

A Living Review of Quantum Computing for Plasma Physics

Óscar Amaro^{*1} and Diogo Cruz^{†2,3}

¹GoLP/Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Lisboa, Portugal

¹Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Portugal

¹Instituto de Telecomunicações, Portugal

February 2, 2023

Abstract

Quantum Computing promises accelerated simulation of certain classes of problems, in particular in plasma physics. Given the nascent interest in applying quantum computing techniques to study plasma systems, a compendium of the relevant literature would be most useful. As a novel field, new results are common, and it is important for researchers to stay up-to-date on the latest developments. With this in mind, the goal of this document is to provide a regularly up-to-date and thorough list of citations for those developing and applying these quantum computing approaches to experimental or theoretical work in plasma physics. As a living document, it will be updated as often as possible to incorporate the latest developments. References are grouped by topic, both in itemized format and through the use of tags. We provide instructions on how to participate, and suggestions are welcome.

1 Introduction

Quantum Computing (QC) is a branch of computing that leverages on a set of resources provided by quantum mechanics that are classically unavailable: superposition, interference and entanglement. In some (but not all) situations, it is possible to construct a quantum algorithm (and a corresponding quantum gate circuit) that has a better complexity scaling than its classical counterpart.

Consequently, interest in quantum computing has recently grown in several areas of engineering, physics, and other areas of research. Although still in its early stages, the

^{*}oscar.amaro@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

[†]diogo.cruz@lx.it.pt

growing body of research papers at the intersection of quantum computing and plasma physics makes it difficult to keep up to date with all of the latest developments. This is a challenge both for new researchers that plan to join the field and also for people who have worked in it for several years to put their contribution into the context of previous results.

To help address this issue, we have compiled a review of QC for plasma physics to provide a nearly comprehensive list of citations for papers that develop and apply QC (and derivations thereof) to problems in Plasma Physics, including theory and simulation/experiment. We have chosen the format of a *living* review, which implies that it will be frequently updated and is open for community contributions. In this way, the review will continue to remain relevant as the field develops. It is worth noting that this living review does not qualify as a review of QC in general. We encourage the reader to look elsewhere in the literature for original research and reviews in areas of pure and applied quantum computing less relevant to plasma physics. This project was heavily inspired by a similar effort in the area of Machine Learning for High Energy Physics [FN21].

The *Living Review* (<https://qppqlivingreview.github.io/review>) also includes a list of standard (static) reviews within. The references have been grouped into several categories to simplify the search process for the user (see Section 2). Some papers may be listed under multiple categories. The inclusion of a paper in the review does not indicate endorsement or validation of its content, as this is to be determined by the community and through peer review. The classification system may have limitations and we welcome feedback from the community on any papers that should be included, papers that have been misclassified or errors in citations or journal information.

The Living Review is hosted as a Github project. It can be consulted either (see Fig. 1) as a Markdown/HTML webpage, where the URL links for each reference are available; or as a \LaTeX PDF file, which is also downloadable directly from the webpage. The GitHub repository naturally also includes the BibTeX (.bib) file, which authors can freely use when writing new articles.

This pre-print will serve as a static reference to the review. Please check back before you upload your manuscript to arXiv to ensure that you include the latest work on the subject.

This paper is organized as follows: we briefly introduce the structure of the Living Review (Section 2); then we describe how one can contribute (Section 3); finally we showcase a first version of the living review (Section 4). The conclusions are in Section 5.

2 Categories

One of the main goals of this living review is to provide an easily searchable collection of references. These are presented in an itemized format, according to the type of problem the reference covers. In general, brief descriptions for each category of problem are provided. A single reference can be in more than one category, if applicable.

For the same type of problem, it is not uncommon for each researcher to be mostly interested in specific types of quantum computing techniques, applicable in specific contexts. Therefore, to facilitate search, we propose the use of tags to mark references with the context under which the problem was considered, as shown in Fig. 1.

The following categories are used to organize the papers:




Figure 1: Snapshot of the PDF (left) and Markdown/HTML (right) form of the review with hyperlinks to the papers.

- **NISQ** noisy-intermediate scale quantum computing;
- **FTol** fault-tolerant quantum computing;
- **QAnn** quantum annealing;
- **QIns** quantum-inspired.

Moreover, we also use secondary tags to indicate the type of analysis performed:

- **Theo** is a theoretical tag solely for the papers with analytical results, and no considerable numerical or experimental results;
- **Num** marks papers with numerical simulations, but no experimental results run on quantum devices;
- **Exp** marks papers with displayed experimental results.

We may omit these tags if the paper is referenced and tagged in a subsequent subsection, or if none of them are clearly applicable.

Please note that this choice of structuring is somewhat arbitrary and may change as the field evolves.

3 Contributing

The community can also become involved in the process of reviewing and expanding this living document. The main channel for contributions is through a pull request (PR) to the




Figure 2: A printscreen of the `README.md` (left) and `CONTRIBUTING.md` (right) document describing the repository’s structure and detailing the guidelines for contributions from non-maintainers to the review.

review’s GitHub project. A frequently asked questions (FAQ) and pull-request guide can be found in the repository, with detailed instructions on the recommended procedures and workflow.

To help steer new contributions and ensure a smooth PR process and review with the maintainers, a contributions guide is located in the project’s Git repository in the form of a `CONTRIBUTING.md` document (see Fig. 2) — a project staple in the Open Source community.

Furthermore, suggestions for new features can be submitted to the Living Review by creating a GitHub issue as documented in the project’s `CONTRIBUTING.md`.

4 Showcase of the living review

For reference, in this section we showcase the starting version of the Living Review, as it stood at the time it was first made public. As previously indicated, a continuously updated version of it may be found in its associated GitHub project.

• Modern Reviews

Below are links to (static) general and specialized reviews.

- Applications of Quantum Computing to Plasma Simulations [DS21a].
- Quantum Computing for Fusion Energy Science Applications [Jos+22].

- **System of linear equations** NISQ Num [HBR21] Exp [Bra+20; Xu+21], FTol Theo [HHL09; CJS13; CKS17; WX22], QAnn Num [SSO19], Exp [BL22], QIns Theo [SM21].

Many problems in plasma physics may be formulated, either exactly or approximately, as a problem of the form $Ax = b$, where A and b encode the information about the system

(including its initial conditions, if applicable), and the goal is to compute x , which encodes the desired data.

- **System of nonlinear equations** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [DS21b] [Num](#) [XWG21; Xue+22].

Nonlinear equations depend nonlinearly on x , and they are generally much harder to solve. As quantum mechanics is inherently linear, many techniques rely on mapping the original nonlinear problem to a (usually approximate) linear one, that is easier to solve.

- **System of polynomial equations** [QAnn](#) [Exp](#) [Cha+19].

System where each equation is a polynomial.

- **Ordinary differential equations (ODEs)** [QAnn](#) [Exp](#) [Zan+21].

Many plasma systems can be described by ordinary differential equations. Some techniques attempt to solve them directly, while others map the ODE to (larger) systems of linear equations, and solve those.

- **Linear** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [Ber14; Ber+17; CL20; FLT22; JLY22a], [Num](#) [JLY22b], [QAnn](#) [Exp](#) [Zan+21].

As quantum mechanics is inherently linear, linear ODEs are often more straightforward to solve with quantum computers than nonlinear ones.

- * **Second-order** [QAnn](#) [Exp](#) [SS19].

The highest derivative appearing in these ODEs is the second derivative.

- **Quantum harmonic oscillator** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [Ric+22].

Here, the time-independent Schrödinger equation has a Hamiltonian with a potential proportional to x^2 .

- **Laguerre** [QAnn](#) [Num](#) [CS22].

The Laguerre equation is of the form

$$x \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + (1 - x) \frac{dy}{dx} + n y = 0$$

- **Nonlinear** [NISQ](#) [KPE21; Shi+21] [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [LO08; DS21b; Llo+20] [Num](#) [JLY22b; Liu+21b; SGS22], [QAnn](#) [Num](#) [Zan+21].

There is no general reliable procedure to solve nonlinear ODEs, but some methods have been proposed.

- **Partial differential equations (PDEs)** [NISQ](#) [GRG22], [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [CLO21; Kro22], [QIns](#) [Gar21].

In general, plasma systems are described by partial differential equations. Although some of the equations presented here do not commonly describe plasma systems, the techniques employed to solve them are often general enough that they could be adapted to tackle plasma PDEs.

For ease of search, PDEs arising from stochastic processes are indicated both here and in the following section.

– **Linear** [NISQ](#) [OMa+22], [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [JLY22a], [Num](#) [JLY22b], [QAnn](#) [Num](#) [CS22].

* **Non-homogeneous** [NISQ](#) [Exp](#) [Bra+20], [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [Arr+19; Ric+22].

· **Vlasov** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [ESP19].

The Vlasov equation models the evolution of the distribution functions of (charged) particles in a plasma system, including their long-range Coulomb interactions. It can be written in the general form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, p, t) + \frac{dr}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} f + \frac{dp}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} f = \mathcal{C}$$

where \mathcal{C} is a collision term. The dp/dt term can be coupled the (Electromagnetic) fields either through to the Poisson or the set of Maxwell's equations.

· **Poisson** [NISQ](#) [Bra+20; Sat+21; AK22; Sah+22; Lub+20], [Exp](#) [Cui+22], [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [Cao+13], [Num](#) [Arr+19; Ric+22; Liu+21a; Wan+20].

The Poisson equation is an elliptic PDE that relates a charge/mass density or velocity field with the electrical/gravitational potential or pressure field it originates, respectively. It takes the form

$$\nabla^2 \phi(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z)$$

This equation has significant applications in fluid dynamics (see Navier–Stokes equations).

* **Semi-classical Schrödinger** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [JLL22].

The semi-classical regime of the Schrödinger equation corresponds to the case when $\hbar \ll 1$. Possible applications include: quantum chemistry, including Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics and Ehrenfest dynamics.

* **Time-dependent Schrödinger** [NISQ](#) [Jou22] [FTol](#) [Num](#) [JLL22].

Many problems can be mapped to the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = H |\psi(t)\rangle$$

described by some Hamiltonian H . Solving the Schrödinger equation can often be done much more efficiently with quantum computers.

* **Parabolic** [QIns](#) [Num](#) [Pat+22].

· **Heat/Convection** [NISQ](#) [LEK22; Alb+22], [Num](#) [Liu+22], [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [LMS22; JLY22a; JLY22d] [Num](#) [JLY22b].

The prototypical parabolic linear PDE. This equation describes the diffusion of heat in a material

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \alpha \Delta u$$

where α is the thermal diffusivity. Its applications are of fundamental importance in most branches of physics and engineering.

· **Black-Scholes** [NISQ](#) [FJO21; MK22], [FTol](#) [Num](#) [JLY22b; An+21].

The Black–Scholes equation is a PDE which describes the price of the option $V(S, t)$ over time t and price of underlying asset $S(t)$, r is the "force of interest", μ is the annualized drift rate of S , and σ is the standard deviation of the stock's returns.

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0$$

- **Fokker-Planck** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [JLY22b], [QIns](#) [Num](#) [Gar21].

The Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density $p(x, t)$ can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\mu(x, t) p(x, t)) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (D(x, t) p(x, t))$$

where μ and D are the drift and diffusion coefficients (which may be time-dependent).

- **Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman** [QIns](#) [Num](#) [Pat+22].
- * **Hyperbolic/Wave-related** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [JLY22d; JL22].

- **Wave** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [CJO19; SSC21], [QAnn](#) [Num](#) [CS22].

The prototypical hyperbolic equation in physics, it describes oscillatory and propagating perturbations in a medium

$$\partial_{tt} u(t, x) = c^2 \nabla^2 u$$

- **Maxwell's** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [CJO19], [Num](#) [NSD22; NDS22].

The Maxwell equations are a set of coupled PDEs that are foundational to the modeling of electromagnetic phenomena, which important applications in fundamental physics, classical optics and electric circuits.

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \left(\mathbf{J} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

- **Klein-Gordon** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [CJO19].

The Klein-Gordon relativistic wave equation is a 2nd order equation both in space and time

$$\left(\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \right) \psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$$

- **Helmholtz** [NISQ](#) [Num](#) [Ewe+22].

The Helmholtz equation is the eigenvalue problem for the Laplace operator

$$\nabla^2 f = -k^2 f$$

Important applications include wave-like phenomena and diffusion processes.

- **Nonlinear** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [JL22; Lin+22].

- * **Evolution equation** [NISQ](#) [Theo](#) [LEK22].

General class of PDEs with time-domain.

- * **Vlasov-Poisson** [QIns](#) [Num](#) [YL22].

The coupled Vlasov-Poisson system describes the self-consistent evolution of charges and their (electrical) potentials. Because of this, the system is nonlinear.

- * **Schrödinger-Poisson** [NISQ](#) [Num](#) [MS21].

Similar to the Vlasov-Poisson system, the Schrödinger-Poisson equation attempts to describe the self-consistent evolution of a wave-function and a potential.

- * **Nonlinear-Schrödinger** [NISQ](#) [Num](#) [GRG22], [Exp](#) [Lub+20].

Similar to the Schrödinger-Poisson system, however the potential is created by the square absolute value of the wave-function $V = |\psi|^2$, which induces a cubic nonlinearity in the equations.

- * **Burger's** [NISQ](#) [Exp](#) [Zyl+22], [FTol](#) [Num](#) [Oz+21].

This nonlinear PDE with a quadratic nonlinearity is of fundamental importance to fluid dynamics and in particular in plasma physics. It is also a prototypical equation in turbulence related studies, and can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(x, t) + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \nu\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

- * **Reaction-diffusion** [NISQ](#) [Num](#) [LEK22] [NISQ](#) [Exp](#) [Dem+22], [FTol](#) [Num](#) [An+22].

Reaction-diffusion equations describe transport/diffusion of substances and their transformation into other substances, for example to model the concentration of chemical components.

$$\partial_t p = D\nabla^2 q + R(q)$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient and R is the local reaction rate.

- * **Navier-Stokes** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [Gai20].

The Navier-Stokes equations describe the motion of viscous fluids. They describe conservation of mass and momentum and often require equations of state for pressure, temperature and density to close the system of equations.

$$\partial_t \rho(x, t) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{3} \mu \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \rho \mathbf{g}$$

- * **Incompressible** [NISQ](#) [LEK22], [QIns](#) [Num](#) [Lap22].

The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations can be applied for low enough Mach numbers, and cannot be used to accurately simulate density or pressure waves like sound or shock waves. The fluid density is considered constant $\rho = \rho_0$.

- * **Hamilton-Jacobi** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [JLY22c; JL22].

A particular case of the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman. The Hamilton-Jacobi is an alternative formulation of classical mechanics, where given a Hamiltonian $H(q, p, t)$ of a mechanical system, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation is a first-order, non-linear PDE for the Hamilton's principal function S . One of its advantages is in efficiently identifying conserved quantities of mechanical systems.

- * **Black-Scholes-Barenblatt** [QIns](#) [Num](#) [Pat+22].

The Black-Scholes-Barenblatt equation is a nonlinear extension to the Black-Scholes equation, which models uncertain volatility and interest rates derived from the Black-Scholes equation.

* **Stefan problems** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [Sar22].

Stefan problems are a particular kind of boundary value problems for a system of PDEs in which the boundary between the phases can move with time.

- **Stochastic processes** [NISQ](#) [Num](#) [Kub+20; Alg+22], [FTol](#) [Num](#) [An+21].

(Integro-)differential equations in which one or more of the terms is a stochastic process, leading to a solution which is stochastic in nature. Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs) can be used to model physical systems subject to thermal fluctuations.

Through the Feynman-Kac formula, many common SDEs can be reduced to solving a PDE for the probability density of interest, as is the case for the Fokker-Planck equation. For ease of search, PDEs arising from stochastic processes are indicated both here and in the previous section.

- **Fokker-Planck** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [JLY22b], [QIns](#) [Num](#) [Gar21].

The Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density $p(x, t)$ can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\mu(x, t) p(x, t)) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (D(x, t) p(x, t))$$

where μ and D are the drift and diffusion coefficients (which may be time-dependent).

- **Linear Boltzmann/Rate equation** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [JLY22b].

The linear Boltzmann or Rate equation is a stochastic integro-differential equation for the probability density $p(x, t)$ can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} p(x, t) = \int p(x', t) W(x, x') dx' - p(x, t) \int W(x', x) dx'$$

where $W(x, x')$ is the probability rate of transition from state x' to state x . where dp/dt can include partial derivatives of $p(x, t)$.

- **Other techniques**

- **Linear embedding of nonlinear dynamical systems** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [ESP21; JLY22c] [Num](#) [Liu+21b].

Several linear embedding of nonlinear dynamical systems have been developed to extend the class of problems that can be tackled by quantum computers. These include Koopman–von Neumann formulation, Quantum nonlinear Schrödinger linearization formulation and Carleman linearization, amongst others.

- **Koopman–von Neumann formulation** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [Jos20; JLY22c], [Num](#) [Lin+22].

Koopman–von Neumann mechanics is a description of classical mechanics embedded in a Hilbert space. The dynamical equation can be written as $i\partial_t \psi = \mathcal{H}_{\text{KvN}} \psi$, where the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\text{KvN}} = -i \sum_j \left(F_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} \right)$. Furthermore, the probability density is interpreted as $\rho = |\psi|^2$. Applications may include the Vlasov-Maxwell coupled system of equations.

- **Quantum nonlinear Schrödinger linearization formulation** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [Llo+20]. Formulation of ODEs/PDEs of the type $dx/dt + f(x)x = b(t)$, with $f = x^{\dagger \otimes m} F x^{\otimes m}$, as nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Potential applications of the method may include the Navier-Stokes equation, plasma hydrodynamics, epidemiology.
- **Madelung transform for nonlinear relativistic fluids** [Num](#) [Hat+19], [NISQ](#) [Exp](#) [Zyl+22]. The Madelung equations are an alternative formulation to the Schrödinger equation, written in terms of hydrodynamical variables, with the addition of the Bohm quantum potential Q

$$\partial_t \rho_m + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dt} = \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{m} \nabla(Q + V)$$

Applications include modeling of shocks in plasmas.

- **Finite element method** [FTol](#) [Theo](#) [MP16]. This approach relies on dividing a system/domain into smaller regions called finite elements. The discretization process applied to a boundary value problem leads to a system of algebraic equations, which can be less computationally expensive to resolve than the original PDE. Important applications include fluid flow, heat transfer, and electromagnetic potentials.
- **Lattice Boltzmann algorithms** [FTol](#) [Num](#) [Lju22]. Originally developed as a classical algorithm, this approach can be used to simulate fluid dynamics without having to solve the Navier–Stokes equations directly. The fluid density is represented on a lattice and evolves in time with streaming and collision processes. One of the advantages of the method is its efficiency/scalability in parallel architectures.
- **Quantum lattice algorithms** [QIns](#) [Num](#) [And+22; Kou+22; Oga+18; Ram+21; Vah+20a; Vah+20b; Vah+21a; Vah+21b; Vah+22; VSV20; VVS20; Vah+21c; Vah+20c; Vah+19; Yep02; Yep05; Yep16; VYV03; Vah+11; Vah+10; Oga+16a; Oga+16b; Oga+15; Shi+18]. Highly parallelizable approach amenable to classical supercomputers, allowing the study of (Klein-Gordon-)Maxwell’s equations, the Gross-Pitaevski equation, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation, and the KdV equation. In some cases, the method may also be suitable for fault-tolerant quantum computers.

5 Conclusions

This paper presents the *Living Review of Quantum Computing for Plasma Physics*, which will be regularly updated with the latest research papers from open repositories and scientific journals. The community is invited to participate in the project at any time. Quantum computing has the potential to significantly speed up simulations of plasma physics systems, and it is hoped that this Living Review will serve as a valuable resource for keeping up with the rapid advances in the field.

6 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Marija Vranic, Akshat Kumar, Luís Oliveira e Silva and Yasser Omar for fruitful discussions and the support given. We also thank Miguel Murça, Duarte Magano, Sagar Pratapsi, Gonçalo Vaz, Pablo Bilbao, Chiara Badiali and Tiago Martins for useful feedback. DC acknowledges the support from FCT through scholarship UI/BD/152301/2021 and OA acknowledges the support from FCT through scholarship UI/BD/153735/2022.

References

- [AK22] Mazen Ali and Matthias Kabel. *A Performance Study of Variational Quantum Algorithms for Solving the Poisson Equation on a Quantum Computer*. Nov. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2211.14064. arXiv: 2211.14064 [quant-ph].
- [Alb+22] Anton Simen Albino et al. *Solving Partial Differential Equations on Near-Term Quantum Computers*. Aug. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2208.05805. arXiv: 2208.05805 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Alg+22] Hedayat Alghassi et al. “A Variational Quantum Algorithm for the Feynman-Kac Formula”. In: *Quantum* 6 (June 2022), p. 730. DOI: 10.22331/q-2022-06-07-730.
- [An+21] Dong An et al. “Quantum-Accelerated Multilevel Monte Carlo Methods for Stochastic Differential Equations in Mathematical Finance”. In: *Quantum* 5 (June 2021), p. 481. ISSN: 2521-327X. DOI: 10.22331/q-2021-06-24-481.
- [An+22] Dong An et al. “Efficient Quantum Algorithm for Nonlinear Reaction-Diffusion Equations and Energy Estimation”. In: (2022). DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2205.01141.
- [And+22] Paul Anderson et al. *Some Comments on Unitary Qubit Lattice Algorithms for Classical Problems*. Nov. 2022. arXiv: 2211.16661 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Arr+19] Juan Miguel Arrazola et al. “Quantum Algorithm for Nonhomogeneous Linear Partial Differential Equations”. In: *Physical Review A* 100.3 (Sept. 2019), p. 032306. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.100.032306.
- [Ber+17] Dominic W. Berry et al. “Quantum Algorithm for Linear Differential Equations with Exponentially Improved Dependence on Precision”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 356.3 (Dec. 2017), pp. 1057–1081. ISSN: 0010-3616, 1432-0916. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-017-3002-y.
- [Ber14] Dominic W Berry. “High-Order Quantum Algorithm for Solving Linear Differential Equations”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 47.10 (Mar. 2014), p. 105301. ISSN: 1751-8113, 1751-8121. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8113/47/10/105301.
- [BL22] Ajinkya Borle and Samuel J. Lomonaco. *How Viable Is Quantum Annealing for Solving Linear Algebra Problems?* June 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.10576. arXiv: 2206.10576 [quant-ph].

- [Bra+20] Carlos Bravo-Prieto et al. *Variational Quantum Linear Solver*. June 2020. arXiv: 1909.05820 [quant-ph].
- [Cao+13] Yudong Cao et al. “Quantum Algorithm and Circuit Design Solving the Poisson Equation”. In: *New Journal of Physics* 15.1 (Jan. 2013), p. 013021. ISSN: 1367-2630. DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/15/1/013021.
- [Cha+19] Chia Cheng Chang et al. “Quantum Annealing for Systems of Polynomial Equations”. In: *Scientific Reports* 9.1 (July 2019), p. 10258. ISSN: 2045-2322. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-46729-0.
- [CJO19] Pedro C. S. Costa, Stephen Jordan, and Aaron Ostrander. “Quantum Algorithm for Simulating the Wave Equation”. In: *Physical Review A* 99.1 (Jan. 2019), p. 012323. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.99.012323.
- [CJS13] B. D. Clader, B. C. Jacobs, and C. R. Sprouse. “Preconditioned Quantum Linear System Algorithm”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 110.25 (June 2013), p. 250504. ISSN: 0031-9007, 1079-7114. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.250504.
- [CKS17] Andrew M. Childs, Robin Kothari, and Rolando D. Somma. “Quantum Algorithm for Systems of Linear Equations with Exponentially Improved Dependence on Precision”. In: *SIAM Journal on Computing* 46.6 (Jan. 2017), pp. 1920–1950. ISSN: 0097-5397, 1095-7111. DOI: 10.1137/16M1087072.
- [CL20] Andrew M. Childs and Jin-Peng Liu. “Quantum Spectral Methods for Differential Equations”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 375.2 (Apr. 2020), pp. 1427–1457. ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-020-03699-z.
- [CLO21] Andrew M. Childs, Jin-Peng Liu, and Aaron Ostrander. “High-Precision Quantum Algorithms for Partial Differential Equations”. In: *Quantum* 5 (Nov. 2021), p. 574. ISSN: 2521-327X. DOI: 10.22331/q-2021-11-10-574. arXiv: 2002.07868 [quant-ph].
- [CS22] Juan Carlos Criado and Michael Spannowsky. *Qade: Solving Differential Equations on Quantum Annealers*. Apr. 2022. arXiv: 2204.03657 [hep-ph, physics:hep-th, physics:quant-ph].
- [Cui+22] Guolong Cui et al. “Optimization and Noise Analysis of the Quantum Algorithm for Solving One-Dimensional Poisson Equation”. In: *Quantum Information and Computation* 22.7&8 (May 2022), pp. 569–593. ISSN: 15337146. DOI: 10.26421/QIC22.7-8-2.
- [Dem+22] Reuben Demirdjian et al. *Variational Quantum Solutions to the Advection-Diffusion Equation for Applications in Fluid Dynamics*. Aug. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2208.11780. arXiv: 2208.11780 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [DS21a] I. Y. Dodin and E. A. Startsev. “On Applications of Quantum Computing to Plasma Simulations”. In: *Physics of Plasmas* 28.9 (Sept. 2021), p. 092101. ISSN: 1070-664X. DOI: 10.1063/5.0056974.

- [DS21b] I. Y. Dodin and E. A. Startsev. *Quantum Computation of Nonlinear Maps*. May 2021. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2105.07317. arXiv: 2105.07317 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [ESP19] Alexander Engel, Graeme Smith, and Scott E. Parker. “Quantum Algorithm for the Vlasov Equation”. In: *Physical Review A* 100.6 (Dec. 2019), p. 062315. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.100.062315.
- [ESP21] Alexander Engel, Graeme Smith, and Scott E. Parker. “Linear Embedding of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems and Prospects for Efficient Quantum Algorithms”. In: *Physics of Plasmas* 28.6 (June 2021), p. 062305. ISSN: 1070-664X. DOI: 10.1063/5.0040313.
- [Ewe+22] Wei-Bin Ewe et al. “Variational Quantum-Based Simulation of Waveguide Modes”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques* 70.5 (May 2022), pp. 2517–2525. ISSN: 1557-9670. DOI: 10.1109/TMTT.2022.3151510.
- [FJO21] Filipe Fontanela, Antoine Jacquier, and Mugad Oumgari. “Short Communication: A Quantum Algorithm for Linear PDEs Arising in Finance”. In: *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics* 12.4 (Jan. 2021), SC98–SC114. ISSN: 1945-497X. DOI: 10.1137/21M1397878.
- [FLT22] Di Fang, Lin Lin, and Yu Tong. *Time-Marching Based Quantum Solvers for Time-Dependent Linear Differential Equations*. Aug. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2208.06941. arXiv: 2208.06941 [quant-ph].
- [FN21] Matthew Feickert and Benjamin Nachman. *A Living Review of Machine Learning for Particle Physics*. 2021. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2102.02770. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.02770>.
- [Gai20] Frank Gaitan. “Finding Flows of a Navier–Stokes Fluid through Quantum Computing”. In: *npj Quantum Information* 6.1 (July 2020), pp. 1–6. ISSN: 2056-6387. DOI: 10.1038/s41534-020-00291-0.
- [Gar21] Juan José García-Ripoll. “Quantum-Inspired Algorithms for Multivariate Analysis: From Interpolation to Partial Differential Equations”. In: *Quantum* 5 (Apr. 2021), p. 431. ISSN: 2521-327X. DOI: 10.22331/q-2021-04-15-431. arXiv: 1909.06619.
- [GRG22] Paula García-Molina, Javier Rodríguez-Mediavilla, and Juan José García-Ripoll. “Quantum Fourier Analysis for Multivariate Functions and Applications to a Class of Schrödinger-Type Partial Differential Equations”. In: *Physical Review A* 105.1 (Jan. 2022), p. 012433. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.105.012433.
- [Hat+19] Mohamed Hatifi et al. “Quantum Walk Hydrodynamics”. In: *Scientific Reports* 9.1 (Feb. 2019), p. 2989. ISSN: 2045-2322. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-40059-x.
- [HBR21] Hsin-Yuan Huang, Kishor Bharti, and Patrick Rebentrost. “Near-Term Quantum Algorithms for Linear Systems of Equations with Regression Loss Functions”. In: *New Journal of Physics* 23.11 (Nov. 2021), p. 113021. ISSN: 1367-2630. DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/ac325f.

- [HHL09] Aram W. Harrow, Avinatan Hassidim, and Seth Lloyd. “Quantum Algorithm for Linear Systems of Equations”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 103.15 (Oct. 2009), p. 150502. ISSN: 0031-9007, 1079-7114. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.150502.
- [JL22] Shi Jin and Nana Liu. *Quantum Algorithms for Computing Observables of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*. Feb. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2202.07834. arXiv: 2202.07834 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [JLL22] Shi Jin, Xiantao Li, and Nana Liu. “Quantum Simulation in the Semi-Classical Regime”. In: *Quantum* 6 (June 2022), p. 739. DOI: 10.22331/q-2022-06-17-739.
- [JLY22a] Shi Jin, Nana Liu, and Yue Yu. *Quantum Simulation of Partial Differential Equations via Schrodingerisation*. Dec. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2212.13969. arXiv: 2212.13969 [quant-ph].
- [JLY22b] Shi Jin, Nana Liu, and Yue Yu. *Quantum Simulation of Partial Differential Equations via Schrodingerisation: Technical Details*. Dec. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2212.14703. arXiv: 2212.14703 [quant-ph].
- [JLY22c] Shi Jin, Nana Liu, and Yue Yu. “Time Complexity Analysis of Quantum Algorithms via Linear Representations for Nonlinear Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations”. In: (2022). DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2209.08478.
- [JLY22d] Shi Jin, Nana Liu, and Yue Yu. “Time Complexity Analysis of Quantum Difference Methods for Linear High Dimensional and Multiscale Partial Differential Equations”. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 471 (Dec. 2022), p. 111641. ISSN: 00219991. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcp.2022.111641.
- [Jos+22] I. Joseph et al. *Quantum Computing for Fusion Energy Science Applications*. Dec. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2212.05054. arXiv: 2212.05054 [math-ph, physics:physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Jos20] Ilon Joseph. “Koopman–von Neumann Approach to Quantum Simulation of Nonlinear Classical Dynamics”. In: *Physical Review Research* 2.4 (Oct. 2020), p. 043102. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043102.
- [Jou22] Loïc Joubert-Doriol. “A Variational Approach for Linearly Dependent Moving Bases in Quantum Dynamics: Application to Gaussian Functions”. In: *arXiv:2205.02358 [physics, physics:quant-ph]* (May 2022). arXiv: 2205.02358 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Kou+22] Efstratios Koukoutsis et al. *Dyson Maps and Unitary Evolution for Maxwell Equations in Tensor Dielectric Media*. Sept. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2209.08523. arXiv: 2209.08523 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [KPE21] Oleksandr Kyriienko, Annie E. Paine, and Vincent E. Elfving. “Solving Nonlinear Differential Equations with Differentiable Quantum Circuits”. In: *Physical Review A* 103.5 (May 2021), p. 052416. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.103.052416.

- [Kro22] Hari Krovi. “Improved Quantum Algorithms for Linear and Nonlinear Differential Equations”. In: *arXiv:2202.01054 [physics, physics:quant-ph]* (Feb. 2022). arXiv: 2202.01054 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Kub+20] Kenji Kubo et al. “Variational Quantum Simulations of Stochastic Differential Equations”. In: *arXiv:2012.04429 [quant-ph]* (Dec. 2020). arXiv: 2012.04429 [quant-ph].
- [Lap22] Leigh Lapworth. *A Hybrid Quantum-Classical CFD Methodology with Benchmark HHL Solutions*. June 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.00419. arXiv: 2206.00419 [quant-ph].
- [LEK22] Fong Yew Leong, Wei-Bin Ewe, and Dax Enshan Koh. “Variational Quantum Evolution Equation Solver”. In: *Scientific Reports* 12.1 (Dec. 2022), p. 10817. ISSN: 2045-2322. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-14906-3. arXiv: 2204.02912 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Lin+22] Yen Ting Lin et al. *Koopman von Neumann Mechanics and the Koopman Representation: A Perspective on Solving Nonlinear Dynamical Systems with Quantum Computers*. Feb. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2202.02188. arXiv: 2202.02188 [quant-ph].
- [Liu+21a] Hai-Ling Liu et al. “Variational Quantum Algorithm for the Poisson Equation”. In: *Physical Review A* 104.2 (Aug. 2021), p. 022418. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.104.022418.
- [Liu+21b] Jin-Peng Liu et al. “Efficient Quantum Algorithm for Dissipative Nonlinear Differential Equations”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118.35 (Aug. 2021), e2026805118. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2026805118.
- [Liu+22] Y. Y. Liu et al. “Application of a Variational Hybrid Quantum-Classical Algorithm to Heat Conduction Equation and Analysis of Time Complexity”. In: *Physics of Fluids* 34.11 (Nov. 2022), p. 117121. ISSN: 1070-6631. DOI: 10.1063/5.0121778.
- [Lju22] Budinski Ljubomir. “Quantum Algorithm for the Navier–Stokes Equations by Using the Streamfunction-Vorticity Formulation and the Lattice Boltzmann Method”. In: *International Journal of Quantum Information* 20.02 (Mar. 2022), p. 2150039. ISSN: 0219-7499. DOI: 10.1142/S0219749921500398.
- [Llo+20] Seth Lloyd et al. *Quantum Algorithm for Nonlinear Differential Equations*. Dec. 2020. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2011.06571. arXiv: 2011.06571 [nlin, physics:quant-ph].
- [LMS22] Noah Linden, Ashley Montanaro, and Changpeng Shao. “Quantum vs. Classical Algorithms for Solving the Heat Equation”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* (Aug. 2022). ISSN: 1432-0916. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-022-04442-6.
- [LO08] Sarah K. Leyton and Tobias J. Osborne. *A Quantum Algorithm to Solve Nonlinear Differential Equations*. Dec. 2008. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.0812.4423. arXiv: 0812.4423 [quant-ph].

- [Lub+20] Michael Lubasch et al. “Variational Quantum Algorithms for Nonlinear Problems”. In: *Physical Review A* 101.1 (Jan. 2020), p. 010301. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.101.010301.
- [MK22] Koichi Miyamoto and Kenji Kubo. “Pricing Multi-Asset Derivatives by Finite-Difference Method on a Quantum Computer”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering* 3 (2022), pp. 1–25. ISSN: 2689-1808. DOI: 10.1109/TQE.2021.3128643.
- [MP16] Ashley Montanaro and Sam Pallister. “Quantum Algorithms and the Finite Element Method”. In: *Physical Review A* 93.3 (Mar. 2016), p. 032324. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.93.032324.
- [MS21] Philip Mocz and Aaron Szasz. “Toward Cosmological Simulations of Dark Matter on Quantum Computers”. In: *The Astrophysical Journal* 910.1 (Mar. 2021), p. 29. ISSN: 0004-637X. DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/abe6ac.
- [NDS22] I. Novikau, I. Y. Dodin, and E. A. Startsev. *Simulation of Linear Non-Hermitian Boundary-Value Problems with Quantum Singular Value Transformation*. Dec. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2212.09113. arXiv: 2212.09113 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [NSD22] I. Novikau, E. A. Startsev, and I. Y. Dodin. “Quantum Signal Processing for Simulating Cold Plasma Waves”. In: *Physical Review A* 105.6 (June 2022), p. 062444. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.105.062444.
- [Oga+15] Armen Oganessov et al. “Unitary Quantum Lattice Gas Algorithm Generated from the Dirac Collision Operator for 1D Soliton–Soliton Collisions”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 170.1 (Jan. 2015), pp. 55–64. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2014.988625.
- [Oga+16a] Armen Oganessov et al. “Benchmarking the Dirac-generated Unitary Lattice Qubit Collision-Stream Algorithm for 1D Vector Manakov Soliton Collisions”. In: *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 72.2 (July 2016), pp. 386–393. ISSN: 08981221. DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2015.06.001.
- [Oga+16b] Armen Oganessov et al. “Imaginary Time Integration Method Using a Quantum Lattice Gas Approach”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 171.1-2 (Feb. 2016), pp. 96–102. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2015.1137916.
- [Oga+18] Armen Oganessov et al. “Effect of Fourier Transform on the Streaming in Quantum Lattice Gas Algorithms”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 173.3-4 (Apr. 2018), pp. 169–174. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2018.1462364.
- [OMa+22] Daniel O’Malley et al. “A Near-Term Quantum Algorithm for Solving Linear Systems of Equations Based on the Woodbury Identity”. In: *arXiv:2205.00645 [quant-ph]* (May 2022). arXiv: 2205.00645 [quant-ph].
- [Oz+21] Furkan Oz et al. “Solving Burgers’ Equation with Quantum Computing”. In: *Quantum Information Processing* 21.1 (Dec. 2021), p. 30. ISSN: 1573-1332. DOI: 10.1007/s11128-021-03391-8.

- [Pat+22] Raj Patel et al. *Quantum-Inspired Tensor Neural Networks for Partial Differential Equations*. Aug. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2208.02235. arXiv: 2208.02235 [cond-mat, physics:physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Ram+21] Abhay K. Ram et al. “Reflection and Transmission of Electromagnetic Pulses at a Planar Dielectric Interface: Theory and Quantum Lattice Simulations”. In: *AIP Advances* 11.10 (Oct. 2021), p. 105116. DOI: 10.1063/5.0067204.
- [Ric+22] Alexandre C. Ricardo et al. “Alternatives to a Nonhomogeneous Partial Differential Equation Quantum Algorithm”. In: *Physical Review A* 106.5 (Nov. 2022), p. 052431. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.106.052431.
- [Sah+22] Kamal K. Saha et al. *Advancing Algorithm to Scale and Accurately Solve Quantum Poisson Equation on Near-term Quantum Hardware*. Oct. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2210.16668. arXiv: 2210.16668 [quant-ph].
- [Sar22] Merey M. Sarsengeldin. “A Hybrid Classical-Quantum Framework for Solving Free Boundary Value Problems and Applications in Modeling Electric Contact Phenomena”. In: *arXiv:2205.02230 [quant-ph]* (May 2022). arXiv: 2205.02230 [quant-ph].
- [Sat+21] Yuki Sato et al. “Variational Quantum Algorithm Based on the Minimum Potential Energy for Solving the Poisson Equation”. In: *Physical Review A* 104.5 (Nov. 2021), p. 052409. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.104.052409.
- [SGS22] Amit Surana, Abeynaya Gnanasekaran, and Tuhin Sahai. *Carleman Linearization Based Efficient Quantum Algorithm for Higher Order Polynomial Differential Equations*. Dec. 2022. arXiv: 2212.10775 [quant-ph].
- [Shi+18] Yuan Shi et al. “Simulations of Relativistic Quantum Plasmas Using Real-Time Lattice Scalar QED”. In: *Physical Review E* 97.5 (May 2018), p. 053206. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.97.053206.
- [Shi+21] Yuan Shi et al. “Simulating Non-Native Cubic Interactions on Noisy Quantum Machines”. In: *Physical Review A* 103.6 (June 2021), p. 062608. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.103.062608.
- [SM21] Changpeng Shao and Ashley Montanaro. “Faster Quantum-Inspired Algorithms for Solving Linear Systems”. In: *arXiv:2103.10309 [quant-ph]* (Mar. 2021). arXiv: 2103.10309 [quant-ph].
- [SS19] Siddhartha Srivastava and Veera Sundararaghavan. “Box Algorithm for the Solution of Differential Equations on a Quantum Annealer”. In: *Physical Review A* 99.5 (May 2019), p. 052355. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.99.052355.
- [SSC21] Adrien Suau, Gabriel Staffelbach, and Henri Calandra. “Practical Quantum Computing: Solving the Wave Equation Using a Quantum Approach”. In: *ACM Transactions on Quantum Computing* 2.1 (Feb. 2021), 2:1–2:35. ISSN: 2643-6809. DOI: 10.1145/3430030.

- [SSO19] Yiğit Subaşı, Rolando D. Somma, and Davide Orsucci. “Quantum Algorithms for Systems of Linear Equations Inspired by Adiabatic Quantum Computing”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 122.6 (Feb. 2019), p. 060504. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.060504.
- [Vah+10] George Vahala et al. “Unitary Quantum Lattice Gas Algorithms for Quantum to Classical Turbulence”. In: *2010 DoD High Performance Computing Modernization Program Users Group Conference*. June 2010, pp. 184–191. DOI: 10.1109/HPCMP-UGC.2010.15.
- [Vah+11] George Vahala et al. “Unitary Qubit Lattice Simulations of Multiscale Phenomena in Quantum Turbulence”. In: *SC ’11: Proceedings of 2011 International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*. Nov. 2011, pp. 1–11. DOI: 10.1145/2063384.2063416.
- [Vah+19] Linda Vahala et al. “Unitary Qubit Lattice Algorithm for Three-Dimensional Vortex Solitons in Hyperbolic Self-Defocusing Media”. In: *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* 75 (Aug. 2019), pp. 152–159. ISSN: 1007-5704. DOI: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2019.03.016.
- [Vah+20a] George Vahala et al. “Building a Three-Dimensional Quantum Lattice Algorithm for Maxwell Equations”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 175.11-12 (Nov. 2020), pp. 986–990. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2020.1845685.
- [Vah+20b] George Vahala et al. *The Effect of the Pauli Spin Matrices on the Quantum Lattice Algorithm for Maxwell Equations in Inhomogeneous Media*. Oct. 2020. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2010.12264. arXiv: 2010.12264 [physics, physics:quant-ph].
- [Vah+20c] George Vahala et al. “Unitary Quantum Lattice Simulations for Maxwell Equations in Vacuum and in Dielectric Media”. In: *Journal of Plasma Physics* 86.5 (Oct. 2020), p. 905860518. ISSN: 0022-3778, 1469-7807. DOI: 10.1017/S0022377820001166.
- [Vah+21a] George Vahala et al. “One- and Two-Dimensional Quantum Lattice Algorithms for Maxwell Equations in Inhomogeneous Scalar Dielectric Media I: Theory”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 176.1-2 (Feb. 2021), pp. 49–63. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2021.1891058.
- [Vah+21b] George Vahala et al. “One- and Two-Dimensional Quantum Lattice Algorithms for Maxwell Equations in Inhomogeneous Scalar Dielectric Media. II: Simulations”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 176.1-2 (Feb. 2021), pp. 64–72. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2021.1891059.
- [Vah+21c] George Vahala et al. *Two Dimensional Electromagnetic Scattering from Dielectric Objects Using Quantum Lattice Algorithm*. SSRN Scholarly Paper. Rochester, NY, Dec. 2021. DOI: 10.2139/ssrn.3996913.
- [Vah+22] George Vahala et al. “Quantum Lattice Representation for the Curl Equations of Maxwell Equations”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 177.1-2 (Feb. 2022), pp. 85–94. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2022.2049784.

- [VSV20] George Vahala, Min Soe, and Linda Vahala. “Qubit Unitary Lattice Algorithm for Spin-2 Bose-Einstein Condensates: II - Vortex Reconnection Simulations and Non-Abelian Vortices”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 175.1-2 (Jan. 2020), pp. 113–119. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2020.1718136.
- [VVS20] George Vahala, Linda Vahala, and Min Soe. “Qubit Unitary Lattice Algorithm for Spin-2 Bose-Einstein Condensates. I – Theory and Pade Initial Conditions”. In: *Radiation Effects and Defects in Solids* 175.1-2 (Jan. 2020), pp. 102–112. ISSN: 1042-0150. DOI: 10.1080/10420150.2020.1718135.
- [VYV03] George Vahala, Jeffrey Yepez, and Linda Vahala. “Quantum Lattice Gas Representation of Some Classical Solitons”. In: *Physics Letters A* 310.2 (Apr. 2003), pp. 187–196. ISSN: 0375-9601. DOI: 10.1016/S0375-9601(03)00334-7.
- [Wan+20] Shengbin Wang et al. “Quantum Fast Poisson Solver: The Algorithm and Complete and Modular Circuit Design”. In: *Quantum Information Processing* 19.6 (Apr. 2020), p. 170. ISSN: 1573-1332. DOI: 10.1007/s11128-020-02669-7.
- [WX22] Hefeng Wang and Hua Xiang. *Efficient Quantum Algorithms for Solving Quantum Linear System Problems*. Aug. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2208.06763. arXiv: 2208.06763 [quant-ph].
- [Xu+21] Xiaosi Xu et al. “Variational Algorithms for Linear Algebra”. In: *Science Bulletin* 66.21 (Nov. 2021), pp. 2181–2188. ISSN: 2095-9273. DOI: 10.1016/j.scib.2021.06.023.
- [Xue+22] Cheng Xue et al. “Quantum Algorithm for Solving a Quadratic Nonlinear System of Equations”. In: *Physical Review A* 106.3 (Sept. 2022), p. 032427. ISSN: 2469-9926, 2469-9934. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevA.106.032427.
- [XWG21] Cheng Xue, Yuchun Wu, and Guoping Guo. “Quantum Newton’s Method for Solving the System of Nonlinear Equations”. In: *SPIN* 11.03 (Sept. 2021), p. 2140004. ISSN: 2010-3247. DOI: 10.1142/S201032472140004X.
- [Yep02] Jeffrey Yepez. *An Efficient and Accurate Quantum Algorithm for the Dirac Equation*. Oct. 2002. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.quant-ph/0210093. arXiv: quant-ph/0210093.
- [Yep05] Jeffrey Yepez. “Relativistic Path Integral as a Lattice-based Quantum Algorithm”. In: *Quantum Information Processing* 4.6 (Dec. 2005), pp. 471–509. ISSN: 1573-1332. DOI: 10.1007/s11128-005-0009-7.
- [Yep16] Jeffrey Yepez. “Quantum Lattice Gas Algorithmic Representation of Gauge Field Theory”. In: *Quantum Information Science and Technology II*. Vol. 9996. SPIE, Oct. 2016, pp. 66–87. DOI: 10.1117/12.2246702.
- [YL22] Erika Ye and Nuno F. G. Loureiro. *A Quantum-Inspired Method for Solving the Vlasov-Poisson Equations*. May 2022. arXiv: 2205.11990 [physics].
- [Zan+21] Benjamin Zanger et al. “Quantum Algorithms for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations via Classical Integration Methods”. In: *Quantum* 5 (July 2021), p. 502. ISSN: 2521-327X. DOI: 10.22331/q-2021-07-13-502. arXiv: 2012.09469 [quant-ph].

- [Zyl+22] Julien Zylberman et al. *Hybrid Quantum-Classical Algorithm for Hydrodynamics*. Feb. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2202.00918. arXiv: 2202.00918 [physics, physics:quant-ph].