

Model for an electrostatic capacitor in Einstein–Maxwell theory

Ian Khai-Shuen Ng*, Wei Zheng Choo† and Yen-Kheng Lim‡

Department of Physics, Xiamen University Malaysia, 43900 Sepang, Malaysia

February 8, 2023

Abstract

A general relativistic model of a parallel-plate electrostatic capacitor is presented. The spacetime is a solution to the Einstein–Maxwell equations and involves class of solution previously studied by Veseleý and Žofka (VŽ). In particular, the parts containing curvature singularities are cut out and the remaining regular section is glued to Minkowski spacetimes. In essence, this results in a curved electro-vacuum VŽ spacetime sandwiched on both sides by vacuum Minkowski spacetimes. Junction conditions require the presence of charged exotic matter on the boundaries. We interpret this configuration as a parallel-plate capacitor with gravitational effects induced by the strong electric fields. The capacitance per area of this configuration is $\mathcal{C} = \epsilon_0 / (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) d$, where $d = 2a$ is the coordinate distance between the capacitor plates and σ is the electric field strength in geometric units. The factor $(1 - \sigma a)^{-1}$ is the modification due to gravity and tends to 1 in the weak-gravity limit.

1 Introduction

The capacitor is one of the simplest electrical device that is well described by Gauss’ law in elementary electrostatics. However, a sufficiently strong electric field also works as a source of gravity, curving the spacetime in its presence. As such, the main subject of this paper is to consider a parallel-plate capacitor which takes the curvature of spacetime into account, using Einstein–Maxwell gravity. Previously, other gravitational counterparts of electromagnetic systems have been explored under different contexts. For instance, the *cosmic solenoid* was

*Email: phy2009960@xmu.edu.my

†Email: eee2004046@xmu.edu.my

‡Email: yenkheng.lim@gmail.com, yenkheng.lim@xmu.edu.my

studied by Davidson and Karasik in [1], and the *rechargeable black-hole battery* by Mai and Yang in [2].

In this paper, the ‘cosmic capacitor’ is obtained from a slight modification of a particular electro-vacuum solution to Einstein–Maxwell equations. The magnetic version of this solution was one of the many cylindrically-symmetric spacetimes obtained in the review [3]. Subsequently it was further studied and generalised to include a cosmological constant by Veseleý and Žofka (VŽ) [12]. (See also [4,5] for related developments.) Here, we are interested in the electric version of this solution with zero cosmological constant, for which the metric and gauge potential is¹

$$ds^2 = -\frac{dt^2}{1 - \sigma^2 r^2} + dr^2 + (1 - \sigma^2 r^2) \left(e^{2 \arcsin(\sigma r)} dz^2 + e^{-2 \arcsin(\sigma r)} d\phi^2 \right), \quad (1.1a)$$

$$A = -\frac{\sigma r}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 r^2}} dt. \quad (1.1b)$$

The Faraday tensor is obtained by $F = dA$. The domain for the coordinates are $t, z \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in (-1/\sigma, 1/\sigma)$, and $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$. There are curvature singularities at $r \rightarrow \pm 1/\sigma$ [12]. The flat spacetime limit is $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, for which $A = 0$ and the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + dz^2 + d\phi^2.$$

Note that since ϕ is a periodic coordinate, this flat limit is a three-dimensional Minkowski spacetime times a circle, $\mathbb{R}^{2,1} \times S^1$.

If we instead consider the solution

$$ds^2 = -\frac{dt^2}{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} + dx^2 + (1 - \sigma^2 x^2) \left(e^{2 \arcsin(\sigma x)} dz^2 + e^{-2 \arcsin(\sigma x)} dw^2 \right), \quad (1.2a)$$

$$A = -\frac{\sigma x}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2}} dt, \quad (1.2b)$$

it locally appears identical to (1.1) with a trivial renaming $r \rightarrow x$ and $\phi \rightarrow w$. However, the global nature of the solution is different if w takes the domain $w \in \mathbb{R}$. The other coordinates take the same domains as (1.1), namely $t, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in (-1/\sigma, 1/\sigma)$. For this case, the flat limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ is four-dimensional Minkowski, $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$, with no compact dimensions.

In this paper, we shall take (1.2) and remove the curvature singularities by cutting the spacetime at $x = \pm a$, where $a < \frac{1}{\sigma}$. Then each side is glued with vacuum Minkowski spacetimes. The junction conditions [6, 7] then requires the presence of oppositely-charged matter at the

¹This solution is found in Eq. (3.16) of Ref. [3] and Eq. (13) of [12].

surfaces $x = \pm a$. In other words, a presence of oppositely-charged matter on the surfaces sources the Veseleý–Žofka spacetime in between. We interpret this configuration as a model of an electrostatic parallel-plate capacitor in Einstein–Maxwell theory. Indeed, in limit of weak gravity, the solution reduces to that of a uniform electric field between two oppositely charged planes. We note in passing that charged shell methods have been applied in other contexts, such as in Refs. [8–11].

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2, we describe the spacetime metrics and gauge potentials. In the same section we also investigate the properties of the surface stress tensor as required by the junction conditions. Physical orders of magnitudes, including the ‘capacitance’ of the system, are calculated in Sec. 3. The behaviour of charged test particles are investigated in Sec. 4. The paper concludes in Sec. 5. We will mainly work in geometric units where $G = c = 1$, except in Sec. 3 where we briefly convert some physical quantities to SI units. We follow the conventions of Poisson’s book [6] for curvature and stress tensors.

2 Bulk solutions and junction conditions

Consider a four-dimensional spacetime M partitioned into three parts, $M = M_- \cup M_0 \cup M_+$. Let Σ_- be the common boundary between M_- and M_0 . Similarly let Σ_+ be the common boundary between M_0 and M_+ , as shown in Fig. 1. Both surfaces Σ_{\pm} are taken to be time-like. Our convention for the intrinsic and extrinsic geometry is as follows: We will let x^μ denote bulk coordinates on M_i , and y^a be coordinates on the surfaces ∂M_i . The induced metric on ∂M_i is $h_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu} e_a^\mu e_b^\nu$, where $e_a^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^a}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the bulk metric. If n^μ is the outward-pointing unit normal at a boundary ∂M_i , the extrinsic curvature is $K_{ab} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \nabla_\mu n_\nu$. The trace of the extrinsic curvature is $K = K_{ab} h^{ab}$.

The action for the present model is

$$I = I_{M_-} + I_{M_0} + I_{M_+} + I_\Sigma + I_S, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$I_{M_i} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_{M_i} d^4x \sqrt{-\det g} (R - F^2), \quad i = -, 0, +. \quad (2.2)$$

Here R is the Ricci scalar and we have used the notation $F^2 = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$, where $F_{\mu\nu} =$




Figure 1: Sketch of the spacetime depicting a cosmic capacitor. The shaded region represents the domain M_0 where an electric field points from Σ_+ to Σ_- . The domains M_{\pm} are vacuum Minkowski spacetimes. The surfaces Σ_{\pm} carries positive and negative charges, respectively.

$\nabla_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \nabla_{\nu}A_{\mu}$ are the components of the Faraday tensor $F = dA$, with $A = A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}$ being the electromagnetic four-potential. The boundary terms are

$$I_{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\Sigma_- \cup \Sigma_+} d^3y \sqrt{-\det h} K, \quad (2.3)$$

where K is the extrinsic curvature and $\det h$ is the determinant of the metric induced on Σ_{\pm} .

The term I_S is the action describing the ‘capacitor plates’,

$$I_S = \int_{\Sigma_- \cup \Sigma_+} d^3y \sqrt{-\det h} \mathcal{L}_S, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\sqrt{-\det h} \mathcal{L}_S$ represents the Lagrangian density for the matter source.

The equations of motion in the bulk (that is, away from Σ_{\pm}) are obtained by varying (2.1) with respect to $g^{\mu\nu}$ and A_{μ} , giving the Einstein–Maxwell equations in the bulk M_{\pm} , M_0 .

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 2F_{\mu\lambda}F_{\nu}^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}F^2g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (2.5b)$$

On the surfaces Σ_{\pm} , we vary (2.1) with respect to h^{ab} and $A_a = e_a^{\mu}A_{\mu}$, the latter of which is the electromagnetic four-potential projected onto the surfaces. The resulting equations of motion

are

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi} ([K_{ab}] - [K]h_{ab}) = \mathcal{T}_{ab}, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$-[F_{\mu\nu}]n^\mu e_b^\nu h^{ba} = \mathcal{J}^a, \quad (2.6b)$$

where the surface stress tensor and surface current are, respectively,

$$\mathcal{T}_{ab} = -2\frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_S}{\delta h^{ab}} + \mathcal{L}_S h_{ab}, \quad \mathcal{J}^a = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}_S}{\delta A_a}. \quad (2.7)$$

Here we have used the notation $[X] = X(M_\pm)|_{\Sigma_\pm} - X(M_0)|_{\Sigma_\pm}$ for the jump of a tensor quantity X across Σ_\pm .

We now specify the explicit solution which describes the capacitor. First, the solution on M_0 , the region between the ‘plates’ is taken to be the electric version of Veselý and Žofka’s solution [12]

$$ds_0^2 = -\frac{dt^2}{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} + dx^2 + (1 - \sigma^2 x^2) \left(e^{2\arcsin(\sigma x)} dz^2 + e^{-2\arcsin(\sigma x)} dw^2 \right), \quad (2.8a)$$

$$A = -\frac{\sigma x}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2}} dt. \quad (2.8b)$$

The domains of the coordinates are taken to be $t, z, w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in (-a, a)$ for some positive a . There are no curvature singularities as long as $a < \frac{1}{|\sigma|}$. The boundaries Σ_\pm are approached as $x \rightarrow \pm a$.

For the regions ‘outside’ the plates, we take the metrics on M_\pm to be Minkowski spaces:

$$ds_\pm^2 = -dt_\pm^2 + dx^2 + dz_\pm^2 + dw_\pm^2,$$

$$A = \chi_\pm dt_\pm,$$

where χ_\pm are constants, hence the electric field vanishes. The domain of x is $x < -a$ on ds_-^2 and $x > a$ on ds_+^2 . To ensure the continuity of the metric across Σ_\pm , we scale the coordinates (t_\pm, z_\pm, w_\pm) so that

$$t_\pm = e^{-V_\pm} t, \quad z_\pm = e^{V_\pm + U_\pm} z, \quad w_\pm = e^{V_\pm - U_\pm} w,$$

where

$$V_{\pm} \equiv V(x = \pm a) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \sigma^2 a^2), \quad U_{\pm} \equiv U(x = \pm a) = \pm \arcsin(\sigma a),$$

and (t, z, w) are the same as in (2.8). The continuity of the electric potential across Σ_{\pm} then fixes χ_{\pm} to be

$$\chi_{\pm} = \frac{\mp e^{V_{\pm}} \sigma a}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2}.$$

Collecting our results, the solution for ‘outside’ the plates are

$$ds_{\pm}^2 = -\frac{dt^2}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2} + dx^2 + (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) \left(e^{\pm 2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} dz^2 + e^{\mp 2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} dw^2 \right), \quad (2.9a)$$

$$A = \frac{\mp \sigma a}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2} dt \quad (2.9b)$$

For concreteness, we shall view Σ_{\pm} from the perspective of M_0 , being the boundary with disconnected pieces $\partial M_0 = \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-$. We take vector normal to the boundary, n^{μ} , to be outward-pointing. Therefore, n^{μ} takes the explicit form

$$n^{\mu} = \begin{cases} +\delta_x^{\mu} & \text{at } \Sigma_+, \\ -\delta_x^{\mu} & \text{at } \Sigma_-. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Let y^a be the coordinates on ∂M_0 and $e_a^{\mu} = \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^a}$. The induced metric on the boundary ∂M_0 is

$$h_{ab} dy^a dy^b = \begin{cases} -\frac{dt^2}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2} + (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) (e^{2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} dz^2 + e^{-2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} dw^2) & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ -\frac{dt^2}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2} + (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) (e^{-2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} dz^2 + e^{2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} dw^2) & \text{on } \Sigma_-, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

with $g^{\mu\nu} = n^{\mu} n^{\nu} + h^{ab} e_a^{\mu} e_b^{\nu}$, where h^{ab} is the inverse of the induced metric. The extrinsic

curvature is $K_{ab} = e_a^\mu e_b^\nu \nabla_\mu n_\nu$. The components of the extrinsic curvature are

$$K_{tt} = \begin{cases} e^{-2V_+} V'_+ & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ -e^{-2V_-} V'_- & \text{on } \Sigma_-, \end{cases} \quad (2.12a)$$

$$K_{zz} = \begin{cases} e^{2V_+ + 2U_+} (V'_+ + U'_+) & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ -e^{2V_- + U_-} (V'_- + U'_-) & \text{on } \Sigma_-, \end{cases} \quad (2.12b)$$

$$K_{ww} = \begin{cases} e^{2V_+ - 2U_+} (V'_+ - U'_+) & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ -e^{2V_- - U_-} (V'_- - U'_-) & \text{on } \Sigma_-, \end{cases} \quad (2.12c)$$

The trace of the extrinsic curvature is

$$K = h^{ab} K_{ab} = \begin{cases} V'_+ & \text{on } \Sigma_+, \\ -V'_- & \text{on } \Sigma_-. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

The surfaces Σ_\pm are also the boundaries of M_\pm , and their extrinsic curvatures are found to be zero, as the Christoffel symbols vanish identically.

With the extrinsic curvatures on hand, the surface stress tensor on Σ_\pm can be determined from $\mathcal{T}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} ([K_{ab}] - [K]h_{ab})$. Explicitly, the components are

$$\mathcal{T}_{tt} = \frac{\rho}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2} \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\pm, \quad (2.14a)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{zz} = \pm (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) e^{\pm 2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} p_0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\pm, \quad (2.14b)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{ww} = \mp (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) e^{\mp 2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} p_0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma_\pm, \quad (2.14c)$$

where

$$\rho = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\sigma^2 a}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2}, \quad p_0 = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 a^2}}. \quad (2.15)$$

We note that the energy density ρ is negative. Indeed, the surface stress tensor violates the weak energy condition (WEC), which we can see as follows: Taking any arbitrary time-like vector $u^a = (u^t, u^z, u^w)$, its time-like condition leads to

$$\frac{(u^t)^2}{1 - \sigma^2 a^2} > (1 - \sigma^2 a^2) \left(e^{2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} (u^z)^2 + e^{-2 \arcsin(\sigma a)} (u^w)^2 \right).$$

We then calculate the quantity on Σ_{\pm}

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{ab}u^au^b &= \frac{\rho}{1-\sigma^2a^2}(u^t)^2 + (1-\sigma^2a^2) \left[\pm e^{2\arcsin(\sigma a)} p_0 (u^z)^2 \mp e^{-2\arcsin(\sigma a)} p_0 (u^w)^2 \right] \\ &\geq (1-\sigma^2a^2) \left[(\rho \pm p_0) e^{2\arcsin(\sigma a)} (u^z)^2 + (\rho \mp p_0) e^{-2\arcsin(\sigma a)} (u^w)^2 \right].\end{aligned}$$

Because ρ is negative, see that one or both terms are negative. In other words, it is not possible to guarantee that $\mathcal{T}_{ab}u^au^b$ is non-negative for all time-like u^a . Therefore the weak energy condition is not satisfied. The stress-energy tensor \mathcal{T}_{ab} has to be comprised of exotic matter.

The surface charge density can be found from Eq. (2.6b), which gives

$$\mathcal{J}^b = \pm \Theta \delta_t^b \quad \text{on } \Sigma_{\pm}. \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\Theta = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1-\sigma^2a^2}}. \quad (2.17)$$

The electric potential is $V = g^{tt}A_t = \pm \sigma a \sqrt{1-\sigma^2a^2}$. Therefore the potential difference between the plates is

$$\Delta V = 2\sigma a \sqrt{1-\sigma^2a^2}. \quad (2.18)$$

Finally, the electric field vector is obtained from $E^i = -\xi^\mu F_\mu{}^i$, where ξ^μ is the unit time-like Killing vector. The only non-zero component is

$$E^x = -\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma^2x^2}. \quad (2.19)$$

3 Physical orders of magnitude and capacitance

From the solution (2.8), we see that flat Minkowski spacetime (i.e., zero gravity limit) is recovered when the dimensionless parameter σa tends to zero. In the other direction, the metric deviates significantly from flat Minkowski spacetime if σa is sufficiently large. To restore SI units, we

have

$$\sigma = \left(\frac{c^4}{4\pi G \epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2} \tilde{\sigma}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the electric field strength in standard units. In these units, the charge per area on the plates is

$$\tilde{\Theta} = \left(\frac{c^4 \epsilon_0}{4\pi G} \right)^{1/2} \Theta = \left(\frac{c^4 \epsilon_0}{4\pi G} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 a^2}}. \quad (3.2)$$

In SI units, the potential difference is

$$\Delta \tilde{V} = \left(\frac{c^4}{4\pi G \epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2} 2a \tilde{\sigma} \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 a^2}. \quad (3.3)$$

To get a rough estimate of physical quantities required to curve the spacetime with gravity, suppose we have the capacitor plates separated at $0.2 \text{ m} = 20 \text{ cm}$. That is, $a = 0.1 \text{ m}$. The corresponding electric field, charge per area, and potential difference are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma} &\sim 1.04 \times 10^{28} \text{ Volt m}^{-1}, \\ \tilde{\Theta} &\sim 2.92 \times 10^{16} \text{ C m}^{-2}, \\ \Delta \tilde{V} &\sim 2.08 \times 10^{26} \text{ Volt}, \end{aligned}$$

showing an extremely strong electric fields and potentials required to generate the $V\check{Z}$ spacetime.

If we define the capacitance per area as the ratio of the charge per area with its potential difference,

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\tilde{\Theta}}{\Delta \tilde{V}} = \epsilon_0 \frac{\Theta}{\Delta V} = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2a(1 - \sigma^2 a^2)}. \quad (3.4)$$

In other words, we see that gravitational corrections induces an effective dielectric constant of $(1 - \sigma^2 a^2)^{-1} > 1$. The standard expression of capacitance per unit area is recovered in the zero gravity limit $\sigma a \rightarrow 0$.

4 Behaviour of charged particles in the capacitor

The interplay between gravitational and electric fields can be revealed by studying the motion of charged particles between the plates. In the $V\check{Z}$ region of the spacetime, the motion of a test particle of mass m and charge q is described by the Lagrangian $L = \frac{m}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu + qA_\mu\dot{x}^\mu$. Explicitly,

$$L = \frac{m}{2} \left[-\frac{\dot{t}^2}{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} + \dot{x}^2 + (1 - \sigma^2 x^2) (e^{2 \arcsin \sigma x} \dot{z}^2 + e^{-2 \arcsin \sigma x} \dot{w}^2) \right] - \frac{q \sigma x \dot{t}}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since t , z , and w are cyclic variables of the Lagrangian, their canonical momenta $p_t = -E = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{t}}$, $p_z = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{z}}$, and $p_w = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{w}}$ are constants, leading to the first order equations

$$\dot{t} = (1 - \sigma^2 x^2) \frac{E}{m} - \frac{q}{m} \sigma \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} x, \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\dot{z} = e^{-2 \arcsin \sigma x} \frac{p_z}{m (1 - \sigma^2 x^2)}, \quad (4.2b)$$

$$\dot{w} = e^{2 \arcsin \sigma x} \frac{p_w}{m (1 - \sigma^2 x^2)}. \quad (4.2c)$$

The constraint $g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu = -1$ leads to a first-order equation for x upon eliminating \dot{t} , \dot{z} , and \dot{w} using Eq. (4.2):

$$\dot{x}^2 = \left(\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} \frac{E}{m} - \frac{q}{m} \sigma x \right)^2 - 1 - \frac{e^{-2 \arcsin \sigma x} p_z^2 + e^{2 \arcsin \sigma x} p_w^2}{m^2 (1 - \sigma^2 x^2)}. \quad (4.3)$$

To declutter the equations slightly, let us express the energy, charge, and momenta per unit mass by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{E}{m}, \quad e = \frac{q}{m}, \quad P_z = \frac{p_z}{m}, \quad P_w = \frac{p_w}{m}. \quad (4.4)$$

In geometric units, these quantities are dimensionless. The equations of motion are then

$$\dot{t} = (1 - \sigma^2 x^2) \mathcal{E} - e \sigma \sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} x, \quad (4.5a)$$

$$\dot{z} = e^{-2 \arcsin \sigma x} \frac{P_z}{(1 - \sigma^2 x^2)}, \quad (4.5b)$$

$$\dot{w} = e^{2 \arcsin \sigma x} \frac{P_w}{(1 - \sigma^2 x^2)}, \quad (4.5c)$$

and the constraint equation can be expressed in terms of an ‘effective potential’

$$\dot{x}^2 + U_{\text{eff}} = 0, \quad \text{where}$$

$$U_{\text{eff}} = - \left(\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} \mathcal{E} - e\sigma x \right)^2 + 1 + \frac{e^{-2 \arcsin \sigma x} P_z^2 + e^{2 \arcsin \sigma x} P_w^2}{1 - \sigma^2 x^2}. \quad (4.6)$$

Another equation of motion for x can be obtained from the Euler–Lagrange equation, $\frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}$, which leads to the second-order differential equation

$$\ddot{x} = -\sigma^2 x \left(\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} \mathcal{E} - e\sigma x \right)^2 - \frac{e\sigma}{(1 - \sigma^2 x^2)} \left(\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} \mathcal{E} - e\sigma x \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\sigma \left(\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} - \sigma x \right) e^{-2 \arcsin \sigma x}}{(1 - \sigma^2 x^2)^2} P_z^2 - \frac{\sigma \left(\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 x^2} + \sigma x \right) e^{2 \arcsin \sigma x}}{(1 - \sigma^2 x^2)^2} P_w^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Equation (4.7) can be integrated using the Runge–Kutta methods and used as a numerical check to verify that Eq. (4.6) is always satisfied throughout the motion.

From Eqs. (4.5b) and (4.5c), we see that \dot{z} and \dot{w} does not change sign throughout the motion. Therefore a particle with non-zero momenta P_z and P_w will simply evolve monotonically in the z and w directions. Therefore in the following, we will be mainly interested in the x -motion, and shall set $P_z = P_w = 0$.

Note that since $\dot{r}^2 + U_{\text{eff}} = 0$, the particle is confined to the domain where $U_{\text{eff}} \leq 0$. Assuming $q \geq 0$, this condition requires that particles are confined to the region (for $P_z = P_w = 0$)

$$x_- \leq x \leq x_+, \quad \text{where} \quad x_{\pm} = \frac{- \left(q \mp \mathcal{E} \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 + q^2 - 1} \right)}{(\mathcal{E}^2 + q^2) \sigma}. \quad (4.8)$$

If either $|x_+| \geq a$ or $|x_-| \geq a$, the particles have sufficient kinetic energy to collide with either of the capacitor plates. Conversely, if $|x_{\pm}| < a$, the particle will oscillate in a finite region in between the plates.

The effective potential U_{eff} has a local minima (for $P_z = P_w = 0$) at

$$x_{\text{eq}} = \frac{q}{\sigma \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^2 + q^2}}, \quad (4.9)$$

so that particles with energy and charges obeying $U_{\text{eff}}|_{x=x_{\text{eq}}} = 0$ remain in a stable equilibrium. In particular for neutral particles the minima of the potential is at $x_{\text{eq}} = 0$, reflecting the fact that the VŽ spacetime is a symmetric gravitational potential well.




Figure 2: Plot of x vs U_{eff} for $\mathcal{E} = 1.2$, $P_z = P_w = 0$, and $\sigma = 0.8/a$ for charges $e = 0$ (solid black curve), $e = 0.3$ (dashed blue curve) and $e = -0.3$ (dotted red curve).

In Fig. 2, the plots of x vs U_{eff} are shown for the case $\mathcal{E} = 1.2$ and $\sigma = 0.8/a$ for various charges. We see that for neutral particles ($e = 0$, the solid black curve in Fig. 2.) the effective potential has a minimum at $x = 0$. As mentioned above, this shows that the $V\check{Z}$ spacetime is a symmetric gravitational potential well. For positive charges ($e = 0.3$ in Fig. 2, dashed blue curve.) the minimum of U_{eff} is shifted towards the left, reflecting the attraction of the positive charge towards the negative plate, as well as the repulsion from the positive one. Similarly, negative charges ($e = -0.3$ in Fig. 2, dotted red curve.) are attracted towards the positive plate and repulsed by the negative one, shifting the equilibrium point towards the left.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have removed the curvature singularity of the $V\check{Z}$ spacetime and sandwiched it with vacuum Minkowski spacetimes on both sides. This configuration is interpreted as a parallel-plate capacitor, where junction conditions determine the properties of the capacitor plates.

Defining the capacitance (per area) \mathcal{C} as the ratio of the charge (per area) with the potential difference between the two plates, we find, in SI units, the expression $\mathcal{C} = \epsilon_0/2a (1 - \sigma^2 a^2)$. The factor $(1 - \sigma^2 a^2)^{-1}$ represents the gravitational contribution to the capacitance, as a non-zero $\sigma x \sim \sigma a$ signifies spacetime curvature in the metric. Therefore, we find that gravitational effects behaves as an effective dielectric constant which modifies the capacitance by $\epsilon_0 \rightarrow \epsilon_0(1 - \sigma^2 a^2)^{-1}$. In the weak gravity limit, we have $\sigma a \rightarrow 0$ and the elementary formula for the capacitance is recovered.

Perhaps an undesirable feature of this capacitor is that the junction conditions requires the surface stress tensor to have negative energy density, leading to a violation of the weak energy condition. Therefore, the construction of such a capacitor, if at all possible, requires exotic matter.

Nevertheless, the presence of gravity between the plates contributes to some non-trivial behaviour for charged test particles. In a non-gravitational capacitor, any charged particle would simply be uniformly accelerated by the electric field towards the plate of opposite charge. However, in the $V\check{Z}$ region, there exist a potential well due to the gravitational force. So in this case, it is possible for charged particles to simply oscillate in between the plates without touching them.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Tomasz Paterek and Kalai Kumar a/l Rajagopal for illuminating discussions. Y.-K. L is supported by Xiamen University Malaysia Research Fund (Grant no. XMUMRF/2021-C8/IPHY/0001).

References

- [1] A. Davidson and D. Karasik, *Cosmic solenoids: Minimal cross-section and generalized flux quantization*, Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 045002, [[gr-qc/9901002](#)].
- [2] Z.-F. Mai and R.-Q. Yang, *Rechargeable black hole battery*, [arXiv:2210.10587](#).
- [3] K. Bronnikov, N. O. Santos, and A. Wang, *Cylindrical Systems in General Relativity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **37** (2020), no. 11 113002, [[arXiv:1901.06561](#)].
- [4] M. Žofka, *Bonnor-Melvin universe with a cosmological constant*, Phys. Rev. D **99** (2019), no. 4 044058, [[arXiv:1903.08563](#)].
- [5] J. Veselý and M. Žofka, *Cosmological magnetic field: The boost-symmetric case*, Phys. Rev. D **100** (2019), no. 4 044059, [[arXiv:2104.02123](#)].
- [6] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2004).
- [7] W. Israel, *Singular hypersurfaces and thin shells in general relativity*, Nuovo Cim. B **44S10** (1966) 1. [Erratum: Nuovo Cim.B 48, 463 (1967)].

- [8] M. Gurses, *Extremely charged static dust distributions in general relativity*, in *International Seminar on Mathematical Cosmology (ISMC 98)*, pp. 425–432, 6, 1998. [gr-qc/9806038](#).
- [9] M. Gurses and B. Himmetoglu, *Multi shell model for Majumdar-Papapetrau spacetimes*, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 024032, [[gr-qc/0505006](#)]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 72, 049901 (2005)].
- [10] N. M. Garcia, F. S. N. Lobo, and M. Visser, *Generic spherically symmetric dynamic thin-shell traversable wormholes in standard general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 044026, [[arXiv:1112.2057](#)].
- [11] H. Culetu, *Screening an extremal black hole with a thin shell of exotic matter*, Phys. Dark Univ. **14** (2016) 1–3, [[arXiv:1508.01102](#)].
- [12] J. Veselý and M. Žofka, *Cylindrical spacetimes due to radial magnetic fields*, Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021), no. 2 024048, [[arXiv:2104.01557](#)].