arXiv:2302.00969v1 [math.FA] 2 Feb 2023

Strong completeness of a class of L^2-type Riesz spaces *

Wen-Chi Kuo† School of Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

Anke Kalauch
TU-Dresden

Bruce A. Watson ‡
School of Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand
Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

February 3, 2023

Abstract

Strong convergence and convergence in probability were generalized to the setting of a Riesz space with conditional expectation operator, T, in [Y. Azouzi, W.-C. Kuo, K. Ramdane, B. A. Watson, Convergence in Riesz spaces with conditional expectation operators, *Positivity*, **19** (2015), 647-657] as T-strong convergence and convergence in T-conditional probability, respectively. Generalized L^p spaces for the cases of $p = 1, 2, \infty$, were discussed in the setting of Riesz spaces as $L^p(T)$ spaces in [C. C. A. Labuschagne, B. A. Watson, Discrete stochastic integration in Riesz spaces, *Positivity*, **14** (2010), 859-875]. An $R(T)$ valued norm, for the cases of $p = 1, \infty$, was introduced on these spaces in [W. Kuo, M. Rogans, B.A. Watson, Mixing processes in Riesz spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, **456** (2017), 992-1004] where it was also shown that $R(T)$ is a universally complete f-algebra and that these spaces are $R(T)$-modules. In [Y. Azouzi, M. Trabelsi, L^p-spaces with respect to conditional expectation on Riesz spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application*, **447** (2017), 798-816] functional calculus was used to consider $L^p(T)$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$. The strong sequential

*Keywords: Strong completeness; Riesz spaces; conditional expectation operators; Riesz representation; strong dual. *Mathematics subject classification (2010):* 46B40; 60F15; 60F25.

†Supported in part by National Research Foundation of South Africa grant number CSUR160503163733.

‡Supported in part by the Centre for Applicable Analysis and Number Theory.

completeness of the space $L^1(T)$, the natural domain of the conditional expectation operator T, and the strong completeness of $L^\infty(T)$ was established in [W.-C. Kuo, D. Rodda, B. A. Watson, Sequential strong completeness of the natural domain of Riesz space conditional expectation operators, *Proc. AMS*, **147** (2019), 1597–1603]. In the current work the T-strong completeness of $L^2(T)$ is established along with a Riesz-Fischer type theorem where the duality is with respect to the T-strong dual. It is also shown that the conditional expectation operator T is a weak order unit for the T-strong dual.

1 Introduction

Strong convergence was generalized to Dedekind complete Riesz spaces with a conditional expectation operator in [3] as T-strong convergence. Generalized L^p spaces for $p = 1, 2, \infty$ were discussed in the setting of Riesz spaces as $L^p(T)$ spaces in [12]. An $R(T)$ valued norm, for the cases of $p = 1, \infty$, was introduced on the $L^p(T)$ spaces in [11] where it was also shown that $R(T)$ is a universally complete f-algebra and that these spaces are $R(T)$-modules. More recently, in [4], the $L^p(T)$, for $p \in (1, \infty)$, spaces were considered. We also refer the reader to [16] for an interesting study of sequential order convergence in vector lattices using convergence structures and filters. In [10] the T-strong sequential completeness of the natural domain, $L^1(T)$, of the Riesz space conditional expectation operator T was established, i.e. that each T-strong Cauchy sequence in $L^1(T)$ converges T-strongly in $L^1(T)$.

Definition 1.1 *We say that a net (f_α) in $\mathcal{L}^p(T)$, where $p \in [0, \infty]$, is a strong Cauchy net if*

$$v_\alpha := \sup_{\beta,\gamma \geq \alpha} \|f_\beta - f_\gamma\|_{T,p}$$

is eventually defined in $R(T)$ and has order limit zero.

The term T-strong here means with respect to the $R(T)$ valued norm induced by the conditional expectation operator T in the given space. It was also shown that $L^\infty(T)$ is T-strongly complete i.e. that every T-strong Cauchy net in $L^\infty(T)$ is T-strongly convergent.

In the current work the T-strong completeness of $L^2(T)$ is established, i.e. that each net in $L^2(T)$ which is Cauchy with respect to the $R(T)$-valued norm, $f \mapsto (T|f|^2)^{1/2} := \|f\|_{T,2}$, is convergent in $L^2(T)$ with respect to this norm. This is proved via the a Riesz-Fischer type theorem where the duality is with respect to the T-strong dual of $L^2(T)$. It is also shown that the conditional expectation operator T is a weak order unit for the T-strong dual of $L^2(T)$.

The issue of completeness of $L^2(T)$ is important in the theory of stochastic integrals in Riesz spaces, since these integrals are defined to be limits of Cauchy nets in $L^2(T)$, see for example [7]. The results also impact on the study of martingales in Riesz spaces, see [14, 15].

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this work E will denote a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit and T will denote a strictly positive conditional expectation operator on E. By T being a conditional expectation operator on E we mean that T is a linear positive order continuous projection on E which maps weak order units to weak order units and has range $R(T)$ closed with respect to order limits in E. This gives that there is at least one weak order unit, say e, with $Te = e$, and that $R(T)$ is Dedekind complete with considered as a subspace of E. By T being strictly positive we mean that if $f \in E_+$, the positive cone of E, and $f \neq 0$ then $Tf \in E_+$ and $Tf \neq 0$.

A strictly positive conditional expectation operator, T, on a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, can be extended to a strictly positive conditional expectation operator, also denoted T, on its natural domain, denoted $L^1(T) := \mathrm{dom}(T) - \mathrm{dom}(T)$. We say that E is T-universally complete if $E = L^1(T)$. From the definition of $\mathrm{dom}(T)$, see [9], E is T-universally complete if and only if for each upwards directed net $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ in E^+ such that $(Tf_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ is order bounded in E_u, we have that $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Lambda}$ is order convergent in E. Here E_u denotes the universal completion of E, see [**?**, page 323]. E_u has an f-algebra structure which can be chosen so that e is the multiplicative identity. For T acting on $E = L^1(T)$, $R(T)$ is a universally complete f-algebra and $L^1(T)$ is an $R(T)$-module. From [9, Theorem 5.3], T is an averaging operator, i.e. if $f \in R(T)$ and $g \in E$ then $T(fg) = fT(g)$. This prompts the definition of an $R(T)$ (vector valued) norm $\|\cdot\|_{T,1} := T|\cdot|$ on $L^1(T)$. The homogeneity is with respect to multiplication by elements of $R(T)^+$.

The definition of the Riesz space $L^2(T) := \{f \in L^1(T) \,|\, f^2 \in L^1(T)\}$ was given in [12]. By the averaging property, $L^2(T)$ is an $R(T)$-modules and the map

$$f \mapsto \|f\|_{T,2} := (T(f^2))^{1/2}, \quad f \in L^2(T)$$

is an $R(T)$-valued norm on $L^2(T)$. Aspects for this development for $L^p(T)$ with general $1 < p < \infty$ can be found in [4, **?**]. Here the multiplication is as defined in the f-algebra E_u. Proofs of various Hölder type inequalties in Riesz spaces with conditional expectation operators can be found in [11] and [4]. In particular,

$$T|fg| \leq \|f\|_{T,2}\|g\|_{T,2}, \text{ for all } f, g \in L^2(T). \tag{2.1}$$

If F is a universally complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say e, then F is an

f-algebra and e can be taken as the algebraic unit, see [17, Theorem 3.6]. We recall from [8, Appendix] the following material on partial inverses in Riesz spaces.

Definition 2.1 *Let F be a universally complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say e and take e as the algebraic unit of the associated f-algebra structure. We say that $g \in F$ has a partial inverse if there exists $h \in F$ such that $gh = hg = P_{|g|}e$ where $P_{|g|}$ denotes the band projection onto the band generated by $|g|$. We refer to h as the canonical partial inverse of g if in addition to being a partial inverse to g, we have that $(I - P_{|g|})h = 0$, i.e. $h \in \mathcal{B}_{|g|}$, where $\mathcal{B}_{|g|}$ is the band generated by $|g|$.*

The following result gives existence, uniqueness and positivity results concerning partial inverses and canonical partial inverses. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_f$ the band generated by f and by P_f the band projection onto $\mathcal{B}_f$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let F be a universally complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say e, which also take as the algebraic unit of the associated f-algebra structure. Each $g \in F$ has a partial inverse $h \in F$. The canonical partial inverse of g is unique and in this case g is also the canonical partial inverse of h. If $g \in F^+$ then so is its canonical partial inverse.*

3 Dual spaces

Let $E = L^2(T)$. We say that a map $\mathfrak{f} : E \to R(T)$ is a T-linear functional on E if it is additive, $R(T)$-homogeneous and order bounded. We denote the space of T-linear functionals on E by E^* and call it the T-dual of E. We note that $E^* \subset \mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$, since $R(T)$-homogeneity implies real linearity. Further as $R(T)$ is Dedekind complete, so is $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$, see [2, page 12], and $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T)) = \mathcal{L}_r(E, R(T))$, where $\mathcal{L}_r(E, R(T))$ denotes the regular operators, see [2, page 10]. Following the notation of [2], we denote the order continuous elements of $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ by $\mathcal{L}_n(E, R(T))$ and by [2, page 44], $\mathcal{L}_n(E, R(T))$ is a band in $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$, and since $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ is Dedekind complete, so is $\mathcal{L}_n(E, R(T))$.

If $\mathfrak{f} \in E^*$ and there is $k \in R(T)^+$ such that

$$|\mathfrak{f}(g)| \leq k\|g\|_{T,2}, \quad \text{for all } g \in E, \tag{3.2}$$

we say that $\mathfrak{f}$ is T-strongly bounded. We denote the space of T-strongly bounded T-linear functionals on E by

$$\hat{E} := \{\mathfrak{f} \in E^* \mid \mathfrak{f} \ T\text{-strongly bounded } \}$$

and refer to it as the T-strong dual of E. Further

$$\|\mathfrak{f}\| := \inf\{k \in R(T)^+ \,|\, |\mathfrak{f}(g)| \leq k\|g\|_{T,2} \quad \text{for all } g \in E\}$$

defines and $R(T)$-valued norm on $\hat{E}$ with

$$|\mathfrak{f}(g)| \leq \|\mathfrak{f}\|\,\|g\|_{T,2} \tag{3.3}$$

for all $g \in L^2(T)$.

We note here that as the map $g \mapsto \|g\|_{T,2}$ is order continuous the domination in (3.2) gives that each $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$ is order continuous. Thus $\hat{E} \subset E^* \cap \mathcal{L}_n(E, R(T))$.

From [8] we have the following Riesz-Frechet representation theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Riesz-Frechet representation theorem in Riesz space) *The map Ψ defined by $\Psi(f)(g) := T_f(g) = T(fg)$ for $f, g \in L^2(T)$ is a bijection between $E = L^2(T)$ and, its $R(T)$-homogeneous strong dual, $\hat{E}$. This map is additive, $R(T)$-homogeneous and $R(T)$-valued norm preserving in the sense that $\|T_f\| = \|f\|_{T,2}$ for all $y \in L^2(T)$.*

A direct application of Theorem 3.1 gives that $T \in \hat{E}$ since $\Psi(e) = T$ and $L^2(T)$ is an $R(T)$ module in which $ef = f$ for all $f \in L^2(T)$.

Lemma 3.2 (Riesz-Kantorovich) *The space E^* is a Riesz space with respect to the partial ordering $\mathfrak{f} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{f}(x) \leq \mathfrak{g}(x)$ for all $x \in E_+$. This partial ordering is equivalent to defining the lattice operations by*

$$(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(x) := \sup\{\mathfrak{f}(y) + \mathfrak{g}(z) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, y + z = x\}$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{f} \wedge \mathfrak{g})(x) := \inf\{\mathfrak{f}(y) + \mathfrak{g}(z) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, y + z = x\}$$

for all $x \in E_+$ and extending these operators to E by the Kantorovich Theorem, [2, page 7]. Here $\mathfrak{f}_\alpha \downarrow \mathfrak{o}$ in E^* if and only if $\mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x) \downarrow 0$ in E for each $x \in E_+$. E^* is Dedekind complete and an $R(T)$-module.

Proof: If $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in E^*$ then $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ and hence (as $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ is a Riesz space) $\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$. Further, for $\alpha \in R(T)$ and $x \in E$, since $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are $R(T)$-homogeneous, we have that

$$(\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{g})(\alpha x) := \mathfrak{f}(\alpha x) + \mathfrak{g}(\alpha x) = \alpha(\mathfrak{f}(x) + \mathfrak{g}(x)) =: \alpha(\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{g})(x).$$

Thus $\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{g} \in E^*$.

To show that E^* is a sublattice of $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ it remains to be shown that $\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g} \in E^*$ for each $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in E^*$, with $\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g}$ as defined in the lemma statement. This also ensures that $\mathfrak{f} \vee_{\mathcal{L}_b(E,R(T))} \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f} \vee_{E^*} \mathfrak{g}$. As $E^* \subset \mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ to show that $\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g} \in E^*$ is the same is $\mathfrak{f} \vee_{\mathcal{L}_b(E,R(T))} \mathfrak{g} =: \mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g}$ it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g}$ is in E^*. Since $\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ it remains only to show that it is $R(T)$ homogeneous.

For $\alpha \in R(T)_+$ and $x \in E_+$ we have

$$(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(\alpha x) := \sup\{\mathfrak{f}(y) + \mathfrak{g}(z) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, y + z = \alpha x\}.$$

If $y, z \in E_+$ and $y + z = \alpha x$, then $y, z \in B_\alpha$ where B_α is the band in E generated by α.

Now as $R(T)$ is universally complete, α has a partial inverse $\beta \in R(T)_+ \cap B_\alpha$ such that

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = \beta \cdot \alpha = P_\alpha e$$

where P_α denotes the band projection onto the band B_α, generated by α. Thus $\beta y + \beta z = P_\alpha x$ and $\alpha\beta y = y$ and similarly for z. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(\alpha x) &= \sup\{\alpha(\mathfrak{f}(\beta y) + \mathfrak{g}(\beta z) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, \beta y + \beta z = P_\alpha x\} \\
&\leq \sup\{\alpha(\mathfrak{f}(y') + \mathfrak{g}(z') \,|\, y', z' \in E_+, y' + z' = P_\alpha x\} \\
&\leq \sup\{\alpha(\mathfrak{f}(y') + \mathfrak{g}(z') \,|\, y', z' \in E_+, y' + z' = x\} \\
&= \alpha(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \cdot (\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(x) &= \sup\{\alpha \cdot (\mathfrak{f}(y) + \mathfrak{g}(z)) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, y + z = x\} \\
&= \sup\{(\mathfrak{f}(\alpha y) + \mathfrak{g}(\alpha z)) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, y + z = x\} \\
&\leq \sup\{(\mathfrak{f}(\alpha y) + \mathfrak{g}(\alpha z)) \,|\, y, z \in E_+, \alpha y + \alpha z = \alpha x\} \\
&\leq \sup\{(\mathfrak{f}(y') + \mathfrak{g}(z')) \,|\, y', z' \in E_+, y' + z' = \alpha x\} \\
&= (\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(\alpha x)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(\alpha x) = \alpha(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(x)$ for $\alpha \in R(T)_+$ and $x \in E_+$. After proving the analogous result for $\mathfrak{f} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ and as we know $(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(-x) = -(\mathfrak{f} \wedge \mathfrak{g})(x)$ the homogeneity follows for all $x \in E$ and $\alpha \in R(T)$.

Finally we show that E^* is Dedekind complete. As $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ is Dedekind complete, it suffices to show that E^* is order closed in $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$. If $(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma)$ is a net in E^* with order limit $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathcal{L}_b(E, R(T))$ then for each $\alpha \in R(T)$ we have that $\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(\alpha x) = \alpha\mathfrak{f}_\gamma$. Further by order continuity of multiplication by elements of $R(T)$ it follows that the net $(\alpha\mathfrak{f}_\gamma)$ has order limit $\alpha\mathfrak{f}$. However, for each $x \in E$, $(\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(\alpha x))$ has order limit $\mathfrak{f}(\alpha x)$ and $(\alpha\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x))$ has order limit $\alpha\mathfrak{f}(x)$ in $R(T) \subset E$. Thus $\mathfrak{f}(\alpha x) = \alpha\mathfrak{f}(x)$ giving $\mathfrak{f} \in E^*$. ■

Lemma 3.3 *The space $\hat{E}$ is an $R(T)$-module and a Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of E^*.*

Proof: From its definition it is clear that $\hat{E}$ is a vector subspace of E^* and is an $R(T)$-module. It remains to prove that $\hat{E}$ is a sublattice of E^* and that it is Dedekind complete.

To show that $\hat{E}$ is a sublattice of E^* we observe that if $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \hat{E}$ then there exist $k_\mathfrak{f}, k_\mathfrak{g} \in R(T)_+$ so that $|\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq k_\mathfrak{f}\|x\|_{T,2}$ and $|\mathfrak{g}(x)| \leq k_\mathfrak{g}\|x\|_{T,2}$ for all $x \in E$. From Lemma 3.2,

$$|(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g})(x)| \leq \sup\{|\mathfrak{f}(y) + \mathfrak{g}(z)| \,|\, y, z \in E_+, y + z = x\} \leq (k_\mathfrak{f} + k_\mathfrak{g})\|x\|_{T,2}$$

for all $x \in E$, giving $\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{g} \in \hat{E}$.

For the Dedekind completeness of we observe that if $C \subset \hat{E}_+$ is a non-empty set bounded above by $\mathfrak{g} \in \hat{E}_+$ then $C \subset {E^*}_+$ and is bounded above by $\mathfrak{g}$ in E^*. Thus $\mathfrak{h} := \sup C$ exists in E^*, as E^* is Dedekind complete. Further $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ so there exists $k \in R(T)_+$ so that

$$|\mathfrak{h}(x)| \leq |\mathfrak{g}(x)| \leq k\|x\|_{T,2}.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{h} \in \hat{E}$. If $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} \in \hat{E}$ were an upper bound on C in $\hat{E}$ then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ is also an upper bound for C in E^* making $\mathfrak{h} \leq \hat{\mathfrak{h}}$, thus $\mathfrak{h}$ is the least upper bound of C. ■

Theorem 3.4 *T is a weak order unit for $\hat{E}$.*

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}_+$. For $x \in L^2(T)$ with $x \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathfrak{f} \wedge nT)(x) &= \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\inf_{u+v=x,u,v\geq 0}(\mathfrak{f}(u) + nT(v))\right) \\
&= \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\inf_{u+v=x,u,v\geq 0} T(y(\mathfrak{f})u + nv)\right) \\
&= \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\inf_{x\geq v\geq 0} T(y(\mathfrak{f})(x-v) + nv)\right) \\
&= \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(T(y(\mathfrak{f})x) + \inf_{x\geq v\geq 0} T(v(ne - y(\mathfrak{f})))\right) \\
&= T(y(\mathfrak{f})x) + \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\inf_{x\geq v\geq 0} T(v(ne - y(\mathfrak{f})))\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$-T(x(ne - y(\mathfrak{f}))^-) \leq \inf_{x\geq v\geq 0} T(v(ne - y(\mathfrak{f}))) \leq 0$$

But e is a weak order unit so $(ne - y(\mathfrak{f}))^- \downarrow 0$ in order as $n \to \infty$ giving that $-T(x(ne - y(\mathfrak{f}))^-) \uparrow 0$ in order as $n \to \infty$. Thus

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\inf_{x\geq v\geq 0} T(v(ne - y(\mathfrak{f}))\right) = 0$$

and

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathfrak{f}\wedge nT)(x)=0$$

making T a weak order unit for $\hat{E}$. ■

Theorem 3.5 *Ψ is a bijection between E_+ and $\hat{E}_+$. Hence Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are order preserving bijections. In particular $\Psi(f)^{\pm}=\Psi(f^{\pm})$, $\Psi(f)\vee\Psi(g)=\Psi(f\vee g)$ and $\Psi(f)\wedge\Psi(g)=\Psi(f\wedge g)$, for all $f,g\in E$.*

Proof: From Theorem 3.1, the map $\Psi:E\to\hat{E}$ is a bijection. If $\mathfrak{f}\in\hat{E}_+$ then there exists $f\in E$ such that $\mathfrak{f}=\Psi(f)$ and it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}0\leq(\Psi(f)\wedge 0)(x) &:= \inf\{T(fy)\,|\,y,z\in E_+, y+z=x\}\\ &= \inf\{T(fy)\,|\,0\leq y\leq x\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x\in E_+$. If $f\notin E_+$, then $f^-=0\vee(-f)\neq 0$. Let P_{f^-} be the band projection onto the band generated by f^- (all bands in E are principal bands) and $p_{f^-}=P_{f^-}e\in E_+$. Taking $x=p_{f^-}$ gives

$$0\leq\mathfrak{f}(x)=(\mathfrak{f}\wedge 0)(x)=(\Psi(f)\wedge 0)(x)=(-Tf^-)\wedge 0\notin E_+,$$

since T is a strictly positive operator which gives $Tf^->0$. Hence a contradiction and $f\in E_+$.

If $f\in E_+$ then for $x\in E_+$,

$$(\Psi(f)\wedge 0)(x)=\inf\{T(fy)\,|\,y,z\in E_+, y+z=x\}\geq 0$$

as $y\geq 0$ and T is a positive operator. Thus $\Psi(f)\in\hat{E}_+$ and Ψ is a bijection between E_+ and $\hat{E}_+$.

The remaining claims of the theorem follow directly Ψ be a linear bijection between E_+ and $\hat{E}_+$. ■

Theorem 3.6 *Ψ is a bijection between the components of e in E and the components of T in $\hat{E}$.*

Proof: We begin by showing that $\Psi(p)$ is a component of T for each p a component of e. Using Theorem 3.5, we have $0\leq\Psi(p)\leq\Psi(e)=T$. Again using Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\Psi(p)\wedge\Psi(e-p)=\Psi(p\wedge(e-p))=\Psi(0)=0.$$

Hence we have shown that $\Psi(p)$ is a component of T for each p a component of e. For the converse we merely work with Ψ^{-1}. ■

From the above, for components p, q of e in E. we have that

$$\Psi(p) \cdot \Psi(q) = \Psi(p \cdot q) \tag{3.4}$$

where multiplication is with respect to the f-algebra structures of E_e and $\hat{E}_T$ with e and T being their respective algebraic units.

Lemma 3.7 *Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are order continuous and*

$$\Psi(p) \cdot \Psi(q) = \Psi(p \cdot q). \tag{3.5}$$

for all $p \in E_e$ and $q \in E$.

Proof: Let f_α be a net in E with order limit f and let $g \in E$, then

$$\Psi(f_\alpha)(g) = T(f_\alpha g) \to T(fg)$$

as pairwise multiplication is an order continuous map from $L^2(T) \times L^2(T) \to L^1(T)$, and T is order continuous on $L^1(T)$. Thus giving that Ψ is order continuous.

Suppose that $(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha) \subset \hat{E}_+$ is a downwards directed net with $\mathfrak{f}_\alpha \downarrow 0$. Then $(\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha)) \subset E_+$ is a downwards directed net with $\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha) \downarrow h \geq 0$. So for $g \in E_+$ we have

$$T(\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha)g) \downarrow T(hg)$$

from the order continuity of multiplication and of T. However

$$T(\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha)g) = \mathfrak{f}_\alpha(g) \downarrow 0.$$

Thus $T(hg) = 0$ for all $g \in E_+$, in particular for $g = h$. Thus $T(h^2) = 0$, which with the strict positivity of T gives $h^2 = 0$, and thus $h = 0$. Hence Ψ^{-1} is order continuous.

As Ψ is linear, (3.4) extends immediately to p and q being linear combinations of components of e. Applying Freudenthal's theorem along with the order continuity of Ψ and multiplication, the result follows. ■

It should be noted that (3.5) can be extended to $p \in L^\infty(T)$ where

$$L^\infty(T) = \{f \in E^u \,|\, |f| \leq \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in R(T)\},$$

see [11] for more details on $L^\infty(T)$ and this multiplication.

We can characterize the band projections on $\hat{E}$.

Theorem 3.8 *The band projections on $\hat{E}$ are the maps $\hat{P} = \Psi P \Psi^{-1}$ where P is a band projection on E.*

Proof: Let $\hat{P}$ be a band projection on $\hat{E}$ with range $\hat{B}$. As all band in $\hat{E}$ are principal bands and as T is a weak order unit for $\hat{E}$, it follows that $\hat{P}T$ is a generator of $\hat{B}$, further it is a component of T. Thus there is a component p of e in E so that $\Psi(p) = \hat{P}T$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \in \hat{E}$ then the action of $\hat{P}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\hat{P}\mathfrak{g} = (\hat{P}T) \cdot \mathfrak{g} = \Psi(p) \cdot \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Applying (3.4) we have

$$\Psi(p) \cdot \Psi(\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})) = \Psi(p \cdot \Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})) = \Psi(P\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where P is the band projection in E generated by p. Thus $\hat{P}\mathfrak{g} = \Psi(P\Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}))$. ■

Corollary 3.9 *For each band projection $\hat{P}$ on $\hat{E}$ we have that the band projection $P := \Psi^{-1}\hat{P}\Psi$ on E has $\hat{P}\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}P$, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$.*

Proof: Here $\hat{P} = \Psi P \Psi^{-1}$. Hence, for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$ and $g \in E$,

$$(\hat{P}\mathfrak{f})(g) = (\Psi P \Psi^{-1}\mathfrak{f})(g) = \Psi(P\Psi^{-1}\mathfrak{f})(g) = T(\Psi^{-1}\mathfrak{f} \cdot Pg) = \mathfrak{f}(Pg) = \mathfrak{f} \circ P(g),$$

for all $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$ and $g \in E$. Here we have used that $\Psi^{-1}\mathfrak{f} \cdot Pg = P\Psi^{-1}\mathfrak{f} \cdot g$. ■

Note 3.10 *Each $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$ can be considered as a $R(T)$-valued Radon measure $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}}$ defined on the components of e in E by $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}}(p) = \mathfrak{f}(p)$. In this sense the Riesz representation of the measure is as $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}}(p) = T(\Psi(\mathfrak{f}) \cdot p)$ and $\Psi(\mathfrak{f})$ is the Radon-Nikodým derivative of $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}}$ with respect to μ_T.*

4 Completeness

As in the scalar case, we can define a norm on $\hat{E}$, however in the case under consideration here this is an $R(T)$-valued norm or in the notation of [11] a T-norm. For $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$ we define

$$\|\mathfrak{f}\| = \inf\{k \in R(T)^+ \mid |\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq k\|x\|_{T,2} \text{ for all } x \in E\}. \tag{4.6}$$

As $R(T)$ is Dedekind complete it follows that $\|\mathfrak{f}\| \in R(T)^+$.

For each $x \in E$, $\{k \in R(T)^+ \,|\, |\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq k\|x\|_{T,2}\}$ is a closed (with respect to order limits in E) convex cone in $R(T)^+$. Thus

$$Y := \bigcap_{x\in E} \{k \in R(T)^+ \,|\, |\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq k\|x\|_{T,2}\}$$

is convex additive and closed w.r.t. order limits suprema and infima in $R(T)^+$. Thus Y has a unique minimal element

$$\|\mathfrak{f}\| := \inf \bigcap_{x\in E} \{k \in R(T)^+ \,|\, |\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq k\|x\|_{T,2}\}.$$

As $\|\mathfrak{f}\| \in Y$ we have $|\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq \|\mathfrak{f}\|\,\|x\|_{T,2}$ for each $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$ and $x \in L^2(T)$.

Theorem 4.1 *The dual space $\hat{E}$ is strongly complete.*

Proof: Let $(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha)$ be a strong Cauchy net in $\hat{E}$. Since

$$|\mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x) - \mathfrak{f}_\beta(x)| \leq \|\mathfrak{f}_\alpha - \mathfrak{f}_\beta\|\,\|x\|_{T,2}$$

it follows that for each $x \in L^2(T)$, $(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x))$ is a Cauchy net in $R(T)$. Eventually

$$v_\alpha := \sup_{\beta,\gamma\geq\alpha} \|\mathfrak{f}_\beta - \mathfrak{f}_\gamma\|_{T,2}$$

exists as an element of $R(T)$ and $v_\alpha \downarrow 0$. Here by eventually we mean that there is there is β in the index set of the net, so that for $\alpha \geq \beta$ the given statement holds. So eventually

$$|\mathfrak{f}_\beta(x) - \mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)| \leq v_\alpha\|x\|_{T,2}, \quad \text{for all } \beta,\gamma \geq \alpha, \tag{4.7}$$

and the Cauchy net $(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x))$ is eventually bounded in $R(T)$. Here the net $(|\mathfrak{f}_\beta(x)-\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)|)$ is considered as being index by (α,β) with $(\alpha,\beta) \leq (\alpha_1,\beta_1)$ meaning $\alpha \leq \alpha_1$ and $\beta \leq \beta_1$. We may thus define $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}(x) := \liminf_\alpha \mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x)$, $\overline{\mathfrak{f}}(x) := \limsup_\alpha \mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x)$ in $R(T)$. Here

$$0 \leq \overline{\mathfrak{f}}(x)-\underline{\mathfrak{f}}(x) = \lim_\alpha(\sup_{\beta\geq\alpha} \mathfrak{f}_\beta(x)- \inf_{\gamma\geq\alpha} \mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)) = \lim_\alpha \sup_{\beta,\gamma\geq\alpha} (\mathfrak{f}_\beta(x)-\mathfrak{f}_\gamma(x)) \leq \lim_\alpha v_\alpha\|x\|_{T,2} = 0.$$

So we can set $\mathfrak{f}(x) := \overline{\mathfrak{f}}(x) = \underline{\mathfrak{f}}(x)$ with $(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x))$ converging in order to $\mathfrak{f}(x)$, see [3], and hence $\mathfrak{f}(x)$ is defined for each $x \in L^2(T)$.

From the linearity of order limits and the linearity of each $\mathfrak{f}_\alpha$ it follows that $\mathfrak{f} : L^2(T) \to R(T)$ is a linear map. Taking the order limit in (4.7) with respect to γ gives

$$|\mathfrak{f}_\beta(x) - \mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq v_\alpha\|x\|_{T,2}, \quad \text{for all } \beta \geq \alpha, x \in L^2(T). \tag{4.8}$$

Thus

$$|\mathfrak{f}(x)| \leq |\mathfrak{f}_\alpha(x)| + v_\alpha\|x\|_{T,2} \leq (\|\mathfrak{f}_\alpha\| + v_\alpha)\|x\|_{T,2}$$

for all $x \in L^2(T)$, from which we have that $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$.

Now (4.8) can be written as

$$\|\mathfrak{f}_\beta - \mathfrak{f}\| \leq v_\alpha, \quad \text{for all } \beta \geq \alpha,$$

giving that $(\mathfrak{f}_\alpha)$ converges strongly to $\mathfrak{f}$. ■

We recall from [10] some of the concepts of strong completeness as they relate to $L^2(T)$.

Definition 4.2 *We say that a net (f_α) in $L^2(T)$, is a strong Cauchy net if*

$$v_\alpha := \sup_{\beta,\gamma \geq \alpha} \|f_\beta - f_\gamma\|_{T,2}$$

is eventually defined and has order limit zero, i.e. there exists δ such that v_α is defined for all $\alpha \geq \delta$ and $\inf_{\alpha \geq \delta} v_\alpha = 0$.

It should be noted that this is equivalent to requiring that $\|f_\beta - f_\gamma\|_{T,2}$ as a net indexed by (β, γ) with componentwise directedness, converges to 0 in order. We are now in a position to give the definition of strong completeness.

Definition 4.3 *We say that $L^2(T)$ is strongly complete if each strong Cauchy net (f_α) in $L^2(T)$, is strongly convergent in $L^2(T)$, i.e. there is $f \in L^2(T)$ so that*

$$w_\alpha := \sup_{\beta \geq \alpha} \|f_\beta - f\|_{T,2}$$

is eventually defined and has order limit zero, i.e. there exists δ such that w_α is defined for all $\alpha \geq \delta$ and $\inf_{\alpha \geq \delta} w_\alpha = 0$.

It should be noted that for the case of $E = L^2(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ where μ is a finite measure and $Tf = \mathbf{1}\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_\Omega f \, d\mu$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant 1 function, then $L^2(T) = E$, the vector norm $\|f\|_{T,2} = \|f\|_2$ is the standard L^2 norm and the concepts of strong Cauchy nets and strong completeness coincide with those of norm/strong Cauchy nets and norm/strong completeness.

Theorem 4.4 *$L^2(T)$ is strongly complete.*

Proof: The map $\Psi : y \mapsto T_y$ is an $R(T)$-linear $R(T)$-valued vector norm preserving bijection between $L^2(T)$ and $\hat{E}$. So given a strong Cauchy net (y_α) in $L^2(T)$, $(\Psi(y_\alpha)$ is a strong Cauchy net in $\hat{E}$ and is thus strongly convergent to some $\mathfrak{f} \in \hat{E}$. As Ψ is a bijection, there is $y \in L^2(T)$ so that $\mathfrak{f} = \Psi(y) = T_y$. Due to the $R(T)$-linearity of Ψ and this map be $R(T)$-valued vector norm preserving, the strong convergence of $(\Psi(y_\alpha)$ to $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\hat{E}$ yields the strong convergence of (y_α) to y in $L^2(T)$. ■

References

[1] Y. Abramovich, G. Sirotkin, On Order Convergence of Nets, *Positivity*, **9** (2005), 287-292.

[2] C.D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Academic press, 1985.

[3] Y. Azouzi, W.-C. Kuo, K. Ramdane, B. A. Watson, Convergence in Riesz spaces with conditional expectation operators, *Positivity*, **19** (2015), 647-657.

[4] Y. Azouzi, M. Trabelsi, L^p-spaces with respect to conditional expectation on Riesz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **447** (2017), 798-816

[5] S.K. Berberian, *Measure and Integration*, AMS Chelsea, 1965.

[6] B. de Pagter, P. G. Dodds, C. B. Huijsmans, Characterizations of conditional expectation-type operators, *Pacific J. Math.*, **141** (1990), 55-77.

[7] J.J. Grobler, C.C.A. Labuschagne, The Itô integral for Brownian motion in vector lattices: Part 2. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **423** (2015), 820-833.

[8] A. Kalauch, W.-C. Kuo, B. A. Watson, A Hahn-Jordan decomposition and Riesz-Frechet representation theorem in Riesz spaces, *Submitted*, **vol** (2022), pp., ArXiv: 2209.00715v1.

[9] W.-C. Kuo, C. C. A. Labuschagne, B. A. Watson, Conditional expectations on Riesz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **303** (2005), 509-521.

[10] W. Kuo, D. Rodda, B.A. Watson, Sequential strong completeness of the natural domain of Riesz space conditional expectation operators, *Proc. AMS*, **147** (2019), 1597–1603.

[11] W. Kuo, M. Rogans, B.A. Watson, Mixing processes in Riesz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **456** (2017), 992-1004.

[12] C. C. A. Labuschagne, B. A. Watson, Discrete stochastic integration in Riesz spaces, *Positivity*, **14** (2010), 859-875.

[13] W. A. J. Luxemburg, A. C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces*, I, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.

[14] G. Stoica, Martingales in vector lattices, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, N.S.*, **34 (82)** (1990), 357-362.

[15] V. Troitsky, Martingales in Banach lattices, *Positivity*, **9** (2005), 437-456.

[16] J. H. van der Walt, The order convergence structure, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **21** (2011), 138-155.

[17] L. Venter, P. van Eldik, Universally complete Riesz spaces and f-algebras, *Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif vir Wetenskap*, **84** (1988), 343-346.

[18] B. A. Watson, An Andô-Douglas type theorem in Riesz spaces with a conditional expectation, *Positivity,* **13** (2009), 543 - 558.

[19] A. C. Zaanen, *Introduction to Operator Theory in Riesz Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.