

UNIFORM BOUNDS ON S -INTEGRAL TORSION POINTS FOR \mathbb{G}_m AND ELLIPTIC CURVES

JIT WU YAP

ABSTRACT. Let K be a number field, S a finite set of places. For \mathbb{G}_m or an elliptic curve E defined over K , we establish uniformity results on the number of S -integral torsion points relative to a non-torsion point β , as β varies over number fields of bounded degree. In particular for \mathbb{G}_m , if D is a positive integer, we prove a uniform bound on the degree of a torsion point ζ that is S -integral relative to a non-torsion point β with degree $\leq D$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Statements and Results	1
1.2. Overview of Proofs	3
1.3. Acknowledgements	5
2. Quantitative Equidistribution	5
2.1. The Berkovich Projective Line $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$	5
2.2. Quantitative Equidistribution following Favre–Rivera-Letelier	6
3. The Arakelov–Zhang Pairing and S -Integrality	9
3.1. The Arakelov–Zhang Pairing on \mathbb{P}^1	9
3.2. Logarithmic Equidistribution and S -integrality	10
3.3. Convergence to the Arakelov–Zhang Pairing	12
4. Linear Forms in Logarithms	13
5. Quantitative Logarithmic Equidistribution for \mathbb{G}_m and Elliptic Curves	16
5.1. Quantitative Logarithmic Equidistribution	16
5.2. Bounding the distance to preperiodic points for power maps	18
5.3. Bounding the distance to preperiodic points for Lattès Maps	19
5.4. Proof of Main Theorems	23
References	25

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Statements and Results. Let K be a number field and S a finite set of places of K . Given two points $\alpha, \beta : \text{Spec } \overline{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$, we say that they are S -integral relative to each other if their Zariski closures in $\mathbb{P}_{O_K}^1$ do not intersect outside S . Let μ_∞ denote the set of all roots of unity ζ , where $\zeta^n = 1$ for some n . In [BIR08], Baker, Ih and Rumely prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.1, [BIR08]). *Let K be a number field and S a finite set of places. For each $\beta \in \overline{K}^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$, the set of $\zeta \in \mu_\infty$ such that ζ is S -integral relative to β is finite.*

As observed in [BIR08], it is necessary that β not be a root of unity. Our first result is a uniform version of Theorem 1.1. For an algebraic number $x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and a number field K , we let $\deg_K(x) = |\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \cdot x|$ denote the size of the $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbit of x .

Theorem 1.2. *Let S be a finite set of places of \mathbb{Q} and K a number field. Then there exists a constant $C = C([K : \mathbb{Q}], S)$ such that the following holds: for any $\beta \in K^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$, if $\zeta \in \mu_\infty$ is S -integral relative to β then $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta) < C$.*

Thus for a fixed number field K , there are only finitely many roots of unity ζ that can be S -integral relative to any $\beta \in K^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$. In [GI13], Grant and Ih show how one can deduce using results of Schinzel a uniform bound on the order of a root of unity ζ that is S -integral relative to a S -unit $\beta \in K^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$. Here, our results hold without any condition on $|\beta|_v$.

A natural question to ask is how C depends on $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. In [Sil95], a construction of Boyd is given which produces a sequence $\alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that α_n is S -integral relative to some root of unity of order $> \deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n)^{O(1/\log \log \deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n))}$, with $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n)$ tending to infinity. Hence one might expect that C should grow polynomially with $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Our proof of Theorem 1.2 only provides an upper bound that grows exponentially with $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$, but if one allows a small set of exceptions for each β , it is possible to get a polynomial bound. We let S_{fin} denote the subset of S consisting exactly all non-archimedean places.

Theorem 1.3. *Let K be a number field and S be a finite set of places of K . Then there exists a constant $c = c(|S|) > 0$, independent of K , such that for all $\beta \in K^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$, the set*

$$\{\zeta \in \mu_\infty : \deg_K(\zeta) > c[K : \mathbb{Q}]^{10} \text{ and } \zeta \text{ is } S\text{-integral relative to } \beta\}$$

is a union of at most $|S_{\text{fin}}|$ $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits.

For example, if $S = \{2, 3, \infty\}$, then for each $\beta \in \overline{K}^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$ with $[\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq D$, except for $2D$ possible $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -orbits as exceptions, all other roots of unity ζ that are S -integral relative to β must have $< cD^{10}$ conjugates, where $c > 0$ is a constant independent of D and β . Here, the $2D$ comes from taking all the places in $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ that live above S . We may view these exceptions in the same way exceptions arise from Thue–Siegel–Roth.

Baker–Ih–Rumely also prove an analogous result for elliptic curves E , where μ_∞ is replaced by the set of all torsion points of E , which we denote by E_{tor} . Our next theorem is the analogue of Theorem 1.3 for elliptic curves E with complex multiplication. Given an elliptic curve E , we will let $x : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ denote the x coordinate projection after writing the equation for E in a Weierstrass form.

Theorem 1.4. *Let K be a number field and S be a finite set of places of K . Let E be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication and let L be a finite extension of K . Then there exists a constant $C = C([L : K], S)$ such that the following holds: for any $\beta \in E(L) \setminus E_{\text{tor}}$ and $z \in E_{\text{tor}}$, if $x(\beta)$ is S -integral relative to $x(z)$ then $\deg_K(z) < C$.*

The reason that we only prove a theorem about S -integrality of x -coordinates is that one of our main tools is a quantitative equidistribution theorem for points on \mathbb{P}^1 of small height. It is possible to adapt the arguments to remove the projection map x and work directly on the elliptic curve E but we do not attempt to do so. The assumption that our elliptic curve has complex multiplication is needed in our proof of Theorem 1.4 for technical reasons. For our analogue of Theorem 1.3 where we allow finitely many exceptions, we are able to prove a version that holds for all elliptic curves E without any assumption of complex multiplication.

Theorem 1.5. *Let K be a number field, L a finite extension of K and S a finite set of places of L . Let E be an elliptic curve defined over K and let $x : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a degree two projection satisfying $x(z) = x(-z)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(|S|) > 0$, independent of L , such that for all $\beta \in E(L) \setminus E_{\text{tor}}$, the set*

$\{x(z) \text{ for } z \in E_{\text{tor}} \mid \deg_K(x) > c[L : K]^{20} \text{ and } x(z) \text{ is } S\text{-integral relative to } x(\beta)\}$
is a union of at most $|S|$ $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits.

1.2. Overview of Proofs. The main idea is the notion of logarithmic equidistribution. We first reduce to the case of rational maps on \mathbb{P}^1 by considering the Lattès map φ satisfying the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{[2]} & E \\ x \downarrow & & \downarrow x \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where $[2]$ represents the doubling map on E . This helps us translate the problem from torsion points on elliptic curves to preperiodic points for a rational map on \mathbb{P}^1 .

For a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined over our number field K and a place $v \in M_K$, let $\mu_{\varphi,v}$ be the equilibrium measure of φ for v . For archimedean v , this is a probability measure supported on the Julia set of φ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, and in general it is a probability measure on the Berkovich analytification $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ (see [BR10] for more details).

Let $h(x)$ denote the usual logarithmic Weil height on $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and let

$$h_{\varphi}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} h(\varphi^n(x))$$

be the canonical height for φ where d is the degree of φ . By work of Baker–Rumely [BR06], Chambert-Loir [Cha06] and Favre–Rivera-Letelier [FR06], it is known that for a sequence of distinct points $(x_n) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ with dynamical height $h_{\varphi}(x_n)$ tending to zero, the Galois orbits of x_n equidistribute to our equilibrium measure $\mu_{\varphi,v}$. More precisely, if one lets F_n be the Galois orbit of x_n over K , then for any continuous function f on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x) \rightarrow \int f d\mu_{\varphi,v}.$$

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. If one has the above convergence also for the function $f(x) = \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1}$, we say that the sequence (F_n) satisfies logarithmic equidistribution at β . One can then show that if (F_n) satisfies logarithmic equidistribution at β , then only finitely many x_n 's can be S -integral relative to β . This is the strategy used

by Baker–Ih–Rumely [BIR08] and by Petsche [Pet08]. Outside the case of power maps and elliptic curves, logarithmic equidistribution has also been established by Szpiro–Tucker [ST12] in the setting of taking $F_n = \varphi^{-n}(\alpha)$ as a whole, instead of looking at individual Galois orbits. This has also been used in other contexts, such as by Benedetto–Ih in studying S -integral points on a moduli space [BI20] or by Dimitrov–Habbeger on S -integral points on \mathbb{G}_m^n [DH19].

To obtain uniform results, we will have to bound the rate of logarithmic equidistribution in terms of the Weil height $h(\beta)$. We follow the approach of Baker–Ih–Rumely, where we break into two steps. The first step is to bound how close a preperiodic point x can be to β , in terms of the size of the Galois orbit of x . The second is to bound how many points in the Galois orbit of x can be close to β . In [BIR08], the second step is done by an equidistribution theorem that is specific to the cases of $\varphi(z) = z^d$ and elliptic curves. We however use a general quantitative equidistribution theorem due to Favre and Rivera-Letelier [FR06] and are able to do the second step for any rational map $\varphi(z)$ of degree $d \geq 2$. To be precise, we obtain the following technical lemma.

Lemma 1.6. *(Lemma 5.2) Let $v \in M_K$ be a place of K and $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be a point. Fix some δ with $\frac{1}{2} > \delta > 0$ and a rational map φ over K of degree $d \geq 2$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(\varphi, \delta) > 0$ such that for any $A > 0$, if*

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < A(h(\beta) + 1)|F|^{1/2-\delta}$$

for some $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set F of preperiodic points, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|F|^\delta} \sqrt{\log |F|} A(h(\beta) + \log^+ |\beta|_v + 1).$$

Here, $\lambda_v(x, y)$ is the logarithmic v -adic chordal distance. This reduces our problem to showing the existence of a constant $A > 0$ along with a fixed $\delta > 0$ such that for any Galois orbit F of preperiodic points, we have

$$\max_{x \in F} \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < A(h(\beta) + 1)|F|^{1/2-\delta}.$$

For a general rational map, such a bound seems hard to obtain. But for archimedean v , when $\varphi(z) = z^d$, such a bound can be obtained using linear forms in logarithms. We then use a result due to Laurent, Mignotte and Nesterenko [LMN95] which give us the bound we want. For non-archimedean v , the bounds arising from p -adic linear forms in logarithms have a factor of p^D where $D = [\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}]$, which gives us an exponential bound in D instead of a polynomial one. To achieve a polynomial bound, we use a simple discreteness property that roots of unity satisfy in non-archimedean places, which leads us to allowing one exception for each finite place v .

In the case of elliptic curves, there is a theory of linear forms in elliptic logarithms. Using results of David–Hirata-Kohno [DH09], it turns out that in general, the bounds obtained are not strong enough to prove a version of Theorem 1.2 for Lattès maps as there is an extra factor of a power of $\log^+ h(\beta)$ that appears in our upper bound on $\log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1}$. This forces us to allow the possible existence of an exceptional Galois orbit F for each place that could be S -integral relative to β in order to strengthen our upper bound. For elliptic curves with complex multiplication, Ably and Gaudron [AG03] have proven stronger bounds where there

is no extra $\log^+ h(\beta)$ factor, which allows us to obtain Theorem 1.4 for CM elliptic curves. The non-archimedean place is more technical compared to that of z^d for places v where the elliptic curve E has bad reduction, especially since we work with Lattès maps $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and one has to account for the distortion coming from the projection $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. But one can still show some form of discreteness and we are able to conclude our uniform bounds.

Let us mention that there are analogous results of Baker–Ih–Rumely in the setting of Drinfeld modules [Ghi14]. We expect that the methods here, combined with the equidistribution theorem proven in [GH13], would lead to uniform bounds too. We also would like to mention there is a general conjecture by Ih on the finiteness of S -integrality of preperiodic points. Given a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, we say that a point x is preperiodic if $\varphi^m(x) = \varphi^n(x)$ for some distinct natural numbers m, n .

Conjecture 1.7. (*Ih’s Conjecture*) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$ defined over a number field K and S a finite set of places of K . For any non-preperiodic point $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, there are only finitely many preperiodic points $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ that are S -integral relative to β .*

Ih’s conjecture has been proven for power and Lattès maps by Baker–Ih–Rumely [BIR08], and for Chebyshev Maps by Ih–Tucker [IT10]. Petsche [Pet08] has established the conjecture for any rational map φ of degree $d \geq 2$, but under the additional assumption that β is a totally Fatou point. Quantitative results in Petsche’s setting have recently been obtained by Young [You22].

1.3. Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank our advisor Laura DeMarco, along with Niki Myrto Mavraki and Yan Sheng Ang for helpful discussions about the paper and the problem. The author would like to thank Paul Fili, Dragos Ghioca and Marley Young for helpful comments.

2. QUANTITATIVE EQUIDISTRIBUTION

We now recall the quantitative version of equidistribution as proven by Favre–Rivera-Letelier in [FR06]. Such quantitative equidistribution methods have been used in [Fil17], [DKY20], [DKY22] and [You22] to obtain uniform results. We first introduce the Berkovich projective line $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$.

2.1. The Berkovich Projective Line $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. Let \mathbb{C}_v be an algebraically closed field that is complete with respect to a non-archimedean valuation v . When attempting to study dynamics of a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$, it turns out that it is more natural to study the situation over the Berkovich analytification $\varphi : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. Interested readers may consult [Ben19] for a thorough treatment of the theory.

Let $D(a, r) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ be the open disc that is centered at a with radius r . Each such disc corresponds to a point on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. When $r = 0$, we can identify this with the usual point $a \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$. This are known as the Type I points, or also as the classical points. Let $\zeta(a, r)$ denote the point on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ corresponding to the disc $D(a, r)$. When r is an element of the value group $|\mathbb{C}_v|^\times$, we say that $\zeta(a, r)$ is a Type II point. If it is not, we say that $\zeta(a, r)$ is a Type III point. The remaining points of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ are called Type IV points, and they correspond to a nested intersection

of discs $\cdots \subseteq D_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq D_1$ such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n = \emptyset$ but their radii do not go to zero. Finally, $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ consists of $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ along with an extra type I point called ∞ .

Any element of $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}_v[z]$ extends to a function on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and the topology on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ is given the weakest topology such that all polynomials $f(z)$ are continuous on $\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. This makes $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ into a compact and path-connected space where $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$ sits inside as a dense subset.

The Berkovich space allows one to develop a suitable analogue of the Laplacian $\Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2})$ for non-archimedean places. This is developed in full in Baker-Rumely [BR10]. For a suitable class of continuous functions $f : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, its Laplacian Δf is a signed Borel measure on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ of total mass zero. Also, it is a self-adjoint operator in the sense that

$$\int f \Delta g = \int g \Delta f.$$

This fact will be repeatedly used later in computations. Here, we note that our Laplacian is normalized so that $\Delta \log |z| = \delta_0 - \delta_{\infty}$. Using non-archimedean potential theory, it is then possible to construct an analogue of the equilibrium measure $\mu_{\varphi,v}$ for a given rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$ of degree $d \geq 2$. For example, if φ has good reduction, it is simply the delta mass at the Gauss point $\zeta(0,1)$.

2.2. Quantitative Equidistribution following Favre–Rivera-Letelier. Let K be a number field, K_v its completion for a place v and \mathbb{C}_v the completion of \overline{K}_v . Let M_K denote the places of v . We first introduce some definitions from [FR06]. For each $v \in M_K$, let ρ_v be a measure on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$.

We say that ρ_v has continuous potentials if $\rho_v = \lambda_v + \Delta g$ for some continuous function g which is the difference of two continuous subharmonic functions. Here, λ_v is the delta mass at the Gauss point $\delta_{\zeta(0,1)}$ for non-archimedean v and is the uniform probability measure supported on the unit circle for archimedean v . We say that ρ_v has Hölder-continuous potentials with exponent κ with respect to a metric d if furthermore there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|g(z) - g(w)| \leq Cd(z, w)^{\kappa}$$

for all classical points $z, w \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$.

A collection of measures $\rho = (\rho_v)_{v \in M_K}$ is said to be an adelic measure if each ρ_v has continuous potentials and $\rho_v = \lambda_v$ for all but finitely many v . Given two measures ρ_v, ρ'_v on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$, we define a bilinear form by

$$(\rho_v, \rho'_v)_v = - \int_{\mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_{\text{Berk},v}^1 \setminus \text{Diag}} \log |z - w|_v d\rho_v(z) d\rho'_v(w)$$

where $\text{Diag} = \{(z, z), z \in \mathbb{C}_v\}$ is the diagonal of classical points. This integral exists if both ρ_v, ρ'_v either have continuous potentials or are discrete point masses. For a finite set of points $F \subset \overline{K}$ that is $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant, we can then define its height with respect to ρ as

$$h_{\rho}(F) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in M_K} (([F] - \rho_v, [F] - \rho_v))_v$$

where $[F] = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \delta_x$ and $((\ , \)) = N_v(\ , \)$ with $N_v = [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]/[K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

For an infinite place v , we say a continuous function $f : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C_{sph}^k if it is C^k with respect to the spherical metric

$$d_{\text{sph}}(x, y) = \frac{|x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1|_v}{\max\{|x_1|_v, |x_2|_v\} \max\{|y_1|_v, |y_2|_v\}}$$

where $x = [x_1 : x_2]$ and $y = [y_1 : y_2]$. For a finite place v , we say $f : \mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C_{sph}^k if it is locally constant outside of a finite subtree $T \subset \mathbb{H}_v$ and T is a finite union of segments where f is of the usual class C^k on. Given f of class C_{sph}^k for $k \geq 1$, we define

$$\langle f, f \rangle_v = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)^2 dx dy$$

if v is archimedean. If v is non-archimedean, we fix a basepoint $S_0 \in \mathbb{H}_v$ and let $\partial f(S)$ be the derivative of f restricted to the segment $[S_0, S]$. Then we define

$$\langle f, f \rangle_v = \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)} (\partial f)^2 d\lambda.$$

We note that these definitions of energy still work if one assumes f is merely continuous, if we use the weak derivative instead. We can now state the quantitative equidistribution result of Favre–Rivera-Letelier.

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 7, [FR06]). *Let $\rho = \{\rho_v\}_{v \in M_K}$ be an adelic measure where each ρ_v has Hölder-continuous potentials of exponent $\kappa \leq 1$ with respect to the spherical metric. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, only depending on ρ , such that for all places v and all functions f of class C_{sph}^1 on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$, and for all finite $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant sets F , we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{\alpha \in F} f(\alpha) - \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1} f d\rho_v \right| \leq \frac{\text{Lip}_{\text{sph}}(f)}{|F|^{1/\kappa}} + \left(2h_\rho(F) + C \frac{\log |F|}{|F|} \right)^{1/2} \langle f, f \rangle_v^{1/2}.$$

Here, Lip_{sph} is the Lipschitz constant for f with respect to the spherical metric.

We wish to apply the theorem to functions that are Hölder-continuous and not necessarily differentiable. Thus we have to extend the theorem to a larger class of test functions. Also, we want to replace the spherical metric with the standard Euclidean metric, which we do so at the cost of assuming $\infty \notin F$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\rho = \{\rho_v\}_{v \in M_K}$ be an adelic measure where each ρ_v has Hölder-continuous potential of exponent $\kappa \leq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, only depending on ρ , such that for all places v and all continuous functions f on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$ such that $\triangle f$ has Hölder-continuous potentials of exponent κ' with respect to the Euclidean metric $|x - y|_v$, and for all finite $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant sets F not containing ∞ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{\alpha \in F} f(\alpha) - \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1} f d\rho_v \right| \leq \frac{\text{Hol}_{\kappa'}(f)}{|F|^{\kappa'/\kappa}} + (2h_\rho(F) + C \frac{\log |F|}{|F|})^{1/2} (\triangle f, \triangle f)_v^{1/2}$$

where $\text{Hol}_{\kappa'}(f)$ is a constant for the Hölder continuity of f with exponent κ' .

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 7 of [FR06]. The first part of the proof still applies, giving us the inequality

$$(([F]_\epsilon - \rho_v, [F]_\epsilon - \rho_v)_v \leq 2h_\rho(F) + M(2\eta(\epsilon) + |F|^{-1}(C + \log \epsilon^{-1}))$$

where $\eta(\epsilon) = \hat{\eta}(\epsilon) + \epsilon$, with $\hat{\eta}(\epsilon)$ being a modulus of continuity for all places v where $\rho_v \neq \lambda_v$. Here as our points lie in $\mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$, we can regularize using the standard metric $|x - y|_v$ and so $\hat{\eta}$ can be taken with respect to the Euclidean metric $|x - y|_v$. Then as $\eta(\epsilon) \leq C'\epsilon^\kappa$ for some $C' > 0$, taking $\epsilon = |F|^{-1/\kappa}$ gives us

$$([F]_\epsilon - \rho_v, [F]_\epsilon - \rho_v)_v \leq 2h_\rho(F) + C \frac{\log |F|}{|F|}$$

for a new constant $C > 0$ that depends only on ρ . Now observe that

$$\int f d([F]_\epsilon - \rho_v) = \int \int \log |x - y|_v \Delta f d([F]_\epsilon - \rho_v) = (\Delta f, [F]_\epsilon - \rho_v).$$

Thus by Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int f d([F]_\epsilon - \rho_v) \right| &\leq (\Delta f, \Delta f)^{1/2} ([F]_\epsilon - \rho_v, [F]_\epsilon - \rho_v)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (2h_\rho(F) + C \frac{\log |F|}{|F|})^{1/2} (\Delta f, \Delta f)_v^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we just need to bound $|\int f d([F]_\epsilon - [F])|$, which is bounded by $\text{Hol}_{\kappa'}(f)\epsilon^{\kappa'}$. Since $\epsilon = |F|^{-1/\kappa}$, our proposition follows. \square

Let F be a $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set of preperiodic points. For a place v , we want to estimate $|F| \cap D(x, \epsilon)$ where $D(x, \epsilon)$ is the disc of radius ϵ centered at x . By equidistribution, as $|F| \rightarrow \infty$ we should get $\mu(D(x, \epsilon))|F|$ many points, where μ is the equilibrium measure for v . We will use quantitative equidistribution to obtain an upper bound.

Proposition 2.3. *Let F be a $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set of preperiodic points with $|F| = m$ and let κ be a Hölder exponent for $\mu_{\varphi, v}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$|F \cap D(x, \epsilon)| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon m^{1/\kappa-1}} + m \cdot \mu_{\varphi, v}(D(x, e \cdot \epsilon)) + C \sqrt{m \log m}.$$

Here, we interpret $D(x, \epsilon)$ as an open Berkovich disc in the Berkovich space $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x = 0$. For v archimedean, consider the function

$$f(z) = \min(1, \max(0, \log |\frac{z}{\epsilon}|))$$

and for v non-archimedean, let $f(z)$ be the continuous function on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1$ that linearly interpolates 0 on $\zeta(0, \epsilon)$ and 1 on $\zeta(0, e\epsilon)$, and whose value on all other points x is the value at the retraction of x to the path between $\zeta(0, \epsilon)$ and $\zeta(0, e\epsilon)$. Then $\Delta f = \delta_{\zeta(0, \epsilon)} - \delta_{\zeta(0, e\epsilon)}$ and $0 \leq f \leq 1$. One may then check that $(\Delta f, \Delta f) = \log e = 1$ for any place v .

Applying Proposition 2.2, for any Hölder exponent $\kappa \leq 1$, we get a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha \in F} f(\alpha) - \int f d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| \leq \frac{\text{Lip}_{\text{std}}(f)}{m^{1/\kappa}} + (h_\varphi(F) + C \frac{\log m}{m})^{1/2} (\Delta f, \Delta f)_v^{1/2}.$$

Since F consists of preperiodic points, we have $h_\varphi(F) = 0$. The Lipschitz constant may be bounded by $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ in both archimedean and non-archimedean cases, and we

have $\langle f, f \rangle \leq 2$. Using the fact that $\int f d\mu_{\varphi,v} \leq \mu_{\varphi,v}(D(0, e\epsilon))$ by construction, we get

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha \in F_n} f(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon m^{1/\kappa}} + \mu_{\varphi,v}(D(0, e\epsilon)) + C \frac{\sqrt{\log m}}{\sqrt{m}}$$

where we absorbed 2 into the constant C . Multiplying m over, we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in F_n} f(\alpha) \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon m^{1/\kappa-1}} + m \cdot \mu_{\varphi,v}(D(0, e\epsilon)) + C \sqrt{m \log m}.$$

Finally we observe that for any $x \in D(0, \epsilon)$, we have $f(x) = 1$ and so it follows that

$$|F \cap D(0, \epsilon)| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon m^{1/\kappa-1}} + m \cdot \mu_{\varphi,v}(D(0, e\epsilon)) + C \sqrt{m \log m}.$$

□

3. THE ARAKELOV–ZHANG PAIRING AND S-INTEGRALITY

In this section, we introduce the Arakelov–Zhang pairing on \mathbb{P}^1 , following the approach of [PST12]. We then use it to relate logarithmic equidistribution with finiteness of S -integral points and also establish quantitative bounds on the convergence to the pairing.

3.1. The Arakelov–Zhang Pairing on \mathbb{P}^1 . As always, let K be a number field, M_K the set of places of K and \mathbb{C}_v the completion of \overline{K}_v .

Let L be a line bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 . For each place $v \in M_K$, the line bundle L extends to a line bundle L_v on $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. A continuous metric $\|\cdot\|_v$ on L_v is a continuous function $\|\cdot\|_v : L_v \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ that induces a norm on each fiber L_x as a \mathbb{C}_v -vector space. The metric is said to be semi-positive if for a section $s(x)$, the function $\log |s(x)| : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is subharmonic and is said to be integrable if $\log |s(x)|$ can be written as a difference of two subharmonic functions.

For $L = O(1)$, there is a standard metric $\|\cdot\|_{\text{std},v}$ given by

$$\|s(x)\|_{\text{std},v} = \frac{|s(x_0, x_1)|_v}{\max\{|x_0|_v, |x_1|_v\}}$$

where $s(x_0, x_1)$ is the linear polynomial in $\mathbb{C}_v[x_0, x_1]$ representing the section s and $x = [x_0 : x_1]$. For $L = O(1)$, an integrable adelic metric is a family of metrics where $\|\cdot\|_v$ is a continuous integrable metric for L over $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. We further impose that for all but finitely many v , we have $\|\cdot\|_v = \|\cdot\|_{\text{std},v}$.

Given a finite set $F \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ which is $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant and a section s of $O(1)$ with $\text{div}(s) \notin F$, we can define its height with respect to our adelic line bundle \overline{L} by

$$h_{\overline{L}}(F) = \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \log \|s(x)\|_v^{-1}$$

where $N_v = \frac{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_v]}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$. For a rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined over K with degree $d \geq 2$, let \overline{L}_φ be a canonical adelic line bundle for φ (3.5, [PST12]). The height $h_{\overline{L}_\varphi}$ agrees with the canonical height h_φ .

Let $\overline{L}_1, \overline{L}_2$ be two semi-positive adelically metrized line bundles with $O(1)$ as its underlying bundle. Let s, t be two sections with $\text{div}(s) \cap \text{div}(t) = \emptyset$. For a place v ,

we can define the local Arakelov-Zhang pairing between $\overline{L}_1, \overline{L}_2$ with respect to s and t as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{L}_1, \overline{L}_2 \rangle_{s,t,v} &= \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1} \log \|s(x)\|_{v,1} \triangle \log \|t(x)\|_{v,2} \\ &= \log \|s(\text{div}(t))\|_{v,1} - \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1} \log \|s(x)\|_{v,1} d\mu_{\overline{L}_{v,2}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $\triangle \log \|t(x)\|_{v,2} = \text{div}(t) - d\mu_{\overline{L}_{v,2}}$ and $d\mu_{\overline{L}_{v,2}}$ is independent of t . Note that we integrated over $\log |s(x)|$ instead of $\log |s(x)|^{-1}$ as our Laplacian differs from that of [PST12] by a negative sign. The global Arakelov-Zhang pairing is then defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overline{L}_1, \overline{L}_2 \rangle_{s,t} &= \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \langle \overline{L}_1, \overline{L}_2 \rangle_{s,t,v} + h_{\overline{L}_1}(\text{div}(t)) + h_{\overline{L}_2}(\text{div}(s)) \\ &= h_{\overline{L}_2}(\text{div}(s)) + \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1} \log \|s(x)\|_{v,1}^{-1} d\mu_{\overline{L}_{v,2}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Unlike the local pairing, this does not depend on the choice of s and t and we may remove it from the notation.

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 11, [PST12]). *Let φ be a rational function of degree $d \geq 2$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and let \overline{L}_φ be a canonical adelization for $O(1)$. Then for any other adelically metrized \overline{L} , for any sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant multiset (F_n) with $h_{\overline{L}_\varphi}(F_n) \rightarrow 0$, we have*

$$h_{\overline{L}}(F_n) \rightarrow \langle \overline{L}, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is exactly the same as Theorem 11 of [PST12]. To be precise, the proof tells us that

$$h_{\overline{L}}(F_n) \rightarrow \langle \overline{L}, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle - \langle \overline{L}_\varphi, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle$$

and the second term is zero by Proposition 10 of [PST12]. We note that the proof tells us the rate of convergence is controlled by $h_{\overline{L}_\varphi}(F)$ along with the rate of equidistribution of the function $f_v = \frac{\log \|s(x)\|_{v,\epsilon,\varphi}}{\log \|s(x)\|_v}$ where ϵ is some polarization for φ . This gives us the following corollary of the proof.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\varphi, \overline{L}_\varphi, \overline{L}$ and F_n be as in Theorem 3.1. Then*

$$|h_{\overline{L}}(F_n) - \langle \overline{L}, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle| \leq h_\varphi(F_n) + \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_v(x) - \int f_v(x) d\mu_\varphi(x) \right|.$$

3.2. Logarithmic Equidistribution and S-integrality. For $x, y \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)$, let

$$d_{\text{sph}}(x, y) = \frac{|x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1|_v}{\max\{|x_1|_v, |x_2|_v\} \max\{|y_1|_v, |y_2|_v\}}$$

be the v -adic chordal metric where $x = [x_1 : x_2]$ and $y = [y_1 : y_2]$. The logarithmic chordal metric $\lambda_v(x, y)$ is defined to as

$$\lambda_v(x, y) = -\log d_{\text{sph}}(x, y).$$

The function $\lambda_v(x, y)$ is non-negative and it detects S -integrality in the following manner. Given two points $\alpha, \beta : \text{Spec } \overline{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^1$, the Zariski closure of x and y in

$\mathbb{P}_{O_K}^1$ do not intersect above the place v if and only if $\lambda_v(\alpha', \beta') = 0$ for all Galois conjugates α', β' of α, β .

Let $\beta \in K$ and consider the section $s(x) = x_0 - \beta x_1$ of $O(1)$. We then set \overline{L}_β be to be the adelic line bundle where for a place $v \in M_K$, we have the metric $\log \|s(x)\|_{v,\beta}^{-1} = \lambda_v(x, \beta)$. For any non-archimedean place v , we have

$$\|s(x_0 : x_1)\|_{v,\beta} = \frac{|x_0 - \beta x_1|_v}{\max\{|x_0|_v, |x_1|_v\} \max\{1, |\beta|_v\}}$$

and so if $|\beta|_v = 1$, we obtain the standard metric. Thus we get an adelic line bundle. The corresponding height function is then given by

$$h_\beta(x) = \frac{1}{|F_x|} \sum_{y \in F_x} \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \log \|s(y)\|_{v,\beta}^{-1} = \frac{1}{|F_x|} \sum_{y \in F_x} \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \lambda_v(y, \beta).$$

This extends to a height function for any $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set F .

By Theorem 3.1, as $h_\varphi(F_n) \rightarrow 0$, we have $h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F_n) \rightarrow \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle$. But by design, we have $h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F_n) = h_\beta(F_n)$. Hence as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Theorem 3.1 we have $h_\beta(F_n) \rightarrow \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle$. Now expanding the definition of the pairing by using the section $s(x) = x_0 - \beta x_1$, we have

$$\langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle = h_\varphi(\beta) + \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \int_{\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_v)} \log \|s(x)\|_{v,\beta}^{-1} d\mu_{\varphi,v}(x).$$

We thus obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let F_n be a sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant sets such that $h_\varphi(F_n) \rightarrow 0$. Then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, we have*

$$h_\beta(F_n) \rightarrow h_\varphi(\beta) + \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi,v}(x).$$

For each place v , by standard equidistribution theorems we have weak convergence of measures $\frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} \delta_x \rightarrow \mu_\varphi$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk},v}^1$. We now attempt to enlarge the space of test functions.

Definition 3.1. *Let (F_n) be a sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant sets such that $h_\varphi(F_n) \rightarrow 0$. We say that (F_n) satisfies logarithmic equidistribution at β if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} \lambda_v(x, \beta) \rightarrow \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi,v}.$$

We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let (F_n) be a sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant sets such that $h_\varphi(F_n) \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore assume that (F_n) satisfies logarithmic equidistribution at β . Let S be a finite set of places including the archimedean places. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[h_\beta(F_n) - \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F_n} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) \right] \geq h_\varphi(\beta).$$

In particular, if β is not preperiodic then there are only finitely many F_n 's that are S -integral with respect to β .

Proof. Since $h_\varphi(F_n) \rightarrow 0$, by Proposition 3.3, we have

$$h_\beta(F_n) \rightarrow h_\varphi(\beta) + \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v}(x).$$

Now as (F_n) satisfies logarithmic equidistribution at β , we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{x \in F_n} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) = N_v \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[h_\beta(F_n) - \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F_n} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) \right] \\ &= h_\varphi(\beta) + \sum_{v \in M_K \setminus S} N_v \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\text{Berk}, v}^1} \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_\varphi(x) \geq h_\varphi(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

as $\lambda_v(x, \beta) \geq 0$. In particular, if β is non-preperiodic, then $h_\varphi(\beta) > 0$ and so for all large n we must have

$$h_\beta(F_n) - \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F_n} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) > 0$$

Now let's assume that F_n is S -integral relative to β for infinitely many n . Then $\lambda_v(x, \beta) = 0$ for all $v \notin S$ and $x \in F_n$. By the definition of $h_\beta(F_n)$, we have

$$h_\beta(F_n) = \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{v \in M_K} \sum_{x \in F_n} \lambda_v(x, \beta) = \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F_n} \lambda_v(x, \beta).$$

But we know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_\beta(F_n) > \frac{1}{|F_n|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F_n} \lambda_v(x, \beta)$ and so we obtain a contradiction. Thus for all sufficiently large n , we cannot have F_n being S -integral relative to β . \square

3.3. Convergence to the Arakelov–Zhang Pairing. In this subsection, We apply our quantitative equidistribution theorem to the Arakelov–Zhang pairing. Let \overline{L}_β be the adelic line bundle as in 4.2, where the metric on the section $s(x) = x_0 - \beta x_1$ gives rise to $\lambda_v(x, \beta)$ for the place v . For a rational function φ , let \overline{L}_φ be the adelic line bundle equipped with the canonical metric $\|\cdot\|_{\varphi, v}$ for each place v . Let $f_v = \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \log \|s(x)\|_{\varphi, v}$. We wish to estimate the rate of convergence for f_v .

We observe that $\triangle f_v = \zeta(0, 1) - \mu_{\varphi, v}$, and so differs from the dynamical Green's function based at $\zeta(0, 1)$ by a constant. In particular, it is independent of β and only depends on the place v . Thus it is Hölder continuous for some exponent κ' independent of β . Applying Proposition 2.2 with $\kappa = 2\kappa'$ and combining constants, we obtain

Proposition 3.5. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set F , we have*

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_v(x) - \int f_v(x) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|F|^{1/2}} + (h_\varphi(F) + \frac{\log |F|}{|F|})^{1/2} \right).$$

We now obtain a bound on the rate of convergence of the Arakelov–Zhang pairing, where fix the rational map φ but β is allowed to vary in \overline{K} .

Proposition 3.6. *Let φ be a rational map defined over K of degree $d \geq 2$. There exists $C_{AZ,\varphi} > 0$ depending only on φ such that for all $\beta \in \overline{K}$,*

$$|h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F) - \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle| \leq h_\varphi(F) + C_{AZ,\varphi} \left(h_\varphi(F)^{1/2} + \frac{1 + \log |F|^{1/2}}{|F|^{1/2}} \right).$$

Proof. Let K' be an extension of K that contains β and let S be the finite set of places of K where $\mu_{\varphi,v} \neq \delta_{\zeta(0,1)}$. Recall from Corollary 3.2 that for any place $v \in M_K$, we have the function

$$f_v = \frac{\log \|s(x)\|_{v,\epsilon,\varphi}}{\log \|s(x)\|_v}$$

where ϵ is some polarization for φ , whose equidistribution rate controls our bound for the Arakelov–Zhang pairing. Then for any place $v \notin S$ and $w \in M_{K'}$ that extends v , we have that f_w is constant. Thus by Corollary 3.2, we have

$$|h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F) - \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle| \leq h_\varphi(F) + \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{w|v} N_w \left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_w(x) - \int f_w(x) d\mu_{\varphi,w} \right|.$$

Since $f_w(x) = f_v(x)$, we can use Proposition 3.5 to obtain a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F) - \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle| \leq h_\varphi(F) + C \left(\frac{1}{|F|^{1/2}} + (h_\varphi(F) + \frac{\log |F|}{|F|})^{1/2} \right) \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{w|v} N_w.$$

Now we note that $\sum_{v \in S} \sum_{w|v} N_w = \sum_{v \in S} N_v$ and thus is a constant independent of our extension K' . Using the inequality $(x+y)^{1/2} \leq x^{1/2} + y^{1/2}$, we get what we desired. \square

4. LINEAR FORMS IN LOGARITHMS

In this section, we collect the relevant theorems from diophantine approximation that will be needed to prove our main theorems. The main tool that we will be needing is linear forms in logarithms. This was first developed by Baker (see [Bak75]) that allowed one to give lower bounds to quantities of the form $|a_1^{b_1} \cdots a_n^{b_n} - 1|$ in terms of the heights of a_i and b_i .

The first result that we need is a theorem of Laurent, Mignotte and Nesterenko.

Theorem 4.1. *(Theorem 3 [LMN95]) Let α be an algebraic number with $|\alpha| = 1$ which is not a root of unity and let b_1, b_2 be positive integers. Define $\Lambda = b_1 i\pi - b_2 \log \alpha$. Let*

$$D = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}]/2, \quad a = \max\{20, 10.98|\log \alpha| + Dh(\alpha)\},$$

$$H = \max \left\{ 17, \frac{\sqrt{D}}{10}, D \log \left(\frac{b_1}{2a} + \frac{b_2}{68.9} \right) + 2.35D + 5.03 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -8.87aH^2.$$

Corollary 4.2. *Let β be an algebraic number with $|\beta| = 1$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that for any root of unity ζ of order n , we have*

$$\log |\beta - \zeta| \geq -C_\epsilon [\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}]^3 (h(\beta) + 1)n^\epsilon.$$

Proof. Since β and ζ are both on the unit circle, it suffices to bound how close their angles are. Thus we wish to bound the quantity $\log |\frac{k}{n}\pi i - \log \beta|$. Since Theorem 4.1 requires b_1, b_2 to be integers, we will instead bound $\log |k\pi i - n \log \beta|$ which incurs at most an extra $\log n$. As we may take $|\log \beta|$ to be less than 2π , and so we can take a to be $O([\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}](h(\beta) + 1))$. For H , as $b_1, b_2 = O(n)$, we can take H to be $O(D \log n)$. Thus we can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\log |k\pi i - n \log \beta| \geq -C[\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}]^3(h(\beta) + 1)(\log n)^2.$$

Since $\log n \ll n^\epsilon$ for all large enough n , we obtain that

$$\log \left| \frac{k}{n}\pi i - \log \beta \right| \geq -C_\epsilon[\mathbb{Q}(\beta) : \mathbb{Q}]^3(h(\beta) + 1)n^\epsilon$$

as desired. \square

We now move onto the case of elliptic curves. We will require a version of linear forms on logarithms that handle points on elliptic curves. Let E be an elliptic curve and fix an isomorphism $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \simeq E(\mathbb{C})$, where Λ is the period lattice. This map is given by $z \mapsto [\wp(z) : \wp'(z) : 1]$ where $\wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass p-function associated to the lattice Λ . If we let ω_1, ω_2 be a basis for the lattice Λ and Π a fundamental domain for \mathbb{C}/Λ , then we may view our isomorphism $\Pi \simeq E(\mathbb{C})$ as an exponential map and we denote the inverse map by \log_E . We now state a theorem due to David–Hirata–Kohno with some simplifications done. For an elliptic curve E , we let h_E denote the Neron–Tate height on it.

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem 1, [DH02]). *Let k be a positive integer and let E be an elliptic curve defined over some number field K . There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following hold: Let K' be a number field of degree D over K and $L(z) = \beta_0 z_0 + \dots + \beta_k z_k$ be a non-zero linear form on \mathbb{C}^{k+1} with coefficients in K' . Let u_1, \dots, u_k be complex numbers such that $\gamma_i = (1, \wp(u_i), \wp'(u_i)) \in E(K')$. Let B, V_1, \dots, V_k be real numbers satisfying*

$$\log B \geq \max\{1, h(\beta_i); 0 \leq i \leq k\}$$

$$V_1 \geq \dots \geq V_k$$

$$\log V_i \geq \max \left\{ e, h_E(\gamma_i), \frac{|u_i|^2}{D} \right\}.$$

Then if $L(v) \neq 0$ for $v = (1, u_1, \dots, u_k)$, we have

$$\log |L(v)| \geq -CD^{2k+2}(\log B + \log(eD) + \log \log V_1)(\log(eD) + \log \log V_1)^{k+1} \prod_{i=1}^k (1 + \log V_i).$$

Corollary 4.4. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a given number field K . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ for which the following holds: Let L/K be an extension of K with degree D . Let b_1, b_2 a rational numbers and α an element of $E(L)$. Let*

$$\Lambda = b_1 + b_2\omega + \log_E \alpha$$

where our elliptic curve E is given by the period lattice spanned by $\{1, \omega\}$. Let $B > 0$ be a constant such that $\log B > \max\{1, h(b_1), h(b_2)\}$. Then if $\Lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -CD^6(\log D + 1)^2 \log B(h_E(\alpha) + 1)(\log^+ h_E(\alpha) + 1)^4.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.3 with $k = 2$. Then $\log V_1$ may be taken as $O(1)$, and $\log V_2$ taken as $O(h_E(\alpha))$ as $|u_i|$'s are bounded. The result then follows immediately. \square

Observe that there is an extra $\log^+ h_E(\alpha)$ factor in Corollary 4.4, as compared to Corollary 4.2. This factor is the main obstruction in proving a uniform result like Theorem 1.2 for elliptic curves in general. For elliptic curves with complex multiplication, Ably and Graudon [AG03] have managed to remove the $\log^+ h_E(\alpha)$ factor, which will allow us to prove Theorem 1.4. We now state a special case of their theorem that we need, rephrased in quantities that we use in Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.5 (Theorem 0.1 [AG03]). *Let k be a positive integer and let E be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication that is defined over some number field K . There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that the following hold: Let K' be a number field of degree D over K and $L(z) = \beta_0 z_0 + \cdots + \beta_k z_k$ be a non-zero linear form on \mathbb{C}^{k+1} with coefficients in K' . Let u_1, \dots, u_k be complex numbers such that $\gamma_i = (1, \wp(u_i), \wp'(u_i)) \in E(K')$. Let B, V_1, \dots, V_k be real numbers satisfying*

$$\log B \geq \max\{1, h(\beta_i); 0 \leq i \leq k\}$$

$$V_1 \geq \cdots \geq V_k$$

$$\log V_i \geq \max\{e, h_E(\gamma_i), \frac{|u_i|^2}{D}\}.$$

Then if $L(v) \neq 0$ for $v = (1, u_1, \dots, u_k)$, we have

$$\log |L(v)| \geq -C(D^n(1 + D \log(1 + D)) \prod_{i=1}^n \log V_i)$$

$$\times (D \log B + \log(e + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |u_i| + \log \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{1, \frac{1}{|u_i|}\})).$$

Corollary 4.6. *Let E be an elliptic curve with complex multiplication that is defined over a number field K and let D be a positive integer. Then there exists a constant C such that the following holds: Let b_1, b_2 be two rational numbers and α an element of $E(L)$ where $[L : K] \leq D$. Let*

$$\Lambda = b_1 + b_2 \omega + \log_E \alpha$$

where our elliptic curve E is given by the period lattice spanned by $\{1, \omega\}$. Let $B > 0$ be a constant such that $\log B > \max\{1, h(b_1), h(b_2)\}$. Then if $\Lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -C(h_E(\alpha) + 1) \log B.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.5, where now since we fix an upper bound of the degree D and do not care about the dependency on D , we can treat D as a constant. Then we may choose $|u_i|$ to be some bounded domain away from the origin, so that both $|u_i|$ and $\frac{1}{|u_i|}$ are bounded. We are then left with the $\prod_{i=1}^n \log V_i$ term, which can be chosen to be $O(\log_E h(\alpha))$ as desired. \square

5. QUANTITATIVE LOGARITHMIC EQUIDISTRIBUTION FOR \mathbb{G}_m AND ELLIPTIC CURVES

Let $\varphi(z)$ be either z^d or a Lattès map associated to our elliptic curve E . By this, we mean the rational map $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ that fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{[2]} & E \\ x \downarrow & & x \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

5.1. Quantitative Logarithmic Equidistribution. As shown in [BIR08], for $\varphi(z) = z^d$ or a Lattès map associated to E , along with any sequence of distinct preperiodic points and $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$, we have logarithmic equidistribution at β . Thus by Theorem 3.4, if $h_\varphi(\beta) > 0$ then there are only finitely many preperiodic points that are S -integral relative to β .

To obtain a uniform statement, for a fixed place $v \in M_K$, we will have to give an upper bound for the expression

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right|$$

in terms of $h(\beta)$ and $|F|$, where F is the Galois orbit of some preperiodic point. If we can show the existence of a constant $c > 0$ such that the error is bounded by $ch(\beta)/|F|$, then for $|F|$ large enough we would have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \sum_{v \in S} \int N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| < \frac{1}{2} h(\beta).$$

But by the Arakelov–Zhang pairing, we must have

$$\lim_{|F| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) \rightarrow h_\varphi(\beta) + \sum_{v \in M_K} \int N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v}$$

and so if $h_\varphi(\beta) > \frac{1}{2}h(\beta)$, which is true for β of large height, we obtain that F cannot be S -integral relative to β . We can then take n large enough to handle all points of small height, of which there are only finitely many of them.

We first study the situation in general, before specializing to the case of z^d and Lattès maps. We start off with a proposition that tells us how a bound on how close points of F can be to β translates to a bound on the rate of logarithmic equidistribution.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $v \in M_K$ be a place of K and $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be a point. Fix some δ with $\frac{1}{2} > \delta > 0$ and a rational map φ over K . Then there exists a constant $C = C(\varphi, \delta) > 0$ such that for any $A > 1$, if*

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < A(h(\beta) + 1)|F|^{1/2-\delta}$$

for some $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set F of preperiodic points, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} - \int \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|F|^\delta} \sqrt{\log |F|} A(h(\beta) + 1).$$

Proof. For convenience, we will denote $A(h(\beta) + 1)|F|^{1/2-\delta}$ by C_1 . Define $f_M = \min\{\log M, \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1}\}$. Then f_M is a continuous function, with $\Delta f_M = \zeta_{\beta,1} - \zeta_{\beta,M}$. It is Lipschitz continuous, with a constant given by M as the derivative of $\log |x|$ is upper bounded by $\frac{1}{|x|}$. Applying Proposition 2.2, we get that

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_M(x) - \int f_M d\mu_{\varphi,v} \right| \leq \frac{M}{|F|^{1/\kappa}} + C \left(\frac{\log |F|}{|F|} \log M \right)^{1/2}$$

for κ a Hölder exponent of the equilibrium measure $\mu_{\varphi,v}$. Now since $\log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < C_1$ for $x \in F$, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_M(x) - \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_M(x) \right| \leq \frac{C_1}{|F|} \left| F \cap D(\beta, \frac{1}{M}) \right|.$$

Using Proposition 2.3 and the fact that $\mu_{\varphi,v}(D(x, \epsilon)) = O(\epsilon^\kappa)$ due to Hölder-continuous potentials, we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_M(x) - \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f(x) \right| \leq C_1 \left(\frac{M}{|F|^{1/\kappa}} + O\left(\frac{1}{M^\kappa}\right) + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log |F|}}{\sqrt{|F|}}\right) \right).$$

Using the fact that it has Hölder-continuous potentials again, we may estimate that

$$\left| \int f_M d\mu_{\varphi,v} - \int \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} d\mu_{\varphi,v} \right| \leq O\left(\int_0^M \log x dx^\kappa\right) = O\left(\frac{\log M}{M}\right).$$

Putting it together and choosing $\kappa < 1/4$ with $M = |F|^{1/2\kappa}$, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} - \int \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} \right| \leq C_1 O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log |F|}}{\sqrt{|F|}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\log |F|}}{\sqrt{|F|}}\right)$$

where both big O constants depends only on our rational map φ and not on β . \square

We now derive a similar bound for $\lambda_v(x, \beta)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $v \in M_K$ be a place of K and $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be a point. Fix some δ with $\frac{1}{2} > \delta > 0$ and a rational map φ over K . Then there exists a constant $C = C(\varphi, \delta) > 0$ such that for any $A > 0$, if*

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < A(h(\beta) + 1)|F|^{1/2-\delta}$$

for some $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant set F of preperiodic points, then

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi,v} \right| \leq \frac{C}{|F|^\delta} \sqrt{\log |F|} A(h(\beta) + \log^+ |\beta|_v + 1).$$

Proof. Let $f_\beta = \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1}$. It suffices to find a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_\beta(x) - \int f_\beta(x) d\mu_{\varphi,v} \right| \leq \frac{C'}{|F|^{\delta/2}} (\log^+ |\beta|_v + 1).$$

Our function $f_\beta(x)$ is a continuous function whose Laplacian equals $\zeta(\beta, 1) - \zeta(0, 1)$. One can then check that $(\Delta f_\beta, \Delta f_\beta) = O(\log^+ |\beta|_v + 1)$. Next, we have to determine a Lipschitz constant. Writing $\lambda_v(x, \beta) = \log^+ |x|_v + \log^+ |\beta|_v - \log |x - \beta|_v$, we have

$$f_\beta(x) = \log^+ |x|_v + \log^+ |\beta|_v - \log |x - \beta|_v - \log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1}.$$

The term $\log^+ |x|_v$ has a Lipschitz constant of at most one and $\log^+ |\beta|_v$ is a constant. When $x \in D(\beta, 1)$, the last two terms cancel out and we have a Lipschitz constant of one. When x is not in it, the term $\log^+ |x - \beta|_v^{-1} = 0$ and $\log |x - \beta|_v$ has a Lipschitz constant of one too, giving us 2 as an upper bound. Applying Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} f_\beta(x) - \int f_\beta(x) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| < \frac{2}{|F|^{1/\kappa}} + C \frac{(\log |F|)^{1/2}}{|F|^{1/2}} (\log^+ |\beta|_v + 1)^{1/2}$$

for some $C > 0$ depending only on φ . Since $\delta < 1/2$, this implies what we need. \square

5.2. Bounding the distance to preperiodic points for power maps. Our aim now is to prove the existence of a constant A for the inequality

$$(*) \quad \max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < A(h(\beta) + 1)|F|^{1/2-\delta}$$

that is a power of the degree $[K(\beta) : K]$ for both $\varphi(z) = z^d$ and Lattès map. As noted in the introduction, it will be necessary for us to allow a finite number of exceptions. We first handle the case of $\varphi(z) = z^d$ first.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\varphi(z) = z^d$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_ϵ such that the following holds: Let K be a number field and $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ be a non-preperiodic point. Let v be an archimedean place of K . Then for any $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -orbit $|F|$ of preperiodic points, we have*

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < C_\epsilon [K : \mathbb{Q}]^3 (h(\beta) + 1) |F|^\epsilon.$$

Proof. We first handle the case where β does not lie on the unit circle. Then the distance to any root of unity can be bounded from below by $|\beta|_v - 1$. Now $|\beta|_v$ is a real algebraic number living in some field K' of degree at most 2 larger than K . Since $\beta\bar{\beta} = |\beta|_v$ where $\bar{\beta}$ is the complex conjugate, the height of $|\beta|_v$ is at most twice of that of β . By Proposition 5(b) of [HS11], we have $h(|\beta|_v - 1) \leq h(|\beta|_v) + \log 2$ and so $h(|\beta|_v - 1) \leq 2h(\beta) + \log 2$. Hence we conclude that

$$\log ||\beta|_v - 1|^{-1} \leq [K' : \mathbb{Q}] (2h(\beta) + \log 2)$$

which gives us the constant C we need.

Now let's us assume that β lies on the unit circle and let ζ be a primitive n^{th} root of unity. Applying Corollary 4.2, as the Galois orbit F of ζ has cardinality $\varphi(n) \geq \sqrt{n}$, we obtain a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < C_\epsilon [K(\beta) : K]^3 (h(\beta) + 1) |F|^\epsilon$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ as desired. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Fix a non-archimedean place v of \mathbb{Q} corresponding to the prime p , let D be a positive integer and let $\delta > 0$. Then there exists constants $C, \delta > 0$ such that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < D$ and root of unity ζ , we have*

$$\log |\zeta - \beta|_v^{-1} < \delta$$

if $\deg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta) > C$.

Proof. As each root of unity lies in a different residue class unless their order differs by a power of p , for $\beta \in K$ we have $\lambda_v(\beta, \zeta) = 0$ unless $\text{ord}(\zeta) = p^k a_i$ for some finite set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of integers coprime to p . We can choose the a_i 's such that it

works for any field extension K of degree D , as the inertia degree of such a field is at most D .

Let ζ_m denote a primitive m^{th} root of unity. Let $|\zeta_{p^k} - 1|_v = c$. If $\text{ord}(\zeta) = p^k a_i$, we may write $\zeta = \zeta_{p^k}^a \zeta_{a_i}^b$ for some natural numbers a, b and thus conclude that $1 > |\zeta - \zeta_{a_i}^b| = c$. Hence

$$c > |\beta - \zeta|_v \implies |\beta - \zeta_{a_i}^b|_v = c.$$

Due to ramification, we have $c = 1/p^{k-1}(p-1)$ and since we have only finitely many ζ_{a_i} 's, the element $\beta - \zeta_{a_i}^j$ all live in some number field of fixed degree and thus it is impossible for our distance to be c if k is large enough. Hence if k is large enough, $|\beta - \zeta|_v \geq c$ and so

$$\log |\beta - \zeta|_v^{-1} < \frac{1}{p^{k-1}(p-1)} \log p < \delta.$$

Hence if the order of ζ is larger than some constant C , we have $\log |\zeta - \beta|_v^{-1} < \delta$ as desired. \square

As a simple corollary of the proof, we deduce the following too.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\varphi(z) = z^d$ and fix a non-archimedean place v of \mathbb{Q} corresponding to the prime p . Then for any $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, there do not exist two distinct roots of unity ζ_1, ζ_2 such that*

$$\log |\zeta_i - \beta|_v^{-1} > \frac{1}{p-1} \log p.$$

Proof. The condition implies that $|\zeta_i - \beta|_v < \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}}$ and so $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|_v < \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}}$. Thus $|1 - \zeta_1^{-1} \zeta_2|_v < \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)}}$, which is impossible as $|1 - \zeta|_v$ is at least $1/p^{1/(p-1)}$ for any root of unity ζ . \square

5.3. Bounding the distance to preperiodic points for Lattès Maps. We now move onto the case of Lattès maps. Recall that there is an elliptic curve E such that our Lattès map φ fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{[2]} & E \\ x \downarrow & & x \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

where $x : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is either the x coordinate map when E is an elliptic curve written in Weierstrass coordinates $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ and $[2]$ is the doubling map. Then preperiodic points for φ are exactly the image of torsion points under the projection $x : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Now let φ be defined over a number field K and let v be an archimedean place. Then π is either the x -coordinate function, which can be viewed as the Weierstrass p -function $\wp(z)$, or the y -coordinate function which can be viewed as the derivative $\wp'(z)$.

To apply Corollary 4.4, we have to control the distance between $\wp(z)$ and $\wp(w)$ in terms of $|z - w|$ where z, w are points of \mathbb{C}/Λ . The Weierstrass p -function is a two-to-one map, with either two simple zeroes or a double zero. Locally around the zeros, the function $\wp(z) : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is conjugate to z^k for $k = 1$ or 2 and so away from ∞ there exists a constant C such that $|z - w| \leq C|\wp(z) - \wp(w)|^{1/2}$. At ∞ , we

have to invert the coordinate at \mathbb{P}^1 and thus we get $|z - w| \leq C \left| \frac{1}{\wp(z)} - \frac{1}{\wp(w)} \right|^{1/2}$. At other points, $\wp(z)$ is locally invertible and so locally we have a constant C such that $|z - w| \leq C |\wp(z) - \wp(w)|$. By compactness, we conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 5.6. *There exists constants $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that if $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x - y| < \delta$, then there exists $z, w \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ such that $\wp(z) = x, \wp(w) = y$ and $|z - w| \leq C|x - y|^{1/2} \max\{|x|^{1/2}, 1\}$.*

Hence to bound the distance between $x \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$ and a preperiodic point for φ , we can bound the distance between a point $\alpha \in E(K')$ with $\wp(\alpha) = x$ and a torsion point. We first handle the case where E has complex multiplication.

Proposition 5.7. *Let φ be a Lattès map associated to an elliptic curve E defined over K , with complex multiplication and let v be an archimedean place of K and let D be a positive integer. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_ϵ such that for any $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ with $\deg_K(\beta) \leq D$ and any $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbit F of preperiodic points, we have*

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} \leq C_\epsilon (h(\beta) + 1) |F|^\epsilon$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.6 and ??, and noting that $h(x) > \frac{1}{D} \log^+ |x|^{1/2}$ for $|x| \geq 1$, it suffices to prove the existence of $C > 0$ such that

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |\log_E \alpha - \log_E x|_v^{-1} < C(h(\alpha) + 1) |F|^\epsilon$$

where α is a point in $E(\overline{K})$ such that $\deg_K(x(\alpha)) \leq D$. In particular, $\deg_K(\alpha) \leq 2D$. Let n be the order of x as a torsion point. Then $\log_E x$ can be written as $\frac{a_1}{n} + \frac{a_2}{n}\omega$ for some positive integers a_1, a_2 . Applying Corollary 4.6, we obtain that

$$\log |\log_E \alpha - \log_E x|_v^{-1} < C(h(\alpha) + 1) \log n.$$

Now it suffices to note that $|F| > \frac{cn}{(\log \log n)^2}$ by say (45) and (46) of [BIR08], and we can replace $\log n$ with $|F|^\epsilon$ as desired. \square

For a general elliptic curve E , applying Theorem 4.3 directly is not enough to prove the bound we need, as there is an extra factor of $\log^+ h(\alpha)$. Instead, we will exploit the fact that torsion points cannot be too close to each other.

Proposition 5.8. *Let φ be a Lattès map associated to an elliptic curve E defined over a number field K and let v be an archimedean place of K . Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant C_ϵ such that the following holds: for all $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{K})$ with degree $\deg_K(\beta) \leq D$, we have*

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} \leq C_\epsilon D^6 (\log D)^2 (h(\beta) + 1) |F|^\epsilon$$

for all $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits F of preperiodic points with the possible exception of one.

Proof. Again by Proposition 5.6, and noting that $h(x) > \frac{1}{D} \log^+ |x|^{1/2}$, it suffices to prove the existence of $C > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \max_{x \in F} \log |\log_E \alpha - x|_v^{-1} < CD^6 (\log D)^2 (h(\alpha) + 1) |F|^\epsilon$$

where α is a point in $E(\overline{K})$ with $\wp(\alpha) \in \mathbb{P}^1(K)$. By Corollary 4.4, if we write x as $b_1\omega_1 + b_2\omega_2$, then we have the bound

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |\log_E \alpha - x|_v^{-1} < C(\log B) D^4 (\log D)^2 (h_E(\alpha) + 1) (\log^+ h_E(\alpha) + 1)^4.$$

where $\log B = \max\{h(b_1), h(b_2)\}$. If n is the order of x as a torsion point, then $\log B = \log n$, and again by (45) and (46) of [BIR08], we know that $|F| > \frac{cn}{(\log \log n)^2}$ for some constant $c > 0$. Thus if $n > h_E(\alpha)^{2/\epsilon}$, it would follow that

$$(2) \quad \max_{x \in F} \log |\log_E \alpha - x|_v^{-1} < CD^6 (\log D)^2 (h_E(\alpha) + 1) |F|^\epsilon$$

for an appropriate constant C . Now let's say we have two torsion points x_1, x_2 that do not satisfy the inequality (1). Thus we certainly have

$$\log |\log_E \alpha - x_i|_v^{-1} > C(h_E(\alpha) + 1) |F_i|^\epsilon$$

where F_i is the Galois orbit of x_i . Assuming that $|F_1| \leq |F_2|$, by triangle inequality we have that

$$|x_1 - x_2| \leq |x_1 - \log_E \alpha| + |\log_E \alpha - x_2| \leq 2e^{-C(h_E(\alpha)+1)|F_1|^\epsilon}.$$

It follows that if $x_1 = b_{1,1}\omega_1 + b_{2,1}\omega_2$ and $x_2 = b_{1,2}\omega_1 + b_{2,2}\omega_2$, then the lowest common multiple of the denominators of $b_{i,j}$ have to be at least $O(e^{-Ch_E(\alpha)|F_1|})$. In particular as $|F_2| \geq |F_1|$ we must have $\max\{h(b_{1,2}), h(b_{2,2})\} \geq \frac{C}{4} h_E(\alpha) |F_1|$. Increasing our constant C , we can guarantee that

$$|F_2| \geq \frac{1}{\frac{C}{4} h_E(\alpha)} e^{\frac{C}{4} h_E(\alpha)} \geq h_E(\alpha)^{2/\epsilon}.$$

This contradicts the upper bound in (2). Thus for a given β , we can find a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < CD^6 (\log D)^2 (h(\beta) + 1)^2 |F|^\epsilon,$$

is true for all Galois orbit F of preperiodic points, with the possibility of one exception, as desired. \square

We now handle the non-archimedean places. This will follow from a similar argument to the case of power maps, where we proved that no two roots of unity can be p -adically close to each other. First, we will state Cassel's theorem which will be essential.

Theorem 5.9 (Theorem 3.4, [Sil09]). *Let K be an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with normalized valuation such that $|p|_v = \frac{1}{p}$ and let E/K be an elliptic curve given by a Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with all $|a|_v, |b|_v \leq 1$. Let $P \in E(K)$ be a point of exact order $m \geq 2$. Then if m is not a power of p , we have $|x(P)|_v, |y(P)|_v \leq 1$ and if $m = p^n$, we have*

$$|x(P)|_v, |y(P)|_v \leq p^{3/(p^n - p^{n-1})}.$$

Let v be a non-archimedean place. Let our elliptic curve E be given by $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with $|a|_v, |b|_v \leq 1$ and let's say we have two points P_1, P_2 such that $|x(P_1) - x(P_2)|_v$ is small but non-zero. From the equation of E , we get that

$$(3) \quad y(P_2)^2 - y(P_1)^2 = x(P_2)^3 - x(P_1)^3 + a(x(P_2) - x(P_1)) \implies \\ (y(P_2) - y(P_1))(y(P_2) + y(P_1)) = (x(P_2) - x(P_1))(x(P_2)^2 + x(P_1)x(P_2) + x(P_1)^2 + a).$$

Proposition 5.10. *There exists some constant $C > 0$, depending on the coefficients a, b , such that if $|x(P_2) - x(P_1)|_v \leq 1$, then*

$$|y(P_2) \pm y(P_1)|_v \geq C|x(P_2) - x(P_1)|_v^{1/2}$$

for a choice of \pm . One can take

$$C = \frac{|4a^3 - 27b^2|_v}{9p^{9/(p-1)}}.$$

Proof. Assume otherwise. Then by (3), we see that

$$|x(P_2)^2 + x(P_1)x(P_2) + x(P_1)^2 + a|_v \leq C^2.$$

Since $|x(P_2) - x(P_1)|_v \leq 1$ and by Theorem 5.9 we know that $|x(P_i)|_v \leq p^{3/(p-1)}$, this gives us

$$|3x(P_1)^2 + a|_v \leq p^{3/(p-1)}C^2.$$

We also have $|y(P_1)|_v \leq 2C$ and so this gives us

$$|x(P_1)^3 + ax(P_1) + b|_v \leq |y(P_1)|_v^2 \leq 4C^2.$$

But $|x(P_1)^3 + \frac{ax(P_1)}{3}|_v \leq 3p^{6/(p-1)}C^2$ and so

$$\left| \frac{2ax(P_1)}{3} + b \right|_v \leq 3p^{6/(p-1)}C^2 \implies \left| \frac{4a^2x(P_1)^2}{9} - b^2 \right|_v \leq 9p^{9/(p-1)}C^2.$$

Finally substituting in again $x(P_1)^2$ with $\frac{a}{3}$, we obtain

$$|4a^3 - 27b^2|_v \leq 9p^{9/(p-1)}C^2$$

which is a contradiction for C small enough since $4a^3 - 27b^2 \neq 0$. \square

Now let's say both P_1, P_2 are torsion points. Applying the addition formula, we know that

$$x(P_1 - P_2) = \lambda^2 - x(P_1) - x(P_2)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{y(-P_2) - y(P_1)}{x(P_2) - x(P_1)}.$$

By possibly negating P_2 , by Proposition 5.10 we may assume that

$$|y(-P_2) - y(P_1)|_v \geq C|x(P_2) - x(P_1)|_v^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$|\lambda|_v \geq \frac{1}{C|x(P_2) - x(P_1)|_v^{1/2}}$$

and so if $|\lambda|_v > p$, we would obtain that $|x(P_1 - P_2)|_v > p$ which contradicts Theorem 5.9 as $P_1 - P_2$ is a torsion point on E again. As such, we conclude the following key proposition.

Proposition 5.11. *Given an elliptic curve E over a number field K with Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ such that $|a|_v, |b|_v \leq 1$ for some non-archimedean valuation v , there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(E) > 0$ such that*

$$|x(P_2) - x(P_1)|_v \geq \delta$$

for all torsion points P_1, P_2 with $x(P_1) \neq x(P_2)$. We may take

$$\delta = \frac{|4a^3 - 27b^2|_v}{9p^{9/(p-1)}}.$$

Similar to Proposition 5.4, we deduce the following.

Proposition 5.12. *Let K be a number field, v a non-archimedean place along with an extension to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and D a positive integer. Given an elliptic curve E defined over K along with Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ such that $|a|_v, |b|_v \leq 1$, there exists constants $C, \delta > 0$ such that for any $\beta \in E(L)$ with $[L : K] < D$ and torsion point z with $x(z) \neq x(\beta)$, we have*

$$|x(z) - x(\beta)|_v^{-1} \geq \delta$$

if $\deg_K(z) > C$.

Proof. We let δ be the same δ as in Proposition 5.11. First, since there are only finitely many extensions of K_v of degree $\leq D$, there exists a single finite extension K'_w of K_v such that v embeds L into K'_w for any finite extension L of degree $\leq D$.

For each $\alpha \in K'_w$ with $|\beta|_v \leq p^{3/(p-1)}$, we consider the closed disc $D(\alpha, \delta)$. Then since K'_w is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , it follows that there are only finitely many such discs, which we label as D_1, \dots, D_N . Let D_1, \dots, D_m be the discs for which there exists a torsion point z_i such that $x(z_i) \in D_i$. Then taking C larger than the degree of each z_i for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we see that by Proposition 5.11, if z is a torsion point then we must have

$$|x(z) - x(\beta)|_v^{-1} \geq \delta$$

as desired. \square

5.4. Proof of Main Theorems. We now prove Theorem 1.5. It will then be easy to see from the proof that we can similarly deduce Theorem 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

Theorem 5.13. *Let K be a number field and let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Lattès map associated to an elliptic curve E defined over K . Let L be a finite extension of K and S a finite set of places of L . Then there exists a constant $c = c(|S|) > 0$, independent of L , such that for all non-preperiodic $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(L)$, the set*

$$\{x \in \text{Prep}(\varphi) : \deg_K(x) > c[L : K]^{20} \text{ and } x \text{ is } S\text{-integral relative to } \beta\}$$

is a union of at most $|S|$ $\text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$ -orbits.

Since our Lattès map φ is the x -coordinate projection of our elliptic curve E , our statement is equivalent to Theorem 1.5.

Proof. Fix an $\epsilon > 0$. By passing to a finite extension of K , we may assume that the elliptic curve E associated to φ has either good reduction or is a Tate curve for each non-archimedean place v . Let $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(L)$ be non-preperiodic. We wish to give an upper bound on $\log |x - \beta|_v^{-1}$ using Propositions 5.8, ?? and ??, but there are some assumptions on φ needed for the non-archimedean places. However, we can modify φ by a fixed constant $\alpha \in K$ such that $\alpha\varphi$ satisfies the assumptions required for each non-archimedean place $v \in S$, and this changes our upper bound on $\log |x - \beta|_v^{-1}$ by a fixed constant only.

Thus applying Propositions 5.8 and 5.11, we can find a constant A_ϵ such that for any place $v \in S$, we have

$$\max_{x \in F} \log |x - \beta|_v^{-1} < A_\epsilon D^6 (\log D)^2 (h(\beta) + 1 + \log^+ |\beta|_w) |F|^\epsilon$$

for all $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits F of preperiodic points with the possible exception of one orbit for each place v . Our constant A_ϵ can be chosen independently of our place v since for all but finitely many places, we have $|4a^3 - 27b^2|_v = 1$ and so we can use Proposition 5.10 which lets us take $A_\epsilon = 9 \cdot 2^9$ since $p^{1/(p-1)} \leq 2$.

Now let x be a preperiodic point with $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbit F that is not one of the exceptions. Then Lemma 5.2 implies that there is a constant $C > 0$, depending only on φ , such that for each $v \in S$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, w} \right| \leq \frac{CA_\epsilon}{|F|^{1/2-\epsilon}} \sqrt{\log |F|} D^6 (\log D)^2 (h(\beta) + 1 + \log^+ |\beta|_v).$$

For any constant $N > 0$, assuming that $|F| > cN|S|^3 D^{20}$ for some suitable c gives us

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{x \in F} \lambda_w(x, \beta) - \int \lambda_w(x, \beta) \right| \leq \frac{h(\beta) + 1 + \log^+ |\beta|_w}{N|S|D^{3.5}}.$$

Summing up over all places in S , as $\sum_{v \in S} N_v \log^+ |\beta|_v \leq h(\beta)$, by increasing our constant c we get that

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \sum_{v \in S} N_v \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| \leq \frac{h(\beta) + 1}{ND^{3.5}}.$$

On the other hand, Proposition 3.6 tells us that if c is large enough depending on φ , then

$$|h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F) - \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{D^{3.5}}.$$

Expanding out, we get

$$\frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{v \in M_K} \sum_{x \in F} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \sum_{v \in M_K} N_v \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \geq h_\varphi(\beta) - \frac{1}{D^{3.5}}.$$

Now if β were S -integral relative to x , we would get that $\lambda_v(x, \beta) = 0$ for all $v \notin S$. Using our bound for $\frac{1}{|F|} \sum \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \int \lambda_v(x, \beta)$, we get

$$h_\varphi(\beta) \leq \frac{h(\beta) + 1}{ND^{3.5}} + \frac{1}{D^{3.5}}.$$

Now we know that $h_\varphi(\beta)$ and $h(\beta)$ differs by at most a constant depending on φ , and so if $h_\varphi(\beta)$ were larger than some constant, we would get a contradiction. On the other hand since β lives over a degree D field, by [Mas89] we know that $h_\varphi(\beta) \geq \frac{c}{D^3(\log D)^2}$ and in particular we get a contradiction for N large enough if the height of $h_\varphi(\beta)$ was small too. \square

Adapting the argument and using Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.5, we obtain Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 5.14. *Let K be a number field and S be a finite set of places of K . Then there exists a constant $c = c(|S|) > 0$, independent of K , such that for all $\beta \in K^\times \setminus \mu_\infty$, the set*

$$\{\zeta \in \mu_\infty : \deg_K(\zeta) > c[K : \mathbb{Q}]^{10} \text{ and } \zeta \text{ is } S\text{-integral relative to } \beta\}$$

is a union of at most $|S_{\text{fin}}|$ $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits.

Proof. Here, the same argument works to give us that except for $|S_{\text{fin}}|$ Galois orbits, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{|F|} \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{x \in F} N_v \lambda_v(x, \beta) - \sum_{v \in S} N_v \int \lambda_v(x, \beta) d\mu_{\varphi, v} \right| \leq \frac{h(\beta) + 1}{ND^{1.5}}.$$

Proposition 3.6 again tells us that

$$|h_{\overline{L}_\beta}(F) - \langle \overline{L}_\beta, \overline{L}_\varphi \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{D^{1.5}}.$$

If β were then S -integral relative to x , we would get

$$h_\varphi(\beta) = h(\beta) \leq \frac{h(\beta) + 1}{ND^{1.5}} + \frac{1}{D^{1.5}}.$$

If $h(\beta) \geq 1$, then we get a contradiction. Else a result of Dobrowolski's [Dob79] implies that

$$h(\beta) \geq \frac{c}{D(\log D)^3}$$

and so we get a contradiction for N large enough. \square

Using Proposition 5.4 instead of Corollary 5.5 allows us to have no exception, although we do not have a nice control in terms of the degree. This gives us Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.15. *Let S be a finite set of places of \mathbb{Q} and K a number field. Then there exists a constant $C = C([K : \mathbb{Q}], S)$ such that the following holds: for any $\beta \in K^* \setminus \mu_\infty$, if $\zeta \in \mu_\infty$ is S -integral relative to β then $\deg_K(\zeta) < C$.*

Finally, using Proposition 5.7 and 5.12 gives us Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 5.16. *Let K be a number field and S be a finite set of places of K . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a Lattès map defined over K that is associated to an elliptic curve E with complex multiplication and let L be a finite extension. Then there exists a constant $C = C([L : K], S)$ such that the following holds: for any $\beta \in \mathbb{P}^1(L) \setminus \text{Prep}(\varphi)$, if $x \in \text{Prep}(\varphi)$ is S -integral relative to β then $\deg_K(x) < C$.*

REFERENCES

- [AG03] Mohammed Ably and Éric Gaudron. “Approximation diophantienne sur les courbes elliptiques à multiplication complexe”. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 337.10 (2003), pp. 629–634. DOI: 10.1016/j.crma.2003.09.018.
- [Bak75] Alan Baker. “Transcendental number theory” (1975), pp. x+147.
- [Ben19] Robert L. Benedetto. *Dynamics in one non-archimedean variable*. Vol. 198. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019, pp. xviii+463. DOI: 10.1090/gsm/198.
- [BI20] Robert Benedetto and Su-Ion Ih. *A finiteness property of postcritically finite unicritical polynomials*. 2020. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2010.15941.
- [BIR08] Matthew Baker, Su-ion Ih, and Robert Rumely. “A finiteness property of torsion points”. *Algebra Number Theory* 2.2 (2008), pp. 217–248. DOI: 10.2140/ant.2008.2.217.
- [BR06] Matthew H. Baker and Robert Rumely. “Equidistribution of small points, rational dynamics, and potential theory”. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 56.3 (2006), pp. 625–688.
- [BR10] Matthew Baker and Robert Rumely. “Potential theory and dynamics on the Berkovich projective line”. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* 159 (2010), pp. xxxiv+428. DOI: 10.1090/surv/159.
- [Cha06] Antoine Chambert-Loir. “Mesures et équidistribution sur les espaces de Berkovich”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 595 (2006), pp. 215–235. DOI: 10.1515/CRELLE.2006.049.

- [DH02] Sinnou David and Noriko Hirata-Kohno. “Recent progress on linear forms in elliptic logarithms” (2002), pp. 26–37. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511542961.004.
- [DH09] Sinnou David and Noriko Hirata-Kohno. “Linear forms in elliptic logarithms”. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 628 (2009), pp. 37–89. DOI: 10.1515/CRELLE.2009.018.
- [DH19] Vesselin Dimitrov and Philipp Habegger. *Galois orbits of torsion points near atoral sets*. 2019. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1909.06051.
- [DKY20] Laura DeMarco, Holly Krieger, and Hexi Ye. “Uniform Manin-Mumford for a family of genus 2 curves”. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 191.3 (2020), pp. 949–1001. DOI: 10.4007/annals.2020.191.3.5.
- [DKY22] Laura DeMarco, Holly Krieger, and Hexi Ye. “Common preperiodic points for quadratic polynomials”. *J. Mod. Dyn.* 18 (2022), pp. 363–. DOI: 10.3934/jmd.2022012.
- [Dob79] E. Dobrowolski. “On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial”. *Acta Arith.* 34.4 (1979), pp. 391–401. DOI: 10.4064/aa-34-4-391-401.
- [Fil17] Paul Fili. *A metric of mutual energy and unlikely intersections for dynamical systems*. 2017. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1708.08403.
- [FR06] Charles Favre and Juan Rivera-Letelier. “Équidistribution quantitative des points de petite hauteur sur la droite projective”. *Math. Ann.* 335.2 (2006), pp. 311–361. DOI: 10.1007/s00208-006-0751-x.
- [GH13] Dragos Ghioca and Liang-Chung Hsia. “Torsion points in families of Drinfeld modules”. *Acta Arith.* 161.3 (2013), pp. 219–240. DOI: 10.4064/aa161-3-2.
- [Ghi14] Dragos Ghioca. “Integral points for Drinfeld modules”. *J. Number Theory* 140 (2014), pp. 93–121. DOI: 10.1016/j.jnt.2014.01.013.
- [GI13] David Grant and Su-Ion Ih. “Integral division points on curves”. *Compos. Math.* 149.12 (2013), pp. 2011–2035. DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X13007318.
- [HS11] Liang-Chung Hsia and Joseph H. Silverman. “A quantitative estimate for quasiintegral points in orbits”. *Pacific J. Math.* 249.2 (2011), pp. 321–342. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2011.249.321.
- [IT10] Su-Ion Ih and Thomas J. Tucker. “A finiteness property for preperiodic points of Chebyshev polynomials”. *Int. J. Number Theory* 6.5 (2010), pp. 1011–1025. DOI: 10.1142/S1793042110003356.
- [LMN95] Michel Laurent, Maurice Mignotte, and Yuri Nesterenko. “Formes linéaires en deux logarithmes et déterminants d’interpolation”. *J. Number Theory* 55.2 (1995), pp. 285–321. DOI: 10.1006/jnth.1995.1141.
- [Mas89] D. W. Masser. “Counting points of small height on elliptic curves”. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 117.2 (1989), pp. 247–265.
- [Pet08] Clayton Petsche. “S-integral preperiodic points by dynamical systems over number fields”. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 40.5 (2008), pp. 749–758. DOI: 10.1112/blms/bdn053.
- [PST12] Clayton Petsche, Lucien Szpiro, and Thomas J. Tucker. “A dynamical pairing between two rational maps”. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 364.4 (2012), pp. 1687–1710. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2011-05350-X.
- [Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*. Second. Vol. 106. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009, pp. xx+513. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-09494-6.
- [Sil95] Joseph H. Silverman. “Exceptional units and numbers of small Mahler measure”. *Experiment. Math.* 4.1 (1995), pp. 69–83.

- [ST12] L. Szpiro and T. J. Tucker. “Equidistribution and generalized Mahler measures” (2012), pp. 609–638. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4614-1260-1_26.
- [You22] Marley Young. *Effective bounds on S -integral preperiodic points for polynomials*. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2206.14252.