Natural Suppression of FCNCs at the One-Loop Level in Z' Models with Implications for K, D and B Decays

Andrzej J. Buras

TUM Institute for Advanced Study, Lichtenbergstr. 2a, D-85748 Garching, Germany Physik Department, TU München, James-Franck-Straße, D-85748 Garching, Germany

Abstract

We analyse Z' contributions to FCNC processes at the one-loop level. In analogy to the CKM matrix we introduce two 3×3 unitary matrices $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z')$ and $\hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ which are also hermitian. They govern the flavour interactions mediated by Z' between downquarks and up-quarks, respectively, with $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z') = \hat{\Delta}_u(Z') \equiv \hat{\Delta}_L(Z')$ for left-handed currents due to the unbroken $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry. This assures the suppression of these contributions to all Z' mediated FCNC processes at the one-loop level. As, in contrast to the GIM mechanism, one-loop Z' contributions to flavour observables in K and $B_{s,d}$ systems are governed by down-quark masses, they are $\mathcal{O}(m_b^2/M_{Z'}^2)$ and negligible. With the $\mathcal{O}(m_t^2/M_{Z'}^2)$ suppression they are likely negligible also in the D system. We present an explicit parametrization of $\hat{\Delta}_L(Z')$ in terms of two mixing angles and two complex phases that distinguishes it profoundly from the CKM matrix. This framework can be generalized to purely leptonic decays with matrices analogous to the PMNS matrix but profoundly different from it. Interestingly, the breakdown of flavour universality between the first two generations and the third one, both for quark and lepton couplings to Z', is identified as a consequence of $\Delta_L(Z')$ being hermitian. The importance of the unitarity for both $\Delta_L(Z')$ and the CKM matrix in the light of the Cabibbo anomaly is emphasized.

1 Introduction

As demonstrated in [1] the $\Delta F = 2$ observables can be consistently described within the Standard Model (SM) without any need for new physics (NP) contributions. In turn this allowed to determine precisely the CKM matrix on the basis of $\Delta F = 2$ observables alone [1,2] without the need to face the tensions in $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ determinations from inclusive end exclusive tree-level decays [3,4]. Moreover, as pointed out in [5], this also avoids, under the assumption of negligible NP contributions to these observables, the impact of NP on the values of CKM parameters present likely in global fits. Simultaneously it provides SM predictions for numerous rare K and B branching ratios that are most accurate to date. In this manner the size of the experimentally observed deviations from SM predictions (the pulls) can be more reliably estimated than in global fits.

Popular NP scenarios which are used for the explanation of various anomalies observed in $\Delta F = 1$ observables are Z' scenarios that usually are studied including only tree-level contributions. A natural question then arises what happens at the one-loop level in these scenarios under the assumption that in the one-loop diagrams only Z' gauge bosons and quarks are exchanged¹. To my knowledge there is no analysis within Z' models in the literature that addressed this question so far, because it was always assumed that the treelevel contributions in these models are much more important. However, in a quantum field theory one has to check what happens at least at the one-loop level. The pioneering analyses in this context in the case of charged currents mediated by W^{\pm} bosons have been performed roughly 50 years ago by Glashow, Iliopoulos and Maiani [6] and Gaillard and Lee [7], who stressed the crucial role of the unitarity of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix (CKM) [8,9] in the suppression of FCNC processes mediated by W^{\pm} and quarks at the one-loop level.

The main goal of the present paper is to perform a similar analysis for Z' interactions which will lead us to a new matrix, analogous to the CKM matrix, but this time governing flavour changing interactions mediated by neutral Z' gauge bosons instead of charged current interactions mediated by charged W^{\pm} bosons. This will allow us to demonstrate that Z'contributions to FCNC processes at the one-loop level are indeed negligible. However, as we will see below, this step brings other advantages for Z' models.

Indeed, one of the weak points of most Z' scenarios in the literature is the absence of correlations between B_s , B_d , K and D systems. The prominent exceptions are 331 models based on the gauge group $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ [10, 11] that have been analysed in numerous papers with the most recent ones in [12–14]. In these models, as stressed in particular in [15], once the new parameters are determined through quark mixing observables in B_s and B_d systems, no new free parameters are required to describe the kaon system and subsequently charm system [16, 17].

But in all these analyses only tree-level contributions have been analysed. Here we would like to make one step further and putting 331 models aside, generalize the common Z' scenarios beyond the tree-level. Specifically, we want to construct a framework, in analogy to the CKM theory [8,9] that deals with charged W^{\pm} interactions, in which flavour violation is governed by neutral Z' exchanges. To this end we proceed in the following four steps.

Step 1

We introduce two 3×3 unitary matrices $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z')$ and $\hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ that in contrast to the CKM

¹In a concrete UV completion other particles could contribute as well. But in this paper we assume that their contributions are negligible.

matrix are also hermitian. They govern the flavour interactions mediated by Z' between down-quarks and up-quarks, respectively. They can be used for both left-handed and righthanded currents but for the left-handed ones $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z') = \hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ due to the unbroken $\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$ gauge symmetry in the SMEFT.

Step 2

We demonstrate, in analogy to the GIM mechanism [6], that having these two matrices assures the suppression of Z' contributions to all FCNC processes at the one-loop level. As, in contrast to the GIM mechanism, Z' contributions to flavour observables in K and $B_{s,d}$ systems are governed by down-quark masses at the one-loop level, these contributions being $\mathcal{O}(m_b^2/M_{Z'}^2)$ are negligible. With the $\mathcal{O}(m_t^2/M_{Z'}^2)$ suppression they are strongly suppressed also in the D system. This confirms the expected smallness of such contributions.

Step 3

Most importantly we find a parametrization of these two matrices that is analogous to the standard parametrization of the CKM matrix [18], but due to the additional property of hermiticity it differs profoundly from the latter parametrization. In particular each of these two matrices depends on *two mixing angles* and not three and *two complex phases* and not one as is the case of the CKM matrix. For the case of only left-handed couplings these matrices are equal to each other so that the number of flavour changing parameters, two mixing angles and two phases is as in the 331 models.

Step 4

These three steps can be used also for leptons with matrices analogous to the PMNS matrix but profoundly different from it.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the general structure of oneloop contributions to flavour observables in this NP scenario, stressing the differences from the GIM mechanism [6]. In Section 3 we present one possible parametrization of $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z')$ in terms of two mixing angles and two complex phases. This parametrization differs profoundly from the standard one of the CKM matrix. Analogous parametrization can be used for $\hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ and also for purely leptonic processes. In the latter case it differs profoundly from the Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata (PMNS) matrix [19, 20]. In Section 4 we discuss briefly the implied flavour patterns in K and B decay observables in this NP scenario, in particular correlations between various branching ratios. In Section 5 we address the popular topic of flavour universality violation both in the quark and the lepton sector in our framework. In Section 6, motivated by the numerous papers on the Cabibbo anomaly, we stress the importance of the unitarity for both $\hat{\Delta}_L(Z')$ and the CKM matrix that is crucial for obtaining gauge independent results at the one-loop level. We conclude in Section 7.

2 Natural Suppression of One-Loop Z' Contributions to FCNC Processes

In the SM the GIM mechanism [6] is violated in FCNC processes in K and B systems at the one-loop level through the virtual contributions of the top-quark in box and penguin diagrams. In the charm meson system it is still satisfied to a high degree because only down quarks are exchanged together with W^{\pm} bosons in one-loop diagrams. This leads to an additional suppression of these contributions by factors like m_i^2/M_W^2 with i = d, s, b.

Here we would like to point out that in the case of Z' models the situation is reversed. In the K and B systems only down-quarks are exchanged together with Z' bosons in one-loop diagrams leading to an additional suppression of these contributions by factors like $m_i^2/M_{Z'}^2$ with i = d, s, b. Consequently, the tree-level contributions of Z' gauge bosons fully dominate the K and B decays. In the charm system top-quark contributions at the one-loop level could in principle play some role although this time they are suppressed by $m_t^2/M_{Z'}^2$ and likely small.

For the K and $B_{s,d}$ systems we assume that FCNC processes mediated by a Z' gauge boson are governed by a unitary and hermitian 3×3 matrix

$$\hat{\Delta}_d = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{dd} \ \Delta_{ds} \ \Delta_{db} \\ \Delta_{sd} \ \Delta_{ss} \ \Delta_{sb} \\ \Delta_{bd} \ \Delta_{bs} \ \Delta_{bb} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{\Delta}_d^{\dagger} \hat{\Delta}_d = \hat{1}, \qquad \Delta_{ij} = (\Delta_{ji})^*.$$
(1)

Similar for the up-quark system we introduce

$$\hat{\Delta}_{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{uu} \ \Delta_{uc} \ \Delta_{ut} \\ \Delta_{cu} \ \Delta_{cc} \ \Delta_{ct} \\ \Delta_{tu} \ \Delta_{tc} \ \Delta_{tt} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{\Delta}_{u}^{\dagger} \hat{\Delta}_{u} = \hat{1}, \qquad \Delta_{ij} = (\Delta_{ji})^{*}.$$
⁽²⁾

This implies

$$\hat{\Delta}_d^2 = \hat{1}, \qquad \hat{\Delta}_u^2 = \hat{1}. \tag{3}$$

These matrices can be introduced for both left-handed and right-handed Z' couplings but in what follows we will confine our discussion to left-handed couplings, so that in this case

$$\hat{\Delta}_d(Z') = \hat{\Delta}_u(Z') \equiv \hat{\Delta}, \qquad (\mathrm{SU}(2)_L), \tag{4}$$

due to the unbroken $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry in the SMEFT for Z' masses above a few TeV.

The matrix $\hat{\Delta}$ is analogous to the CKM matrix, but in contrast to the latter involves only transitions between down-quarks and between up-quarks. Very importantly it is hermitian, which is not the case of the CKM matrix. In practice, in the vertices involving Z' and two quarks the relevant elements of the matrix $\hat{\Delta}$ are multiplied by a flavour universal coupling like g_2 in the SM, but setting this coupling to unity simplifies the formulae below without changing the argument.

In order to demonstrate that indeed in the limit of degenerate down-quark masses $(m_d = m_s = m_b)$ the one-loop contributions to all FCNC transitions in Z' models vanish, it is sufficient to consider a single fermion line in a loop diagram with two Z' vertices. Considering the $s \to b$ transition, the three contributions from the down quarks are

$$s \to d \to b, \qquad s \to s \to b, \qquad s \to b \to b,$$
 (5)

implying in the mass degeneracy limit the overall factor in the one-loop NP contribution to M_{12}^{bs2}

$$\Delta_{bd}\Delta_{ds} + \Delta_{bs}\Delta_{ss} + \Delta_{bb}\Delta_{bs} = \Delta_{bd}\Delta_{sd}^* + \Delta_{bs}\Delta_{ss}^* + \Delta_{bb}\Delta_{sb}^* = 0.$$
(6)

The first relation follows from the hermiticity of Δ in (1), while the second one from its unitarity.

Similar, for $d \to b$ transitions we have

$$d \to d \to b, \qquad d \to s \to b, \qquad d \to b \to b,$$
(7)

²In each term in the first sum the last flavour index on the right is the incoming s and the first one on the left the outgoing b.

implying in the mass degenaracy limit the overall factor in the one-loop NP contribution to M_{12}^{bd}

$$\Delta_{bd}\Delta_{dd} + \Delta_{bs}\Delta_{sd} + \Delta_{bb}\Delta_{bd} = \Delta_{bd}\Delta_{dd}^* + \Delta_{bs}\Delta_{ds}^* + \Delta_{bb}\Delta_{db}^* = 0.$$
(8)

Finally, for $d \to s$ transitions we have

 $d \to d \to s, \qquad d \to s \to s, \qquad d \to b \to s,$ (9)

and the overall factor in the one-loop NP contribution to M_{12}^{sd}

$$\Delta_{sd}\Delta_{dd} + \Delta_{ss}\Delta_{sd} + \Delta_{sb}\Delta_{bd} = \Delta_{sd}\Delta_{dd}^* + \Delta_{ss}\Delta_{ds}^* + \Delta_{sb}\Delta_{db}^* = 0.$$
(10)

Beyond this limit in all these cases the leading NP contributions are $\mathcal{O}(m_b^2/M_{Z'}^2)$ and can be safely neglected. As discussed in Section 6, explicit calculations in the unitary gauge confirm this expectation. Analogous relations can be derived for the up-quark system. However, as reemphasized in Section 6, the suppression in question takes place *only* due to the unitarity of $\hat{\Delta}$.

The three relations in (6), (8) and (10) and the corresponding ones for the up-quarks correspond to the usual six unitarity triangles resulting from the unitarity of the CKM matrix. Yet, they differ from the latter in a profound manner:

- They are only three for K and B observables and not six because of the hermiticity property on the top of the unitarity of $\hat{\Delta}$.
- Because of the hermiticity of $\hat{\Delta}$, the phases of its elements drop out in these relations which will be easily seen using the parametrization of $\hat{\Delta}$ in (17). Consequently there are no unitarity triangles of the type known from the CKM-phenomenology.

However, these relations put important constraints on Δ and deserve a name to be distinguished from the usual unitarity triangle relations. They could be called *Unitarity-Hermiticity-Triangles (UHT)*.

3 A Parametrization of $\hat{\Delta}$

3.1 New Mixing Matrix

We have just seen that the matrix Δ in (1) played the crucial role in the suppression of FCNC processes generated by neutral gauge bosons at the one-loop level. This is analogous to the CKM matrix that in the context of the GIM mechanism allows to suppress such processes generated by W^{\pm} at the one-loop level. But analogous to the GIM mechanism, that still allows tree-level contributions mediated by W^{\pm} at tree-level and is governed by the CKM matrix, the matrix $\hat{\Delta}$ governs FCNC processes mediated by Z' at tree-level.

For phenomenology it is important to find a useful parametrization for Δ . We will attempt to do it below being aware of the fact that dependently on the future status of experimental data our parametrization could be replaced by a more useful one one day. In fact the original parametrization of the CKM matrix by Kobayashi and Maskawa [9] turned out not to be useful for phenomenology and was replaced in 1984 by a different one [18], known these days under the name of the standard parametrization of the CKM matrix. In order to find the parametrization of $\hat{\Delta}$ we impose the usual unitarity conditions. But due to the hermiticity of $\hat{\Delta}$, we have in addition to the usual three independent unitarity constraints on the moduli of the elements of this matrix,

$$|\Delta_{dd}|^2 + |\Delta_{ds}|^2 + |\Delta_{db}|^2 = 1,$$
(11)

$$|\Delta_{sd}|^2 + |\Delta_{ss}|^2 + |\Delta_{sb}|^2 = 1,$$
(12)

$$|\Delta_{bd}|^2 + |\Delta_{bs}|^2 + |\Delta_{bb}|^2 = 1, \tag{13}$$

only three UHT relations given in (6) and (8) and (10).

Before studying these constraints it is useful to construct first the 2×2 matrix that is analogous to the Cabibbo matrix. We find

$$\hat{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} c & se^{-i\delta} \\ se^{i\delta} & -c \end{pmatrix},\tag{14}$$

with $s = \sin \theta$ and $c = \cos \theta$, signalling that the 3×3 matrix, we are looking for, will be quite different from the CKM matrix. Not only we have already a new phase in this matrix but also the signs are distributed differently from the Cabibbo matrix.

In searching for a useful parametrization of Δ I was guided by the the structure of Z' interactions used in numerous collaborations with Fulvia De Fazio and Jennifer Girrbach-Noe in the context of the 331 models [15,17,21–24]. However, in these models, that contain additional heavy fermions, some phases could be rotated away from the flavour violating couplings. Moreover, working at tree-level, no attempt has been made to construct a matrix like $\hat{\Delta}$.

In our case, in the absence of new quark fields beyond the SM quarks, we are not allowed to perform phase rotations on the quark fields as done in the process of constructing the CKM matrix, because these rotations have already been made in the latter construction. However, imposing hermiticity on $\hat{\Delta}$ in addition to unitarity, our matrix depends on four parameters, two mixing angles and two complex phases that we denote by

$$\theta_1, \quad \theta_2, \quad \delta_1, \quad \delta_2, \tag{15}$$

and express $\hat{\Delta}$ through

$$s_1 = \sin \theta_1, \qquad c_1 = \cos \theta_1, \qquad s_2 = \sin \theta_2, \qquad c_2 = \cos \theta_2, \tag{16}$$

and the phases δ_1 and δ_2 . Also flavour violating couplings of Z' gauge boson in 331 models depend on two mixing angles and two complex phases.

The number of parameters is then the same as in the CKM matrix but the presence of two complex phases instead of one promises larger CP-violating effects than in the SM. Similar to the SM and MFV models, the paucity of free parameters in $\hat{\Delta}$ implies correlations between various observables. But these correlations are different from the MFV-ones, in particular because of two phases and not only one and because of only two mixing angles and not three.

The construction of a 3×3 matrix that is both unitary and hermitian is more challenging than for the 2×2 one and I did not find any example in the literature. With my experience

in 331 models, the structure of phases in this matrix was evident, but finding the coefficients in front of the phase factors required more work³. We arrive then at

$$\hat{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2s_1^2 s_2^2 & -2s_1^2 s_2 c_2 e^{-i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)} & -2s_1 s_2 c_1 e^{-i\delta_1} \\ -2s_1^2 s_2 c_2 e^{i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)} & 1 - 2s_1^2 c_2^2 & -2s_1 c_1 c_2 e^{-i\delta_2} \\ -2s_1 s_2 c_1 e^{i\delta_1} & -2s_1 c_1 c_2 e^{i\delta_2} & 1 - 2c_1^2 \end{pmatrix},$$
(17)

which is the main result of our paper, the construction of an analog of the CKM matrix for processes mediated by neutral Z' gauge bosons as opposed to W^{\pm} in the case of the CKM matrix. The important virtue of this matrix are correlations between various meson systems that follow from the unitarity and hermiticity of this matrix and implied suppression of FCNCs at the one-loop level. This is similar to the unitarity of the CKM matrix which implies various correlations between decay branching ratios of different mesons as well as the GIM mechanism.

The three UHT relations in (6) and (8) and (10) can now be written respectively, as follows

$$\frac{|\Delta_{bd}||\Delta_{ds}|}{|\Delta_{bs}||\Delta_{ss}|} + \frac{|\Delta_{bb}}{|\Delta_{ss}|} = 1, \qquad (18)$$

$$\frac{|\Delta_{bs}||\Delta_{sd}|}{|\Delta_{bd}||\Delta_{dd}|} + \frac{|\Delta_{bb}|}{|\Delta_{dd}|} = 1, \qquad (19)$$

$$\frac{|\Delta_{sb}||\Delta_{bd}|}{|\Delta_{ss}||\Delta_{sd}|} - \frac{|\Delta_{dd}|}{|\Delta_{ss}|} = 1.$$
(20)

One can easily check that indeed the condition $\hat{\Delta}^2 = \hat{1}$ is satisfied. One can also check that the phases δ_1 and δ_2 drop out from the relations in (6) and (8) and (10), so there are no unitarity triangles of the CKM-type in this framework. But as these relations play a crucial role in the suppression of Z' one-loop contributions to FCNC processes, we termed them UHT and expressed them in a different form above. Note that after the phases dropped out these relations are satisfied by only two mixing angles θ_1 and θ_2 .

An analogous matrix can be constructed for the up-quark sector with parameters that can differ from the ones in the down-quark sector in the case of right-handed currents but the same for left-handed currents due to $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry in the SMEFT. In the latter case, this implies correlations between (K, B) and D systems, which however differ from the ones in 331 models [16, 17], simply because the couplings given in terms of s_1 and s_2 differ in the 331 models from the ones in (17). This originates in the fact that there are additional heavy fermions in the latter models.

Comparing with the standard parametrization of the unitary CKM matrix [18]

$$V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix},$$
(21)

we note the impact of the hermiticity of $\hat{\Delta}$. In particular, in contrast to the CKM matrix

• All non-diagonal entries in $\hat{\Delta}$ are complex.

³In this context invaluable advices from Herbert Spohn and Peter Weisz should be mentioned.

- All diagonal entries are real but the universality of diagonal couplings in the presence of small mixing angles, that is present in the case of the CKM matrix, is broken in the case of $\hat{\Delta}$ as seen in (22) below and discussed in Section 5.
- $|\Delta_{ij}| = |\Delta_{ji}|$, which is not the case for the CKM matrix as $|V_{ub}| \neq |V_{td}|$ and $|V_{cb}| \neq |V_{ts}|$.

As s_1 and s_2 are expected to be small, it is useful to approximate c_1 and c_2 by unity. In doing this we consider mixing angles in the first quadrant but then $\delta_i \in [0, 2\pi]$. We find then

$$\hat{\Delta} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2s_1^2 s_2 e^{-i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)} & -2s_1 s_2 e^{-i\delta_1} \\ -2s_1^2 s_2 e^{i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)} & 1 & -2s_1 e^{-i\delta_2} \\ -2s_1 s_2 e^{i\delta_1} & -2s_1 e^{i\delta_2} & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(22)

This implies

$$s_1 \approx \left| \frac{\Delta_{ds}}{\Delta_{db}} \right|, \qquad s_2 \approx \left| \frac{\Delta_{db}}{\Delta_{sb}} \right|.$$
 (23)

3.2 Determination of the Parameters

Let us first count the number of parameters we have to determine from $\Delta F = 1$ and $\Delta F = 2$ processes involving quarks. We have

- 4 CKM parameters.
- 4 parameters of $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z') = \hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ for *left-handed couplings*. It includes also flavour diagonal left-handed quark couplings.
- We need also diagonal *right-handed* couplings in order to generate NP contributions to ε'/ε through electroweak penguins, the most efficient method to affect ε'/ε [25, 26]. They are universal within up-quark couplings and universal within down quark coupling and related through

$$\Delta_R^{dd}(Z') = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_R^{uu}(Z'), \qquad (24)$$

which brings an additional parameter. As discussed in Section 5, this universality can be arranged in Z' models with specific values of s_i .

- Lepton sector brings additional parameters. Their number depends on the assumption of the flavour universality for all generations or only for the first two. It also depends on the presence of right-handed neutrinos. In any case parameters, analogous to the PMNS ones, enter the game and we will comment on them below.
- Finally we have the mass $M_{Z'}$.

Definitely the number of parameters is above 10. These looks at first sight like many, but with the developed codes like Flavio [27] and HEPfit [28], that include a large number of observables, their determination should be doable. Even more important would be the construction of concrete UV completions which would allow to reduce the number of parameters and make more concrete predictions for FCNC observables than we can do in the simple framework presented here.

3.3 Lepton Flavour Violation

These ideas can be extended to lepton flavour violating processes. In contrast to the PMNS matrix that contains two additional Majorana phases relative to the CKM matrix, the structure of the Z' matrix is the same as the one of $\hat{\Delta}_d$ and $\hat{\Delta}_u$. The reason is that in constructing $\hat{\Delta}$ no phase rotations on the fields are allowed because they have been already made when constructing the PMNS matrix. Thus only the unitarity and hermiticity properties matter. For instance in the case of charged leptons the matrix

$$\hat{\Delta}_{\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{ee} & \Delta_{e\mu} & \Delta_{e\tau} \\ \Delta_{\mu e} & \Delta_{\mu\mu} & \Delta_{\mu\tau} \\ \Delta_{\tau e} & \Delta_{\tau\mu} & \Delta_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \hat{\Delta}_{\ell}^{\dagger} \hat{\Delta}_{\ell} = \hat{1}, \qquad \Delta_{ij} = (\Delta_{ji})^*.$$
(25)

is involved. Its parametrization is like the one in (17), only mixing angles and phases are different. Of interest is also the breakdown of the universality of Z' couplings to (e, μ) and τ couplings analogous to the one in charged currents represented through R(D) and $R(D^*)$ ratios. We will discuss this briefly in Section 5.

4 Correlations between Branching Ratios

The structure of the $\hat{\Delta}(Z')$ matrix implies correlations separately both within K, B_d and B_s systems and also between different meson systems. This is analogous to the correlations between various observables within the SM, MFV models and 331 models [14, 15].

- The correlations within the K system will be governed by the element Δ_{ds} . For $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$ correlations between $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$, $K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$, $K_S \to \mu^+ \mu^-$, $K_L \to \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^$ and ε'/ε will exist, similar to those consider recently in [29]. In the context of our parametrization of $\hat{\Delta}$, the choice of phases made by these authors corresponds to setting $\delta_2 - \delta_1 = 90^\circ$. This allows to avoid NP contributions to ε_K and reduces the number of free parameters to three, one phase and two mixing angles.
- The correlations within the B_d system are described by the element Δ_{db} and the ones in the B_s -system by Δ_{sb} .
- Similar to 331 models there are correlations between K, B_d and B_s observables which is best seen using the approximation for $\hat{\Delta}$ in (22) which implies

$$\Delta_{db}\Delta_{bs} = -2\Delta_{ds}.$$
(26)

These are just few examples which we plan to study in more detail elsewhere.

It should finally be noted that due to the relation (26) the full matrix can be found by determining Δ_{db} and Δ_{sb} from B_d and B_s decays, respectively. In turn Kaon observables can be predicted. This is also possible in 331 models [14, 15, 17, 21–24] but the structure of the correlations is different due to different structure of the couplings. We will report on them in a future numerical analysis.

5 Quark and Lepton Flavour Universality Violation

The approximation in (22) exibits very clearly the universality of the diagonal couplings of Z' to down-quark and strange-quark for small s_i . However, this universality is broken between the couplings of the latter quarks and the *b*-quark. Similar pattern is observed in the up-quark sector. This different behaviour of the third generation is known from 331 models.

In the Z' scenarios discussed here, the only values of s_i and c_i for which the universality of the Z' couplings for three generations is achieved are

$$s_1^2 = \frac{2}{3}, \qquad c_1^2 = \frac{1}{3}, \qquad s_2^2 = c_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (27)

in which case the matrix Δ depends only on the phases δ_1 and δ_2 and is given by

$$\hat{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3}e^{-i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)} & -\frac{2}{3}e^{-i\delta_1} \\ -\frac{2}{3}e^{i(\delta_1 - \delta_2)} & \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{2}{3}e^{-i\delta_2} \\ -\frac{2}{3}e^{i\delta_1} & -\frac{2}{3}e^{i\delta_2} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(28)

It will be interesting to investigate these results phenomenologically.

6 Gauge Invariance and the Unitarity of $\hat{\Delta}(Z')$ and $V_{ m CKM}$ Matrices

There was recently a large activity in connection with the so-called Cabibbo anomaly (CAA) which is nicely reviewed in [30]. There exist tensions between different determinations of the elements $|V_{us}|$ and $|V_{ud}|$ in the CKM matrix from different decays. For instance, the determination of $|V_{ud}|$ from superallowed beta decays and of $|V_{us}|$ from kaon decays imply a violation of the first row unitarity in the CKM matrix. There exist also tensions between determinations of $|V_{us}|$ from leptonic $K\mu^2$ and semileptonic Kl^3 kaon decays. In the end a 3σ deficit in the CKM unitarity relation corresponding to the first row of this matrix (and less significant for the first column) exists:

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 = 0.9985(5), \qquad |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{cd}|^2 + |V_{td}|^2 = 0.9970(18).$$
(29)

As reviewed in [30] numerous authors made efforts in the literature to find the origin of this anomaly and to remove it with the help of NP models containing W', vector-like leptons, vector-like quarks, scalars, Z' gauge bosond and leptoquarks.

Here we want to emphasize the following points:

- In the *absence* of new quarks, that must be vector-like, CKM unitarity *cannot* be violated. The violation in this case is only apparent due to possible contributions of bosons like Z' to decays used to determine $|V_{ud}|$ and $|V_{us}|$ or due to hadronic uncertainties or wrong measurements. Otherwise GIM mechanism would fail and moreover at one-loop level, as illustrated below, gauge dependences would be present. This also applies to the suppression mechanism presented here.
- In the *presence* of vector-like quarks CKM unitarity *can* be violated with the CKM matrix being submatrix of a unitary matrix involving SM quarks and vector-like quarks. Adding then diagrams with quark and vector-like quark exchanges the GIM mechanism and the suppression mechanism presented here are recovered.

Let us illustrate this problematic repeating the 1974 Gaillard-Lee calculation [7] of the $K_L - K_S$ mass difference in the SM that was performed in the Feynman gauge.

The basic formula for the relevant Hamiltonian used to calculate ΔM_K in the SM that allows to reach our goal can be found in equation (6.67) in [31]. It reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{ij} = \frac{G_F^2}{16\pi^2} M_W^2 \lambda_i \lambda_j \left[\left(1 + \frac{x_i x_j}{4}\right) T(x_i, x_j) - 2x_i x_j \tilde{T}(x_i, x_j) \right] (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} (\bar{s}d)_{V-A} ,$$
(30)

with

$$\lambda_i = V_{is}^* V_{id}, \qquad x_i = \frac{m_i^2}{M_W^2}, \qquad i = u, c, t,$$
(31)

where V_{ij} are the elements of the CKM matrix. The functions $T(x_i, x_j)$ and $\tilde{T}(x_i, x_j)$ are given as follows

$$T(x_i, x_j) = \left[\frac{x_i^2 \log x_i}{(1 - x_i)^2 (x_i - x_j)} + \frac{x_j^2 \log x_j}{(1 - x_j)^2 (x_j - x_i)} + \frac{1}{(1 - x_i)(1 - x_j)}\right],$$
(32)

$$T(x_i, x_i) = \left[\frac{2x_i \log x_i}{(1 - x_i)^3} + \frac{1 + x_i}{(1 - x_i)^2}\right],$$
(33)

$$\tilde{T}(x_i, x_j) = \left[\frac{x_i \log x_i}{(1 - x_i)^2 (x_i - x_j)} + \frac{x_j \log x_j}{(1 - x_j)^2 (x_j - x_i)} + \frac{1}{(1 - x_i)(1 - x_j)} \right],$$
(34)

$$\tilde{T}(x_i, x_i) = \left[\frac{(1+x_i)\log x_i}{(1-x_i)^3} + \frac{2}{(1-x_i)^2}\right].$$
(35)

Detailed derivation of these formulae can be found in Section 6.2 of [31].

Summing over the internal up-quarks and using the relation

$$\lambda_u + \lambda_c + \lambda_t = 0, \qquad (36)$$

that follows from the unitarity of the CKM matrix, we find the well known SM expressions. For our purposes it is sufficient to keep only the term involving λ_c^2 because this term dominates the SM contribution to ΔM_K . Using the standard formulae one finds then

$$\Delta M_K = \frac{G_F^2}{6\pi^2} \hat{B}_K F_K^2 M_W^2 \eta_1 \lambda_c^2 x_c \,, \tag{37}$$

where the mass of the up-quark has been set to zero. η_1 is known at NNLO in QCD and is subject to a large uncertainty but this is not relevant for our main argument. Other factors are also well known.

On the other hand, not using (36) and keeping only mass-independent terms and $\mathcal{O}(m_c^2/M_W^2)$ terms we find

$$\Delta M_K = 2.52 \, T(x_c) \, 10^{-10} \, \text{GeV} \tag{38}$$

where

$$T(x_c) = (\lambda_u + \lambda_c)^2 + \lambda_c^2 F_1(x_c) + 2\lambda_u \lambda_c F_2(x_c)$$
(39)

with

$$F_1(x_c) = 2x_c \log x_c + 3x_c, \qquad F_2(x_c) = x_c \log x_c + x_c.$$
(40)

To my knowledge these expressions are presented here for the first time.

Going back to 1974 and using the unitarity relation valid for two quark generations

$$\lambda_u + \lambda_c = 0, \qquad (41)$$

instead of (36), we find $T(x_c) = \lambda_c^2 x_c$ and consequently (37). However, if this relation is violated because of the CAA, the first mass independent contribution and in particular the logarithmic terms, that do not cancel each other, have a large impact on the final result. Indeed we find

$$F_1(x_c) = -41.4 \cdot 10^{-4} + 7.5 \cdot 10^{-4} = -33.9 \cdot 10^{-4}, \qquad (42)$$

$$F_2(x_c) = -20.7 \cdot 10^{-4} + 2.5 \cdot 10^{-4} = -18.2 \cdot 10^{-4}.$$
(43)

We observe that the unitarity relation (41) is very important in cancelling the logarithmic terms that are roughly by an order of magnitude larger than than the SM result for ΔM_K . But also the modification due to the first term in (39), that is not mass suppressed, is important.

However, these are minor problems in comparison with the fact that these results are gauge dependent and the ones just presented correspond to the Feynman gauge with the gauge parameter $\xi = 1$. Concentrating on the massless limit and repeating the calculation in an arbitrary covariant gauge we find

$$T(0) = (\lambda_u + \lambda_c)^2 \left[1 - 2\left(1 + \frac{\xi}{1 - \xi}\ln\xi\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \xi + \frac{2\xi}{1 - \xi}\ln\xi\right) \right].$$
 (44)

We note that for $\xi = 1$ we reproduce (39) for $x_c = 0$ and that in the unitary gauge for which $\xi \to \infty$, T(0) diverges. It vanishes only if the unitarity relation in (41) is imposed, the crucial property in the GIM mechanism.

Let us next return to the SM and consider three generations and the $B_{s,d}^0 - \bar{B}_{s,d}^0$ mass differences $\Delta M_{s,d}$. In this case the well known unitarity relations apply

$$\lambda_u + \lambda_c + \lambda_t = 0 \qquad \lambda_i = V_{ib}^* V_{iq}, \qquad q = s, d.$$
(45)

If these relations are violated the results for $\Delta M_{s,d}$ are gauge dependent and divergent in the unitary gauge. In fact this has been demonstrated already in 2004 [32] in the context of the analysis in the littlest Higgs Model in which CKM unitarity is violated due to the presence of a heavy vector-like quark T that mixes with the ordinary quarks.

In order to obtain gauge independent and finite results the CKM unitarity relation in (45) should be replaced by the new one that reads

$$\hat{\lambda}_u + \hat{\lambda}_c + \hat{\lambda}_t + \hat{\lambda}_T = 0 \qquad \hat{\lambda}_i = \hat{V}_{ib}^* \hat{V}_{iq}, \qquad q = s, d, \qquad (46)$$

with \hat{V}_{ij} containing corrections from the mixing with T in question. Explicit formulae for \hat{V}_{ij} are given in [32]. To my knowledge this was the first paper which explicitly demonstrated that only after the imposition of this unitarity relation the divergences in the unitary gauge could be removed.

But now comes an important point made in [32]. In order for the relation in (46) to be effective it is crucial to include box diagrams involving both SM quarks and the vector-like quark T in addition to the usual box-diagrams involving ordinary quarks only and the ones that involve T only. This is evident from the expressions above and applies to all VLQ models. More recent calculations of this type in the context of vector-like models can be found in [33–36].

7 Summary

In this paper, we have introduced two 3×3 unitary and hermitian matrices $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z')$ and $\hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ that govern the flavour interactions mediated by Z' between down-quarks and upquarks, respectively. This assures the suppression of these contributions to all Z' mediated FCNC processes at the one-loop level. The explicit parametrization for $\hat{\Delta}_d(Z')$ is given in (17). $\hat{\Delta}_u(Z')$ has the same structure but the mixing parameters and the two phases can differ from the ones in (17) if the couplings are right-handed. For left-handed couplings these matrices must be equal to each other, as given in (4), due to the unbroken $SU(2)_L$ gauge symmetry in the SMEFT. Of interest is also the breakdown of flavour universality in flavour conserving Z' couplings between the first two generations and the third one for both quarks and leptons. It is likely very small but this has to be investigated in the future.

Due to the strong suppression of Z' contributions to all FCNC processes at the one-loop level, it is now fully justified to perform phenomenology at the tree level and determine the four parameters in (15). As according to [5] the CKM parameters can be determined from $\Delta F = 2$ processes alone without NP infection, one can begin the analysis with the resulting values of the CKM parameters. They read [1]

$$|V_{cb}| = 42.6(4) \times 10^{-3}, \quad \gamma = 64.6(16)^{\circ}, \quad \beta = 22.2(7)^{\circ}, \quad |V_{ub}| = 3.72(11) \times 10^{-3}.$$
 (47)

Having them, the four parameters in (15) can be determined from $\Delta F = 1$ processes making sure that the infection of $\Delta F = 2$ observables is within the uncertainties in (47). For the *K* system, as demonstrated in [29], this can be achieved through the choice $\delta_2 - \delta_1 = 90^\circ$. But for the *B*-system it is more challenging.

One possibility would be the inclusion of right-handed couplings in addition to left-handed ones. This allows to suppress NP contributions from Z' gauge boson to $\Delta F = 2$ observables but requires fine tuning between left-left, right-right and left-right operators contributing to these observables [37–39]. Fortunately, in the case of B physics this tuning is much smaller than in the case of K physics, where this tuning is not required as demonstrated in [29].

Much more efficient and more elegant solution to these problems is the introduction of a second Z' which collaborates with the first one to remove NP contributions from quark mixing and the two gauge bosons collaborate in the explanation of the observed anomalies in $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ transitions. In this Z'-Tandem scenario the new parameters in (15) are determined exclusively from $\Delta F = 1$ processes with the CKM parameters determined already through $\Delta F = 2$ processes and given in (47). Z'-Tandem scenario is proposed and discussed in [40]. The parametrization in (17) presented here plays the crucial role in this new framework.

The structure of the $\hat{\Delta}(Z')$ implies a number of correlations between $b \to s\mu^+\mu^-$, $B_{s,d} \to \ell^+\ell^-$, $K^+ \to \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ and $K_L \to \pi^0\nu\bar{\nu}$ branching ratios and other branching ratios as discussed in Section 4. Also correlations with the ratio ε'/ε are present. They depend additionally on the leptonic couplings. With a sufficient number of observables, like the ones present in Flavio [27] and HEPfit [28] codes, powerful tests of this scenario can be made and possible anomalies explained. Even more important would be the construction of specific UV completions which would allow to make more concrete predictions for FCNC observables than in the simplified scenario presented here. In particular, the issue of gauge anomalies related to the presence of new gauge bosons and addressed in 331 models will likely require the introduction of new heavy fermions. But as the cancelation of gauge anomalies is mass independent, we do not expect significant modifications of this framework if their masses are sufficiently high. Yet, this issue requires an additional study that is beyond the scope of the present paper.

Most important would be the discovery of Z' gauge boson at the LHC, but even if this will not happen, measurements of many observables will allow to test this scenario with explicit parametrizations of mixing matrices for quarks and leptons through virtual effects alone.

Acknowledgements I would like to thank Herbert Spohn and Peter Weisz for invaluable advices during the construction of the parametrization in (17). Discussions with Monika Blanke, Gerhard Buchalla and Andreas Crivellin are highly appreciated. Financial support from the Excellence Cluster ORIGINS, funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), Excellence Strategy, EXC-2094, 390783311 is acknowledged.

References

- [1] A. J. Buras and E. Venturini, The exclusive vision of rare K and B decays and of the quark mixing in the standard model, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022), no. 7 615, [arXiv:2203.11960].
- [2] A. J. Buras and E. Venturini, Searching for New Physics in Rare K and B Decays without $|V_{cb}|$ and $|V_{ub}|$ Uncertainties, Acta Phys. Polon. B 53 (9, 2021) A1, [arXiv:2109.11032].
- [3] M. Bordone, B. Capdevila, and P. Gambino, Three loop calculations and inclusive $|V_{cb}|$, Phys. Lett. B 822 (2021) 136679, [arXiv:2107.00604].
- [4] Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG) Collaboration, Y. Aoki et al., FLAG Review 2021, Eur. Phys. J. C 82 (2022), no. 10 869, [arXiv:2111.09849].
- [5] A. J. Buras, Standard Model predictions for rare K and B decays without new physics infection, Eur. Phys. J. C 83 (2023), no. 1 66, [arXiv:2209.03968].
- [6] S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos, and L. Maiani, Weak Interactions with Lepton-Hadron Symmetry, Phys. Rev. D2 (1970) 1285–1292.
- [7] M. Gaillard and B. W. Lee, Rare Decay Modes of the K-Mesons in Gauge Theories, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 897.
- [8] N. Cabibbo, Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963) 531–533.
 [648(1963)].
- M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, CP Violation in the Renormalizable Theory of Weak Interaction, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49 (1973) 652–657.
- [10] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, An SU(3) x U(1) model for electroweak interactions, Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 410–417, [hep-ph/9206242].

- [11] P. H. Frampton, Chiral dilepton model and the flavor question, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2889–2891.
- [12] V. Pleitez, Challenges for the 3-3-1 models, in 5th Colombian Meeting on High Energy Physics, 12, 2021. arXiv:2112.10888.
- [13] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, L. Duarte, A. S. de Jesus, S. Kovalenko, F. S. Queiroz, C. Siqueira, Y. M. Oviedo-Torres, and Y. Villamizar, When Flavor Changing Interactions Meet Hadron Colliders, arXiv:2208.08462.
- [14] A. J. Buras and F. De Fazio, 331 Model Predictions for Rare B and K Decays, and $\Delta F = 2$ Processes: an Update, arXiv:2301.02649.
- [15] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, J. Girrbach, and M. V. Carlucci, The Anatomy of Quark Flavour Observables in 331 Models in the Flavour Precision Era, JHEP 1302 (2013) 023, [arXiv:1211.1237].
- [16] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, and F. Loparco, c → uνν transitions of Bc mesons: 331 model facing Standard Model null tests, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021), no. 11 115024, [arXiv:2107.07291].
- [17] A. J. Buras, P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, and F. Loparco, The charm of 331, JHEP 10 (2021) 021, [arXiv:2107.10866].
- [18] L.-L. Chau and W.-Y. Keung, Comments on the Parametrization of the Kobayashi-Maskawa Matrix, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 1802.
- [19] B. Pontecorvo, Mesonium and antimesonium, Sov. Phys. JETP 6 (1957) 429.
- [20] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, Remarks on the unified model of elementary particles, Prog. Theor. Phys. 28 (1962) 870.
- [21] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, 331 models facing new $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-$ data, JHEP 1402 (2014) 112, [arXiv:1311.6729].
- [22] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach-Noe, Z-Z' mixing and Z-mediated FCNCs in $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_X$ Models, JHEP **1408** (2014) 039, [arXiv:1405.3850].
- [23] A. J. Buras and F. De Fazio, ε'/ε in 331 Models, JHEP 03 (2016) 010, [arXiv:1512.02869].
- [24] A. J. Buras and F. De Fazio, 331 Models Facing the Tensions in $\Delta F = 2$ Processes with the Impact on ε'/ε , $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ and $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$, JHEP **08** (2016) 115, [arXiv:1604.02344].
- [25] A. J. Buras, New physics patterns in ε'/ε and ε_K with implications for rare kaon decays and ΔM_K , JHEP 04 (2016) 071, [arXiv:1601.00005].
- [26] J. Aebischer, A. J. Buras, and J. Kumar, Another SMEFT Story: Z' Facing New Results on ε'/ε , ΔM_K and $K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$, arXiv:2006.01138.
- [27] D. M. Straub, flavio: a Python package for flavour and precision phenomenology in the Standard Model and beyond, arXiv:1810.08132.
- [28] J. De Blas et al., HEPfit: a code for the combination of indirect and direct constraints on high energy physics models, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020), no. 5 456, [arXiv:1910.14012].
- [29] J. Aebischer, A. J. Buras, and J. Kumar, Kaon Physics Without New Physics in ε_K , arXiv:2302.00013.

- [30] A. Crivellin, Explaining the Cabibbo Angle Anomaly, 7, 2022. arXiv:2207.02507.
- [31] A. J. Buras, Gauge Theory of Weak Decays. Cambridge University Press, 6, 2020.
- [32] A. J. Buras, A. Poschenrieder, and S. Uhlig, Particle-antiparticle mixing, epsilon(K) and the unitarity triangle in the littlest Higgs model, Nucl. Phys. B 716 (2005) 173–198, [hep-ph/0410309].
- [33] B. Belfatto, R. Beradze, and Z. Berezhiani, The CKM unitarity problem: A trace of new physics at the TeV scale?, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020), no. 2 149, [arXiv:1906.02714].
- [34] B. Belfatto and Z. Berezhiani, Are the CKM anomalies induced by vector-like quarks? Limits from flavor changing and Standard Model precision tests, JHEP 10 (2021) 079, [arXiv:2103.05549].
- [35] F. J. Botella, G. C. Branco, M. N. Rebelo, J. I. Silva-Marcos, and J. F. Bastos, *Decays of the heavy top and new insights on* ϵ_K *in a one-VLQ minimal solution to the CKM unitarity problem, Eur. Phys. J. C* 82 (2022), no. 4 360, [arXiv:2111.15401].
- [36] A. Crivellin, M. Kirk, T. Kitahara, and F. Mescia, Global Fit of Modified Quark Couplings to EW Gauge Bosons and Vector-Like Quarks in Light of the Cabibbo Angle Anomaly, arXiv:2212.06862.
- [37] A. J. Buras, F. De Fazio, and J. Girrbach, $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule, ε'/ε and $K \to \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ in Z'(Z) and G' models with FCNC quark couplings, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 2950, [arXiv:1404.3824].
- [38] A. J. Buras, D. Buttazzo, J. Girrbach-Noe, and R. Knegjens, Can we reach the Zeptouniverse with rare K and B_{s,d} decays?, JHEP 1411 (2014) 121, [arXiv:1408.0728].
- [39] A. Crivellin, L. Hofer, J. Matias, U. Nierste, S. Pokorski, and J. Rosiek, Lepton-flavour violating B decays in generic Z' models, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015), no. 5 054013, [arXiv:1504.07928].
- [40] A. J. Buras, Z'-Tandem Mechanism for the Suppression of New Physics in Quark Mixing with Implications for K, D and B Decays, arXiv:2302.01354.