mass in the range (0.061-0.165)eV. There are several contributions in LRSM that appear due to additional RH current interactions, giving rise to sizeable LFV rates for TeV scale RH neutrino that occur at rates accessible in current experiments. It has been found that the most significant constraints has been provided by the decays, $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ and $\mu \rightarrow \gamma e$. In the Standard Model, these LFV decays are suppressed by the tiny neutrino masses. No experiment has so far observed any flavor violating processes including charged leptons. However, many experiments are currently going on to set strong limits on the most relevant LFV observables that will constrain the parameter space of many new models. The best bounds on the branching ratio for LFV decays of the form $\mu \rightarrow \gamma e$ comes from MEG experiment and it is set at $BR(\mu \rightarrow \gamma e) < 4.2 \times 10^{-13}$. In case of the decay $\mu \rightarrow 3e$, the bound is set by the SINDRUM experiment at $BR(\mu \rightarrow 3e) < 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$.

As mentioned LRSM is an important theory that incorporates the above mentioned phenomenologies, i.e., the phenomenologies related to neutrinos. There are many works where the authors make use of discrete symmetry groups like A_4 [32], S_4 [33], Z_2 etc. [34] to analyze the problem of flavor structure of fermions and to study various related phenomenologies. In our work, we have used A_4 modular symmetry to study neutrino masses and mixings and hence study Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay within the model. The advantage of using modular symmetry over discrete flavor symmetries is that the study of the model using symmetries can be done without the introduction of extra particles called 'flavons'. Hence the model is minimal.

However, in this work we have not done a very detailed analysis of the above mentioned phenomenologies, but only realized the left-right symmetric model with the help of A_4 modular symmetry and studied the variations of new physics contributions of neutrinoless double beta decay within LRSM with the range of values for Yukawa couplings, which in our model is expressed as modular forms. In section (II), we have given a detailed explanation of the left-right symmetric model, the associated Lagrangian and the mass terms. We begin section (III) by introducing

modular symmetry and then in section (IV), we incorporate modular symmetry into LRSM and determine the associated mass matrices. In section (V), we present a very brief discussion of neutrinoless double beta decay and its associated contributions and their relations with the modular forms. In section (VI), the numerical analysis and results of this work has been discussed and the last section reads the conclusion for the present work.

II. MINIMAL LEFT-RIGHT SYMMETRIC MODEL

The Left-Right Symmetric Model (LRSM) was first introduced around 1974 by Pati and Salam. Rabindra N. Mohapatra and Goran Senjanovic were also some pioneers of this very elegant theory. LRSM is an extension of the Standard Model of particle physics, the gauge group being $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_R \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_{B-L}$, which has been studied by several groups since 1970's [25], [21–24]. The usual type-I and type-II seesaw neutrino masses arises naturally in the model. The seesaw scale is identified by the breaking of $SU(2)_R$. Some other problems are also addressed in LRSM like parity, CP violation of weak interaction, massive neutrinos, hierarchy problems, etc. LRSM removes the disparity between the left and right-handed fields by considering the RH fields to be doublet under the additional $SU(2)_R$ keeping the right sector couplings same as the left-one by left-right symmetry. In this model, the electric charge is given by $Q = T_{3L} + T_{3R} + \frac{B-L}{2}$, where T_{3L} and T_{3R} are the generators of $SU(2)_L$ and $SU(2)_R$ respectively. $B - L$ refers to baryon number minus lepton number. The particle content of the model along with their respective charge assignments are given in table(III). The matrix representation for the scalar sector is given by,

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 & \phi_1^+ \\ \phi_2^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Delta_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta_{L,R}^+}{\sqrt{2}} & \delta_{L,R}^{++} \\ \delta_{L,R}^0 & -\frac{\delta_{L,R}^+}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.2)$$

In order for the fermions to attain mass, a Yukawa Lagrangian is necessary which couples to the bidoublet ϕ . The Yukawa Lagrangian incorporating the bidoublet is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}_D = \overline{l_{iL}}(Y_{ij}^l \phi + \widetilde{Y}_{ij}^l \widetilde{\phi})l_{jR} + \overline{Q_{iL}}(Y_{ij}^q \phi + \widetilde{Y}_{ij}^q \widetilde{\phi})Q_{jR} + h.c \quad (2.3)$$

where, l_L and l_R are the left-handed and right-handed lepton fields, Q_L and Q_R are the left-handed and right-handed quark fields. Y^l being the Yukawa coupling corresponding to leptons and Y^q being the Yukawa coupling for the quarks. The Yukawa Lagrangian incorporating the scalar triplets which play a role in providing Majorana mass to the neutrinos is given by,

$$\mathcal{L}_M = f_{L,ij} \Psi_{L,i}^T C i \sigma_2 \Delta_L \Psi_{L,j} + f_{R,ij} \Psi_{R,i}^T C i \sigma_2 \Delta_R \Psi_{R,j} + h.c \quad (2.4)$$

f_L and f_R are the Majorana Yukawa couplings and are equal subjected to discrete left-right symmetry. The scalar potential in LRSM is a combination of interaction terms consisting the potential and after spontaneous symmetry breaking the scalars attain VEVs given by,

$$\langle \Delta_{L,R} \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ v_{L,R} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\langle \phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta} k' \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.6)$$

The magnitudes of the VEVs follows the relation, $|v_L|^2 < |k^2 + k'^2| < |v_R|^2$. The breaking pattern of the LRSM gauge group takes place in two steps. The LRSM gauge group is first broken down to the Standard Model gauge group by the vev of the scalar triplet Δ_R , and then the Standard Model gauge group is broken down to the electromagnetic gauge group i.e., $U(1)_{em}$ by the vev of the bidoublet and a tiny vev of the scalar triplet Δ_L .

The Dirac mass terms for the leptons come from the Yukawa Lagrangian, which for the charged leptons and the neutrinos are given by,

$$M_l = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (k' Y_l + k \tilde{Y}_l) \quad (2.7)$$

$$M_D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (k Y_l + k' \tilde{Y}_l) \quad (2.8)$$

The light neutrino mass after spontaneous symmetry breaking (SSB), generated within a type (I+II) seesaw can be written as,

$$M_\nu = M_\nu^I + M_\nu^{II}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$M_\nu = M_D M_{RR}^{-1} M_D^T + M_{LL} \quad (2.10)$$

where,

$$M_{LL} = \sqrt{2}v_L f_L \quad (2.11)$$

and,

$$M_{RR} = \sqrt{2}v_R f_R \quad (2.12)$$

The first and second terms in corresponds to type-I seesaw and type-II seesaw masses respectively. It is an interesting fact that in the context of LRSM both type-I and type-II terms can be equally dominant or either of the two terms can be dominant, but under certain conditions [35, 36]. It has been demonstrated in the Appendix A. In the context of LRSM however, both the type-I and type-II mass terms can be expressed in terms of the heavy right-handed Majorana mass matrix, so equation (2.10) will follow,

$$M_\nu = M_D M_{RR}^{-1} M_D^T + \gamma \left(\frac{M_W}{v_R} \right)^2 M_{RR} \quad (2.13)$$

where, γ is a dimensionless parameter which is a function of various couplings, appearing in the VEV of the triplet Higgs Δ_L , i.e., $v_L = \gamma(\frac{v^2}{v_R})$ and here, $v = \sqrt{k^2 + k'^2}$, and

$$\gamma = \frac{\beta_1 k k' + \beta_2 k^2 + \beta_3 k'^2}{(2\rho_1 - \rho_3)(k^2 + k'^2)} \quad (2.14)$$

In our model, the dimensionless parameter γ has been fine tuned to $\gamma \approx 10^{-6}$ and v_R is of the order of TeV .

III. MODULAR SYMMETRY

Modular symmetry has gained much importance in aspects of model building [37], [38]. This is because it can minimize the extra particle called 'flavons' while analyzing a model with respect to a particular symmetry group. An element q of the modular group acts on a complex variable τ which belongs to the upper-half of the complex plane given as [38] [39]

$$q\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \quad (3.1)$$

where a, b, c, d are integers and $ad - bc = 1$, $\text{Im}\tau > 0$.

The modular group is isomorphic to the projective special linear group $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})/Z_2$ where, $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the special linear group of integer 2×2 matrices having determinant unity and $Z_2 = (I, -I)$ is the centre, I being the identity element. The modular group can be represented in terms of two generators S and T which satisfies $S^2 = (ST)^3 = I$. S and T satisfies the following matrix representations:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

corresponding to the transformations,

$$S : \tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}; T : \tau \rightarrow \tau + 1 \quad (3.4)$$

Finite modular groups ($N \leq 5$) are isomorphic to non-abelian discrete groups, for example, $\Gamma(3) \approx A_4$, $\Gamma(2) \approx S_3$, $\Gamma(4) \approx S_4$. While using modular symmetry, the Yukawa couplings can be expressed in terms of modular forms, and the number of modular forms present depends upon the level and weight of the modular form. For a modular form of level N and weight $2k$, the table below shows the number of modular forms associated within and the non-abelian discrete symmetry group to which it is isomorphic [39].

N	No. of modular forms	$\Gamma(N)$
2	$k + 1$	S_3
3	$2k + 1$	A_4
4	$4k + 1$	S_4
5	$10k + 1$	A_5
6	$12k$	
7	$28k - 2$	

TABLE II: No. of modular forms corresponding to modular weight $2k$.

In our work, we will be using modular form of level 3, that is, $\Gamma(3)$ which is isomorphic to A_4 discrete symmetry group. The weight of the modular form is taken to be 2, and hence it will have three modular forms (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) which can be expressed as expansions of q given by,

$$Y_1 = 1 + 12q + 36q^2 + 12q^3 + 84q^4 + 72q^5 + 36q^6 + 96q^7 + 180q^8 + 12q^9 + 216q^{10} \quad (3.5)$$

$$Y_2 = -6q^{1/3}(1 + 7q + 8q^2 + 18q^3 + 14q^4 + 31q^5 + 20q^6 + 36q^7 + 31q^8 + 56q^9) \quad (3.6)$$

$$Y_3 = -18q^{2/3}(1 + 2q + 5q^2 + 4q^3 + 8q^4 + 6q^5 + 14q^6 + 8q^7 + 14q^8 + 10q^9) \quad (3.7)$$

where, $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$.

IV. MINIMAL LRSM WITH A_4 MODULAR SYMMETRY

In particle physics, symmetries have always played a very crucial role. The realization of LRSM with the help of discrete flavor symmetries have been done in earlier works [40], [41]. In our work we have incorporated A_4 modular symmetry into LRSM. The advantage of using modular symmetry rather than flavor symmetry is the minimal use of extra particles (flavons) and hence the model is minimal. The model contains usual particle content of LRSM [42]. The lepton doublets transform as triplets under A_4 and the bidoublet and scalar triplets transform as 1 under A_4 [43]. As we have considered modular symmetry, we assign modular weights to the particles, keeping in mind that matter multiplets corresponding to the model can have negative modular weights, but the modular forms cannot be assigned negative weights. The assignment of these weights are done in such a way that in the Lagrangian the sum of the modular weights in each term is zero. Modular weights corresponding to each particle is shown in table (III). The Yukawa Lagrangian for the leptonic and quark sector in LRSM is given by equation (2.3),(2.4) and with a reference to that we can write the Yukawa Lagrangian of our A_4 modular symmetric LRSM, for the fermionic sector, by introducing Yukawa coupling in the form of modular forms Y is given as,

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \overline{l}_L \phi l_R Y + \overline{l}_L \tilde{\phi} l_R Y + \overline{Q}_L \phi Q_R Y + \overline{Q}_L \tilde{\phi} Q_R Y + l_R^T C i \tau_2 \Delta_R l_R Y + l_L^T C i \tau_2 \Delta_L l_L Y \quad (4.1)$$

Gauge group	Q_L	Q_R	l_L	l_R	ϕ	Δ_L	Δ_R
$SU(3)_C$	3	3	1	1	1	1	1
$SU(2)_L$	2	1	2	1	2	3	1
$SU(2)_R$	1	2	1	2	2	1	3
$U(1)_{B-L}$	1/3	1/3	-1	-1	0	2	2
A_4	3	3	3	3	1	1	1
k_I	0	-2	0	-2	0	-2	2

TABLE III: Charge assignments for the particle content of the model.

The Yukawa couplings $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ are expressed as modular forms of level 3.

	Y (modular forms)
A_4	3
k_I	2

TABLE IV: Charge assignment and modular weight for the corresponding modular Yukawa form for the model.

In our work, we are concerned with the mass of the neutrinos and as such, using A_4 modular symmetry and using the multiplication rules for A_4 group, we construct the Dirac and Majorana mass matrices as given below. The Dirac mass matrix is given by,

$$M_D = v \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_1 & -Y_3 & -Y_2 \\ -Y_2 & -Y_1 & 2Y_3 \\ -Y_3 & 2Y_2 & -Y_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.2)$$

where, v is considered to be the VEV for the Higgs bidoublet.

The right-handed Majorana mass matrix is given by,

$$M_R = v_R \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_1 & -Y_3 & -Y_2 \\ -Y_3 & 2Y_2 & -Y_1 \\ -Y_2 & -Y_1 & 2Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

where, v_R is the VEV for the scalar triplet Δ_R . As it is seen that the Majorana mass matrix for our model is found to be symmetric in nature as it should be. Under these assumptions for modular symmetric LRSM and the basis that we have considered, our charged lepton mass matrix is also found to be diagonal.

The type-I seesaw mass is then given by,

$$M_{\nu_I} = M_D \cdot M_R^{-1} \cdot M_D^T \quad (4.4)$$

and, the type-II seesaw mass is given by,

$$M_{\nu_{II}} = M_{LL} \quad (4.5)$$

As mentioned above, in LRSM type-II seesaw mass can also be expressed in terms of the right-handed mass M_R as,

$$M_{\nu_{II}} = \gamma \left(\frac{M_W}{v_R} \right)^2 M_R \quad (4.6)$$

A. Type-I dominance

In LRSM, the type-I seesaw mass dominates when the vev of the left-handed triplet is taken to be negligibly small and hence the type-II term is absent. In such a case the lightest neutrino mass can be given in terms of the type-I seesaw mass term given by,

$$M_\nu = M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T \quad (4.7)$$

and the heavy right-handed Majorana mass term can be given as,

$$M_R = f_R v_R \quad (4.8)$$

where, f_R is the right-handed Majorana Yukawa coupling.

In the approximation that $k' \ll k$, and if we consider that our Yukawa coupling Y^l corresponding to the neutrino masses is y_D and the coupling \widetilde{Y}^l for the charged fermion masses is denoted by y_L , so considering $y_D k \gg y_L k'$ we can write the type-I mass term as [44],

$$M_\nu = \frac{k^2}{v_R} y_D f_R^{-1} y_D^T \quad (4.9)$$

If we consider that U_R is a unitary matrix that diagonalizes M_R , so since the VEV v_R is a constant the same matrix will also diagonalize the coupling matrix f_R . Taking $f_R = f_L = f$, so

$$f = U_R f^{dia} U_R^T \quad (4.10)$$

If we take inverse on both sides and taking into account the property of a unitary matrix ($U_R^{-1} = U_R^T$), we get,

$$f^{-1} = U_R^T (f^{dia})^{-1} U_R \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore, we get

$$M_\nu = \frac{k^2}{v_R} y_D U_R^T (f^{dia})^{-1} U_R y_D^T \quad (4.12)$$

Multiplying both sides of the equation with U_R^T from the right and with U_R from left, we finally arrive at the following equation,

$$U_R M_\nu U_R^T = (M_\nu)^{dia} \quad (4.13)$$

where we have used $U_R y_D U_R^T = y_D$. So, the unitary matrix diagonalizing the matrix M_R also diagonalizes the light neutrino mass matrix. So in this case it can be determined that if m_i denotes the light neutrino mass and M_i denotes the heavy neutrino mass, then they are related as

$$m_i \propto \frac{1}{M_i} \quad (4.14)$$

For our model, the Yukawa couplings are modular forms expressed as expansions of q , and the mass matrices are expressed in terms of the modular forms (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) . So, the light neutrino mass matrix, M_ν for type-I dominance is given by the equation (4.7). As already stated in equations (4.2) and (4.3), the Dirac and Majorana mass matrices are determined by the application of multiplication rules for the A_4 group. So, for type-I dominance, our light neutrino mass matrix will be given by,

$$M_\nu = \frac{v^2}{v_R} \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_1 & -Y_2 & -Y_3 \\ -Y_2 & 2Y_3 & -Y_1 \\ -Y_3 & -Y_1 & 2Y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.15)$$

As mentioned previously, the value for v_R is of the order of TeV and that for v is in GeV . We have computed the values of the sum of the neutrino masses for type-I dominance and checked the correctness of our model by plotting it against the Yukawa couplings and the result was found to match the experimental bounds.




FIG. 1: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with sum of neutrino masses.




FIG. 2: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with sum of neutrino masses.




FIG. 3: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with sum of neutrino masses.

B. Type-II dominance

Type-II seesaw mass in LRSM dominates when the Dirac term connecting the right-handed and left-handed parts is negligible as compared to that of the type-II term [44]. In that case, our light neutrino mass m_ν will be given by the type-II seesaw mass term, i.e.,

$$M_{\nu_L} = f_L v_L \quad (4.16)$$

And the heavy mass matrix is given by,

$$M_R = f_R v_R \quad (4.17)$$

Again if we consider that U_L and U_R diagonalizes M_{ν_L} and M_R respectively, so for the reason mentioned above the same matrices will also diagonalize f_L and f_R respectively and since in our model, $f_L = f_R$, so we can consider $U_L = U_R$. In such a case, we arrive at an important result that

$$m_i \propto M_i \quad (4.18)$$

Now using modular symmetry the light neutrino mass matrix for type-II dominance in our model is given by,

$$m_\nu = v_L \begin{pmatrix} 2Y_1 & -Y_3 & -Y_2 \\ -Y_3 & 2Y_2 & -Y_1 \\ -Y_2 & -Y_1 & 2Y_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.19)$$

where, v_L is the vev for left-handed scalar triplet. The value of v_L is taken to be of the order of eV . The sum of the neutrino masses is computed for type-II dominance and plotting of the sum is done with the Yukawa couplings which are found to be as shown under,




FIG. 4: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with sum of neutrino masses.




FIG. 5: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with sum of neutrino masses.




FIG. 6: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with sum of neutrino masses.

V. NEUTRINOLESS DOUBLE BETA DECAY ($0\nu\beta\beta$) IN MINIMAL LRSM

Neutrinoless double beta decay is a lepton number violating process, which if proven to exist will directly imply the Majorana nature of neutrinos.

$$N(A, Z) \rightarrow N(A, Z + 2) + e^- + e^- \quad (5.1)$$

Many groups have however already done a lot of work on NDBD in the model, [21],[28, 45–50]. In LRSM [51], there are several contributions to NDBD in addition to the standard contribution via light Majorana neutrino exchange owing to the presence of several heavy additional scalar, vector

and fermionic fields [52–55]. Various contributions to NDBD transition rate in LRSM are discussed as follows :

- Standard Model contribution to NDBD where the intermediate particles are the W_L bosons and light neutrinos, the process in which the amplitude depends upon the leptonic mixing matrix elements and light neutrino masses.
- Heavy right-handed neutrino contribution in which the mediator particles are the W_L bosons and the amplitude depends upon the mixing between light and heavy neutrinos as well as the mass of the heavy neutrino.
- Light neutrino contribution to NDBD where the intermediate particles are W_R bosons and the amplitude depends upon the mixing between light and heavy neutrinos as well as mass of the right-handed gauge boson W_R .
- Heavy right-handed neutrino contribution where the mediator particles are the W_R bosons. The amplitude of this process is dependent on the elements of the right handed leptonic mixing matrix and mass of the right-handed gauge boson, W_R as well as the mass of the heavy right handed Majorana neutrino.
- Light neutrino contribution from the Feynman diagram mediated by both W_L and W_R , and the amplitude of the process depends upon the mixing between light and heavy neutrinos, leptonic mixing matrix elements, light neutrino masses and the mass of the gauge bosons, W_L and W_R .
- Heavy neutrino contribution from the Feynman diagram mediated by both W_L and W_R , and the amplitude of the process depends upon the right handed leptonic mixing matrix elements, mixing between the light and heavy neutrinos, also the mass of the gauge bosons, W_L and W_R and the mass of the heavy right handed neutrino.
- Scalar triplet contribution (Δ_L) in which the mediator particles are W_L bosons, and the amplitude for the process depends upon the masses of the W_L bosons, left-handed triplet Higgs, as well as their coupling to leptons.

- Right-handed scalar triplet contribution (Δ_R) contribution to NDBD in which the mediator particles are W_R bosons, and the amplitude for the process depends upon the masses of the W_R bosons, right-handed triplet Higgs, Δ_R as well as their coupling to leptons.

In our work, where we have incorporated A_4 modular symmetry to LRSM and in our present work we have considered three of the above mentioned contributions, one from the standard light neutrino contribution and the other two new physics contribution mediated by W_R^- and Δ_R respectively. For simple approximations, an assumption has been made in the mass scales of heavy particles, where,

$$M_R \approx M_{W_R} \approx M_{\Delta_L^{++}} \approx M_{\Delta_R^{++}} \approx TeV$$

. Under these assumptions, the amplitude for the light-heavy mixing contribution which is proportional to $\frac{m_D^2}{M_R}$ remains very small, since $m_\nu \approx \frac{m_D^2}{M_R} \approx (0.01 - 0.1)eV$, $m_D \approx (10^5 - 10^6)eV$ which implies $\frac{m_D}{M_R} \approx (10^{-7} - 10^{-6})eV$. Thus in our model, we ignore the contributions involving the light and heavy neutrino mixings.

When NDBD is done in the framework of LRSM, the standard light neutrino contribution is given by,

$$m_v^{eff} = U_{Li}^2 m_i \quad (5.2)$$

where, U_{Li} are the elements of the first row of the neutrino mixing matrix U_{PMNS} , in which the elements are dependent on known mixing angles θ_{13} , θ_{12} and the Majorana phases κ and η . The U_{PMNS} matrix is given by,

$$U_{PMNS} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & -c_{23}c_{12} - s_{23}s_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{23}s_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} P \quad (5.3)$$

where, $P = diag(1, e^{i\kappa}, e^{i\eta})$. So the effective mass can be parametrized in terms of the elements of the diagonalizing matrix and the eigenvalues as,

$$m_v^{eff} = m_1 c_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 + m_2 s_{12}^2 c_{13}^2 e^{2i\kappa} + m_3 s_{13}^2 e^{2i\eta}. \quad (5.4)$$

VI. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In our present work, we have modified left-right symmetric model by incorporating A_4 modu-

lar symmetry for both type-I and type-II dominances. As we are using modular symmetry, the Yukawa couplings are expressed as expansions of q as shown in equations (3.5),(3.6) and (3.7). In our model, the value of q is found to be of the order of 10^{-1} . The absolute value of the modulus should however be greater than 1.

$$\tau = Re(\tau) + Im(\tau) \quad (6.1)$$

	$Re(\tau)$	$Im(\tau)$	$ \tau $
Range	[0.715,0.789]	[0.8,0.9]	[1.073,1.197]

TABLE V: Range of values corresponding to real and imaginary parts of the modulus τ .

Yukawa couplings	Normal Hierarchy	Inverted hierarchy
$Y_1(min)$	1.29155×10^{-9}	1.32276×10^{-7}
$Y_1(max)$	8.22986×10^{-7}	9.21382×10^{-7}
$Y_2(min)$	3.02229×10^{-9}	2.42826×10^{-9}
$Y_2(max)$	1.32006×10^{-6}	1.45952×10^{-6}
$Y_3(min)$	3.39287×10^{-9}	3.759×10^{-9}
$Y_3(max)$	1.3165×10^{-6}	1.50082×10^{-6}

TABLE VI: Range of Yukawa couplings (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) for both normal and inverted hierarchy.

From table (V), it is seen that the absolute value of the modulus is greater than unity, which is the expected result. The range of the Yukawa couplings for our model is shown in the table above. It is seen from the table that the minimum value of the Yukawa coupling Y_1 is in the scale of 10^{-10} for normal hierarchy while for inverted hierarchy it is in the scale of 10^{-7} . However, for the maximum of Y_1 both the orderings are in the same scale. For Y_2 and Y_3 , the minimum and maximum values for both normal and inverted hierarchies are within the same scale. We have plotted the effective masses against the Yukawa couplings (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) and it was found to be well within the bound set by experiments.

As shown both for type-I and type-II dominances, we have plotted the absolute values of the

Yukawa couplings against the sum of the neutrino masses. The range of the values for sum of neutrino masses for both the cases are given as under,

$\sum m_\nu$	Normal Hierarchy	Inverted hierarchy
<i>Type – I(min)</i>	0.000980556	0.000437758
<i>Type – I(max)</i>	0.177296	0.186377
<i>Type – II(min)</i>	0.000219304	0.000035
<i>Type – II(max)</i>	0.0200981	0.0203081

TABLE VII: Range of values for sum of neutrino masses for type-I and type-II dominances for both normal and inverted hierarchy.

A. Standard Light Neutrino Contribution to $0\nu\beta\beta$

As mentioned above, in the standard light neutrino contribution to $0\nu\beta\beta$, the intermediate particles are the W_L bosons and light neutrino. The effective mass for the contribution is given by equation (5.2). Simplifying for the respective elements of U_{Li} and m_i , the value of the effective mass is obtained in terms of the modular forms (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) as,

$$m_\nu^{eff} = m_1^{eff} + m_2^{eff} + m_3^{eff} \quad (6.2)$$

where,

$$m_1^{eff} = \frac{\nu(Y_2 - Y_3)^2(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)}{\nu_R(Y_1 - Y_3)^2}$$

$$m_2^{eff} = \frac{\nu^2(Y_1 - Y_2)^2(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}{2\nu_R(Y_1 - Y_3)^2}$$

$$m_3^{eff} = \frac{\nu^2(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}{2\nu_R}$$

for type-I dominance, and the plots are shown as,




FIG. 7: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with effective neutrino mass for standard light neutrino contribution.




FIG. 8: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with effective neutrino mass for standard light neutrino contribution.




FIG. 9: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with effective neutrino mass for standard light neutrino contribution.

For type-II dominance, we have

$$m_1^{eff} = -\frac{\nu_L(-Y_2 + Y_3)(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)}{Y_1 - Y_2}$$

$$m_2^{eff} = -\frac{\nu_L(Y_1 - Y_3)(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}{2(Y_1 - Y_2)}$$

$$m_3^{eff} = \frac{\nu_L(Y_1 - Y_3)(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}{2}$$




FIG. 10: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with effective neutrino mass for standard light neutrino contribution.




FIG. 11: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with effective neutrino mass for standard light neutrino contribution.




FIG. 12: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with effective neutrino mass for standard light neutrino contribution.

B. Heavy Right-Handed Neutrino contribution to $0\nu\beta\beta$

In our work, we have considered contributions of heavy right-handed neutrino and scalar Higgs triplet to NDBD. The effective mass for heavy right-handed neutrino is given by,

$$m_R^{eff} = p^2 \left(\frac{M_{W_L}^4}{M_{W_R}^4} \right) \left(\frac{U_{Rei}^{*2}}{M_i} \right) \quad (6.3)$$

where, p^2 is the typical momentum exchange of the process. As it is known that TeV scale LRSM plays a very important role in the process of neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$), we have considered the values as $M_{W_R} = 10TeV$, $M_{W_L} = 80GeV$, $M_{\Delta_R} \approx 3TeV$ and after calculation, the value for heavy right-handed neutrino is found to be in the scale of TeV . The allowed value of p is in the range $(100 - 200)MeV$ and so we consider, $p \approx 180MeV$. Thus, we get,

$$p^2 \left(\frac{M_{W_L}^4}{M_{W_R}^4} \right) = 10^{10} eV^2 \quad (6.4)$$

where, U_{Rei} refers to the first row elements of the diagonalizing matrix of the heavy Majorana mass matrix and M_i are its eigenvalues. The effective mass corresponding to the heavy right-handed neutrino can be expressed in terms of the modular forms as,

$$m_{eff}^R = 10^{10} (m_{eff}^{R_1} + m_{eff}^{R_2} + m_{eff}^{R_3}) \quad (6.5)$$

where,

$$m_{eff}^{R_1} = \frac{2}{\nu_R (Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \sqrt{3} \sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}$$

$$m_{eff}^{R_2} = \frac{2(Y_1^* - Y_3^*)^2}{\nu_R(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})(Y_1^* - Y_2^*)^2}$$

$$m_{eff}^{R_3} = \frac{(-Y_2^* + Y_3^*)^2}{\nu_R(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3)(Y_1^* - Y_2^*)^2}$$

The total effective mass is also calculated for the standard light and right-handed heavy neutrino contribution, given by,

$$|m_{\nu}^{eff\,total}| = |m_{\nu}^{eff} + m_{eff}^R| \quad (6.6)$$

which can be obtained in terms of the modular forms as a summation of the above mentioned terms.




FIG. 13: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with total effective neutrino mass.




FIG. 14: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with total effective neutrino mass.




FIG. 15: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with total effective neutrino mass.

The plots above are for type-I dominance.




FIG. 16: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with total effective neutrino mass.




FIG. 17: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with total effective neutrino mass.




FIG. 18: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with total effective neutrino mass.

The figures above are the plots for type-II dominance.

C. Scalar Triplet contribution to $0\nu\beta\beta$

The magnitude of Δ_R contribution is controlled by the factor $\frac{M_i}{M_{\Delta_R}}$ [44]. However, scalar triplet contribution is not included in the total contribution under the assumption $\frac{M_i}{M_{\Delta_R}} < 0.1$. But, some the mixing parameters in the large part of the parameter space may result in a higher $\frac{M_i}{M_{\Delta_R}}$ ratio and in such cases we will have to include it in the total contribution. The impact of this contribution here is studied in the limit, $M_{\Delta_R} \approx M_{heaviest}$.

The effective mass for scalar triplet contribution is given as,

$$|m_{\Delta}^{eff}| = |p^2 \frac{M_{W_L}^4}{M_{W_R}^4} \frac{U_{Rei}^2 M_i}{M_{\Delta_R}^2}| \quad (6.7)$$

The value of the mass for the right-handed scalar triplet is taken as, $M_{\Delta_R} = 3TeV$. So, the value of the coefficient results as,

$$p^2 \frac{M_{W_L}^4}{M_{W_R}^4} \frac{1}{M_{\Delta_R}^2} = \frac{10^{10}}{9 \times 10^{24}} \quad (6.8)$$

In terms of modular forms, the effective scalar mass can be expressed as,

$$m_{eff}^{\Delta_R} = m_{eff1}^{\Delta_R} + m_{eff2}^{\Delta_R} + m_{eff3}^{\Delta_R} \quad (6.9)$$

where,

$$m_{eff1}^{\Delta_R} = \frac{\nu_R (Y_2 + Y_3)^2 (Y_1 + Y_2 Y_3)}{(Y_1 - Y_2)^2}$$

$$m_{eff2}^{\Delta_R} = \frac{\nu_R(Y_1 - Y_3)^2(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 - \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}{2(Y_1 - Y_2)^2}$$

$$m_{eff3}^{\Delta_R} = \frac{\nu_R(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \sqrt{3}\sqrt{3Y_1^2 - 2Y_1Y_2 + 3Y_2^2 - 2Y_1Y_3 - 2Y_2Y_3 + 3Y_3^2})}{2}$$

The plots are shown as under.




FIG. 19: Variation of $|Y_1|$ with effective neutrino mass for scalar triplet contribution.




FIG. 20: Variation of $|Y_2|$ with effective neutrino mass for scalar triplet contribution.




FIG. 21: Variation of $|Y_3|$ with effective neutrino mass for scalar triplet contribution.

VII. CONCLUSION

The discovery of neutrino oscillations paved the gateway for physics beyond the Standard Model. In our paper, we have realized LRSM with the help of modular A_4 symmetry for both type-I and type-II dominance. Using modular symmetry provides the advantage of using no extra particles called 'flavons'. The Yukawa couplings are represented as modular forms expressed as expansions of q . The values of the Yukawa couplings (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) are calculated using 'Mathematica'. The mass matrices are then determined using the multiplication rules for A_4 group stated in the Appendix. The Majorana mass matrix is found to be symmetric and under the considered basis, the charged lepton mass matrix is also diagonal. We have expressed the light neutrino and heavy right-handed neutrino mass matrix in terms of the modular forms. We have also studied briefly the contributions of $0\nu\beta\beta$ in LRSM. The effective masses corresponding to standard light neutrino contribution, right-handed contribution and scalar triplet contributions are determined in terms of (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3) and we have plotted the effective mass corresponding to the considered contributions against the Yukawa couplings. To summarize our work, some results are stated as under,

- The absolute value of the modulus was found to be within the range 1.073 to 1.197, which is greater than unity, that is the desired result.
- The Yukawa couplings, expressed in terms of modular forms ranges from 10^{-9} to 10^{-6} .
- The sum of the neutrino masses for type-I dominance ranges from the order of 10^{-4} to 10^{-1}

for both normal and inverted hierarchy.

- The sum of the neutrino masses for type-II dominance ranges from the order of 10^{-4} to 10^{-2} for both normal and inverted hierarchy.

The effective masses for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ contributions are calculated and by determining their relations with the modular forms, we have plotted the effective masses with the three Yukawa couplings and it has been found that the values for the effective mass corresponding to each contribution is well within the experimental bounds, which infact makes us clearly state that the building of the model with modular symmetry is advantageous to that of flavor symmetries. In this model, we have not used any extra particles and the analysis has been done taking into consideration the calculated and computed values for the model parameters and the results are found to be satisfactory, so it can be stated that the Left-Right Symmetric Model can be constructed with modular symmetry while satisfying the experimental bounds on the desired parameters.

VIII. APPENDIX A

Let us consider the Higgs potential of our model that has quadratic and quartic coupling terms given by [36],

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\phi, \Delta_L, \Delta_R} = & -\mu_{ij}^2 Tr[\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j] + \lambda_{ijkl} Tr[\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j] Tr[\phi_k^\dagger \phi_l] + \lambda'_{ijkl} Tr[\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j \phi_k^\dagger \phi_l] - \mu_{ij}^2 Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L + \Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R] \\
& \rho_1 [(Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L])^2 + (Tr[\Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R])^2] + \rho_2 (Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L \Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L] + Tr[\Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R \Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R]) + \rho_3 Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L \Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R] + \\
& \alpha_{ij} Tr[\phi_i^\dagger \phi_j] (Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L] + Tr[\Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R]) + \beta_{ij} (Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \Delta_L \phi_i \phi_j^\dagger] + Tr[\Delta_R^\dagger \Delta_R \phi_i \phi_j^\dagger]) + \gamma_{ij} (Tr[\Delta_L^\dagger \phi_i \Delta_R \phi_j^\dagger] + h.c)
\end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

where, i,j,k,l runs from 1 to 2 with $\phi_1 = \phi$ and $\phi_2 = \tilde{\phi}$. As mentioned above after SSB, the scalar sector obtains VEV. So after the substitution of the respective VEVs and determining the traces, so after simplification the potential can be written as,

$$V = -\mu^2(v_L^2 + v_R^2) + \frac{\rho}{4}(v_L^4 + v_R^4) + \frac{\rho'}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2}(v_L^2 + v_R^2)k_1^2 + \gamma v_L v_R k^2 \tag{8.2}$$

where, we have used the approximation $k' \ll k$, and $\rho' = 2\rho_3$. Our minimization conditions are,

$$\frac{\delta V}{\delta v_L} = \frac{\delta V}{\delta v_R} = \frac{\delta V}{\delta k} = \frac{\delta V}{\delta k'} = 0$$

Therefore, we get,

$$\frac{\delta V}{\delta v_L} = -2\mu^2 v_L + \rho v_L^3 + \rho' v_L k^2 + \gamma v_R k^2 \quad (8.3)$$

Here, it is evident that the Majorana mass of the left-handed neutrino M_{LL} is dependent on the vev v_L as already defined above. Again, we have

$$\frac{\delta V}{\delta v_R} = -2\mu^2 v_R + \rho v_R^3 + \rho' v_R k^2 + \gamma v_L k^2 \quad (8.4)$$

So, the right handed Majorana mass M_{RR} is dependent on the vev v_R . Similarly, the calculations for the same can be carried out and it can be found out the Dirac mass term M_D can be expressed in terms of the vev for the Higgs bidoublet as also defined previously.

Now, we are to determine a relation between the VEVs for the scalars and so after using the minimization conditions and simplifying the equations, we come to a relation given by,

$$v_L v_R = \frac{\gamma}{\xi} k \quad (8.5)$$

where, $\xi = \rho - \rho'$.

The neutrino mass for LRSM is given as a summation of the type-I and type-II term as already mentioned above. So, in the approximation that $k' \ll k$, and if we consider that our Yukawa coupling Y^l corresponding to the neutrino masses is y_D and the coupling \widetilde{Y}^l for the charged fermion masses is denoted by y_L , so considering $y_D k \gg y_L k'$ we can write,

$$M_\nu = \frac{k^2}{v_R} y_D f_R^{-1} y_D^T + f_L v_L \quad (8.6)$$

Since, for due to left-right symmetry, we can consider $f_L = f_R = f$, so the above equation can be written as,

$$M_\nu = \frac{k^2}{v_R} y_D f^{-1} y_D^T + f v_L \quad (8.7)$$

So, from this equation we can come to a relation given by,

$$M_\nu = \left(f \frac{\gamma}{\xi} + y_D f^{-1} y_D^T\right) \frac{k^2}{v_R} \quad (8.8)$$

Here, we can consider two situations, namely

- If $f(\frac{\gamma}{\xi}) \ll y_D f^{-1} y_D^T$, the light neutrino mass is given by the type-I term $M_D M_{RR}^{-1} M_D^T$. That is, here type-I is dominant and the light neutrino mass is from the suppression of heavy ν_R .
- If $f(\frac{\gamma}{\xi}) \gg y_D f^{-1} y_D^T$, the light neutrino mass is given by the type-II term $f v_L$. That is, in this case type-II mass term is dominant and the light neutrino mass is because of the tiny value of ν_L .

IX. APPENDIX B

Properties of A_4 group

A_4 is a non-abelian discrete symmetry group which represents even permutations of four objects. It has four irreducible representations, three out of which are singlets ($1, 1', 1''$) and one triplet 3 (3_A represents the anti-symmetric part and 3_S the symmetric part). Products of the singlets and triplets are given by,

$$1 \otimes 1 = 1$$

$$1' \otimes 1' = 1''$$

$$1' \otimes 1'' = 1$$

$$1'' \otimes 1'' = 1'$$

$$3 \otimes 3 = 1 \oplus 1' \oplus 1'' \oplus 3_A \oplus 3_S$$

If we have two triplets under A_4 say, (a_1, a_2, a_3) and (b_1, b_2, b_3) , then their multiplication rules are given by,

$$1 \approx a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_3 + a_3 b_2$$

$$1' \approx a_3 b_3 + a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1'' &\approx a_2 b_2 + a_3 b_1 + a_1 b_2 \\
3_S &\approx \begin{pmatrix} 2a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 \\ 2a_3 b_3 - a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \\ 2a_2 b_2 - a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1 \end{pmatrix} \\
3_A &\approx \begin{pmatrix} a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2 \\ a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \\ a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

X. REFERENCES

- [1] Justin Evans. The MINOS Experiment: Results and Prospects. *Adv. High Energy Phys.*, 2013:182537, 2013.
- [2] K. Abe et al. Indication of Electron Neutrino Appearance from an Accelerator-produced Off-axis Muon Neutrino Beam. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107:041801, 2011.
- [3] F. P. An et al. Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at Daya Bay. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108:171803, 2012.
- [4] Fumihiko Suekane and Thiago Junqueira de Castro Bezerra. Double Chooz and a history of reactor Θ_{13} experiments. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 908:74–93, 2016.
- [5] Thierry Lasserre, Guillaume Mention, Michel Cribier, Antoine Collin, Vincent Durand, Vincent Fischer, Jonathan Gaffiot, David Lhuillier, Alain Letourneau, and Matthieu Vivier. Comment on Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 191802 (2012): ‘Observation of Reactor Electron Antineutrino Disappearance in the RENO Experiment’. 5 2012.
- [6] P. A. R. Ade et al. Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 571:A16, 2014.
- [7] N. Aghanim et al. Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 641:A6, 2020. [Erratum: Astron.Astrophys. 652, C4 (2021)].

- [8] Ivan Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Michele Maltoni, Thomas Schwetz, and Albert Zhou. The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations. *JHEP*, 09:178, 2020.
- [9] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle. Neutrino Masses in $SU(2) \times U(1)$ Theories. *Phys. Rev. D*, 22:2227, 1980.
- [10] Rabindra N. Mohapatra and Goran Senjanovic. Neutrino Mass and Spontaneous Parity Nonconservation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 44:912, 1980.
- [11] C. Wetterich. Neutrino Masses and the Scale of B-L Violation. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 187:343–375, 1981.
- [12] Stefan Antusch and Steve F. King. Type II Leptogenesis and the neutrino mass scale. *Phys. Lett. B*, 597:199–207, 2004.
- [13] Robert Foot, H. Lew, X. G. He, and Girish C. Joshi. Seesaw Neutrino Masses Induced by a Triplet of Leptons. *Z. Phys. C*, 44:441, 1989.
- [14] Naoyuki Haba, Hiroyuki Ishida, and Yuya Yamaguchi. Naturalness and lepton number/flavor violation in inverse seesaw models. *JHEP*, 11:003, 2016.
- [15] Edward W. Kolb and Stephen Wolfram. The Development of Baryon Asymmetry in the Early Universe. *Phys. Lett. B*, 91:217–221, 1980.
- [16] S. M. Bilenky and Carlo Giunti. Neutrinoless double-beta decay: A brief review. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, 27:1230015, 2012.
- [17] Guido Altarelli, Ferruccio Feruglio, Luca Merlo, and Emmanuel Stamou. Discrete Flavour Groups, θ_{13} and Lepton Flavour Violation. *JHEP*, 08:021, 2012.
- [18] Marco Taoso, Gianfranco Bertone, and Antonio Masiero. Dark Matter Candidates: A Ten-Point Test. *JCAP*, 03:022, 2008.
- [19] Michael S. Turner. Dark matter: Theoretical perspectives. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 90:4827, 1993.
- [20] Eric Corrigan. LEFT-RIGHT-SYMMETRIC MODEL BUILDING. Master’s thesis, Lund U., 2015.
- [21] Jogesh C. Pati and Abdus Salam. Lepton Number as the Fourth Color. *Phys. Rev. D*, 10:275–289, 1974. [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 11, 703–703 (1975)].
- [22] R. N. Mohapatra and Jogesh C. Pati. A Natural Left-Right Symmetry. *Phys. Rev. D*, 11:2558, 1975.
- [23] P. S. Bhupal Dev, Rabindra N. Mohapatra, Werner Rodejohann, and Xun-Jie Xu. Vacuum structure of the left-right symmetric model. *JHEP*, 02:154, 2019.
- [24] Goran Senjanovic. SPONTANEOUS BREAKDOWN OF PARITY IN A CLASS OF GAUGE THE-

- ORIES. Other thesis, 1 1979.
- [25] W. Grimus. Introduction to left-right symmetric models. In *4th Hellenic School on Elementary Particle Physics*, pages 619–632, 3 1993.
 - [26] J. D. Vergados, H. Ejiri, and F. Simkovic. Theory of Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay. *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, 75:106301, 2012.
 - [27] L. Cardani. Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay Overview. *SciPost Phys. Proc.*, 1:024, 2019.
 - [28] Bichitra Bijay Boruah and Mrinal Kumar Das. Neutrinoless double-beta decay and lepton flavor violation in discrete flavor symmetric left–right symmetric model. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 37(06):2250026, 2022.
 - [29] Shao-Feng Ge, Werner Rodejohann, and Kai Zuber. Half-life Expectations for Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay in Standard and Non-Standard Scenarios. *Phys. Rev. D*, 96(5):055019, 2017.
 - [30] Junpei Shirai. KamLAND-Zen experiment. *PoS*, HQL2018:050, 2018.
 - [31] M. Agostini et al. Final Results of GERDA on the Search for Neutrinoless Double- β Decay. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125(25):252502, 2020.
 - [32] Ernest Ma and G. Rajasekaran. Softly broken A(4) symmetry for nearly degenerate neutrino masses. *Phys. Rev. D*, 64:113012, 2001.
 - [33] Guido Altarelli, Ferruccio Feruglio, and Luca Merlo. Revisiting Bimaximal Neutrino Mixing in a Model with S(4) Discrete Symmetry. *JHEP*, 05:020, 2009.
 - [34] Guido Altarelli and Ferruccio Feruglio. Discrete Flavor Symmetries and Models of Neutrino Mixing. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 82:2701–2729, 2010.
 - [35] Debasish Borah and Mrinal Kumar Das. Neutrino Masses and Leptogenesis in Type I and Type II Seesaw Models. *Phys. Rev. D*, 90(1):015006, 2014.
 - [36] M. J. Luo and Q. Y. Liu. Small Neutrino Masses From Structural cancellation In Left-Right Symmetric Model. *JHEP*, 12:061, 2008.
 - [37] Simon J. D. King and Stephen F. King. Fermion mass hierarchies from modular symmetry. *JHEP*, 09:043, 2020.
 - [38] P. P. Novichkov, J. T. Penedo, S. T. Petcov, and A. V. Titov. Generalised CP Symmetry in Modular-Invariant Models of Flavour. *JHEP*, 07:165, 2019.
 - [39] Ferruccio Feruglio. *Are neutrino masses modular forms?*, pages 227–266. 2019.

- [40] P. Duka, J. Gluza, and M. Zralek. Quantization and renormalization of the manifest left-right symmetric model of electroweak interactions. *Annals Phys.*, 280:336–408, 2000.
- [41] Bichitra Bijay Boruah and Mrinal Kumar Das. Realization of Left-Right Symmetric Model by Discrete Flavor Symmetries. *Springer Proc. Phys.*, 265:285–291, 2022.
- [42] Purushottam Sahu, Sudhanwa Patra, and Prativa Pritimita. A_4 realization of left-right symmetric linear seesaw. 2 2020.
- [43] Mohammed Abbas. Fermion masses and mixing in modular A_4 Symmetry. *Phys. Rev. D*, 103(5):056016, 2021.
- [44] Joydeep Chakraborty, H. Zeen Devi, Srubabati Goswami, and Sudhanwa Patra. Neutrinoless double- β decay in TeV scale Left-Right symmetric models. *JHEP*, 08:008, 2012.
- [45] Pei-Hong Gu. Neutrinoless double beta decay in the left-right symmetric models for linear seesaw. *JHEP*, 09:152, 2016.
- [46] James Barry, Luis Dorame, and Werner Rodejohann. Linear Collider Test of a Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay Mechanism in left-right Symmetric Theories. *Eur. Phys. J. C*, 72:2023, 2012.
- [47] Gang Li, Michael Ramsey-Musolf, and Juan Carlos Vasquez. Left-Right Symmetry and Leading Contributions to Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126(15):151801, 2021.
- [48] Happy Borgohain and Mrinal Kumar Das. Neutrinoless double beta decay and lepton flavour violation in broken $\mu - \tau$ symmetric neutrino mass models. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 56(9):2911–2934, 2017.
- [49] Shao-Feng Ge, Manfred Lindner, and Sudhanwa Patra. New physics effects on neutrinoless double beta decay from right-handed current. *JHEP*, 10:077, 2015.
- [50] Frank F. Deppisch, Chandan Hati, Sudhanwa Patra, Prativa Pritimita, and Utpal Sarkar. Neutrinoless double beta decay in left-right symmetric models with a universal seesaw mechanism. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97(3):035005, 2018.
- [51] G. Senjanovic and Rabindra N. Mohapatra. Exact Left-Right Symmetry and Spontaneous Violation of Parity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 12:1502, 1975.
- [52] Ram Lal Awasthi, Arnab Dasgupta, and Manimala Mitra. Limiting the effective mass and new physics parameters from $0\nu\beta\beta$. *Phys. Rev. D*, 94(7):073003, 2016.
- [53] Gulab Bambhaniya, P. S. Bhupal Dev, Srubabati Goswami, and Manimala Mitra. The Scalar Triplet Contribution to Lepton Flavour Violation and Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay in Left-Right Sym-

- metric Model. *JHEP*, 04:046, 2016.
- [54] Debasish Borah, Sudhanwa Patra, and Utpal Sarkar. TeV scale Left Right Symmetry with spontaneous D-parity breaking. *Phys. Rev. D*, 83:035007, 2011.
- [55] Happy Borgohain and Mrinal Kumar Das. Lepton number violation, lepton flavor violation, and baryogenesis in left-right symmetric model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 96(7):075021, 2017.