

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS Payload

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We make an attempt to apply the linguistic multiplexing approach onto a known coding means featuring a very long binary transport word, we’ll call a microframe, and by this extend such a means with an event transfer ability, considering the 1000BASE-T1 physical layer the point we start in.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 1000BASE-T1.

INTRODUCTION

GIGABIT Ethernet, type 1000BASE-T1 [1] is a relatively new IEEE 802.3 physical layer intended to work over a single unshielded twisted pair media, in a harsh environment like of or similar to automotive and industrial.

Abstracting from comprehensive details, we say we employ some coding means that features a very long binary transport word—we refer to as the microframe—consisting of $450 = 405 + 1 + 44$ GF(2^9) information symbols or $450 \times 9 = 4,045$ bits, respectively, and incurs a duty to convey $45 \times 10 = 450$ separate instances of a regular payload element—we refer to as the transfer unit—each corresponding a) either with one of $2^8 = 256$ possible data octet values or b) with one of at least only possible control value, alongside the preserved $1 \times 9 = 9$ service and $44 \times 9 = 396$ check bits, accordingly, per every microframe time period,¹ see Table I.

Operating on the top of that means, we expectedly preserve its mentioned duty in the whole, but then apply the linguistic multiplexing approach, as it was developed up to in [2], to get what that we refer to as the spare bits in the terminology of our search, choice, and design, see Table II.

Substituting the spare bits, we can simply pass an encoded position of an event—sensed and fixed on some precision time synchronization interface² provided by the physical layer on its top—occurring during the respective microframe time period, into the payload of that microframe, together with the encoded content of the respective transfer units.

In the rest of this paper, we describe a way to embed such the capability inside the 1000BASE-T1 physical layer, keeping its extended use still compliant with its original duty.

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation. Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹In the case of 1000BASE-T1, its microframe time is 450 octet times, that gives $8 \times 450 = 3600$ bit times, i.e., 3600 ns or 3.6 μ s.

²Note that the service interface of the physical layer is now composite and comprises the GMII and a PTSI for data and event, respectively.

TABLE I
ORIGINAL MICROFRAME STRUCTURE

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, bits
Microframe (or μ frame) entirely	issue rate ≈ 0.28 M μ frames/s	$450 \times 9 = \Sigma 4,050$
—Payload, visible at GMII	max 450 data octets \rightarrow 3,600 bits	$45 \times 81 = 3,645$
├ 80B/81B coded block #1	•first 10 data octets or controls	$10 \times 8 + 1 = 9 \times 9 = 81$
├		
└ 80B/81B coded block #45	•last 10 data octets or controls	$10 \times 8 + 1 = 9 \times 9 = 81$
—OAM field, hidden by PHY	purposed for link management	$1 \times 9 = 9$
—RS-FEC tail, hidden by PHY	found over all the bits above	$(450 - 406) \times 9 = 396$

TABLE II
TERMINOLOGY

Ref.	Objective	Definition, Description, Designation	Measured
N	given	data modulus of the transfer unit, $257 \leq N \leq 274$,	in items
n_p	fixed	scope of the payload, $n_p = 45 \times 10 = 450$,	in units/ μ frame
n_e	given	scope of an echo multiplexing round,	in units/round
k	$k = n_p / n_e$	run count to cover the whole payload, $k \geq 1$,	in rounds/ μ frame
v	fixed	information volume of the payload, $v = 45 \times 81$,	in bits/ μ frame
s	maximize	spare volume for event coding, $s + k \cdot t = v$,	in bits/ μ frame
t	$t = b + r$	total amount of information handled per a run,	in bits/round
b	maximize	its portion that results simply from bypass, $b \geq 0$,	in bits/round
r	minimize	its portion that results from representation, $r \geq 1$,	in bits/round
C_r	$C_r = r \ln 2$	(log) transport capacity of the represented portion,	in nepers
M_r	$M_r = n_e \ln N - b \ln 2$	(log) data modulus represented per a round,	in nepers
U_w	minimize	unsigned ALU complexity class = required bit width,	in steps

TABLE III
BEST CHOICE TIPS

Priority	Focus	Label	Formalized Objective Definition
Highest	s	BEST- s	maximize s , as much as possible [then...]
Medium	N	BEST- N	maximize N , as much as possible [then...]
Lowest	b, r, w	BEST- w	maximize b , minimize r , minimize w [done.]

THE HUNT FOR THE SPARE BITS

We expect that our search may produce many results, thus, we first need to formulate a set of prioritized tips to make the following choice as best as possible among the various results, see Table III, and then pre-plot the search plan, see Table IV, given a data modulus of the transfer unit.^{3 4}

To plot the search plan completely, we also consider a finite set of appropriate multiplexing processes, see Table V, running over all the divisors of the number 450 to comprise exact this

³Because there are exact $8^2 = 256$ possible data octet values, $0x00 \div 0xFF$, and at least one possible control value, idle, we iterate for $N > 256$.

⁴Because there is a limit on N resulting from the constraint of $N^{n_p} \leq 2^v$, thus $N \leq 2^{v/n_p}$, so in the case of 1000BASE-T1, $256 < N \leq 274$.

TABLE IV
TRANSFER UNIT VARIANTS

N	Decomposition	Bypassed Bits	Items' Possible Purpose (Count)
256	$= N_r \times N_b = 1 \times 2^8$	n/a	data octet values {256} only
257	$= 257 \times 1 = 2^8 + 2^0$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^0 = 0$	data octet values {256} + idle {1}
258	$= 129 \times 2 = 2^8 + 2^1$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^1 = 1$	all above {257} + data error {1}
260	$= 65 \times 4 = 2^8 + 2^2$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^2 = 2$	all above {258} + halt {1} + lpi {1}
264	$= 33 \times 8 = 2^8 + 2^3$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^3 = 3$	all above {260} + more controls {4}
272	$= 17 \times 16 = 2^8 + 2^4$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^4 = 4$	all above {264} + extra controls {8}
274	$= 137 \times 2 = 2^8 + 2^4 + 2^1$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^1 = 1$	all above {272} + extra controls {2}

NOTE – Original 80B/81B encoding provides for $2^3 = 8$ possible control codes.
NOTE – 1000BASE-T1 PCS uses 4 out of 8 codes, assuming the rest deprecated:
0 = 000₍₂₎ idle when phy is not ready 4 = 100₍₂₎ [do not transmit, treat as error if receive]
1 = 001₍₂₎ data error propagation 5 = 101₍₂₎ assert low power idle
2 = 010₍₂₎ idle when phy is ready 6 = 110₍₂₎ [do not transmit, treat as error if receive]
3 = 011₍₂₎ [do not transmit, treat as error if receive] 7 = 111₍₂₎ [do not transmit, treat as error if receive]

TABLE VI
SEARCH BEST RESULTS

n_e	N	$C_r : M_r$	$t = b + r$	ALU	k	s
10	274	1.0003	81 10 71	U128	45	0
15	264	1.0044	121 45 76	U128	30	15
18	264	1.0022	145 54 91	U128	25	20
25	260	1.0029	201 50 151	U256	18	27
30	260	1.0018	241 60 181	U256	15	30
45	258	1.0016	361 45 316	U512	10	35
75	258	1.0003	601 75 526	U1024	6	39
90	257	1.0007	721 — 721	U1024	5	40
150	257	1.0001	1,201 — 1,201	>U1024	3	42
225	257	1.0004	1,802 — 1,802	>U1024	2	41
450	257	1.0001	3,603 — 3,603	>U1024	1	42
450	274	1.0003	3,645 450 3,195	>U1024	1	0

TABLE V
PROCESS FASHION VARIANTS

n_e	10	15	18	25	30	45	75	90	150	225	450
k	45	30	25	18	15	10	6	5	3	2	1
lim s	0	≤ 15	≤ 20	≤ 27	≤ 30	≤ 35	≤ 39	≤ 40	≤ 42	≤ 44	≤ 44

TABLE VII
EVENT FIXATION SCALE

s, bits →	15	20	27	30	35
Resolution	~109.9 ps	~3.4 ps	~26.8 fs	~3.6 fs	~104.8 as
Frequency	~9.1 GHz	~291.3 GHz	~37.3 THz	~298.3 THz	~9.5 PHz

TABLE VIII
SEARCH DETAILS EXCERPT

n_e	$N = N_r \times N_b$	b/n_e	r/n_e	$C_r : M_r$	$C_r > M_r ?$	$t = b + r$	ALU	k	$k \cdot t + s = v$	Status
10	274 = 137×2	1	7.1	1.00028	yes	81 = 10 + 71	U128	45	3,645 + 0 = 3,645	no spare
10	272 = 17×16	4	4.1	1.00307	yes	81 = 40 + 41	U64	45	3,645 + 0 = 3,645	no spare
15	274 = 137×2	—	—	0.99558	no					[unreachable]
15	272 = 17×16	—	—	0.99491	no					[unreachable]
15	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00442	yes	121 = 45 + 76	U128	30	3,630 + 15 = 3,645	BEST-N
15	260 = 65×4	2	< 6.1	1.00736	yes	121 = 30 + 91	U128	30	3,630 + 15 = 3,645	spare > 0
15	258 = 129×2	1	< 7.1	1.00791	yes	121 = 15 + 106	U128	30	3,630 + 15 = 3,645	spare > 0
15	257 = 257×1	0	< 8.1	1.00762	yes	121 = 0 + 121	U128	30	3,630 + 15 = 3,645	spare > 0
18	274 = 137×2	—	—	0.99402	no					[unreachable]
18	272 = 17×16	—	—	0.99219	no					[unreachable]
18	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00221	yes	145 = 54 + 91	U128	25	3,625 + 20 = 3,645	BEST-N
18	260 = 65×4	2	< 6.1	1.00551	yes	145 = 36 + 109	U128	25	3,625 + 20 = 3,645	spare > 0
18	258 = 129×2	1	< 7.1	1.00632	yes	145 = 18 + 127	U128	25	3,625 + 20 = 3,645	spare > 0
18	257 = 257×1	0	< 8.1	1.00624	yes	145 = 0 + 145	U256	25	3,625 + 20 = 3,645	spare > 0
25	260 = 65×4	2	< 6.1	1.00293	yes	201 = 50 + 151	U256	18	3,618 + 27 = 3,645	BEST-s
25	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00706	yes	202 = 75 + 127	U128	18	3,636 + 9 = 3,645	BEST-Nw
30	260 = 65×4	2	< 6.1	1.00182	yes	241 = 60 + 181	U256	18	3,618 + 30 = 3,645	BEST-s
30	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00442	yes	242 = 90 + 157	U256	18	3,636 + 15 = 3,645	BEST-N
45	258 = 129×2	1	< 7.1	1.00157	yes	361 = 45 + 316	U512	10	3,610 + 35 = 3,645	BEST-s
45	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00001	yes	362 = 135 + 227	U256	10	3,620 + 25 = 3,645	spare > 0
45	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00442	yes	363 = 135 + 228	U256	10	3,630 + 15 = 3,645	spare > 0
45	272 = 17×16	4	< 4.1	1.00035	yes	364 = 180 + 184	U256	10	3,640 + 5 = 3,645	BEST-N
75	258 = 129×2	1	< 7.1	1.00030	yes	601 = 75 + 526	U1024	6	3,606 + 39 = 3,645	BEST-s
75	260 = 65×4	2	< 6.1	1.00071	yes	602 = 150 + 552	U512	6	3,612 + 33 = 3,645	spare > 0
75	260 = 65×4	2	< 6.1	1.00293	yes	603 = 150 + 453	U512	6	3,618 + 27 = 3,645	spare > 0
75	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00177	yes	604 = 225 + 379	U512	6	3,624 + 21 = 3,645	spare > 0
75	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00442	yes	605 = 225 + 380	U512	6	3,630 + 15 = 3,645	spare > 0
75	264 = 33×8	3	< 5.1	1.00706	yes	606 = 225 + 381	U512	6	3,636 + 9 = 3,645	spare > 0
75	272 = 17×16	4	< 4.1	1.00144	yes	607 = 300 + 307	U512	6	3,642 + 3 = 3,645	BEST-N
90	257 = 257×1	0	< 8.1	1.00069	yes	721 = 0 + 721	U1024	5	3,605 + 40 = 3,645	BEST-s
90	274 = 137×2	1	< 7.1	1.00028	yes	729 = 90 + 639	U1024	5	3,645 + 0 = 3,645	no spare
150	257 = 257×1	0	< 8.1	1.00013	yes	1,201 = 0 + 1,201	>U1024	3	3,603 + 42 = 3,645	BEST-s
150	274 = 137×2	1	< 7.1	1.00028	yes	1,215 = 150 + 1,065	>U1024	3	3,645 + 0 = 3,645	no spare
225	257 = 257×1	0	< 8.1	1.00041	yes	1,802 = 0 + 1,802	>U1024	2	3,604 + 41 = 3,645	BEST-s
225	272 = 17×16	4	< 4.1	1.00035	yes	1,820 = 900 + 920	U1024	2	3,640 + 5 = 3,645	BEST-N
450	257 = 257×1	0	< 8.1	1.00013	yes	3,603 = 0 + 3,603	>U1024	1	3,603 + 42 = 3,645	BEST-s
450	274 = 137×2	1	< 7.1	1.00028	yes	3,645 = 450 + 3,195	>U1024	1	3,645 + 0 = 3,645	no spare

TABLE IX
CODING SCHEME




TABLE X
KEY FEATURES OF THE PROPOSED WAY

Parameter	Description
Coding Delay = μ Frame Period	3,600 information bit times = 3,600 ns = 3.6 μ s
Conditional Coding Complexity	like 128/91-bit nominal/effective unsigned ALU
Event Fixation Resolution (Freq.)	about 3.4 ps (291.3 GHz), or 20 bits/ev/ μ frame
Event Transmission Rate	up to one event per a μ frame $\approx 277,778$ ev/s

number, i.e., $450 = 10 \times 45 = 15 \times 30 = \dots = 225 \times 2 = 450 \times 1 = n_e \times k$, that fully defines the configuration of an appropriate multiplexing process treating on n_e transfer units per each of its k multiplexing rounds.⁵

Further, following that search plan, we do the search itself, choosing the most suitable results, see Table VI, then briefly estimate their applicability in a precise synchronization task, see Table VII, to make the single choice.

Assuming the need to pass up to one event per a microframe time period, we find out that any such variant provides for an excessive number of the spare bits, s , to solve such a task at any desired level, from more than simply particular, e.g., with $s = 15$ or 20, into more than very exotic, e.g., with $s = 27$ or above, that also finally helps us in our choice.

Because the variants with $s = 15$ and $s = 20$ both relate to the same filling of the transfer unit with $N = 264$ as well as both exhibit for the same accepted degree of implementation complexity with $w \leq 128$, we obviously make our choice on the variant with $s = 20$ ($N = 264$, $n_e = 18$, $k = 25$).

Thus, based on the mentioned above, we proceed to design an appropriate coding means that corresponds with the multiplexing configuration deliberately chosen by us among many possible variants, see Table VIII.

CODING SCHEME

Applied in the scope of the microframe, every microframe time period, the coding means runs thru its three consecutive stages, see Table IX, operating as the following.

On its multiple service interface stage, leftmost in Table IX, the coding means senses the respective interface signals and conditions the information conveyed by them.

⁵Exact N^{n_e} out of 2^t possible echo samples are used, all considered native, thus, given the constants, N , n_e , k , iterators, $i = 1 \dots k$, $j = 1 \dots n_e$, and transfer unit values to be sent in the payload during the respective microframe,

$$u_{i,j} \in \{ \underbrace{0, \dots, 255}_{\text{data octet values}}, \underbrace{256, \dots, N-1}_{\text{control values}} \},$$

one could find the corresponding native echo samples just as the following:

$$\text{ECHO}_i = \sum_j \underbrace{u_{i,j} \times N^{j-1}}_{\text{value} \times \text{weight}} < N^{n_e} \leq 2^t,$$

or, assuming $N = N_r \times N_b$ and the latter is a power of two, compositely:

$$\text{root}_i = \sum_j \underbrace{[u_{i,j} \text{ div } N_b] \times [N_r]^{j-1}}_{\text{representation}}, \quad \text{affix}_i = \sum_j \underbrace{[u_{i,j} \text{ mod } N_b] \times [N_b]^{j-1}}_{\text{bypass}},$$

that, compared to the pseudo-code-based normative definition of the 80B/81B encoding in [1], seems better in the terms of clarity, simplicity, unambiguity, of course, related to description, not implementation. Is not it?

On its treating stage, central in Table IX, the coding means consumes and generates all the coupled, dependent bits only, into and from its unsigned arithmetic procedures, respectively, simply bypassing all the rest, independent bits.

On its final stage, rightmost in Table IX, the coding means just maps the spare, generated dependent, and bypassed independent bits onto the designated payload bits.⁶

Altogether, the consecutive stages comprise an appropriate procedure that corresponds with both the payload parameters ($v = 3,645$ for $n_p = 450$) and the multiplexing configuration ($N = 264$, $n_e = 18$, $k = 25$, $s = 20$), initially predetermined prior and deliberately chosen under us, respectively.

In the terms of static definition, not particular implementation, all those stages are memory-less, thus, the coding means seems to be a sort of memory-less encoding.

In the terms of application and characterization, that means seems like a set of recognizable, scalable, adaptable patterns, many of which are repeated more than once.

In the terms of complexity estimation, it seems to be similar with one after a representation of an n_e -digit base- N_r numeral into an r -digit base-2 numeral, both binary-coded.

CONCLUSION

We described a way to multiplex a data coding means, fully compliant with the original duty of the 1000BASE-T1 physical layer as well as fully interior for its physical coding sublayer, or PCS, and an event coding means, new for that layer, over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS microframe.⁷

The proposed way enables the coding means of interesting properties, see Table X, and is grounded upon the linguistic multiplexing approach, that's involved to release a number of the spare bits, i.e., those are free of the original duty and thus useful the manner we described or another.^{8 9}

The enabled coding means defines—in an abstract form—a scalable multi-stage procedure that operates on dependent and independent bit quantities, doing binary arithmetic and bitwise logic manipulations, all are well-known as well as wide-spread in the field of digital semiconductor devices.

REFERENCES

- [1] Physical Layer Specifications & Management Parameters for 1 Gb/s Operation over a Single Twisted-Pair Copper Cable, IEEE Std 802.3bp-2016.
- [2] A. Ivanov, "Enabling a preemption function over the uniform data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 100BASE-X PMD sublayer," not published yet.

⁶Because 1000BASE-T1 implements a systematic Reed–Solomon forward error correction (RS-FEC) means [1], we may optimize the scheme defined in Table IX by moving the spare bits from the top (first on the wire) into the bottom (last on the wire) bits of the payload, to reduce at least the transmit coding delay, making the multiplexing process inverse [2]. Moreover, there are no restrictions to place the spare bits anyhow (together or apart) and anywhere (sooner or later) in the payload, since we access a microframe.

⁷We call it "micro" comparing to the maximum Ethernet frame length (1526 octets or 12,208 bits, if accounted including the preamble and start-of-frame delimiter elements) and to clearly distinguish between the frames.

⁸One possible use of the spare bits is to consider each of those bits separate and responsible for reflecting an event that occurs during the designated part of the respective microframe time period, forming an event train.

⁹Other possible use of the spare bits is to establish a carrier for a dedicated channel passing exclusively messages (packets) of some time synchronization protocol, like IEEE 1588 PTP or RFC 5904 NTP, across microframes.

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 10GBASE-T PCS Payload

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We continue to extend known protocols featuring a very long binary transport word, with an event transfer ability, considering now the 10GBASE-T PHY the point we stand in.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 10GBASE-T.

INTRODUCTION

TEN-GIGABIT Ethernet, type 10GBASE-T [1] describes a full-duplex 10 Gbps physical layer operating over four twisted pairs of Category 6a or better. It has a duty to convey $50 \times 8 = 400$ separate transfer units, each keeping either one of $2^8 = 256$ possible data octet values or a control code, per every microframe time period, see Table I.

Inside that 10GBASE-T PCS¹ microframe,² there are exact $50 \times 65 = 3,250$ information bits of the payload—intended to keep the mentioned duty—we consider fully accessible while its user is interior, i.e., related to the PCS, in between of the payload access interface³ and the PCS service interface,⁴ on the bottom and on the top, respectively.

In the rest of this paper, we try to highlight a way to embed an event passing ability into the 1000BASE-T PCS sublayer, replacing the interior user of its payload with an appropriately modified one, that supports for both the event passing and the data passing, also keeping the new task of the physical layer still fully compliant with its original duty.

SAVE BOX OVER SPARE BITS

We begin with the same search for the spare bits, as it was described in [2], using the similar conditions, slightly updated to match our new circumstances, see Table II,⁵ however, we now assume a distinct purpose for those bits, that is intended to meet the changed behavior of the multiplexing process we study further in this paper, see Table III.

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation. Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹Physical Coding Sublayer, the upper entity in 10GBASE-T, operates atop the 10GBASE-T Physical Medium Attachment (PMA) sublayer, see [1].

²It seems “micro” compared to the maximum Ethernet frame length, 1526 octets or 12,208 bits, named so to distinguish between the frames clearly.

³It is an abstract interface we refer only to point out the place of the topic inside the PCS as well as in the whole physical layer, accordingly.

⁴It is a standardized interface, XGMII in the case of 10GBASE-T, provided for the client of the physical layer, by the PCS on its top, see [1], too.

⁵Sensible differences between Table II and [2]’s Table II are underlined.

TABLE I
ORIGINAL MICROFRAME STRUCTURE

Elements and Hierarchy	Contents, Comments	Size, bits			
Microframe (or μ frame) entirely	issue rate = 3.125M μ frames/s	Σ 3,584			
Payload, visible at XGMII	max 400 data octets \rightarrow 3,200 bits	$50 \times 65 = 3,250$			
	64B/65B coded block #1	first 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$		
	64B/65B coded block #50	last 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$		
CRC field	hidden by PHY	calculated on the payload bits	8		
LDPC parity				calculated on some bits above	Σ 334
Auxiliary bit				has no standardized purpose	

TABLE II
PREPARATORY SEARCH TERMINOLOGY

Ref.	Objective	Definition, Description, Designation	Measured
N	given	data modulus of the transfer <i>unit</i> , $257 \leq N \leq 279$,	in items
n_p	fixed	scope of the <i>payload</i> , $n_p = 50 \times 8 = 400$,	in units/ μ frame
n_e	given	scope of <i>each of k echo</i> multiplexing rounds,	in units/round
k	$k = n_p / n_e$	run count to cover the payload <i>w/o events</i> ,	in rounds/ μ frame
v	fixed	information <i>volume</i> of the payload, $v = 50 \times 65$,	in bits/ μ frame
s	maximize	<i>spare volume free of the k rounds</i> , $s + k \cdot t = v$,	in bits/ μ frame
t	$t = b + r$	<i>total amount of info handled per each of k runs</i> ,	in bits/round
b	maximize	its portion that results simply from <i>bypass</i> , $b \geq 0$,	in bits/round
r	minimize	its portion that results from <i>representation</i> , $r \geq 1$,	in bits/round
C_r	$C_r = r \ln 2$	(log) transport <i>capacity</i> of the represented portion,	in nepers
M_r	$M_r = n_e \ln N - b \ln 2$	(log) data <i>modulus</i> represented per a round,	in nepers
U_w	minimize	unsigned ALU complexity class = required bit <i>width</i> ,	in steps

TABLE III
STUDIED MULTIPLEXING PROCESS

Characteristics	First (Multi-)Pass	Second Pass
Run count of the pass	up to n_s times per a μ frame	once per a μ frame
Trigger to run the pass	each of up to n_s events	every new μ frame
Echo-dealing behavior	(lossless) accumulation	cancellation
Special means employed	save box for n_s transfer units	w-bit unsigned ALU
Pass execution structure	single chain over $n_p + n_s$ units	$k + k_s$ bounded rounds
Multiplexing technique	(domino-like) substitution	representation
Example implementation	see Stage 2 Data, $n_s = 1$	see Stage 4 Mixed

The principal change lies in how the process deals with an event. In contrast to the process described in [2] that treats an event in the scope of the respective microframe time period, during which this event occurs, the process we propose treats the such in the scope of the transfer unit corresponding to the octet time period,⁶ during which the event occurs. Expectedly, this impacts on the purpose of the spare bits.

⁶In the case of 10GBASE-T, bit time is 100 ps, octet time is 800 ps.

TABLE IV
TRANSFER UNIT VARIANTS

N	Decomposition	Bypassed Bits	Items' Type = Purpose {Count}
256 =	$N_r \times N_b = 1 \times 2^8$	n/a	DATA {256} only
257 =	$257 \times 1 = 2^8 + 2^0$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^0 = 0$	DATA {256} + CTRL {1}
258 =	$129 \times 2 = 2^8 + 2^1$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^1 = 1$	DATA {256} + CTRL {1} + event {1}
260 =	$65 \times 4 = 2^8 + 2^2$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^2 = 2$	DATA {256} + CTRL {2} + event {2}
264 =	$33 \times 8 = 2^8 + 2^3$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^3 = 3$	DATA {256} + CTRL {4} + event {4}
272 =	$17 \times 16 = 2^8 + 2^4$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^4 = 4$	DATA {256} + CTRL {8} + event {8}
279 =	$2^8 + 2^4 + 2^2 + 2^1 + 2^0$	$b/n_e = \log_2 2^1 = 0$	all above {272} + extra controls {7}

TABLE V
PROCESS FASHION VARIANTS

n_e	8	10	16	20	25	40	50	80	100	200	400
k	50	40	25	20	16	10	8	5	4	2	1
lim s	0	≤ 10	≤ 25	≤ 30	≤ 34	≤ 40	≤ 42	≤ 45	≤ 46	≤ 48	≤ 49

TABLE VI
SEARCH BEST RESULTS

n_e	N	$C_r : M_r$	$t = b + r$	ALU	k	s		
8	279	1.00011	65	—	65	U128	50	—
10	272	1.00307	81	40	41	U64	40	10
					min	min		enough
16	264	1.00359	129	48	81	U128	25	25
20	264	1.00111	161	60	101	U128	20	30
25	260	1.00293	201	50	151	U256	16	34
40	260	1.00044	321	80	241	U256	10	40
50	258	1.00125	401	50	351	U512	8	42
80	258	1.00018	641	80	561	U1024	5	45
100	257	1.00055	801	—	801	U1024	4	46
200	257	1.00055	1,602	—	1,602	>U1024	2	46
400	257	1.00023	3,203	—	3,203	>U1024	1	47
400	279	1.00011	3,250	—	3,250	>U1024	1	—

TABLE VII
EVENT POSITION RANGE

Event Positions in OT →	/0	/1	/2	/3	/4	/5	/6	/7
Coded as Bits p_2, p_1, p_0 →	000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111

NOTE – Information bit time (BT) is 100 ps, then octet time (OT = 8 × BT) is 800 ps.

TABLE VIII
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING

Type	↓Transfer Unit Content, Bits→	u_e	u_5	u_4	u_3	u_2	u_1	u_0	Variety		
DATA	one of 2^8 possible data octets	0	d_7	d_6	d_5	d_4	d_3	d_2	d_1	d_0	16×16
CTRL	one of 2^3 possible control codes	1	0	0	0	0	0	c_2	c_1	c_0	$1/2 \times 16$
event	one of 2^3 relative positions ^[in OT]	1	0	0	0	0	1	p_2	p_1	p_0	$1/2 \times 16$

TABLE IX
SAVE BOX KEEP

State	↓Save Box Content, Bits→	s_9	s_8	s_7	s_6	s_5	s_4	s_3	s_2	s_1	s_0	Variety
empty	meaningless, except bit s_9	0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
DATA	400^{th} transfer unit with data	1	0	d_7	d_6	d_5	d_4	d_3	d_2	d_1	d_0	16×16
CTRL	400^{th} transfer unit with ctrl	1	1	—	—	—	—	—	c_2	c_1	c_0	$1/2 \times 16$

According to the change, we need to substitute the existing transfer unit—whose position in the stream is associated with the octet time period during which the event occurs—by a new transfer unit that reflects the position of the event. Moreover, after done this, we also need to consecutively (avalanche-like) substitute all the rest transfer units, each by its preceding one, beginning from the unit that follows the substituted by one of the event, and then so on, again and again.

In the scope of the respective microframe, that leads for the last transfer unit just drops out of the microframe's contents, therefore we introduce what that we will further refer to as the save box, to store such a transfer unit, preventing it to be lost, and here, naturally to support for this, we seek for a dedicated space in the microframe's payload, that accounts for the need in those spare bits and also predefines their purpose: to be the dedicated space for the necessary save box.

So, we conduct that search the entire way described in [2], see Tables IV, V, and VI, making our choice for the variant with $N = 272$, $n_e = 10$, $k = 40$, and $s = 10$, and then draw up the rest sensible details, see Tables VII, VIII, and IX, also introducing $N_{\text{data}} + N_{\text{extra}} = 256 + 16 = 272 = N = N_r \cdot N_b$ and $N_{\text{ctrl}} + N_{\text{event}} = 8 + 8 = 16 = N_{\text{extra}}$ as well as $n_s = 1$, $t_s = 9$, $s_s = 1$, etc, as the equivalents of, respectively, n_e , t , s , etc, but for now in the view of the save box, that makes us equipped completely to go beyond.

CODING SCHEME

Applied in the scope of the microframe, every microframe time period, the coding means runs thru its seven ($2 \times 2 + 3$) stages, see Tables X toward XVI, coupled complexly but well traceable, together operating as the following.⁷

Event stage 1, see Table X, detects the relative position of an event, when and if it occurs during the currently processed microframe time period, and conditions (converts) that into a step-like mask consisting of $1 + 400 \cdot 8 = 3,201$ dependent bits that together depict the same-size choice, none or 1 of 3,200, and then travel further, into the next stages.⁸

Event stage 2, see Table XI, encodes the mask to generate a new transfer unit—whose own position and then the content, respectively, is associated precisely with the octet time period (within the currently processed microframe time period) and then reflects exactly the bit time period (within that octet time period of that microframe time period), during which the event occurs—in the defined form of $\lceil \log_2 N_r \rceil = 5$ dependent root and $\log_2 N_b = 4$ independent affix bits that together depict the new transfer unit and then travel further, too.

Data stage 1, see Table XII, conditions (converts), if it is of control, and then encodes all the data/control symbols met in all $50 \times 8 = 400$ octet time periods of the currently processed microframe time period, to generate so the respective transfer units, all in the same form as mentioned above.

⁷We describe the coding means capable to transfer just single event per a microframe time period. However, also possible are other variants capable to transfer multiple events per the same time, of course, for the cost of a coarser resolution due to lower N_{event} , see Table XVII and the following notes.

⁸When supports for multiple events, this stage runs the respective number of times, dropping out all the before detected events, if any, on each next run during the current multi-pass, so, event stage 1 and data stage 2 are the only multi-passed stages in the studied multiplexing process, see Table III.

TABLE X
STAGE 1 EVENT




TABLE XI
STAGE 2 EVENT




TABLE XII
STAGE 1 DATA




TABLE XIII
STAGE 2 DATA




TABLE XIV
STAGE 3 MIXED




TABLE XV
STAGE 4 MIXED




TABLE XVI
STAGE 5 MIXED




TABLE XVII
EXAMPLE APPLICABILITY INCLUDING BACKWARD

μ Frame	$n_p = n_e \times k$	$N = N_r \times N_b = N_{data} + N_{extra}$	N_{event}	w	$s = s_s + t_s$	n_s	N_s	w_s	k_s	Comment
10GBASE-T	400 = 10 × 40	272 = 17 × 16 = 256 + 16	≤15	64	10 = 1 + 1×9	1	≤512	≤16	—	see Stages ¹⁻⁵
10GBASE-T	400 = 16 × 25	264 = 33 × 8 = 256 + 8	≤7	128	25 = — + 25	3	≤323	≤32	1	up to 3 ^{ev/μframe}
10GBASE-T	400 = 25 × 16	260 = 65 × 4 = 256 + 4	≤3	256	34 = 1 + 33	4	≤304	≤64	1	up to 4 ^{ev/μframe}
10GBASE-T	400 = 50 × 8	258 = 129 × 2 = 256 + 2	≤1	512	42 = 1 + 41	5	≤294	≤64	1	up to 5 ^{ev/μframe}
1000BASE-T1	450 = 15 × 30	264 = 33 × 8 = 256 + 8	≤7	128	15 = 6 + 1×9	1	≤512	≤16	—	up to 1 ^{ev/μframe}
1000BASE-T1	450 = 18 × 25	264 = 33 × 8 = 256 + 8	≤7	128	20 = 2 + 2×9	2	≤512	≤16	—	up to 2 ^{ev/μframe}
1000BASE-T1	450 = 25 × 18	260 = 65 × 4 = 256 + 4	≤3	256	27 = — + 3×9	3	≤512	≤16	—	up to 3 ^{ev/μframe}
1000BASE-T1	450 = 45 × 10	258 = 129 × 2 = 256 + 2	≤1	512	35 = 2 + 33	4	≤304	≤64	1	up to 4 ^{ev/μframe}

Data stage 2, see Table XIII, splits the stream consisting of the just encoded data/control transfer units, precisely—thanks to and based on the mask bits—in the given position of the new event transfer unit, causing substitution of the stream units in all its consecutive positions since the matching to the given—at which it inserts that new one—and till the last, all included, but the same time preventing the one in the last position to be lost, by storing it into the save box.⁹

Mixed stage 3, see Table XIV, finally encodes all the transfer units of the modified stream, see also Table VIII at this point, and then separates between all the root as well as all the affix bits of each just encoded transfer unit, to immediately bypass the latter—that all are independent—into the final stage, doing nothing on them, as well as to purposely prepare the former—that all are dependent, but still in the scope of the unit—to be further merged, before they reach the final stage.

Mixed stage 4, see Table XV, merges 10×5 root bits of a bundle of 10 transfer units, repeating with each of 40 bundles, into $10 \times 4 + 1$ merged, i.e., unambiguously reversibly coupled by arithmetic representation via prior weighting and following summation, ones—that makes them inter-dependent, i.e., such in the scope of all the units with the bundle—that corresponds to a generalized linguistic multiplexing process featuring $k = 40$ echo multiplexing rounds each treating over $n_e = 10$ units of modulus $N = 272$ and resulting in $t = b + r = (10 \times 4) + (10 \times 4 + 1)$ bits defining a native echo sample.^{10 11}

Mixed stage 5, see Table XVI, maps the merged root bits, the bypassed affix bits, and the save box bits directly onto the bits—respectively designated to them—of the payload related to the currently processed microframe time period, finalizing by this the overall run of the coding means.

In the terms of static definition, application and characterization, and complexity estimation, the coding means seems to be very similar, if not the same, in all its essentials compared with those of the means described in [2].

⁹When supports for multiple events, this stage runs the respective number of times, inserting (the new transfer unit containing the encoded position of) each currently detected event, if any, into the stream, that causes avalanche (or domino-like) substitution of its units with the last going into the save box until it has no empty space for, each the mentioned time.

¹⁰When supports for multiple events, this stage may do an extra multiplexing round, on the transfer units stored in the save box. In Table XVII, such a case is easy found with $k_s = 1$ in the respective row, while a dash in that row labels a case when no extra round is involved.

¹¹There are $2^t = 2^r \times 2^b$ distinguishable echo samples, $N^{n_e} = N_r^{n_e} \times 2^b$ out of those are considered native and intended to represent the result of an echo multiplexing round, and the rest $(2^r - N_r^{n_e}) \times 2^b$ samples are free to use, e.g., to represent a forced echo containing a necessary extra signal.

CONCLUSION

We proposed a way to embed an event passing ability inside a given, appropriate coding entity featuring a very long binary transport word as the microframe, and the same time preserve the measure of the data passing ability already existing in such the entity, qualitatively and quantitatively.

Studying the proposed way, we also described one possible coding means, in its very details, in regards to the 10GBASE-T PCS microframe and its payload. The described coding means is compliant with the original duty of the considered physical layer, moreover, it provides for completely separate and since behaviorally autonomous transfer units as well as for the fully sufficient numbers of control¹² and position¹³ codes available per each of those units, instead of the original block encoding limits intrinsic to the regarded entity.

We believe the proposed way is applicable, at least in theory, in the field of the 10GBASE-T and other but similar physical layer protocols, including 1000BASE-T1 considered earlier in the preceding work [2], see Table XVII, and also has a visible potential for its further development.

REFERENCES

- [1] Physical Layer and Management Parameters for 10 Gb/s Operation, Type 10GBASE-T, IEEE Std 802.3an-2006.
- [2] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

¹²How many control codes, N_{ctrl} , are necessary there? Let us consider all the standardized cases, except the six reserved, see [1], related to the 64B/65B block encoding used in 10GBASE-T: ● ● Idle, or /I/. Signaled by a dedicated control code mandatory to detect /S/ and /T/, see on them further. ● Start, or /S/. Signaled by an idle to data (or error, if supported) transition, requiring no control code. 64B/65B does not allow for passing /S/ in an arbitrary selected octet period, only in each forth. The proposed design has no such limitation. ● Terminate, or /T/. Signaled by a data (or error, if supported) to idle transition, requiring no code. 64B/65B passes /T/ in any octet period and the proposed design allows for the same. ● Error propagation flag, or /E/. May be signaled by a dedicated control code, when and if supported. 64B/65B does not allow for passing /E/ in an arbitrary selected octet period, only in a dedicated block (occupies eight octet periods) of those instead, due to this, it may be signaled via a forced echo sample (occupies n_e octet periods) in the proposed design. ● Sequence ordered set, or /Q/. May be signaled by a dedicated control code, if supported. 64B/65B does not allow for passing /Q/ in an arbitrary selected octet period, only once or twice per a block, therefore it may be signaled also by some forced echo sample. ● Signal ordered set, or /Fsig/. May be signaled the same manner as /Q/. ● Low power idle assert, or /LPI/. May be signaled the same manner as /Q/. too. ● ● Thus, supporting for one control code (idle) is enough while for two (idle and error) is very useful in the proposed design, i.e., $N_{ctrl} \geq 1$, that also limits $N_{event} \leq N_{extra} - 1$, see Table XVII.

¹³The lower is the number of event position codes, $N_{event} \geq 1$, the coarser is the event fixation scale, $f = N_{event} \times 1.25$ GHz, and vice versa. Nominally, with $N_{event} = 8$, see Table VII, it is $1/f = 100$ ps, i.e., $f = 10$ GHz.

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 10GBASE-KR PCS Payload

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We still continue to extend an appropriate protocol featuring a very long binary transport word, we propose to call the microframe, with an event transfer ability, now considering the 10GBASE-KR the point we focus on.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 10GBASE-KR.

INTRODUCTION

TEN-GIGABIT Ethernet, type 10GBASE-KR [1] is a PHY layer intended to operate over an electrical backplane. It embodies a FEC¹ under its PCS,² reducing the service-related payload of the PCS down to $32 \times 65 = 2,080$ information bits, that all are purposed to transfer $32 \times 8 = 256$ separate units, comprising its original duty, see Table I.³

In the rest of this paper, we consider a way to introduce an extra ability—in a form of an event transfer means intended to solve a precise synchronization problem—into the mentioned physical layer, but the same time preserve the original duty of the data transfer means of the 10GBASE-KR PHY, primarily in the terms of the speed^{4,5} of its service.

SPARE TRANSFER UNITS

Recalling the concept of the save box [2], that results from the change beyond the purpose of the spare bits [3], that itself results from the change in an excessively redundant and thus appropriate structure, it seems logic to make the next change, for further unification via suitable generalization, and expand

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation. Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹Forward Error Correction, the means right under the 10GBASE-KR PCS, intended to guard on its payload, implements the Reed-Solomon (66, 65, 2⁵) systematic code after the 64b/66b to 65b transcoder, see [1], Clause 74.

²Physical Coding Sublayer, the highest sublayer of the entire 10GBASE-KR physical layer (PHY), implements the 10GBASE-R PCS, indirectly stimulates on the 10GBASE-KR PMD, see [1], Clauses 49 and 72, respectively.

³For the purpose of this paper, we assume for the PCS has a direct access to the FEC input, in an abstract form of some FEC service interface, completely bypassing the 64b/66b to 65b transcoder in the mentioned FEC.

⁴Considering almost any PCS, we distinguish the two speeds (or bit rates), accommodation and transportation, actual for the PCS service interface on the top and the PMA service interface on the bottom, respectively, of this PCS, that are different. Note, the PCS service interface implements the overall PHY service interface, if exposed, in a form of some appropriate MII, and its speed, estimated assuming pure data transmission in one selected direction, transmit or receive, is the accommodation speed we tell about. The transportation speed is addressed similarly. By default, mentioning a speed with its scope omitted assumes for the accommodation speed as it is defined above.

TABLE I
ORIGINAL MICROFRAME STRUCTURE

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, bits
Microframe (or μ frame) entirely	issue rate $\approx 4.88\text{M}$ μ frames/s	$\Sigma 2,112$
└ Payload, visible at XGMII	max 256 data octets $\rightarrow 2,048$ bits	$32 \times 65 = 2,080$
└└ 64B/65B coded block #1	first 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 = 65$
└└└ 64B/65B coded block #32	last 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 = 65$
└└└└ FEC parity, hidden by PHY	calculated on the payload bits	32

TABLE II
GENERALIZED TERMINOLOGY

Ref.	Objective	Definition, Description, Designation	Measured
Uw, w	minimize	unsigned ALU complexity class = required bit <i>width</i> ,	in steps
N	$N = N_s \times N_b$	data modulus of the transfer <i>unit</i> , $257 \leq N \leq 279$,	in items
N_b	maximize	its multiplicative portion that <i>is</i> a power of two,	in items/root
N_r	minimize	its multiplicative portion that <i>is not</i> a power of two,	in roots
N_{data}	fixed to 2 ⁸	its additive part that corresponds to <i>data</i> octets,	in items
N_{extra}	$N_d + N_x = N$	its additive part that corresponds to <i>extra</i> codes,	in items
N_{ctrl}	$N_c \geq 1$	its additive part that corresponds to <i>control</i> codes,	in items
N_{event}	$N_e + N_x \leq N_x$	its additive part that corresponds to <i>event</i> codes,	in items
n_p	fixed to 256	<i>initial</i> scope of the payload, $n_p = 32 \times 8 = 256$,	in units/ μ frame
$*n_p$	$*n_p > n_p$	<i>target</i> scope of the payload, $*n_p = k \cdot n_e + *k \cdot n_e$,	in units/ μ frame
k	$k \geq 1$	<i>complete</i> run count to cover the most of it,	in rounds/ μ frame
$*k$	$0 \leq *k \leq 1$	<i>partial</i> run count to cover the rest of it, [if any]	in rounds/ μ frame
n_e	$n_e \geq 1$	scope of a <i>complete</i> echo multiplexing round,	in units/round
$*n_e$	$0 \leq *n_e < n_e$	scope of a <i>partial</i> echo multiplexing round, [if any]	in units/round
v	fixed to 2,080	information <i>volume</i> of the payload, $v = 32 \times 65$,	in bits/ μ frame
s	$s \geq 0$	its <i>spare</i> part, if present, $(*k \cdot *t +) k \cdot t + s = v$,	in bits/ μ frame
$(*)t$	$t = b + r$	<i>total</i> amount of information handled per a run,	in bits/round
$(*)b$	maximize	its part that results simply from <i>bypass</i> , $b \geq 0$,	in bits/round
$(*)r$	minimize	its part that results from <i>representation</i> , $r \geq 1$,	in bits/round
C_r	$C_r = r \ln 2$	(log) transport <i>capacity</i> of the represented part,	in nepers
M_r	$M_r = n_e \ln N - b \ln 2$	(log) data <i>modulus</i> represented per a run,	in nepers

over the so called initial scope, n_p , defining the original duty, into a higher target scope, $*n_p > n_p$, see Table II.⁶

Conducting the cursory search the way it was done in both [2] and [3], we find its results promising, see Table III, after that, we first prepare for and then perform on the main search in a form of an arithmetical problem solvable via calculations

⁵In the case of 10GBASE-KR, considered in this paper, the accommodation speed or accommodation bit rate is exact 10 billion bits per every second, or shortly, 10 Gbps, while the transportation speed or transportation bit rate is $2,112/2,048 = 66/64 = 33/32$ times higher, providing for $33/32 \times 10 = 10.3125$ Gbps. For the comparison, the symbol rate or baud rate, observed at the 10GBASE-KR MDI, is 10.3125 billion NRZI symbols per every second, shortly 10.3125 Gbaud, i.e., $32/33 = 0.(96) < 1$ accommodation bits per a symbol and exact $33/33 = 1$ transportation bit per every baud, both rated, respectively. And, of course, all these bits are information bits.

⁶We construct the generalized terminology for the new purpose, but mainly based on the terminology provided in [2]'s Table II and [3]'s Table II.

TABLE III
CURSORY SEARCH RESULTS

n_e	N	$C_r : M_r$	$t = b + r$	ALU	k	s		
8	279	1.00011	65	—	65	U128	32	—
16	264	1.00359	129	48	81	U128	16	16
32	260	1.00147	257	64	193	U256	8	24
64	258	1.00063	513	64	449	U512	4	28
128	257	1.00027	1025	—	1025	>U1024	2	30
256	279	1.00011	2080	—	2080	>U1024	1	—

TABLE IV
MAIN SEARCH TASK

Given	Condition	Dependants	Objective	Find
N	$t = 8n_e + 1$	$N_r, N_b, b, r, \text{ALU}, v : t$	$n_e \rightarrow n_{e,\max}$	$n_{e,\max}$

TABLE V
MAIN SEARCH FLOW

$N = N_r \times N_b$	$8n_{e,\max} + 1 = b + r$	ALU	$v : t @ n_{e,\max}$			
279	64 + 1	—	65	U128	=32	8
278	64 + 1	8	57	U64	=32	8
277	64 + 1	—	65	U128	=32	8
276	72 + 1	18	55	U64	<29	9
275	72 + 1	—	73	U128	<29	9
274	80 + 1	10	71	U128	<26	10
273	80 + 1	—	81	U128	<26	10
272 = 17 × 16	$t = 88 + 1 = 44 + 45$	—	45	U64	<24 @	11
271	96 + 1	—	97	U128	<22	12
270	104 + 1	13	92	U128	<20	13
269	104 + 1	—	105	U128	<20	13
268	120 + 1	30	91	U128	<18	15
267	128 + 1	—	129	U256	<17	16
266	144 + 1	18	127	U128	<15	18
265	160 + 1	—	161	U256	<13	20
264	176 + 1	66	111	U128	<12	22
263	200 + 1	—	201	U256	<11	25
262	232 + 1	29	204	U256	<9	29
261	280 + 1	—	281	U512	<8	35
260	352 + 1	88	265	U512	<6	44
259	472 + 1	—	473	U512	<5	59
258	712 + 1	89	624	U1024	<3	89
257	1416 + 1	—	1417	>U1024	<2	177

TABLE VI
SELECTED SOLUTION

N	s	Run Type	$t = b + r$	$k \cdot n_e (k \cdot t)$	k	n_e		
272	—	complete	89	44	45	253 (2,047)	23	11
17	16	partial, $i f$	33	16 12	17 21	$4 3 \frac{2}{2} (33)$	1	3+1
$N_r \times N_b$		$\begin{matrix} \text{integral} \\ \text{approach} \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} \text{fractional} \\ \text{approach} \end{matrix}$	$*t = *b + *r$	$*k \cdot *n_e (...)$	$*k$	$*n_e$		

TABLE VII
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING

Type	↓ Transfer Unit Content, Bits →	u_e	u_s	u_y	u_p	u_a	u_3	u_2	u_1	u_0	Variety
“zero”	intended to fill up a partial run	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	—
DATA	one of 2^8 possible data octets	0	d_7	d_6	d_5	d_4	d_3	d_2	d_1	d_0	16×16
CTRL	one of 2^3 possible control codes	1	0	0	0	0	0	c_2	c_1	c_0	$\frac{1}{2} \times 16$
event	one of 2^3 relative positions ^[in OT]	1	0	0	0	0	1	p_2	p_1	p_0	$\frac{1}{2} \times 16$
NOTE	At 10 Gb/s, octet time ^[OT] is 800 ps.	Root			Affix			$\Sigma 17 \times 16$			

TABLE VIII
EVENT FIXATION SCALE

N_{event}	1=2 ⁰	2=2 ¹	3	4=2 ²	5	6	7	8=2 ³	9	10	Unit
f	1.25	2.5	3.75	5	6.25	7.5	8.75	10	11.25	12.5	GHz
$1/f$	800.0	400.0	266.(6)	200.0	160.0	133.(3)	~114.3	100.0	88.(8)	80.0	ps

to answer the question what would be when $257 \leq N \leq 279$, first designating for its task and then logging on all its flow, i.e., output, see Tables IV and V, respectively.

Among the so logged output, we find the transfer unit with the data modulus of $N = 272 = 17 \times 16 = N_r \times N_b$ the most interesting, because it is balanced the most possible as well as the most optimal manner: it features the minimum difference between its root and its affix portions, i.e., $N_r = N_b + 1$, as well as the same number of the root (dependent) bits after the representation and of the affix (independent) bits anytime, per the unit, i.e., $\lfloor \log_2 N_r \rfloor = \log_2 N_b = 4$, respectively.

Having the above done, we make our choice for the variant with $N_r \times N_b = 17 \times 16 = 272$, $n_e \times k = 11 \times 23 = 253$, and $*n_e \times *k = 4 \times 1 = 4$, see Table VI, that provides with $*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k = 257 = 256 + 1 = n_p + 1$, i.e., one spare transfer unit—single and side but extra and entire—over the original duty, per the microframe. Based on this, we then define how the coding means must encode the content of each of all the transfer units, including of the spare, met before the representation of their root bits, in the stream, see Table VII, and estimate forth what, in the view of synchronization, such encoding can support for, see Table VIII.

Considering the spare transfer unit either like a regular one (integer 1) or as a pair of halves (fraction $\frac{2}{2}$), we can construct the coding means the two accordant ways, see Table VI again, we further refer to as the integral approach and the fractional approach, respectively, so we immediately do.

INTEGRAL APPROACH

Enabling this approach, we consider the spare transfer unit like any regular one that consists of $\log_2 N_b = 4$ independent (affix) bits, anytime, as well as of $\lfloor \log_2 N_r \rfloor = 5$ and then of $\lfloor \log_2 N_r \rfloor = 4$ dependent (root) bits, before and then after the representation, respectively. So, we point on that behavior and account for the spare unit as an integral quantity, 1, when we express the target scope, $*n_p$, see Table VI.

By this approach, inserting an event causes for a new single transfer unit appears into the stream—that new unit splits the stream in the position associated with the respective unit time period, during which the event occurs, and then fulfils the so exempted space by itself—and can be performed just once but per each microframe time⁷ period, see Table IX.

Being selected, this approach improves on the multi-stage ($2 \times 2 + 3$) coding scheme described in [2]. Except stages 4 and 5, all the earlier stages of the coding scheme we consider in this paper, are similar in their implementation to the respective stages of the coding scheme described in [2], therefore we do

⁷In the case of 10GBASE-KR, bit time (BT) is 100 ps, octet time (OT) is $8 \times \text{BT} = 800$ ps, and microframe time (μFT) is $n_p \times \text{OT} = 204.8$ ns, with 1 spare unit per μFT , it gives up to $1/\mu\text{FT} = 4,882,812.5$ events/s.

TABLE IX
EVENT INSERTION—INTEGRAL APPROACH

Scenario	Ref.	Before Insertion			After Insertion				Delta
event	Root	...	d_H	\otimes	d_H	...	+1
data	Affix	...	d_L	e	d_L	...	+1
event	Root	...	\otimes	\otimes	\otimes	...	+1
control	Affix	...	c	e	c	...	+1
Unit Period, $m \rightarrow$		$m-1$	m	$m+1$	$m-1$	m	m^{bis}	$m+1$...

TABLE XII
EVENT INSERTION—FRACTIONAL APPROACH

Scenario	Before Insertion	After Insertion				Delta			
event	...	d_H	\otimes	d_H	d_L	...	+2
data	...	d_L	e			...	—
event	...	\otimes	\otimes	\otimes	c	...	+2
control	...	c	e			...	—
$m \rightarrow$	$m-1$	m	$m+1$	$m-1$	m	m^{bis}	m^{ter}	$m+1$...

TABLE X
STAGE 4—INTEGRAL




TABLE XI
STAGE 5—INTEGRAL




TABLE XIII
STAGE 4—FRACTIONAL





TABLE XIV
STAGE 5—FRACTIONAL



not focus on them. Regarding the rest stages, i.e., stages 4 and 5, the coding means performs as the following.

On stage 4, see Table X, it merges the root bits of $*n_p = n_p + 1 = 256 + 1 = 257$ transfer units, regular (256) plus spare (1), by $n_e = 11$ (all regular) in each of $k = 23$ complete multiplexing rounds then $*n_e = 4$ (3 regular and 1 spare) in the sole (of $*k = 1$) partial multiplexing round, representing $11 \times 23 = 253$ roots (ordered 1 to 253) then $3 + 1 = 4$ roots (ordered 254 to 257), respectively.

On stage 5, see Table XI, it maps the merged and bypassed bits, $r \times k + *r \times *k = 45 \times 23 + 17 \times 1 = 1,052$ (ref.) and $b \times k + *b \times *k = 44 \times 23 + 16 \times 1 = 1,028$ (ref.), respectively, or $1,052 + 1,028 = 2,047 + 33 + 0 = 89 \times 23 + 33 \times 1 + 0 = t \times k + *t \times *k + s = v = \text{exact } 2,080$ sent bits, aggregately, onto the designated bits of the microframe payload.

Advantages of this approach lie in its clarity and naturality, especially in the view of the succession of the approaches described in [2] and [3]. It also ensures for the full multiplexing







TABLE XV
EXAMPLE APPLICABILITY INCLUDING BACKWARD

μ Frame	$n_p \rightarrow *n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$N = N_r \times N_b = N_{data} + N_{extra}$	N_{event}	s	$t = b + r$	w	Comment
10GBASE-KR	$256 \rightarrow 256 \frac{2}{2} = 11 \times 23 + 3 \frac{2}{2} \times 1$	$272 = 17 \times 16 = 256 + 16$	≤ 15	—	$89 = 44 + 45$	≤ 64	up to 1 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-KR	$256 \rightarrow 257 = 11 \times 23 + 4 \times 1$	$272 = 17 \times 16 = 256 + 16$	≤ 15	—	$89 = 44 + 45$	≤ 64	up to 1 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-KR	$256 \rightarrow 256 \frac{4}{2} = 22 \times 11 + 14 \frac{4}{2} \times 1$	$264 = 33 \times 8 = 256 + 8$	≤ 7	—	$177 = 66 + 111$	≤ 128	up to 2 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-KR	$256 \rightarrow 258 = 22 \times 11 + 16 \times 1$	$264 = 33 \times 8 = 256 + 8$	≤ 7	4	$177 = 66 + 111$	≤ 128	up to 2 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-KR	$256 \rightarrow 256 \frac{4}{2} = 33 \times 7 + 25 \frac{4}{2} \times 1$	$260 = 65 \times 4 = 256 + 4$	≤ 3	—	$265 = 66 + 199$	≤ 256	up to 2 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-KR	$256 \rightarrow 259 = 37 \times 7$	$260 = 65 \times 4 = 256 + 4$	≤ 3	1	$297 = 74 + 223$	≤ 256	up to 3 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-T	$400 \rightarrow 400 \frac{2}{2} = 10 \times 40 + 0 \frac{2}{2}$	$272 = 17 \times 16 = 256 + 16$	≤ 15	—	$81 = 40 + 41$	≤ 64	up to 1 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-T	$400 \rightarrow 401 = 10 \times 40 + 1$	$272 = 17 \times 16 = 256 + 16$	≤ 15	1	$81 = 40 + 41$	≤ 64	up to 1 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-T	$400 \rightarrow 400 \frac{3}{2} = 12 \times 33 + 4 \frac{3}{2} \times 1$	$264 = 33 \times 8 = 256 + 8$	≤ 7	1	$97 = 36 + 61$	≤ 64	up to $\frac{3}{2}$ ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-T	$400 \rightarrow 403 = 16 \times 25 + 3 \times 1$	$264 = 33 \times 8 = 256 + 8$	≤ 7	—	$129 = 48 + 81$	≤ 128	up to 3 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-T	$400 \rightarrow 400 \frac{6}{2} = 29 \times 13 + 23 \frac{6}{2} \times 1$	$260 = 65 \times 4 = 256 + 4$	≤ 3	—	$233 = 58 + 175$	≤ 256	up to 3 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
10GBASE-T	$400 \rightarrow 405 = 41 \times 9 + 36 \times 1$	$260 = 65 \times 4 = 256 + 4$	≤ 3	—	$329 = 82 + 247$	≤ 256	up to 5 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 450 \frac{1}{2} = 11 \times 40 + 10 \frac{1}{2} \times 1$	$272 = 17 \times 16 = 256 + 16$	≤ 15	—	$89 = 44 + 45$	≤ 64	up to $\frac{1}{2}$ ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 451 = 13 \times 34 + 9 \times 1$	$268 = 67 \times 4 = 256 + 8+4$	$\leq 8+3$	2	$105 = 26 + 79$	≤ 128	up to 1 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 452 = 18 \times 25 + 2$	$266 = 133 \times 2 = 256 + 8+2$	$\leq 8+1$	2	$145 = 18 + 127$	≤ 128	up to 2 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 450 \frac{4}{2} = 16 \times 28 + 2 \frac{4}{2} \times 1$	$264 = 33 \times 8 = 256 + 8$	≤ 7	1	$129 = 48 + 81$	≤ 128	up to 2 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 453 = 22 \times 20 + 13 \times 1$	$264 = 33 \times 8 = 256 + 8$	≤ 7	—	$177 = 66 + 111$	≤ 128	up to 3 ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 450 \frac{5}{2} = 31 \times 14 + 16 \frac{5}{2} \times 1$	$260 = 65 \times 4 = 256 + 4$	≤ 3	—	$249 = 62 + 187$	≤ 256	up to $\frac{5}{2}$ ^{ev} / _{μframe}
1000BASE-T1	$450 \rightarrow 454 = 35 \times 12 + 34 \times 1$	$260 = 65 \times 4 = 256 + 4$	≤ 3	—	$281 = 70 + 211$	≤ 256	up to 4 ^{ev} / _{μframe}

process takes place inside the microframe, i.e., no echo passes across the microframe boundaries.

Disadvantages of this approach derive from the complexity of its stage splitting the stream to insert an event, because it necessitates for (avalanche-like) substitution of all the bits of the transfer unit, i.e., $\lceil \log_2 N_r \rceil + \log_2 N_b = 5 + 4 = 9$ bits, root plus affix, per each unit in the stream.

FRACTIONAL APPROACH

Enabling this approach, we consider the spare transfer unit as a pair of “twin” halves, each consisting of five and then of four dependent bits, before and then after the representation, respectively, i.e., both treated as the root bits. Thence, we point on that behavior and account for the spare unit as a fractional quantity, $\frac{2}{2}$, in the target scope, $*n_p$, see Table VI.

By this approach, inserting an event causes for a two new roots appear in the stream⁸—one for the substituted root plus one for the substituted affix, since the latter fits into, too—and also can be performed at the same⁷ rate, see Table XII.

Being compared, this and the integral approaches share the earlier stages and differ clear in stages 4 and 5, because now the coding means performs as the following.

On stage 4, see Table XIII, it merges the root bits of $n_p + 2 \cdot 1 = 256 + 2 = 258$ roots of $*n_p = 256 \frac{2}{2}$ transfer units, regular (256) plus spare ($\frac{2}{2} = 1$), by $n_e = 11$ (all regular) in each of $k = 23$ complete multiplexing rounds then $*n_e = 3 \frac{2}{2}$ (3 regular and $\frac{2}{2} = 1$ spare) in the partial multiplexing round, now representing $11 \times 23 = 253$ roots (ordered 1 to 253) then $3 + 2 = 5$ roots (ordered 254 to 258), respectively.

On stage 5, see Table XIV, it maps the merged and bypassed bits, $r \times k + *r \times *k = 45 \times 23 + 21 \times 1 = 1,056$ (+4) and $b \times k + *b \times *k = 44 \times 23 + 12 \times 1 = 1,024$ (−4), respectively, onto the designated bits of the microframe payload.

Disadvantages of this approach are opposite to the advantages of the integral approach, as they were mentioned above,

except the behavior when the echo can propagate across the microframe boundaries, that we assume actually giving agility and thus may be useful, see Table XV.

Advantages of this approach are opposite to the disadvantages of the integral approach, as they were mentioned above, too, because now it necessitates for substitution of just the root (and no affix) bits of the transfer unit, i.e., $\lceil \log_2 N_r \rceil = 5$ bits, root only,⁹ per each unit in the stream.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we considered a way to embed a new extra ability—in a form of an event transfer means intended to solve a precise synchronization problem—into the PCS of a given physical layer featuring a very long binary transport word, we call the microframe, and the same time preserve the original duty of the data transfer ability of the given layer.

We also proposed the two concepts, we call the integral and fractional approaches, both based on the linguistic multiplexing model and intended to implement, at least in theory, the coding means suitable for 10GBASE-KR, we focus on in this paper, as well as 10GBASE-T and 1000BASE-T1, considered earlier in [2] and [3], respectively, see Table XV.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ethernet Operation over Electrical Backplanes, IEEE Std 802.3ap-2007.
- [2] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-T PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3an protocol, @*arXiv*, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 5–8.
- [3] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @*arXiv*, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

⁸Essentially, we divide it into the two streams: affix-related and root-related, never affected and affected each time an event occurs, respectively. While in the integral approach, it is unit-related and thus affected entirely.

⁹It is fair for any variant with $N_r > N_b$, while for a variant with $N_r < N_b$, we need to consider the two streams vice versa: root-related and affix-related, never affected and affected each time an event occurs, respectively.

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the MultiGBASE-T1 PCS Payload

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We proceed to consider existing protocols featuring a very long binary transport word, we refer to as the microframe, and the fresh point we currently stand in is the MGBASE-T1 or MultiGBASE-T1 family (scalable) applying to the 2.5GBASE-T1 (has a scale of 1/4), 5GBASE-T1 (scale of 1/2), and 10GBASE-T1 (scale of 1/1, maximum and reference) physical layers.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 2.5G/5G/10GBASE-T1, MGBASE-T1, MultiGBASE-T1.

INTRODUCTION

MULTI-GIGABIT Ethernet, type 2.5GBASE-T1 (“quarter speed”), type 5GBASE-T1 (“half speed”), and type 10GBASE-T1 (“full speed”), collectively referenced to as the MultiGBASE-T1 (or MGBASE-T1) family¹ [1], introduce the respective physical layers all intended to operate over a single balanced pair of conductors in a harsh environment, primarily but expectedly not limited to, like of automotive.

Regardless of its respective scale defining its speed as a part of the reference (and maximum) speed, 10 Gbps, any member of this family implements the same microframe, the structure that is intended to convey $50 \times 8 = 400$ quasi-separate transfer units, collected in blocks of 8 per each, see Table I, and that predefines the original duty of the considered physical layer, as the initial scope of its data passing ability.

In this paper, we describe a way to re-discover, re-perceive, and re-employ, if seen, the excessiveness of the informational redundancy pre-established since the initial design inside the microframe, after that, apply the linguistic multiplexing model, propose the coding means capable to perform such the multiplexing, and finally refine the considered physical layer, trying to embed an event passing ability into it.

TRANSFER UNIT GATHERING

Succeeding the work [2] in the way and in the terminology, we develop its idea further the following manner.

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation.
Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹The following are the major characteristics of the members within it:

- MGBASE-T1 protocol = 10GBASE-T1 • 5GBASE-T1 • 2.5GBASE-T1 •
- Accommodation speed = $\overset{[\text{ref}]}{10}$ Gbps • 5 Gbps • 2.5 Gbps •
- Speed scale factor, $S = 1/1 = 1$ • $1/2 = 0.5$ • $1/4 = 0.25$ •
- Bit time, $BT = 100/S = 100$ ps • 200 ps • 400 ps •
- Octet time, $OT = 8 \times BT = 0.8$ ns • 1.6 ns • 3.2 ns •
- μ Frame time = $n_p \times OT = 0.32$ μ s • 0.64 μ s • 1.28 μ s •

TABLE I
ORIGINAL MICROFRAME STRUCTURE

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, bits
Microframe (or μ frame) entirely	rate = Scale \times 3.125M μ frames/s	Σ 3,600
Payload, visible at XGMII	max 400 data octets \rightarrow 3,200 bits	$\frac{90 \times 65}{8} = 3,250$
└ 64B/65B coded block #1	(first) 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 = 65$
└ 64B/65B coded block #50	(last) 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 = 65$
└ OAM bits, hidden by PHY	purposed for link management	10
└ FEC parity, hidden by PHY	calculated over all the bits above	340

NOTE – Scale is 1. / .5 / .25 for 10G / 5G / 2.5GBASE-T1 PHY layer, respectively.

TABLE II
ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY

Ref.	Objective	Definition, Description, Designation	Measured
ξ	$t = 8n_e + \xi$	<i>extra</i> with t compared to pure data octets, $\xi \geq 1$,	in bits/round
g	$g \geq 1$	<i>gathering</i> (“linking”) factor, defines how many transfer units are considered (“linked”) together	in units/unit

TABLE III
HEAD TRANSFER UNIT VARIANTS

N	$= N_r \times N_b$	ξ	$8n_{e,\max} + \xi =$	b	$+$	r	ALU	@ $n_{e,\max}$
257	$257 > 1$	1	1,416 + 1	—	1,417	>U1024	177	
258	$129 > 2$	1	712 + 1	89	624	U1024	89	
260	$65 > 4$	1	352 + 1	88	265	U1024	44	
264	$33 > 8$	1	176 + 1	66	111	U256	22	
272	$17 > 16$	1	88 + 1	44	45	U64	11	
288	$9 < 32$	1	40 + 1	25	16	U16	5	
		2	88 + 2	55	35	U64	11	
320	$5 < 64$	1	24 + 1	18	7	U8	3	
		2	48 + 2	36	14	U16	6	
384	$3 < 128$	1	8 + 1	9	—	—	1	
		2	24 + 2	21	5	U8	3	
		3	40 + 3	35	8	U8	5	

We gather a number, $g \geq 2$, of consecutive transfer units in the stream into what that we will further refer to as a transfer span. In the general case, when $g > 2$, the earliest transfer unit in a transfer span is its head unit,² that resides in the position m in the stream, while the rest $g - 1 \geq 1$ transfer units are its body units, they reside in the $g - 1$ positions next to the one of the head, $m + 1$ to $m + g - 1$, the latest of those may also be called its tail unit, that resides in the position $m + g - 1$, respectively. In the least case, when $g = 2$, we distinguish just the head unit and the tail unit, in a transfer span.

²Because in the scope of a transfer span of g units, m advances by g , i.e., $\Delta m = g$, the position m points both on the m -th span as well as on its head unit in the stream, unambiguously and simultaneously.

TABLE IV
STANDARD GATHERING APPROACH

↓ Span Content, Span Bits →	$(m)u_\alpha$	$(m)u_\beta$	$(m)u_\gamma$	$(m)u_{\delta 2}$	$(m)u_{\delta 3}$	$(m)u_4$	$(m)u_5$	$(m)u_6$	$(m)u_7$	$(m+1)u_0$... $(m+1)u_7$	$(m+2)u_0$... $(m+6)u_7$	$(m+7)u_0$... $(m+7)u_7$
Eight DATA octets purely	0	$(m)b_1$	$(m)b_2$	$(m)b_3$	$(m)b_4$	$(m)b_5$	$(m)b_6$	$(m)b_7$	$(m)b_8$			
At least one CTRL, $N = 5 \times 64$	1	0	0	m-th 1-of-15 transcoded 6-bit block type field						$(m)b_9$... $(m)b_{16}$	$(m)b_{17}$... $(m)b_{56}$	$(m)b_{57}$... $(m)b_{64}$
At least one CTRL, $N = 9 \times 32$	1	0	0	0	5-bit							
At least one CTRL, $N = 17 \times 16$	1	0	0	0	4-bit							
NOTE – In SGA, $\Delta m = g = 8$.	m-th Root Bits (to be represented)					m-th Affix Bits (to be simply bypassed into the respective payload bits)						

TABLE V
STANDARD GATHERING APPROACH (SGA) EXAMPLE APPLICABILITY INCLUDING BACKWARD

μ Frame	n_p	v	$N_r \times N_b$	g	$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$n_e : g$	ξ	$t = b + r$	w	s	Comment
MGBASE-T1, 10GBASE-T (as they are similar)	400	3,250	17×16	8	$400 = 88 \times 4 + 48 \times 1$	11	1	$705 = 660 + 45$	≤ 64	45	uses 64B/65B coded blocks
			17×16	8	$400 = 80 \times 5$	10	1	$641 = 600 + 41$	≤ 64	45	
			9×32	8	$400 = 80 \times 5$	10	2	$642 = 610 + 32$	≤ 32	40	
			9×32	8	$400 = 40 \times 10$	5	1	$321 = 305 + 16$	≤ 16	40	
			5×64	8	$400 = 24 \times 16 + 16 \times 1$	3	1	$193 = 186 + 7$	≤ 8	33	
10GBASE-KR	256	2,080	17×16	8	$256 = 80 \times 3 + 16 \times 1$	10	1	$641 = 600 + 41$	≤ 64	28	uses 64B/65B coded blocks
			17×16	8	$256 = 64 \times 4$	8	1	$513 = 480 + 33$	≤ 64	28	
			9×32	8	$256 = 40 \times 6 + 16 \times 1$	5	1	$321 = 305 + 16$	≤ 16	25	
			9×32	8	$256 = 32 \times 8$	4	1	$257 = 244 + 13$	≤ 16	24	
			5×64	8	$256 = 24 \times 10 + 16 \times 1$	3	1	$193 = 186 + 7$	≤ 8	21	
1000BASE-T1 (if replacing 80B/81B)	450	3,645	17×16	8	$452 448 = 56 \times 8 + 4 0$	7	1	$449 = 420 + 29$	≤ 32	20 53	using 64B/65B coded blocks,
			9×32	8	$452 448 = 32 \times 14 + 4 0$	4	1	$257 = 244 + 13$	≤ 16	14 47	full and halved
			5×64	8	$452 448 = 16 \times 28 + 4 0$	2	1	$129 = 124 + 5$	≤ 8	0 33	

TABLE VI
EXAMPLE LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING PROCESS

New μ Frame ($g = 8, N_r = 5, \xi = 1$)	i of $k = 1$ of $16 : n_e = 24, t = 193$	i s of $k = 2$ to 16 of $16 : n_e = 24, t = 193$	$*i = 17 : *n_e = 16, *t = 129$	Event(s) Reflection
$\frac{1}{1} \rightarrow$ CURSORY ACTIONS including: (a) block type field transcoding (b) event position encoding	$\frac{1}{1} \rightarrow$ $2^{33} \times (5 \cdot 2^{62})^{50}$ 33 spare bits left 50 eight-unit blocks left	$\frac{1}{1} \rightarrow$ [triple eight-unit span] $(5 \cdot 2^{62})^3$ 5 ³ • 2 ¹⁸⁶ representation 27 • 2 ¹⁸⁶	$\frac{1}{1} \rightarrow$ [triple eight-unit spans] $2^{33} \times (5 \cdot 2^{62})^{42}$ 33 spare bits left 42 eight-unit blocks left	up to $\frac{1}{1}$ $\frac{1}{1}$
new μ frame payload ready			← Data Modulus → ← Multiplexing Technique → ← Transport Capacity →	whole μ frame payload sent
...	Multiplexing Round →	Echo	1 st Complete	Echo
			Echo	2 nd ... 16 th Complete
			Echo	*17 th Partial
			Echo	Spare Bits

We assume the main goal of such the gathering is to reduce the total number of the transfer units, whose root (dependent) bits do participate in the representation during the underlying linguistic multiplexing process, down onto $\lceil n_p : g \rceil < n_p$ units per each microframe time period, all residing in the positions of the head units only, i.e., just a unit per a span, and further, if it's possible, also reduce the number of the mentioned root bits as much as we expect to enable the multiplexing variants with $N_r < N_b$,³ or leastwise with $N_r = N_b + 1$ as the lower considerable limit, see Tables II and III.⁴

Searching for such variants, we incipiently accept for they may freely implement the spare transfer units [2], the save box cells [3], and/or the spare bits [4].

STANDARD GATHERING APPROACH

We introduce the so called standard gathering approach, or SGA in short, to address the ability multiplexing problem in deep regard of the interior block encoding, 64B/65B, as well as

the exterior service interface, XGMII,⁵ both standardly present in the considered physical layer.

With SGA, we look on each 64B/65B coded block consisting of $65 = 1 \times 9 + 7 \times 8$ bits, b_0 and b_1 to b_8 then b_9 to b_{64} , very straight: as a transfer span consisting of $g = 8 = 1 + 7$ head then body units, by 9 then by 8 bits in the former then in (each of) the latter, respectively. When $b_0 = 0$, all the bits of the block are simply copied into the respective bits of the span. When $b_0 = 1$, the coding means just transcodes the next eight bits⁶ of the block, b_1 to b_8 , into the eight bits of the head transfer unit, u_β to u_7 , as well as simply copies all of the rest bits, b_0 then b_9 to b_{64} , too, see Table IV.

Thus, SGA drastically increase the number of the bypassed (affix) bits, b , over (v) the number of the merged (root) ones, $r \ll b$, simplifying the ALU⁷ required to perform the further representation, that makes its (SGA's) application reasonable, suitable, and adaptable, see Table V.

³No multiplexing variant with $N_r < N_b$ was enabled in [2], [3], or [4].

⁴Tables II and III success on [2]'s Tables II and V, respectively.

⁵10 Gigabit Media Independent Interface, a duplex parallel highway.

⁶MGBASE-T1 uses 15 out of 16 permitted 8-bit control codes, see [1].

⁷We assume an unsigned arithmetic logic unit, w bits in width, see [2].

TABLE VII
ADVANCED GATHERING APPROACH

↓ Span Content, Span Bits →	^(m) U _α	^(m) U _β	^(m) U _{γ1}	^(m) U _{β2}	^(m) U _{ε3}	^(m) U ₄	^(m) U ₅	^(m) U ₆	^(m) U ₇	^(m+1) U ₀	...	^(m+g-1) U ₇
All (g) DATA octets purely	0	^(m) d ₀	^(m) d ₁	^(m) d ₂	^(m) d ₃	^(m) d ₄	^(m) d ₅	^(m) d ₆	^(m) d ₇	^(m+1) d ₀	...	^(m+g-1) d ₇
At least one CTRL _i per span N = 3 × 128	1	0	1 0	^(m) p ₀ ^(m) C _α	^(m) p ₁ ^(m) C _β	^(m) p ₂ ^(m) C _γ	^(m) p ₃ ^(m) C _δ	^(m) p ₄ ^(m) C _ε	^(m) p ₅ ^(m) C _ζ	8×(g-1) of (...)d's and/or (...)c's 8×(g-1) of (...)x's and/or (...)x's		
At least one CTRL _i per span N = 17 × 16	1	0	0	0	0	1 0	^(m) p ₀ ^(m) C _α	^(m) p ₁ ^(m) C _β	^(m) p ₂ ^(m) C _γ	8×(g-1) of (...)d's and/or (...)c's 8×(g-1) of (...)x's and/or (...)x's		
Event Fixation Scope ↑	m-th Root Bits (to be arithmetically represented)					m-th Affix Bits (to be simply bypassed into the respective payload bits)						

TABLE VIII
EXAMPLE PER-SPAN AGA-BASED ENCODING

Span m Scenario	α	β	γ	δ	ε	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d → d →	0	^(m+0) d ₀₋₇							^(m+1) d ₀₋₇								
c → d →	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	^(m+0) C _{γ,δ}							
d → c →	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	^(m+1) C _{γ,δ}							
c → c →	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	^(m+0) C _{γ,δ}							
event →	1	0	0	0	0	1	the same as before insertion										
+0 +1 Unit Disp.	g=2		000	001	010	011	100	101	110	111	Event Position Code (in the time of the current transfer span)						
Please remember that: this code, if there is an event, is present explicitly in the affix bits ...																	
p ₀	p ₁	p ₂	p _{0,2}			Definition, Description, Designation											
0	0	0	000			it is an event in the 1 st (earliest) one eighth of this ST											
0	0	1	001		 2 nd											
1	1	0	110		 7 th											
1	1	1	111		 8 th (latest)											
p = 8 = 1/2 N _b			p = 8 = g • N _{event} : (because of) N _{event} ≤ 1/2 N _b : g														
... that are conveyed by the post-gathered span head transfer unit related to the ST during which the event happens.																	
Place	Ref.	Before Insertion			After Insertion			Delta									
event	head	H _{m-g}	H _m	H _{m+g}	H _{m-g}	ev	H _m	H _{m+g}	+1								
head _m	tail	T _{m-g}	T _m	T _{m+g}	T _{m-g}	T _m	T _{m+g}	...	—								
event	head	H _{m-g}	H _m	H _{m+g}	H _{m-g}	ev	H _m	H _{m+g}	+1								
tail _m	tail	T _{m-g}	T _m	T _{m+g}	T _{m-g}	T _m	T _{m+g}	...	—								
Span Period →		m-g	m	m+g	m-g	m	m ^{bis}	m+g	...								
NOTE – In a per-span case, event insertion is done AFTER data/control encoding. NOTE – Bit time (BT) = Scale•100 ps, span time (ST) = g × 8•BT = Scale•1600 ps.																	

TABLE IX
EXAMPLE PER-UNIT AGA-BASED ENCODING

Span m Scenario	α	β	γ	δ	ε	4	5	6	7	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
d → d →	0	^(m+0) d ₀₋₇							^(m+1) d ₀₋₇								
x → d →	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	^(m+0) X _{β,γ,δ}							
d → x →	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	^(m+1) X _{β,γ,δ}									
x → x →	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	^(m+0) X _{β,γ,δ}							
+0 +1 Unit Disp.	g=2		00	01	10	11	00	01	10	11	Event Position Code (in the time of the respective transfer unit)						
Please remember that: this code, if there is an event, is present implicitly in the extra bits ...																	
X _β	X _γ	X _δ	C _{γ,δ}	Def.	p _{1,2}	Definition, Description, Designation											
0	0	1	01	error													
0	1	0	10	idle													
0	1	1	11	lpi													
1	0	0			00	it is an event in the 1 st quarter of this UT											
1	0	1			01 2 nd											
1	1	0			10 3 rd											
1	1	1			11 4 th											
x = 7 = N _{extra}			c = 3 = N _{ctrl}			p = 4 = N _{event} : N _{event} + N _{ctrl} ≤ N _{extra} , N _{ctrl} ≥ 1											
... that all are conveyed by the respective pre-gathered transfer unit related to the UT during which the event happens.																	
Place	Ref.	Before Insertion			After Insertion			Delta									
event	future head	Y _{m-g}	Y _m	Y _{m+g}	Y _{m-g}	ev	Z _m	Z _{m+g}	} + 1/g								
head _m	future tail	Z _{m-g}	Z _m	Z _{m+g}	Z _{m-g}	Y _m	Y _{m+g}	...									
event	future head	Y _{m-g}	Y _m	Y _{m+g}	Y _{m-g}	Y _m	Z _m	Z _{m+g}	} + 1/g								
tail _m	future tail	Z _{m-g}	Z _m	Z _{m+g}	Z _{m-g}	ev	Y _{m+g}	...									
Span Period →		m-g	m	m+g	m-g	m	m ^{bis}	m+g	...								
NOTE – In a per-unit case, event insertion is done BEFORE data/extra encoding. NOTE – Unit time (UT) = octet time (OT) = eight bit times (8x BTs) = Scale•800 ps.																	

TABLE X
AGA LIMITS @ N = 17 × 16

g	N _{ctrl}	N _{event}	N _{extra}	N _{event}
1	8	8	16	15
2	3	4	7	6
3	2	2 2/3	5	4
4	1	2	3	2
5	1	1 3/5	3	2
6	1	1 1/3	2	1
7	1	1 1/7	2	1
8	—	1	1	—
→	Per-Span	Per-Unit		

TABLE XI
AGA LIMITS @ N = 9 × 32

g	N _{ctrl}	N _{event}	N _{extra}	N _{event}
1	16	16	32	31
2	7	8	15	14
3	5	5 1/3	10	9
4	3	4	7	6
5	3	3 1/5	6	5
6	2	2 2/3	5	4
7	2	2 2/7	4	3
8	1	2	3	2
→	Per-Span	Per-Unit		

TABLE XII
AGA LIMITS @ N = 5 × 64

g	N _{ctrl}	N _{event}	N _{extra}	N _{event}
1	32	32	64	63
2	15	16	30	29
3	10	10 2/3	19	18
4	7	8	14	13
5	6	6 2/5	11	10
6	5	5 1/3	9	8
7	4	4 2/7	8	7
8	3	4	7	6
→	Per-Span	Per-Unit		

TABLE XIII
AGA LIMITS @ N = 3 × 128

g	N _{ctrl}	N _{event}	N _{extra}	N _{event}
1	64	64	128	127
2	30	32	57	56
3	19	21 1/3	37	36
4	14	16	27	26
5	11	12 2/5	21	21
6	9	10 2/3	17	16
7	8	9 1/7	15	14
8	7	8	13	12
→	Per-Span	Per-Unit		

TABLE XIV
ACHIEVABLE RESOLUTION

10GBASE-T1 @ $N_{\text{event}} = 2 ; 4 ; 8 ; 16$					5GBASE-T1 @ $N_{\text{event}} = 2 ; 4 ; 8 ; 16$					2.5GBASE-T1 @ $N_{\text{event}} = 2 ; 4 ; 8 ; 16$							
Frequency, f $1/f \rightarrow$	GHz	2.5 400	5 200	10 100	20 50	Frequency, f $1/f \rightarrow$	GHz	1.25 800	2.5 400	5 200	10 100	Frequency, f $1/f \rightarrow$	GHz	.625 1600	1.25 800	2.5 400	5 200

TABLE XV
ADVANCED GATHERING APPROACH (AGA) EXAMPLE APPLICABILITY INCLUDING BACKWARD

μFrame	n_p	v	$N_r \times N_b$	g	$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$n_e : g$	ξ	$t = b + r$	w	s	Comment	
MGBASE-T1, 10GBASE-T (as they are similar)	400	3,250	17 × 16	2	402 = 14 × 28 + 10 × 1	7	1	113 = 84 + 29	≤32	5	up to 2 ^{ev} / μframe	
			9 × 32	2	401 = 10 × 40 + 1	—	5	1	81 = 65 + 16	≤16	1	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
			9 × 32	3	402 = 15 × 26 + 12 × 1	—	5	1	121 = 105 + 16	≤16	7	up to 2 ^{ev} / μframe
			9 × 32	4	403 = 20 × 20 + 3	—	5	1	161 = 145 + 16	≤16	3	up to 3 ^{ev} / μframe
			5 × 64	4	402 = 12 × 33 + 6 × 1	—	3	1	97 = 90 + 7	≤8	—	up to 2 ^{ev} / μframe
			5 × 64	8	404 = 24 × 16 + 20 × 1	—	3	1	193 = 186 + 7	≤8	1	up to 4 ^{ev} / μframe
			3 × 128	7	401 = 21 × 19 + 2	—	3	2	170 = 165 + 5	≤8	2	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
			3 × 128	8	402 = 24 × 16 + 18 × 1	—	3	2	194 = 189 + 5	≤8	1	up to 2 ^{ev} / μframe
10GBASE-KR	256	2,080	17 × 16	2	257 = 14 × 18 + 5	—	7	1	113 = 84 + 29	≤32	1	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
			9 × 32	4	258 = 20 × 12 + 18 × 1	—	5	1	161 = 145 + 16	≤16	3	up to 2 ^{ev} / μframe
			5 × 64	4	257 = 12 × 21 + 5 × 1	—	3	1	193 = 186 + 7	≤8	2	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
			3 × 128	8	257 = 24 × 10 + 17 × 1	—	3	2	194 = 189 + 5	≤8	3	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
1000BASE-T1	450	3,645	17 × 16	2	452 = 16 × 28 + 4 × 1	—	8	1	129 = 96 + 33	≤64	—	up to 2 ^{ev} / μframe
			9 × 32	3	451 = 15 × 30 + 1	—	5	1	121 = 105 + 16	≤16	6	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
			5 × 64	4	451 = 24 × 18 + 19 × 1	—	6	2	194 = 180 + 14	≤16	—	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe
			3 × 128	8	451 = 24 × 18 + 19 × 1	—	3	2	194 = 189 + 5	≤8	—	up to 1 ^{ev} / μframe

Implementing SGA, the coding means implements a variant of the so modeled multi-round linguistic multiplexing process, see Table VI (example with $N_r = 5$ and $\xi = 1$).

ADVANCED GATHERING APPROACH

We introduce the so called advanced gathering approach, or AGA in short, to address the one problem but now regardless of the most of the specifics detected in the considered physical layer, to obtain an advanced solution, still appropriate but now more agile, when compared to SGA.

With a per-span case of AGA, see Tables VII and VIII, the coding means always locates the bits keeping the (“in-span”) relative position of an event, p 's,⁸ in the head transfer unit of the span associated with that span time period, during which the event occurs, substituting the initial bits of this head unit with those position bits and so pushing the former out of this head unit into the next head unit in the stream, and so on and so on, that causes a new or appends an extra over the already accumulated echo existing until it is fully canceled thanks to the provisionally established spare unit(s).

Such the case is similar to the fractional approach [2], but separates the stream into the two of transfer units, head and other, instead of their bits in the predecessor, root and affix, affected and never affected by an event, respectively.

With a per-unit case of AGA, see Tables VII afore IX, the coding means locates the bits—keeping the (“in-unit”) relative position of an event—now in the transfer unit associated with that transfer unit time period, during which the event occurs, inserting a new transfer unit with all of its bits, x 's,⁹ encoded

⁸Independent bits are indexed using Arabic numerals, e.g., 0, 1, 2, etc.

⁹Dependent bits are indexed using small Greek letters, e.g., α, β, γ , etc.

according to its content, into the stream, that by design relies on the echo to be properly managed, too.

On succession again, such the case is similar to the integral approach [2], because they both manipulate on the stream in the scope of its entire transfer unit.

Although AGA demonstrates the obvious limits, see Tables X, XI,¹⁰ XII,¹⁰ XIII,¹⁰ they hamper for neither its destination nor application, see Tables XIV and XV, respectively.

Implementing AGA, the coding means implements another but still an adoption of the so modeled process.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we described a way to multiplex a data passing ability, preexisting in a given layer featuring a very long binary transport word, with an event passing ability, new to the layer, that primarily fits for the MultiGBASE-T1 family of Ethernet physical layers as well as for similar protocols.¹¹

REFERENCES

- [1] Physical Layer Specs and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s, 5 Gb/s, and 10 Gb/s Automotive Electrical Ethernet, IEEE Std 802.3ch-2020.
- [2] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-KR PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3ap protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 9–12.
- [3] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-T PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3an protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 5–8.
- [4] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

¹⁰For the given $N = N_r \times N_b$, also technically feasible but just not shown explicitly are the respective multiplexing variants with $g > 8$.

¹¹It also fits well back for 10GBASE-KR, 10GBASE-T, and 1000BASE-T1, considered in [2], [3], and [4], respectively, see Tables V and XV.

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 25G/40GBASE-T FEC Input

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We reach the peak point in our running consideration of Ethernet protocols featuring a very long binary transport word, inside of that we try to multiplex two flows of information: about a data stream and about an event train. On this point are the 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T physical layers.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 25G/40GBASE-T.

INTRODUCTION

MULTI-GIGABIT Ethernet, type 25GBASE-T and type 40GBASE-T, together known with the MultiGBASE-T, MGBASE-T, or 25G/40GBASE-T family of electrical physical layers [1], both evolutionary develop on the common 10 Gbps predecessor, 10GBASE-T, still operating over a similar media (four twisted pairs), but improving in their speed many times, to up to 25 Gbps and 40 Gbps, respectively.

However, this improvement costs them the strong reduction in the informational redundancy of their microframe payload, down to the need to use the 512B/513B block encoding, thus we think that—to make the multiplexing possible, as it is our goal—instead of the payload, one should work directly on the FEC input, see Table I, considering it the user accessible part of the FEC frame,¹ we will further refer short to as the frame, as well as longer than the payload exactly by some three bits, one auxiliary and two zero-padding [1].

To reach the goal, we plan to develop on the gathering [2], primarily, but also do not exclude the use of the spare transfer units [3], the save box cells [4], and/or even the spare bits [5], in the expectation they could help us, too.

Before we throw ourselves into, we anticipatorily add some new measures, see Table II, to the terminology of [2] and so to the generalized terminology of [3], as well as one new entity, see Table III, to the shortened block type codes standardized for the 512B/513B block encoding [1].

PAIRED MULTIPLEXING SCHEME

We begin with the standard gathering approach, or SGA [2], gathering each $g = 8$ consecutive transfer units in the stream, into a span of the same length, and next examine in how many of the spare bits [5] this way could result,² when it is grounded

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation. Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹FEC frame is the standardized term to refer to such objects, see [1].

TABLE I
ORIGINAL FRAMING

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, bits
FEC [= Forward Error Correction] frame	7.8125 or 12.5 Mio frames/s	$7 \times 512 = \Sigma 3,584$
└ FEC input, visible by user	max 400 data octets → 3,200 bits +11 =	3,211
└└ RS-FEC input bits	hold 186 GF(2 ⁸) input symbols	$186 \times 8 = 1,488$
└└ RS-LDPC input bits	hold 237 $\frac{1}{6}$ GF(2 ⁶) input symbols	$237 \times 6 \times 1 = 1,723$
└ FEC parity, hidden by PHY	calculated over FEC input bits	373
└└ RS-FEC parity bits	hold 6 GF(2 ⁸) parity symbols	$6 \times 8 = 48$
└└ RS-LDPC parity bits	hold 54 $\frac{1}{6}$ GF(2 ⁶) parity symbols	$54 \times 6 \times 1 = 325$

TABLE II
ADDITIONAL TERMINOLOGY

Ref.	Objective	Description, Definition, Designation	Measured
s_f	$s_f \geq 0$	spare part in the view of the FEC input user,	in bits/frame
s_p	$0 \leq s_p \leq s_f$	spare part in the view of the PCS payload user,	in bits/frame
$T_m(\Theta_m)$	1-of-15(16)	8(4)-bit code in the m -th block (span) type field,	in masks
E	$E \geq 2$	modulus of the echo caused from event insertion,	in items
K_E	$K_E = \log_2 E / s$	FEC run count to fully cancel the echo of $1/E$,	in frames

TABLE III
BLOCK TYPE FIELD CODE MATCH

$T_m \leftrightarrow \Theta_m$ (8-bit)	$T_m \leftrightarrow \Theta_m$ (4-bit)	$T_m \leftrightarrow \Theta_m$	$T_m \leftrightarrow \Theta_m$	$T_m \leftrightarrow \Theta_m$	$T_m \leftrightarrow \Theta_m$
0x1E	1000	0x55	1011	0x87	1100
0x2D	0100	0x66	1101	0x99	1010
0x33	1110	0x78	0111	0xAA	1001
—	0010 →	0x4B	0001	0xB4	0101
—	0010 →	0xCC	0011	0xD2	0110
—	0010 →	0xE1	0000	0xE1	0000
—	0010 →	0xFF	1111	0xFF	1111

— 0010 → signals that an event occurs during the m -th span time period

on the accordant transcoding of the head unit within each span in the frame, see Tables IV and V, respectively.

Based on this, we consider the initial stream comprising all the transfer units, like eight (because $g = 8$) pseudo-separate (or parallel) streams, the first of which consists exclusively of the head units of all the spans in the initial stream, while the next consists exclusively of the earliest body units in the same spans, and so on and so on, see Table VI.

We also resolve that inserting an event causes the respective substitution in the stream of the head transfer units, i.e., related to the (imaginary) row the substitution happens in, generating

²25G/40GBASE-T encodes $n_p = 400$ (“quasi-separate”) transfer units into $400/8 = 50$ collected 64/65B coded blocks, next transcodes $50 - 2 = 48$ of them into $48/8 = 6$ re-collected 512B/513B coded blocks, and then passes all these $2 \times 65 + 6 \times 513 = 3,208$ bits, among yet 1 auxiliary and 2 zero-padding bits, i.e., $3,208 + 1 + 2 = 3,211$ bits total, into the FEC input, once per every (FEC) frame time period of 128 ns at 25 Gbps or 80 ns at 40 Gbps, respectively. (FEC frame time and microframe time are the same.)

TABLE IV
UNIVERSAL SOLUTIONS

Protocol	v	$N_r \times N_b$	g	$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$n_e : g$	ξ	$t = b + r$	w	S_F	S_p	Gathering	
25GBASE-T,	3,211	17 × 16	8	400 = 56 × 7 + 8 × 1	7	1	449 = 420 + 29	≤32	3	—	SGA or AGA	
40GBASE-T		17 × 16	8	400 = 88 × 4 + 48 × 1	11	1	705 = 660 + 45	≤64	6	3	SGA or AGA	
($n_p = 400$)		9 × 32	8	400 = 40 × 10	—	5	1	321 = 305 + 16	≤16	1	—	SGA or AGA
		9 × 32	8	400 = 88 × 4 + 48 × 1	11	2	706 = 671 + 35	≤64	2	—	SGA or AGA	

TABLE V
SPAN TRANSCODING RULE

↓ Span Content, Span Bits →	$(m)U_a$	$(m)U_b$	$(m)U_c$	$(m)U_d$	$(m)U_{e3}$	$(m)U_4$	$(m)U_5$	$(m)U_6$	$(m)U_7$	$(m+1)U_0 \dots (m+1)U_7$	$(m+2)U_0 \dots (m+6)U_7$	$(m+7)U_0 \dots (m+7)U_7$
Pure DATA octets, no event	0	$(m)b_1$	$(m)b_2$	$(m)b_3$	$(m)b_4$	$(m)\theta_1$	$(m)\theta_2$	$(m)\theta_3$	$(m)\theta_3$	$(m)b_9 \dots (m)b_{16}$	$(m)b_{17} \dots (m)b_{56}$	$(m)b_{57} \dots (m)b_{64}$
Event or CTRL(s), $N = 9 \times 32$	1	0	0	0	$(m)\theta_0$	$(m)\theta_1$	$(m)\theta_2$	$(m)\theta_3$	$(m)C/D$			
Event or CTRL(s), $N = 17 \times 16$	1	0	0	0	0	$(m)\theta_0$	$(m)\theta_1$	$(m)\theta_2$	$(m)\theta_3$			

TABLE VI
EVENT INSERTION RULES

Case	Input Echo	Before Insertion	Output Echo	Input Echo	After Insertion, $N = 9 \times 32$	Output Echo	Input Echo	After Insertion, $N = 17 \times 16$	Output Echo	Delta
event		head unit $U_{m-g} \quad U_m \quad U_{m+g}$		head unit $U_{m-g} \quad U_{m,ev} \dots \Theta_m=0010, (m)C/D \quad U_{m+g}$		head unit $U_{m-g} \quad U_{m,ev} \dots \Theta_m=0010, \text{no } (m)C/D \quad U_{m+g}$				—
span $_m$		$U_{m-g-1} \quad U_{m+1} \quad U_{m+g+1}$		$U_{m-g-1} \quad V_m \quad P_m \quad U_{m+1} \quad U_{m+g+1}$		$U_{m-g-1} \quad (m)C/D, V_m \quad P_m \quad U_{m+1} \quad U_{m+g+1}$			≥ 2	
SGA		$U_{m-g-2} \quad U_{m+2} \quad U_{m+g+2}$		$U_{m-g-2} \quad U_{m+2} \quad (m)C/D \quad V_m \quad U_{m+2}$		$U_{m-g-2} \quad U_{m+2} \quad (m)C/D, V_m \quad P_m \quad U_{m+2}$			—	
$g = 8$		\vdots		\vdots		\vdots			\vdots	
$ P = 2^8$		$U_{m-g+7} \quad U_{m+7} \quad U_{m+g+7}$		$U_{m-g+7} \quad U_{m+7} \quad 1(C) \quad T_m \quad U_{m+g+7}$		$U_{m-g+7} \quad U_{m+7} \quad 0(D) \quad T_m \quad U_{m+g+7}$			\vdots	
Span	$\frac{1}{1}$	$m-g \quad m \quad m+g$	$\frac{1}{1}$	$m-g \quad m \quad m^{bis} \quad m^{ter} \quad m+g$	$\frac{1}{2^{16}}$	$m-g \quad m \quad m^{bis} \quad m^{ter} \quad m+g$	$\frac{1}{2^{17}}$	$m-g \quad m \quad m^{bis} \quad m^{ter} \quad m+g$	$\frac{1}{2^{17}}$	\dots

TABLE VII
MULTIPLEXING CONFIGURATION

Case	$g \cdot N_{event}$	$N_r \times N_b$	$k + *k$	E	K_E	Case	$g \cdot N_{event}$	$N_r \times N_b$	$k + *k$	E	K_E	Case	$g \cdot N_{event}$	$N_r \times N_b$	$k + *k$	E	K_E
$S_F = 1$ (FEC Input)	≤256	9 × 32	10 + 0	2 ¹⁶	16	$S_p = 3$ (Payload)	≤256	17 × 16	4 + 1	2 ¹⁷	3 ^{2/3}	$S_F = 3$ (FEC Input)	≤256	17 × 16	7 + 1	2 ¹⁷	5 ^{2/3}

TABLE VIII
PAIRED MULTIPLEXING SCHEME




TABLE IX
ACHIEVABLE RESOLUTION

40GBASE-T @ $g \cdot N_{event} = 8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} ; 8 \cdot 1 ; 8 \cdot 2 ; 8 \cdot 4 ; 8 \cdot 8 ; 8 \cdot 16 ; 8 \cdot 32$								25GBASE-T @ $g \cdot N_{event} = 8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} ; 8 \cdot 1 ; 8 \cdot 2 ; 8 \cdot 4 ; 8 \cdot 8 ; 8 \cdot 16 ; 8 \cdot 32$									
Frequency, f	GHz	2.5	5	10	20	40	80	160	Frequency, f	GHz	1.5625	3.125	6.25	12.5	25	50	100
$\frac{1}{f} \rightarrow$	ps	400	200	100	50	25	12.5	6.25	$\frac{1}{f} \rightarrow$	ps	640	320	160	80	40	20	10

TABLE X
RESTRICTED SOLUTIONS

Protocol	v	$N_r \times N_b$	g	$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$n_e : g$	ξ	$t = b + r$	w	S_F	S_p	Gathering	
25GBASE-T,	3,211	9 × 32	10	400 = 50 × 8	—	5	1	401 = 385 + 16	≤16	3	—	AGA peculiar
40GBASE-T		5 × 64	20	400 = 60 × 6 + 40 × 1	—	3	1	481 = 474 + 7	≤8	4	1	AGA peculiar
($n_p = 400$)		3 × 128	40	400 = 200 × 2	—	5	3	1,603 = 1,595 + 8	≤8	5	2	AGA peculiar

TABLE XI
PECULIAR SPAN ENCODING

Format	Unit	N_r	g	Type	Bits of the Head Transfer Unit in the Current Span, m							...	Bits of a Body Transfer Unit in the Current Span, $0 < j < g$...		
					$(^m)u_\alpha$	$(^m)u_\beta$	$(^m)u_{\beta1}$	$(^m)u_{\beta2}$	$(^m)u_3$	$(^m)u_4$	$(^m)u_5$		$(^m)u_6$	$(^m)u_7$	$(^{m+j})u_0$	$(^{m+j})u_1$	$(^{m+j})u_2$	$(^{m+j})u_3$	$(^{m+j})u_4$		$(^{m+j})u_5$	$(^{m+j})u_6$
any	any	DATA	0	$(^m)d_0$	$(^m)d_1$	$(^m)d_2$	$(^m)d_3$	$(^m)d_4$	$(^m)d_5$	$(^m)d_6$	$(^m)d_7$...	$(^#)d_0$	$(^#)d_1$	$(^#)d_2$	$(^#)d_3$	$(^#)d_4$	$(^#)d_5$	$(^#)d_6$	$(^#)d_7$...	
9	10	CTRL	1	0	0	0	$(^#)x_\alpha$	$(^#)x_\beta$	$(^#)x_\gamma$	$(^#)x_\delta$	$(^#)x_5$...	omitted	omitted	$(^#)x_0$	$(^#)x_\alpha$	$(^#)x_\beta$	$(^#)x_\gamma$	$(^#)x_\delta$	$(^#)x_5$	$(^#)x_6$...
		event	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	$(^m)C/D$...	signaling an event in a span is possible within its head unit only									
5	20	CTRL	1	0	0	$(^#)x_\alpha$	$(^#)x_\beta$	$(^#)x_\gamma$	$(^#)x_\delta$	$(^#)x_5$	$(^#)x_6$...	omitted	$(^#)x_0$	$(^#)x_\alpha$	$(^#)x_\beta$	$(^#)x_\gamma$	$(^#)x_\delta$	$(^#)x_5$	$(^#)x_6$	$(^#)x_7$...
		event	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	$(^m)C/D$	$(^m)p_0$									
3	40	CTRL	1	0	$(^#)x_\alpha$	$(^#)x_\beta$	$(^#)x_\gamma$	$(^#)x_\delta$	$(^#)x_5$	$(^#)x_6$	$(^#)x_7$...	$(^#)x_0$	$(^#)x_\alpha$	$(^#)x_\beta$	$(^#)x_\gamma$	$(^#)x_\delta$	$(^#)x_5$	$(^#)x_6$	$(^#)x_7$...	
		event	1	0	1	1	1	1	$(^m)C/D$	$(^m)p_0$	$(^m)p_1$									

TABLE XII
PREPARATIVE UNIT SEPARATION

m -th Case	Definition, $m \leq \# < m + g$	m -th Separation Result Description = m -th Ready-to-Encode Transfer Span Structure Designation	Meta Information Kept
Pure DATAs	every $\#$ -th unit is DATA	[no CTRL unit followed by] $g_{m,D} = g$ DATA units, sorted originally	—
Mixture of	both types are present	$g_{m,C} \geq 1$ CTRL unit(s), sorted anyway, followed by $g_{m,D} = g - g_{m,C}$ DATA unit(s), sorted by # ascending	unit's # for each CTRL
Pure CTRLs	every $\#$ -th unit is CTRL	$g_{m,C} = g$ CTRL units, sorted anyway [followed by no DATA unit]	unit's # for each CTRL

TABLE XIII
NESTED MULTIPLEXING SCHEME

Nested Root Bits	CTRL Meta Information and its Value	Modulus	Value of the CTRL Self Information and its Description, Definition, Designation	Process Depiction
$(^#)x_{\alpha+\delta}$ ↑ 4 MUX = ↓ ≥1 $(^#)x_5 \dots$	reflects the #, kept as $(\# - m)$, of the CTRL unit whose meta is encoded in this transfer unit, either head, U_m , or body, U_{m+j} , of the current span, m , and so defines the original placement of that CTRL unit in the span before its separation	$g \times 3$	0 (error) reflects and defines the purpose of that CTRL unit (error) as well as signals about this transfer unit is the last one conveying a CTRL in the current span 1 (idle) reflects and defines the purpose of that CTRL unit (idle) as well as signals about this transfer unit is the last one conveying a CTRL in the current span 2 signals that the purpose of that CTRL unit (error or idle) is encoded in the unit following this transfer unit (—) is encoded in the unit following this transfer unit	$g = 10 : g \times 3 = 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^1$ 20 $5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^2$ 40 $5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^3$ $\frac{1}{E_{nested}} = \frac{1}{g} \times \frac{1}{3} \xrightarrow{[single\ round]} \frac{1}{5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^{\geq 1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1}$ representation $2^4 \cdot 2^{\geq 1}$
Nested Affix Bit(s)	Choice 1 of g ($2^2 < g / 2^{\geq 1} < 2^3$)	Transport Capacity	Choice 1 of 3 ($2^1 < 3 < 2^2$) → $N_{extra} = 3 = (N_{ctrl} + 1)$	omitted (if any) $(^#)x_0$ $(^#)x_{\alpha+\delta}$ $(^#)x_5 \dots$

TABLE XIV
COMPLEXITY COMPARISON

Case	g	$n_e : g$	w	Vector ALU Modes	Case	g	$n_e : g$	w	Vector ALU Modes	Case	g	$n_e : g$	w	Vector ALU Modes
$N_b = 32$ (5 bits)	10	5	16	U16 X 1, U4 X 4	$N_b = 64$ (6 bits)	20	3	8	U8 X 1, U4 X 2	$N_b = 128$ (7 bits)	40	5	8	U8 X 1, U4 X 2

TABLE XV
RESOLUTION ACHIEVABILITY CONDITIONS

Case	Bits in the Head	Bits [to be transferred] in the Echo	$g \cdot N_{event} = g \cdot 1 ; g \cdot 2 ; g \cdot 4 ; g \cdot 5 ; g \cdot 8 ; g \cdot 10 ; g \cdot 16 ; g \cdot 20 ; g \cdot 32$
$g = 10$	$(^m)C/D$	up to 8 (DATA) $(^m)p_0, (^m)p_1, (^m)p_2, \dots$	$\log_2 E \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \geq 12 \quad \geq 13 \quad \geq 14 \quad \geq 14 \quad \geq 15 \quad \geq 15 \quad \geq 16 \quad \geq 16 \quad \geq 17 \\ (= 8 + 4) \quad (= 8 + 5) \quad (= 8 + 6) \quad (= 8 + 6) \quad (= 8 + 7) \quad (= 8 + 7) \quad (= 8 + 8) \quad (= 8 + 8) \quad (= 8 + 9) \end{array} \right.$
$g = 20$	$(^m)C/D, (^m)p_0$	substituted bits + $(^m)p_1, (^m)p_2, \dots$	
$g = 40$	$(^m)C/D, (^m)p_0, (^m)p_1$	of the head unit $(^m)p_2, \dots$	

TABLE XVI
ECHO BUDGET MEMO

Budget Subline	Samples Within
Total Echo Pool Available—Paired	$\text{pow}(2, t) = \text{pow}(2, r) \times \text{pow}(2, b)$
└ Native Echo Pool	$\text{pow}(N_r, n_e : g) \times \text{pow}(2, b)$
└ Rest if any, including forced, if needed, and free	$[\text{pow}(2, r) - \text{pow}(N_r, n_e : g)] \times \text{pow}(2, b)$
Total Echo Pool Available—Nested	Head Unit: N_b Body Units: $2^8 = 256$
└ Native Echo Pool + Event ^(thead only)	m $1/16 \cdot N_b$ $m + j$ $2 \cdot 1/16 \cdot N_b$
└ Rest if any, including forced, if needed, and free	— $256 - 2 \cdot 1/16 \cdot N_b$

NOTE – Forced echo pool may cover extra signaling, e.g., OAM, LPI, ordered sets.

the known echo, whose (encoded) content corresponds to the (original) content of that so substituted unit as well as to the (relative) position of the event, $\log_2 E > 0$ bits in its total, but the respective representation in the stream of the earliest body units, i.e., related to the row next to the row of the head unit, performing the echo cancellation, whose (multi-round) process affects across many of the consecutive frames, K_E runs in its total, by up to s_F (or s_P) bits per a run, see Table VI again, then Tables VII and VIII (example with $N_r = 9$ and $s_F = 1$), and after then Table IX, conclusively.

Thus, we say that we employ a pair of some inter-dependent linguistic multiplexing processes, one intended to multiplex an event into the initial stream while other intended to cancel the so caused echo, respectively. While the former operates on quantities of $\lceil \log_2 N_r \rceil > 1$ bits in their length each,³ the latter operates on quantities of just a single bit in their length each,⁴ referenced to as roots vs. rootlets to be clearly distinguishable, respectively, see Table VIII again.

Because every rootlet consists of a bit, we can consider the respective stream as a stream of single-bit-wide transfer units, and each of up to s_F (or s_P) extra among them—in the view of the fractional approach [3]—as an instance of the spare unit, managed like a cell of the save box [4].

NESTED MULTIPLEXING SCHEME

We then continue with the advanced gathering approach, or AGA [2], trying to collate for $g > 8$ consecutive transfer units into a span, and find out some very attractive,⁵ usable results, see Table X compared to Table IV.

In the support of such the variants, we define the so called peculiar encoding capable to address transfer spans consisting of $g > 8$ units, that now replaces the former encoding limited to the 64B/65B-inherited spans consisting of $g = 8$ units only, see Table XI compared to Table V, respectively, but by design necessitates for the proper separation of the transfer units to be done in each span, see Table XII alone, as well as for the further representation of the related information to be done in each of the control units, too, enabling a small since interior, nested linguistic multiplexing process, that—however—never replaces but always accompanies its exterior thus big, paired

³It invokes an event-related substitution but no event-related representation, because it has no means to cancel the echo caused by that substitution.

⁴We say it implements either a series of avalanche-like bit-per-bit explicit substitutions, or a base- $(2^{400} \times 2^s)$ into base- 2^{400+s} implicit representation, because any of thereof cancels the same echo. Anyway, it causes for no echo by itself, but affects on itself with the echo coming from aside.

multiplexing not-a-twin sisters, see Table XIII in conjunction with Table VIII (example of SGA with $N_r = 9$ and $s_F = 1$, with $s_F = 3$, AGA, and the peculiar encoding, it will end up about three times faster,⁶ sure), respectively.

Hence, there are the three multiplexing processes operating the following cooperative manner.

One of the paired processes multiplexes an event, inserting the information about that into the stream of the head transfer units, but cannot cancel the so caused echo. This process never penetrates across the boundaries of the respective multiplexing round whether or not the event affects it.

Other of the paired processes multiplexes the echo content bits into the stream of the rootlet bits enabled over the bits of the earliest body units in the spans then over the spare bits in the FEC input, as the basis to release the duty and as the extra to cancel the echo, jointly. This process propagates across the boundaries of all the consecutive inputs conveying the spare bits affected by the echo, since the input that conveys the span affected by the event that caused the echo.

In its turn listed last but performed first, the nested process multiplexes some unit-related information during the peculiar encoding. This process also never penetrates across the boundaries of the span it currently performs over.

Maybe it looks some comprehensive applied along [1], but it is really nothing beyond except a mixture of the known from [2], [3], [4], and [5], complementarily.

Based on this, we consider the so composed coding means appropriate, see Table XIV, suitable, see Table XV in conjunction with Table IX, and extensible, see Table XVI.

CONCLUSION

We proposed a way that fits for a coding entity featuring a comparatively not so excessive redundancy, like the respective means in 25G/40GBASE-T and similar protocols. Preserving the existing, data passing ability of such a means, the proposed way enables an extra, event passing ability inside it.

Among the necessary coding recipes, we considered the so called peculiar encoding for a span of up to 40 units.

REFERENCES

- [1] Physical Layers and Management Parameters for 25 Gb/s and 40 Gb/s Operation, Types 25GBASE-T and 40GBASE-T, IEEE Std 802.3bq-2016.
- [2] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the MultiGBASE-T1 PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3ch protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 13–16.
- [3] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-KR PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3ap protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 9–12.
- [4] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-T PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3an protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 5–8.
- [5] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

⁵In the terms of coding delays and of complexity, the variant with $N_r = 5$ ($t = 481$) is comparable to the 512B/513B transcoding originally present in 25G/40GBASE-T. Moreover, the variants with $N_r = 5$ ($r = 7$) and $N_r = 3$ ($r = 8$) are mappable to the RS-FEC GF(2⁸) operations also used in it.

⁶I.e., in less than $\lceil 16/3 \rceil = 6$ complete frame periods. And about four and five times faster with $s_F = 4$ ($N_r = 5$) and with $s_F = 5$ ($N_r = 3$), i.e., in exact $16/4 = 4$ and in less than $\lceil 16/5 \rceil = 4$ such periods, respectively.

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 2.5G/5GBASE-T FEC Input

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We stand in some very interesting point today, still considering existing coding means featuring a very long binary transport word we call a microframe. This interest grows on the fact that the respective protocols, 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T, embody a very excessive redundancy, compared to other similar specifications we considered earlier.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 2.5G/5GBASE-T, FEC input, seamless preemption, preemption, quality of service, QoS, physical layer quality of service, class of service, CoS.

INTRODUCTION

MULTI-GIGABIT Ethernet, type 2.5GBASE-T and type 5GBASE-T, together known with the MultiGBASE-T, MGBASE-T, or 2.5G/5GBASE-T family of electrical physical layers [1], both rateably downscale from the common 10 Gbps predecessor, 10GBASE-T, still operating over a similar media (four twisted pairs), but dropping down a number of times in the speed, into 2.5 Gbps and 5 Gbps, respectively.

In the view of the practical coding redundancy available to the user, the microframe payload of this family does not look somehow unusual, see Table I, but the naked FEC input does and moreover it seems very attractive, see Table II, compared along the similar multi- and one-gigabit protocols considered earlier in [2], [3], [4], [5], and [6], see Table III.¹

In the view of the coding means staying behind the physical coding sublayer of this family, it has a duty to transfer 1,600 accommodation bits it consumes from the interface on its top, i.e., from the service interface of the whole physical layer, per every 2,048 transportation bits it generates onto the interface on its bottom, i.e., onto the service interface of the underlying physical medium attachment sublayer, with only 325 of them dedicated to transfer the FEC parity output.

That so excessive redundancy enables the layer designer to introduce a set of renewed useful features—never achievable before the respective design provides with such degree of the excessiveness—into the MGBASE-T family, among those are seamless preemption and quality of service, e.g., in the manner and by the way we describe further in this paper.

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation.
Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹For the common terminology we mention in this paper, one should trace in [2]’s Table II after [3]’s Table II after [4]’s Table II. The latter defines the generalized terminology grown on [5]’s Table II and [6]’s Table II.

TABLE I
ORIGINAL MICROFRAME STRUCTURE

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, bits
Microframe (or μ frame) entirely	rate = $S \times 3.125$ Mio μ frames/s	$\Sigma 2,048$
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> └ Payload, visible at XGMII └ 64B/65B coded block #1 └ └ 64B/65B coded block #25 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> max 200 data octets \rightarrow 1,600 bits └ first 8 data octets or controls └ └ last 8 data octets or controls 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $25 \times 65 = 1,625$ $8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$ $8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> └ Auxiliary bit └ Padded run └ FEC parity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> hidden by PHY └ has no standardized purpose └ vendor-filled random data └ calculated on all the bits above 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $\Sigma 423$ └ 1 └ 97 └ 325

TABLE II
NAKED FEC FRAME

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, bits
FEC [= Forward Error Correction] frame	1.5625 or 3.125 Mio frames/s	$4 \times 512 = \Sigma 2,048$
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> └ FEC input, visible by user └ RS-LDPC input bits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> max 200 data octets \rightarrow 1,600 bits hold 237% GF(2⁶) input symbols 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> $+123 = 1,723$ $237 \times 6 + 1 = 1,723$
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> └ FEC parity, hidden by PHY └ RS-LDPC parity bits 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> calculated over FEC input bits hold 54% GF(2⁶) parity symbols 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 325 $54 \times 6 + 1 = 325$

TABLE III
REDUNDANCY COMPARISON

Protocol	Scope	v	$8n_p$	$(v - 8n_p) / v$	Comment
2.5GBASE-T,	payload	1,625	1,600	$\approx 1.54\%$	0 %
5GBASE-T	FEC input	1,723	1,600	$\approx 7.14\%$	(max) +364 %
25GBASE-T,	payload	3,208	3,200	$\approx 0.25\%$	(min) -84 %
40GBASE-T	FEC input	3,211	3,200	$\approx 0.34\%$	-78 %
MGBASE-T1	payload	3,250	3,200	$\approx 1.54\%$	0 %
(M=2.5/5/10)	FEC input	3,260	3,200	$\approx 1.84\%$	+19 %
10GBASE-KR	any	2,080	2,048	$\approx 1.54\%$	Ref. = 100 %
10GBASE-T	payload	3,250	3,200	$\approx 1.54\%$	0 %
	FEC input	3,259	3,200	$\approx 1.81\%$	+18 %
1000BASE-T1	payload	3,645	3,600	$\approx 1.23\%$	-20 %
	FEC input	3,654	3,600	$\approx 1.48\%$	-4 %

SYNTHESIS OBJECTIVES

We begin with a preparatory examination of the considered physical layers in their details, see Tables IV and V.² Based on that, we ground and word the following objectives.

Because there is the obvious, highest bit rate denominator, see Table IV again, it is suitable to support the nominal event fixation scale of 10 GHz (i.e., 100 ps in time domain).

Because there are some different layers but any of them is just a scaled version of the reference one, see Table V again,

²2.5GBASE-T (scale of 1/2) and 5GBASE-T (reference) are the only real members of the MGBASE-T family; “10GBASE-T” (scale of 2/1) is one we just imagine to clearly compare with their predecessor, 10GBASE-T.

TABLE IV
BASIC TERMS

PCS Interface	Prefix	Bit Time	Visual Comparison	Bit Rate
Accommodation	A-	200 ps / S		$S \times 5$ Gbps
Transportation Increase(+)/Decrease(-)	T-	$156\frac{1}{4}$ ps / S -22.375 %		$S \times 6.4$ Gbps +28 %
Relative Performance Scale Factor, S	"HALF-SPEED" 1/2	"FULL-SPEED" 1/1 (ref.)	"DOUBLE-SPEED" 2/1	shown for COMPARISON only
Parameter	2.5GBASE-T	5GBASE-T	"10GBASE-T"	10GBASE-T

TABLE V
PARAMETRIC COMPARISON

Parameter	2.5GBASE-T	5GBASE-T	"10GBASE-T"	10GBASE-T
Protocol Family	MGBASE-T	MGBASE-T	MGBASE-T	10GBASE-T
Protocol Original	Clause 126	Clause 126	<i>imaginary</i>	Clause 55
A-Interface (MII)	XGMII	XGMII	XGMII	XGMII
T-Interface	abstract	abstract	abstract	abstract
Scale Factor, S	1/2 = 0.5	1/1 = 1.0	2/1 = 2.0	—
Scaled A-Speed	2.5 Gbps	5.0 Gbps	10.0 Gbps	10.0 Gbps
Scaled T-Speed	3.2 Gbps	6.4 Gbps	12.8 Gbps	11.2 Gbps
Duty/ μ Frame, n_p	200 units	200 units	200 units	400 units
FEC Input Size	1,723 bits	1,723 bits	1,723 bits	3,259 bits
FEC Frame Size	2,048 bits	2,048 bits	2,048 bits	3,584 bits
A-Bit Time, ABT	400 ps	200 ps	100 ps	100 ps
T-Bit Time, TBT	$312\frac{1}{2}$ ps	$156\frac{1}{4}$ ps	$78\frac{1}{8}$ ps	$89\frac{7}{8}$ ps
T/A Bit Time Ratio	$\frac{1600}{2048} = \frac{25}{32}$ (exact) 1.28	$\frac{1600}{2048} = \frac{25}{32}$ (exact) 1.28	$\frac{1600}{2048} = \frac{25}{32}$ (exact) 1.28	$\frac{3200}{3584} = \frac{25}{28}$ (exact) 1.12
OT = UT = 8•ABT	3.2 ns	1.6 ns	0.8 ns	0.8 ns
(μ .fec)FT = n_p •OT	0.64 μ s	0.32 μ s	0.16 μ s	0.32 μ s
TX Least Delay	140 ns	70 ns	35 ns	$34\frac{7}{8}$ ns
TX LD Verified @32b	140.8 ns	70.4 ns	35.2 ns	35.2 ns
Encode Buffer @32b	$\geq 11 \times 32$ bits			
TX LD Verified @64b	153.6 ns	76.8 ns	38.4 ns	38.4 ns
Encode Buffer @64b	$\geq 6 \times 64$ bits			
RX Least Delay	0.64 μ s	0.32 μ s	0.16 μ s	0.32 μ s
Decode Buffer	$\geq 2,048$ bits	$\geq 2,048$ bits	$\geq 2,048$ bits	$\geq 3,584$ bits
MDI Symbol Code	4-bit Gray	4-bit Gray	4-bit Gray	DQS-128
MDI Symbol Type	PAM-16	PAM-16	PAM-16	PAM-16
MDI Symbol Rate	200 MBd	400 MBd	800 MBd	800 MBd

NOTE – OT, UT, FT are octet time, transfer unit time, and frame time, respectively.

TABLE VI
TRANSMIT LEAST DELAY VERIFIED

PCS Interface	Current Cycle Time Period @ A-Interface	Next Cycle . . .
Transportation (output)		$TXLDV \geq TXLD$

NOTE – Cycle time is $S \times 32 \cdot ABT$ ($S \times 64 \cdot ABT$) for 32-bit (64-bit) transfers at XGMII.

TABLE VII
TRANSMIT LEAST DELAY AND ENCODE MINIMAL BUFFER

PCS Interface	Current Frame Time Period	Next Frame Time Period
(input) Accommodation	$200 \times 8 = 1,600$ accommodation bits	Transmit Least Delay $TXLD = 7/32 \times FT$ Encode Minimal Buffer $ENCB \geq TXLD / ABT$
↓ TX ENCODE	$1,600 \times ABT = \frac{32}{32} \times FT$ (32-25=7)	
Transportation (output)		
	$1,600 \times TBT = \frac{25}{32} \times FT$	
	1,600 transportation bits	448 t-bits

NOTE – We assume zero encode delay and a systematic forward error correction.

we need (or prefer at least) a (suite of) respectively scalable coding means we can resolve into a single design capable to (abstractly) implement all the multiple scales.

Because a coding means based upon a systematic FEC may transmit an input portion of the FEC frame before encode its parity portion finally and/or completely, see Tables VI and VII, a particular abstract design of such a coding means can affect the transmit delay (transmit side latency) and therefore must minimize it as much as possible. This objective is especially important, meeting the ground of the next.

Because a coding means based on a systematic FEC needs to receive an entire frame before decode it, see Table VIII, an abstract design cannot manage the receive delay (receive side latency) so as it can do against the transmit delay, that allows to exclude the former from the consideration.

DETACHED DUTY DELIVERY

Given such the name and function, detached duty delivery, or DDD in short, is a transfer unit collection that, by design, is free of (literally, detached from) any information except of related to the original duty of the considered physical layers: each its element is an instance of the regular transfer unit and corresponds to either a data octet value or a control character code signal observed at the accommodation interface during the respective octet time period. Technically, we assume there is some single-round first-pass echo multiplexing process that brings the events beyond the units, preventing any substitution but/by accumulating the so generated echo.

Intended to support for quality of service (QoS), there are four distinct (user-distinguishable) traffic classes each related to the respective class of service (CoS), also one among four, all are apart from idle, see Table IX. Those traffic classes may correspond with either stream-processing or packet-bounding fragments of successive transfer units, depending on the goal of the implementer. As an extra, idle also may correspond with a separate stream of units, packetized or not.

It is expected that, preempting the traffic, the coding means mandatory follows all the preemption rules established in the traffic fragmentation analysis, see Tables X and XI. Following those rules ensures that, in its content, the accumulated (first-pass) echo will never exceed over five and six or six and five preempt and release fragmentation events, respectively, per the FEC input, i.e., this limits the possible income of that echo to up to five preempt (p) and one mixed (p/r) and five release (r) such the events per every FEC frame time period.

Purposely, the second-pass echo multiplexing process does on DDD to fulfill the DDD section inside the FEC input.

TABLE VIII
RECEIVE LEAST DELAY AND DECODE MINIMAL BUFFER

PCS Interface	Current Frame Time Period	Next Frame Time Period
(output) Accommodation	Receive Least Delay $RXLD = FT$	1,600 accommodation bits
↑ RX DECODE		$1,600 \times ABT = FT$
Transportation (input)		Encode Minimal Buffer $DECB = RXLD / TBT$
	$2,048 \times TBT = FT$	
	1,600 + 448 = 2,048 transportation bits	

NOTE – We assume zero decode delay and a systematic forward error correction.

TABLE IX
CLASSES OF SERVICE

↓Who can preempt Whom→	Idle	Pp	Px	Xp	Xx
(fragment ≥ 64 units)	Pp	anytime	never	never	never
Fragment of Traffic Class	Px	anytime	sent ≥ A & left ≥ A	never	never
	Xp	anytime	anytime	sent ≥ B & left ≥ B	never
	Xx	anytime	anytime	anytime	sent ≥ C & left ≥ C
$36 \leq A + B + C < 64$					

NOTE – Sent / left numbers are in transfer units and of the preempted traffic class.

EXPOSED ECHO EXPRESS

Given such the name, exposed echo express as it performs in general, or exposed event express as it is for a case like of ours, when the first-pass echo content consists only of event-related information, or EEE in short for both such functions, is a concomitant event collection inextricably accompanying the respective DDD collection that is collected during the transfer unit time periods, with which the same time observed events, when and if present, are directly associated.

Intended to support for seamless fragmentation, the so called fragmentation events, or f-events in short, comprising preempt (p), release (r), and mixed (p/r), correspond to such the stream switching signals as the backstage of the introduced classes of service, see Table XII in conjunction with Table IX and Tables X and XI. Their encoding is root-matched to the encoding of the regular transfer unit, see Table XIII.

Intended to support for precise synchronization, the also so called synchronization event, or s-event in short, corresponds to a pulse-per-interval signal acting like a relative timestamp, see Table XIV. Its encoding is root-matched to the encoding of the regular transfer unit and, therefore, of the f-events, too, see again Tables XIII and XII, respectively.

Thus, all the items passable into the FEC input, or passables in short, exhibit the same shape of content organization, in the view of their roots, all whose bits further must be represented, not affixes, all whose bits are simply bypassed.

Purposely, the second-pass echo multiplexing process does on EEE to fulfill the EEE section inside the FEC input.

CONCLUSION

So, we highlighted a scenario enabling a coding means that simultaneously supports for precise synchronization, seamless preemption, and quality of service via differential classes of service, all resolved inside the Physical Layer of the ISO OSI seven-layer Basic Reference Model, in the manner and by the way we also described above and below, in a form of the single design we abstractly synthesized, proposed, and then specified in its major details, important to understand it.

To reach the objectives, we made the proposed design fully operable at the multiple scales we are interested in, beginning with the task of precise synchronization, see Table XV.

Regardless of the selected among those multiple scales, the proposed design determines the FEC input by the single plan, occupying that input completely and therefore leaving no free transportation bits in its whole, see Table XVI.

At its heart, the proposed design embodies an implementation of the linguistic multiplexing operations, in a form of the

TABLE X
TRAFFIC PREEMPTION ANALYSIS

Least Σ of Units →	1	2	3	4 + 67	68 + 131	132 + 195	196	Remark
Tr. Unit Stream	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	$0 \leq \Sigma \leq 4$
Fragmentation Events	Pp	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	
	Xp	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	

NOTE – This is one among the equivalent worst cases excluding intra-preemption.

TABLE XI
TRAFFIC INTRA-PREEMPTION ANALYSIS

Displacement →	+0	+1	+2	+3	≥+(A B C)	≤-(A B C)	-3	-2	-1	-0
Tr. Unit Stream	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□	□
F-Events	Pp	Px	Xp	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
	Px	Xp	Xx	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
	(Pp Px Xp units sent ≥ A B C)					(Pp Px Xp units left ≥ A B C)				

NOTE – Intra-preemption relates to the shaded periods in the preemption analysis.

TABLE XII
SUITABLE F-EVENT ENCODING

F-Event	Content Structure / Content Modulus	Root Bits	Affix Bits
<P> PREEMPT	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{empty, or} \\ \text{choice 1 of 35} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{\square} \\ X_{\square} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \square_{\square} \\ \square_{\square} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \square_{\square} \\ \square_{\square} \end{array} \right\}$ $2^5 < 9 \cdot 2^2 < 2^6$	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ($N_r = 9$) once/event	3,4,5,6,- (4 bits) once/event
<R> RELEASE	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{empty, or} \\ \text{choice 1 of 35} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{always implies it is} \\ \text{the current fragment} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \square_{\square} \\ \square_{\square} \end{array} \right\}$ $2^5 < 9 \cdot 2^2 < 2^6$	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ($N_r = 9$) once/event	3,4,-,-,- (2 bits) once/event
<P/R> PREEMPT/ RELEASE	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{empty, or} \\ \text{choice 1 of 35} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \square_{\square} \\ \square_{\square} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{\square} \\ X_{\square} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \square_{\square} \\ \square_{\square} \end{array} \right\}$ $2^5 < 9 \cdot 2^2 < 2^6$	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ($N_r = 9$) once/event	3,4,5,6,7 (5 bits) once/event

NOTE – We need to embed $5P + P_R + 5R$ fragmentation events into the FEC input.

TABLE XIII
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING

Tr. Unit	Content Structure / Content Modulus	Root Bits	Affix Bits
<D/C> DATA/CTRL	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{control code choice 1 of 32 (assuming that } 32 = 1 \times 32), \text{ or} \\ \text{data octet value choice 1 of 256 (assuming that } 256 = 8 \times 32) \end{array} \right\}$ $2^8 < 9 \cdot 2^5 < 2^9$	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ($N_r = 9$) once/event	3,4,5,6,7 (5 bits) once/event

NOTE – We need to embed $n_p = 200$ transfer units (of the duty) into the FEC input.

TABLE XIV
SUITABLE S-EVENT ENCODING

S-Event	Content Structure / Content Modulus	Root Bits	Affix Bits
<TS> TIMESTAMP	$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{empty, or choice} \\ \text{1 of up to 6,560} \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{relates to the frame time period} \\ \text{of the input embedding the stamp} \end{array} \right\}$ $2^{12} < 9^4 < 2^{13}$	$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ ($N_r = 9$) four times/event	-,-,-,- (unused)

NOTE – We need to embed just a single synchronization event into the FEC input.


TABLE XV
ACHIEVABLE RESOLUTION

Protocol	2.5GBASE-T	5GBASE-T	"10GBASE-T"	(N_r) ⁴ - 1
f @ M 1/f →	10 GHz @ 6,400 100 ps @ 2/1 * Mref	10 GHz @ 3,200 100 ps @ 1/1 * Mref	10 GHz @ 1,600 100 ps @ 1/2 * Mref	6,560 (Mmax)

TABLE XVI
FEC INPUT PLAN

Passable	Mux Process	Merged Root Bits	Bypassed Affix Bits	
D/C # 1		1+5α, 1β, 1γ, 1δ	13, 14, 15, 16, 17	
D/C # 2		2β, 2γ, 2δ	23, 24, 25, 26, 27	
D/C # 3		3β, 3γ, 3δ	33, 34, 35, 36, 37	
D/C # 4		4β, 4γ, 4δ	43, 44, 45, 46, 47	
D/C # 5		5β, 5γ, 5δ	53, 54, 55, 56, 57	
D/C # 6		6+10α, 6β, 6γ, 6δ	63, 64, 65, 66, 67	
D/C # 7		7β, 7γ, 7δ	73, 74, 77, 76, 77	
D/C # 194		194β, 194γ, 194δ	1943, 1944, 1945, 1946, 1947	
D/C # 195		195β, 195γ, 195δ	1953, 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957	
D/C # 196		196β, 196γ, 196δ	1963, 1964, 1965, 1966, 1967	
D/C # 197		197β, 197γ, 197δ	1973, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1977	
D/C # 198		198β, 198γ, 198δ	1983, 1984, 1985, 1986, 1987	
D/C # 199		199β, 199γ, 199δ	1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997	
D/C # 200		200β, 200γ, 200δ	2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007	
P # 1 (F)			201+205α, 201β, 201γ, 201δ	2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
P # 2 (F)			202β, 202γ, 202δ	2023, 2024, 2025, 2026
P # 3 (F)			203β, 203γ, 203δ	2033, 2034, 2035, 2036
P # 4 (F)	204β, 204γ, 204δ		2043, 2044, 2045, 2046	
P # 5 (F)	205β, 205γ, 205δ		2053, 2054, 2055, 2056	
R # 1 (F)		206+210α, 206β, 206γ, 206δ	2063, 2064	
R # 2 (F)		207β, 207γ, 207δ	2073, 2074	
R # 3 (F)		208β, 208γ, 208δ	2083, 2084	
R # 4 (F)		209β, 209γ, 209δ	2093, 2094	
R # 5 (F)		210β, 210γ, 210δ	2103, 2104	
P/R (F)		211+215α, 211β, 211γ, 211δ	2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117	
TS (S)		212β, 212γ, 212δ		
		213β, 213γ, 213δ		
		214β, 214γ, 214δ		
		215β, 215γ, 215δ		
Σ... = 211 1/4	Σ Rounds = 43	Σ Root Bits = 688	Σ Affix Bits = 1,035	

TABLE XX
FEC FRAME BITS



respective poly-round second-pass echo multiplexing process that covers root-uniformly over all the diverse passables into the FEC input, see Tables XVII, XVIII, and XIX.

Regardless of the selected scale, again, the proposed design results in a suite of the respective transportation bits fulfilling both the DDD section and the EEE section of the FEC frame, as its input, ready and complete, see Table XX in conjunction with Table XVI taken together afore Table II.

All these major details, i.e., on those we are focused in this paper, are enough for us to consider the proposed design, as well as the synthesis principles it was grounded on, applicable in circumstances of the original MGBASE-T physical layers, 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T [1], as well as of other similar protocols featuring a very long binary transport word then so exhibiting a comparatively excessive redundancy, respectively, with some restrictions and/or assumptions.^{3 4}

In the rest of its details, the proposed design is a mixture of the recipes characterized in [2], [3], [4], [5], and [6], applied cumulatively and complementarily.

REFERENCES

[1] Physical Layers and Management Parameters for 2.5 Gb/s and 5 Gb/s Operation, Types 2.5GBASE-T and 5GBASE-T, IEEE Std 802.3bz-2016.
 [2] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 25G/40GBASE-T FEC input," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 17–20.
 [3] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the MultiGBASE-T1 PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3ch protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 13–16.
 [4] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-KR PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3ap protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 9–12.
 [5] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-T PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3an protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 5–8.
 [6] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

³In the constraining expression of $36 \leq A + B + C < 64$, see Table IX, $64 = n_{\text{fragment, min}}$ is the least fragment duration and $36 = n_{\text{offset, max}}$ is the longest relative offset, including the "empty" variant, directly codable by a fragmentation event, all measured in transfer unit periods.

⁴There are up to $5 + 1 + 5 = 11$ ($p + p/r + r = \text{total}$) fragmentation events allowed (sensible) during each frame time period of $n_p = 200$ transfer unit times, see Table XVI, that gives the following number of their combinations possible theoretically, however, not so many of those are practically valid:

(diversity) = $C_{200}^0 + \dots + C_{200}^{11} = \dots < 411,473,991,891,891,896 < 0.42 \times 10^{18}$, where C_x^y is the number of y -combinations in a set of x elements, $C_x^y = \binom{x}{y}$, while the transport capacity provided by the respective areas in the FEC input, excluding related to the CoS bits of the p-events, is about two and a half times greater than even that number of the possible event combinations:

(capacity) = $36^{11} \times 2^3 = \dots = 1,052,973,630,738,137,088 > 1.05 \times 10^{18}$,

where 2^3 is the modulus related to the non-offset bits of the p/r-event. Thus, we conclude that all the event combinations can be unambiguously encoded in the proposed design, somehow by a particular implementation, one or another, keeping the focus, we consider any out of the scope of this paper.

TABLE XVII
LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING PROPERTIES

Passable	pass _{np} = pass _{ne} × pass _k	pass(N _r × N _b)	pass _t = pass _b + pass _r	W
D/C (duty)	200 = 5 × 40	9 × 32	41 = 25 + 16	≤16
P-area (F)	5 = 5 × 1	9 × 16	36 = 20 + 16	≤16
R-area (F)	5 = 5 × 1	9 × 4	26 = 10 + 16	≤16
P/R (F) + TS	1 1/4 = 1 1/4 × 1	9×32, 9×1	21 = 5 + 16	≤16
Σ np = 211 1/4	Σ k = 43	t _{max} = D/C _t = 41	r _{max} = 16	≤16

TABLE XVIII
ECHO BUDGET MEMO

Passable	Budget Subline	Samples Within
D/C (duty)	Total Echo Pool Available	2 ¹⁶ × 2 ²⁵ = 65,536 × 2 ²⁵
	└ Native Echo Pool, pre-planned	9 ⁵ × 2 ²⁵ = 59,049 × 2 ²⁵
	└ Rest, i.e., free to any other use	(2 ¹⁶ - 9 ⁵) × 2 ²⁵ = 6,487 × 2 ²⁵
P-area (F)	Total Echo Pool Available	2 ¹⁶ × 2 ²⁵ = 65,536 × 2 ²⁰
R-area (F)	Total Echo Pool Available	2 ¹⁶ × 2 ¹⁰ = 65,536 × 2 ¹⁰
P/R (F) + TS	Total Echo Pool Available	2 ¹⁶ × 2 ⁵ = 65,536 × 2 ⁵

NOTE - In-rest echo pools may cover extra signaling, e.g., OAM, LPI, ordered set.

TABLE XIX
DATA ENCODING FLOW

Passable	N = N _{data} + N _{extra}	8•r _{ne}	ENC _{B@32b} ≥	ENC _{B@64b} ≥
D/C (duty)	288 = 256 + 32	40 << (11×32=) 352	< (6×64=) 384	
Comment →	9·32 = 8·32 + 1·32	(8·5) with XGMII half cycles	with XGMII full cycles	

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 100GBASE-R FEC Inputs

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—We consider existing Ethernet protocols featuring a very long binary transport word, in the scope and hope that we can re-use their redundancy and, by re-distributing it, embed an extra, event transfer ability into them. In this paper, we consider the 100GBASE-R family of Ethernet physical layers.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, 100GBASE-R.

INTRODUCTION

ONE-HUNDRED Gigabit Ethernet physical layers, types 100GBASE-KP4 and 100GBASE-KR4 for board-reach electrical backplanes and type 100GBASE-CR4 for in-cabinet twinax cable interlinks [1], all use the common 100GBASE-R Forward Error Correction (FEC) sublayer [1], that is based on the Reed–Solomon (RS) systematic FEC options of (528, 514) and (544, 514) $GF(2^{10})$ symbols, dependently.

Every FEC frame time (FT) period of $51.2 = 512 \times 0.1$ ns, nominally, that 100GBASE-R FEC sublayer issues a frame of one of the two possible types, we further refer to as the usual FEC frame and the FEC frame with alignment markers (AMs), normal or rapid, depending on should the payload of AMs be absent (usually) or present (rarely) in the currently issued FEC frame, see Tables I and II, respectively.¹

Given such the problem, we perform a search for an appropriate coding scheme, based on the already proven recipes, in each of their turns, developed in [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], and [7], into the left from the right, successively.

Due to the two FEC frame types, mostly similar but distinct enough to necessitate for a dedicated treatment upon each, we plan also two linguistic multiplexing processes, each featuring the so called fixed part of $7 \times 513 + 10 = 3,601$ transportation bits, expectedly common for the both, and a so called varying part of $5,140 - 3,601 = 1,539$ transportation bits, peculiar for

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation.
Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹During its “normal” mode of operation, the 100GBASE-R FEC sublayer issues a frame with normal alignment markers (FEC frame with NAMs) once from every 4,096th frame, that gives a bit stream of about 1.526 Mbps for each per-lane payload of NAMs, measured at the service interface of the respective physical medium attachment (PMA) sublayer. During a “transitional” mode, e.g., recovering from a low-power idle, instead of the former, the same entity issues a frame with rapid alignment markers (FEC frame with RAMs) once from every second frame. The latter gives a bit stream of exact 3.125 Gbps for each per-lane payload of RAMs, though, it ends up as fast as the so supported mode of the 100GBASE-R FEC operation ends up, too.

TABLE I
USUAL FEC FRAME

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC [Forward Error Correction] frame	nominal 19,531,250 frames/s	$\Sigma 5,280$ or 5,440
Input, accessible by user	max 640 data octets \rightarrow 5,120 a-bits	$20 \times 257 = 5,140$
└ 256B/257B coded block #1	└ first 32 data octets or controls	└ $32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
└ 256B/257B coded block #20	└ last 32 data octets or controls	└ $32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
└ Parity, RS(528 or 544, 514)	calculated over all the bits above	140 or 300

TABLE II
FEC FRAME WITH AMs

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC [Forward Error Correction] frame	from every 2nd (4,096th) frame for RAMs (NAMs)	$\Sigma 5,280$ or 5,440
Input, dedicated to AMs	includes 1280 payload and 5 padding t-bits	$5 \times 257 = 1,285$
Input, accessible by user	max 480 data octets \rightarrow 3,840 a-bits	$15 \times 257 = 3,855$
└ 256B/257B coded block #16	└ first 32 data octets or controls	└ $32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
└ 256B/257B coded block #20	└ last 32 data octets or controls	└ $32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
└ Parity, RS(528 or 544, 514)	calculated over all the bits above	140 or 300

TABLE III
PROPOSED MULTIPLEXING APPROACH

Frame	Varying Part of the FEC Input	Fixed Part of the FEC Input
Usual w/AMs	1st, 2nd, and 3rd Complete Echo Multiplexing Rounds RAM/NAM Payload + Rest Padding 3rd Partial Round	4th to 10th Complete Echo Multiplexing Rounds Spare Bits
Size \rightarrow	$5 \times 257 - 3 = 1,280 + 2$ t-bits	1×257 t-bits
		7×513 transportation bits
		10 t-bits

TABLE IV
LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING PROPERTIES

Frame	v	$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	t	$*t$	s	
Usual	5,140	$640 = 64 \times 10$	—	513	10	
w/AMs	$3,855 + 3$	$480 = 64 \times 7 + 32 \times 1$	—	513	$7 + 3$	
Measured in	t-bits frame	units frame	units round	units round	units round	t-bits frame

the usual FEC frame as well as for the FEC frame with AMs, respectively, see Tables III and IV.

Assuming the rest seemed trivial at the rich background of the known design recipes mentioned earlier, the most share of our current work lies in the area of representation procedures, primarily, of their implementation techniques and performance characteristics, actual in the new circumstances.²

²At such high speeds of operation, like the considered speed of 100 Gbps, an arithmetic-based implementation of the representation procedure begins to seem very costly in the terms of power dissipation and silicon complexity, so now we need to discover for an appropriate logic-based one.

TABLE V
REPRESENTATION FUNCTION DEMONSTRATION




TABLE VI
EQUIVALENT RELAYING FRAMEWORK




TABLE VII
CONTROL MANDATORY CONTENT

Content Structure and Content Modulus	Constraints	Upper Limit
$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{displacement } (m-h) \\ \text{choice of } n_e \end{array} \right\} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h\text{-th control code} \\ \text{choice of } N_{ctrl} \end{array} \right\}$	$1 \leq n_e \cdot N_{ctrl} \leq N_{extra}$	$N_{extra} = 2^{8-1}$

TABLE VIII
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING—BINARY-PURE APPROACH

Bit Role @ n_e	Bit Role @ N_{ctrl}	D/C A-Bits	Bit Role @ n_e	Bit Role @ N_{ctrl}
"pit" (static) any	"pit" (static) any	d_0 : —	"baton" any	"baton" any
"track" any	"track" any	d_1 : C_1	"leg" any	"leg" any
"track" $=2^{\geq 2}$	"fan" (static) =64	d_2 : C_2	"leg" $=2^{\geq 2}$	"fan" (static) =64
"track" $=2^{\geq 3}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 4}$	d_3 : C_3	"leg" $=2^{\geq 3}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 4}$
"track" $=2^{\geq 4}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 3}$	d_4 : C_4	"leg" $=2^{\geq 4}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 3}$
"track" $=2^{\geq 5}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 2}$	d_5 : C_5	"leg" $=2^{\geq 5}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 2}$
"track" $=2^{\geq 6}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 1}$	d_6 : C_6	"leg" $=2^{\geq 6}$	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 1}$
"track" =128	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 0}$	d_7 : C_7	"leg" =128	"fan" (static) $=2^{\geq 0}$

NOTE – We consider any "track"/"leg" or "pit"/"baton" accommodation bit a root bit.

TABLE IX
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING—BINARY-PURE VARIANTS

$N - N_{data} = N_{extra} = n_e \cdot N_{ctrl} = 2^7$	ξ	$t - \xi$	b	$r - \xi$
2*64; 4*32; 8*16; 16*8; 32*4; 64*2; 128*1	1	$8 \cdot n_e$	$n_e \cdot \log_2 N_{ctrl}$	$n_e \cdot \log_2 n_e$

NOTE – That approach uses individual control displacement and control code bits.

TABLE X
ECHO BUDGET MEMO

Pool	Samples Within	$m - n_e < h < m^{bis} + \xi$	Signature
Total	$\text{pow}(2, t) = \text{pow}(2, r) \times \text{pow}(2, b)$	pattern	sum over 0-th bit row
Native	$\text{pow}(2, t) - \text{pow}(2, 7 \cdot n_e)$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0/1 & \dots & 0/1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\sum^{(h)} U_0 < n_e + \xi$
Rest	$\text{pow}(2, 7 \cdot n_e)$ [i.e., excluding 0-th bit row]	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\sum^{(h)} U_0 = n_e + \xi$

RELAY RACE REPRESENTATION

We introduce the so called (because so working) relay race representation, our newbie, to be applied by us during an echo multiplexing round after now, instead of the so called (because so working, too) base change representation we applied afore, visualizing one pictorial of its possible functions, see Table V (example with $n_e = 4$, only roots are shown).

Unlike of its older predecessor, that is a more complicated, arithmetic-based procedure we plan to replace, the newbie is a completely (in general) or mostly (at least) logic-based, thus, simpler one. But also like of its predecessor, the newbie is still easily intelligible-perceptible and scalable-adaptable because both memory-less and pattern-ness, see Table VI.

Concerning the regular transfer unit capable to hold a single item—responding to either a data octet value or a control code observed during an octet time period—of the original duty, the application of the newbie necessitates for the relative position of the respective period to be described in the content of every instance of the regular transfer unit containing a control code, along with that code itself, see Table VII.

Differentiating, a completely logic-based option of the newbie results from the so called (because so selected) binary-pure approach on the transfer unit encoding, that defines only such situations when all the bits (if any) keeping a control code and all the bits keeping its position are separated explicitly and so treatable separately, see Tables VIII and IX.

When routinely involved during a round of the implemented linguistic multiplexing process, an option of the newbie gen-

TABLE XI
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING—BINARY-CODED APPROACH

Bit Role @ n_e	Bit Role if N_{ctrl}	D/C A-Bits	Bit Role @ n_e	Bit Role if N_{ctrl}
"pit" (static) any	"pit" (static) any	d_0	"baton" any	"baton" any
"track" any	"track" any	d_1	"leg" any	"leg" any
"track" any	"track" any	d_2	"leg" any	"leg" any
"track" ≥ 5	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^5 \\ 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$	d_3	"leg" ≥ 5	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^5 \\ 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$
"track" ≥ 9	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$	d_4	"leg" ≥ 9	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^5 \\ 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$
"track" ≥ 17	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$	d_5	"leg" ≥ 17	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^5 \\ 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$
"track" ≥ 33	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$	d_6	"leg" ≥ 33	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^5 \\ 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$
"track" ≥ 65	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$	d_7	"leg" ≥ 65	"fan" $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{is divisible by } 2^5 \\ 2^4 \\ 2^3 \\ 2^2 \\ 2^1 \end{array} \right\}$

NOTE – We consider any "fan" accommodation bit, only when present, an affix bit.

TABLE XII
TRANSFER UNIT ENCODING—BINARY-CODED VARIANTS

Parameter →	Multiplexing Round Duration, n_e	In-unit Control Modulus, N_{ctrl}
Constraints	$2^1 < n_e < 2^7$, n_e is not a power of 2	$2 < n_e \cdot N_{ctrl} < 128$ with $N_{ctrl} > 0$

NOTE – That approach reversibly merges displacement and code info in a control.

TABLE XIII
NESTED ECHO BUDGET—BINARY-CODED UNIT

Pool	Samples Within	Applicability	Remark
Total	$N = N_{data} + N_{extra} = 2^8 + 2^8 - 1 = 384$	$(^h)u : m - n_e < h \leq m$ (n_e transfer units)	only use of the native samples is in the scope of this paper
Native	$N_{data} + n_e \cdot N_{ctrl}$ [i.e., including data and ctrl]		
Rest	$N - N_{data} - n_e \cdot N_{ctrl} = N_{extra} - n_e \cdot N_{ctrl}$		

erates samples from its native echo pool. However, the total echo pool always provides an extra space called the rest echo pool, of distinguishable samples, besides of the native, which are free for other signals, e.g., when forced, see Table X.

Differentiating further, any mostly logic-based option of the newbie results from the so called (because so set, too) binary-coded approach on the transfer unit encoding, that defines the rest situations, i.e., when it is impossible to separate at least a part of the bits and, therefore, such the bits are treatable only together, see Tables XI, XII, and XIII.

To yet more understand how the newbie works, step by step, one could suppose a multi-leg relay race run, where each leg runner corresponds to a control-containing unit and the place the leg starts at corresponds to the relative position of the unit in the stream. Hence, the same one could suppose the function of the newbie as a selective overlay of the successively made photo shots, each fixing either a moment when two adjacent legs pass the baton, or that moment when the final-leg runner crosses the finish line and so ends the race.³ By our turn, we try to help in this, visualizing a case, see Table XIV (example with $n_e = 9$ and three controls in some positions).

Closing the introduction and its accompanying comprehensive and (we believe) exhaustive description of our newbie, we also need to remember that any selected option of the newbie results in a function that is fully reversible, unambiguously as well as clearly and easily, see Table XV (example to turn back the representation done just above).

³Running, a leg pushes back the track under the leg's strong legs.

TABLE XIV
REPRESENTATION FLOW DEMONSTRATION





TABLE XV
DEMULPLEXING PATH RECONSTRUCTION



Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 10G/25G-EPON FEC Inputs

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—Among existing Ethernet protocols featuring a very long binary transport word, there are some whose word we could call not very but extremely long. In this paper, we consider one of such the protocols, 25G-EPON. Also, we consider its lower-speed companion/predecessor, 10G-EPON. The objective of our search is the same as before: we try to extend the selected coding means with an event delivery function that is primarily intended to give a basic support for a precise synchronization task.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, Ethernet Passive Optical Network, EPON, 10G-EPON, 25G-EPON.

INTRODUCTION

ETHERNET Passive Optical Network, or EPON in short, introduces a number of multi-gigabit physical layers and their corresponding FECs, two of those are in the focus of this paper, with speeds of 10 Gbps [1] and 25 Gbps [2].

Considering the 10 Gbps Forward Error Correction option, 10G-EPON FEC [1], we imply a tree-like, point-to-multipoint network whose trunk node runs a sole instance of the 10 Gbps optical line terminal, 10G-OLT, as well as whose branch nodes run instances of the 10 Gbps optical network unit, 10G-ONU, solely and jointly forming the 10 Gbps downstream, 10G-DS, and the 10 Gbps upstream, 10G-US, of information that travels from this trunk into all these branches and back, respectively, based on the unified framing rules, see Table I.

Considering the 25 Gbps Forward Error Correction option, 25G-EPON FEC [2], we imply a tree-like, point-to-multipoint network whose trunk node runs a sole instance of the 25 Gbps optical line terminal, 25G-OLT, as well as whose branch nodes

A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation.
Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹The asymmetric core (essence, nature) of EPON may or may not manifest itself quantitatively, i.e., there may be an inequality between those DS and US speeds (bit rates), but anyway does the such qualitatively, i.e., there must be the expected difference between the DS and US behaviors (operations). In the EPON architecture, the OLT is the exclusive source of the DS frames and they are visible to all the ONUs present in that network. The same time, any ONU can issue its US frames but they will be visible to the OLT only. Performing their operations, the OLT issues the DS frames continuously, never turning off its laser, while an ONU issues its US frames in a variable-length burst, turning on and off its laser before and after each of its bursts, respectively. Moreover, an ONU in 25G-EPON shows a number of the sizes its US frames can be of, that does neither the OLT that uses one fixed size regarding of the DS speed, nor any ONU in 10G-EPON. Therefore, that difference makes the underlying coding means of EPON more complex than the same means of the protocols considered in [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], and [9], but not untreatable, including thanks to the design recipes raised in the development of those originals.

TABLE I
ORIGINAL 10G-EPON FRAMING

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC [Forward Error Correction] frame	up to about 5.04 Mio frames/s	$31 \times 66 = 2,046$
Input, accessible by user	max 216 data octets → 1,728 a-bits	$27 \times 66 = 1,755$
65 bits of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #1	first 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
65 bits of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #2	next 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
65 bits of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #26	prev 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
65 bits of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #27	last 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
Parity, auto-generated	calculated over only the bits above	$32 \times 8 = 256$
body bits of 66B block #28	1 st eight GF(2 ⁸) parity symbols	$8 \times 8 + 0 = 64$
body bits of 66B block #29	2 nd eight GF(2 ⁸) parity symbols	$8 \times 8 + 0 = 64$
body bits of 66B block #30	3 rd eight GF(2 ⁸) parity symbols	$8 \times 8 + 0 = 64$
body bits of 66B block #31	4 th eight GF(2 ⁸) parity symbols	$8 \times 8 + 0 = 64$
Rest, auto-generated, too	intended to complete the blocks	$27 \times 1 + 4 \times 2 = 35$
one bit of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #1	inverted sync of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #1	$0 \times 0 + 1 = 1$
one bit of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #2	inverted sync of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #2	$0 \times 0 + 1 = 1$
one bit of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #26	inverted sync of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #26	$0 \times 0 + 1 = 1$
one bit of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #27	inverted sync of ${}^{64B}/{}_{66B}$ block #27	$0 \times 0 + 1 = 1$
sync bits of 66B block #28	always 00 [signals the block is not a data/ctrl]	$0 \times 0 + 2 = 2$
sync bits of 66B block #29	always 11 [signals the block is not a data/ctrl]	$0 \times 0 + 2 = 2$
sync bits of 66B block #30	always 11 [signals the block is not a data/ctrl]	$0 \times 0 + 2 = 2$
sync bits of 66B block #31	always 00 [signals the block is not a data/ctrl]	$0 \times 0 + 2 = 2$

run instances of the 25 Gbps optical network unit, 25G-ONU, solely and jointly forming the 25 Gbps downstream, 25G-DS, and the 25 Gbps upstream, 25G-US, of information that travels from this trunk into all these branches and back, respectively, based on the differentiated rules, see Tables II and III.

Regardless of a particular FEC option, the only its piece we are interested in, is the input, as a vector of transportation bits accessible by the user, with nothing else.

Thru the rest of this paper, we describe a way to enable an extra, event transfer ability inside the coding means based on the mentioned FEC options of EPON, also accounting for its asymmetric¹ and asynchronous² nature.

²The asynchronous core of EPON manifests itself in the so called floating, ambiguous—as it seems to the user—relationship between the time interval a portion of the duty is factually sensed and the time interval it is actually sent: this happens due to insertion and deletion of the FEC parity information. This is like in 100GBASE-R considered in [3], where it happens due to the same manipulations, but with the (off-duty) payload related to alignment markers, however oppositely, this is unlike in the other protocols considered in [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], and [9], where every transfer quantity (unit or span) time period relates with only one, pre-known quantity period (absolute) in some quantity-conveying stream, as it is observed at the accommodation service interface, as well as only one, pre-defined quantity position (relative) in the FEC input, as it is observed at the transportation service interface, respectively. Therefore, we should clear that in the context of EPON, 100GBASE-R, and similar coding means, asynchronous in their work (behavior), any association made between a given quantity period and its time period, must always be read conditional, regardless of such was explicitly stated or just implicitly assumed.

TABLE II
ORIGINAL 25G-EPON DOWNSTREAM FRAMING

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC frame issued by OLT	about 1.52 Mio frames/s	$66 \times 257 = 16,926$
Input, accessible by user	max 1,792 data octets → 14,336 a-bits	$56 \times 257 = 14,392$
$^{256B/257B}$ coded block #1	first 32 data octets or controls	$32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
$^{256B/257B}$ coded block #2	next 32 data octets or controls	$32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
$^{256B/257B}$ coded block #56	last 32 data octets or controls	$32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
Parity Delimiter } auto-generated	calculated over only the bits above ten-bit-long constant pattern	$10 \times 257 = \begin{cases} 2,560 \\ 10 \end{cases}$

TABLE III
ORIGINAL 25G-EPON UPSTREAM FRAMING

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC frame issued by ONU	sent in a variable-length burst	$2,827 \div 16,926$
Input, accessible by user	$32 \div 1,792$ d.o. → $256 \div 14,336$ a-bits	$(!) 257 \div 14,392$
$^{256B/257B}$ block #1 (mandatory)	first 32 data octets or controls	$32 \times 8 + 1 = 257$
$^{256B/257B}$ block #2 <optional>	extra 32 data octets or controls	<if any> + 257
$^{256B/257B}$ block #56 <optional>	extra 32 data octets or controls	<if any> + 257
Parity Delimiter } auto-generated	calculated over only the bits above ten-bit-long constant pattern	$(!) 2570 = \begin{cases} 2,560 \\ 10 \end{cases}$

TABLE IV
PREPARATORY BINARY-PURE 25G-EPON-COMPATIBLE 64B/66B-TO-64B/65B TRANSCODING

Sync Bits	Body Bits = Explicit 64B/66B Coded Block Content	Implicit	D/C	Transfer Span (g=8), ready to further multiplexing	X Bits
01	D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	—	→ "D"	D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	—
10	0x1e C ₀ C ₁ C ₂ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	—	→ "C"	[disp]X C ₀ C ₁ C ₂ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	CMD ₁
10	0x2d C ₀ C ₁ C ₂ C ₃ O ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	—	depreciated in 25G-EPON	<div style="border: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px;"> DESIGN CONDITIONS TO BE MANDATORILY MET: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \text{disp} \leq 31, 0 \leq X \leq 3, \text{CMD}_1 \neq \text{CMD}_2 \neq \text{CMD}_3 \neq \text{CMD}_4 \\ 0 \leq \text{[bit]} \leq 1, \text{[bit]} \neq \text{[bit]} \quad (\text{[bit]} \text{ is the future baton bit}) \end{array} \right.$ </div>	
10	0x55 D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ O ₄ O ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	—			
10	0x4b D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ O ₄ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	—			
10	0x66 D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ O ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	S ₄			
10	0x33 C ₀ C ₁ C ₂ C ₃ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	S ₄			
10	0x78 D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	S ₀	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆ D ₇	CMD ₂
10	0xff D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆	T ₇	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ D ₆	CMD ₃
10	0xe1 D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ C ₇	T ₆	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ D ₅ C ₇ [bit]	CMD ₄
10	0xd2 D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ C ₆ C ₇	T ₅	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ D ₄ C ₆ C ₇ [bit]	
10	0xcc D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	T ₄	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ D ₃ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇ [bit]	
10	0xb4 D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	T ₃	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ D ₁ D ₂ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇ [bit]	
10	0xaa D ₀ D ₁ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	T ₂	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ D ₁ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇ [bit]	
10	0x99 D ₀ C ₂ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	T ₁	→ "C"	[disp]X D ₀ C ₂ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇ [bit]	
10	0x87 C ₁ C ₂ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇	T ₀	→ "C"	[disp]X C ₁ C ₂ C ₃ C ₄ C ₅ C ₆ C ₇ [bit]	
	(This is not defined in the original 64B/66B block encoding and also not used in the original 25G-EPON physical encoding.) "FILLER"	{	"C"	[disp]X 49 extras into the spare bits [bit]	
Block Type	Rest of the Block, D/C/O = Data/Control/Ordered set	Start/Terminate	Root Bits	Affix Bits, simply bypassed during multiplexing	(choice 1 of 4)


TABLE V
25G-EPON-AIMED UPSTREAM LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING PROCESS CONFIGURATION

$^{US}n_p$ as a multiple of g	+	$n_{\text{FILLER}} @ ^{US}n_p$	→ $*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$v = v(*n_p) / v_{257}$	$s = s(v, ^{US}n_p)$
— ; 8 ; 16 ; 24 ; 32	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	32	—	1	196 ; 147 ; 98 ; 49 ; —
32 ; 40 ; 48 ; 56 ; 64	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	64	—	2	197 ; 148 ; 99 ; 50 ; 1
192 ; 200 ; 208 ; 216 ; 224	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	224	—	7	202 ; 153 ; 104 ; 55 ; 6
224 ; 232 ; 240 ; 248 ; 256	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	256	256×1	8	203 ; 154 ; 105 ; 56 ; 7
256 ; 264 ; 272 ; 280 ; 288	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	288	$256 \times 1 + 32 \times 1$	9	203 ; 154 ; 105 ; 56 ; 7
1,472 ; 1,480 ; 1,488 ; 1,496 ; 1,504	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	1,504	$256 \times 5 + 224 \times 1$	47	237 ; 188 ; 139 ; 90 ; 41
1,504 ; 1,512 ; 1,520 ; 1,528 ; 1,536	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	1,536	256×6	48	238 ; 189 ; 140 ; 91 ; 42
1,536 ; 1,544 ; 1,552 ; 1,560 ; 1,568	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	1,568	$256 \times 6 + 32 \times 1$	49	238 ; 189 ; 140 ; 91 ; 42
1,728 ; 1,736 ; 1,744 ; 1,752 ; 1,760	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	1,760	$256 \times 6 + 224 \times 1$	55	244 ; 195 ; 146 ; 97 ; 48
1,760 ; 1,768 ; 1,776 ; 1,784 ; 1,792	32 ; 24 ; 16 ; 8 ; —	1,792	256×7	56	245 ; 196 ; 147 ; 98 ; 49

TABLE VI
25G-EPON-AIMED DOWNSTREAM LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING PROCESS CONFIGURATION

$^{DS}n_p$ as a multiple of g	+	$n_{\text{FILLER}} @ ^{DS}n_p$	→ $*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	$v = v(*n_p) / v_{257}$	$s = s(v, ^{DS}n_p)$
— ; 8 ; 16 ; ; 1,792	1,792 ; ; 8 ; —	1,792	256×7	56	11,025 ; ; 98 ; 49
Comment → 1·8 2·8 224·8	224·8 1·8 0·8	fixed	seven complete rounds no partial round anyway	fixed	(224+1)·49 (1+1)·49 1·49

TABLE VII
COMPLETE ECHO MULTIPLEXING ROUND EXAMPLE



GATHERING'N'RELAYING

We fuse an appropriately selected (bespoke) variant of the relay race representation approach, as it is developed from [3] from [4] from [5] from earlier, with an appropriately adapted (modified) version of the standard gathering approach, as it is developed from [6] from [7] from [8] from earlier, respectively, negotiating also various changes necessary to enable and then conduct such the fusion, i.e., assuming $g > 1$, $N_{ctrl} \rightarrow N_{cmd}$, $1 \rightarrow g$, $n_e \rightarrow n_e : g$, $m \rightarrow m/g$, $h \rightarrow h/g$, etc.³

Instead of performing on every separate transfer unit in the stream, how it was before this fusion, the resulting, fused procedure performs on pre-collected (and properly pre-processed) transfer spans. In that stream, every span covers over the eight ($g = 8$) successive transfer unit periods corresponded with its eight units, one of those is considered its head.⁴

Via and thanks to the measures mentioned above, we divide the only, transfer unit stream, as it was given initially, into the two streams, deliberately managed to be disproportional in the coverage, as so much as $g > 1$, the smaller one, stream of the head units, consisting of just one per every g units in the initial stream, and the bigger one, stream of the rest units, consisting of all except one per every g units, respectively.

While the gathering pass of that procedure may address the content of any transfer unit, its relaying pass will address only and only the content of the stream of the head units.⁵

So we establish the so called gathering'n'relaying approach, or GnR in short, expected to be useful in this work.

³Unlike the rest, the change $N_{ctrl} \rightarrow N_{cmd}$ has a symbolic (visual) sense only: we introduce this renewed symbol, N_{cmd} , to replace the previous one, N_{ctrl} , not in the usage, but in the meaning in the new circumstances, where the head unit of a transfer span determines—only and only—how to interpret that span period in the whole, while neither the unit period the head takes its place in, nor any of the separate unit periods the span one consists of.

⁴Because now we need to account for just a single (“head”) per g transfer units gathered into a span, instead of every unit in the stream, as it was actual in [3], we refine the calculating and constraining expressions, $(m - h)$ and $n_e \times N_{ctrl}$, initially applied in [3], with $(m - h)/g$ and $(n_e : g) \times N_{cmd}$, respectively, that enables us to re-use the relay race representation procedure introduced in [3]. With $g = 1$ and $N_{cmd} = N_{ctrl}$, these refined expressions became the initial definitions given in [3]’s Tables VII and IX.

⁵Assuming the 64B/66B block encoding, that features 15 different control block types, and its possible reduction, that could be applicable in a particular case, we distinguish the following head transfer unit encoding variants, all are binary-pure, when considered in the view and terms of [3]:

“V” : $0 \leq V < N_{cmd} = 2^0 (=1)$, $0 \leq |disp| < n_e : g = 2^7 (=128)$
 “W” : $0 \leq W < N_{cmd} = 2^1 (=2)$, $0 \leq |disp| < n_e : g = 2^6 (=64)$
 “X” : $0 \leq X < N_{cmd} = 2^2 (=4)$, $0 \leq |disp| < n_e : g = 2^5 (=32)$
 “Y” : $0 \leq Y < N_{cmd} = 2^3 (=8)$, $0 \leq |disp| < n_e : g = 2^4 (=16)$
 “Z” : $0 \leq Z < N_{cmd} = 2^4 (=16)$, $0 \leq |disp| < n_e : g = 2^3 (=8)$
 It is clear to see that, for each of the variants, $(n_e : g) \times N_{cmd} = 2^7 = 128$.

SPARE'N'EXTRA

We complement a situationally available (given) amount of the spare bits, as it is developed from [9], with a purposefully controllable (managed) amount of the bits, each of those we consider an extra into (the favor of) the spare bits. We consider that these both—the spare bits, if any, and the extra bits, when necessary—convey some but off-duty information.⁶

In the FEC input and further in the FEC frame, these extra bits cause for they hit either a free-standing, span-long, multi-bit “filler” run conveying a fixed number of transportation bits accessible by the user, that is expectedly less than the number of transportation bits occupied by that whole span (due to the transcoding overhead), or a number of such the runs.⁷

Treating the extra bits so, i.e., on the block-based manner above, fits very well the EPON nature: because exactly block-based manipulations, including insertion and deletion, already happen in the nodes, thanks inside each to its first-in-first-out buffers, all involved in the standard, FIFO-based definition of the FEC sublayer operation, see [1] and [2].

The same time, treating the extras on that manner—already in itself and yet more when complemented along the spares—enables us to reach our objective as well as to give the coding background a simpler L -level description expressed in a form of some L -staged scheme, L -equation system, L -site pipeline, L -operation routine, L -step algorithm, etc.⁸

So we establish the so called spare'n'extra approach, or SnX in short, expected to be not less useful in the work, too.

⁶If such information should be exposed off the sublayer, the implementer can charge the last (16th) free room (0x00) in the space of 4-bit Hamming-distanced block type codes of the original 64B/66B block encoding.

⁷Each one of the spare bits occupies a maybe separate but certain position in the currently issued FEC input. Oppositely, all the extras into the spare bits appear in multi-bit patterns maybe anyway spread across that input.

⁸Describing a comprehensive function definable—in static, not dynamic—memory-less, we find vivid, clear, and easy to consider that function in a form of an abstract coding scheme exposing a number of bit signal slices drawn on the boundaries (i.e., arguments and results) of its stages, like the following:

$M_0 = A$: slice # 0 mediation = accommodation // m_0 -bits = a-bits
 M_1 : slice # 1 intermediation // m_1 -bits

 M_{L-1} : slice # $L-1$ intermediation // m_{L-1} -bits
 $M_L = T$: slice # L mediation = transportation // m_L -bits = t-bits

where L is the number of the stages in the scheme. Because of just two stages completing it—gathering (G), implemented via transcoding, then relaying (R), implemented via multiplexing—the scheme we proposed in this consideration has no need to expose more than these $L + 1$ bit signal slices, where $L = 2$:
 $M_0 = A$: accommodation service interface // m_0 -bits = a-bits
 $M_1 = M$: only ($L-1 = 1$) intermediation interface // m_1 -bits = m-bits
 $M_2 = T$: transportation service interface // m_2 -bits = t-bits
 In practice, we used to see mediation and intermediation the same in mention.

TABLE VIII
PREPARATORY 10G-EPON-COMPATIBLE TRANSCODING

D/C	Transfer Span, $0 \leq \text{disp} \leq 15, 0 \leq Y \leq 7, \text{CMD}_1 \neq \dots \neq \text{CMD}_8$	Y Bits										
"D"	<table border="1"><tr><td>D₀</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>D₄</td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td><td>D₇</td></tr></table>	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇	—		
D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇					
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>C₀</td><td>C₁</td><td>C₂</td><td>C₃</td><td>C₄</td><td>C₅</td><td>C₆</td><td>C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇	CMD ₁
disp	Y	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇			
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>C₀</td><td>C₁</td><td>C₂</td><td>C₃</td><td>O₄</td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td><td>D₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	O ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇	CMD ₂
disp	Y	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	O ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇			
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>O₀</td><td>O₄</td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td><td>D₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	O ₀	O ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇	CMD ₃
disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	O ₀	O ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇			
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>O₀</td><td>C₄</td><td>C₅</td><td>C₆</td><td>C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	O ₀	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇	CMD ₄
disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	O ₀	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇			
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>O₀</td><td> </td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td><td>D₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	O ₀		D ₅	D ₆	D ₇	} CMD ₅
disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	O ₀		D ₅	D ₆	D ₇			
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>C₀</td><td>C₁</td><td>C₂</td><td>C₃</td><td> </td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td><td>D₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃		D ₅	D ₆	D ₇	
disp	Y	C ₀	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃		D ₅	D ₆	D ₇			
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>D₄</td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td><td>D₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇	CMD ₆	
disp	Y	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	D ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₀</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>D₄</td><td>D₅</td><td>D₆</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆	CMD ₇	
disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	D ₆				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₀</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>D₄</td><td>D₅</td><td> C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	C ₇	} CMD ₈	
disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	D ₅	C ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₀</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td>D₃</td><td>D₄</td><td> C₆</td><td> C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	C ₆	C ₇		
disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	D ₃	D ₄	C ₆	C ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₀</td><td>D₁</td><td>D₂</td><td> C₄</td><td> C₅</td><td> C₆</td><td> C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇		
disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	D ₂	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₀</td><td>D₁</td><td> C₃</td><td> C₄</td><td> C₅</td><td> C₆</td><td> C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇		
disp	Y	D ₀	D ₁	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td>D₀</td><td> C₂</td><td> C₃</td><td> C₄</td><td> C₅</td><td> C₆</td><td> C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	D ₀	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇		
disp	Y	D ₀	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td> C₁</td><td> C₂</td><td> C₃</td><td> C₄</td><td> C₅</td><td> C₆</td><td> C₇</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇		
disp	Y	C ₁	C ₂	C ₃	C ₄	C ₅	C ₆	C ₇				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	"filler"								
disp	Y	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"				
"C"	<table border="1"><tr><td>disp</td><td>Y</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td><td> "filler"</td></tr></table>	disp	Y	"filler"								
disp	Y	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"	"filler"				
Root Bits	Affix Bits, simply bypassed during multiplexing	(choice of 1 of 8)										

TABLE IX
10G-EPON-AIMED MULTIPLEXING CONFIGURATION

$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	g	ξ	v	v_{65}	s_{\min}
216	8	1	1,755	27	25
Comment → one complete round and one partial round	fixed	fixed	fixed	fixed	@ no fillers

TABLE X
ECHO BUDGET MEMO

Stream	t	$=$	b	$+$	r	$n_e : g$	Total	Rest (i.e., not Native)
10G-DS	1,025		944		81	16	2 ¹⁰²⁵	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 16 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 16} = 2^{1008}$
10G-US	705		649		56	11	2 ⁷⁰⁵	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 11 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 11} = 2^{693}$
10G-US	1,025		944		81	16	2 ¹⁰²⁵	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 16 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 16} = 2^{1008}$
10G-US	705		649		56	11	2 ⁷⁰⁵	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 11 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 11} = 2^{693}$
25G-DS	2,049		1,856		193	32	2 ²⁰⁴⁹	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 32 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 32} = 2^{2016}$
25G-US	2,049		1,856		193	32	2 ²⁰⁴⁹	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 32 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 32} = 2^{2016}$
25G-US	1,793		1,624		169	28	2 ¹⁷⁹³	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 28 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 28} = 2^{1764}$
25G-US	1,537		1,392		145	24	2 ¹⁵³⁷	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 24 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 24} = 2^{1512}$
25G-US	1,281		1,160		121	20	2 ¹²⁸¹	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 20 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 20} = 2^{1260}$
25G-US	1,025		928		97	16	2 ¹⁰²⁵	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 16 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 16} = 2^{1008}$
25G-US	769		696		73	12	2 ⁷⁶⁹	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 12 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 12} = 2^{756}$
25G-US	513		464		49	8	2 ⁵¹³	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 8 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 8} = 2^{504}$
25G-US	257		232		25	4	2 ²⁵⁷	$2^{7 \cdot (1) \cdot 4 + 8 \cdot (8-1) \cdot 4} = 2^{252}$

TABLE XI
BACKWARD APPLICABILITY

Protocol with $g=8$	N_{cmd}	$*n_p = n_e \times k + *n_e \times *k$	payload v	s_{\min}
10GBASE-R	5	$640 = 200 \times 3 + 40 \times 1$	5,140	16
2.5/5GBASE-T	8	$200 = 128 \times 1 + 72 \times 1$	1,625	23
40GBASE-T	5	$400 = 200 \times 2$	3,208	6
25GBASE-T	8	$400 = 128 \times 3 + 16 \times 1$	3,208	4
MultiGBASE-T ¹	} 8	$400 = 128 \times 3 + 16 \times 1$	3,250	46
10GBASE-T				
10GBASE-KR	8	$256 = 128 \times 2$	2,080	30

CONCLUSION

With the GnR and SnX approaches established, we are ready to prepare an appropriate coding means for each of the EPON FEC options, doing the following, step by step.

First, we define the preparatory transcoding, from 64B/66B coded blocks into eight-unit transfer spans, that is compatible with (equivalent for) the 25G-EPON original downstream and upstream duty organization rules (transmission structures), and also provides an over-duty means to transfer a variable number of user-defined transportation bits, see Table IV.

Second, we then propose the linguistic multiplexing process capable to treat on all the expectable FEC input options of the 25G-EPON upstream, that is the most complex among all the considered, as well as the process capable to treat on the FEC input option of the 25G-EPON downstream, that is much more simpler one, see Tables V and VI, respectively.

Considering each of those multiplexing processes, we imply that it involves the transcoding as many times as it is necessary to perform an echo multiplexing round, see Table VII.

Third, similarly to the done above, we define the preparatory a-block-to-a-span transcoding compatible with the 10G-EPON original duty organization, plus one extension, see Table VIII,⁹ and propose the linguistic multiplexing process capable to treat on the single, unified 10G-EPON FEC input option, that is the simplest one among the considered, see Table IX.¹⁰

Finalizing the description, we conclude that the new design recipes, elaborated then introduced during this consideration, are useful, further extensible, see Table X, and easy applicable in another Ethernet coding means featuring a very long binary transport word, see Table XI.

REFERENCES

- [1] Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 10 Gb/s Passive Optical Networks, IEEE Std 802.3av-2009.
- [2] Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for 25 Gb/s and 50 Gb/s Passive Optical Networks, IEEE Std 802.3ca-2020.
- [3] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-R FEC inputs," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bj protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 25–28.
- [4] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 2.5G/5GBASE-T FEC input," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bz protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 21–24.
- [5] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 25G/40GBASE-T FEC input," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 17–20.
- [6] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the MultiGBASE-T1 PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3ch protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 13–16.
- [7] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-KR PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3ap protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 9–12.
- [8] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-T PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3an protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 5–8.
- [9] A. Ivanov, "Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 1000BASE-T1 PCS payload," an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

⁹There is no statement made in [1] about a reduction of the number of the control block types, permissible in 10G-EPON, therefore here we use the "Y" encoding variant capable to reflect all the original types unreduced. Oppositely, it is explicitly stated in [2] that 25G-EPON permits just 10 out of 15 possible control block types specified in the original 64B/66B block encoding, therefore earlier, see Table IV, we used the "X" variant corresponding to that reduction allowed normatively. (Of course, a reduction simplifies the transcoding.)

¹⁰In Tables IX and XI, s_{\min} is the value for the case of no "filler" spans.

Data Coding Means and Event Coding Means Multiplexed Over the 10GPASS-XR FEC Inputs

Alexander Ivanov

Abstract—EPoC is an extension of the EPON communication technology, targeting into the field and running over the infrastructure of conventional cable television networks. From the view of our research, it is an appropriate Ethernet protocol featuring an extremely long binary transport word plus some redundancy we could convert into an extra, event transfer ability.

Thru the paper, we consider the relatively young but actually known multi-gigabit EPoC protocol, 10GPASS-XR.

Index Terms—Ethernet, linguistic multiplexing, multiplexing, precise synchronization, synchronization, EPoC, 10GPASS-XR, seamy preemption, preemption, quality of service, QoS, physical layer quality of service, class of service, CoS.

INTRODUCTION

EPON (Ethernet Passive Optical Networks) Protocol over Coax, abbreviated to EPoC, introduces the 10GPASS-XR family of physical layers, consisting of these paired members, 10GPASS-XR-D and 10GPASS-XR-U, for downstream (DS) and upstream (US), respectively [1].¹

Considering the EPoC downstream, see Table I, we read we practice a single, fixed FEC (Forward Error Correction) input option continuously, once per every frame issued by the coax line terminal (CLT), because that node forms the downstream solely, being the trunk in the EPoC tree.

Considering the EPoC upstream, see Table II, we, each time, practice one reasonable of many allowable FEC input options, selecting that option once per each frame in a burst issued by a coax network unit (CNU), because such the nodes forms the upstream jointly, each being a branch in the tree.

In its original, EPoC provides no QoS (Quality of Service) support, but almost any option of its FEC input provides some redundancy accessible to the user. Based upon this, there could be found a way to bring the EPoC coding means up to a state providing a basic QoS support, leastwise.

QOS SUPPORT PLAN

Modifying the original EPoC coding means thru the rest of this paper, we follow the plan drafted below.

Regarding the downstream, we purport its behavior to be manageable continuously, see Table III once, hence refine the

¹A manuscript of this work was submitted to IEEE Communications Letters December 26, 2022 and rejected for fair reasons during its peer review.

Please sorry for the author has no time to find this work a new home, peer reviewed or not, except of arXiv, and just hopes there it meets its reader, one or maybe various, whom the author beforehand thanks for their regard.

A. Ivanov is with JSC Continuum, Yaroslavl, the Russian Federation. Digital Object Identifier 10.48550/arXiv.yymm.nnnn (this bundle).

¹Being a descendant of EPON, EPoC inherits a lot from that technology, including also its asymmetric and asynchronous nature, see [2], inalienably.

TABLE I
ORIGINAL DOWNSTREAM FRAMING

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC frame issued by CLT	sent continuously	$\Sigma = 16,140$
Input, accessible by user	max 1,760 data octets → 14,080 a-bits	$220 \times 65 = 14,300$
64B/65B coded block #1	first 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
64B/65B coded block #220	last 8 data octets or controls	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
CRC-40	} auto-generated calculated over all the bits above	1,840 = { 40
Parity		

TABLE II
ORIGINAL UPSTREAM FRAMING

Hierarchy and Elements	Contents, Comments	Size, t-bits
FEC frame issued by CNU	sent in a variable-length burst	$\Sigma 385 = 16,140$
Input, accessible by user	max 8 ÷ 1,760 d.o. → 64 ÷ 14,080 a-bits	(!) $65 \div 14,300$
64B/65B block #1	<mandatory> (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m)	$8 \times 8 + 1 = 65$
64B/65B block #2	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #12	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #13	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #25	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #26	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (o) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #76	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (o) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #77	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (o) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #101	<optional> (m) (m) (m) (o) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #102	<optional> (m) (o) (m) (o) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
64B/65B block #220	<optional> (m) (o) (m) (o) (m) (o)	<if any> + 65
CRC-40	} auto-generated (m) (m) (m) (m) (m) (m)	40 40 40
Parity		
(Shortened) $L_{\text{long}} / M_{\text{medium}} / S_{\text{short}} \rightarrow$ L SL M SM S SS (S)S (S)M S(L)		

organization of its FEC input option to be preserving an extra room enough to send a periodic event per every issued frame, over the original duty, not delaying the duty.

Regarding the downstream, too, we imply it to provide a sort of seamy preemption, enabling two distinct classes of service (CoS) the user can clearly distinguish and freely associate with every (but namely) control-conveying transfer span.

Regarding the upstream, we purport its behavior just to be manageable intermittently, see Table III more, therefore refine

TABLE III
POSSIBLE QOS MODELING

Continuous Management Strategy	Intermittent Management Strategy
every period should be manageable e.g., every span time period at the accommodation interface	some periods may be manageable e.g., just a period per a multi-period run in the duty stream

TABLE VIII
DOWNSTREAM FEC INPUT PREPARATIONS




TABLE IX
DOWNSTREAM LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING DESCRIPTION

Scope	n_p	\rightarrow	$*n_p$:	g	$=$	$*n_p:g$	$=$	$n_e:g$	\times	k	$+$	$*n_e:g$	\times	$*k$	N_{CoS}	N_r	t	$=$	b	$+$	r	$*t$	$=$	$*b$	$+$	$*r$	w	v	s
Stage 1	1,760		1,768	:	8	=	221	=	1	\times	221	—	—	—	—	1+1	—	71	=	71	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	15,691	<0
Stage 2	—		1,768	:	8	=	221	=	1	\times	221	—	—	—	—	1+1	5 ³	66	=	59	+	7	—	—	—	—	—	≤ 8	14,586	<0
Stage 3	—		1,768	:	8	=	221	=	25	\times	8	+	21	\times	1	1+1	—	1,626	=	1,400	+	226	1,366	=	1,176	+	190	—	14,374	<0
Stage 4	—		1,768	:	8	=	221	=	3	\times	73	+	2	\times	1	1+1	3 ¹	194 $\frac{72}{73}$	=	189 $\frac{72}{73}$	+	5	66	=	63	+	3	≤ 8	14,300	—

TABLE X
UPSTREAM LINGUISTIC MULTIPLEXING VARIANTS

$n_{p,max}:g = *n_p:g$	k	$*n_e:g$ with $n_e:g=25$	$v:65$ with $N_{CoS}=1$ and $N_r=N_{\text{CoS}}\times N_{\#}=25\times 5=5^3$	s	
— ; 1 ;	24	— ; 1 ;	24	— ; — ;	23
25 ;	49	1 — ;	24	25 ;	49
50 ;	74	2 — ;	16 $\xrightarrow{\text{break}}$ 18 ;	50 ;	66 $\xrightarrow{\text{skip}}$ 67 ;
75 ;	99	3 — ;	24	74 ;	98
100 ;	124	4 — ;	24	99 ;	123
125 ;	149	5 — ;	9 $\xrightarrow{\text{break}}$ 11 ;	123 ;	133 $\xrightarrow{\text{skip}}$ 134 ;
150 ;	174	6 — ;	24	148 ;	172
175 ;	199	7 — ;	24	173 ;	197
200 ;	223	8 — ;	2 $\xrightarrow{\text{break}}$ 4 ;	198 ;	200 $\xrightarrow{\text{skip}}$ 201 ;
					62 ;

arithmetic-based representation option—developed from [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], and earlier—intended to inscribe (the content of) the rows into the desired space, anyway usefully, including somehow homo- and/or heterogeneously.

MODIFIED EPOC DOWNSTREAM

Following the QoS support plan regarding the downstream, we assume $g = 8$ and $N_{CoS} = 2$, as well as $N_{pit} = 2$, thence $N_{bttn} = 1 + N_{CoS} = 3$, $N_{PB} = \max\{N_{pit}, N_{bttn}\} = 3 < 4 = 2^2$, while $N_{\text{CoS}} = 25 = 5^2$ with $N_{\#} = 5 = 5^1$, thence $N_{TL} = N_{\text{CoS}} \times N_{\#} = 5^{2+1} = 125 < 128 = 2^7$, and $N_{FF} = 1 = 2^0$, and so construct the modified EPOC downstream coding means, based upon the TnM approach, see Tables IV and V (model), then VI and VII, and then VIII alone, successively.

The modified downstream input reflects nothing more than exact $220 + 1$ regular transfer spans, passing up to one event per every frame, with no delay in the original duty.

Describing this renovated means in the sense and terms of the underlying linguistic multiplexing process, see Table IX, we—once again but now in another details—respond for how the means deals with the single, fixed FEC input option of the original EPOC downstream, stage by stage.

MODIFIED EPOC UPSTREAM

Following the QoS support plan regarding the upstream, we assume $g = 8$ but $N_{CoS} = 1$, thence $N_{PB} = N_{pit} = N_{bttn} = 1 + N_{CoS} = 2 = 2^1$, $N_{TL} = N_{\text{CoS}} \times N_{\#} = 5^3 = 125 < 2^7$, and $N_{FF} = 1 = 2^0$, and so construct the modified EPOC upstream coding means, based upon the TnM approach, too. Alongside up to 223 regular transfer spans, the modified upstream input may also reflect a variable run of the spare bits [9].

Describing this renovated means in the sense and terms of the underlying linguistic multiplexing processes, see Table X, we explicitly respond that the means is linear with no one and with a few exceptions—necessary for decoding the upstream

unambiguously—in how it deals with the volume, v , and the target scope, $*n_p$, over all the allowable FEC input options of the original EPOC upstream, respectively.

CONCLUSION

We described a way the EPOC coding means could achieve a more complicated state, where it becomes capable to provide an event-reflecting service as well as a basic support for QoS, both within the physical layer it operates on.

We also find the design principles contributing into the way applicable in similar Ethernet protocols featuring an extremely or just very long binary transport word.²

REFERENCES

- [1] Physical Layer Specifications and Management Parameters for Ethernet Passive Optical Networks Protocol over Coax, IEEE Std 802.3bn-2016.
- [2] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10G/25G-EPON FEC inputs,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3av/ca protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 29–32.
- [3] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 100GBASE-R FEC inputs,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bj protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 25–28.
- [4] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 2.5G/5GBASE-T FEC input,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bz protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 21–24.
- [5] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 25G/40GBASE-T FEC input,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 17–20.
- [6] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the MultiGBASE-T1 PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3ch protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 13–16.
- [7] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-KR PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3ap protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 9–12.
- [8] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 10GBASE-T PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3an protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 5–8.
- [9] A. Ivanov, “Data coding means and event coding means multiplexed over the 100GBASE-T1 PCS payload,” an extension of the IEEE 802.3bp protocol, @arXiv, doi:10.48550/arXiv.mmyy.nnnn (bundle), pp. 1–4.

²Among the considered before EPOC, there are a gitabit and various multi-gigabit protocols, featuring no and eight-unit native gathering, respectively.