

Revisiting one-loop corrections to the trilinear Higgs boson self-coupling in the Inert Doublet Model

Jaouad El Falaki^{1*}

¹ *LPTHE, Physics Department, Faculty of Sciences, Ibnou Zohr University, P.O.B. 8106 Agadir, Morocco.*

I would like to dedicate this paper to my sister Fatima, who is bravely fighting against cancer

Abstract

We investigate predictions of the trilinear Higgs self-coupling with radiative corrections in the context of the Inert Doublet Model. The triple Higgs vertex is computed at the one-loop level based on the on-shell renormalization scheme. We calculate its possible deviation from the predictions within the standard model, taking into account all relevant theoretical and experimental constraints, including dark matter searches and the latest bounds on the branching fraction of the Higgs boson decaying to invisible particles. By scanning the model's parameter space, we find that the deviation in the triple Higgs boson self-coupling from standard model expectations can be substantial, exceeding 100% in certain regions of the parameter space.

*E-mail: jaouad.elfalaki@gmail.com, j.elfalaki@uiz.ac.ma

1 Introduction

A great achievement in the history of high energy physics was made on July 4, 2012, with the discovery of the Higgs boson by ATLAS and CMS, at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [1, 2]. Since then, the spectrum of the Standard Model (SM) of particle physics has been completed. Following this discovery, more accumulated data has been analyzed during LHC Run I-II and it has been found that the properties of the Higgs boson are in perfect agreement with the predictions from the SM, with a level of accuracy of 10 – 20% [3, 4].

To establish experimentally the Brout-Englert-Higgs mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB), it is necessary to measure not only the couplings of the Higgs boson with the fermions and the gauge bosons, but also the self-coupling of the Higgs boson, i.e. the triple λ_{hhh} and the quartic λ_{hhhh} Higgs boson couplings, in order to be able to reconstruct the shape of the Higgs scalar potential. The measurement of the Higgs boson quartic coupling is more challenging because the cross section for triple Higgs production at the LHC is much smaller (the cross section for $pp \rightarrow 3h$ production is about 0.1 fb at $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$), and it is out of reach even for the high-luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) [5]. On the other hand, the trilinear self-coupling of the Higgs boson λ_{hhh} can be measured from the production of a pair of Higgs bosons at the LHC [6]. Recently, a statistical combination by ATLAS has been presented [7], in which the bound on λ_{hhh} has been significantly reduced to $-0.4 < \kappa_\lambda < 6.3$ at 95% confidence level, where $\kappa_\lambda \equiv \frac{\lambda_{hhh}}{\lambda_{hhh}^{SM}}$ is the self-coupling modifier parameter. CMS also has derived a limit on κ_λ which is constrained to be within $-1.24 < \kappa_\lambda < 6.49$ at 95% CL [8]. The measurement accuracy of λ_{hhh} will be improved at future experiments such as the HL-LHC where κ_λ is constrained to be between 0.1 and 2.3 at 95% CL with 3 ab^{-1} data [5]. At future linear collider such as the ILC, the triple Higgs boson coupling is expected to be measured at the precision level of 27% in the double Higgs-strahlung process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh h$ at $\sqrt{s} = 500 \text{ GeV}$ with an integrated luminosity of 4 ab^{-1} [9, 10]. A relative precision of 10% on λ_{hhh} is also possible at 1 TeV from the di-Higgs production in WW fusion process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu} h h$ with an accumulated 8 ab^{-1} of integrated luminosity [9, 10]. The expectation for these precise measurements motivates the study of the radiative corrections to λ_{hhh} .

The SM is unable to explain certain phenomena such as dark matter, the hierarchy problem and tiny neutrino masses. As a result, new physics beyond the SM (BSM) is needed to provide answers to these unsolved problems. The Higgs sector of the SM only includes one Higgs doublet, but there is no fundamental reason to believe it must be minimal. Among popular BSM candidates are models with extended Higgs sectors, such as the Inert Doublet Model (IDM). Originally proposed by Deshpande and Ma [11] and initially suggested for EWSB studies, this model is highly intriguing due to its potential to generate tiny neutrino masses [12], provide a dark matter candidate [13–19], and address the naturalness problem [20]. The IDM consists of adding a second Higgs doublet that does not acquire a vacuum expectation value (VEV) and has no coupling with SM fermions. An exact \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry is imposed, with the SM Higgs doublet being even and the additional scalar doublet being odd. The preserved \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry ensures that the extra doublet does not interact with matter and its lightest stable neutral component can act as a dark matter particle. After EWSB, the IDM has a spectrum of five physical scalars: a CP-even Higgs boson h (identified with the discovered SM Higgs), and four inert scalars (H , A , and H^\pm). The rich phenomenology of the IDM has been thoroughly studied in the literature, both in the context of future Higgs factories like the ILC and CLIC and at the LHC [21–57]. Additionally, there have been many studies of the model that go beyond the lowest order of the perturbation, including those at one-loop level [58–73] and two-loop order [74–76]. The one-loop

contributions to the triple Higgs boson coupling from Standard Model particles have been investigated in [58, 77–80], where it was found that these corrections are dominated by loops involving the top quark. The radiative corrections to λ_{hhh} in some non-supersymmetric Higgs models can be found in references [77–79, 81–91], and for corrections in certain supersymmetric models, see for example Refs [80, 92–97]. These new physics effects in models with extended Higgs sectors have been shown to be large and can significantly enhance the λ_{hhh} coupling in a wide range of parameter space. Calculations of Higgs boson couplings that include higher-order corrections are mandatory to compare theory predictions with future precision data from hadron and lepton colliders. In Ref [58], the one-loop contributions of the inert scalars to λ_{hhh} are discussed only in the degenerate spectra, i.e., $m_H = m_A = m_{H^\pm}$. In addition, one loop corrections to λ_{hhh} within the IDM have also been discussed in some scenarios [60], but under the assumption that $m_H = m_{H^\pm}$. In the present letter, we will compute the radiative corrections to the triple Higgs self-coupling considering non-degenerate masses for the inert scalars, while taking into account all current theoretical and experimental constraints on the IDM.

The layout of the letter is as follows: In Sec. 2, we briefly introduce the IDM and outline its theoretical and experimental constraints. In Sec. 3, we present the on-shell renormalization scheme and provide a comprehensive explanation of the triple Higgs coupling at the one-loop level. In Sec. 4, we present our numerical results for the SM and IDM. Conclusions are given in the last section.

2 The Inert Doublet Model

2.1 The Model

The IDM is a simple extension of the Standard Model of particle physics which consists of the SM, including its Higgs doublet Φ_1 , and an additional $SU(2)$ doublet Φ_2 that brings in four new scalar particles. An exact \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry is introduced such that the SM doublet is even $\Phi_1 \rightarrow \Phi_1$ while the added extra doublet (inert doublet) is odd $\Phi_2 \rightarrow -\Phi_2$. This unbroken \mathbb{Z}_2 parity guarantees the absence of coupling between fermions and the inert doublet Φ_2 , therefore there is no flavor-changing neutral currents. Moreover, it ensures that the lightest neutral component of Φ_2 is a natural dark matter candidate. The decomposition for the two doublets around the vacuum state is given by:

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} G^\pm \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h + iG^0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H^\pm \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H + iA) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Where only the SM doublet Φ_1 is involved in EWSB, G^0 and G^\pm correspond to the three Nambu-Goldstone bosons gauged away by the longitudinal component of Z and W^\pm respectively, h is the SM Higgs boson and v is the VEV of the SM Higgs doublet. The second doublet Φ_2 does not participate in EWSB and it contains four new inert scalars H , A and H^\pm where either A or H may act as potential dark matter candidate, depending on the mass hierarchy of these two inert scalars.

The most general renormalizable tree-level scalar potential in this model can be written as:

$$V = \mu_1^2 |\Phi_1|^2 + \mu_2^2 |\Phi_2|^2 + \lambda_1 |\Phi_1|^4 + \lambda_2 |\Phi_2|^4 + \lambda_3 |\Phi_1|^2 |\Phi_2|^2 + \lambda_4 |\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2|^2 + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left\{ (\Phi_1^\dagger \Phi_2)^2 + \text{h.c.} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where μ_1 and μ_2 are the mass of the Φ_1 and Φ_2 fields, and all $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}$ parameters are real since the above potential must be hermitian while the phase of λ_5 can be absorbed into an appropriate redefinition of Φ_1 and Φ_2 fields.

After EWSB the five scalar particles of the model acquire their masses which are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} m_h^2 &= -2\mu_1^2 = 2\lambda_1 v^2 \\ m_H^2 &= \mu_2^2 + \lambda_L v^2 \\ m_A^2 &= \mu_2^2 + \lambda_S v^2 \\ m_{H^\pm}^2 &= \mu_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 v^2 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\lambda_{L,S}$ are defined as:

$$\lambda_{L,S} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5) \tag{4}$$

The trilinear self-coupling of the Higgs boson at tree level in the IDM involves only SM parameters and is given by:

$$\Gamma_{hhh}^{tree} = \frac{-3m_h^2}{v} \tag{5}$$

In the IDM, there are eight independent parameters: $5\lambda_i$, $2\mu_i$, and v . After eliminating one parameter through the minimization condition and determining the VEV using the W boson mass, we are left with six remaining independent parameters which will be selected as follows:

$$\{\mu_2^2, \lambda_2, m_h, m_{H^\pm}, m_H, m_A\} \tag{6}$$

2.2 Theoretical and Experimental Constraints

In this study, we explore the same parameter space as in our previous published paper [61]. The IDM parameter space is obtained by performing an extensive parameter scan in the whole space with all experimental and theoretical constraints applied. The constraints used are summarized below:

- The theoretical constraints:
 - The perturbative unitarity [98, 99]
 - The vacuum stability [11, 100]
 - The inert vacuum and charge-breaking minima [101]
- The experimental constraints:
 - The Higgs data from the LHC [102, 103].
 - The invisible Higgs decay [104].
 - The direct collider searches at LEP [23, 105–107]
 - The electroweak precision tests [20, 108, 109].
 - The dark matter searches [40, 42, 110–120].

3 Calculation of one-loop corrections to the triple Higgs coupling

In this section, we briefly discuss the renormalization of the trilinear self-coupling of the Higgs boson. Using the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge, we calculate the radiative corrections to the tree-level formula in Eq. 5 in both the SM and IDM including contributions from all particles in the loop. Figure 3.1 illustrates some of the Feynman diagrams contributing to the triple Higgs boson coupling. Note that the dimensional regularization has been used to evaluate the one-loop Feynman amplitudes. The calculations are carried out using Mathematica packages FeynArts and FormCalc [121–123]. The numerical evaluation of the scalar one-loop integrals has been performed with the LoopTools package [124, 125]. It should be noted that the UV-finiteness of the virtual contributions has been cross-checked numerically and analytically. Compared to the general two Higgs doublet model (2HDM) [78, 79, 83], the structure of the counter-terms for the triple Higgs boson coupling in the IDM is simpler and identical to those in the SM due to the absence of mixing between the SM Higgs boson and the inert scalars. We study an off-shell Higgs boson that decays into two real Higgs bosons $h^*(q) \rightarrow h(q_1)h(q_2)$ at the one-loop level, where q , q_1 and q_2 are the four-momenta of the three Higgs bosons satisfying an off-shell condition $q^2 \neq m_h^2$ for the decaying Higgs boson and on-shell conditions $q_1^2 = q_2^2 = m_h^2$ for the two real Higgs bosons. The UV divergences that emerge during the calculation's intermediate stages should eventually cancel out in the end. In order to do that, we adopt the on-shell renormalization scheme which is widely used in quantum field theory because it is simple to implement and it allows for a clear physical interpretation of the parameters of the theory. As in the SM, the tree-level trilinear Higgs self-coupling in Eq. 5 depends only on the VEV and Higgs boson mass. Hence, the renormalization procedure will be the same as the one adopted in the SM [126–129]. The SM fields and parameters are redefined as follows:




Figure 3.1: Some one-loop Feynman diagrams that do not exist in the SM contribute to the triple Higgs self-coupling within the IDM.

$$\begin{aligned}
m_h^2 &\rightarrow m_h^2 + \delta m_h^2 \\
m_V^2 &\rightarrow m_V^2 + \delta m_V^2, \quad V = Z, W^\pm \\
s_W &\rightarrow s_W + \delta s_W \\
t &\rightarrow t + \delta t \\
e &\rightarrow (1 + \delta Z_e)e \\
Z^\mu &\rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZZ}\right) Z^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZA}A^\mu \\
A^\mu &\rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{AA}\right) A^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{AZ}Z^\mu \\
h &\rightarrow Z_h^{1/2}h = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta Z_h\right)h
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $s_W = \sin\theta_W$ is the Weinberg angle and $t = v(\mu_1^2 - \lambda_1 v^2)$ is the tadpole. At tree level, the tadpole is zero if the minimization condition is satisfied, but it can receive finite corrections at the one-loop level. To ensure that the VEV of the Higgs field is consistent across all orders of perturbation theory, it is necessary to renormalize the Higgs tadpole. This can be done by adding a counter-term to the tadpole, which cancels out any divergences that appear at higher orders of perturbation theory. Consequently, we set the following condition:

$$\hat{T} = \delta t + T = 0 \implies \delta t = -T \tag{8}$$

where T is the one-loop contribution of 1PI diagrams.

The counter-terms of the masses are fixed by the following on-shell condition:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Re}\hat{\Sigma}_T^{VV}(m_V^2) = 0 &\implies \delta m_V^2 = \text{Re}\Sigma_T^{VV}(m_V^2) \quad V = W, Z \\
\text{Re}\hat{\Sigma}_{hh}(m_h^2) = 0 &\implies \delta m_h^2 = \text{Re}\Sigma_{hh}(m_h^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where Σ_{hh} and Σ_T^{VV} are the one-loop non-renormalized self energies for the Higgs boson and gauge bosons respectively.

By fixing the residue of the two-point Green functions to be equal to unity, the wave-function renormalization constant is determined from the following condition.

$$\text{Re}\frac{\partial\hat{\Sigma}_{hh}(k^2)}{\partial k^2}\bigg|_{k^2=m_h^2} = 0 \implies \delta Z_h = -\text{Re}\frac{\partial\Sigma_{hh}}{\partial k^2}\bigg|_{k^2=m_h^2}. \tag{10}$$

In the on-shell renormalization scheme, the electric charge is set by ensuring that there are no higher-order corrections to the $e^+e^-\gamma$ vertex in the Thomson limit. The electric charge renormalization constant δZ_e can be expressed as:

$$\delta Z_e = -\frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{AA} - \frac{s_W}{c_W}\frac{1}{2}\delta Z_{ZA} \tag{11}$$

where

$$\delta Z_{AA} = -\frac{\partial\Sigma_T^{AA}(k^2)}{\partial k^2}\bigg|_{k^2=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta Z_{ZA} = 2\frac{\Sigma_T^{AZ}(0)}{m_Z^2} \tag{12}$$

To obtain the counter-term δs_W one can use the on-shell definition of the weak mixing angle, which is defined as the ratio of the weak neutral current and the weak charged current. Thus, δs_W is given by:

$$\delta s_W = \frac{c_W^2}{2s_W} \left(\frac{\delta m_Z^2}{m_Z^2} - \frac{\delta m_W^2}{m_W^2} \right) \quad (13)$$

By inserting the redefinition of the parameters into the Lagrangian, we obtain the following counter-term for the trilinear Higgs self-coupling.

$$\delta \Gamma_{hhh} = \frac{-3e^2}{2s_W} \frac{m_h^2}{m_W} \left(\delta Z_e - \frac{\delta s_W}{s_W} + \frac{\delta m_h^2}{m_h^2} + \frac{e}{2s_W} \frac{\delta t}{m_W m_h^2} - \frac{\delta m_W^2}{2m_W^2} + \frac{3}{2} \delta Z_h \right) \quad (14)$$

To obtain the renormalized amplitudes for the triple Higgs coupling, the full one-loop one particle irreducible vertex $\Gamma_{hhh}^{1PI}(q^2, q_1^2, q_2^2)$ is added to the corresponding counter-terms $\delta \Gamma_{hhh}$ as follows:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{hhh}(q^2, q_1^2, q_2^2) = \Gamma_{hhh}^{tree} + \Gamma_{hhh}^{1PI}(q^2, q_1^2, q_2^2) + \delta \Gamma_{hhh} \quad (15)$$

4 Numerical Results

In this section, we present our numerical analysis for the triple Higgs coupling at the one-loop level in the SM and IDM. In order to parametrize the size of the radiative corrections and compare it to the Standard Model's predictions, we define the following ratio in the IDM:

$$\Delta \Gamma_{hhh} = \frac{\hat{\Gamma}_{hhh}(q^2, m_h^2, m_h^2)_{IDM} - \hat{\Gamma}_{hhh}(q^2, m_h^2, m_h^2)_{SM}}{\hat{\Gamma}_{hhh}(q^2, m_h^2, m_h^2)_{SM}} \quad (16)$$

While within the SM, we show our numerical results using the following relative ratio:

$$\Delta \Gamma_{hhh}^{SM} = \frac{\hat{\Gamma}_{hhh}(q^2, m_h^2, m_h^2)_{SM} - \Gamma_{hhh}^{tree}}{\Gamma_{hhh}^{tree}} \quad (17)$$

The following numerical values of the input parameters are adopted [109]:

$$\begin{aligned} m_h &= 125.18 \text{ GeV} & m_W &= 80.379 \text{ GeV} & m_t &= 173.2 \text{ GeV} \\ m_\mu &= 0.106 \text{ GeV} & m_Z &= 91.198 \text{ GeV} & m_b &= 4.660 \text{ GeV} \\ m_\tau &= 1.777 \text{ GeV} & \alpha &= 1/137.036 & m_c &= 1.275 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned}$$

We scan the entire parameter space for the other IDM parameters, including physical masses m_A , m_H and m_{H^\pm} as well as the μ_2^2 parameter. We consider all relevant theoretical and experimental constraints and perform a random scan over the IDM parameter space in the following ranges:

$$\begin{aligned} 100 \text{ GeV} &\leq m_H \leq 700 \text{ GeV} \\ 20 \text{ GeV} &\leq m_A \leq 62.5 \text{ GeV} \\ 80 \text{ GeV} &\leq m_{H^\pm} \leq 700 \text{ GeV} \\ 0 \text{ GeV}^2 &\leq \mu_2^2 \leq 10^6 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It's worth mentioning that our numerical results are independent of λ_2 parameter. We will set λ_2 to a fixed value of 2.

It is noteworthy that in this letter, the inert scalar A is selected as the dark matter candidate. Furthermore, all points have been passed the upper bound from the invisible Higgs boson decay $Br(h \rightarrow AA) \leq 11\%$ [104].¹

It is important to note that the mass splitting between the inert neutral scalars H and A is controlled by the λ_5 parameter, which ranges from 0.104 to 6.05 after all constraints have been taken into account. Additionally, λ_5 plays a crucial role in generating a tiny neutrino mass in the minimal scotogenic model, originally proposed by Ernest Ma in 2006 [12]. This model provides an elegant way to generate tiny neutrino masses at the one-loop level and allows for the possibility of the dark matter particle being either the light Majorana fermion (Majorana dark matter) or the lightest among the H and A (scalar dark matter). The latter scenario, which involves scalar dark matter, is similar to the IDM. Thus, the permissible values of the λ_5 parameter in our analysis will be the same as those in the scotogenic model with scalar dark matter, where small values of the new Yukawa couplings that couple the dark doublet with the Majorana fermions generate tiny neutrino masses. However, in the case of Majorana dark matter, large values of the new Yukawa couplings are required to achieve the appropriate amount of dark matter relic density. In this scenario, the smallness of neutrino masses can only be achieved by ensuring a strong degeneracy between the two neutral scalars H and A , leading to the suppression of the λ_5 parameter at $\lambda_5 \sim 10^{-9}$.

We visualize in Figure 4.1 the size of the radiative corrections in the SM as a function of the four-momentum of the off-shell Higgs boson. The measurement of the triple Higgs coupling in future experiments will be done through the double Higgs production process. In this case, one of the Higgs bosons will be off-shell, which implies that the dependence on momentum q is important. One can see that the total corrections to the trilinear self-coupling of the Higgs boson start from -1% around $q = 250$ GeV and can reach a maximum value of 8.23% for $q = 470$ GeV. It should be emphasized that the top-quark contribution is the dominant correction for large values of the momentum q .

¹It bears mentioning that the mass range between 20 GeV and 55 GeV of the dark matter candidate is ruled out from relic density constraints. It is also worth pointing out that the allowed values of μ_2^2 range between 3000 GeV^2 and 4400 GeV^2 after passing all constraints.




Figure 4.1: The relative correction $\Delta\Gamma_{hhh}^{SM}[\%]$ as a function of q , where q^μ is the four-momentum of the off-shell Higgs boson in $h^* \rightarrow hh$.

In the left panel of Figure 4.2, we depict the corrections to the triple Higgs coupling as a function of the four-momentum q . The color code indicates the allowed charged scalar mass. As a reference point, we display by a solid-red line the relative corrections $\Delta\Gamma_{hhh}^{SM}$ to the trilinear Higgs boson self-coupling within the SM. From this plot, it can be seen that the corrections are small and consistent with the SM predictions for light charged and neutral inert particles, whose masses are in the range $80 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{H^\pm} \leq 200 \text{ GeV}$ and $100 \text{ GeV} \leq m_H \leq 200 \text{ GeV}$. It can also be observed that for inert scalars masses, $300 \text{ GeV} \leq m_{H^\pm}, m_H \leq 440 \text{ GeV}$, the deviation of the triple Higgs coupling from the SM's predictions is significant and larger than 10% with an enhancement up to 120% for $q = 880 \text{ GeV}$. Furthermore, One can infer that for heavy inert scalars, the corrections are substantial in a large part of the parameter space with an enhancement of 472% for $q = 1216 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_H = 608 \text{ GeV}$. It is worth mentioning that in the left panel there are two different threshold peaks which are attributed to the opening of $h^* \rightarrow H^\pm H^\mp$ for $q = 1204 \text{ GeV}$ with $m_{H^\pm} = 602 \text{ GeV}$, where the corrections can go up to 470%. The second spike at $q = 1216 \text{ GeV}$ which amplifies the radiative corrections corresponds to the threshold effect in $h^* \rightarrow HH$ with $m_H = 608 \text{ GeV}$. The non-decoupling effect in the radiative correction to the trilinear self-coupling of the Higgs boson is significant when large masses of the inert scalars are involved, this behavior can be seen on the right panel of Figure 4.2. It is noteworthy to highlight that this behavior is also observed in the 2HDM, where large corrections to the triple Higgs coupling at the one-loop level are found to grow as the quartic power of the extra heavier Higgs bosons [77, 78, 83].




Figure 4.2: Left: $\Delta\Gamma_{hhh}$ as a function of the momentum q where the charged scalar mass m_{H^\pm} is shown in the right column. Right: $\Delta\Gamma_{hhh}$ as a function of m_{H^\pm} and the color code indicates the mass m_H of the neutral scalar H. The red line in the left panel represents the relative ratio $\Delta\Gamma_{hhh}^{SM}$ in the SM.

5 Conclusion

We computed the trilinear Higgs boson coupling in the IDM at one-loop level with non-degenerate inert scalar masses, taking into account all relevant theoretical and experimental constraints, including dark matter searches and Higgs boson invisible decay. We evaluated the one-loop Feynman amplitudes using dimensional regularization in the 't Hooft-Feynman gauge and employed an on-shell scheme renormalization. Our results showed substantial deviations from the SM predictions for the triple Higgs coupling, with values exceeding 100% in some regions of the parameter space and reaching up to 472% enhancement due to non-decoupling effects of the inert scalars. This substantial non-decoupling correction to the triple Higgs boson self-coupling is known to be associated with a strongly first order electroweak phase transition, which is necessary for successful electroweak baryogenesis. Detecting significant deviation from the expected value in the triple Higgs coupling in future colliders can indirectly provide information on the mass of inert scalar bosons.

References

- [1] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett.* **B716** (2012) 1–29, [[arXiv:1207.7214](#)].
- [2] **CMS** Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett.* **B716** (2012) 30–61, [[arXiv:1207.7235](#)].

- [3] **ATLAS** Collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Combined measurements of Higgs boson production and decay using up to 80 fb⁻¹ of proton-proton collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV collected with the ATLAS experiment*, *Phys. Rev. D* **101** (2020), no. 1 012002, [arXiv:1909.02845].
- [4] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., *Combined measurements of Higgs boson couplings in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019), no. 5 421, [arXiv:1809.10733].
- [5] M. Cepeda et al., *Report from Working Group 2: Higgs Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC*, *CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr.* **7** (2019) 221–584, [arXiv:1902.00134].
- [6] H. Abouabid, A. Arhrib, D. Azevedo, J. E. Falaki, P. M. Ferreira, M. Mühlleitner, and R. Santos, *Benchmarking di-Higgs production in various extended Higgs sector models*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 011, [arXiv:2112.12515].
- [7] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Constraining the Higgs boson self-coupling from single- and double-Higgs production with the ATLAS detector using pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, .
- [8] **CMS** Collaboration, *A portrait of the Higgs boson by the CMS experiment ten years after the discovery*, *Nature* **607** (2022), no. 7917 60–68, [arXiv:2207.00043].
- [9] K. Fujii et al., *ILC Study Questions for Snowmass 2021*, arXiv:2007.03650.
- [10] **ILC International Development Team** Collaboration, A. Aryshev et al., *The International Linear Collider: Report to Snowmass 2021*, arXiv:2203.07622.
- [11] N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, *Pattern of Symmetry Breaking with Two Higgs Doublets*, *Phys. Rev.* **D18** (1978) 2574.
- [12] E. Ma, *Verifiable radiative seesaw mechanism of neutrino mass and dark matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 077301, [hep-ph/0601225].
- [13] M. Gustafsson, E. Lundstrom, L. Bergstrom, and J. Edsjo, *Significant Gamma Lines from Inert Higgs Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007) 041301, [astro-ph/0703512].
- [14] T. Hambye and M. H. G. Tytgat, *Electroweak symmetry breaking induced by dark matter*, *Phys. Lett.* **B659** (2008) 651–655, [arXiv:0707.0633].
- [15] P. Agrawal, E. M. Dolle, and C. A. Krenke, *Signals of Inert Doublet Dark Matter in Neutrino Telescopes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 015015, [arXiv:0811.1798].
- [16] E. M. Dolle and S. Su, *The Inert Dark Matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D80** (2009) 055012, [arXiv:0906.1609].
- [17] Q.-H. Cao, E. Ma, and G. Rajasekaran, *Observing the Dark Scalar Doublet and its Impact on the Standard-Model Higgs Boson at Colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 095011, [arXiv:0708.2939].
- [18] L. Lopez Honorez, E. Nezri, J. F. Oliver, and M. H. G. Tytgat, *The Inert Doublet Model: An Archetype for Dark Matter*, *JCAP* **02** (2007) 028, [hep-ph/0612275].

- [19] A. Goudelis, B. Herrmann, and O. Stål, *Dark matter in the Inert Doublet Model after the discovery of a Higgs-like boson at the LHC*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 106, [[arXiv:1303.3010](#)].
- [20] R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, and V. S. Rychkov, *Improved naturalness with a heavy Higgs: An Alternative road to LHC physics*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 015007, [[hep-ph/0603188](#)].
- [21] E. Dolle, X. Miao, S. Su, and B. Thomas, *Dilepton Signals in the Inert Doublet Model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 035003, [[arXiv:0909.3094](#)].
- [22] M. Aoki, S. Kanemura, and H. Yokoya, *Reconstruction of Inert Doublet Scalars at the International Linear Collider*, *Phys. Lett.* **B725** (2013) 302–309, [[arXiv:1303.6191](#)].
- [23] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, and N. Gaur, *$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ in Inert Higgs Doublet Model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D85** (2012) 095021, [[arXiv:1201.2644](#)].
- [24] M. Krawczyk, D. Sokolowska, P. Swaczyna, and B. Swiezewska, *Constraining Inert Dark Matter by $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ and WMAP data*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 055, [[arXiv:1305.6266](#)].
- [25] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, and T.-C. Yuan, *Associated Production of Higgs at Linear Collider in the Inert Higgs Doublet Model*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014) 2892, [[arXiv:1401.6698](#)].
- [26] A. Datta, N. Ganguly, N. Khan, and S. Rakshit, *Exploring collider signatures of the inert Higgs doublet model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D95** (2017), no. 1 015017, [[arXiv:1610.00648](#)].
- [27] B. Dutta, G. Palacio, J. D. Ruiz-Alvarez, and D. Restrepo, *Vector Boson Fusion in the Inert Doublet Model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018), no. 5 055045, [[arXiv:1709.09796](#)].
- [28] J. Kalinowski, W. Kotlarski, T. Robens, D. Sokolowska, and A. F. Zarnecki, *Benchmarking the Inert Doublet Model for e^+e^- colliders*, *JHEP* **12** (2018) 081, [[arXiv:1809.07712](#)].
- [29] J. Kalinowski, W. Kotlarski, T. Robens, D. Sokolowska, and A. F. Zarnecki, *Exploring Inert Scalars at CLIC*, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 053, [[arXiv:1811.06952](#)].
- [30] Y. Guo-He, S. Mao, L. Gang, Z. Yu, and G. Jian-You, *Searches for dark matter via charged Higgs pair production in the Inert Doublet Model at $\gamma\gamma$ collider*, [arXiv:2006.06216](#).
- [31] F.-X. Yang, Z.-L. Han, and Y. Jin, *Same-Sign Dilepton Signature in the Inert Doublet Model*, [arXiv:2101.06862](#).
- [32] J. Kalinowski, T. Robens, D. Sokolowska, and A. F. Zarnecki, *IDM Benchmarks for the LHC and Future Colliders*, *Symmetry* **13** (2021), no. 6 991, [[arXiv:2012.14818](#)].
- [33] A. Melfo, M. Nemevsek, F. Nesti, G. Senjanovic, and Y. Zhang, *Inert Doublet Dark Matter and Mirror/Extra Families after Xenon100*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 034009, [[arXiv:1105.4611](#)].
- [34] D. Abercrombie et al., *Dark Matter Benchmark Models for Early LHC Run-2 Searches: Report of the ATLAS/CMS Dark Matter Forum*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **27** (2020) 100371, [[arXiv:1507.00966](#)].

- [35] A. Ilnicka, M. Krawczyk, and T. Robens, *Inert Doublet Model in light of LHC Run I and astrophysical data*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016), no. 5 055026, [arXiv:1508.01671].
- [36] N. Blinov, J. Kozaczuk, D. E. Morrissey, and A. de la Puente, *Compressing the Inert Doublet Model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016), no. 3 035020, [arXiv:1510.08069].
- [37] P. Poulose, S. Sahoo, and K. Sridhar, *Exploring the Inert Doublet Model through the dijet plus missing transverse energy channel at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett.* **B765** (2017) 300–306, [arXiv:1604.03045].
- [38] M. Hashemi and S. Najjari, *Observability of Inert Scalars at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** (2017), no. 9 592, [arXiv:1611.07827].
- [39] N. Wan, N. Li, B. Zhang, H. Yang, M.-F. Zhao, M. Song, G. Li, and J.-Y. Guo, *Searches for Dark Matter via Mono- W Production in Inert Doublet Model at the LHC*, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **69** (2018), no. 5 617.
- [40] A. Belyaev, T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, P. G. Mercadante, C. S. Moon, S. Moretti, S. F. Novaes, L. Panizzi, F. Rojas, and M. Thomas, *Advancing LHC probes of dark matter from the inert two-Higgs-doublet model with the monojet signal*, *Phys. Rev.* **D99** (2019), no. 1 015011, [arXiv:1809.00933].
- [41] D. Dercks and T. Robens, *Constraining the Inert Doublet Model using Vector Boson Fusion*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C79** (2019), no. 11 924, [arXiv:1812.07913].
- [42] Y.-L. S. Tsai, V. Q. Tran, and C.-T. Lu, *Confronting dark matter co-annihilation of Inert two Higgs Doublet Model with a compressed mass spectrum*, *JHEP* **06** (2020) 033, [arXiv:1912.08875].
- [43] G. Gil, P. Chankowski, and M. Krawczyk, *Inert Dark Matter and Strong Electroweak Phase Transition*, *Phys. Lett.* **B717** (2012) 396–402, [arXiv:1207.0084].
- [44] B. Swiezewska, *Inert scalars and vacuum metastability around the electroweak scale*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 118, [arXiv:1503.07078].
- [45] N. Blinov, S. Profumo, and T. Stefaniak, *The Electroweak Phase Transition in the Inert Doublet Model*, *JCAP* **1507** (2015) 028, [arXiv:1504.05949].
- [46] F. P. Huang and J.-H. Yu, *Exploring inert dark matter blind spots with gravitational wave signatures*, *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018), no. 9 095022, [arXiv:1704.04201].
- [47] A. Belyaev, G. Cacciapaglia, I. P. Ivanov, F. Rojas-Abatte, and M. Thomas, *Anatomy of the Inert Two Higgs Doublet Model in the light of the LHC and non-LHC Dark Matter Searches*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018), no. 3 035011, [arXiv:1612.00511].
- [48] A. Arhrib, Y.-L. S. Tsai, Q. Yuan, and T.-C. Yuan, *An Updated Analysis of Inert Higgs Doublet Model in light of the Recent Results from LUX, PLANCK, AMS-02 and LHC*, *JCAP* **1406** (2014) 030, [arXiv:1310.0358].
- [49] B. Eiteneuer, A. Goudelis, and J. Heisig, *The inert doublet model in the light of Fermi-LAT gamma-ray data: a global fit analysis*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C77** (2017), no. 9 624, [arXiv:1705.01458].

- [50] A. Ghosh, P. Konar, and S. Seth, *On precise probing of inert Higgs doublet model at the LHC*, [arXiv:2111.15236](#).
- [51] A. Jueid, J. Kim, S. Lee, S. Y. Shim, and J. Song, *Phenomenology of the Inert Doublet Model with a global $U(1)$ symmetry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), no. 7 075011, [[arXiv:2006.10263](#)].
- [52] M. Krawczyk, D. Sokołowska, P. Swaczyna, and B. Świeżewska, *Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $Z\gamma$ in the Inert Doublet Model*, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **44** (2013), no. 11 2163–2170, [[arXiv:1309.7880](#)].
- [53] R. Enberg, J. Rathsman, and G. Wouda, *Higgs properties in a broken Inert Doublet Model*, *JHEP* **08** (2013) 079, [[arXiv:1304.1714](#)]. [Erratum: JHEP01,087(2015)].
- [54] M. Krawczyk, D. Sokolowska, and B. Swieczewska, *Inert Doublet Model with a 125 GeV Higgs*, in *Proceedings, 1st Toyama International Workshop on Higgs as a Probe of New Physics 2013 (HPNP2013): Toyama, Japan, February 13-16, 2013*, 2013, [arXiv:1304.7757](#).
- [55] S. Kanemura, K. Mawatari, and K. Sakurai, *Single Higgs production in association with a photon at electron-positron colliders in extended Higgs models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), no. 3 035023, [[arXiv:1808.10268](#)].
- [56] M. Hashemi, M. Krawczyk, S. Najjari, and A. F. Żarnecki, *Production of Inert Scalars at the high energy e^+e^- colliders*, [arXiv:1512.01175](#). [JHEP02,187(2016)].
- [57] A. Ahriche, A. Arhrib, A. Jueid, S. Nasri, and A. de La Puente, *Mono-Higgs Signature in the Compressed scotogenic Model*, [arXiv:1811.00490](#).
- [58] A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, J. El Falaki, and A. Jueid, *Radiative corrections to the Triple Higgs Coupling in the Inert Higgs Doublet Model*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 007, [[arXiv:1507.03630](#)].
- [59] H. Abouabid, A. Arhrib, J. E. Falaki, B. Gong, W. Xie, and Q.-S. Yan, *Full one-loop radiative corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow H^+H^-$ in the Inert Higgs Doublet Model*, [arXiv:2204.05237](#).
- [60] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, and K. Sakurai, *Testing the dark matter scenario in the inert doublet model by future precision measurements of the Higgs boson couplings*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016), no. 11 115011, [[arXiv:1605.08520](#)].
- [61] H. Abouabid, A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, J. El Falaki, B. Gong, W. Xie, and Q.-S. Yan, *One-loop radiative corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh^0/H^0A^0$ in the Inert Higgs Doublet Model*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 100, [[arXiv:2009.03250](#)].
- [62] M. Aiko, S. Kanemura, and K. Mawatari, *Next-to-leading-order corrections to the Higgs strahlung process from electron-positron collisions in extended Higgs models*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021), no. 11 1000, [[arXiv:2109.02884](#)].
- [63] M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, J.-H. Yu, and J. Zhou, *Probing extended scalar sectors with precision $e^+e^- \rightarrow Zh$ and Higgs diphoton studies*, *JHEP* **10** (2021) 155, [[arXiv:2104.10709](#)].

- [64] S. Banerjee, F. Boudjema, N. Chakrabarty, G. Chalons, and H. Sun, *Relic density of dark matter in the inert doublet model beyond leading order: The heavy mass case*, *Phys. Rev.* **D100** (2019), no. 9 095024, [[arXiv:1906.11269](#)].
- [65] S. Banerjee, F. Boudjema, N. Chakrabarty, and H. Sun, *Relic density of dark matter in the inert doublet model beyond leading order for the low mass region: 1. Renormalisation and constraints*, [arXiv:2101.02165](#).
- [66] S. Banerjee, F. Boudjema, N. Chakrabarty, and H. Sun, *Relic density of dark matter in the inert doublet model beyond leading order for the low mass region: 2. Co-annihilation*, [arXiv:2101.02166](#).
- [67] S. Banerjee, F. Boudjema, N. Chakrabarty, and H. Sun, *Relic density of dark matter in the inert doublet model beyond leading order for the low mass region: 3. Annihilation in 3-body final state*, [arXiv:2101.02167](#).
- [68] S. Banerjee, F. Boudjema, N. Chakrabarty, and H. Sun, *Relic density of dark matter in the inert doublet model beyond leading order for the low mass region: 4. The Higgs resonance region*, [arXiv:2101.02170](#).
- [69] S. Banerjee and N. Chakrabarty, *A revisit to scalar dark matter with radiative corrections*, *JHEP* **05** (2019) 150, [[arXiv:1612.01973](#)].
- [70] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, K. Mawatari, K. Sakurai, and K. Yagyu, *Full next-to-leading-order calculations of Higgs boson decay rates in models with non-minimal scalar sectors*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **949** (2019) 114791, [[arXiv:1906.10070](#)].
- [71] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, K. Mawatari, K. Sakurai, and K. Yagyu, *H-COUP Version 2: a program for one-loop corrected Higgs boson decays in non-minimal Higgs sectors*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **257** (2020) 107512, [[arXiv:1910.12769](#)].
- [72] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, K. Sakurai, and K. Yagyu, *H-COUP: a program for one-loop corrected Higgs boson couplings in non-minimal Higgs sectors*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **233** (2018) 134–144, [[arXiv:1710.04603](#)].
- [73] P. M. Ferreira and B. Swiezewska, *One-loop contributions to neutral minima in the inert doublet model*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 099, [[arXiv:1511.02879](#)].
- [74] J. Braathen and S. Kanemura, *Leading two-loop corrections to the Higgs boson self-couplings in models with extended scalar sectors*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C80** (2020), no. 3 227, [[arXiv:1911.11507](#)].
- [75] J. Braathen and S. Kanemura, *On two-loop corrections to the Higgs trilinear coupling in models with extended scalar sectors*, *Phys. Lett.* **B796** (2019) 38–46, [[arXiv:1903.05417](#)].
- [76] E. Senaha, *Radiative Corrections to Triple Higgs Coupling and Electroweak Phase Transition: Beyond One-loop Analysis*, *Phys. Rev.* **D100** (2019), no. 5 055034, [[arXiv:1811.00336](#)].
- [77] S. Kanemura, Y. Okada, E. Senaha, and C. P. Yuan, *Higgs coupling constants as a probe of new physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 115002, [[hep-ph/0408364](#)].

- [78] S. Kanemura, S. Kiyoura, Y. Okada, E. Senaha, and C. P. Yuan, *New physics effect on the Higgs selfcoupling*, *Phys. Lett. B* **558** (2003) 157–164, [[hep-ph/0211308](#)].
- [79] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, and K. Yagyu, *Fingerprinting the extended Higgs sector using one-loop corrected Higgs boson couplings and future precision measurements*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **896** (2015) 80–137, [[arXiv:1502.07716](#)].
- [80] W. Hollik and S. Penaranda, *Yukawa coupling quantum corrections to the selfcouplings of the lightest MSSM Higgs boson*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **23** (2002) 163–172, [[hep-ph/0108245](#)].
- [81] H. Bahl, J. Braathen, and G. Weiglein, *New Constraints on Extended Higgs Sectors from the Trilinear Higgs Coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129** (2022), no. 23 231802, [[arXiv:2202.03453](#)].
- [82] J. Braathen, S. Kanemura, and M. Shimoda, *Two-loop analysis of classically scale-invariant models with extended Higgs sectors*, *JHEP* **03** (2021) 297, [[arXiv:2011.07580](#)].
- [83] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, K. Sakurai, and K. Yagyu, *Gauge invariant one-loop corrections to Higgs boson couplings in non-minimal Higgs models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017), no. 3 035014, [[arXiv:1705.05399](#)].
- [84] S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, and K. Yagyu, *One-loop corrections to the Higgs self-couplings in the singlet extension*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **917** (2017) 154–177, [[arXiv:1608.01582](#)].
- [85] S.-P. He and S.-h. Zhu, *One-loop radiative correction to the triple Higgs coupling in the Higgs singlet model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **764** (2017) 31–37, [[arXiv:1607.04497](#)]. [Erratum: *Phys.Lett.B* 797, 134782 (2019)].
- [86] M. Aoki, S. Kanemura, M. Kikuchi, and K. Yagyu, *Radiative corrections to the Higgs boson couplings in the triplet model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), no. 1 015012, [[arXiv:1211.6029](#)].
- [87] P. Basler, M. Mühlleitner, and J. Wittbrodt, *The CP-Violating 2HDM in Light of a Strong First Order Electroweak Phase Transition and Implications for Higgs Pair Production*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 061, [[arXiv:1711.04097](#)].
- [88] P. Basler, M. Mühlleitner, and J. Müller, *Electroweak Phase Transition in Non-Minimal Higgs Sectors*, *JHEP* **05** (2020) 016, [[arXiv:1912.10477](#)].
- [89] A. Moyotl, S. Chamorro, H. Castilla-Valdez, and M. A. Pérez, *New physics effects in the Higgs trilinear self-coupling through one-loop radiative corrections*, [arXiv:1610.06299](#).
- [90] M. Krause, R. Lorenz, M. Muhlleitner, R. Santos, and H. Ziesche, *Gauge-independent Renormalization of the 2-Higgs-Doublet Model*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 143, [[arXiv:1605.04853](#)].
- [91] P. Osland, P. N. Pandita, and L. Selbuz, *Trilinear Higgs couplings in the two Higgs doublet model with CP violation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 015003, [[arXiv:0802.0060](#)].
- [92] V. D. Barger, M. S. Berger, A. L. Stange, and R. J. N. Phillips, *Supersymmetric Higgs boson hadroproduction and decays including radiative corrections*, *Phys. Rev. D* **45** (1992) 4128–4147.

- [93] C. Borschensky, T. N. Dao, M. Gabelmann, M. Mühlleitner, and H. Rzehak, *The Trilinear Higgs Self-Couplings at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t^2)$ in the CP-Violating NMSSM*, [arXiv:2210.02104](#).
- [94] M. Brucherseifer, R. Gavin, and M. Spira, *Minimal supersymmetric Higgs boson self-couplings: Two-loop $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_t\alpha_s)$ corrections*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014), no. 11 117701, [[arXiv:1309.3140](#)].
- [95] D. T. Nhung, M. Mühlleitner, J. Streicher, and K. Walz, *Higher Order Corrections to the Trilinear Higgs Self-Couplings in the Real NMSSM*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 181, [[arXiv:1306.3926](#)].
- [96] A. Dobado, M. J. Herrero, W. Hollik, and S. Penaranda, *Selfinteractions of the lightest MSSM Higgs boson in the large pseudoscalar mass limit*, *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 095016, [[hep-ph/0208014](#)].
- [97] S. Kanemura, T. Shindou, and K. Yagyu, *Non-decoupling effects in supersymmetric Higgs sectors*, *Phys. Lett. B* **699** (2011) 258–263, [[arXiv:1009.1836](#)].
- [98] S. Kanemura, T. Kubota, and E. Takasugi, *Lee-Quigg-Thacker bounds for Higgs boson masses in a two doublet model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B313** (1993) 155–160, [[hep-ph/9303263](#)].
- [99] A. G. Akeroyd, A. Arhrib, and E.-M. Naimi, *Note on tree level unitarity in the general two Higgs doublet model*, *Phys. Lett.* **B490** (2000) 119–124, [[hep-ph/0006035](#)].
- [100] G. C. Branco, P. M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. N. Rebelo, M. Sher, and J. P. Silva, *Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models*, *Phys. Rept.* **516** (2012) 1–102, [[arXiv:1106.0034](#)].
- [101] I. F. Ginzburg, K. A. Kanishev, M. Krawczyk, and D. Sokolowska, *Evolution of Universe to the present inert phase*, *Phys. Rev.* **D82** (2010) 123533, [[arXiv:1009.4593](#)].
- [102] **ATLAS** Collaboration, M. Aaboud et al., *Measurements of Higgs boson properties in the diphoton decay channel with 36 fb^{-1} of pp collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 052005, [[arXiv:1802.04146](#)].
- [103] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., *Measurements of Higgs boson properties in the diphoton decay channel in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13\text{ TeV}$* , *JHEP* **11** (2018) 185, [[arXiv:1804.02716](#)].
- [104] **ATLAS** Collaboration, *Combination of searches for invisible Higgs boson decays with the ATLAS experiment*, **ATLAS-CONF-2020-052**.
- [105] B. Swiezewska and M. Krawczyk, *Diphoton rate in the inert doublet model with a 125 GeV Higgs boson*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 3 035019, [[arXiv:1212.4100](#)].
- [106] G. Belanger, B. Dumont, A. Goudelis, B. Herrmann, S. Kraml, and D. Sengupta, *Dilepton constraints in the Inert Doublet Model from Run 1 of the LHC*, *Phys. Rev.* **D91** (2015), no. 11 115011, [[arXiv:1503.07367](#)].
- [107] E. Lundstrom, M. Gustafsson, and J. Edsjo, *The Inert Doublet Model and LEP II Limits*, *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 035013, [[arXiv:0810.3924](#)].

- [108] M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, *Estimation of oblique electroweak corrections*, *Phys. Rev. D* **D46** (1992) 381–409.
- [109] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, M. Tanabashi et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **D98** (2018), no. 3 030001.
- [110] **Particle Data Group** Collaboration, P. A. Zyla et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *PTEP* **2020** (2020), no. 8 083C01.
- [111] L. F. Abbott and P. Sikivie, *A Cosmological Bound on the Invisible Axion*, *Phys. Lett.* **120B** (1983) 133–136.
- [112] M. Dine and W. Fischler, *The Not So Harmless Axion*, *Phys. Lett.* **120B** (1983) 137–141.
- [113] J. Preskill, M. B. Wise, and F. Wilczek, *Cosmology of the Invisible Axion*, *Phys. Lett.* **120B** (1983) 127–132.
- [114] G. Belanger, A. Mjallal, and A. Pukhov, *Recasting direct detection limits within micrOMEGAs and implication for non-standard Dark Matter scenarios*, pre-print (3, 2020) [[arXiv:2003.08621](#)].
- [115] **XENON** Collaboration, E. Aprile et al., *Dark Matter Search Results from a One Ton-Year Exposure of XENON1T*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018), no. 11 111302, [[arXiv:1805.12562](#)].
- [116] **PICO** Collaboration, C. Amole et al., *Dark Matter Search Results from the Complete Exposure of the PICO-60 C₃F₈ Bubble Chamber*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019), no. 2 022001, [[arXiv:1902.04031](#)].
- [117] **CRESST** Collaboration, A. H. Abdelhameed et al., *First results from the CRESST-III low-mass dark matter program*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019), no. 10 102002, [[arXiv:1904.00498](#)].
- [118] **DarkSide** Collaboration, P. Agnes et al., *Low-Mass Dark Matter Search with the DarkSide-50 Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018), no. 8 081307, [[arXiv:1802.06994](#)].
- [119] **ATLAS** Collaboration, M. Aaboud et al., *Search for dark matter and other new phenomena in events with an energetic jet and large missing transverse momentum using the ATLAS detector*, *JHEP* **01** (2018) 126, [[arXiv:1711.03301](#)].
- [120] **CMS** Collaboration, A. M. Sirunyan et al., *Search for dark matter produced with an energetic jet or a hadronically decaying W or Z boson at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *JHEP* **07** (2017) 014, [[arXiv:1703.01651](#)].
- [121] T. Hahn, *Generating Feynman diagrams and amplitudes with FeynArts 3*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **140** (2001) 418–431, [[hep-ph/0012260](#)].
- [122] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, *Automatized one loop calculations in four-dimensions and D-dimensions*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **118** (1999) 153–165, [[hep-ph/9807565](#)].
- [123] T. Hahn and M. Rauch, *News from FormCalc and LoopTools*, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **157** (2006) 236–240, [[hep-ph/0601248](#)]. [[236\(2006\)](#)].

- [124] T. Hahn, *Loop calculations with FeynArts, FormCalc, and LoopTools*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B30** (1999) 3469–3475, [[hep-ph/9910227](#)].
- [125] T. Hahn, *Feynman Diagram Calculations with FeynArts, FormCalc, and LoopTools*, *PoS ACAT2010* (2010) 078, [[arXiv:1006.2231](#)].
- [126] M. Bohm, H. Spiesberger, and W. Hollik, *On the One Loop Renormalization of the Electroweak Standard Model and Its Application to Leptonic Processes*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **34** (1986) 687–751.
- [127] W. F. L. Hollik, *Radiative Corrections in the Standard Model and their Role for Precision Tests of the Electroweak Theory*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **38** (1990) 165–260.
- [128] A. Denner, *Techniques for calculation of electroweak radiative corrections at the one loop level and results for W physics at LEP-200*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **41** (1993) 307–420, [[arXiv:0709.1075](#)].
- [129] A. Denner and S. Dittmaier, *Electroweak Radiative Corrections for Collider Physics*, *Phys. Rept.* **864** (2020) 1–163, [[arXiv:1912.06823](#)].