

A_4 -based model with linear seesaw scheme for lepton mass and mixing

V. V. Vien^{1,2*}, H. N. Long^{3,4†}

¹Institute of Applied Technology, Thu Dau Mot University,
Binh Duong Province, Vietnam.

²Department of Physics, Tay Nguyen University, Daklak, Vietnam.

³ Center for Forecasting Studies, Thu Dau Mot University,
Binh Duong Province, Vietnam

⁴Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology,
10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi Vietnam.

Abstract

We suggest a low-scale model based on $A_4 \times Z_4 \times Z_2$ symmetry and a global lepton number $U(1)_L$ symmetry capable of generating the current neutrino data. The neutrino mass smallness is reproduced by the linear seesaw mechanism. The model can explain the current observed pattern of lepton mixing in which the reactor and atmospheric angles get the best-fit values, and the solar angle and Dirac phase lie within 3σ limits. The obtained values of the sum of neutrino mass and the effective neutrino mass are below the present experimental limits.

Keywords— Models beyond the standard model; Neutrino mass and mixing; Non-standard-model neutrinos, right-handed neutrinos, discrete symmetries.

*vovanvien@tdmu.edu.vn

†hoangngocong@tdmu.edu.vn (corresponding author)

1 Introduction

The current neutrino data given in Ref. [1] as shown in Table 1 confirms that the Standard Model (SM) need to be extended.

Table 1: Neutrino oscillation parameters taken from Ref. [1].

Parameters	Normal hierarchy (NH)	Inverted hierarchy (IH)
	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$ (3σ range)	bfp $\pm 1\sigma$ (3σ range)
$\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 (\text{meV}^2)}{10}$	$7.50^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$ (6.94 – 8.14)	$7.50^{+0.22}_{-0.20}$ (6.94 – 8.14)
$\frac{ \Delta m_{31}^2 (\text{meV}^2)}{10^3}$	$2.55^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ (2.47 – 2.63)	$2.45^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ (2.37–2.53)
$\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	0.318 ± 0.016 (0.271 – 0.369)	0.318 ± 0.016 (0.271 – 0.369)
$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	0.574 ± 0.014 (0.434 – 0.610)	$0.578^{+0.010}_{-0.017}$ (0.433 – 0.608)
$\frac{\sin^2 \theta_{13}}{10^{-2}}$	$2.200^{+0.069}_{-0.062}$ (2.00 – 2.405)	$2.225^{+0.064}_{-0.070}$ (2.018 – 2.424)
δ/π	$1.08^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$ (0.71 – 1.99)	$1.58^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$ (1.11 – 1.96)

Although the absolute neutrino mass remains unknown, the KATRIN Collaboration has reported an upper limit of $m_\nu < 1.1 \text{ eV}$ [2,3] or an improved limit of $m_\nu < 0.8 \text{ eV}$ [4].

The seesaw mechanism [5] is the most natural and elegant way to generate the small neutrino masses. However, the right-handed neutrinos mass scale is very high that cannot be reached by the near future experiments. It is to be noted that, in the linear seesaw mechanism [6–10] the neutrino mass smallness can arise as a consequences of new physics at TeV scale which may be performed by the LHC experiments. In such models, both non-renormalizable and renormalizable terms are encompassed to accommodate the observed pattern of neutrino masses and mixings.

Discrete symmetries are useful tools for explaining the observed fermion mass and mixing patterns in which A_4 symmetry has been applied in various works [11–34]. The linear seesaw mechanism¹ combined with non-Abelian discrete symmetries has been studied in Refs. [35–43]. However, the mentioned works contain non minimal scalar sectors with many $SU(2)_L$ doublets (hereafter called doublet) and they are differences with the current study. Namely, in previous works [35–43], the quark and/or lepton masses and mixings are generated by i) other non-Abelian discrete symmetries [40–43], ii) other Abelian discrete symmetries [35–43], iii) other gauge symmetries [36–43], iv) and many scalar fields: up to nine doublets [35], three triplets and fifteen singlets [38], one doublets and ten singlets [39], thirteen doublets and fourteen singlets [40], one doublets and nine singlets [36,37], five doublets and fifteen singlets [41], three doublets and nine singlets [42], and three triplets, two doublets and fifteen singlets [43]. Therefore, it is necessary and important to search

¹Linear seesaw for Majorana neutrino has been discussed in Refs. [35,36]. Here, we consider another scenarios in which the heavy neutral singlet leptons having both left-and right-handed helicities ($N_{L,R}$ and $S_{L,R}$) are purely Dirac fermions which are similar to those of Refs. [36,37]. However, our present model differs crucially from those of Refs. [36,37] in that our model is based on another and smaller abelian symmetry Z_2 (in stead of Z_3 symmetry) and with a fewer number of scalars (eight $SU(2)_L$ singlets instead of nine singles).

for another extension with a simpler scalar sector for explaining the observed neutrino data. In this study, we suggest a SM extension based on flavor symmetry $A_4 \times Z_4 \times Z_2$ with only one doublet and eight extra singlet scalars.

2 The model

Besides the SM gauge symmetry, our model has been supplemented by three discrete symmetries A_4, Z_4 and Z_2 , i.e., the full symmetry is $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_L \times A_4 \times Z_4 \times Z_2 \equiv \mathbf{G}$. On the other hand, three right-handed neutrinos (ν_R) and two types of neutral singlet leptons with two helicity states ($N_{L,R}, S_{L,R}$) together with eight singlet scalars are additionally introduced. Three left-handed leptons and three right-handed neutrinos together with extra neutral leptons² $N_{L,R}, S_{L,R}$ are put in A_4 triplets while three right-handed charged leptons $l_{1,2,3R}$ are in $\underline{1}, \underline{1}'$ and $\underline{1}''$ under A_4 symmetry, respectively. The assignment of leptons and scalars is given in Table 2.

Table 2: The assignment under $\mathbf{G}$ symmetry for leptons and scalars.

Fields	ψ_L	$l_{1,2,3R}$	ν_R	N_L, S_L	N_R, S_R	H	ϕ, φ	χ, ρ
$[SU(2)_L, U(1)_Y]$	$[2, -\frac{1}{2}]$	$[1, -1]$	$[1, 0]$	$[1, 0]$	$[1, 0]$	$[2, \frac{1}{2}]$	$[1, 0]$	$[1, 0]$
$U(1)_L$	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
A_4	$\underline{3}$	$\underline{1}, \underline{1}', \underline{1}''$	$\underline{3}$	$\underline{3}$	$\underline{3}$	$\underline{1}$	$\underline{3}$	$\underline{1}$
Z_4	i	i	1	$-i$	i	1	$1, -1$	$-1, -i$
Z_2	+	−	−	−	+	+	−	−, +

It is noted that, with the field content in Table 2, in general, the basis of linear seesaw mechanism could be taken by $(\nu_L, \nu_R^c, N_L, N_R^C, S_L, S_R^C)$, i.e., the mass Lagrangian for neutrino is written in the form:

$$-\mathcal{L}_\nu^{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{n}_L & \bar{n}_R^C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_L & m_D \\ m_D^T & m_R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_L^C \\ n_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$n = (\nu \quad N \quad S)^T, \quad m_L = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\nu\nu}^L & m_{\nu N}^L & M_{\nu S}^L \\ m_{\nu N}^{\prime L} & M_{NN}^L & M_{NS}^L \\ M_{\nu S}^{\prime L} & M_{NS}^{\prime L} & M_{SS}^L \end{pmatrix},$$

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\nu\nu} & m_{\nu N} & M_{\nu S} \\ m_{\nu N}^{\prime} & M_{NN} & M_{NS} \\ M_{\nu S}^{\prime} & M_{NS}^{\prime} & M_{SS} \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_R = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\nu\nu}^R & m_{\nu N}^R & M_{\nu S}^R \\ m_{\nu N}^{\prime R} & M_{NN}^R & M_{NS}^R \\ M_{\nu S}^{\prime R} & M_{NS}^{\prime R} & M_{SS}^R \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

In the model under consideration, upto five-dimension, the additional symmetries A_4 ,

²Each of extra neutral leptons has a lepton number of 1.

$U(1)_L$, Z_4 and Z_2 make $m_L = 0$, $m_R = 0$ and $m_{\nu\nu} = 0$, i.e., the mass Lagrangian for neutrino in Eqs. (1) and (2) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_\nu^{\text{mass}} &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_L & \bar{N}_L & \bar{S}_L \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_{\nu N} & M_{\nu S} \\ m'_{\nu N} & M_{NN} & M_{NS} \\ M'_{\nu S} & M'_{NS} & M_{SS} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R \\ N_R \\ S_R \end{pmatrix} + h.c., \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}_{\text{eff}} \bar{n}_L n_R + h.c.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The additional symmetries $U(1)_L$, Z_4 and Z_2 play important roles in preventing unwanted Yukawa couplings to get the desired structure of the mass matrices which are listed in Tables 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

The lepton Yukawa terms, up to five-dimensions which are invariant under $\mathbf{G}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_l &= \frac{h_e}{\Lambda} (\bar{\psi}_L \phi)_1 (H l_{1R})_1 + \frac{h_\mu}{\Lambda} (\bar{\psi}_L \phi)_{1''} (H l_{2R})_{1'} + \frac{h_\tau}{\Lambda} (\bar{\psi}_L \phi)_{1'} (H l_{3R})_{1''} \\
&+ x_{1\nu} (\bar{\psi}_L N_R)_1 \tilde{H} + x_{2\nu} (\bar{\psi}_L S_R)_1 \tilde{H} + x_{3\nu} (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_1 \rho + x_{4\nu} (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_1 \rho \\
&+ y_{1\nu} (\bar{S}_L N_R)_1 \chi + y_{2\nu} (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{3_s} \varphi + y_{3\nu} (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{3_a} \varphi \\
&+ z_{1\nu} (\bar{N}_L S_R)_1 \chi + z_{2\nu} (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{3_s} \varphi + z_{3\nu} (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{3_a} \varphi + \\
&+ t_{1\nu} (\bar{N}_L N_R)_1 \chi + t_{2\nu} (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{3_s} \varphi + t_{3\nu} (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{3_a} \varphi \\
&+ u_{1\nu} (\bar{S}_L S_R)_1 \chi + u_{2\nu} (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{3_s} \varphi + u_{3\nu} (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{3_a} \varphi + h.c.,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where Λ is the cut-off scale, and $h_{e,\mu,\tau}$, $y_{i\nu}$, $z_{i\nu}$, $t_{i\nu}$, $u_{i\nu}$ and $x_{j\nu}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, \dots, 4$) are the Yukawa-like dimensionless couplings.

There exist seven six-dimensional terms including $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}} (\tilde{H} \varphi \rho)_3$, $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L \nu_R)_1 (\tilde{H} \chi \rho)_1$, $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}} (\tilde{H} \varphi \chi)_3$ and $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}} (\tilde{H} \varphi \chi)_3$, in which due to the fact that $v_H \ll v_\phi \sim v_\varphi \sim v_\chi \sim v_\rho \ll \Lambda$, the first three terms $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}} (\tilde{H} \varphi \rho)_3$ and $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L \nu_R)_1 (\tilde{H} \chi \rho)_1$, contribute to the left-handed neutrino mass $m_{\nu\nu}$ generated via Type II seesaw mechanism, is very small compared to the one generated via the canonical type-I seesaw mechanism as in Eq. (22) below; the next two terms $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}} (\tilde{H} \varphi \chi)_3$ contribute to the element $m_{\nu N}$ but it is very small compared to the contribution from $(\bar{\psi}_L N_R)_1 \tilde{H}$, and the last two terms $\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}} (\tilde{H} \varphi \chi)_3$ contribute to the element $M_{\nu S}$ but it is very small compared to the contribution from $(\bar{\psi}_L S_R)_1 \tilde{H}$. Therefore these six-dimensional terms are heavily suppressed and we have not encompassed them in the Lagrangian (4).

The vacuum expectation value (VEV) configuration of the scalars, which comes from the minimum condition of the model scalar potential (see, for example, Refs. [44–47] for a similar and detailed analysis), reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H \rangle &= (0 \ v_H)^T, \quad \langle \phi \rangle = (\langle \phi_1 \rangle, \langle \phi_2 \rangle, \langle \phi_3 \rangle), \quad \langle \phi_1 \rangle = \langle \phi_2 \rangle = \langle \phi_3 \rangle = v_\phi, \\
\langle \varphi \rangle &= (0, \langle \varphi_2 \rangle, 0), \quad \langle \varphi_2 \rangle = v_\varphi, \quad \langle \chi \rangle = v_\chi, \quad \langle \rho \rangle = v_\rho.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

It is noted that, with the VEV alignment in Eq. (5), ϕ breaks A_4 down to Z_3 while φ breaks A_4 down to Z_2 symmetry. The electroweak symmetry is broken at a low scale, $v_H = 246 \text{ GeV}$ (see below). In this work, we assume that the VEV of singlets and the cut-off scale are at a very high scale,

$$v_\phi \simeq v_\varphi \simeq v_\chi \simeq v_\rho \simeq 10^{10} \text{ GeV}, \quad \Lambda \simeq 10^{13} \text{ GeV}. \quad (6)$$

As shown in Appendix D, in the case of the quark fields, under $\mathbf{G}$ symmetry, they transform as $Q_{1L} = (u_{1L} \ d_{1L})^T \sim (2, \frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}, \underline{1}', 1, +)$, $Q_{2L} = (u_{2L} \ d_{2L})^T \sim (2, \frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}, \underline{1}'', 1, +)$, $Q_{3L} = (u_{3L} \ d_{3L})^T \sim (2, \frac{1}{6}, -\frac{1}{3}, \underline{1}, 1, +)$, $u_R \sim (1, \frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \underline{3}, 1, -)$ and $d_R \sim (1, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \underline{3}, 1, -)$, all anomalies are canceled within each generation. On the other hand, the SM quark masses are generated by the following Yukawa terms:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_q &= \frac{h_1^u}{\Lambda} (\bar{Q}_{1L} \tilde{H})_{\underline{1}'} (\phi u_R)_{\underline{1}''} + \frac{h_2^u}{\Lambda} (\bar{Q}_{2L} \tilde{H})_{\underline{1}''} (\phi u_R)_{\underline{1}'} + \frac{h_3^u}{\Lambda} (\bar{Q}_{3L} \tilde{H})_{\underline{1}} (\phi u_R)_{\underline{1}} \\ &+ \frac{h_1^d}{\Lambda} (\bar{Q}_{1L} H)_{\underline{1}'} (\phi d_R)_{\underline{1}''} + \frac{h_2^d}{\Lambda} (\bar{Q}_{2L} H)_{\underline{1}''} (\phi d_R)_{\underline{1}'} + \frac{h_3^d}{\Lambda} (\bar{Q}_{3L} H)_{\underline{1}} (\phi d_R)_{\underline{1}} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

However, in this study, we only concentrate on the lepton sector without mentioning the quark one.

Looking at the scalar content of the model, it is realized that only fields in the doublet H are complex, while all remaining scalar singlets ϕ, φ, ρ and χ are real fields. In addition, the masses of gauge bosons are due to just the doublet H . Hence, we can express the scalar fields as follows

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} G_W^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v_W + R_H + iG_Z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho = v_\rho + R_\rho, \quad (8)$$

$$\phi_i = v_{\phi_i} + R_{\phi_i}, \quad \varphi_i = v_{\varphi_i} + R_{\varphi_i}, \quad \chi = v_\chi + R_\chi, \quad (8)$$

$$v_{\phi_i} = v_\phi, i = 1, 2, 3, \quad v_{\varphi_2} = v_\varphi, \quad v_{\varphi_n} = 0, n = 1, 3,$$

$$v_W = v_H = 246 \text{ GeV}, \quad (9)$$

where G_W^+ and G_Z are Goldstone bosons eaten by W^+ and Z bosons, respectively. The dark matter candidate is the *lightest* state which is combination of CP-even components the Z_2 odd scalars $R_\phi, R_\varphi, R_\chi$. A detailed study of this issue is beyond the scope of this study and would necessitate a further study.

3 Lepton masses and mixings

Using the tensor product of A_4 group [29], after symmetry breaking, i.e., the scalar fields H and ϕ get their VEVs given in Eq. (5), we get the charged lepton mass matrix

$$M_l = \frac{v_H v_\phi}{\Lambda} \begin{pmatrix} h_e & h_\mu & h_\tau \\ h_e & \omega^2 h_\mu & \omega h_\tau \\ h_e & \omega h_\mu & \omega^2 h_\tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

which can be diagonalised by $U_{l,r}$ given by

$$U_l^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad U_r = \mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3}, \quad \left(\omega = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{3}} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$m_e = \sqrt{3} h_e v_H \frac{v_\phi}{\Lambda}, \quad m_\mu = \sqrt{3} h_\mu v_H \frac{v_\phi}{\Lambda}, \quad m_\tau = \sqrt{3} h_\tau v_H \frac{v_\phi}{\Lambda}. \quad (12)$$

The left-handed charged-lepton mixing matrix U_l in Eq. (11) is non trivial, and then it will contribute to the lepton mixing matrix.

Now, using the expansion of ϕ_i and H , $\phi_i = \langle \phi_i \rangle + \Phi_i$ and $H = (H^+ \ H^0)^T$, Eq. (4) yields the lepton flavor changing interactions:

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_{clep} &\supset \frac{h_e v_\phi}{\Lambda} [(\bar{\nu}_{2L} + \bar{\nu}_{3L})H^+ + (\bar{l}_{2L} + \bar{l}_{3L})H^0] l_{1R} \\ &+ \frac{h_\mu v_\phi}{\Lambda} [(\bar{\nu}_{1L} + \omega \bar{\nu}_{3L})H^+ + (\bar{l}_{1L} + \omega \bar{l}_{3L})H^0] l_{2R} \\ &+ \frac{h_\tau v_\phi}{\Lambda} [(\bar{\nu}_{1L} + \omega \bar{\nu}_{2L})H^+ + (\bar{l}_{1L} + \omega \bar{l}_{2L})H^0] l_{3R} + H.c. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) implies that the usual Yukawa couplings are proportional to $\frac{v_\phi}{\Lambda}$ and the lepton flavor changing processes are suppressed by the factor $\frac{v_\phi}{\Lambda} \frac{1}{G_F^2 M_H^2}$ where $G_F = \frac{g^2}{4\sqrt{2}m_W^2}$ and M_H is the mass scale of the heavy scalars. For further details, the reader is referred to Refs. [48–51].

Furthermore, Eq. (12) implies that our model can successfully accommodate the SM charged lepton masses. Indeed, comparing the model result in Eq. (12) with the experimental values [52], $m_e = 0.51099 \text{ MeV}$, $m_\mu = 105.65837 \text{ MeV}$, $m_\tau = 1776.86 \text{ MeV}$, and taking the values in Eq. (6), we get:

$$h_e \sim 10^{-3}, \quad h_\mu \sim 10^{-1}, \quad h_\tau \sim 1.0. \quad (14)$$

Now we turn to the neutrino sector. From Eq. (4), after symmetry breaking, we obtain

the following neutrino mass matrices:

$$m_{\nu N} = x_{1\nu} v_H \mathbf{I} \equiv a_1 \mathbf{I}, \quad M_{\nu S} = x_{2\nu} v_H \mathbf{I} \equiv a_2 \mathbf{I}, \quad (15)$$

$$m'_{\nu N} = x_3 v_\rho \mathbf{I} \equiv a_3 \mathbf{I}, \quad M'_{\nu S} = x_4 v_\rho \mathbf{I} \equiv a_4 \mathbf{I}, \quad (16)$$

$$M'_{NS} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 & (y_{2\nu} - y_{3\nu}) v_\varphi \\ 0 & y_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 \\ (y_{2\nu} + y_{3\nu}) v_\varphi & 0 & y_{1\nu} v_\chi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & 0 & b_2 - b_3 \\ 0 & b_1 & 0 \\ b_2 + b_3 & 0 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

$$M_{NS} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 & (z_{2\nu} - z_{3\nu}) v_\varphi \\ 0 & z_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 \\ (z_{2\nu} + z_{3\nu}) v_\varphi & 0 & z_{1\nu} v_\chi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & c_2 - c_3 \\ 0 & c_1 & 0 \\ c_2 + c_3 & 0 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

$$M_{NN} = \begin{pmatrix} t_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 & (t_{2\nu} - t_{3\nu}) v_\varphi \\ 0 & t_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 \\ (t_{2\nu} + t_{3\nu}) v_\varphi & 0 & t_{1\nu} v_\chi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 & d_2 - d_3 \\ 0 & d_1 & 0 \\ d_2 + d_3 & 0 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

$$M_{SS} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 & (u_{2\nu} - u_{3\nu}) v_\varphi \\ 0 & u_{1\nu} v_\chi & 0 \\ (u_{2\nu} + u_{3\nu}) v_\varphi & 0 & u_{1\nu} v_\chi \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & 0 & g_2 - g_3 \\ 0 & g_1 & 0 \\ g_2 + g_3 & 0 & g_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The effective neutrino mass matrix, in the basis (ν, N, S) , takes the form

$$M_{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_D \\ M_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

where we have introduced the following matrices

$$M_D = (m_{\nu N} \ M_{\nu S}), \quad M_D^T = \begin{pmatrix} m'_{\nu N} \\ M'_{\nu S} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} M_{NN} & M_{NS} \\ M'_{NS} & M_{SS} \end{pmatrix},$$

and all the entries of M_{eff} are identified in Eqs. (15) - (20). The active Dirac neutrino mass matrix, $m_\nu = -M_D M_R^{-1} M_D^T$, then gets the following form:

$$m_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & A_4 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ A_5 & 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= -\alpha_i a_1 + \beta_i a_2 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3), \\ A_4 &= \alpha_{13} a_1 a_3 + \alpha_{23} a_2 a_3 + \alpha_{14} a_1 a_4 + \alpha_{24} a_2 a_4, \\ A_5 &= \beta_{13} a_1 a_3 + \beta_{23} a_2 a_3 + \beta_{14} a_1 a_4 + \beta_{24} a_2 a_4, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

with $\alpha_k, \beta_k, \alpha_{pq}$ and β_{pq} ($k = 1, 2, 3; pq = 13, 23, 14, 24$) are explicitly identified in Appendices A and B.

In order to diagonalise the mass matrix m_ν in Eq. (22), we define a Hermitian matrix $\mathcal{M}_\nu^2$, given by

$$\mathcal{M}_\nu^2 = m_\nu m_\nu^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} a_0^2 & 0 & d_0 e^{-i\psi} \\ 0 & b_0^2 & 0 \\ d_0 e^{i\psi} & 0 & c_0^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$a_0^2 = A_{01}^2 + A_{04}^2, \quad b_0^2 = A_{02}^2, \quad c_0^2 = A_{03}^2 + A_{05}^2, \\ d_0 = \sqrt{A_{03}^2 A_{04}^2 + A_{01}^2 A_{05}^2 + 2A_{01} A_{03} A_{04} A_{05} \cos(\psi_1 + \psi_3 - \psi_4 - \psi_5)}, \quad (25)$$

$$\psi = \arctan \left(\frac{A_{03} A_{04} \sin(\psi_3 - \psi_4) - A_{01} A_{05} \sin(\psi_1 - \psi_5)}{A_{01} A_{05} \cos(\psi_1 - \psi_5) + A_{03} A_{04} \cos(\psi_3 - \psi_4)} \right), \quad (26)$$

and $\psi_i = \arg(A_i)$, $A_{0i} = |A_i|$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) are real and positive parameters.

The matrix $\mathcal{M}_\nu^2$ in Eq.(24) is diagonalised by the unitary matrix U_ν , satisfying

$$U_\nu^\dagger \mathcal{M}_\nu^2 U_\nu = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, & U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_\nu & 0 & \sin \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & 0 & \cos \theta_\nu \end{pmatrix} \text{ for NH,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} m_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, & U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_\nu & 0 & -\cos \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \cos \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & 0 & \sin \theta_\nu \end{pmatrix} \text{ for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

where

$$m_{1,3}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_0^2 + c_0^2 \mp \sqrt{(a_0^2 - c_0^2)^2 + 4d_0^2} \right) \equiv \Gamma_1^2 \mp \Gamma_2^2, \quad m_2^2 = b_0^2, \quad (28)$$

$$\theta_\nu = \arctan \left(\frac{d_0}{m_1^2 - c_0^2} \right) = \arctan \left(\frac{d_0}{a_0^2 - m_3^2} \right). \quad (29)$$

The sign of Δm_{31}^2 plays an important role in determining the hierarchy of neutrino mass spectrum where $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ for NH and $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$ for IH. It is noted that the eigenvalue $m_2^2 = A_{02}^2$ corresponds to the second neutrino eigenvector $\varphi_2 = (0 \ 1 \ 0)^T$. Thus, the neutrino mass hierarchy should be either (m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2) or (m_3^2, m_2^2, m_1^2) . As will see below, both these two cases are inconsistent with the observed neutrino oscillation data, i.e., the model under consideration can predict both the normal and inverted ordering of the active neutrino masses. The eigenvalues and corresponding vectors of $\mathcal{M}_\nu^2$

in Eq. (24), for the two mass hierarchies, are defined by:

$$U_\nu^\dagger \mathcal{M}_\nu^2 U_\nu = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} m_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_3^2 \end{pmatrix}, U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_\nu & 0 & -\sin \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & 0 & \cos \theta_\nu \end{pmatrix} & \text{for NH,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} m_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_1^2 \end{pmatrix}, U_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_\nu & 0 & \cos \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\cos \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & 0 & \sin \theta_\nu \end{pmatrix} & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

where ψ , $m_{1,2,3}^2$ and θ_ν are given in Eqs. (26), (28) and (29), respectively.

The leptonic mixing, $U_{\text{lep}} = U_l^\dagger U_\nu$, obtained from Eqs. (11) and (30):

$$U_{\text{lep}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_\nu + \sin \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & 1 & \cos \theta_\nu - \sin \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ \cos \theta_\nu + \omega^2 \sin \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & \omega & \omega^2 \cos \theta_\nu - \sin \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ \cos \theta_\nu + \omega \sin \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & \omega^2 & \omega \cos \theta_\nu - \sin \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \end{pmatrix} & \text{for NH,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta_\nu - \cos \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & 1 & \sin \theta_\nu + \cos \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ \sin \theta_\nu - \omega^2 \cos \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & \omega & \omega^2 \sin \theta_\nu + \cos \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \\ \sin \theta_\nu - \omega \cos \theta_\nu e^{i\psi} & \omega^2 & \omega \sin \theta_\nu + \cos \theta_\nu e^{-i\psi} \end{pmatrix} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

In the three-neutrino framework, the lepton mixing matrix defined in Eq. (31) and with the observed neutrino mixing angles given in Table 1 satisfy the following relations

$$s_{13}^2 = |U_{13}|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} (1 - \sin 2\theta_\nu \cos \psi) & \text{for NH,} \\ \frac{1}{3} (1 + \sin 2\theta_\nu \cos \psi) & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

$$t_{12}^2 = \left| \frac{U_{12}}{U_{11}} \right|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + \sin 2\theta_\nu \cos \psi} & \text{for NH,} \\ \frac{1}{1 - \sin 2\theta_\nu \cos \psi} & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

$$t_{23}^2 = \left| \frac{U_{23}}{U_{33}} \right|^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{3}c_{13}^2 - \sqrt{3s_{13}^2(2-3s_{13}^2) - \cos^2 2\theta_\nu}}{\sqrt{3}c_{13}^2 + \sqrt{3s_{13}^2(2-3s_{13}^2) - \cos^2 2\theta_\nu}} & \text{for NH,} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}c_{13}^2 + \sqrt{3s_{13}^2(2-3s_{13}^2) - \cos^2 2\theta_\nu}}{\sqrt{3}c_{13}^2 - \sqrt{3s_{13}^2(2-3s_{13}^2) - \cos^2 2\theta_\nu}} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

The Jarlskog invariant that relates to the size of CP violation in lepton sector is obtained by comparing the standard parametrization [53–60] and the model result in Eq. (31),

$$c_{12}c_{13}^2c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}s_{23}\sin \delta = \begin{cases} \frac{\cos(2\theta_\nu)}{6\sqrt{3}} & \text{for NH,} \\ -\frac{\cos(2\theta_\nu)}{6\sqrt{3}} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

Equations (32)-(35) yield the following relations:

$$t_{12}^2 = \frac{1}{2 - 3s_{13}^2} \text{ for both NH and IH,} \quad (36)$$

$$\cos \theta_\nu = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sqrt{\sin^2 2\theta_{23} - (2-3s_{12}^2)^2}}{\sqrt{3}s_{12}^2}} & \text{for NH,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\sqrt{\sin^2 2\theta_{23} - (2-3s_{12}^2)^2}}{\sqrt{3}s_{12}^2}} & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

$$\cos \psi = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{3} \cos 2\theta_{12}}{\sqrt{4-3\sin^2 2\theta_{12}-\sin^2 2\theta_{23}}} & \text{for NH,} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3} \cos 2\theta_{12}}{\sqrt{4-3\sin^2 2\theta_{12}-\sin^2 2\theta_{23}}} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases}, \quad (38)$$

$$\sin \delta = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{4}{3} \cot_{12}^2 (2t_{12}^2 - 1) (t_{23}^4 + 1) - \frac{4}{9} \cot_{12}^4 (2t_{12}^2 - 1)^2 (t_{23}^4 + t_{23}^2 + 1) - (t_{23}^2 - 1)^2}}{\sqrt{3}c_{12}^2 \sin 2\theta_{23} \sqrt{2t_{12}^2 - 1} (t_{23}^2 + 1) (\frac{2}{3}t_{12}^2 \cot_{12}^2 - \frac{1}{3} \cot_{12}^2 - 1)} \quad (39)$$

for both NH and IH.

4 Numerical analysis

Firstly, the global analysis of the neutrino oscillation data in Ref. [1] tells us that at 3σ range of the best-fit value, $s_{13}^2 \in (2.00, 2.405) \times 10^{-2}$ for NH and $s_{13}^2 \in (2.018, 2.424) \times 10^{-2}$ for IH. Thus, from Eq. (36) we can deduce

$$t_{12}^2 \in \begin{cases} (0.5155, 0.5187) & \text{for NH,} \\ (0.5156, 0.5189) & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \theta_{12}(\circ) \in \begin{cases} (35.68, 35.76) & \text{for NH,} \\ (35.68, 35.77) & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Expressions (37)-(39) show that $\cos \theta_\nu$, $\cos \psi$ and $\sin \delta$ depend on two parameters s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 which are plotted in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, respectively, within 3σ range values of s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 [1].

Figures 1 and 2 imply that, in 3σ range, the ranges of $\cos \theta_\nu$ and $\cos \psi$ respectively are

$$\cos \theta_\nu \in \begin{cases} (0.580, 0.700) & \text{for NH,} \\ (0.760, 0.782) & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \theta_\nu(\circ) \in \begin{cases} (45.570, 54.550) & \text{for NH,} \\ (38.560, 40.540) & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

$$\cos \psi \in \begin{cases} (0.930, 0.990) & \text{for NH,} \\ (-0.940, -0.990) & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \psi(\circ) \in \begin{cases} (8.110, 21.570) & \text{for NH,} \\ (160.100, 171.900) & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Further, Fig.3 implies that, in 3σ range, the Dirac CP phase is predicted to be

$$\sin \delta \in \begin{cases} (-1.00, -0.20) & \text{for NH,} \\ (-0.90, -0.10) & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \delta(\circ) \in \begin{cases} (270.00, 348.50) & \text{for NH,} \\ (295.80, 354.30) & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (43)$$




Figure 1: (Colored lines) $\cos \theta_\nu$ versus s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 with (a) $s_{13}^2 \in (2.000, 2.405)10^{-2}$ and $s_{23}^2 \in (0.434, 0.610)$ for NH [1], and (b) $s_{13}^2 \in (2.018, 2.424)10^{-2}$ and $s_{23}^2 \in (0.433, 0.608)$ for IH [1].

which all belong to 3σ range of the best-fit value taken from Ref. [1].

The ranges on the magnitude of the elements of the leptonic mixing 31 are obtained as

$$|U_{\text{lep}}| \in \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0.800 - 0.804 & 0.577 & 0.133 - 0.155 \\ 0.440 - 0.580 & 0.577 & 0.620 - 0.690 \\ 0.225 - 0.400 & 0.577 & 0.700 - 0.770 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for NH,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0.802 - 0.806 & 0.577 & 0.145 - 0.165 \\ 0.280 - 0.420 & 0.577 & 0.700 - 0.780 \\ 0.440 - 0.520 & 0.577 & 0.600 - 0.680 \end{pmatrix} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

In the case of s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 get their best-fit values taken from Ref. [1] as shown in Table 1, i.e., $s_{13}^2 = 2.20 \times 10^{-2}$ ($\theta_{13} = 8.53^\circ$), $s_{23}^2 = 0.574$ ($\theta_{23} = 49.26^\circ$) for NH while $s_{13}^2 = 2.225 \times 10^{-2}$ ($\theta_{13} = 8.58^\circ$), $s_{23}^2 = 0.578$ ($\theta_{23} = 49.49^\circ$) for IH, we get $s_{12}^2 = 0.341$ ($\theta_{12} = 35.72^\circ$), and

$$\cos \theta_\nu = \begin{cases} 0.611 & \text{for NH,} \\ 0.788 & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \theta_\nu(^{\circ}) = \begin{cases} 52.370 & \text{for NH,} \\ 37.960 & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

$$\cos \psi = \begin{cases} 0.966 & \text{for NH,} \\ -0.962 & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \psi(^{\circ}) = \begin{cases} 15.030 & \text{for NH,} \\ 164.20 & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

$$\sin \delta = \begin{cases} -0.737 & \text{for NH,} \\ -0.686 & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad \text{i.e., } \delta(^{\circ}) = \begin{cases} 312.60 & \text{for NH,} \\ 316.7 & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (47)$$




Figure 2: (Colored lines) $\cos \psi$ versus s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 with (a) $s_{13}^2 \in (2.000, 2.405)10^{-2}$ and $s_{23}^2 \in (0.434, 0.610)$ for NH [1], and (b) $s_{13}^2 \in (2.018, 2.424)10^{-2}$ and $s_{23}^2 \in (0.433, 0.608)$ for IH [1].

The obtained values of δ in Eq. (47) belong to 3σ range of the best-fit value [1].

As a result, the Jarlskog invariant is obtained as follows

$$J = \begin{cases} -2.449 \times 10^{-2} & \text{for NH,} \\ -2.342 \times 10^{-2} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (48)$$

By above analysis we can conclude that the considered model can explain the observed pattern of lepton mixing [1] in which the reactor and atmospheric angles get the best-fit values, and the solar angle and Dirac phase lie within 3σ limits for both NH and IH.

We now turn to neutrino mass hierarchy. Comparing neutrino mass obtained from the model in Eqs. (28) and (30) with the best-fit values of the neutrino mass-squared differences taken from Ref. [1] as shown in Tab. 1, $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 75.0 \text{ meV}^2$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.55 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$ for NH while $\Delta m_{31}^2 = -2.45 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$ for IH, we get a solution

$$\Gamma_1 = m_2^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2 + \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{2} \quad (\text{both NH and IH}), \quad \Gamma_2 = \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{2} & \text{for NH,} \\ -\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2}{2} & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (49)$$

$$m_1 = \sqrt{m_2^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2}, \quad m_3 = \sqrt{m_2^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2} \quad (\text{both NH and IH}), \quad (50)$$

$$\sum m_\nu = m_2 + \sqrt{m_2^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2} + \sqrt{m_2^2 - \Delta m_{21}^2 + \Delta m_{31}^2} \quad (\text{both NH and IH}). \quad (51)$$

Expressions (49)-(51) show that m_1 depends on two parameters $m_2^2 \equiv A_{02}^2$ and Δm_{21}^2 while m_3 and $\sum m_\nu$ depend on three parameters m_2^2 , Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{31}^2 . At present the absolute value of the neutrino mass remains unknown, however, the KATRIN Collaboration has reported an upper limit on the neutrino mass of $m_\nu < 1.1 \text{ eV}$ [2, 3] or an improved upper limit of $m_\nu < 0.8 \text{ eV}$ [4]. Thus, in order to determine the neutrino mass hierarchy,




Figure 3: (Colored lines) $\sin \delta$ versus s_{13}^2 and s_{23}^2 with (a) $s_{13}^2 \in (2.000, 2.405)10^{-2}$ and $s_{23}^2 \in (0.434, 0.610)$ for NH [1], and (b) $s_{13}^2 \in (2.018, 2.424)10^{-2}$ and $s_{23}^2 \in (0.433, 0.608)$ for IH [1].

the sum of neutrino mass as well as the effective neutrino masses in Section 5, we will consider $A_{02}^2 \equiv m_2^2, \Delta m_{21}^2$ and Δm_{31}^2 as input parameters with³ $m_2^2 \in (10^2, 10^3) \text{ meV}^2$ for NH and $m_2^2 \in (2.55 \times 10^3, 10^4) \text{ meV}^2$ for IH while Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{31}^2 get their best-fit values taken from [1], i.e., $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 75 \text{ meV}^2$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.55 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$ for NH while $\Delta m_{31}^2 = -2.45 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$ for IH. At the best-fit points of Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{31}^2 , the dependence of $m_{1,3}$ on m_2^2 is depicted in Fig. 4 which implies

$$\begin{cases} m_1 \in (5.00, 30.40) \text{ meV}, & m_3 \in (50.74, 58.95) \text{ meV} \text{ for NH,} \\ m_1 \in (49.75, 99.62) \text{ meV}, & m_3 \in (5.00, 86.46) \text{ meV} \text{ for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

The sum of neutrino mass as a function of m_2^2 is depicted in Fig. 5 which implies

$$\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} \in \begin{cases} (65.74, 121.00) \text{ meV} & \text{for NH,} \\ (105.20, 286.10) \text{ meV} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (53)$$

In order to get the explicit values of the model parameters, we fix $m_2^2 = 10^2 \text{ meV}^2$ for NH and $m_2^2 = 2.55 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$ for IH, we then obtain

$$\begin{cases} m_1 = 5.00 \text{ meV}, & m_2 = 10.00 \text{ meV}, & m_3 = 50.74 \text{ meV} & \text{for NH,} \\ m_1 = 49.75 \text{ meV}, & m_2 = 50.50 \text{ meV}, & m_3 = 5.00 \text{ meV} & \text{for IH,} \end{cases} \quad (54)$$

³For NH m_2^2 must be larger than 75 meV^2 because the real condition of m_1 , and for IH m_2^2 must be larger than $2.525 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$ because the real condition of m_3 . In this work, we consider $m_2^2 \in (10^2, 10^3) \text{ meV}^2$ for NH and $m_2^2 \in (2.55 \times 10^3, 10^4) \text{ meV}^2$ for IH because the normal mass spectrum is achieved with $m_2^2 \in (10^2, 10^3) \text{ meV}^2$ and the inverted mass spectrum is achieved with $m_2^2 \in (2.55 \times 10^3, 10^4) \text{ meV}^2$. In the case of $m_2^2 > 10^3$ for NH and $m_2^2 > 10^4$ for IH, the neutrino spectrum will be nearly degenerate.




Figure 4: m_1 and m_3 (in meV) versus $A_{02}^2 \equiv m_2^2$ with (a) $m_2^2 \in (10^2, 10^3) \text{ meV}^2$ for NH, and (b) $m_2^2 \in (2.55 \times 10^3, 10^4) \text{ meV}^2$ for IH.




Figure 5: The sum of neutrino mass $\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu}$ (in meV) versus $A_{02}^2 \equiv m_2^2$ with (a) $m_2^2 \in (10^2, 10^3) \text{ meV}^2$ for NH, and (b) $m_2^2 \in (2.55 \times 10^3, 10^4) \text{ meV}^2$ for IH.

and

$$\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} = \begin{cases} 65.74 \text{ meV} & \text{for NH,} \\ 105.20 \text{ meV} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

There are currently various limits on the sum of neutrino mass, such as $\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} < 0.13 \text{ eV}$ for NH, $\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} < 0.15 \text{ eV}$ for IH [1] and $\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} < 0.12 \div 0.69 \text{ eV}$ [61–63]. Thus, the sum of neutrino mass predicted by our model in Eq. (53) is in well agreement with the most recent experimental limits.

5 Effective neutrino mass parameter

Now, we deal with the effective neutrino mass governing the beta decay given by [64–66]

$$m_\beta = \sqrt{|U_{11}|^2 m_1^2 + |U_{12}|^2 m_2^2 + |U_{13}|^2 m_3^2}. \quad (56)$$

Here $m_{1,2,3}$ correspond to the masses of three light neutrinos defined in Eqs. (28) and (30) while $U_{11,12,13}$ are the leptonic mixing matrix elements given in Eq. (31). Expressions (28), (30)-(31), (37)-(38), (50) and (56) show that the effective neutrino mass m_β depends on five parameters including $\theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, m_2^2, \Delta m_{21}^2$ and Δm_{31}^2 . For NH $m_{light} \equiv m_1$ is the lightest neutrino mass while for IH $m_{light} \equiv m_3$ is the lightest neutrino mass. At the best-fit points of $s_{13}^2, s_{23}^2, \Delta m_{21}^2$ and Δm_{31}^2 taken from Ref. [1] as shown in Table 1, i.e., $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 75 \text{ meV}^2$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.55 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$, $s_{13}^2 = 2.20 \times 10^{-2}$, $s_{23}^2 = 0.574$ for NH while $\Delta m_{31}^2 = -2.45 \times 10^3 \text{ meV}^2$, $s_{13}^2 = 2.225 \times 10^{-2}$, $s_{23}^2 = 0.578$ for IH, the effective mass m_β depends on m_2^2 which is plotted in Fig. 6. This figure implies




Figure 6: m_{light} and m_β (in meV) versus m_2^2 with (a) $m_2^2 \in (10^2, 10^3) \text{ meV}^2$ for NH, and (b) $m_2^2 \in (2.55 \times 10^3, 10^4) \text{ meV}^2$ for IH.

$$m_\beta \in \begin{cases} (10.30, 31.72) \text{ meV} & \text{for NH,} \\ (49.45, 99.48) \text{ meV} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (57)$$

Using the model parameters obtained in Section 4, we get the following values:

$$m_\beta = \begin{cases} 10.30 \text{ meV} & \text{for NH,} \\ 49.45 \text{ meV} & \text{for IH.} \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

The predicted values of the effective neutrino mass in Eq. (57), for both NH and IH, satisfy all the upper limits arising from the β decay [52] with $8.5 \text{ meV} < m_\beta < 1.1 \text{ eV}$ for NH and $48 \text{ meV} < m_\beta < 1.1 \text{ eV}$ for IH, $m_\beta < 40 \text{ meV}$ [67, 68], and $m_\beta < 0.8 \text{ eV}$ [69].

6 Conclusions

We have constructed a low-scale model with $A_4 \times Z_4 \times Z_2$ symmetry and a global lepton number $U(1)_L$ symmetry capable of generating the recent neutrino oscillation data. The model contains only one scalar doublet and eight scalar singlets. The small neutrino masses are reproduced by linear seesaw mechanism. The model can explain the observed pattern of lepton mixing in which the reactor and atmospheric angles get the best-fit values, and the solar angle and Dirac phase lie within 3σ limits. The model predicts the Dirac CP phase to lie in $270.00 \leq \delta(^{\circ}) \leq 348.50$ for NH and $295.80 \leq \delta^{(\circ)} \leq 354.30$ for IH that includes the currently preferred maximal value. The obtained values of the sum of neutrino mass and the effective neutrino mass are respectively predicted to be $\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} \in (65.74, 121.00)$ meV and $m_{\beta} \in (10.30, 31.72)$ meV for NH while $\sum_{\nu} m_{\nu} \in (105.20, 286.10)$ meV and $m_{\beta} \in (49.45, 99.48)$ meV for IH, which are all below the present experimental limits.

Acknowledgments

This work is funded by the Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology under grant NVCC05.17/22-22.

A The explicit expressions of $\alpha_{1,2,3}$ and $\beta_{1,2,3}$ and γ_0

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_0}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2 (g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}, \quad (59)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 = & 2b_1(b_2 - b_3) [c_2(d_2g_1 - d_1g_2) + c_3(d_1g_3 - d_3g_1) + c_1(d_1g_1 - d_2g_2 + d_3g_3)] \\ & - b_1^2 \{ (c_3 - c_2)[(d_2 - d_3)(g_2 + g_3) - d_1g_1] + c_1[(d_2 - d_3)g_1 - d_1(g_2 - g_3)] \} \\ & - (b_2 - b_3)^2 \{ c_1[(d_2 + d_3)g_1 - d_1(g_2 + g_3)] - (c_2 + c_3)[(d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3) - d_1g_1] \} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{a_4}{d_1} + \frac{c_1(a_3d_1 - a_4b_1)}{b_1^2g_1}, \quad (61)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3 = & \frac{\gamma_0 a_3}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2 (g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)} + \frac{a_4 d_1}{d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2} \\ & + \frac{a_4 \{ -b_1 c_1 g_1 + b_1 (c_2 + c_3)(g_2 - g_3) + (b_2 + b_3)[(c_2 - c_3)g_1 + (g_3 - g_2)c_1] \}}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2 (g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 = & b_1^2 \{ c_1 d_1 g_1 - c_1 (d_2 - d_3)(g_2 + g_3) + (c_2 + c_3)[(d_2 - d_3)g_1 - (g_2 - g_3)d_1] \} \\ & + 2b_1 \{ -b_2[(c_2 d_1 + c_1 d_2)g_1 - (c_1 d_1 + c_2 d_2 + c_3 d_3)g_2 + (c_3 d_2 + c_2 d_3)g_3] \\ & + b_3[(c_3 d_1 + c_1 d_3)g_1 - (c_3 d_2 + c_2 d_3)g_2 + (c_2 d_2 + c_3 d_3 - c_1 d_1)g_3] \} \\ & + (b_2^2 - b_3^2) \{ c_1[d_1 g_1 - (d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3)] + (c_2 - c_3)[(d_2 + d_3)g_1 - d_1(g_2 + g_3)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\beta_0}{(c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2)^2 (d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2)}, \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 = & (d_2^2 - d_1^2 - d_3^2)c_1 a_3 + (b_2^2 - b_1^2 - b_3^2)g_1 a_4 \\ & + \{ b_1 d_1 g_1 - b_1 (d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3) - (b_2 + b_3)[(d_2 - d_3)g_1 - d_1(g_2 - g_3)] \} a_3 \\ & + \{ b_1 c_1 d_1 + c_1 (b_3 - b_2)(d_2 + d_3) + (c_3 - c_2)[(b_2 + b_3)d_1 + b_1(d_2 + d_3)] \} a_4, \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{(a_4 b_1 - a_3 d_1)(b_1 g_1 - c_1 d_1)}{b_1 c_1 d_1 g_1}, \quad (66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_3 = & -\frac{a_4 g_1}{g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2} - \frac{a_3 c_1}{c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2} \\ & + \frac{a_4 \{ b_1 c_1 d_1 + (c_2 + c_3)[(b_3 - b_2)d_1 + (d_2 - d_3)b_1] + (b_2 c_1 + b_3 c_1)(d_3 - d_2) \}}{(c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2)^2 (d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2)} \\ & + \frac{a_3 \{ (b_3 - b_2)[(d_2 + d_3)g_1 - d_1(g_2 + g_3)] + b_1[d_1 g_1 - (d_2 - d_3)(g_2 + g_3)] \}}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2 (g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

B The explicit expressions of $\alpha_{13,23,14,24}$ and $\beta_{13,23,14,24}$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{13} = & \{2b_1(b_2 - b_3)[(c_2d_2 - c_3d_3)g_1 + (c_3g_3 - c_2g_2)d_1 + c_1(d_1g_1 - d_2g_2 + d_3g_3)] \\ & - b_1^2[(c_2 - c_3)d_1g_1 + (c_3 - c_2)(d_2 - d_3)(g_2 + g_3) + (d_2 - d_3)c_1g_1 + c_1d_1(g_3 - g_2)] \\ & - (b_2 - b_3)^2[(c_2 + c_3)d_1g_1 + (d_2 + d_3)c_1g_1 - (c_2 + c_3)(d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3) - (g_2 + g_3)c_1d_1]\} \\ & / \{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2(g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{23} = & \frac{c_2 - c_3}{c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2} \\ & + \frac{(b_3 - b_2)d_1g_1 + (b_2 - b_3)(d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3) + b_1[(d_2 - d_3)g_1 + (g_3 - g_2)d_1]}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2(g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{14} = & \frac{d_2 - d_3}{d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2} \\ & + \frac{(b_3 - b_2)c_1g_1 + (b_2 - b_3)(c_2 + c_3)(g_2 - g_3) + b_1[(c_2 - c_3)g_1 + (g_3 - g_2)c_1]}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2(g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{24} = & \frac{g_2 - g_3}{g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2} \\ & + \frac{(b_2 - b_3)c_1d_1 + (b_2 + b_3)(c_2 - c_3)(d_2 - d_3) + b_1[(c_3 - c_2)d_1 + (d_3 - d_2)c_1]}{(c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2)(d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{13} = & \{2b_1(b_2 + b_3)[(c_2d_2 - c_3d_3)g_1 + (c_3g_3 - c_2g_2)d_1 + c_1(d_1g_1 - d_2g_2 + d_3g_3)] \\ & - b_1^2[(c_2 + c_3)d_1g_1 - (c_2 + c_3)(d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3) + (d_2 + d_3)c_1g_1 - c_1d_1(g_2 + g_3)] \\ & - (b_2 + b_3)^2[(c_2 - c_3)d_1g_1 + (d_2 + d_3)c_1g_1 - (c_2 + c_3)(d_2 + d_3)(g_2 - g_3) - (g_2 + g_3)c_1d_1]\} \\ & / \{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2(g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{23} = & \frac{c_2 + c_3}{c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2} \\ & + \frac{-(b_2 + b_3)d_1g_1 + (b_2 + b_3)(d_2 - d_3)(g_2 + g_3) + b_1[(d_2 + d_3)g_1 - (g_2 + g_3)d_1]}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2(g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{14} = & \frac{d_2 + d_3}{d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2} \\ & + \frac{-(b_2 + b_3)c_1g_1 + (b_2 + b_3)(c_2 - c_3)(g_2 + g_3) + b_1[(c_2 + c_3)g_1 - (g_2 + g_3)c_1]}{(b_1^2 - b_2^2 + b_3^2)^2(g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{24} = & \frac{g_2 + g_3}{g_1^2 - g_2^2 + g_3^2} \\ & + \frac{(b_2 + b_3)c_1d_1 + (b_2 - b_3)(c_2 + c_3)(d_2 + d_3) - b_1[(c_3 + c_2)d_1 + (d_2 + d_3)c_1]}{(c_1^2 - c_2^2 + c_3^2)(d_1^2 - d_2^2 + d_3^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

C Yukawa couplings prohibited by $U(1)_L, Z_4$ and Z_2

Table 3: Yukawa couplings prohibited by $U(1)_L$

$(\bar{\psi}_L N_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_3, (\bar{\psi}_L S_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_3, (\bar{N}_L \psi_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_3, (\bar{S}_L \psi_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_3,$ $(\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{1\rho^2}, (\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{1\rho^{*2}}, (\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{1\rho^2}, (\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{1\rho^{*2}}, (\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{1\rho^2}, (\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{1\rho^{*2}},$ $(\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{1\rho^2}, (\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{1\rho^{*2}}, (\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{1'}(\phi\varphi)_{1'}, (\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{1''}(\phi\varphi)_{1''}, (\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{1'''}(\phi\varphi)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\varphi)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{1'}(\phi\varphi)_{1'}, (\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{1''}(\phi\varphi)_{1''}, (\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{1'''}(\phi\varphi)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\varphi)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{1'}(\phi\varphi)_{1'}, (\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{1''}(\phi\varphi)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{1'''}(\phi\varphi)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\varphi)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{1'}(\phi\varphi)_{1'}, (\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{1''}(\phi\varphi)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{1'''}(\phi\varphi)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\varphi)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{N}_L N_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{N}_L S_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{S}_L N_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3,$ $(\bar{S}_L S_L^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{N}_R N_R^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{N}_R S_R^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{S}_R N_R^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3,$ $(\bar{S}_R S_R^C)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'}(\phi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1''}(\phi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'''}(\phi^2)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi^2)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'}(\varphi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1''}(\varphi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'''}(\varphi^2)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi^2)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'}(\chi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1''}(\chi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'''}(\chi^2)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\chi)_3, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'}(\phi\rho)_3, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1''}(\phi\rho)_3, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'''}(\phi\rho)_3,$ $(\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho)_3, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'}(\varphi\rho^*)_{1'}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1''}(\varphi\rho^*)_{1''}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'''}(\varphi\rho^*)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\chi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'}(\chi\rho^*)_{1'}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1''}(\chi\rho^*)_{1''}, (\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1'''}(\chi\rho^*)_{1'''},$
--

Table 4: Yukawa couplings prohibited by Z_4

$(\bar{\psi}_L l_{iR})_3(H\varphi)_3, (\bar{\psi}_L \nu_R)_{3_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_3, (\bar{\psi}_L \nu_R)_{3_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\varphi)_3, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1\rho^*},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1\rho^*}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'}(\phi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1''}(\phi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'''}(\phi^2)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi^2)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'}(\phi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1''}(\phi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'''}(\phi^2)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi^2)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'}(\varphi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1''}(\varphi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'''}(\varphi^2)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'}(\varphi^2)_{1'}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1''}(\varphi^2)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'''}(\varphi^2)_{1'''}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'}\chi^2, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1''}\rho^2,$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'}\chi^2, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1''}\rho^2, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'}(\phi\varphi)_{1'}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1''}(\phi\varphi)_{1''}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{1'''}(\phi\varphi)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\varphi)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'}(\phi\varphi)_{1'}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1''}(\phi\varphi)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{1'''}(\phi\varphi)_{1'''},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{3_{a,s}}(\phi\varphi)_{3_{a,s}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{3_s}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{3_a}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{3_s}(\varphi\chi)_3,$ $(\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{3_a}(\varphi\chi)_3, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{3_s}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{3_a}(\phi\chi)_3, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{3_s}(\varphi\chi)_3,$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{3_a}(\varphi\chi)_3, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho)_3, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho)_3, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho)_3,$ $(\bar{S}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho)_3, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{S}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\phi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho)_3, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho)_3,$ $(\bar{N}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho)_3, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho)_3,$ $(\bar{S}_L S_R)_{3_{s,a}}(\varphi\rho^*)_{3_{s,a}}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{1'}(\chi\rho)_1, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{1''}(\chi\rho^*)_{1''}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{1'}(\chi\rho)_1,$ $(\bar{N}_L S_R)_{1''}(\chi\rho^*)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{1'}(\chi\rho)_1, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{1''}(\chi\rho^*)_{1''}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{1'}(\chi\rho)_1, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{1''}(\chi\rho^*)_{1''},$ $(\bar{\nu}_R^C \nu_R)_{1\rho}.$

Table 5: Yukawa couplings prohibited by Z_2

$(\bar{\psi}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{\psi}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{\psi}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi)_{\underline{3}},$ $(\bar{\psi}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{a,s}}(\tilde{H}\phi^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\phi\rho)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\phi\rho)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\phi\rho)_{\underline{3}},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\phi\rho)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\phi^*\rho)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\phi^*\rho)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\phi^*\rho)_{\underline{3}},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\phi^*\rho)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\varphi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\varphi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\varphi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\varphi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\chi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\chi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_s}(\chi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}},$ $(\bar{S}_L \nu_R)_{\underline{3}_a}(\chi\rho^*)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^2)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^{*2})_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^2)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^{*2})_{\underline{1}},$ $(\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^2)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^{*2})_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^2)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\rho^{*2})_{\underline{1}},$ $(\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}'}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}''}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{1}''}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{1}'}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\phi^*\varphi)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}},$ $(\bar{N}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\varphi\chi)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{N}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\varphi\chi)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{S}_L N_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\varphi\chi)_{\underline{3}}, (\bar{S}_L S_R)_{\underline{3}_{s,a}}(\varphi\chi)_{\underline{3}},$
--

D The anomaly free of the model

For convenience, let us list the quantum numbers of quarks fields in Table 6.

 Table 6: $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_L$, $U(1)_Y$ and $U(1)_L$ quantum numbers of quarks fields

	$SU(3)_C$	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_L$
$q_L = (u_L \ d_L)^T$	3	2	$\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$
u_R	3	1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$
d_R	3	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$

In the considered model, all anomalies are cancelled within each generation, because of

$$\begin{aligned}
 [SU(3)_C]^2 U(1)_Y &\sim \sum_{\text{quarks}} (Y_{q_L} - Y_{q_R}) = 3(2Y_{q_L} - Y_u - Y_d) \\
 &= 3 \left[2 \times \frac{1}{6} - \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right] = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [SU(3)_C]^2 U(1)_L &\sim \sum_{\text{quarks}} (L_{q_L} - L_{q_R}) = 3(2L_{q_L} - L_u - L_d) \\
 &= 3 \left[2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) - \left(-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \right) \right] = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

$$[SU(2)_L]^2 U(1)_Y \sim \sum_{\text{doublets}} Y_{f_L} = Y_{\psi_L} + 3Y_{q_L} = -\frac{1}{2} + 3 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0, \tag{78}$$

$$[SU(2)_L]^2 U(1)_L \sim \sum_{\text{doublets}} L_{f_L} = L_{\psi_L} + 3L_{q_L} = 1 + 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) = 0, \quad (79)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Gravity}]^2 U(1)_Y &\sim \sum_{\text{fermions}} (Y_{f_L} - Y_{f_R}) = 2Y_{\psi_L} + Y_{N_L} + Y_{S_L} + 3 \times 2Y_{q_L} - Y_{l_R} \\ &\quad - Y_{\nu_R} - Y_{N_R} - Y_{S_R} - 3Y_{u_R} - 3Y_{d_R} = 2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) + 0 + 0 \\ &\quad + 3 \times 2 \times \frac{1}{6} - (-1) - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 3 \times \frac{2}{3} - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{Gravity}]^2 U(1)_L &\sim \sum_{\text{fermions}} (L_{f_L} - L_{f_R}) = 2L_{\psi_L} + L_{N_L} + L_{S_L} + 3 \times 2L_{q_L} \\ &\quad - L_{l_R} - L_{\nu_R} - L_{N_R} - L_{S_R} - 3L_{u_R} - 3L_{d_R} = 2 \times 1 + 1 + 1 \\ &\quad + 3 \times 2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [U(1)_Y]^2 U(1)_L &\sim \sum_{\text{fermions}} (Y_{f_L}^2 L_{f_L} - Y_{f_R}^2 L_{f_R}) = 2Y_{\psi_L}^2 L_{\psi_L} + Y_{N_L}^2 L_{N_L} + Y_{S_L}^2 L_{S_L} \\ &\quad + 3 \times 2Y_{q_L}^2 L_{q_L} - Y_{l_R}^2 L_{l_R} - Y_{\nu_R}^2 L_{\nu_R} - Y_{N_R}^2 L_{N_R} - Y_{S_R}^2 L_{S_R} \\ &\quad - 3Y_{u_R}^2 L_{u_R} - 3Y_{d_R}^2 L_{d_R} = 2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \times 1 + 0^2 \times 1 + 0^2 \times 1 \\ &\quad + 3 \times 2 \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) - (-1)^2 \times 1 - 0^2 \times 1 - 0^2 \times 1 - 0^2 \times 1 \\ &\quad - 3 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [U(1)_L]^2 U(1)_Y &\sim \sum_{\text{fermions}} (L_{f_L}^2 Y_{f_L} - L_{f_R}^2 Y_{f_R}) = 2L_{\psi_L}^2 Y_{\psi_L} + L_{N_L}^2 Y_{N_L} + L_{S_L}^2 Y_{S_L} \\ &\quad + 3 \times 2L_{q_L}^2 Y_{q_L} - L_{l_R}^2 Y_{l_R} - L_{\nu_R}^2 Y_{\nu_R} - L_{N_R}^2 Y_{N_R} - L_{S_R}^2 Y_{S_R} \\ &\quad - 3L_{u_R}^2 Y_{u_R} - 3L_{d_R}^2 Y_{d_R} = 2 \times 1^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) + 1^2 \times 0 + 1^2 \times 0 \\ &\quad + 3 \times 2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{6} \right) - 1^2(-1) - 1^2 \times 0 - 1^2 \times 0 - 1^2 \times 0 \\ &\quad - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right) - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [U(1)_Y]^3 &\sim \sum_{\text{fermions}} (Y_{f_L}^3 - Y_{f_R}^3) = 2Y_{\psi_L}^3 + Y_{N_L}^3 + Y_{S_L}^3 + 3 \times 2Y_{q_L}^3 \\ &\quad - Y_{l_R}^3 - Y_{\nu_R}^3 - Y_{N_R}^3 - Y_{S_R}^3 - 3Y_{u_R}^3 - 3Y_{d_R}^3 \\ &\quad = 2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^3 + 0^3 + 0^3 + 3 \times 2 \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^3 - (-1)^3 - 0^3 \\ &\quad - 0^3 - 0^3 - 3 \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^3 - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \right)^3 = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[U(1)_L]^3 &\sim \sum_{\text{fermions}} (L_{f_L}^3 - L_{f_R}^3) = 2L_{\psi_L}^3 + L_{N_L}^3 + L_{S_L}^3 + 3 \times 2L_{q_L}^3 \\
&\quad - L_{l_R}^3 - L_{\nu_R}^3 - L_{N_R}^3 - L_{S_R}^3 - 3L_{u_R}^3 - 3L_{d_R}^3 \\
&= 2 \times 1^3 + 1^3 + 1^3 + 3 \times 2 \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 - 1^3 - 1^3 \\
&\quad - 1^3 - 1^3 - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 - 3 \left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^3 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

References

- [1] P. F. de Salas *et al.* *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2021** 71, (2021)arXiv: 2006.11237 [hep-ph]. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2021)071.
- [2] M. Aker *et al.* (KATRIN Collaboration) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** 221802 (2019).
- [3] M. Aker *et al.* (KATRIN Collaboration) *Phys. Rev. D* **104** 012005 (2021).
- [4] M. Aker *et al.* (KATRIN Collaboration) *Nat. Phys.* **18** 160 (2022).
- [5] Y. Cai, T. Han, T. Li, R. Ruiz *Front.in Phys.* **6** 40 (2018).
- [6] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Rev. D* **22** 2227 (1980).
- [7] J. Schechter and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Rev. D* **25** 774 (1982).
- [8] R. N. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Rev. D* **34** 1642 (1986).
- [9] R. N. Mohapatra *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** 561 (1986).
- [10] J. Bernabeu, A. Santamaria, J. Vidal, A. Mendez and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Lett. B* **187** 303 (1987).
- [11] E. Ma and G. Rajasekaran *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 113012 (2001).
- [12] E. Ma *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** 289 (2002).
- [13] E. Ma *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17** 627 (2002).
- [14] K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Lett. B* **552** 207 (2003).
- [15] X. G. He, Y. Y. Keum and R. R. Volkas *J. High Energ. Phys.* **0604** 039 (2006).
- [16] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio and Y. Lin *Nucl. Phys. B* **775** 31(2007).
- [17] S. Morisi, M. Picariello and E. Torrente-Lujan *Phys. Rev. D* **75** 075015 (2007).
- [18] F. Bazzocchi, S. Kaneko and S. Morisi *J. High Energ. Phys.* **0803** 063 (2008).

- [19] F. Bazzocchi, M. Frigerio and S. Morisi *Phys. Rev. D* **78** 116018 (2008).
- [20] G. Altarelli, F. Feruglio and C. Hagedorn *J. High Energ. Phys.* **0803** 052 (2008).
- [21] M. Hirsch, S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Rev. D* **78** 093007 (2008).
- [22] E. Ma *Phys. Lett. B* **671** 366 (2009).
- [23] G. Altarelli and D. Meloni *J. Phys. G* **36** 085005 (2009).
- [24] Y. Lin *Nucl. Phys. B* **813** 91 (2009).
- [25] Y. H. Ahn and C. S. Chen *Phys. Rev. D* **81** 105013 (2010).
- [26] J. Barry and W. Rodejohanny *Phys. Rev. D* **81** 093002(2010).
- [27] P. V. Dong, L. T. Hue, H. N. Long and D. V. Soa *Phys. Rev. D* **81** 053004 (2010).
- [28] G. J. Ding and D. Meloni *Nucl. Phys. B* **855** 21 (2012).
- [29] H. Ishimori, T. Kobayashi, H. Ohki, H. Okada, Y. Shimizu and M. Tanimoto *Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl.* **183** 1 (2010).
- [30] V. V. Vien and H. N. Long *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30** 1550117 (2015).
- [31] T. Phong Nguyen, L. T. Hue, D. T. Si and T. T. Thuc *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2020** 033B04 (2020).
- [32] Gui-Jun Ding, Jun-Nan Lu and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Lett. B* **815** 136122 (2021).
- [33] V. V. Vien *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **35** 2050311 (2020).
- [34] V. V. Vien *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **49** 085001 (2022).
- [35] M. Hirsch, S. Morisi and J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Lett. B* **679** 454 (2009).
- [36] D. Boraha and B. Karmakar *Phys. Lett. B* **789** 59 (2019).
- [37] V. V. Vien, H. N. Long and A. E. Cárcamo Hernández *Phys.Lett.B* **798** 134979 (2019).
- [38] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, R. Martínez and F. Ochoa *Eur. Phys.J. C* **76** 634 (2016).
- [39] M. Sruthilaya, R. Mohanta and S. Patra *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** 719 (2018).
- [40] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, J. W. F. Valle and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo *J. High Energ. Phys.* **1707** 118 (2017).

- [41] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, S. Kovalenko, J. W. F. Valle and C. A. Vaquera-Araujo *J. High Energ. Phys.* **1902** 065 (2019).
- [42] V. V. Vien, H. N. Long and A.E. Cárcamo Hernández *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2019** 113B04 (2019).
- [43] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, N. A. Pérez-Julve and Y. Hidalgo Velásquez *Phys. Rev. D* **100** 095025 (2019).
- [44] V. V. Vien, H. N. Long and A. E. Cárcamo Hernández *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** 725 (2020).
- [45] V. V. Vien *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **47** 055007 (2020).
- [46] V. V. Vien *Nucl. Phys. B* **956** 115015 (2020).
- [47] V. V. Vien *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **35** 2050223 (2020).
- [48] L. Lavoura, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C29** 191 (2003).
- [49] M. D. Campos, A. E. Cárcamo Hernández, H. Päs and E. Schumacher, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** 116011 (2015).
- [50] L. T. Hue, L. D. Ninh, T. T. Thuc and N. T. T. Dat, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** 128 (2018).
- [51] M. Lindner, M. Platscher and F. S. Queiroz, *Phys. Rept.* **731**, 1 (2018).
- [52] P. A. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group) *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **2020** 083C01 (2020).
- [53] B. Pontecorvo *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **33** 549 (1957).
- [54] B. Pontecorvo *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **34** 247 (1958).
- [55] P. I. Krastev and S. T. Petcov *Phys. Lett. B* **205** 84 (1988).
- [56] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **28** 870 (1962).
- [57] W. Rodejohann *Phys. Rev. D* **69** 033005 (2004).
- [58] C. Jarlskog *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55** 1039 (1985).
- [59] D. -d. Wu *Phys. Rev. D* **33** 860 (1986).
- [60] O. W. Greenberg *Phys. Rev. D* **32** 1841 (1985).
- [61] F. Capozzi, E. D. Valentino, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Melchiorri and A. Palazzo *Phys. Rev. D* **101** 116013 (2020).
- [62] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck Collaboration) *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** A6(2020).

- [63] Shadab Alam *et al.* *Phys. Rev. D* **103** 083533 (2021).
- [64] M. Mitra, G. Senjanovic and F. Vissani *Nucl. Phys. B* **856** 26 (2012).
- [65] W. Rodejohann *J. Phys. G* **39** 124008 (2012).
- [66] J. D. Vergados, H. Ejiri and F. Simkovic *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75** 106301 (2012).
- [67] A. A. Esfahani *et al.* *J. Phys. G* **44** 054004 (2017).
- [68] A. A. Esfahani *et al.* *Phys. Rev. C* **103** 065501 (2021).
- [69] M. Aker *et al.* (The KATRIN Collaboration) *Nat. Phys.* **18** 160 (2022).