

# Unconventional Gross-Neveu quantum criticality: SO(3)-biadjoint Mott insulator and emergent SU(3) symmetry

Shouryya Ray\*

CP3-Origins, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark

(Dated: March 14, 2023)

I point out that Gross-Neveu theory with SO(3) isospin in three spacetime dimensions—proposed recently, for instance, as an effective description of the Néel transition in certain spin-orbital liquids—also hosts quantum criticality of a more exotic kind. The ordered phase breaks SO(3) spontaneously, but the SO(3)-Néel order parameter vanishes. The fermionic bilinear order parameter is instead a biadjoint with respect to SO(3); unlike its Néel cousin, it constitutes a Mott insulator. Furthermore, I show that the Néel and biadjoint order parameters can be combined to transform as an adjoint under SU(3) symmetry; the symmetry is emergent at the critical point separating the symmetric semimetal and the biadjoint insulator, but only if the flavor number is small enough, suggesting order-parameter fluctuations and the interplay between different channels play a crucial role in stabilizing the enlarged symmetry. In candidate SO(3) spin-orbital liquids, thermodynamic critical exponents carry fingerprints of “spinons”. The existence of an independent universality class in addition to the Néel transition opens the possibility of posing further constraints on spinon properties from thermodynamic measurements near criticality alone.

Quantum critical phenomena beyond the Ginzburg-Landau paradigm are a cornerstone of the modern theory of quantum phase transitions [1]. Commonly, this entails degrees of freedom beyond order-parameter excitations that become soft at criticality; in a Fermi system, this role is played by fermionic degrees of freedom that “live” on the Fermi surface. In  $d > 1$  spatial dimensions, the Fermi surface generically has co-dimension one, leading to an uncountable infinitude of such modes and an effective theory that is usually intractable analytically [2]. The situation improves drastically in *Dirac semimetals*, where the Fermi surface consists of isolated points in reciprocal space and the fermions disperse linearly close to the Fermi points, leading to emergent Lorentz symmetry near criticality. Quantum phase transitions in such materials are described by Gross-Neveu theory in  $D := d + 1$  spacetime dimensions (GN $_D$  for short); I shall mainly focus on quasi-planar materials—of which graphene is arguably the most celebrated exponent [3]—so  $D = 3$  unless stated otherwise. However, boiling down the effectiveness of GN $_3$  theory to its applicability in Dirac semimetals, or even statistical physics short-changes it somewhat. Among other things, GN $_3$  (more generally, GN $_{2 < D < 4}$ ) constitutes a particularly simple, and thereby tractable, example of *asymptotic safety* [4], which is a highly predictive candidate for the UV completion of the Standard Model coupled to Einstein-Hilbert gravity [5]. There, quantum fluctuations of the spacetime metric tensor offset the classical breaking of scale symmetry through dimensionful couplings such as the Newton coupling, in analogy with how quantum fluctuations enable the perturbatively non-renormalizable Fermi coupling to reach scale invariance in GN $_3$ . Since these fluctuations only become strong at planckian scales inaccessible to current accelerators, quantum criticality of the GN $_3$  family is at the moment perhaps the only example of asymptotic safety with fermionic matter that can be observed in the laboratory.

A significant recent application of Gross-Neveu quantum criticality is furnished by a class of novel phases of matter

called spin-orbital liquids. In many regards, they behave like a Dirac semimetal, albeit one made of *spinons*—fermionic quasiparticles arising due to fractionalization of the electron’s spin-orbital moment—rather than elementary electrons (as would be the case in graphene) themselves. It is impossible to excite spinons in a coherent fashion: an experimentally feasible protocol like flipping a magnetic moment excites a continuum of spinons. What is comparatively feasible is to destabilize a spin-orbital liquid in favor of a conventionally ordered phase and detect the onset of said order. However, the exponents which describe the non-analyticity of thermodynamic observables close to criticality—called thermodynamic critical exponents—still carry “fingerprints” of the spinons. In addition to the afore-mentioned merits of GN $_{2 < D < 4}$ , this makes the study of quantum criticality of the GN $_3$  family a promising component of the toolkit towards the diagnostic of novel phases of matter. The quantum phase transition to Néel order in, e.g., spin-orbit coupled double perovskites like Ba $_2$ YMoO $_6$  [6, 7] has been proposed to be describable using GN $_3$  theory with SO(3) isospin [GN $_3$ -SO(3) theory for short] [8]; onset of Néel order then corresponds to SSB of the SO(3) isospin symmetry by the condensation of the fermion bilinear  $\bar{\psi} L_a \psi$ , with  $L_a$  ( $a = 1 \dots 3$ ) the generators of SO(3) in the fundamental representation. A more thorough understanding of GN $_3$ -SO(3) quantum criticality is hence worth pursuing.

GN $_3$ -SO(3) does have a more prominent cousin, GN $_3$ -SU(2), which has been studied more extensively since it concerns graphene’s putative antiferromagnetic quantum critical point [9–12]. A significant difference between SO(3) and SU(2) is that SO(3) generators have a zero eigenvalue: when  $\bar{\psi} L_a \psi$  condenses, the effective mass matrix of the fermions inherits this zero eigenvalue. The SO(3)-Néel transition is hence a semimetal-to-semimetal transition, dubbed “metallic” quantum criticality. By contrast, SU(2) generators have no vanishing eigenvalue, and the Néel-ordered phase is a so-called Mott insulator.

In this Letter, I shall focus on a further peculiarity of GN $_3$ -

SO(3): unlike SU(2) [or for that matter any  $SU(N_{\text{iso}})$ ], it is possible to break SO(3) isospin symmetry at the level of fermion bilinears without giving  $\bar{\psi}L_a\psi$  a vacuum expectation value (vev); the resulting SSB phase will turn out to be a Mott insulator, with an order parameter that behaves like a biadjoint under SO(3). My main findings can be summarized as in Fig. 1. For large enough flavor number, an effectively single-channel description is valid. The transition to the SO(3)-biadjoint Mott insulator [SO(3)<sub>2</sub> for short] is governed by a distinct critical fixed point, as is the Néel [= SO(3)<sub>1</sub>] transition. The SO(3)<sub>1</sub> and SO(3)<sub>2</sub> order parameters can be formally combined to an adjoint under SU(3) for appropriate couplings, but the corresponding fixed point is then bicritical: SU(3) symmetry is unstable. This changes at small flavor number. In this regime, non-trivial interplay between fluctuations of different order parameters stabilizes SU(3) symmetry. As a consequence, the GN-SO(3) fixed point becomes unstable, and the SO(3)<sub>2</sub> transition is governed by the GN-SU(3) fixed point instead. The SU(3) and SO(3)<sub>2</sub> Mott insulators feature the same fermionic single-particle spectrum, but there are robust qualitative differences, such as in the number of Nambu-Goldstone bosons; in addition, the SO(3)<sub>1</sub> susceptibility diverges with the same power law as the SO(3)<sub>2</sub> one at criticality due to the enlarged symmetry.

The calculations supporting these conclusions will be performed using renormalization group (RG) at one-loop. I provide the RG flow equations (“beta functions”) for all SO(3)-compatible 4-Fermi interactions, a Fierz-complete basis for which I have constructed. This is a technical challenge of independent interest, and already relevant to the conventional Néel channel [13] ( $\bar{\psi}L_a\psi$ )<sup>2</sup> studied before in [8, 14], because it is not closed under renormalization; the only non-abelian isospin GN theory for which Fierz-complete beta functions have been computed is GN<sub>2</sub>-SU(2) [15]. The present one should hence be a welcome addition to this rather sparse “stamp collection”.

**Theory space of GN<sub>3</sub>-SO(3).** The Lie algebra of SO(3) is characterized by its structure constants,  $[L_a, L_b] = i\epsilon_{abc}L_c$ , where  $\epsilon_{abc}$  is the Levi-Civita symbol. In the fundamental representation, the  $L_a$  act on a three-dimensional vector space. Along with the identity  $\mathbb{1}_3$  (usually not written out in products), the SO(3) generators thus provide only 4 elements;  $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$  has dimension 9. The five missing matrices may be taken to be  $Q_{ab}$ , the independent components of the traceless symmetric combination  $Q_{ab} = \{L_a, L_b\}/2 - (2/3)\delta_{ab}$  where  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denotes the anti-commutator. The  $Q_{ab}$ ’s commutation relation with the  $L_a$  reads  $[L_a, Q_{bc}] = i(\epsilon_{abd}Q_{dc} + \epsilon_{acd}Q_{bd})$ . Under an infinitesimal isospin rotation  $\delta_{\epsilon_a}\psi = i\epsilon_a L_a\psi$  (and h.c. for  $\bar{\psi} := \psi^\dagger\gamma_0$ ), a fermion bilinear transforms as  $\delta_{\epsilon_a}(\bar{\psi}O\psi) = i\epsilon_a(\bar{\psi}[L_a, O]\psi)$ , i.e.: the generators act on fermion bilinears in adjoint fashion. The above commutation relations mean both  $(\bar{\psi}L_a\psi)^2$  and  $(\bar{\psi}Q_{ab}\psi)^2$  are invariant under SO(3) rotations. Tensoring  $\mathbb{1}_3$ ,  $L_a$  and  $Q_{ab}$  with Lorentz-invariant quantities leads to [16]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)} = & \bar{\psi}_i \not{D} \psi_i - \frac{g_{00}}{2N_f} (\bar{\psi}_i \psi_i)^2 - \frac{g_{10}}{2N_f} (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma_\mu \psi_i)^2 \\ & - \frac{g_{01}}{8N_f} (\bar{\psi}_i L_a \psi_i)^2 - \frac{g_{11}}{8N_f} (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma_\mu L_a \psi_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1. Top row: Phase diagrams for interaction-induced transitions from symmetric semimetal (SM) to Lorentz invariant SO(3) isospin-broken phases in three-dimensional Gross-Neveu theory; insets show the fermions’ single-particle spectrum, along with the number of Nambu-Goldstone bosons (NGBs) where applicable. Bottom row: Corresponding RG phase portraits, with fixed points [projected to the  $(g_{01}, g_{02})$  plane] denoted by solid circles and (projections of) RG-invariant subspaces denoted by heavy dashed lines; flow lines are schematic and not to scale. Left:  $N_f = 9$ . In this regime, the mean-field picture is qualitatively valid. In addition to the usual Néel [= SO(3)<sub>1</sub>] transition, there is a transition to an SO(3)-biadjoint Mott insulator [= SO(3)<sub>2</sub>] governed by the finite- $N_f$  descendant of the large- $N_f$  fixed point; the SU(3) symmetric subspace is IR-unstable. Right:  $N_f = 6$ . While the stability of SO(3)<sub>1</sub> remains unchanged, SU(3) symmetry emerges for  $N_f < N_{f,\text{cr}} \approx 6.5$  in the IR at a putative GN-SO(3)<sub>2</sub> transition. The fermions’ single-particle spectrum is qualitatively the same, but robust qualitative differences in the SO(3)<sub>2</sub> vis-a-vis SU(3) scenarios include a distinct number of NGBs. There is a bicritical fixed point (white) not adiabatically connected to any mean-field limit; for  $9 < N_f < 6$ , it collides first with GN-SO(3)<sub>2</sub> and then GN-SU(3), realizing thus a transfer of stability between the two fixed points, before settling down between GN-SU(3) and GN-SO(3)<sub>1</sub> to form the phase boundary between the SO(3)<sub>1</sub> and SU(3) phases.

$$- \frac{g_{02}}{2N_f} (\bar{\psi}_i Q_{ab} \psi_i)^2 - \frac{g_{12}}{2N_f} (\bar{\psi}_i \gamma_\mu Q_{ab} \psi_i)^2. \quad (1)$$

Two remarks are in order. (i) the Clifford algebra  $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}\mathbb{1}_{d_\gamma}$  is meant to be taken in its irreducible representation. In  $D = 3$ , this means  $d_\gamma = 2$ . This in turn means the matrices  $[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$  which generate the spinorial part of Lorentz transformations are  $\sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}\gamma_\rho$  and do not furnish independent channels. Furthermore, the odd spacetime dimension and the irreducibility of the representation as usual combine to make  $\gamma_5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2 \sim \mathbb{1}_2$ . (ii) I have instated a flavor index  $i = 1, \dots, N_f/3$  with  $N_f \in 3\mathbb{N}_{>0}$  in physical cases (in other words,  $N_f$  counts the number of two-component fermions). It is distinct from the isospin index: the flavor structure is untouched by isospin rotations, and the theory as a whole is to

be symmetric under flavor rotations. Eq. (1) contains only the flavor-singlet combinations; flavor non-singlet 4-Fermi operators are not generated.

The subspace within the  $\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)$  theory space satisfying  $g_{r1} = g_{r2}$  for  $r = 0, 1$  features an enhanced symmetry:  $\text{SU}(3)$ . This may be made manifest by gathering the  $(L_a)$  and  $(Q_{ab})$  together into one set as  $(\Lambda_\alpha) = (L_a/2, Q_A)$  with  $(Q_A) = (Q_{23}, Q_{13}, Q_{12}, \frac{1}{2}(Q_{11} - Q_{22}), \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}Q_{33})$ . The suggestive notation is to be taken seriously: the  $\Lambda_\alpha$  obey  $\text{Tr}(\Lambda_\alpha \Lambda_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}/2$  and  $[\Lambda_\alpha, \Lambda_\beta] = i f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \Lambda_\gamma$ , where  $f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are the  $\text{SU}(3)$  structure constants [17], and are hence a bona fide representation of  $\text{SU}(3)$ 's Lie algebra, in agreement with results on the  $\text{SU}(3) \supset \text{SO}(3)$  “missing label” problem in representation theory [18].

*The biadjoint Mott insulator.* Before proceeding to the renormalization of  $\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)$ , let us pause to consider the non-Néel isospin-broken phase characterized by  $\langle \bar{\psi} L_a \psi \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle \bar{\psi} Q_A \psi \rangle \neq 0$ . (I shall focus only on Lorentz-invariant phases henceforth.) In the limit  $N_f \rightarrow \infty$ , mean-field theory becomes exact, and the effective potential for the order parameter (OP)  $\phi_A = \bar{\psi} Q_A \psi$  near  $\phi_A = 0$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\text{eff}}(\phi_A) &= \frac{|\phi_A|^2}{2g_{02}} - \text{Tr} \ln (\not{\partial} + \phi_A Q_A) \\ &= V_{\text{eff}}(0) + \frac{|\phi_A|^2}{2g_{02}} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^3 \left( -\frac{M_\sigma^2}{2\pi^2} + \frac{|M_\sigma|^3}{6\pi} \right) + O(\phi_A^4); \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

the momentum integral appearing in the “trace-log” formula has been cut off at  $p^2 = k_{\text{UV}}^2$  and all dimensionful quantities have been made dimensionless by rescaling with suitable powers of  $k_{\text{UV}}$ ; an overall factor of  $N_f$  in  $V_{\text{eff}}$  has been scaled out, to wit:  $V_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow V_{\text{eff}}/N_f$ ;  $(M_\sigma) := \text{eigs}(\phi_A Q_A)$  are the eigenvalues of the mass matrix and particularly convenient to compute in the frame  $\phi_A = (0, 0, 0, \phi_4, \phi_5)$ , such that  $\phi_A Q_A = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(\phi_4 - \phi_5/\sqrt{3}, -\phi_4 - \phi_5/\sqrt{3}, 2\phi_5/\sqrt{3})$ . A plot of the effective potential in this frame is shown in Fig. 2 in the symmetric vis-a-vis SSB phase. One finds that  $V_{\text{eff}}(\phi_A)$  is minimized for  $\phi_4 = 0, |\phi_5| \propto |g - g_{\text{cr}}|$  if  $g_{02} > g_{\text{cr}} = \pi^2/2$ . The fact that the vev points in the  $A = 5$  direction is intuitive, since  $Q_5 \equiv \Lambda_8$  is the only mass matrix that has no zero eigenvalue [19]. Consequently, the fermions’ single-particle spectrum is gapped out completely; from the perspective of the symmetric semimetal, this is favorable to a partial gap opening, because the reduction of density of states at the Fermi level is larger this way. Henceforth, I shall call the usual  $\text{SO}(3)$ -Néel phase  $\langle \bar{\psi} L_a \psi \rangle \neq 0$  the  $\text{SO}(3)_1$  phase; since every  $L_a$  has one zero eigenvalue, this is a semimetallic phase, but with only  $N_f/3$  gapless fermionic modes. The phase  $\langle \bar{\psi} Q_A \psi \rangle \neq 0$  I shall call  $\text{SO}(3)_2$  phase; it is a Mott insulator, which I shall refer to in words as a biadjoint Mott insulator due to the way the OP  $\phi = \phi_A Q_A$  transforms under  $\text{SO}(3)$ .

*Beta functions and fixed points.* The general algorithm developed by Gehring, Gies & Janssen [20] (= GGJ) provides a way to systematically derive the beta functions for a generic




FIG. 2. Plot of the effective potential of the order parameter  $\phi = \phi_A Q_A$  for  $g_{02} < g_{02,\text{cr}}$  (left) and  $g_{02} > g_{02,\text{cr}}$  (right) in the frame  $(\phi_A) = (0, 0, 0, \phi_4, \phi_5)$  in units of  $V_{\text{eff}}(\phi^{\text{ref}}) - V_{\text{eff}}(\langle \phi \rangle)$ , where  $\phi^{\text{ref}} = (0, 0, 0, 1/4, 1/4)$  is an arbitrarily chosen reference point. The minimum is located at  $(0, \pm v)$  up to rotations in the  $(\phi_4, \phi_5)$  plane by  $n\pi/6$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ); note that the corresponding operation on  $(Q_4, Q_5)$  sends the matrix  $Q_5$  to one that is unitarily equivalent. The critical value of the coupling  $g_{02,\text{cr}}$  can only be determined from an explicit computation, see discussion below Eq. (2).

4-Fermi theory at one-loop. Applying this formalism [21] to  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)}$  leads to beta functions for the dimensionless [22] 4-Fermi couplings,  $\beta_{r\ell} = g_{r\ell} + A_{r\ell}^{r_1 r_2 \ell_1 \ell_2} (N_f) g_{r_1 \ell_1} g_{r_2 \ell_2}$  with coefficients  $A_{r\ell}^{\dots}$ . The determination of the  $A$ -coefficients constitutes the major technical output of this work; I have tabulated them in electronic form for download [23].

Including degeneracies and accounting for complex solutions, there are  $2^6 - 1 = 31$  interacting fixed points  $\mathcal{I}$ , in addition to the Gaussian fixed point  $\mathcal{G}$ :  $g_{r\ell,*} = 0$ . The latter corresponds to the semimetallic (= deconfined, in QCD language) phase, and has no relevant directions, since the canonical dimension of 4-Fermi couplings is  $[g_{r\ell}] = 2 - D = -1$ . Some general facts about the interacting fixed points  $\mathcal{I}$  can also be proven independently of the matrix algebra appearing in the 4-Fermi Lagrangian, as was done by GGJ; these are: (T1) The ray  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}}$  is closed under RG. (T2) All interacting fixed points  $\mathcal{I}$  have at least one relevant direction given by the fixed-point vector  $g_{r\ell,*}$  itself; the corresponding eigenvalue of the stability matrix at  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $+1$ . As such, this is an artefact of the one-loop approximation; however,  $1/\nu = -[g_{r\ell}] = D - 2$  recovers the  $D \rightarrow 2$  and  $D \rightarrow 4$  limits exactly [24, 25]. This *a priori* naïve approximation hence tends to do unreasonably well in practice also at  $D = 3$ , cf., e.g., [9, 10, 14]. (T3) If this is the unique relevant direction,  $\mathcal{I}$  is called a “critical” fixed point. I shall notationally emphasize this by denoting such fixed points as  $\mathcal{Q}$ . (T4) The point  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda \mathcal{Q} =: (+\infty)\mathcal{Q}$  represents a stable SSB phase of matter.

I shall proceed with the discussion of the pertinent fixed points as follows: First, I shall restrict the beta functions to the  $\text{SU}(3)$ -invariant subspace  $g_{r1} = g_{r2} =: g_{rV}$  and consider the fixed point that has at most one relevant direction within this subspace and remains “close” to the  $r = 0$  (i.e., Lorentz scalar) subspace. I shall then consider whether perturbations



out of the SU(3) subspace [but preserving SO(3) symmetry] are relevant or not. For analytical tractability, I shall expand all quantities in powers of  $1/N_f$ .

*The SU(3) “critical” fixed point.* The interacting fixed point  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  that describes the dynamical generation of an SU(3) breaking Lorentz scalar mass can be identified by its large- $N_f$  limit  $\lim_{N_f \rightarrow \infty} g_{r\lambda,*} = \delta_{r0}\delta_{\lambda V}$ . To second order in  $1/N_f$ , the couplings are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\text{SU}(3)}: g_{r\lambda,*} = & \left(1 - N_f^{-1} - 8N_f^{-2}\right) \delta_{r0}\delta_{\lambda V} \\ & + \left(-\frac{3}{2}N_f^{-1} + \frac{31}{4}N_f^{-2}\right) \delta_{r1}\delta_{\lambda V} \\ & + \frac{8}{3}N_f^{-2}\delta_{r0}\delta_{\lambda 0} + \mathcal{O}(N_f^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This fixed point has a unique relevant direction *within* the SU(3)-invariant subspace. To study perturbations orthogonal to this space, consider  $\beta_{\delta g_{rV}} = \beta_{r2} - \beta_{r1}$  with  $g_{r1} = g_{rV}$ ,  $g_{r2} = g_{rV} + \delta g_{rV}$ . The beta functions for the dimensionless  $\delta g_{rV}$  have the form  $\beta_{\delta g_{rV}} = \Delta_{rr'}\delta g_{r'V} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)$ . The eigenvalues of  $\Delta_{rr'}$  at  $g_{r\lambda} = g_{r\lambda,*}|_{Q_{\text{SU}(3)}}$  are the scaling dimensions of SU(3) breaking perturbations that preserve SO(3). They are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_+ &= 1 + \frac{32}{3}N_f^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(N_f^{-3}), \\ \Delta_- &= -1 + \frac{4}{3}N_f^{-1} + 26N_f^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(N_f^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The eigenvalue  $\Delta_+$  corresponds to the irrelevant perturbation primarily towards  $r = 1$  (= Lorentz vector) channels. On the other hand,  $\Delta_-$  corresponds to a perturbation predominantly within the Lorentz scalar subspace. For  $N_f \rightarrow \infty$ , it is *negative*: SU(3) symmetry is *not* emergent at criticality. This is intuitive enough to understand, once embedded within the SO(3) theory. In the strict large- $N_f$  limit, all channels decouple. As a corollary of (T2), the number of relevant directions of an interacting fixed point in this limit is then equal to the number of non-vanishing couplings. The fixed point  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  is, in SO(3)-terms, the fixed point  $g_{r\ell,*} = \delta_{r0}(\delta_{\ell 1} + \delta_{\ell 2}) + \mathcal{O}(1/N_f)$ ; it is hence actually bicritical. In the regime of large but finite  $N_f$ , the two critical fixed points are instead  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_1}$  and  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$ , characterized mathematically by their large- $N_f$  behavior  $\lim_{N_f \rightarrow \infty} g_{r\ell',*}|_{Q_{\text{SO}(3)_\ell}} = \delta_{r0}\delta_{\ell\ell'}$ . Physically, they are thus (the finite- $N_f$  descendants of) the critical fixed points describing the interaction-induced transition to the Néel phase ( $\ell = 1$ ) and the biadjoint Mott insulator ( $\ell = 2$ ) respectively. The phase diagram [26] that emerges corresponds to the one shown in the left panel of Fig. 1.

However, once  $N_f$  is decreased, the different channels begin to interact non-trivially with each other, and the eigenvalues for explicit SU(3)-breaking perturbations obtain corrections. Whether  $\Delta_-$  will be driven towards irrelevance, and whether  $\Delta_+$  will remain positive, cannot be answered *a priori*, but can only be decided by an explicit computation, the result of which is Eq. (4). Thus, we arrive at the main result of this paper: for  $N_f \lesssim N_{f,\text{cr}}$ ,  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  becomes *stable* with respect to SO(3)-invariant breaking of SU(3) symmetry. In the above approximation,  $N_f \approx 2 + \sqrt{30} \approx 7.5$  [27].

It is now worth asking, what erstwhile critical SU(3) non-invariant fixed point(s) the flow is attracted from. The two natural candidates are precisely the  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_1}$  and  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  discussed above. It turns out, that  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_1}$  obtains no further relevant directions. Even at small  $N_f$ , it continues to govern the universal behavior of the Néel transition. In fact, numerically solving the beta functions shows that the fixed-point couplings  $g_{r\ell,*}|_{Q_{\text{SO}(3)_1}}$  for  $r \neq 0$  or  $\ell \neq 1$  remain at least an order of magnitude smaller than  $g_{r\ell,*}|_{Q_{\text{SO}(3)_1}}$ . This makes it plausible that the leading thermodynamic critical exponents derived in [14] using a battery of higher-order field theory methods but in an effectively one-channel setting for the GN<sub>3</sub>-SO(3)<sub>1</sub> transition should at most receive corrections at the 10 % level once subleading channels are included. On the other hand,  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  develops a second relevant direction. It ceases to describe the interaction-induced transition from the symmetric semimetal to the biadjoint Mott insulator phase. The flow towards  $(+\infty)Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  is instead re-directed towards  $(+\infty)Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$ , leading to the phase diagram on the right panel of Fig. 1. There are some technical curiosities concerning this exchange of fixed-point stability between  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  and  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$ , which I wish to mention by way of closing this paragraph:  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  and  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  live in different RG-closed subspaces of the full GN<sub>3</sub>-SO(3) theory space. As a result, they cannot collide with each other [28]. There is instead a bicritical fixed point  $\mathcal{B}$  not adiabatically connected to the mean-field limit, which first collides with the critical  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  at an  $N_f = N'_{f,\text{cr}} > N_{f,\text{cr}}$  and exchanges stability with it, before proceeding to do the same with  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  at  $N_f = N_{f,\text{cr}}$ . When the dust settles, (i)  $\mathcal{B}$  remains bicritical and comes to lie in the sector spanned by the rays  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}Q_{\text{SU}(3)}}$  and  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{G}Q_{\text{SO}(3)_1}}$  [i.e., it separates the SO(3)<sub>1</sub> phase from the SU(3) Mott insulator], and (ii)  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  and  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  have effectively exchanged stability despite never entering each other’s RG-closed subspace [29].

*Discussion.* The single-particle fermion spectrum in the SSB phase is not qualitatively different in the SU(3) vis-à-vis SO(3)<sub>2</sub> Mott insulator. In both cases, the mass matrix is  $\sim Q_5 \equiv \Lambda_8$ , having no zero eigenvalue and thus leaving no gapless fermions post SSB. However, there are sharp differences, already at the *qualitative* level, that manifest themselves in other observables, of which I shall discuss two examples. (i) Number of Nambu-Goldstone bosons (NGBs). If the symmetry is only SO(3), we need only consider the three generators  $L_a$ . Among them, only  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  do not commute with  $Q_5 (\equiv \Lambda_8)$ . Consequently, the biadjoint Mott insulator  $(+\infty)Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  has two NGBs, just like the SO(3)<sub>1</sub> phase. On the other hand, at  $(+\infty)Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$ , the emergence of SU(3) symmetry means every  $\Lambda_\alpha$  that fails to commute with  $\Lambda_8 (\equiv Q_5)$  supplies an NGB. Direct computation reveals there are hence *four* NGBs in the SU(3) Mott insulator. (ii) Divergent susceptibilities. The large- $N_f$  universality classes are characterized by one of the SO(3) <sub>$\ell$</sub>  susceptibilities diverging near criticality, while the other one remains finite. In the SU(3) transition, however, both the SO(3)<sub>1</sub> and SO(3)<sub>2</sub> order parameters transform as components of an adjoint vector under SU(3). Consequently, in addition to a divergent SO(3)<sub>2</sub> susceptibility, one will now

have a divergent  $\text{SO}(3)_1$  susceptibility at the transition to the Mott insulator with spontaneously broken isospin symmetry.

Both examples constitute robust signatures that may be on one hand expected to survive beyond the present approximation, and on the other be readily visible in appropriate experiments.

*Applications.* A recent Letter [30] presented Quantum Monte Carlo simulations of an  $N_f = 12$   $\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)_1$  transition. Interestingly, a further quantum phase transition from the Néel semimetal phase to an SSB Mott insulator phase was found. The latter phase was diagnosed to have vanishing Néel order parameter and a spontaneously broken  $\text{U}(1)$  symmetry. Assuming the absence of a narrow coexistence phase or a weak first-order transition, this would be a classically forbidden order-to-order quantum phase transition, and has been suggested to be a candidate for a so-called deconfined quantum critical point. The findings I have presented raise the intriguing possibility of tuning an  $\text{SO}(3)$  Dirac system through a similar interaction-induced Néel-to-SSB Mott insulator transition by using the bicritical  $\text{SU}(3)$  fixed point instead.

Beyond numerical experiments, there are also candidate materials that have been suggested to realize  $\text{SO}(3)$  quantum spin-orbital liquid (= spinon semimetal) ground states [6–8]. Critical exponents governing thermodynamic observables of quantum phase transitions from a spin-orbital liquid phase to magnetically ordered phases provide insight into the nature of the otherwise experimentally elusive spinons, such as their isospin symmetry. The present findings suggest one could do so in at least one “orthogonal” direction—viz., the biadjoint Mott insulator—in addition to the Néel phase. There would hence be twice as many independent quantities [i.e.,  $\nu_{\text{SO}(3)_1}$ ,  $\gamma_{\text{SO}(3)_1}$ ,  $\nu_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$ ,  $\gamma_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$ ] which may be measured in principle. Such combined measurements would allow one to constrain the admissible isospin content of spinons in putative quantum spin-orbital liquids more sharply than when considering the Néel transition in isolation. This prospect should serve as motivation, on one hand, to identify lattice realizations of the  $g_{02}$  4-Fermi interaction which would pave the way forward to tuning candidate spin-orbital liquids to a biadjoint Mott insulator phase in the laboratory; on the other hand, it also calls for a more concerted theoretical attack on the critical exponents for the  $\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)_2$  [or  $\text{GN}_3\text{-SU}(3)$ , as applicable] universality class, perhaps along the lines of what was done for  $\text{GN}_3\text{-SU}(2)$  in [10, 11] or  $\text{GN}_3\text{-SO}(3)_1$  in [14].

*Acknowledgments.* I thank K. Ladovrechis, T. Meng, G. P. de Brito, M. M. Scherer, L. Janssen and A. Eichhorn for discussions and collaboration on related projects. I am moreover indebted to the last three of the aforementioned for carefully reading and providing helpful comments on earlier versions of this manuscript. Further enlightening discussions with A. A. Christensen and G. J. Jensen are gratefully acknowledged. This work has been supported by a research grant (29405) from VILLUM FONDEN.

\* [sray@cp3.sdu.dk](mailto:sray@cp3.sdu.dk)

- [1] See, for instance, textbooks such as [24, 25].
- [2] Notable exceptions where some controlled analytical progress has been possible include [31–34]; see also [35] for attempts to go beyond perturbation theory.
- [3] Cf., e.g., [36–42]; an example where the “semimetal” is formed due to  $d$ -wave superconductivity in a metal is discussed in [43]; for a recent review of quantum criticality in Dirac semimetals, cf. [44].
- [4] J. Braun, H. Gies, and D. D. Scherer, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 085012 (2011).
- [5] See [45] for a pedagogical introduction and [46, 47] for reviews on the current state of the art.
- [6] W. M. H. Natori, E. C. Andrade, E. Miranda, and R. G. Pereira, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 017204 (2016).
- [7] J. Romhányi, L. Balents, and G. Jackeli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 217202 (2017).
- [8] U. F. P. Seifert, X.-Y. Dong, S. Chulliparambil, M. Vojta, H.-H. Tu, and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 257202 (2020).
- [9] L. Janssen and I. F. Herbut, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 205403 (2014).
- [10] B. Knorr, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 075129 (2018).
- [11] N. Zerf, L. N. Mihaila, P. Marquard, I. F. Herbut, and M. M. Scherer, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 096010 (2017).
- [12] J. A. Gracey, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 105009 (2018).
- [13] This is true for the Néel channel corresponding to any non-abelian isospin symmetry.
- [14] S. Ray, B. Ihrig, D. Kruti, J. A. Gracey, M. M. Scherer, and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 155160 (2021).
- [15] K. Ladovrechis, S. Ray, T. Meng, and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. B* **107**, 035151 (2023).
- [16] Throughout, I shall work in Euclidean signature;  $\not{\partial} := \gamma_\mu \partial_\mu$ .
- [17] Most economically, this is seen by noticing that, up to a renumbering of  $\alpha$ , the  $\Lambda_\alpha$  are proportional to the Gell-Mann matrices.
- [18] In the mathematical literature, the inverse problem of labelling representations of  $\text{SU}(3)$  by representations of its subalgebrae is called the “missing label” problem, on which there is a quite extensive body of research. A classical review is [48].
- [19] Strictly speaking, the statement is true only modulo  $(\phi_4 + i\phi_5) \rightarrow e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}n}(\phi_4 + i\phi_5)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The corresponding operation on  $(Q_4, Q_5)$  sends  $Q_5$  to one that is unitarily equivalent (has the same eigenvalues), so I shall set  $n = 0$  w.l.o.g.
- [20] F. Gehring, H. Gies, and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 085046 (2015).
- [21] I am grateful to K. Ladovrechis for helpful comments on the implementation of the GGJ algorithm using computer algebra.
- [22] The dimensionless 4-Fermi couplings are defined by the rescaling  $4v_D l_1^{(F)D} k^{D-2} g_{r\ell} \mapsto g_{r\ell}$ , where  $k$  is the running RG scale,  $v_D = [2^{D+1}\pi^{D/2}\Gamma(D/2)]^{-1}$  comes from the angular part of the loop integration and  $l_1^{(F)D}$  is a dimensionless constant that encodes the (regularized) radial part of the loop integral and drops out of universal data such as critical exponents, see [20]. Note the wavefunction renormalization  $Z_\psi = 1$  in the present approximation. Unless mentioned otherwise, I set  $D = 3$  when quoting final expressions such as fixed-point values of couplings and scaling dimensions. My sign convention is such that a *negative* beta function means the dimensionless coupling will *grow* towards the IR.
- [23] See Supplemental Material (SM) for electronic version of the coefficients  $A_{r\ell}^{r_1 r_2 \ell \ell_1 \ell_2} = \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 \beta_{r\ell} / \partial g_{r_1 \ell_1} \partial g_{r_2 \ell_2} |_{g=0}$ .

- [24] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
- [25] I. Herbut, *A Modern Approach to Critical Phenomena* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [26] To compute the phase diagram as a function of  $g_{01}$  and  $g_{02}$ , the RG flow is initialized within the  $(g_{01}, g_{02})$  plane and integrated. Ordered phases correspond to a divergence of 4-Fermi coupling(s) within finite flow time  $t_{\text{SSB}}$ . The precise nature of the ordered phase is characterized by comparing the (finite) ratios of 4-Fermi couplings at  $t_{\text{SSB}}$  with those obtained at the critical fixed points.
- [27] Working to all orders in  $N_f$  by solving the fixed-point equations and determining the stability matrix eigenvalues numerically only gives modest corrections,  $N_{f,\text{cr}} \approx 6.5$ .
- [28] I thank L. Janssen for alerting me to this.
- [29] There is thus an intriguing theoretical scenario for  $N_{f,\text{cr}} < N_f < N'_{f,\text{cr}}$ , where both  $Q_{\text{SO}(3)_2}$  and  $Q_{\text{SU}(3)}$  are bicritical. Alas, it turns out that  $3\lfloor N_{f,\text{cr}}/3 \rfloor < N_{f,\text{cr}} < N'_{f,\text{cr}} < 3\lceil N_{f,\text{cr}}/3 \rceil$ : though interesting in its own right, this regime is not realizable for any physical flavor number  $N_f \in 3\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ .
- [30] Z. H. Liu, M. Vojta, F. F. Assaad, and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 087201 (2022).
- [31] S.-S. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 165102 (2009).
- [32] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 075127 (2010).
- [33] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 075128 (2010).
- [34] A. Schliefl, P. Lunts, and S.-S. Lee, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 021010 (2017).
- [35] F. Borges, A. Borissov, A. Singh, A. Schliefl, and S.-S. Lee, *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **450**, 169221 (2023).
- [36] I. F. Herbut, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 146401 (2006).
- [37] I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, and B. Roy, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 085116 (2009).
- [38] I. F. Herbut, V. Juričić, and O. Vafek, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 075432 (2009).
- [39] S. Pujari, T. C. Lang, G. Murthy, and R. K. Kaul, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 086404 (2016).
- [40] S. Ray, M. Vojta, and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 245128 (2018).
- [41] J. N. Leaw, H.-K. Tang, P. Sengupta, F. F. Assaad, I. F. Herbut, and S. Adam, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125116 (2019).
- [42] S. Ray and L. Janssen, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 045101 (2021).
- [43] M. Vojta, Y. Zhang, and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4940 (2000).
- [44] R. Boyack, H. Yerzhakov, and J. Maciejko, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **230**, 979 (2021).
- [45] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, *Quantum Gravity and the Functional Renormalization Group: The Road towards Asymptotic Safety* (Cambridge University Press, 2019).
- [46] A. Bonanno, A. Eichhorn, H. Gies, J. M. Pawłowski, R. Percacci, M. Reuter, F. Saueressig, and G. P. Vacca, *Front. in Phys.* **8**, 269 (2020), 2004.06810.
- [47] A. Eichhorn, *Nuovo Cim. C* **45**, 29 (2022).
- [48] M. Moshinsky, J. Patera, R. Sharp, and P. Winternitz, *Ann. Phys. (N. Y.)* **95**, 139 (1975).