

# An Evaluation of Persian-English Machine Translation Datasets with Transformers

**Amir Sartipi**

University of Isfahan

amirsartipi.msc@eng.ui.ac.ir

**Meghdad Dehghan**

University of Isfahan

meghdadd78@gmail.com

**Afsaneh Fatemi**

University of Isfahan

a\_fatemi@eng.ui.ac.ir

## Abstract

Nowadays, many researchers are focusing their attention on the subject of machine translation (MT). However, Persian machine translation has remained unexplored despite a vast amount of research being conducted in languages with high resources, such as English. Moreover, while a substantial amount of research has been undertaken in statistical machine translation for some datasets in Persian, there is currently no standard baseline for transformer-based text2text models on each corpus. This study collected and analysed the most popular and valuable parallel corpora, which were used for Persian-English translation. Furthermore, we fine-tuned and evaluated two state-of-the-art attention-based seq2seq models on each dataset separately (48 results). We hope this paper will assist researchers in comparing their Persian to English and vice versa machine translation results to a standard baseline.




Figure 1: Transformer model architecture

## 1 Introduction

The primary purpose of machine translation is to translate texts from one language to another. Previously a statistical language model used to be considered as the frontier of this task (Brown et al., 1993; Koehn, 2009; Lopez, 2008). However, because of the vast amount of data currently available, neural machine translation (Bahdanau et al., 2015; Kalchbrenner and Blunsom, 2013; Wu et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2014) is now surpassing statistical approaches. Then, a new simple network architecture based solely on attention was proposed by Vaswani et al. (2017) as an alternative to the dominant sequence transduction models based on recurrent and convolutional neural networks. The encoder part

of transformer architecture has been widely used in Devlin et al. (2019) and Liu et al. (2020b) which pre-trained on large amount of unlabeled text. Raffel et al. (2020) examined the landscape of transfer learning strategies for NLP resulting in the emergence of transfer learning as a potent technique in NLP. It presents a system that transforms all language tasks into text-to-text format which is called T5. The mT5 is a multilingual variant of the T5 model that has been pre-trained with a new Common Crawl-based dataset that contains 101 languages (Xue et al., 2021). In order to combat overfitting while training on thousands of tasks, Costa-jussà et al. (2022) proposed multiple architectural and training improvements. They used a human-translated benchmark, Flores-200, to evalu-

ate the performance of over 40,000 different translation directions. Compared to the previous state-of-the-art seq2seq models, their model achieved a 44% improvement in BLEU Score. Both of these two models (google T5 and meta NLLB) utilize the transformer architecture with some changes and improvements in the encoder or the decoder part. The transformer architecture is shown in Figure 1.

The purpose of this paper can be summarized as follows:

1. We review statistical and neural machine translation systems and related datasets.
2. We release all experiments results, including last model checkpoint, best model checkpoint, model prediction, history of training and development phase, and execution times are publicly available in Hugging Face<sup>1</sup> and also codes are available in the GitHub<sup>2</sup> repository.
3. We establish baselines for the Persian-English machine translation task to compare by future research.
4. We investigate the influence of the number of instances on the BLEU score.

The rest of the article is structured in the following manner. In section 2 we summarize prior approaches to translating Persian-English machine translation. Section 3 explains the most popular corpora which are used for experiments. In addition to that, we also provide a detailed analysis of their statistics in this section. An extensive set of experiments with language models are provided in section 4 for each dataset, and they are conducted in both directions. In section 5, the challenges of the study are argued and an analysis of models' predictions is provided. Finally, in Section 6, the conclusions of the study are presented.

## 2 Related Work

As far as previous research is concerned, there have been several studies conducted for English to Arabic (Nagoudi et al., 2022), French (Tian et al., 2022; Dione et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2020a), and Russian (Yu, 2019; Littell et al., 2019), which focus on transformers as a basic architecture and represent

results. However, there are some Persian-English datasets without any results on language models.

In this section we will investigate previous works on Persian-English machine translation. First we consider two statistical and neural approaches to machine translation and introduce recent works on these domains. Then we review the attempts in which parallel corpus for Persian-English machine translation was introduced.

**Baselines on SMT systems.** Results for a Persian-English SMT system were first obtained in the PersianSMT (Pilevar and Faili, 2010). They used a phrased-based SMT system and obtained results on the movie subtitle domain as their parallel corpus's main resource. In addition, Bakhshaei et al. (2010) obtained results for phrase-based Persian-English SMT system. Different values of the SMT system parameters were tested, and the results for each parameter value were compared. Mohaghegh and Sarrafzadeh (2010) and Mohaghegh et al. (2010) achieve results for an SMT system for different sizes of language model corpora. They concluded that training SMT systems with larger corpora led in better results. Mohaghegh et al. (2011) created a combined parallel corpus called NSPEC and obtained better results for their SMT system than their previous work.

Pilevar (2011) created a RBSMT system followed by statistical editing and obtained results for their system. Their new approach outperformed the existing RBSMT systems, yet SMT systems were still more effective than their approach. Mohaghegh (2012) compared two hierarchical (the Joshua) and classical (the Moses) SMT systems. They obtained results for both directions; however, using the hierarchical system only in the English-to-Persian translation direction produced better results.

Jabbari et al. (2012) created a new corpus whose obtained results for SMT systems outperformed the previous ones. Mansouri and Faili (2012) compared several SMT systems and also used a max-ent classifier to refine the existing state-of-the-art SMT system. Rasooli et al. (2013) showed that segmenting Persian verbs is effective and improves the BLEU score. Passban et al. (2015) improved exiting TEP corpus and created TEP++. They also gained results on their new corpus and compared them to other corpora like TEP and Mizan. The findings of their study surpassed previous results on both TEP and Mizan corpora. In their study,

<sup>1</sup><https://huggingface.co/>

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/>

<b>Mizan</b>
<p>"A wonderful privilege it was to be thus admitted into the soul of a man of genius, to be allowed to share the ecstasies and the agonies of his inmost life."</p> <p>چه خوشبختی بزرگی بود که انسان در عمق روح نابغه‌ای نفوذ می‌کرد و در نشئه و شکنجه زندگی درو نیش سهیم می‌گردید.</p>
<b>Bible</b>
<p>Jesus said to them, Can the friends of the bridegroom mourn, as long as the bridegroom is with them? But the days will come when the bridegroom will be taken away from them, and then they will fast.</p> <p>عیسی بدیشان گفت: آیا پسران خانه عروسی، مادامی که داماد با ایشان است، می‌توانند ماتم کنند؟ و لکن ایامی می‌آید که داماد از ایشان گرفته شود؛ در آن هنگام روزه خواهند داشت.</p>
<b>Quran</b>
<p>The Creator of the heavens and the earth -- how should He have a son, seeing that He has no consort, and He created all things, and He has knowledge of everything?</p> <p>نوپدیدآرنده آسمانها و زمین است. چگونه او را فرزندی باشد و حال آنکه برای همسری نبوده است، و هر چیزی را آفریده و او به هر چیزی داناست.</p>
<b>PEPC Bidirectional</b>
<p>Spencer was born on 31 October 1929 in Santa Lucia a historical rione of the city of Naples</p> <p>باد اسپنسر یا کارلو پدرسولی بازیگر ایتالیایی و متولد ۳۱ اکتبر ۱۹۲۹ در ناپل است</p>
<b>PEPC One Directional</b>
<p>The School forms part of the Waterloo campus on the South Bank of the River Thames and is now one of the largest schools in the university</p> <p>مدرسه به شکل بخشی از دانشگاه واترلو در کرانه جنوبی رودخانه تیمز بوده و در حال حاضر یکی از بزرگترین مدارس در دانشگاه کینگز لندن است</p>
<b>TEP</b>
<p>"from false accusing me of things that you know i did not , could not have done ."</p> <p>اتهام دروغی که به من واسه چیزی زدی که خودت میدونی من انجام ندادم ، نمی تونستم انجام بدم ، مبرا کنی .</p>
<b>TEP ++</b>
<p>my father died to uphold the truce with your world . you must honor his noble intentions .</p> <p>پدر من به خاطر حمایت از عهدنامه برای دنیایی شما کشته شد . شما باید به خاطر این کارش به ان افتخار کنید</p>
<b>Open Subtitles</b>
<p>"Had We so wished, nothing could have hindered Us from replacing you by others like yourselves, or transforming you into beings you know nothing about."</p> <p>بر این که امثالان را به جای شما قرار دهیم و شما را در جهان دیگری که نمی‌دانید آفرینش تازه‌ای بخشیم</p>

Figure 2: Examples of English (top) and Persian (bottom) side instances for each dataset

	Persian						English					
	avg	min	max	92%	all	unique	avg	min	max	92%	all	unique
Mizan	13	1	232	26	13,464,236	131,751	13	0	226	26	13,360,397	259,182
Bible	28	3	124	48	1,796,084	18,166	23	2	100	38	1,428,716	40,202
Quran	29	1	373	61	30,235,077	28,380	33	1	772	74	34,227,828	92,976
PEPC Bidirectional	20	7	178	35	4,163,011	169,637	21	7	153	36	4,354,619	142,792
PEPC One Directional	22	7	178	37	3,539,183	158,707	21	7	153	36	3,359,635	138,489
TEP	8	1	37	14	716,113	22,710	7	1	33	14	684,242	36,634
TEP ++	7	1	34	13	4,445,543	92,037	8	0	32	14	4,720,821	57,753
OPUS-100	10	1	1,487	21	10,284,744	155,874	9	1	839	20	9,524,220	342,979

Table 1: General statistics for datasets

	train	dev	test	all
Mizan	1,006,430	5,000	10,166	1,021,596
Bible	51,329	5,000	5,704	62,033
Quran	1,013,756	5,000	10,240	1,028,996
PEPC Bidirectional	175,442	5,000	19,494	199,936
PEPC One Directional	138,005	5,000	15,334	158,339
TEP	72,748	5,000	8,084	85,832
Tep ++	515,925	5,000	57,326	578,251
OPUS-100	1,000,000	2,000	2,000	1,004,000

Table 2: The number of instances in train\dev\test

Kashefi (2018) calculated BLEU score for the SMT system on their represented corpus (Mizan). They achieved results for both in-domain and out-of-domain test sets.

**Baselines on NMT systems.** Several attempts have been made to propose baselines on Persian-English machine translation using neural machine translation systems. Bastan et al. (2017) conducted a study on two tasks of translation and transliteration using a neural machine translation (NMT) system. They used RNNs in the NMT architecture for different numbers of layers. Additionally, they enhanced the results by changing the cost function and preprocessing the Persian corpus. Compared with existing NMT systems, Zare-moodi et al. (2018) and Zaremooodi and Haffari (2018) demonstrated that a multi-task-learning approach improves machine translation results for low-resource languages like Persian. PasriNLU used a neural language model for the first time to do machine translation between Persian and English (Khashabi et al., 2021). They fine-tuned four variations of the Google mT5 text2text model on a part of a benchmark that they created. The training dataset used in the fine-tuning process was integrated from four corpora for generalisation purposes.

### 3 Datasets


The vast majority of research and benchmarks on the machine translation task have been done on the WMT dataset (Bojar et al., 2014). Also there are datasets like OPUS-100 (Zhang et al., 2020) and OpenSubtitles (Lison and Tiedemann, 2016) which contain 60 and 100 languages respectively and are used in the machine translation task for other languages.

For the Persian-English language pair, we have collected nine datasets to be fine-tuned with neural seq2seq and to gain results for each of them. Moreover, ParsiNLU is a set of language understanding tasks, including machine translation, for the Persian language (Khashabi et al., 2021). In the machine translation part of their work, they created a large parallel corpus integrated from several corpora. The training dataset includes four domains: the questions from their question paraphrasing task, the Mizan corpus, the TEP corpus and the Global Voice corpus. The training dataset contains almost 1.6M entries. The evaluation set consists of Quran, Mizan, Bible and QPP datasets and contains about 47k sentences.


Each collected dataset is introduced and their main attributes are investigated as follows.

**Quran.** Quran is primarily an Arabic book which has been translated into many languages. Tiedemann (2012) proposed the Tanzil dataset from the Tanzil project as a part of the OPUS project. This dataset contains 42 languages. The Persian-English language pair of this dataset contains almost 1M sentence pairs and 57.02M words.

**Bible.** Bible is another religious book which has been translated into many languages. As a part of the OPUS project, the Bible dataset was released in 100 languages (Tiedemann, 2012). The Persian-English language pair of this dataset contains almost 62,000 sentence pairs and 2.89M words.



(a) English side



(b) Persian side

Figure 3: Token distribution per sentences for Bible

**TEP.** TEP (Tehran English Persian) is another parallel corpus made from movie subtitles. Almost 21000 subtitle files were collected from Open-subtitles, and only 1200 subtitle file pairs remained after removing duplicate files. The final dataset contains over 550,000 lines of text (Pilevar et al., 2011).

**TEP++.** A refined version of the TEP corpus named TEP++ was introduced by Passban et al. (2015). They reported that the TEP corpus was noisy, and they tried to fix this problem in the new corpus. They also obtained better results for an SMT system by using the TEP++ corpus. This corpus has near 570,000 aligned sentences and near 5M tokens for both Persian and English languages.

**OPUS-100.** OPUS-100 is a concatenation of movie subtitles, GNOME documentation, and Bible datasets that contains 100 languages and 99 language pairs, all of which use English as a source or target language (Zhang et al., 2020).

**PEPC.** PEPC is another parallel corpus for Persian-English language pairs obtained from Wikipedia documents (Karimi et al., 2018). They used bidirectional and one-directional methods to extract documents from Wikipedia, so they proposed two versions of datasets based on the extraction method. The bidirectional PEPC dataset contains near 200,000 sentence pairs, and the one-directional PEPC dataset contains near 160,000 sentence pairs.

**Mizan.** Mizan was the largest Persian corpus at the time it was released. It was created from literature masterpieces. It contains more than one million sentence pairs and over 23M words for both Persian and English (Kashefi, 2018).

We randomly selected an instance from each corpus which is illustrated in Figure 2. It appears that the OPUS-100 dataset places capitalized "We" and "Us," in the middle of a sentence, a dictation mistake in the Persian subtitle, and the word-by-word translation and its meaning is not perfectly aligned. Some sentences are enclosed in quotation marks or start with small letters in English. These features of datasets could affect the evaluation results.

We used SPARK NLP (Kocaman and Talby, 2021) to provide general statistical information about datasets. As a result of this information, parameters such as sequence lengths can be selected more precisely. The max column in table 1 indicates the maximum number of tokens that are allowed in a sentence. Because each dataset contains a few long sequences that can be chosen as outliers and could be simply truncated by a more precise length, this number may not be a good choice. Therefore, for each dataset, we calculated a number which covers 92 percent of datasets. In other words, 92% of sentences have a less or an equal number of tokens. In terms of tokens per sentence, this number is much lower than the maximum. In addition, the table contains both the average and the minimum number of tokens per dataset, as well as the total number of tokens and the total number of unique tokens for both Persian and English corpora.

## 4 Experiments

In order to build our network, we used PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) and Transformers library from Hugging Face (Wolf et al., 2020) as implementation tools.



	EN-FA			FA-EN		
	mt5-small	mt5-base	nllb-distilled	mt5-small	mt5-base	nllb-distilled
<b>Mizan</b>	12.22	12.69	15.00	16.29	16.70	18.05
<b>Bible</b>	13.93	22.06	69.78	16.28	18.83	49.93
<b>Quran</b>	4.79	4.97	18.10	10.39	10.04	27.65
<b>PEPC Bidirectional</b>	7.10	7.21	13.13	10.28	10.22	17.01
<b>PEPC One Directional</b>	5.37	5.71	13.20	8.82	9.85	16.84
<b>TEP</b>	11.70	14.11	16.06	13.63	23.64	26.74
<b>TEP ++</b>	21.02	23.09	26.44	30.14	31.63	35.98
<b>OPUS-100</b>	10.81	10.46	11.62	20.66	20.91	24.16

Table 3: Evaluation of English to Persian (EN-FA) and Persian to English (FA-EN) on the language models

**Datasets’ splits.** Table 2 provides information about the total number of instances and train/dev/test splits of each dataset. We used predefined data splits for OPUS-100 dataset. For others we manually split the whole datasets in train/dev/test splits. First we shuffled whole instances of each dataset to randomize their order. Then, for the datasets with more than one million instances, we chose 1% of whole instances for the test split, 5,000 instances for the dev split and other instances as train split.

**Hyper-Parameters:** Khashabi et al. (2021) use  $1e-3$  learning-rate (lr) for fine-tuning phase. The same lr and fine-tuned models for 7 epochs with ADAMW optimizer was used in this study (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019). In order to select sequence length during the training phase, we considered what sequence length includes 92% of our dataset. Besides the number of sentences versus the number of tokens in each sentence were drawn which allowed us to select reasonable sequence length. Figure 3 shows an example of this illustration for Bible dataset.


**Models** One of the seq2seq models we used is mT5 which has embedding for Persian language. The other text2text model is NLLB which beats previous cutting-edge models. Because of a huge number of parameters and the amount of computation power needed for such models, we just fine-tuned datasets on the 2 Google mT5 variants {mT5 small, mT5 base} and one Facebook NLLB models: {distilled NLLB}. Below we summarize the main attributes of these models

- **Google mT5:** Google T5 model is a text-to-text transformer-based language model. It means that both input and output of this model are text. This model can be used for dif-


ferent tasks such as question answering, machine translation, and text classification. The mT5 version of this model is pre-trained on multi-lingual mC4 data which contains 101 languages including Persian. The mT5-small version of this model is the smallest version with only 300 million parameters. The mT5-base is the second smallest model with 580 million parameters. The largest version of this model has about 13 billion parameters.

- **Meta NLLB:** The NLLB model which is the state-of-the-art text2text model of the time was proposed with the aim of improving the machine translation performance of low-resource languages. It supports embeddings for almost 200 languages. This model also uses a transformer-based architecture and has two types: Dense and MeE. The Dense type is the one that activates all model parameters for each input sequence while the MoE model is the one which activates only a subset of parameters for each input. The NLLB model has 5 variants regarding the size of the parameters. The smallest model has only 600 million parameters and is a Dense model while the largest model which is a MoE model has about 54.5 billion parameters.

**Evaluation metric:** The BLEU score (Papineni et al., 2002) is the most common metric which has been used for evaluating machine translation results for many years. This metric uses combined N-gram precision for different N-gram sizes and a sentence brevity penalty. Due to the variety of configurations for choosing BLEU score parameters, the results of different baselines by researchers are not much reliable to be compared. For example in many researches, the size of maximum N-gram and the tokenization method is not reported. The



(a) English to Persian direction



(b) Persian to English direction

Figure 4: The highest values of BLUE scores according to the datasets' size

sacreBLEU metric was proposed by Post (2018) to tackle some of these problems and establish a standard metric to be comparable in different researches.

**Training process:** We considered one direction for each experiment since a model can be fine-tuned simultaneously in Persian and English. The model was evaluated at the end of each epoch during the training phase. The optimum models were selected based on the value of the evaluation metric on the development dataset. It is important to preprocess data before training the models, but we did not do that since we wanted to establish baselines for these datasets. MT systems can be improved by applying data-cleaning approaches to a dataset.

**Hardware:** Our Google models were fine-tuned with float32 using TITAN RTX and RTX 3090 Ti GPUs. We used a NVIDIA V100 GPU for the Meta model since it requires a higher level of computation power. The latter was fine-tuned using a PyTorch feature known as automatic mixed preci-

sion, which resulted in a reduction in GPU consumption and execution time as opposed to using float16 rather than float32.


**Results** Our fine-tuned models were evaluated using SacreBLEU as the evaluation metric. As a result of limited computation power, the maximum sequence length of predicted sentences was smaller than this value for test data. It is not possible to compare real test data with predicted instances with precision. In order to resolve this issue, we truncated test instances that exceeded the maximum sequence length of predicted sentences before calculating the score. Table 3 shows the value of SacreBLEU with  $N - gram = 3$ .

The value of N-grams is an important factor in determining the final BLEU score. This metric utilizes N-grams as contiguous sequences of  $\{N\}$  items from a given text sample. To avoid ambiguity and make the results comparable with future research, we report the BLEU measure for  $\{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ . Figure 5 illustrates the relationship between N-grams and scores for three models in order to compare their performance and determine the impact of N-grams on their performance. As expected, the results for greater N-grams are lower compared to the smaller ones. In all of the datasets, the Meta NLLB model outperformed both variants of the Google mT5 models.


**Model Evaluation** 7 shows detailed information on experiments about training and validation perplexities, and development BLEU scores during training.

Training perplexities decreased dramatically from epoch one to two and then followed a gradual decline until epoch seven. However, validation perplexities decreased more rapidly from epoch one to two, and after that, they gradually declined. In some models, this value starts to rise, and models become overfit. Perplexity values in this phase have huge values at the beginning, but they drop after one epoch.


To demonstrate changes in the value of BLEU scores during the training phase and comprehension of the models' performance on each dataset separately, we calculated this value for the development sets per epoch. Most models experience a steady increase, and then tend to decrease or remain flat at this value. However, in three experiments including PEPC bidirectional for mt5-small-fa-en and mt5-base-fa-en, and one directional for mt5-




(a) Mizan



(b) Bible




(c) Quran




(d) PEPC Bidirectional

Figure 5: BLEU Score results for different ngrams separated by translation direction (left side English to Persian and right side Persian to English) and model **First part**







(e) PEPC One Directional



(f) TEP



(g) TEP ++



(h) OPUS-100

Figure 5: BLEU Score results for different ngrams separated by translation direction (left side English to Persian and right side Persian to English) and model **Second part**




Figure 6: The impact of the number of training instances on the evaluation dataset for translating Persian to English on the mT5 small model.

base-en-fa, the evaluation metric dipped at epoch 2 and recovered quickly.

## 5 Discussion

In this section, some insights into the experiment’s outcomes are provided. Additionally, we discuss the quality of the experimented datasets in terms of the number of instances. Figure 6 shows the maximum BLEU scores for each dataset as a function of the datasets’ size in both directions which provides better comparisons of the results.

Generally, datasets like Quran, OPUS-100, and Mizan, with more than one million instances, have received lower or almost the same BLEU score compared to smaller datasets, such as Bible, TEP, TEP++, and PEPC variants.

In comparison to the TEP, the TEP++ dataset achieved a higher score, suggesting that refining noisy instances and increasing the number of instances had a positive impact on the dataset results. In contrast, PEPC dataset variations did not show significant differences between their scores.

Although the Bible is the smallest dataset regarding the total number of instances, it achieved the highest score among all in both translation directions. Another point to be mentioned is that the average sequence length of instances in this dataset is the second largest after the Quran’s average sequence length, but the scores are highly lower for the Quran.

**Quality or Quantity?** According to the traditional method of improving machine translation results, increasing the size of the training data is expected to increase the value of BLUE score. How-

ever, this study indicates that datasets with a higher number of instances tend to achieve lower BLEU scores than datasets with a lower number of instances. Consequently, the quality of the data used for the fine-tuning phase could be more critical than the number of instances. Regarding quality, mistakes in dictation, translations that are not aligned, punctuation errors, and the incorrect word orders in the source and destination directions could change the concept and have a negative effect on the final evaluation value.

Three datasets with more than one million instances were tested to demonstrate how the number of training samples affects the value evaluation metric. From those datasets, we sampled 40k and 80k instances and fine-tuned the Google mT5 small model. Based on this experiment, figure 6 shows that by increasing the number of instances, the model shows better results.

**Translation Direction** The general order of obtained BLEU scores in both directions is almost identical. There are a few factors we should take into account. The Bible dataset represented the highest BLEU score in both directions. However, in the English-to-Persian direction, the OPUS-100 dataset had the lowest BLEU score, and the one-directional PEPC dataset had the lowest BLEU score in Persian-to-English direction. Although almost all datasets performed better in Persian-to-English translation, the Bible dataset performed significantly better in English-to-Persian translation by near 20% higher BLEU score. In the Persian-to-English translation, the OPUS-100 dataset performs significantly better than the Mizan dataset, while in the opposite direction, the Mizan dataset shows greater performance.

## 6 Conclusion

In this study, we reviewed a majority of Persian-English parallel corpora and established standard baselines for eight datasets. The datasets are evaluated using two multilingual seq2seq models based on a transformer architecture. Our analysis of 48 experiments indicates that the Bible and PEPC datasets have the highest and lowest BLEU scores, respectively. Additionally, we conclude that Meta’s basic variant outperforms previous transformer-based approaches by a significant margin. The findings also indicate that in most experiments, the evaluation metric for translation from Persian to English is higher than the evaluation metric for







Figure 7: BLEU scores, training perplexities, and validation perplexities for each dataset. **First part**




(e) PEPC One Directional



(f) TEP



(g) TEP++



(h) OPUS-100

Figure 7: BLEU scores, training perplexities, and validation perplexities for each dataset. **Second part**

translation from English to Persian. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that represents baselines for each dataset separately by seq2seq models. We hope that this research will assist researchers to compare their methods with the baselines and evaluate them specifically for the Persian language.

## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Simorgh Supercomputer - Amirkabir University of Technology under Contract No ISI-DCE-DOD-Cloud-900808-1700.

## References

- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2015. [Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate](#). In *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings*.
- Somayeh Bakhshaei, Shahram Khadivi, Noushin Riahi, and Hossein Sameti. 2010. [A study to find influential parameters on a farsi-english statistical machine translation system](#). In *2010 5th International Symposium on Telecommunications*, pages 985–991.
- Mohaddeseh Bastan, Shahram Khadivi, and Mohammad Mehdi Homayounpour. 2017. [Neural machine translation on scarce-resource condition: A case-study on persian-english](#). In *2017 Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering (ICEE)*, pages 1485–1490.
- Ondřej Bojar, Christian Buck, Christian Federmann, Barry Haddow, Philipp Koehn, Johannes Leveling, Christof Monz, Pavel Pecina, Matt Post, Herve Saint-Amand, Radu Soricut, Lucia Specia, and Aleš Tamchyna. 2014. [Findings of the 2014 workshop on statistical machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the Ninth Workshop on Statistical Machine Translation*, pages 12–58, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Peter F. Brown, Stephen A. Della Pietra, Vincent J. Della Pietra, and Robert L. Mercer. 1993. [The mathematics of statistical machine translation: Parameter estimation](#). *Computational Linguistics*, 19(2):263–311.
- Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Dzmitry Bahdanau, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. [On the properties of neural machine translation: Encoder–decoder approaches](#). In *Proceedings of SSST-8, Eighth Workshop on Syntax, Semantics and Structure in Statistical Translation*, pages 103–111, Doha, Qatar. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Marta R Costa-jussà, James Cross, Onur Çelebi, Maha Elbayad, Kenneth Heafield, Kevin Heffernan, Elahe Kalbassi, Janice Lam, Daniel Licht, Jean Mailhard, et al. 2022. [No language left behind: Scaling human-centered machine translation](#). *arXiv e-prints:2207.04672v3*, pages arXiv–2207.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Cheikh M. Bamba Dione, Alla Lo, Elhadji Mamadou Nguer, and Sileye Ba. 2022. [Low-resource neural machine translation: Benchmarking state-of-the-art transformer for Wolof<->French](#). In *Proceedings of the Thirteenth Language Resources and Evaluation Conference*, pages 6654–6661, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Fattaneh Jabbari, Somayeh Bakshaei, Seyyed Mohammad Mohammadzadeh Ziabary, and Shahram Khadivi. 2012. [Developing an open-domain English-Farsi translation system using AFEC: Amirkabir bilingual Farsi-English corpus](#). In *Fourth Workshop on Computational Approaches to Arabic-Script-based Languages*, pages 17–23, San Diego, California, USA. Association for Machine Translation in the Americas.
- Nal Kalchbrenner and Phil Blunsom. 2013. [Recurrent continuous translation models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1700–1709, Seattle, Washington, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Akbar Karimi, Ebrahim Ansari, and Bahram Sadeghi Bigham. 2018. [Extracting an English-Persian parallel corpus from comparable corpora](#). In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2018)*, Miyazaki, Japan. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Omid Kashefi. 2018. [Mizan: A large persian-english parallel corpus](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.02107v3*.
- Daniel Khashabi, Arman Cohan, Siamak Shakeri, Pedram Hosseini, Pouya Pezeshkpour, Malihe Alikhani, Moin Aminnaseri, Marzieh Bitaab, Faeze Brahman, Sarik Ghazarian, Mozhddeh Gheini, Arman Kabiri, Rabeeh Karimi Mahabagdi, Omid Memarrast, Ahmadreza Mosallanezhad, Erfan Noury, Shahab Raji, Mohammad Sadegh Rasooli, Sepideh Sadeghi, Erfan Sadeqi Azer, Niloofer Safi Samghabadi, Mahsa Shafaei, Saber Sheybani, Ali Tazarv, and Yadollah Yaghoobzadeh. 2021.



- ParsiNLU: A suite of language understanding challenges for Persian. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 9:1147–1162.
- Veysel Kocaman and David Talby. 2021. [Spark nlp: Natural language understanding at scale](#). *Software Impacts*, page 100058.
- Philipp Koehn. 2009. *Statistical machine translation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pierre Lison and Jörg Tiedemann. 2016. [OpenSubtitles2016: Extracting large parallel corpora from movie and TV subtitles](#). In *Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'16)*, pages 923–929, Portorož, Slovenia. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Patrick Littell, Chi-kiu Lo, Samuel Larkin, and Darlene Stewart. 2019. [Multi-source transformer for Kazakh-Russian-English neural machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume 2: Shared Task Papers, Day 1)*, pages 267–274, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xiaodong Liu, Kevin Duh, Liyuan Liu, and Jianfeng Gao. 2020a. Very deep transformers for neural machine translation. *ArXiv*, abs/2008.07772.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020b. [Ro{bert}a: A robustly optimized {bert} pretraining approach](#).
- Adam Lopez. 2008. Statistical machine translation. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 40(3):1–49.
- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. [Decoupled weight decay regularization](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Amin Mansouri and Heshaam Faili. 2012. [State-of-the-art english to persian statistical machine translation system](#). In *The 16th CSI International Symposium on Artificial Intelligence and Signal Processing (AISP 2012)*, pages 174–179.
- Mahsa Mohaghegh. 2012. [Advancements in english-persian hierarchical statistical machine translation](#). In *NZCSRSC New Zealand Computer Science Research Student Conference*.
- Mahsa Mohaghegh and Abdolhossein Sarrafzadeh. 2010. Performance evaluation of various training data in english-persian statistical machine translation. In *10th International Conference on the Statistical Analysis of Textual Data (JADT 2010)*, Rome, Italy.
- Mahsa Mohaghegh, Abdolhossein Sarrafzadeh, and Tom Moir. 2010. Improved language modeling for english-persian statistical machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Syntax and Structure in Statistical Translation*, pages 75–82.
- Mahsa Mohaghegh, Abdolhossein Sarrafzadeh, and Tom Moir. 2011. [Improving Persian-English statistical machine translation: experiments in domain adaptation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on South Southeast Asian Natural Language Processing (WS-SANLP)*, pages 9–15, Chiang Mai, Thailand. Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing.
- El Moatez Billah Nagoudi, AbdelRahim Elmadany, and Muhammad Abdul-Mageed. 2022. [TURJUMAN: A public toolkit for neural Arabic machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Open-Source Arabic Corpora and Processing Tools with Shared Tasks on Qur'an QA and Fine-Grained Hate Speech Detection*, pages 1–11, Marseille, France. European Language Resources Association.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Wei-Jing Zhu. 2002. [Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Peyman Passban, Andy Way, and Qun Liu. 2015. [Benchmarking SMT performance for Farsi using the TEP++ corpus](#). In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual Conference of the European Association for Machine Translation*, Antalya, Turkey. European Association for Machine Translation.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Kopf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. 2019. [Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library](#). In H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, and R. Garnett, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32*, pages 8024–8035. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Abdol Hamid Pilevar. 2011. [Using statistical post-editing to improve the output of rule-based machine translation system](#). *International Journal of Computer Science and Communication*, 330:330–000.
- Mohammad Taher Pilevar and Heshaam Faili. 2010. [Persiansmt: A first attempt to english-persian statistical machine translation](#). In *JADT*, volume 2010, page 10th.
- Mohammad Taher Pilevar, Heshaam Faili, and Abdol Hamid Pilevar. 2011. [Tep: Tehran english-persian parallel corpus](#). In *Computational Linguistics and Intelligent Text Processing*, pages 68–79, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Matt Post. 2018. [A call for clarity in reporting BLEU scores](#). In *Proceedings of the Third Conference on*

- Machine Translation: Research Papers*, pages 186–191, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2020. [Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer](#). *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(140):1–67.
- Mohammad Sadegh Rasooli, Ahmed El Kholly, and Nizar Habash. 2013. [Orthographic and morphological processing for Persian-to-English statistical machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, pages 1047–1051, Nagoya, Japan. Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing.
- Taoling Tian, Chai Song, Jin Ting, and Hongyang Huang. 2022. [A french-to-english machine translation model using transformer network](#). *Procedia Computer Science*, 199:1438–1443. The 8th International Conference on Information Technology and Quantitative Management (ITQM 2020 2021): Developing Global Digital Economy after COVID-19.
- Jörg Tiedemann. 2012. [Parallel data, tools and interfaces in OPUS](#). In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC’12)*, pages 2214–2218, Istanbul, Turkey. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. [Attention is all you need](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Rémi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander M. Rush. 2020. [Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V. Le, Mohammad Norouzi, Wolfgang Macherey, Maxim Krikun, Yuan Cao, Qin Gao, Klaus Macherey, Jeff Klingner, Apurva Shah, Melvin Johnson, Xiaobing Liu, Lukasz Kaiser, Stephan Gouws, Yoshikiyo Kato, Taku Kudo, Hideto Kazawa, Keith Stevens, George Kurian, Nishant Patil, Wei Wang, Cliff Young, Jason Smith, Jason Riesa, Alex Rudnick, Oriol Vinyals, Greg Corrado, Macduff Hughes, and Jeffrey Dean. 2016. [Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation](#). *CoRR*, abs/1609.08144.
- Linting Xue, Noah Constant, Adam Roberts, Mihir Kale, Rami Al-Rfou, Aditya Siddhant, Aditya Barua, and Colin Raffel. 2021. [mT5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 483–498, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Doron Yu. 2019. [The en-Ru two-way integrated machine translation system based on transformer](#). In *Proceedings of the Fourth Conference on Machine Translation (Volume 2: Shared Task Papers, Day 1)*, pages 434–439, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Poorya Zareemoodi, Wray Buntine, and Gholamreza Haffari. 2018. [Adaptive knowledge sharing in multi-task learning: Improving low-resource neural machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 656–661, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Poorya Zareemoodi and Gholamreza Haffari. 2018. [Neural machine translation for bilingually scarce scenarios: a deep multi-task learning approach](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1356–1365, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Biao Zhang, Philip Williams, Ivan Titov, and Rico Senrich. 2020. [Improving massively multilingual neural machine translation and zero-shot translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 1628–1639, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.