

# Ramification of complex magnetism in $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ observed by Raman scattering spectroscopy

Yuanyuan Xu,<sup>1</sup> Yang Yang,<sup>2</sup> Jérémie Teyssier,<sup>3</sup> Takumi Ohtsuki,<sup>4</sup> Yang Qiu,<sup>4</sup> Satoru Nakatsuji,<sup>1,4,5,6,7</sup> Dirk van der Marel,<sup>3</sup> Natalia B. Perkins,<sup>2</sup> and Natalia Drichko<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Institute for Quantum Matter and Department of Physics and Astronomy,  
Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Quantum Matter Physics, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland*

<sup>4</sup>*Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

<sup>6</sup>*Trans-scale Quantum Science Institute, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan*

<sup>7</sup>*CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan*

(Dated: January 15, 2024)

Using Raman scattering spectroscopy, we uncover a complex magnetic behavior of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , which stands out among magnetic pyrochlores by the lowest temperature of the all-in-all-out (AIAO) Ir moments ordering ( $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K) and the highest temperature at which AIAO order of rare-earth Nd ions is detected ( $T_{\text{Nd}}^* = 15$  K). Our work suggests that in the temperature range between 15 K and 33 K, Nd magnetic moments exhibit characteristic spin ice fluctuations, which indicate a strong enhancement of magnetic interactions between Nd ions. This complex behavior emerges from the interplay of strong spin-orbit coupling, electronic correlations, and geometric frustration on two magnetic pyrochlore sublattices of Nd and Ir ions. The ordering of Ir magnetic moments is accompanied by an appearance of one-magnon Raman modes at 26.3 and 29.6 meV compatible with the AIAO order and of a broad mode at 14 meV, characteristic of the spinon continuum originated from Nd spin ice fluctuations. While two one-magnon excitations show minimal temperature evolution with decreasing temperature, the 14 meV mode shifts to higher frequencies as the temperature approaches a crossover to Nd AIAO order, broadens, and disappears below 15 K. An additional two-magnon excitation of the AIAO Nd order at around 33 meV appears in the spectra at low temperatures. These rather high energies of magnetic excitations of Nd moments make  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  a particularly attractive playground to study the rare-earth magnetism on the pyrochlore lattice.

## INTRODUCTION

Topological magnets provide a fertile platform to study novel phenomena through their nontrivial topological magnetic excitations [1–6]. Among them, magnetic Weyl semimetals with time reversal symmetry (TRS) breaking attract much attention due to their striking properties and a potential for various applications [7–12]. Pyrochlore iridates of general formula  $\text{R}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  with R being a rare earth element Y, Eu, Nd, Sm, or Pr [3, 13–17] were among the first materials predicted to host Weyl fermions [18]. In these materials, a

Weyl semimetal state can be brought about by a splitting of the quadratic band touching node into pairs of Weyl nodes either under TRS breaking produced by magnetic ordering of Ir magnetic moments [18, 19] or by a loss of inversion symmetry center [20]. Particularly, AIAO ordering of  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  magnetic moments below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K [21], which is the lowest temperature of the magnetic ordering of  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  moments among pyrochlore iridates [22–25], preserves cubic symmetry but breaks TRS [26]. There is a set of circumstantial evidence, demonstrating both quadratic band touching in  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  at the  $\Gamma$ -point in the paramagnetic high-temperature regime [27] and signatures of a magnetic Weyl semimetal associated with TRS breaking due to magnetic ordering of Ir moments below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}}$  [25, 28, 29]. Recently we demonstrated that electronic Raman scattering reveals the quadratic bands above  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K and the linear dispersion of Weyl nodes below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}}$  [30].

In  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  not only  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  but also  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions are magnetic with  $J = 9/2$ . Their moments gradually order into AIAO state, which was experimentally observed below the crossover temperature  $T_{\text{Nd}}^* = 15$  K, as reported in previous studies [22, 23, 31]. This temperature stands out as an order of magnitude higher than the ordering temperatures for other Nd-containing pyrochlores [32–35], suggesting a strong coupling between  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  magnetic moments. Specifically, the AIAO order of Ir moments provides local magnetic field [36, 37], gradually inducing the alignment of Nd moments into the AIAO order as the temperature decreases. Similar observations have been also reported in several pyrochlore iridates [38–41], where both the ordering of Ir moments and the subsequent ordering of rare-earth moments have been observed at relatively high temperatures, again driven by the local field of Ir.

In this work we suggest that the energy scale of magnetic excitations within the Nd subsystem indicates a significant renormalization of the magnetic interactions between  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions. This renormalization comes from the additional superexchange paths involving partially filled  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions independent of the ordering of Ir moments. The complex interplay between renormalized exchange couplings of Nd moments, the local field exerted on Nd moments from the ordered AIAO state of Ir moments, and various factors such as strong spin-

orbit coupling (SOC), electronic and magnetic correlations, and band topology collectively determine the unique low-temperature magnetic properties of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ . We present Raman scattering data and model calculations, which together identify a set of magnetic excitations in  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  originated from strongly interacting Ir and Nd magnetic subsystems. We identify the one-magnon excitations from the AIAO ordering of Ir moments appearing below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K, and a band of two-magnon excitations of the AIAO order of Nd moments below the crossover temperature  $T_{\text{Nd}}^* = 15$  K. In the temperature range just below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K we observe a broad Raman excitation at about 14 meV, which shows unconventional temperature behavior, that allows us to associate it with the collective fluctuations of Nd moments, which in this temperature range behave similar to a quantum spin ice (QSI) [42].

Here we also note that the neutron scattering study of the spin dynamics in another Nd-based pyrochlore magnet  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$  at temperatures above Nd ordering [43] has revealed elastic spin ice-like correlations at temperatures above Nd ordering. These findings confirm the existence of a previously proposed Coulomb-like phase in this material, which shares similarities with, but is not identical to, a conventional spin ice phase as discussed in Ref.[44]. This Coulomb phase is known to host gauge and photon-like excitations [45–48], however, detecting their contribution to the Raman response poses a significant challenge.

## RESULTS

Raman scattering spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  in the temperature range from 50 K down to 7 K and spectral range between 3 and 40 meV were measured in  $(x, x)$  ( $A_{1g} + E_g + T_{2g}$  scattering channels) and  $(x, y)$  ( $E_g + T_{2g}$  channels) polarizations in the [111] crystal plane (see Fig. 1 (b,c)). The spectroscopic response of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  and its temperature evolution are very rich, reflecting the complexity of the material. The spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  in the paramagnetic semimetallic phase above 33 K show narrow intense features of phonons above 35 meV superimposed on a broad electronic scattering continuum. The observed phonon spectrum is in agreement with previously observed results from  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  and other pyrochlore iridates [49–52]. Detailed discussions and assignments of the phonons can be found in the SI. The crystal electric field (CEF) excitations reported in previous studies of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  [32, 52–54] are also expected to manifest in the low-temperature Raman spectrum. Indeed, at 35 K we observed a very weak excitation at 25 meV (see Fig. 1 b,c and Fig. 3g), see Section S1.3 of SI for more information. However, the overlap of this CEF excitation with the one magnon excitations M2 and M3, appearing in the spectra below 33 K, poses a challenge in studying its temperature evolution.

A phase transition at  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K is manifested by an appearance of a number of new excitations in the spectra. Excitations at 26.3 and 29.6 meV (M2 and M3) appear in both polarization channels at temperatures slightly above  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}}$ , increase in

intensity below the transition, and show small changes in the position and width on cooling. This temperature evolution of M2 and M3 is consistent with the expected behavior of spin wave modes above a well-defined long-range magnetic order. Note that two one-magnon excitations at similar frequencies, 28.6 meV and 34.4 meV, were also observed  $\text{Y}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  [51].

A pronounced broad mode (M1) emerges below 33 K at 14 meV. This mode is only seen in the  $(x, x)$  channel, as depicted in Fig. 1b. This mode gains intensity on cooling the sample down to approximately 20 K. As the temperature continues to decrease, the mode undergoes a continuous shift towards higher energies, and its width increases, as illustrated in Fig. 1d. However, as the temperature falls below  $T_{\text{Nd}}^* = 15$  K, this robust feature gradually disappears: while at 7 K, the continuum, although of significantly diminished in intensity, remains discernible but at 5 K, as can be seen from the non-polarized Raman spectra presented in Fig. 3g, it totally disappears. Lastly, below 15 K, a weak peak feature (M4) emerges in both scattering channels, with an energy of approximately 33 meV.

The absence of this strong feature at 14 meV (M1) in the  $(x, y)$  scattering channel allows us to follow the change of the continuum of electronic scattering through the phase transition at  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K. The interpretation of the frequency dependence of the electronic continuum in terms of the inter-band excitations between quadratic bands ( $T > T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}}$ ) and Weyl bands ( $T < T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}}$ ) is discussed elsewhere [30].

The temperature dependence of the phonons typically allows to uncover the lattice response to the magnetic ordering and changes in the electronic structure. The largest changes are observed for  $E_g$  phonon at 42 meV (Fig 1 g,h). The changes onset at  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}} = 33$  K marked by the red dashed line in the figure, when the splitting of the  $E_g$  phonon is too small to resolve (Fig 1 g). Below 20K, where the splitting of 2 meV between the components is larger than the line width, we can clearly resolve the doublet. The spectral weight is shifted to the higher frequency component on further cooling [Fig. 1(b,g)] [55].

## DISCUSSION

To understand the Raman spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  below 33 K, we start with analyzing the information on its magnetic response. The data on the low-temperature magnetic order of the Nd and Ir sublattices were obtained by the neutron diffraction [22, 31] and muon-spin relaxation ( $\mu\text{SR}$ ) experiments [23, 24, 56]. While there are discrepancies in the exact size of magnetic moment of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$ , all these studies agree on the AIAO at 2 K. Moreover, there is a consensus that the order starts developing already below 15 K, suggesting that  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments undergo a crossover. Such a high temperature of the ordering of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments suggests that the AIAO structure is stabilized through Nd–Ir superexchange interactions. The magnetic structure of the  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  sublattice is hard to probe by neutrons, however the combined evidence from the reso-




FIG. 1. **a** Schematic structure of the pyrochlore  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , with Nd ions shown in orange and Ir ions shown in grey. **b-c** Temperature dependence of Raman scattering spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  in the spectra range between 3 and 40 meV, at temperatures between 40 K to 7 K. Spectral range below 20 K is limited by 12 meV: **b**  $(x, x)$  polarization,  $A_{1g} + E_g + T_{2g}$  scattering channels. Note a shift of  $\chi''(\omega)$  along  $Y$  axis for clarity; **c**  $(x, y)$  polarization  $E_g + T_{2g}$  scattering channels. Magnetic excitations discussed in the text are labeled M1-M4; **d-f**. Temperature dependence of the position and line width of magnetic excitations M1, M2, and M3 peaks measured in the  $(x, x)$  polarization. The background colors, pink, magenta, and blue, mark, correspondingly, the temperature regions of the paramagnetic phase, Ir AIAO ordered phase, Ir and Nd AIAO ordered phase. **g-h** Temperature dependence of positions and line width of the  $E_g$  phonon and its' two components observed at lower temperatures.

nant X-ray scattering and  $\mu\text{SR}$  spectroscopies of pyrochlore iridates including  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  suggests that  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  moments are ordered in the AIAO, parallel to the surrounding net of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments [23, 24, 57, 58].

**Magnetic excitations of  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions.**—The M2 and M3 modes appear at  $T_{\text{Ir}}^N$  and are likely to originate from the one-magnon excitations of AIAO ordered  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  magnetic moments. To obtain the magnon spectrum, we first derive the superexchange interactions between effective spin-1/2 pseudospins of  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions assuming the perfect octahedral oxygen environment on the pyrochlore lattice and following the steps outlined in [59, 60]. The resulting superexchange Hamiltonian takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Ir-Ir}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_\nu} \left[ J \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + K S_i^{\alpha_\nu} S_j^{\alpha_\nu} + \sigma_\nu \Gamma_{ij} (S_i^{\beta_\nu} S_j^{\gamma_\nu} + S_i^{\gamma_\nu} S_j^{\beta_\nu}) + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j) \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $\nu$  determines the type of the bond [Fig. 2a], and we have  $(\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu, \gamma_\nu) = (x, y, z), (y, z, x), (z, x, y)$  for  $\nu \in (x, x'), (y, y'), (z, z')$ , respectively. The prefactor  $\sigma_\nu$  equals

+1 for  $\nu \in (x, y, z)$  and -1 for  $\nu \in (x', y', z')$ . The form of (6) is also in agreement with the superexchange Hamiltonian obtained from the symmetry consideration in [19]. Given the bond-dependent anisotropy of the Hamiltonian and the non-collinear nature of the AIAO order, the one-magnon response is expected to dominate the low-energy Raman spectrum [61]. The AIAO state on the pyrochlore lattice gives rise to two magnon modes at center of the Brillouin zone (BZ)  $\mathbf{k} = 0$ : one is non-degenerate and the other is three-fold degenerate with the degeneracy protected by the symmetry of the AIAO state on the pyrochlore lattice [62]. The calculated linear spin wave spectra provide a good agreement with the experimental data with the following set of parameters:  $(J, K, \Gamma, D) = (6.1, -5.4, 3.0, 4.1)$  meV, producing  $\Gamma$ -point one magnon modes at 26.3 and 29.6 meV (are shown in Fig. 2b). We computed the one-magnon Raman response within the Loudon-Fleury approach [63], in which the Raman operator mirrors the processes governing exchange interactions but with virtual electron hopping being assisted by photons. It can be written as  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Ir}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}) (\mathbf{e}_{\text{out}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}) \mathcal{H}_{\text{Ir}, ij}$ , where  $\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} (\mathbf{e}_{\text{out}})$  is incoming (outgoing) polarization of light and  $\mathbf{r}_{ij}$  denotes the vector connecting site  $i$  and  $j$  of Ir ions. Traditionally, it was believed that the Loudon-Fleury response results primarily in




FIG. 2. **a.** A tetrahedron formed by  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions: six different types of bonds are denoted by  $x, y, z, x', y',$  and  $z'$ . The surrounding oxygen ions of two neighboring  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions form two tilted corner-sharing octahedra. The angle of Ir-O-Ir is denoted by  $\varphi$ . **b.** Magnon band structure for the AIAO order of Ir moments obtained by the linear spin wave calculations. **c-d.** Comparison of the experimental data ( $T = 20$  K, red line) with the computed one-magnon Raman response (blue line). **c.** Calculation is done for the parallel channel with arbitrary incoming light polarization in the  $[111]$  plane with  $\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}^{\parallel} = \mathbf{e}_{\text{out}}^{\parallel} = (0.80, -0.26, -0.54)$  for the parallel channel. **d.** Calculation is done for the cross polarized channel with  $\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}}^{\perp} = \mathbf{e}_{\text{out}}^{\parallel}, \mathbf{e}_{\text{out}}^{\perp} = (-0.16, 0.77, -0.61)$ .

the two-magnon scattering with  $\Delta S^z = 0$ , involving the creation or destruction of a pair of magnons. However, this perspective is not universally applicable. It was recently shown [61] that in strongly correlated Mott insulators with spin-orbit coupling and bond-dependent anisotropic interactions, a one-magnon response naturally emerges. This is also true here.

Our results for the parallel and the cross channels reproduce well the two bands M2 and M3 (Fig. 2c-d). As a consequence of the 3:1 ratio of degeneracies of the one-magnon excitations at the  $\Gamma$ -point, the computed intensity of the 29.6 meV one-magnon peak is higher than of the 26.3 meV peak, in agreement with the experiment. Moreover, the one-magnon peak M2 corresponds to the 1D irreducible corepresentation (for simplicity, we still refer them as “irrep”) of  $m\bar{3}m'$  magnetic point group, which is derived from the 2D irrep  $E_g$  of the paramagnetic group.

A continuum of two-magnon excitations expected within the Loudon-Fleury theory is absent in the Raman spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  (it was also not seen in  $\text{Eu}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  [50]) despite the flat magnon bands (Fig. 2b) which would result in a peak at around 65 meV. This energy range overlaps with the interband excitations [30], which can mask the observation of the two-magnon band.

**Magnon-spinon dichotomy of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  excitations.**— The M1 excitation at 14 meV appears below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^{\text{N}}$  and remains relatively sharp only in a narrow temperature region (Fig. 1d). We can exclude such origin of M1 as phonons or crystal field excitations of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$ . The lowest crystal field excitation is ob-

served in the Raman spectra above  $T_{\text{Nd}}^{\text{N}}$  and overlaps with M2 magnon at lower temperatures [64]. By selection rules, it is expected to appear in both (x,x) and (x,y) scattering channels (see SI). The large width and strong temperature dependence of M1 can be explained neither in terms of crystal field excitations nor phonons [52]. Thus we can assume that the M1 mode at 14 meV is of magnetic origin. However, it cannot be attributed to the magnetic moments of the Ir ions in the system. The one-magnon excitation M2 and M3 of Ir AIAO order exhibit only minimal modification below 33 K. M1 excitation shows temperature and polarization dependence very distinct from these one-magnon Ir modes. Moreover, M1 mode gradually disappears below the crossover temperature into the AIAO order of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  magnetic moments.

The low-energy dynamics of Nd magnetic moments in  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  was previously examined using inelastic neutron scattering [22]. The study identified a distinct dispersionless mode at approximately 1 meV, which was attributed to the splitting of the ground doublet of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions, caused by the local field from Ir moments in an AIAO state. However, neutron scattering data at higher energies (around 15 meV) remains unreported. A comparison with the much thoroughly studied spin dynamics in  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Zr}_2\text{O}_7$  suggests the potential presence 2I2O spin-ice type fluctuations in  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments above the temperature of the AIAO ordering [43, 65, 66]. Given that the ordering of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments in  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  occurs continuously in a crossover manner, their fluctuations above  $T_{\text{Nd}}^*$  predominantly involve 2I2O magnetic configurations. These fluctuations manifest as collective excitations in the form of the gapped and deconfined spinons as well as emergent gapless gauge modes and emergent photons [42, 45, 48]. The low-energy Raman response of the QSI is expected to be dominated by the spinon excitations revealing themselves in the form of broad two-spinon continuum [42] compatible with the observed M1 mode.

To provide a justification of this conjecture, we study a minimal symmetry allowed nearest-neighbor exchange Hamiltonian for  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions on the pyrochlore lattice given by [67]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd-Nd}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_x \tau_i^x \tau_j^x + J_y \tau_i^y \tau_j^y + J_z \tau_i^z \tau_j^z, \quad (2)$$

where the pseudospin operators  $\tau$  denote the spin matrices describing the  $S_{\text{Nd}} = 9/2$  dipolar-octupolar (DO) doublet degrees of freedom of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions in the local reference frame. Note that here we already took into account the fact that  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_z$  operators have dipolar character and  $\tau_y$  operator is purely octupolar. This distinction implies that coupling terms allowed by symmetry exclusively involve operators of the same character. Eq. (2) is derived by accounting for these considerations. Moreover, this equation is written in the basis in which the  $\tau_x - \tau_z$  coupling is eliminated by an appropriate rotation of the original pseudospin basis.

This simple pyrochlore XYZ model supports both the QSI 2I2O phase ( $J_x \simeq J_y = J_{xy}, J_z > 0$ ) and magnetically ordered AIAO order (however, only at  $J_z < 0$ ). The typical






FIG. 3. **a.** Local environment of Nd-Nd superexchange interaction, blue bonds denote the original superexchange paths without Ir ions and teal bonds indicate additional superexchange paths involve Ir ions. **b.** Schematic representation of Nd-Nd superexchange interaction, the Nd-Nd superexchange (blue) is renormalized by the additional superexchange paths involving Ir ions (teal). **c.** Schematic representation of a Nd ion surrounded by its six nearest neighboring Ir ions, where the directions of the AIAO-ordered Ir moments are given in the global reference frame. **d.** Spinons are created on the diamond lattice (A and B sublattices of Nd tetrahedra are shown in light and dark orange, respectively) formed by the centers of Nd tetrahedra. **e.** A comparison of the experimental data (upper panel) with the computed Raman response from the two-spinon continuum (lower panel). Two-spinon Raman response is computed for the parallel channel (blue curve) for an arbitrary incoming light polarization in the  $[111]$  plane  $[e_{\text{in}}^{\parallel} = e_{\text{out}}^{\parallel} = (0.80, -0.26, -0.54)]$  and for the cross channel (red curve) with  $e_{\text{in}}^{\perp} = (0.80, -0.26, -0.54)$ ,  $e_{\text{out}}^{\perp} = (-0.16, 0.77, -0.61)$ . The results are in a good agreement with the experimental data ( $T = 20$  K), where only the parallel channel gives a strong Raman intensity. **f.** A comparison of the experimental data at  $T = 7$  K (upper panel) with the computed Raman response from the two-magnon excitation of all-in-all-out ordered Nd moments (M4). Two-magnon Raman response computed for the same light polarizations as in **e.** In the experimental data M4 feature is weak compared to other observed excitations. **g.** A summary of experimental Raman spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  in three different states: in paramagnetic semimetallic state at  $T = 35$  K, crystal field excitation (CEF) of Nd is observed at 25 meV, at  $T = 16$  K, where M1 feature of Nd-spinon continuum is observed together with one-magnon excitations of Ir M2, M3; And in the state where both Nd and Ir moments are ordered all-in-all-out at  $T = 5$  K. Note that M2 and M3 one-magnon excitations of Ir are found at the same frequencies as at  $T = 16$  K, while M4 two-magnon excitation of Nd order appears instead of the spinon continuum (insets depict the 2I2O state and AIAO state of Nd moments, respectively). All three plots are showing the Raman response in (x,x) channel.

energy scale of superexchange interactions between Nd magnetic moments, which originates from the overlap between the strongly localized f-orbitals (around  $0.01/S_{\text{Nd}}^2$  meV [68]), is too small to explain both the appearance of an excitation around 14 meV in the 15-33 K temperature range and the 15 K crossover temperature of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  magnetic moments ordering into AIAO. However, the interactions between  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  magnetic moments can lead to a strong renormalization of  $J_z$  and  $J_{xy}$  exchange couplings. The local environment of Nd magnetic moments, schematically depicted in Fig. 3 a-b), shows how partially filled extended 5d orbitals of  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  ions provide many additional superexchange paths between  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions. While the detailed microscopic analysis of the interference between all these paths is beyond the scope of this paper, we assume that they lead to sizable couplings between  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$

magnetic moments.

Besides renormalizing the Nd-Nd interactions, ordered  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  moments provide a local field  $h_{\text{loc}}$  acting on the  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments through the superexchange coupling  $J_{\text{Nd-Ir}}$ , which also contributes to the dynamics of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  moments [22, 36]. In the mean-field sense, this local field is proportional to a net effective magnetic moment  $\langle S_{\text{net, Ir}}^z \rangle$  generated by six Ir moments  $\langle m_{\text{Ir}} \rangle$  neighboring the Nd ion and pointing along the local  $z$  direction (one of the global  $[111]$  axes) on each Nd site [37, 69] [see Fig. 3 c]. The net moment acting on Nd ion is equal to  $\langle S_{\text{net, Ir}}^z \rangle = 2\langle m_{\text{Ir}} \rangle / \mu_B$  because four out of six neighboring Ir moments sum up to zero. Thus, the direct interaction between the Nd moment and the ordered Ir moment

can be described as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd-Ir}} = -h_{\text{loc}} \sum_i \tau_i^z, \quad (3)$$

where the local field  $h_{\text{loc}} = J_{\text{Nd-Ir}} \langle S_{\text{net,Ir}}^z \rangle$  [70]. Because of the strong linear coupling between Ir and Nd magnetic moments, the non-vanishing AIAO order can be induced on Nd sublattice right below 33 K. At temperatures close to 33 K, this order is small due to the smallness of  $\langle S_{\text{net,Ir}}^z \rangle$ , so we start with the coexistence of a majority of 2I2O ice state and a small portion of the AIAO ordered state. In the intermediate temperature range,  $T_{\text{Nd}}^* < T < T_{\text{Ir}}^N$ ,  $\langle S_{\text{net,Ir}}^z \rangle$  increases, more and more Nd moments are driven into the AIAO state by the local field. However, since this process is a crossover, there are always some 2I2O states in the system that are described by Eq.(2), and hence we see gradual disappearance of characteristic behavior for the 2I2O spin ice phase when the temperature is gradually lowered. Notice that while these couplings are mediated by Ir ions [Fig. 3 a], they are not related to the ordering of Ir magnetic moments. The reason why the 2I2O fluctuations are not seen above 33 K (and, consequently, why M1 only appears below 33 K) is because  $T_{\text{Ir}}^N$  is the simultaneous Luttinger semimetal-Weyl semimetal transition, so that at  $T > T_{\text{Ir}}^N$  the lower energy spectral region is dominated by the electronic excitations. The local field increases with growing  $\langle S_{\text{net}}^z \rangle$  on decreasing temperature. It first brings Nd magnetic moments from the 2I2O state into the three-in-one-out (3I1O) or one-in-three-out (1I3O) state and eventually drives them into the AIAO state below 15 K. This process happens not as a sharp transition but as a crossover. We stress that since the Hamiltonian (2) with  $J_z > 0$  doesn't support the AIAO state, the role of the local field  $h_{\text{loc}}$  term is crucial for the mechanism of this 2I2O-AIAO crossover in the Nd subsystem.

To compute the spectrum of the spinon excitations of the exchange Hamiltonian (2) with the renormalized interactions  $J_x = J_y = J_{xy}$  and  $J_z$  we use the slave-particle formulation of Ref.[48] containing both the charge degrees of freedom describing the violations of the ice rules  $Q_{\mathbf{x}}$ , where  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{A}$  or  $\text{B}$  sublattices of the diamond lattice of tetrahedra [see Fig. 3 d], and the spinons degrees of freedom. The spectrum for the spinon excitations is then given by

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = h_{\text{loc}} S_{\text{Nd}} \pm 2J_z S_{\text{Nd}}^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{J_{xy}}{J_z} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{x,y,z} \cos \frac{k_\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{k_\beta}{2}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = x, y, z$  are the three global cubic directions.

The Raman response from the two-spinon excitation continuum in the parallel and cross polarizations shown in the lower panel Fig. 3e [71] is computed using the Raman operator for the QSI derived in Ref. [42].  $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Nd}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [(\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)(\mathbf{e}_{\text{out}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_j)(\mathbf{e}_{\text{out}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_j)] \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}}^{ij}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$  denote the relative position vectors of spinons associated with  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}}^{ij}$  (see Fig. 3d). With the parameters set at  $J_z = 0.148$  meV,  $J_{xy} = -0.037$  meV, and

$h_{\text{loc}} = 1.33$  meV, our results for the two-spinon Raman response give good qualitative description of the M1 band which is shown in the upper panel of Fig. 3e, where only the parallel channel gives a strong Raman intensity.

Next we discuss the Raman response from Nd moments in the AIAO phase below  $T_{\text{Nd}}^*$ , where the M4 mode appears at around 33 meV in both polarizations [see Fig. 1 (b,c) and Fig. 3g]. The absence of  $\tau^z \tau^x$  and  $\tau^z \tau^y$  terms in the effective Hamiltonian (2) rules out the one-magnon excitation origin of M4. From the energy of this mode we assume that it is two-magnon Raman response, and compute two-magnon response for the AIAO state of Nd ions using the nearest-neighbor QSI Hamiltonian in the presence of a local field (3). We set  $h_{\text{loc}} = 1.87$  meV [72] to match the position of the computed excitations to the experimentally observed one. We note that the computed intensity of the two-magnon response has stronger polarization dependence than the experimentally observed one. This might be related to the Loudon-Fleury approximation [63] we used in our calculations. In fact, the Loudon-Fleury form of the Raman operator cannot generate a two-magnon Raman response from the AIAO state of Nd moments, which is a simple ferromagnet with isotropic interactions  $J_x$  and  $J_y$  in the local coordinates. A minimal remedy in our calculation is to introduce an anisotropy that makes  $\delta \equiv |J_x - J_y| \sim 0.0002$  meV. Previously, it has been demonstrated that in systems featuring multiple superexchange paths, the non-Loudon-Fleury terms may give a substantial contribution in the cross-polarization channel [61]. However, considering these terms in this case is a challenging task that lies beyond the scope of this paper.

*Splitting of 42 meV  $E_g$  phonon.*— Lastly, we would like to address the distinctive aspects of phonon dynamics observed in our Raman data. Below  $T_{\text{Nd}}^*$ , the changes in the phonon spectrum become evident, most notably in the  $E_g$  phonon at around 42 meV. This phonon broadens and splits, with spectral weight shifting towards the higher frequency component (see Fig. 1(b,g)). These observations provide insights into how the lattice of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  responds to magnetic ordering and changes in the electronic structure. While a separate study is required to fully understand the lattice response in such a complex system as  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  featuring two interacting magnetic sublattices and a complex electronic structure, here we provide some qualitative description.

The observed lattice response can stem from two main scenarios: (i) Magneto-elastic coupling and (ii) Electron-phonon coupling. In the first scenario, the magneto-elastic coupling arises from the dependence of the coupling between magnetic moments on the distance between them. It is usually large in the systems with strong spin-orbit coupling. In the paramagnetic phase, the  $E_g$  phonon couples to the linear combinations of magnetic bond energies transforming under the compatible 2D irrep of the paramagnetic group. Below the AIAO ordering transition, since phonons and magnetic fluctuations are linearly coupled by magneto-elastic coupling, the system's symmetry reduces to  $m\bar{3}m'$ , in which 2D irrep  $E_g$  splits into two distinct 1D irreps. Subsequently, one of the two phonons

couples with the compatible one-magnon mode, M2, resulting in the splitting of the  $E_g$  phonon mode of the paramagnetic phase below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^N$ . It is essential to note that this phenomenon is solely dynamical and doesn't necessarily induce lattice distortion. Further details regarding the symmetry analysis can be found in Section S2 of the SI.

In the second scenario, the splitting of the  $E_g$  phonon modes is attributed to electron-phonon coupling. Although we do not believe this scenario applies to  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , previous investigations in other iridium pyrochlores, like  $\text{Pr}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  [52] and  $\text{Eu}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  [50], have indicated that electron-phonon coupling can significantly impact phonon dynamics.

## CONCLUSION

The complex temperature dependence of the spectroscopic Raman response of the magnetic pyrochlore  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  demonstrates the intricate interplay of electronic, magnetic and lattice degrees of freedom in this material. Below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^N$ , we observe the AIAO ordering of Ir moments, and detect  $\Gamma$ -point magnons associated with this order. Calculations provide us with the parameters of magnetic interactions between  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  magnetic moments obtained by fitting to the observed one magnon frequencies. Both the observed Raman excitations below  $T_{\text{Ir}}^N$  and the temperature evolution of the properties of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  indicates strong magnetic coupling between Ir and Nd sublattices. This coupling leads to at least an order of magnitude enhancement of the magnetic interactions between the  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  magnetic moments, which results in the 2I2O fluctuations and an appearance of the spinon continuum centered at 14 meV in the intermediate temperature range,  $20 \text{ K} < T < T_{\text{Ir}}^N$ , and a high temperature ( $T_{\text{Nd}}^* = 15 \text{ K}$ ) for  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  magnetic moments AIAO ordering. Raman scattering spectroscopy is able to detect this collective mode of spinon continuum and elucidate its strong temperature dependence that follows from the fragmentation of the short range AIAO correlations of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  magnetic moments. We stress that a strong enhancement of magnetic interactions between Nd ions makes this compound a particularly interesting playground for the study of rare earth magnetism in general and of the QSI physics in particular.

## METHODS

$\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  single crystals were grown by the KF-flux method [73] and possess as-grown octahedron-shaped (111) facets. Raman scattering spectra were collected from (111) cleaved surface of a single crystal of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  using two different Raman setups. The first setup allowed us to measure non-polarized Raman spectra in the temperature range from 300 to 5 K and in the spectral range down to 10 meV. Selected spectra from these measurements are shown in Fig. 3g. The measurements were done using Horiba Labram HR Evolution spectrometer equipped with Olympus microscope and an ultra

narrow notch filter. The spectra were excited using a 532 nm laser radiation. Sample was placed in a He flux cryovac micro Konti cryostat. The exact temperature of the sample was obtained by a comparison of Stokes and anti-Stokes intensities.

The second Raman scattering apparatus allowed us to obtain polarized spectra in the temperature range 300-15 K for frequencies down to 3.5 meV (triple monochromator option) and at temperatures down to 7 K with the spectral range limited by 12 meV at low frequencies (single monochromator and edge filter option). Selected spectra are shown in Fig. 1 b-c. These measurements were done using the Jobin-Yvon T64000 triple monochromator spectrometer equipped with a liquid nitrogen cooled CCD detector with spectral resolution of  $2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . 514.5 nm line of  $\text{Ar}^+-\text{Kr}^+$  mixed gas laser was used as the excitation light. The intensity of the incident light was 3 mW at 4 K and 10 meV above 4 K for single monochromator, and 15 meV for triple monochromator before the crystal and the laser heating was estimated to be about 1 K per 1mW. The measurements were performed in pseudo-Brewster's geometry using an elliptically shaped laser probe of 50 by 100 microns in size. The polarization-resolved spectra were measured in four configurations:  $\hat{z}(xx)z$ ,  $\hat{z}(xy)z$ ,  $\hat{z}(RR)z$ , and  $\hat{z}(RL)z$ , where  $R(L)$  denotes the right (left) circular polarization, which allow to detect scattering channels of symmetries summarized in Table I. For low temperature measurements the sample was mounted on the cold-finger of Janis ST-500 cryostat, which can be cooled down to 4 K without laser heating. The presented Raman response  $\chi''(\omega, T)$  was normalized on the Bose-Einstein factor  $[n(\omega, T) + 1]$ , where  $n(\omega, T) = [\exp(\hbar\omega/k_B T) - 1]^{-1}$  is the Bose occupation factor.

To correct for the small deviations of the intensity of Raman response in different measurements, which were less than 20% for the same excitation power, all the spectra were normalized to the intensity of the  $A_{1g}$  phonon at 63 meV and the band at 82 meV.

TABLE I. Components of Raman tensor for  $(x, x)$  and  $(x, y)$  polarizations in measurements geometry when polarization  $x$  and  $y$  of electrical vectors  $e_{in}$  and  $e_{out}$  are parallel to the [111] crystallographic plane

Geometry	$A_{1g}$	$E_g$	$T_{2g}$
$(x, x)$	$a^2$	$b^2$	$d^2$
$(x, y)$	0	$b^2$	$\frac{2}{3}d^2$
$(R, R)$	0	$2b^2$	$\frac{4}{3}d^2$
$(R, L)$	$a^2$	0	$\frac{1}{3}d^2$

Fitting of the experimental spectra was done by means of least squares assuming Lorentzian peak shapes for magnetic excitations and phonons, with the resulting function

$$\chi''(\omega) = \chi_0''(\omega) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{A_i \Gamma_i}{(\omega - \omega_i)^2 + (\Gamma_i/2)^2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\omega_i$ ,  $\Gamma_i$ , and  $A_i$  correspond to the center, full width, and

amplitude of the  $i$ th Lorentzian peak, and  $\chi''_0(\omega)$  is the continuous electronic background. The detailed fitting results are shown in the supplement information.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to C. Broholm for useful discussions. This work was supported as part of the Institute for Quantum Matter, an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences under Award No. DE-SC0019331. This work in Japan is partially supported by CREST (Grant Number: JPMJCR18T3 and JPMJCR15Q5), by New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO), by Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (Grant Number: 15H05882 and 15H05883) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan, and by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant Number: 19H00650). The work of Y.Y. and N.B.P. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences under Award No. DE-SC0018056. N.B.P. also acknowledges the hospitality and partial support of the Technical University of Munich – Institute for Advanced Study and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

N. D. conceived the idea of the experiment. T. O. and Y. Q., and S. N. grew the crystals. Y. X., J. T., and N. D. collected and analyzed the Raman scattering data. Y. Y. and N. P. calculated the magnon dispersion and two-spinon excitations. N. D., D.v.d.M., Y. Y., Y. X., and N. P. wrote the manuscript.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

---

[1] N. Nagaosa and Y. Tokura, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **8**, 899 (2013).  
 [2] A. Fert, V. Cros, and J. Sampaio, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **8**, 152 (2013).  
 [3] W. Witczak-Krempa, G. Chen, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **5**, 57 (2014).  
 [4] H. Takagi, T. Takayama, G. Jackeli, G. Khaliullin, and S. E. Nagler, *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 264 (2019).  
 [5] C. Broholm, R. Cava, S. Kivelson, D. Nocera, M. Norman, and T. Senthil, *Science* **367** (2020).  
 [6] S. Nakatsuji and R. Arita, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **13**, 119 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031620-103859>.  
 [7] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015001 (2018).

[8] S. Nakatsuji, N. Kiyohara, and T. Higo, *Nature* **527**, 212 (2015).  
 [9] A. Sakai, Y. P. Mizuta, A. A. Nugroho, R. Sihombing, T. Koretsune, M.-T. Suzuki, N. Takemori, R. Ishii, D. Nishio-Hamane, R. Arita, P. Goswami, and S. Nakatsuji, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 1119 (2018).  
 [10] E. Liu, Y. Sun, N. Kumar, L. Muechler, A. Sun, L. Jiao, S.-Y. Yang, D. Liu, A. Liang, Q. Xu, J. Kroder, V. Süß, H. Borrmann, C. Shekhar, Z. Wang, C. Xi, W. Wang, W. Schnelle, S. Wirth, Y. Chen, S. T. B. Goennenwein, and C. Felser, *Nature Physics* **14**, 1125 (2018).  
 [11] D. F. Liu, A. J. Liang, E. K. Liu, Q. N. Xu, Y. W. Li, C. Chen, D. Pei, W. J. Shi, S. K. Mo, P. Dudin, T. Kim, C. Cacho, G. Li, Y. Sun, L. X. Yang, Z. K. Liu, S. S. P. Parkin, C. Felser, and Y. L. Chen, *Science* **365**, 1282 (2019).  
 [12] I. Belopolski, K. Manna, D. S. Sanchez, G. Chang, B. Ernst, J. Yin, S. S. Zhang, T. Cochran, N. Shumiya, H. Zheng, B. Singh, G. Bian, D. Multer, M. Litskevich, X. Zhou, S.-M. Huang, B. Wang, T.-R. Chang, S.-Y. Xu, A. Bansil, C. Felser, H. Lin, and M. Z. Hasan, *Science* **365**, 1278 (2019).  
 [13] S. Nakatsuji, Y. Machida, Y. Maeno, T. Tayama, T. Sakakibara, J. v. Duijn, L. Balicas, J. N. Millican, R. T. Macaluso, and J. Y. Chan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 087204 (2006).  
 [14] Y. Machida, S. Nakatsuji, S. Onoda, T. Tayama, and T. Sakakibara, *Nature* **463**, 210 (2010).  
 [15] D. Pesin and L. Balents, *Nature Physics* **6**, 376 (2010).  
 [16] B. Cheng, T. Ohtsuki, D. Chaudhuri, S. Nakatsuji, M. Lippmaa, and N. Armitage, *Nature Communications* **8**, 1 (2017).  
 [17] T. Ohtsuki, Z. Tian, A. Endo, M. Halim, S. Katsumoto, Y. Kohama, K. Kindo, M. Lippmaa, and S. Nakatsuji, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* **116**, 8803 (2019).  
 [18] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, *Physical Review B* **83**, 205101 (2011).  
 [19] W. Witczak-Krempa and Y. B. Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 045124 (2012).  
 [20] T. c. v. Bzdušek, A. Rüegg, and M. Sigrist, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 165105 (2015).  
 [21] Publications on  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  show some spread in the  $T_N^{\text{Ir}}$  values from 32 K to as high as 37 K [25]. The reason for the discrepancy between the temperature obtained from different crystals and different measurements could be extreme sensitivity of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  properties to Ir/Nd stoichiometry. According to Ref. [74] the transition in resistivity shifts down to 25 K with 1% off-stoichiometry ratio of Ir/Nd.  
 [22] K. Tomiyasu, K. Matsuhira, K. Iwasa, M. Watahiki, S. Takagi, M. Wakeshima, Y. Hinatsu, M. Yokoyama, K. Ohoyama, and K. Yamada, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **81**, 034709 (2012).  
 [23] H. Guo, K. Matsuhira, I. Kawasaki, M. Wakeshima, Y. Hinatsu, I. Watanabe, and Z.-a. Xu, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 060411 (2013).  
 [24] R. Asih, N. Adam, S. S. Mohd-Tajudin, D. P. Sari, K. Matsuhira, H. Guo, M. Wakeshima, Y. Hinatsu, T. Nakano, Y. Nozue, et al., *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **86**, 024705 (2017).  
 [25] K. Wang, B. Xu, C. Rischau, N. Bachar, B. Michon, J. Teyssier, Y. Qiu, T. Ohtsuki, B. Cheng, N. Armitage, et al., *Nature Physics* **16**, 1194 (2020).  
 [26] K. Matsuhira, M. Wakeshima, Y. Hinatsu, and S. Takagi, *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* **80**, 094701 (2011).  
 [27] K. Ueda, J. Fujioka, Y. Takahashi, T. Suzuki, S. Ishiwata, Y. Taguchi, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 136402 (2012).  
 [28] T. Kondo, M. Nakayama, R. Chen, J. Ishikawa, E.-G. Moon, T. Yamamoto, Y. Ota, W. Malaeb, H. Kanai, Y. Nakashima, et al., *Nature communications* **6**, 10042 (2015).



- [29] K. Ueda, R. Kaneko, H. Ishizuka, J. Fujioka, N. Nagaosa, and Y. Tokura, *Nature communications* **9**, 1 (2018).
- [30] P. Nikolić, Y. Xu, T. Ohtsuki, S. Nakatsuji, and N. Drichko, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.13722* (2022), 10.48550/arXiv.2204.13722.
- [31] H. Guo, C. Ritter, and A. C. Komarek, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 161102 (2016).
- [32] J. Xu, V. K. Anand, A. K. Bera, M. Frontzek, D. L. Abernathy, N. Casati, K. Siemensmeyer, and B. Lake, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 224430 (2015).
- [33] C. Mauws, N. Hiebert, M. L. Rutherford, H. D. Zhou, Q. Huang, M. B. Stone, N. P. Butch, Y. Su, E. S. Choi, Z. Yamani, and C. R. Wiebe, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **33**, 245802 (2021).
- [34] S. T. Ku, D. Kumar, M. R. Lees, W.-T. Lee, R. Aldus, A. Studer, P. Imperia, S. Asai, T. Masuda, S. W. Chen, J. M. Chen, and L. J. Chang, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **30**, 155601 (2018).
- [35] D. Wulferding, J. Kim, M. K. Kim, Y. Yang, J. H. Lee, D. Song, D. Oh, H.-S. Kim, L. E. Chern, Y. B. Kim, M. Noh, H. Choi, S. Choi, N. B. Perkins, C. Kim, and S. R. Park, *npj Quantum Mater* **8**, 40 (2023).
- [36] Z. Tian, Y. Kohama, T. Tomita, H. Ishizuka, T. H. Hsieh, J. J. Ishikawa, K. Kindo, L. Balents, and S. Nakatsuji, *Nature Physics* **12**, 134 (2016).
- [37] G. Chen and M. Hermele, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 235129 (2012).
- [38] E. Lefrançois, V. Simonet, R. Ballou, E. Lhotel, A. Hadj-Azzem, S. Kodjikian, P. Lejay, P. Manuel, D. Khalyavin, and L. C. Chapon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 247202 (2015).
- [39] E. Lefrançois, V. Cathelin, E. Lhotel, J. Robert, P. Lejay, C. V. Colin, B. Canals, F. Damay, J. Ollivier, B. Fåk, L. C. Chapon, R. Ballou, and V. Simonet, *Nature Communications* **8**, 209 (2017).
- [40] H. Guo, C. Ritter, and A. C. Komarek, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 144415 (2017).
- [41] V. Cathelin, E. Lefrançois, J. Robert, P. C. Guruciaga, C. Paulsen, D. Prabhakaran, P. Lejay, F. Damay, J. Ollivier, B. Fåk, L. C. Chapon, R. Ballou, V. Simonet, P. C. W. Holdsworth, and E. Lhotel, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 032073 (2020).
- [42] J. Fu, J. G. Rau, M. J. P. Gingras, and N. B. Perkins, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 035136 (2017).
- [43] M. Léger, E. Lhotel, M. Ciomaga Hatnean, J. Ollivier, A. R. Wildes, S. Raymond, E. Ressouche, G. Balakrishnan, and S. Petit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 247201 (2021).
- [44] J. Xu, O. Benton, A. T. M. N. Islam, T. Guidi, G. Ehlers, and B. Lake, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 097203 (2020).
- [45] K. A. Ross, L. Savary, B. D. Gaulin, and L. Balents, *Physical Review X* **1**, 021002 (2011).
- [46] L. Savary and L. Balents, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 037202 (2012).
- [47] M. J. Gingras and P. A. McClarty, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **77**, 056501 (2014).
- [48] Z. Hao, A. G. R. Day, and M. J. P. Gingras, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 214430 (2014).
- [49] T. Hasegawa, N. Ogita, K. Matsuhira, S. Takagi, M. Wakeshima, Y. Hinatsu, and M. Udagawa, **200**, 012054 (2010).
- [50] K. Ueda, R. Kaneko, A. Subedi, M. Minola, B. J. Kim, J. Fujioka, Y. Tokura, and B. Keimer, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 115157 (2019).
- [51] T. H. Nguyen, J. Son, S. Kim, H. Cho, C. H. Kim, Y. P. Wang, K. S. Burch, I.-S. Yang, J. Jeong, J.-G. Park, S. J. Moon, and T. W. Noh, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 267203 (2021).
- [52] Y. Xu, H. Man, N. Tang, T. Ohtsuki, S. Baidya, S. Nakatsuji, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Drichko, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 075137 (2022).
- [53] M. Watahiki, K. Tomiyasu, K. Matsuhira, K. Iwasa, M. Yokoyama, S. Takagi, M. Wakeshima, and Y. Hinatsu, in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, Vol. 320 (IOP Publishing, 2011) p. 012080.
- [54] Y. Xu, H. Man, N. Tang, S. Baidya, H. Zhang, S. Nakatsuji, D. Vanderbilt, and N. Drichko, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 075125 (2021).
- [55]  $E_g$  mode is fit by single- and double-Lorentzian functions for the spectra above and below 20 K.
- [56] S. M. Disseler, C. Dhital, T. C. Hogan, A. Amato, S. R. Giblin, C. de la Cruz, A. Daoud-Aladine, S. D. Wilson, and M. J. Graf, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 174441 (2012).
- [57] H. Sagayama, D. Uematsu, T. Arima, K. Sugimoto, J. J. Ishikawa, E. O'Farrell, and S. Nakatsuji, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 100403 (2013).
- [58] S. M. Disseler, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 140413 (2014).
- [59] Y. Szyuk, C. Price, P. Wölfle, and N. B. Perkins, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 155126 (2014).
- [60] N. B. Perkins, Y. Szyuk, and P. Wölfle, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 035143 (2014).
- [61] Y. Yang, M. Li, I. Rousochatzakis, and N. B. Perkins, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 144412 (2021).
- [62] K. Hwang, N. Trivedi, and M. Randeria, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 047203 (2020).
- [63] P. Fleury and R. Loudon, *Physical Review* **166**, 514 (1968).
- [64] As we discuss in SI, the this crystal field can be distinguished in high resolution spectra, and is observed as a side band in Fig.2 c-d, while cannot be distinguished in Fig. 3 g, where spectra are measured with lower resolution.
- [65] S. Petit, E. Lhotel, B. Canals, M. Ciomaga Hatnean, J. Ollivier, H. Mutka, E. Ressouche, A. R. Wildes, M. R. Lees, and G. Balakrishnan, *Nature Physics* **12**, 746 (2016).
- [66] O. Benton, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 104430 (2016).
- [67] Y.-P. Huang, G. Chen, and M. Hermele, *Physical review letters* **112**, 167203 (2014).
- [68] J. Xu, O. Benton, V. K. Anand, A. T. M. N. Islam, T. Guidi, G. Ehlers, E. Feng, Y. Su, A. Sakai, P. Gegenwart, and B. Lake, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 144420 (2019).
- [69] I. Kapon, C. W. Rischau, B. Michon, K. Wang, B. Xu, Q. Yang, S. Nakatsuji, and D. van der Marel, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 023056 (2022).
- [70] Note that this interaction assumes that Ir moments are localized and, therefore, differs both from effective f-d interaction describing coupling between itinerant 5d electrons of Ir and Ising-like Nd moments considered [37, 69] and from the Kondo coupling of Nd and Ir spins exploited in [36]. In fact, such local field interaction has been proposed for  $\text{Ho}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  in [39] to explain magnetic fragmentation.
- [71] The incoming and outgoing light polarization are the same as in the case for the one-magnon response shown in Fig. 2c and d.
- [72] This corresponds to  $J_{\text{Nd-Ir}} = 1.87$  meV too, if we assume the max magnetization for the Ir moments  $\langle m_{\text{Ir}} \rangle = 0.5\mu_B$ .
- [73] J. N. Millican, R. T. Macaluso, S. Nakatsuji, Y. Machida, Y. Maeno, and J. Y. Chan, *Materials research bulletin* **42**, 928 (2007).
- [74] M. Nakayama, T. Kondo, Z. Tian, J. J. Ishikawa, M. Halim, C. Bareille, W. Malaeb, K. Kuroda, T. Tomita, S. Ideta, K. Tanaka, M. Matsunami, S. Kimura, N. Inami, K. Ono, H. Kumigashira, L. Balents, S. Nakatsuji, and S. Shin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 056403 (2016).
- [75] J. S. Gardner, M. J. P. Gingras, and J. E. Greedan, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 53 (2010).

- [76] T. Inui, Y. Tanabe, and Y. Onodera, *Group Theory and Its Applications in Physics* (Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990).
- [77] A. Kiel and S. Porto, *Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy* **32**, 458 (1969).
- [78] A. P. Cracknell, *Prog. Theor. Phys., Japan* **35**, 196–213 (1966).
- [79] A. P. Cracknell, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **2**, 500 (1969).

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

In this Supplementary Information, we present details of our analysis of the Raman scattering data discussed in the main text, along with additional data used to explain and support the analysis. Specifically, in Section S1.1, we compare the scattering data in (x,x) and (x,y) polarization channels; in Section S1.2, we provide additional information used for the phonon's symmetry assignment; in Section S1.3, we analyze the crystal field excitations of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  ions. In Sections S2, we present the symmetry analysis of M2 and M3 one-magnon excitations of Ir magnetic moments. In Sections S3, we provide details on the computation of the two-spinon Raman responses from the Nd magnetic subsystem.

### Comparison of the (x,x) and (x,y) scattering channels

Raman scattering was measured from (111) cleaved surface of the crystal of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  in both (x,x) and (x,y) polarization channels. Fig. 4 presents a comparative analysis of these two scattering channels at temperatures 45 K, 20 K, and 7 K. Note the absence of  $A_{1g}$ -symmetry excitations, the spinon continuum, and the phonon at approximately 50 meV in the (x,y) scattering channel.

### Phonon's symmetry assignment

TABLE II. Frequencies of the Raman active phonons.

Mode	Frequency (meV)
$T_{2g}^{(1)}$	37.4
$E_g^{(1)}$	41.4
$E_g^{(2)}$	42.6
$T_{2g}^{(2)}$	49.6
$A_{1g}$	63.1
$T_{2g}^{(3)}$	68.6
$T_{2g}^{(4)}$	76.8

The pyrochlore lattice has  $Fd\bar{3}m$  (No. 227) space group corresponding to  $O_h$  ( $m\bar{3}m$ ) point group. By symmetry,  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  has 6 Raman-active phonons, found at frequencies close to that observed in other pyrochlore iridate materials [49–52]. Polarization dependence of the phonons intensity at 300 K is presented in Fig. 6. To establish the phonons

assignment summarized in Table. II, we used the experimental data presented in Figure 1 of the main text and Fig. 6, as well as previously published experimental spectra and phonon density functional theory (DFT) calculations for  $\text{Eu}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ ,  $\text{Pr}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , and  $\text{Y}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  [50–52]. The spectra of pyrochlore iridates presented in these publications are in agreement with the first publication on Raman scattering of pyrochlore iridates, Ref. [49], while the phonon assignment was revised in the later manuscripts, based on the DFT calculations. We note that only the oxygen atoms O, bonded to two  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  and two  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$ , and O', tetrahedrally bonded to four  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$ , are Raman active. The symmetry of the 6 phonons is determined experimentally by the polarization-resolved measurements according to the polarization selection rule, as described in the section Methods of the main text.

### Crystal field excitations of $\text{Nd}^{3+}$

In  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ , each Nd ion locally has  $D_{3d}$  point group symmetry due to surrounding oxygen ions [shown in Fig. 5 (a)]. As a result,  $J=9/2$  multiplet will be split into 5 doublets due to the dominant crystal electric field (CEF) component  $B_2^0 O_2^0$  [75]. So far the estimates for these energy level splitting have relied on the previous neutron scattering results [32, 53]. Raman scattering spectroscopy, with its enhanced energy resolution, allows to significantly enhance the precision of these measurements. In Raman scattering spectra, the  $\Gamma_{56}^+ \rightarrow \Gamma_4^+$  ( $E_{3/2g} \rightarrow E_{1/2g}$  in Mulliken notation [76]) transition around 25 meV (in agreement with [32, 53]) is observed in both parallel (x,x) and cross-polarized (x,y) scattering channel. The selection rules governing the allowed symmetry of the CF excitation from one doublet to another are determined by the direct product of their respective representations [77]. In this case, the direct product of the representations can be found as:  $\Gamma_{56}^+ \otimes \Gamma_4^+ = \Gamma_3^+ + \Gamma_3^+$  ( $E_{3/2g} \otimes E_{1/2g} = E_g + E_g$  in Mulliken notation). Therefore, the observed CEF excitation is expected to have the same polarization dependence as  $E_g$  phonon [Shown in Fig. 5 (b) and (c)].

Typically, CEF excitations are at least one order of magnitude weaker than phonons, and appear in the spectra only at low temperatures. We clearly observe a CEF of  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  at 25 meV at temperatures above the ordering temperature of  $\text{Ir}^{4+}$  moments  $T_N^{\text{Ir}}$ , as shown at  $T=35$  K in the upper panel of Fig. 3 g of the main text. In Fig. 5 (d), we present the temperature dependence of the spectra of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ . This figure clearly shows that the CEF excitation becomes visible in the spectra at temperatures below 100 K. Notably, it is very close in energy to the Ir magnon band M2, which emerges below  $T_N^{\text{Ir}}=33$  K. As a result, distinguishing these two excitations may require more high-resolution measurements.




FIG. 4. A comparison of (x,x) and (x,y) scattering channels for  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  at 45 K (above  $T_N^{\text{Ir}}$ ), 20 K (below  $T_N^{\text{Ir}}$  but above  $T_{\text{Nd}}^*$ ), and 7 K (below  $T_{\text{Nd}}^*$ ).




FIG. 5. (a) Local oxygen ion environment of a Nd ion described by  $D_{3d}$  point group. (b) A zoom-in plot of Raman response shown in Fig. 4 between 20 meV and 35 meV for  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  at  $T = 45$  K (c) xx-xy curve eliminates  $E_g$  channel, and shows no signature of the CEF excitation, confirming the symmetry selection rule of the CEF excitation. (d) Temperature dependence of Raman scattering of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$ . We point on the weak excitation at 25 meV with a red arrow. We assign this excitation to the lowest crystal field excitation. It is observed in the spectra above  $T_{\text{Nd}}^*$  and overlaps with a magnon excitations at lower temperatures.

### Symmetry analysis of the one-magnon excitation

In this section, we provide the symmetry analysis of the one-magnon M2 and M3 modes appearing in the Raman spectra below  $T_N^{\text{Ir}}$ . These excitations come from the  $\mathbf{k} = 0$  spin-wave excitations of the Ir-Ir interacting Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Ir-Ir}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_\nu} \left[ J \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + K S_i^{\alpha\nu} S_j^{\alpha\nu} + \sigma_\nu \Gamma_{ij} (S_i^{\beta\nu} S_j^{\gamma\nu} + S_i^{\gamma\nu} S_j^{\beta\nu}) + \mathbf{D}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j) \right], \quad (6)$$

introduced and discussed in the main text. With the linear spin wave analysis on the AIAO state, we obtain two  $\mathbf{k} = 0$  modes,  $\epsilon_1$  (non-degenerate) and  $\epsilon_2$  (three-fold degenerate). The best comparison with the experimental data is obtained with  $(J, K, \Gamma, D) = (6.1, -5.4, 3.0, 4.1)$  meV,

which corresponds to the microscopic hopping parameters  $(t_{dd\sigma}, t_{dd\pi}, t_{dd\delta}, t_{pd\pi}^2/\Delta_{pd}) = (0.71, -0.14, 0.038, -0.037)$  eV with the Hubbard  $U_1 = 2.6$  eV, the Hunds coupling  $J_H = 0.4$  eV and the spin-orbit coupling  $\lambda = 0.4$  eV in the original tri-band Hubbard model.

The magnetic point group of the AIAO state is  $m\bar{3}m'$ , formed by the unitary point group  $T_h$  and the non-unitary point group  $\Theta\sigma_d T_h$  [78, 79]. There is only one Raman-active three dimensional (3D) irreducible corepresentation of  $m\bar{3}m'$ . Thus, the three-fold degenerate mode  $\epsilon_2$  and, correspondingly, M3 peak transform as  $D\Gamma_4(DT_g)$  irreducible corepresentation [79]. The  $\epsilon_1$  mode is not degenerate, so it should correspond to one of the one-dimensional (1D) irreducible corepresentation. There are three 1D irreducible corepresentations of  $m\bar{3}m'$ , so we need to determine which irreducible corepresentation the state corresponds to. We first fit the angular dependence of the Raman response shown in Fig. 7 with the magnetic Ra-




FIG. 6. Polarization dependence of Raman scattering in phonons of  $\text{Nd}_2\text{Ir}_2\text{O}_7$  at 300 K. Both RR and RL scattering exhibit a minor polarization leakage effect. The xx-xy curve excludes  $E_g$  channel and demonstrates that the excitation at 42 meV belongs to  $E_g$  scattering channel, confirming the phonon assignment.




FIG. 7. Comparison of the angular dependence of Raman response from the M2 one-magnon mode obtained in the linear spin wave (LSW) theory with the one obtained from the magnetic Raman tensor analysis.

man tensors [79]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} \omega^* & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

where  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ . These two magnetic Raman tensors belong to two 1D irreducible corepresentations  $D\Gamma_2$  and  $D\Gamma_3$ , originated from the 2D irreducible representation  $E_g$  of the  $T_h$  point group. Applying  $C_3$  symmetry to the eigenvector of the  $\epsilon_1$  mode,  $C_3|\epsilon_1\rangle \rightarrow e^{2\pi i/3}|\epsilon_1\rangle$ , confirms that it transforms under the irreducible corepresentation of  $D\Gamma_2$ . Thus, magnetic M2 peak belongs to the  $D\Gamma_2$  irreducible representation of the magnetic point group  $m\bar{3}m'$ .

#### Raman responses of two-spinon excitations

To compute the two-spinon Raman responses, we start with the full renormalized Hamiltonian for  $\text{Nd}^{3+}$  magnetic mo-

ments

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd-Nd}} + \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd-Ir}} \\ &= \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_x \tau_i^x \tau_j^x + J_y \tau_i^y \tau_j^y + J_z \tau_i^z \tau_j^z - h_{\text{loc}} \sum_i \tau_i^z, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The details of the Hamiltonian are discussed in the main text. Following the approach of Ref.[48], we define the both types of the tetrahedra, A and B (on the pyrochlore lattice, the tetrahedra form the diamond lattice with two sublattices):

$$Q_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu} \tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^z, & \mathbf{x} \in \langle A \rangle, \\ \sum_{\mu} -\tau_{\mathbf{x}-\hat{\mu},\mu}^z, & \mathbf{x} \in \langle B \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

By introducing a spinon operator  $\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ , we represent

$$\tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^+ \equiv \tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^x + i\tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^y = \psi_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger s_{\mathbf{x}\mu}^+ \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^- \equiv \tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^x - i\tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^y = \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}}^\dagger s_{\mathbf{x}\mu}^- \psi_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (11)$$

where  $s_{\mathbf{x}\mu}^\pm$  denote the pseudospin operators, which can be further mapped to  $\exp(\pm i\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}\mu})S_{\text{Nd}}$  with  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{x}\mu}$  being the vector gauge field and  $S_{\text{Nd}}$  being the spin length of the Nd magnetic moment. As the dominant contribution to the Raman response from the spin ice Hamiltonian comes from the spinon excitations [42], in the following we focus on the spinon part of the Hamiltonian only. In this case, the expressions (10) and (11), can be further simplified into  $\tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^+ = S_{\text{Nd}}\psi_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger\psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathbf{x},\mu}^- = S_{\text{Nd}}\psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}}^\dagger\psi_{\mathbf{x}}$ . Then we can write the spinon part of the Hamiltonian (8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}}^s &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left( \frac{J_z}{2} Q_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \right) - \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \langle A \rangle} h_{\text{loc}} Q_{\mathbf{x}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \in \langle A \rangle \\ \mu < \nu}} \frac{J_{xy} S_{\text{Nd}}^2}{2} (\psi_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}-\hat{\nu}} + \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\mu}}^\dagger \psi_{\mathbf{x}+\hat{\nu}} + \text{h.c.}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Note A and B sublattices are equivalent and fully decoupled in (12), so we only need to find energies of spinons on one sublattice. Then using the bosonic representation of the quantum XY rotor model [48]

$$Q_{\mathbf{x}} = 2S_{\text{Nd}}(d_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger d_{\mathbf{x}} - b_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (13)$$

$$Q_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \approx 4S_{\text{Nd}}^2(d_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger d_{\mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{x}}), \quad (14)$$

$$\psi_{\mathbf{x}} \approx d_{\mathbf{x}} + b_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger, \quad (15)$$

which is valid in the limit of  $\langle d_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger d_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle \ll 1$  and  $\langle b_{\mathbf{x}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{x}} \rangle \ll 1$ , i.e., when the charge fluctuations are considered within the 2I2O phase ( $Q_{\mathbf{x}} \approx 0$ ), we obtain the quadratic bosonic Hamiltonian for spinons:



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}}^s = & (2J_z S_{\text{Nd}}^2 - h_{\text{loc}} S_{\text{Nd}}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} d_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger d_{\mathbf{k}} + (2J_z S_{\text{Nd}}^2 + h_{\text{loc}} S_{\text{Nd}}) \sum_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{k}} \\ & - \frac{J_{xy} S_{\text{Nd}}^2}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \alpha \neq \beta}^{x,y,z} \cos \frac{k_\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{k_\beta}{2} \left( d_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger d_{\mathbf{k}} + b_{-\mathbf{k}} b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger d_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + d_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger + \text{h.c.} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Introducing  $B_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger = (d_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, b_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger, d_{-\mathbf{k}}, b_{-\mathbf{k}})$ , and

$$M(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{S_{\text{Nd}}^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2J_z + \frac{h_{\text{loc}}}{S_{\text{Nd}}} - J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) & 0 & 0 & -J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) \\ 0 & 2J_z - \frac{h_{\text{loc}}}{S_{\text{Nd}}} - J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) & -J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & -J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) & 2J_z + \frac{h_{\text{loc}}}{S_{\text{Nd}}} - J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ -J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) & 0 & 0 & 2J_z - \frac{h_{\text{loc}}}{S_{\text{Nd}}} - J_{xy}g(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

with the geometric factor  $g(\mathbf{k}) = \cos \frac{k_x}{2} \cos \frac{k_y}{2} + \cos \frac{k_y}{2} \cos \frac{k_z}{2} + \cos \frac{k_z}{2} \cos \frac{k_x}{2}$ ,

we can rewrite (16) in the compact form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}}^s = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} B_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger M(\mathbf{k}) B_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (18)$$

This Hamiltonian can be diagonalized with the Bogoliubov transformation, which gives the spectrum for the spinon excitations

$$\omega_{A,B}(\mathbf{k}) = h_{\text{loc}} S_{\text{Nd}} \pm 2J_z S_{\text{Nd}}^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{J_{xy}}{J_z} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{x,y,z} \cos \frac{k_\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{k_\beta}{2}}, \quad (19)$$

since  $h_{\text{loc}} > 2S_{\text{Nd}} \sqrt{J_z^2 - J_{xy}J_z}$  in the crossover region within our consideration. Therefore a two-spinon excitation can be achieved by exciting two spinons with the total energy  $\omega_A(\mathbf{k}) + \omega_A(-\mathbf{k})$ ,  $\omega_B(\mathbf{k}) + \omega_B(-\mathbf{k})$ , or  $\omega_A(\mathbf{k}) + \omega_B(-\mathbf{k})$ .

Next we show the magnetic Raman peak M1 experimentally observed around 14 meV can be understood as a two-spinon continuum. To this end, we consider the Raman operator for the quantum spin ice previously derived in [42]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\text{Nd}} = & \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left[ (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)(\mathbf{e}_{\text{out}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) + (\mathbf{e}_{\text{in}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_j)(\mathbf{e}_{\text{out}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_j) \right] \mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}-\text{Nd},ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_j$  are defined in Fig.3 d in the main text, and  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Nd}-\text{Nd},ij}$  are renormalized interaction between Nd moments in (8). To match the energy and width of the M1 peak, we set  $J_z = 0.148$  meV and  $J_{xy} = -0.037$  meV, and assume that just below 33 K the local field is  $h_{\text{loc}} = 1.33$  meV. We also take the same incoming and outgoing light polarization direction as we compute the Raman response for the one-magnon excitation in the [111] plane. The resulting intensity is shown in Fig.8.

Additionally, we have successfully simulated the temperature-dependent behavior of the M1 peak presented in Fig.1 of the main text by changing the local field




FIG. 8. Computed Raman responses from two-spinon excitation continuum in both parallel and crossed channel.

strength  $h_{\text{loc}}$ . Namely, as the magnetic moment on the Ir ion  $\langle m_{\text{Ir}} \rangle$  increases with lowering of temperature, the local field acting on the Nd ion also becomes larger. Thus, by increasing  $h_{\text{loc}}$  we effectively lower the temperature of the system and compute the temperature evolution of the two-spinon Raman response. Computed intensity plot is presented in Fig. 9.

### Fitting the spectra

To obtain the temperature dependence of the parameters of the observed excitations presented in the figures in the main text of the manuscript we fitted the spectra with a function discussed in the Methods section. In the Fig. 10 and 11 we present a comparison of the fitting curves with the experimental data.




FIG. 9. Temperature dependence of M1 peak mimicked by increasing the local field  $h_{\text{loc}}$  (larger local field corresponds to lower temperature).




FIG. 10. Fitting results of the Raman spectra in  $(x, x)$  polarization for the magnetic excitations M1, M2, M3, and M4. Solid black lines denote the experimental spectra with subtracted electronic contribution  $\chi''(\omega) - \chi''_0(\omega)$ . Dashed green lines denote the Lorentzian fits for each peak. Solid blue curves denote the fitted curves.




FIG. 11. Fitting results of the Raman spectra in  $(x, x)$  polarization for the phonon excitations  $T_{2g}^{(1)}$ ,  $E_g$ , and  $T_{2g}^{(2)}$ . Solid black lines denote the experimental spectra with subtracted electronic contribution  $\chi''(\omega) - \chi_0''(\omega)$ . Dashed green lines denote the Lorentzian fits for each peak. Solid blue curves denote the fitted curves.