# Thermodynamic limit of the free electron gas on a circle

Riccardo Fantoni\*

Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Fisica, strada Costiera 11, 34151 Grignano (Trieste), Italy (Dated: February 14, 2023)

We show that for the ground state of a one dimensional free electron gas on a circle the analytic expression for the canonical ensemble partition function can be easily derived from the density matrix by assuming that the thermodynamic limit coincides with the limit of the eigenfunction expansion of the kinetic energy. This approximation fails to give the finite temperature partition function because those two limits cannot be chosen as coincident.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

In statistical physics textbooks, like for example the Feynman, R P (1972) "Statistical Mechanics: A Set of Lectures" [1], the derivation of an analytic expression for the partition function of the free fermion or boson gas is accomplished choosing to work in the grand canonical ensemble. In this brief paper we show the difficulties one goes through if he insists in choosing to work in the canonical ensemble instead. For definiteness we will consider polarized fermions.

#### II. A SIMPLE DERIVATION

Consider N=2p+1 (with p=0,1,2,3,...) free polarized fermions on a circle of circumference L. At an inverse temperature  $\beta$  the exact density matrix for one of those fermions in periodic boundary conditions is,

$$\rho_1(x, y; \beta) = \frac{1}{L} \theta_3(\frac{\pi}{L}(x - y), \exp(-\beta \lambda (\frac{2\pi}{L})^2))$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n = -q}^q \exp(-\beta \lambda (\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 n^2) \exp(-i\frac{2\pi}{L}n(x - y))$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} k_q(x, y; \beta) , \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $\lambda = \hbar^2/(2m)$ , m is the fermion mass, and  $\theta_3(z,q)$  is a theta function (see Abramowitz and Stegun (1964) [2], Chapter 16, for its properties).

The density matrix of the N fermions is,

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta) = \frac{1}{N!} \det\{\rho_1(x_i, y_j; \beta)\}_{i,j=1}^N$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{N!} \det\{k_q(x_i, y_j; \beta)\}_{i,j=1}^N$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} K_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta) , \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$ , and  $y_i, x_j$  are the initial and final positions of the N fermions. Notice that because of Pauli's principle (see appendix A),

$$K_q = 0$$
 when  $q < p$ . (2.3)

For the particular case q = p there is a simple expression for  $K_q$ , namely,

$$K_{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta) = \frac{1}{N!} \frac{2^{N(N-1)}}{L^{N}} \exp(-2\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{p} n^{2})$$

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le j \le N} \sin(\frac{\pi}{L}(x_{i} - x_{j})) \sin(\frac{\pi}{L}(y_{i} - y_{j})) . \tag{2.4}$$

<sup>\*</sup> riccardo.fantoni@posta.istruzione.it

This expression is the exact density matrix of the ground state (when  $\beta \to \infty$ ) of the N fermions.

For example let's find the partition function  $Z(\beta)$  of the fermion system in the thermodynamic limit. We need to calculate the trace  $Z_p(\beta)$  of  $K_p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \beta)$  and then take p to infinity.

$$Z_{p}(\beta) = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_{1} \cdots \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_{N} K_{p}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}; \beta)$$

$$= \exp(-2\beta\lambda (\frac{2\pi}{L})^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{p} n^{2}) \frac{1}{N!} \frac{2^{N(N-1)}}{(2\pi)^{N}} I_{N} , \qquad (2.5)$$

where,

$$I_{N} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_{1} \cdots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta_{N} \prod_{1 \le i < j \le N} \sin^{2}((\theta_{i} - \theta_{j})/2)$$

$$= N! \frac{(2\pi)^{N}}{2^{N(N-1)}} . \tag{2.6}$$

So we get,

$$Z_p(\beta) = \exp(-2\beta\lambda(\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 \sum_{n=1}^p n^2)$$
 (2.7)

Or for the free energy,

$$F_p(\beta) = 2\lambda (\frac{2\pi}{L})^2 \sum_{n=1}^p n^2$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{3} \rho^2 \lambda \frac{N^2 - 1}{N} . \tag{2.8}$$

And in the thermodynamic limit,

$$f(\beta) = \lim_{p \to \infty} F_p(\beta)/N = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \rho^2 \lambda \quad . \tag{2.9}$$

As expected the free energy is independent of temperature in the thermodynamic limit. Moreover we found the expected results for the ground state energy

$$E_0 = \lambda L \int_{-k_F}^{k_F} k^2 \frac{dk}{2\pi} = \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right) \frac{2}{3} \lambda k_F^3 = N\left(\frac{\lambda \rho^2 \pi^2}{3}\right), \tag{2.10}$$

where the Fermi wave vector is  $k_F = \pi \rho$ .

But we see from equation (2.2) that in the thermodynamic limit (i.e.  $p \to \infty$  and  $\rho = N/L$  constant) it fails to give the exact density matrix of the fermions at finite inverse temperature  $\beta$  for which it is necessary to relax the constraint q = p and respect the order of the two limits, first the one over q and only later the one over p.

## III. CONCLUSIONS

When writing the canonical partition function of a free electron gas on a circle in the thermodynamic limit one has to deal with two kinds of limits: The limit of the eigenfunction expansion of the kinetic energy and the thermodynamic limit. In this brief paper we showed that if one takes the two limits as coincident then necessarily falls in the ground state case, the  $\beta \to \infty$  limit. In this case in fact the zero temperature limit permits to take those two limits as the same. But in order to find the correct finite temperature case it is necessary to take those two limits independently in the correct order.

### Appendix A: A determinantal identity

Given three functions of two variables, K(x,y), L(x,y) and M(x,y) such that,

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} L(x,n)M(n,y) . \tag{A1}$$

Take the following product,

$$K(x_{1}, y_{\pi 1})K(x_{2}, y_{\pi 2}) \cdots K(x_{n}, y_{\pi n}) = \sum_{k_{1}, k_{2}, \dots, k_{n}} [L(x_{1}, k_{1})L(x_{2}, k_{2}) \cdots L(x_{n}, k_{n})]$$

$$[M(k_{1}, y_{\pi 1})M(k_{2}, y_{\pi 2}) \cdots M(k_{n}, y_{\pi n})] .$$
(A2)

Summing appropriately with respect to all permutations we obtain,

$$\det\{K(x_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n = \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} L(x_1, k_1) L(x_2, k_2) \cdots L(x_n, k_n) \det\{M(k_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n .$$
(A3)

The region of summation can be decomposed in nonoverlapping regions  $\Delta_{\nu}$  characterized by the inequalities  $k_{\nu 1} < k_{\nu 2} < \cdots < k_{\nu n}$ , where  $\nu$  is an arbitrary permutation of the set  $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$  into itself.

Transforming the region  $\Delta_{\nu}$  by the change of variable  $k_{\nu i} \to k_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n) and collecting the resulting sums, we obtain, for the righthand side of (A3),

$$\sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n} \sum_{\nu} (-)^{|\nu|} L(x_1, k_{\nu^{-1}1}) L(x_2, k_{\nu^{-1}2}) \cdots L(x_n, k_{\nu^{-1}n})$$

$$\det\{M(k_i, y_i)\}_{i, i=1}^n , \tag{A4}$$

where the signature  $(-)^{|\nu|}$  in each term appears as a consequence of rearranging the rows of det M. So we derived the following composition formula [3],

$$\det\{K(x_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n} \det\{L(x_i, k_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n \det\{M(k_i, y_j)\}_{i,j=1}^n . \tag{A5}$$

Applied to the function  $k_q$  defined in (2.1) as,

$$k_q(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{n=-q}^{q} \mu_n e^{in\theta} e^{-in\phi} , \qquad (A6)$$

we see that for  $q \geq (N-1)/2$ ,

$$\det\{k_{q}(\theta_{i},\phi_{j})\}_{i,j=1}^{N} = \mu_{0} \prod_{n=1}^{q} |\mu_{n}|^{2} \sum_{-q \leq k_{1} < k_{2} < \dots < k_{n} \leq q} \det\{e^{ik_{j}\theta_{i}}\}_{i,j=1}^{N} \det\{e^{-ik_{i}\phi_{j}}\}_{i,j=1}^{N} . \tag{A7}$$

So when q = (N-1)/2 the sum has only one term which is given by equation (2.4). And for q < (N-1)/2,  $\det\{k_q\} = 0$ .

<sup>[1]</sup> R. P. Feynman, Statistical Mechanics: A Set of Lectures, Frontiers in Physics, Vol. 36 (W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1972) notes taken by R. Kikuchi and H. A. Feiveson, edited by Jacob Shaham.

<sup>[2]</sup> M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Dover Pubblications, 1972).

<sup>[3]</sup> Which holds also after replacing the sums with integrals.