

QUANTUM KALUZA-KLEIN THEORY WITH $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

CHENGCHENG LIU AND SHAHN MAJID

ABSTRACT. Following steps analogous to classical Kaluza-Klein theory, we solve for the quantum Riemannian geometry on $C^\infty(M) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ in terms of classical Riemannian geometry on a smooth manifold M , a finite quantum geometry on the algebra $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ of 2×2 matrices, and a quantum metric cross term. Fixing a standard form of quantum metric on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, we show that this cross term data amounts in the simplest case to a 1-form A_μ on M , which we regard as like a gauge-fixed background field. We show in this case that a real scalar field on the product algebra with its noncommutative Laplacian decomposes on M into two real neutral fields and one complex charged field minimally coupled to A_μ . We show further that the quantum Ricci scalar on the product decomposes into a classical Ricci scalar on M , the Ricci scalar on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, the Maxwell action $\|F\|^2$ of A and a higher order $\|A.F\|^2$ term. Another solution of the QRG on the product has $A = 0$ and a dynamical real scalar field ϕ on M which imparts mass-splitting to some of the components of a scalar field on the product as in previous work.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea that spacetime could be better modelled by noncommutative coordinates due to Planck scale effects has gained currency in recent years, with flat proposals appearing in the 1990s [21, 12, 14], some of them with quantum Poincaré group symmetry [19], and curved models more recently using a new formalism of quantum Riemannian geometry (QRG) as in [5] and references therein. Effectively curved phase space models also came out of quantum groups [20]. Moreover, there are several approaches to noncommutative geometry and a mathematically deeper one is that of A. Connes [9], particularly via the notion of spectral triple or ‘abstract Dirac operator’. The key difference in the QRG approach, coming out of experience with quantum groups but not limited to them, is to build up the quantum geometry in layers starting with the ‘coordinate algebra’ A , a choice of its extension to an exterior algebra (Ω, d) of ‘differential forms’, a quantum metric $\mathfrak{g} \in \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ and a compatible torsion free ‘quantum Levi-Civita connection’ (QLC) $\nabla : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$. Some early works in this formalism are [6, 22, 23, 1, 2] and a recent review for physicists is in [4]. One of the key mathematical ingredients is the notion of a bimodule connection [13, 25] which allows for a natural notion of metric-compatibility. With more structure, one can build up all the way to a natural geometric Dirac operator and sometimes arrive at a spectral triple or something close to one [7, 5].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81R50, 58B32, 83C57.

Key words and phrases. noncommutative geometry, quantum groups, quantum gravity.

In this paper, we extend a programme in [4] to analyse QRGs on tensor product algebras $A = C^\infty(M) \otimes A_f$ where M is a classical smooth (pseudo)-Riemannian manifold and A_f is a finite-dimensional possibly noncommutative algebra or ‘finite quantum geometry’. The idea here is to go back to the standard Kaluza-Klein analysis[15] for Riemannian geometry on $M \times S^1$, now replacing the coordinate algebra $C^\infty(S^1)$ by some A_f . This was full analysed in [4] for the case $A_f = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, i.e. replacing S^1 by a regular polygon using quantum geometry, but the QRG under reasonable assumptions allowed no cross-terms in the quantum metric on the product, whereas in the original Kaluza-Klein theory these cross terms encode a $U(1)$ gauge field. Our new result now for $A_f = M_2(\mathbb{C})$, the algebra of 2×2 matrices, is to successfully solve for QRGs on the product algebra and to find that the additional freedom in the quantum metric cross term again amounts to a generic background 1-form A_μ on M . These main results, Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, hold for a particular shape of QRG on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, which we take to be the standard quantum metric in [5, Example 8.13] for which a significant 3-dimensional moduli space of QLCs is already known.

Working in this solution for the background QRG on the product algebra, we next analyse two key questions. Firstly, what does a real scalar field on the product look like if we choose a basis of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and understand such a field as a multiplet of four fields on M with respect to the basis? We find that the canonical QRG Laplacian on the product algebra now appears as the usual Laplacian on M for a certain physical metric \tilde{g} (differing from the initial classical metric g on M by quadratic $A_\mu A_\nu$ terms). Two of the four components of a real scalar field do not couple (they are neutral fields) and two of them combine naturally to a single complex field, Proposition 3.9. The second question we ask is how does the QRG Ricci scalar curvature S on the product algebra look in terms of the classical Ricci scalar curvature \tilde{S} of \tilde{g} , the Ricci curvature of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ (which we take to be constant for our chosen QRG on this) and the rest involving A_μ ? We find in Theorem 3.10 that the QRG Ricci scalar on the product is

$$S_{\text{product}} = \tilde{S} + \text{const.} + \frac{1}{8h} \|F\|^2 + \frac{1}{8h^2} \|A.F\|^2,$$

where the contractions in $\| \cdot \|$ are defined using \tilde{g} , $F = dA$ is the ‘curvature’ of A if this regarded as like a gauge field, and h is a real parameter scale for the quantum metric on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

These main results are in Section 3, where we solve for the QRG on the product under some algebraic assumptions. A priori there are two 1-forms $A_{i\mu}$ entering into the quantum metric cross terms but Proposition 3.6 shows that for a QLC to exist they should either both vanish or there is a functional relation between the two so that there is really only one independent 1-form. The proposition then gives the rest of the fields for the QRG in the simplest case of the latter, namely with $A := A_1$ and $A_2 = 0$ (there is another similar solution with $A_1 = 0$ and $A := A_2$) and the rest of the section studies this solution further. Section 4 provides the analysis for the excluded case $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ where there are more general solutions in which an overall scale factor h in the quantum metric on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ need not be constant and instead becomes a dynamical field. Then a scalar field on the product appears as a multiplet on M with some components again having a dynamically generated square-mass, see Proposition 4.3. Proposition 4.5 moreover shows that

the Ricci scalar on the product algebra indeed consists of the original classical scalar curvature of on M and the scalar field Lagrangian for the logarithm ϕ of h as the dynamical field. This remarkably follows the same pattern as for $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ in [4] even though the quantum geometries A_f are very different. Again, there is no need for a further Higgs field. Section 5 briefly consider how our results change if we take an alternative quantum metric on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ for which again the QRG is already known.

The paper begins with a preliminary Section 2 providing a lightning recap of the formalism of QRG as in [5]. The paper ends with some concluding remarks about directions for further work. In this regard, note that product algebras $C^\infty(M) \otimes A_f$ are also studied in Connes approach (under the term ‘almost commutative’ spaces) and one can analyse spectral triples on them, obtaining, for suitable choices, the standard model of particle physics on M with multiplet structure encoded in A_f and the product spectral triple[11]. Gauge fields then appear as allowed ‘fluctuations’ of the spectral triple, and fermionic fields are intrinsic to the analysis since one is working with models of the Dirac operator. By contrast, our work goes onto constructing the QRG with the background field A_μ as part of the quantum metric, and the only physics we look at is that of a scalar field on the product subject to the noncommutative Klein-Gordon equation there. On the other hand, these two lines are surely not incompatible and spinor fields on the product space in the QRG approach will be considered in a sequel, using Dirac operators on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ recently found in [17, 24] for the same quantum metric on this as studied here.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We provide a very brief recap of the QRG formalism[6, 22, 23, 1, 2, 5], which amounts to a coherent framework which includes classical Riemannian geometry when the ‘coordinate algebra’ A is commutative and of the form $C^\infty(M)$, but also the case where this can be noncommutative. We also recap the standard QRG on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ from [5]. In the paper we will be solving for QRGs on the tensor product of these two cases.

Throughout the paper, greek indices α, β, μ, ν etc. will be used for tensor calculus on the classical manifold M and we may also denote local coordinates x^μ by (x, t) to remind us that M in our context is intended to be spacetime. We sum over repeated indices unless stated otherwise.

2.1. Outline of QRG formalism. The formalism works over any field but for our purposes we work over \mathbb{C} and ask that the ‘coordinate algebra’ A is a unital \ast -algebra. Differentials are formally introduced as a bimodule Ω^1 of 1-forms equipped with a map $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1$ obeying the Leibniz rule

$$d(ab) = (da)b + adb$$

for all $a, b \in A$. This is required to extend to an exterior algebra (Ω, d) generated by A, dA , with $d^2 = 0$ and d obeying the graded-Leibniz rule.

A quantum metric is $\mathbf{g} \in \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ together with a bimodule map inverse $(\ , \) : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1 \rightarrow A$ in the sense

$$((\omega, \) \otimes \text{id})\mathbf{g} = \omega = \text{id} \otimes (\ , \omega)$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega^1$, and some form of quantum symmetry condition such as $\wedge(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ (and refer to \mathfrak{g} as a generalised quantum metric if no form of symmetry is imposed). The inversion condition in the classical case just says that the matrices expressing \mathfrak{g} and $(\ , \)$ with respect to a basis are mutually inverse. In the quantum case it turns out, however, to force \mathfrak{g} to be central (i.e. to commute with $a \in A$) as shown in [6, 5]. A (left) bimodule connection [13, 25] on Ω^1 is $\nabla : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ obeying

$$\nabla(a.\omega) = a.\nabla\omega + da \otimes \omega, \quad \nabla(\omega.a) = (\nabla\omega).a + \sigma(\omega \otimes da)$$

for all $a \in A, \omega \in \Omega^1$, for some ‘generalised braiding’ bimodule map $\sigma : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$. The latter, if it exists, is uniquely determined. Classically, we would evaluate the first output of ∇ against a vector field to get the associated covariant derivative, but in QRG we work directly with ∇ itself. A connection is torsion free if the torsion $T_\nabla := \wedge\nabla - d$ vanishes, and metric compatible if

$$\nabla\mathfrak{g} = (\nabla \otimes \text{id} + (\sigma \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \nabla))\mathfrak{g}$$

vanishes. When both vanish, we have a *quantum Levi-Civita connection* (QLC). Notice that because σ itself depends on ∇ , this is a quadratic condition with the result that a QLC need not be unique or might not exist. The curvature of ∇ is (similarly) defined as

$$R_\nabla = (d \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \nabla)\nabla : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^2 \otimes_A \Omega^1.$$

Finally, working over \mathbb{C} , we need (Ω, d) to be a $*$ -calculus, g ‘real’ in the sense

$$\mathfrak{g}^\dagger = \mathfrak{g}; \quad \dagger := \text{flip}(* \otimes *)$$

and ∇ $*$ -preserving in the sense

$$\nabla \circ * = \sigma \circ \dagger \circ \nabla,$$

see[5]. These conditions in the classical case and locally with respect to real coordinates x^μ say that the tensor for \mathfrak{g} is real (when combined with quantum symmetry) and that the Christoffel symbols are real.

Also of interest will be the QRG Laplacian

$$\Delta := (\ , \) \nabla d : A \rightarrow A$$

which in the classical case with ∇ the Levi-Civita connection recovers the Laplace-Beltrami operator, and the Ricci tensor. A ‘working definition’ of the latter (but just based on copying classical formulae) is to assume a bimodule lifting map $i : \Omega^2 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^1$ and then take a trace,

$$\text{Ricci} = ((\ , \) \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes i \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes R_\nabla)\mathfrak{g} \in \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1, \quad S = (\ , \)\text{Ricci}$$

where S is the Ricci scalar curvature. Note that the classical cases of Ricci and S in the natural conventions here as $-1/2$ of their usual values[5]. If $i \circ * = -\dagger \circ i$ along with our other ‘reality’ assumptions then S will be self-adjoint, but we do not necessarily impose this. Likewise Δ will commute with $*$ if in addition $(\ , \)\sigma = (\ , \)$. These are both strong conditions and we do not impose them directly.

2.2. Reference QRG on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. We let $\Omega^1(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ be the standard 2D in [5], which is given there terms of basis of central 1-forms s, t with $s^* = -t$ and $\Omega(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ with $s^2 = t^2$, $st = ts$, see [5, Chap. 1]. We prefer here to work the real self-adjoint basis

$$s^1 = \frac{(s+t)}{i}, \quad s^2 = s - t$$

where now [17]

$$s^1 \wedge s^1 = s^2 \wedge s^2 = i \text{Vol}, \quad s^1 \wedge s^2 = s^2 \wedge s^1 = 0$$

with differentials

$$d\sigma^1 = \sigma^3 s^2, \quad d\sigma^2 = -\sigma^3 s^1, \quad d\sigma^3 = \sigma^2 s^1 - \sigma^1 s^2, \quad ds^i = -\sigma^i \text{Vol}, \quad \text{Vol} = -i s^1 \wedge s^1$$

The partial derivatives with respect to this basis are defined by $df = (\partial_i f) s^i$ (sum over $i = 1, 2$) and are derivations on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\partial_i \sigma^j = -\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma^k$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We will mostly be interested in the standard QRG with quantum metric (-2 times) $s \otimes s + t \otimes t$ which now appears as

$$\mathbf{g}_{M_2} = s^1 \otimes s^1 - s^2 \otimes s^2$$

with a ‘Minkowski signature’ metric. The QLC is far from unique with the most well-studied but the simplest case [8, 17] being the 1-parameter family with

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(s^i \otimes s^j) &= \begin{cases} s^i \otimes s^j & \text{if } i \neq j \\ -s^{\bar{i}} \otimes s^{\bar{i}} - 2i\rho s^{\bar{i}} \otimes s^i & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \\ \nabla s^i &= \frac{i}{2} \sigma^i (s^1 \otimes s^1 + s^2 \otimes s^2) + \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \sigma^j (s^2 \otimes s^1 - s^1 \otimes s^2) - \rho \sigma^i s^{\bar{i}} \otimes s^i \\ R_{\nabla} s^i &= -i(1 + \rho^2) \text{Vol} \otimes s^i, \quad \text{Ricci} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho^2)(s^1 \otimes s^1 + s^2 \otimes s^2), \quad S = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{1} = 2$ and $\bar{2} = 1$ and the Ricci tensor is for the canonical symmetric lift

$$i(\text{Vol}) = \frac{1}{2i}(s^1 \otimes s^1 + s^2 \otimes s^2).$$

Here the parameter ρ is required to be imaginary for the $*$ -preserving property. The Laplacian in this 1-parameter case is

$$\Delta_{M_2} f = f_1 \sigma^1 - f_2 \sigma^2$$

if we write $f = f_0 1 + f_i \sigma_i$ with a sum over $i = 1, 2, 3$. We see that $1, \sigma_3$ are zero modes and Δ is diagonal on σ^1, σ^2 . We will use this QRG in Section 4.

In the main part of the paper, however, we will need to start off with the full 3-parameter QLCs on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ in [5, Exercise 8.3] with parameters ρ, u, v , of which the above is the special case $u = v = 0$. We convert this our self-adjoint basis and introduce Christoffel symbols defined by

$$\nabla s^k = \gamma_{ijp}^k \sigma^p s^i \otimes s^j; \quad \gamma_{ijp}^k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then the 3-parameter QLC appears after some calculation as

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{221}^1 &= \gamma_{212}^1 = -\gamma_{211}^2 = i - \gamma_{111}^1, \quad \gamma_{122}^1 = -\gamma_{121}^2 = -\gamma_{112}^2 = \frac{i}{2}(u+v) - \gamma_{111}^1, \\ \gamma_{222}^2 &= \frac{i}{2}(u+v+2) - \gamma_{111}^1, \quad \gamma_{112}^1 = \gamma_{222}^1 = \gamma_{111}^2 = \gamma_{221}^2 = \gamma_{212}^2 = \gamma_{121}^1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{211}^1 &= \gamma_{121}^1 + \frac{u-v}{2} - \rho, & \gamma_{122}^2 &= \gamma_{121}^1 - \frac{u-v}{2} - \rho, \\ \gamma_{111}^1 &= \frac{\imath}{8\rho}((v+u-2)(v-u+2\rho)+8\rho), & \gamma_{121}^1 &= \frac{u+v}{8\rho}(2-u-v)\end{aligned}$$

and the reality condition for the QLC to be \ast -preserving is

$$\bar{u} = v, \quad \bar{v} = u, \quad \bar{\rho} = -\rho.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For the 3-parameter QLCs on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, the Riemann curvature, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar are*

$$\begin{aligned}R_{\nabla}s^1 &= -\frac{\imath}{2}((\rho-u)^2 + (\rho+v)^2 - 2(u+v-1))\text{Vol} \otimes s^1 - \frac{1}{2}(u-v)(u+v-2)\text{Vol} \otimes s^2, \\ R_{\nabla}s^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(u-v)(u+v-2)\text{Vol} \otimes s^1 - \frac{\imath}{2}((\rho+u)^2 + (\rho-v)^2 - 2(u+v-1))\text{Vol} \otimes s^2, \\ \text{Ricci} &= -\frac{1}{4}((\rho-u)^2 + (\rho+v)^2 - 2(u+v-1))s^1 \otimes s^1 + \frac{\imath}{4}(u-v)(u+v-2)(s^1 \otimes s^2 - s^2 \otimes s^1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}((\rho+u)^2 + (\rho-v)^2 - 2(u+v-1))s^2 \otimes s^2, \\ S &= \rho(u-v),\end{aligned}$$

and the Laplacian is

$$\Delta_{M_2}f = -\frac{(u+v-2)}{4\rho}((u-v+2\rho)f_1\sigma^1 + (u-v-2\rho)f_2\sigma^2 + 2(u-v)f_3\sigma^3) \quad (2.1)$$

if we write $f = f_01 + f_i\sigma_i$ with a sum over $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. We used the definitions in Section 2.1, with calculus and lifting map as stated above. Since the basis s^i is central, all calculations can be reduced to working with tensors of coefficients (which were then computed with Mathematica.) \square

We see that the Ricci scalar curvature S is a constant (a multiple of $1 \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$) and real in the \ast -preserving case. Both the QRGs on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ discussed are dimensionless, but we are free to scale the quantum metric by a dimensionful constant h , which does not, however, change ∇ .

3. KALUZA-KLEIN MODEL AND SCALAR FIELDS ON $C^\infty(M) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$

We follow the line recently introduced in [4] but with $\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ replaced by $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Thus, we analyse the QRG on the tensor product algebra $A = C^\infty(M) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ where M is a classical manifold. We let $\Omega(M)$ be the classical exterior algebra on M (we will be mainly concerned with 1-forms) and let central s^i be the basis of $\Omega^1(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$ in Section 2.2.

For the differential calculus on the product, it is natural to take the graded tensor product exterior algebra $\Omega(A) = \Omega(M) \underline{\otimes} \Omega(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$, or explicitly

$$(\omega \otimes \eta)(\omega' \otimes \eta') = (-1)^{\deg(\eta)\deg(\omega')} \omega \omega' \otimes \eta \eta'$$

for all $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega(M)$ and $\eta, \eta' \in \Omega(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$. For the sake of calculations, we will work with local coordinates x^μ on M and use our basis s^i on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. It is easy to see that (locally) when viewed in $\Omega^1(A)$, the dx^μ, s^i together are a central basis

over A and mutually anticommute, the dx^μ remain Grassmann as usual and the s^i retain their wedge product from Section 2.2. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} (dx^\mu \otimes 1)(f \otimes m) &= dx^\mu f \otimes m = f dx^\mu \otimes m = (f \otimes m)(dx^\mu \otimes 1), \\ (1 \otimes s^i)(f \otimes m) &= f \otimes s^i m = f \otimes m s^i = (f \otimes m)(1 \otimes s^i), \\ (dx^\mu \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s^i) &= dx^\mu \otimes s^i = -(1 \otimes s^i)(dx^\mu \otimes 1), \end{aligned}$$

etc. Henceforth, we identify $dx^\mu \equiv dx^\mu \otimes 1$, $s^i \equiv 1 \otimes s^i$, $f \equiv f \otimes 1$, $m \equiv 1 \otimes m$ for $f \in C^\infty(M)$ and $m \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ when viewed in the product exterior algebra and freely use these properties. Similarly, one can check that the classical antisymmetric lift

$$i(dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu) = \frac{1}{2}(dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu - dx^\nu \otimes dx^\mu)$$

extends by the same formula when we work in the product algebra and also when exactly one of the dx^μ, dx^ν are replaced by s^i . Also recall that we sum over repeated indices unless stated otherwise.

Lemma 3.1. *The most general quantum metric on the tensor product algebra $C^\infty(M) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ has the form*

$$\mathbf{g} = g_{\mu\nu}(x, t) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + A_{i\mu}(x, t)(s^i \otimes dx^\mu + dx^\mu \otimes s^i) + h_{ij}(x, t)s^i \otimes s^j,$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ are symmetric, $h_{11} + h_{22} = 0$ and all coefficients are functions on spacetime M . Here $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. For $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, we use the Pauli basis σ^a for $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$ with $\sigma^0 := \text{id}$. Then *a priori*, the most possible general quantum metric is

$$\mathbf{g} = g_{a\mu\nu}(x, t)\sigma^a dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + A_{a\mu i}(x, t)\sigma^a dx^\mu \otimes s^i + A_{ai\mu}(x, t)\sigma^a s^i \otimes dx^\mu + h_{aij}(x, t)\sigma^a s^i \otimes s^j$$

where $g_{a\mu\nu}, A_{a\mu i}(x, t), A_{ai\mu}(x, t), h_{aij} \in C^\infty(M)$. Because the quantum metric has to be central, one needs for all $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{g}, a] &= [g_{a\mu\nu}(x, t)\sigma^a, a]dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + [A_{a\mu i}(x, t)\sigma^a, a]dx^\mu \otimes s^i \\ &\quad + [A_{ai\mu}(x, t)\sigma^a, a]s^i \otimes dx^\mu + [h_{aij}(x, t)\sigma^a, a]s^i \otimes s^j = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which means

$$g_{a\mu\nu}(x, t)\sigma^a = g_{\mu\nu}(x, t), \quad A_{a\mu i}(x, t)\sigma^a = A_{\mu i}(x, t),$$

$$A_{ai\mu}(x, t)\sigma^a = A_{i\mu}(x, t), \quad h_{aij}(x, t)\sigma^a = h_{ij}(x, t),$$

for $g_{\mu\nu}, A_{\mu i}(x, t), A_{i\mu}(x, t), h_{ij} \in C^\infty(M)$, i.e. only multiples of $1 \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ as the centre of this algebra.

Now we impose the quantum symmetry condition for the quantum metric in the form $\wedge(\mathbf{g}) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge(\mathbf{g}) &= g_{\mu\nu}(x, t)dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + A_{\mu i}(x, t)dx^\mu \wedge s^i + A_{i\mu}(x, t)s^i \wedge dx^\mu + h_{ij}(x, t)s^i \wedge s^j \\ &= g_{\mu\nu}(x, t)dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + A_{\mu i}(x, t)dx^\mu \wedge s^i + A_{i\mu}(x, t)s^i \wedge dx^\mu + [h_{11}(x, t) + h_{22}(x, t)]i\text{Vol} \\ &= g_{\mu\nu}(x, t)dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu + (A_{i\mu}(x, t) - A_{\mu i}(x, t))s^i \wedge dx^\mu + [h_{11}(x, t) + h_{22}(x, t)]i\text{Vol} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies the stated conditions on $A_{\mu i}$ and h_{ij} . \square

In particular, we can consider $g_{\mu\nu}$ as a classical (pseudo) Riemannian metric on M . Strictly speaking it does not need to be invertible but only the larger matrix corresponding to \mathfrak{g} , but it is convenient to assume that g is separately invertible as part of the generic solution. From now on, we assume for simplicity that if σ exists, it obeys the following ‘flip assumption’:

$$\sigma(dx^\mu \otimes s^i) = s^i \otimes dx^\mu. \quad (3.1)$$

This says that we are not too far from the classical case where σ is always just a flip map, and was similarly assumed in order to simplify the analysis in [4].

Proposition 3.2. *Under the assumption (3.1), if ∇ is a torsion free bimodule connection then it has the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla dx^\mu &= -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + B_{i\alpha}^\mu (dx^\alpha \otimes s^i + s^i \otimes dx^\alpha) + C_{ij}^\mu s^i \otimes s^j, \\ \nabla s^k &= D_{\alpha\beta}^k dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + E_{i\alpha}^k (dx^\alpha \otimes s^i + s^i \otimes dx^\alpha) + \gamma_{ij}^k s^i \otimes s^j \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu, D_{\alpha\beta}^k$ are symmetric for the subscripts, $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu, B_{i\alpha}^\mu, C_{ij}^\mu \in C^\infty(M)$, $D_{\alpha\beta}^k, E_{i\alpha}^k, \gamma_{ij}^k \in C^\infty(M) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ but do not have the σ^3 component, and

$$C_{11}^\mu + C_{22}^\mu = 0, \quad \gamma_{11}^k + \gamma_{22}^k = \imath \sigma^k.$$

Here $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof. A priori, the most general form of connection is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla dx^\mu &= -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + A_{\alpha i}^\mu dx^\alpha \otimes s^i + B_{i\alpha}^\mu s^i \otimes dx^\alpha + C_{ij}^\mu s^i \otimes s^j, \\ \nabla s^k &= D_{\alpha\beta}^k dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + E_{i\alpha}^k dx^\alpha \otimes s^i + F_{\alpha i}^k s^i \otimes dx^\alpha + \gamma_{ij}^k s^i \otimes s^j. \end{aligned}$$

Requiring the torsion free condition $\wedge \nabla - d = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu &= \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\mu, \quad A_{\alpha i}^\mu = B_{i\alpha}^\mu, \quad C_{11}^\mu + C_{22}^\mu = 0, \\ D_{\alpha\beta}^k &= D_{\beta\alpha}^k, \quad E_{i\alpha}^k = F_{\alpha i}^k, \quad \gamma_{11}^k + \gamma_{22}^k = \imath \sigma^k, \end{aligned}$$

so a torsion free connection has the form stated.

From $\nabla(e^\alpha a) = \nabla(ae^\alpha)$ for e^i denoting either dx^μ or s^i , we also deduce $\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes da) = [a, \nabla e^\alpha] + da \otimes e^\alpha$ which in particular means

$$\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes dx^\mu) = [x^\mu, \nabla e^\alpha] + dx^\mu \otimes e^\alpha = dx^\mu \otimes e^\alpha$$

so that $\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes dx^\mu)$ is flip, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes s^j) &= \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes (-1)^j \sigma^3 d\sigma^{\bar{j}}) = (-1)^j \sigma^3 \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes d\sigma^{\bar{j}}) \\ &= (-1)^j \sigma^3 ([\sigma^{\bar{j}}, \nabla e^\alpha] + d\sigma^{\bar{j}} \otimes e^\alpha) \\ &= (-1)^j \sigma^3 [\sigma^{\bar{j}}, \nabla e^\alpha] + s^j \otimes e^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Here, we use $s^j = (-1)^j \sigma^3 d\sigma^{\bar{j}}$ in the first step. Therefore according to (3.2), assumption (3.1) gives

$$[\sigma^j, \nabla dx^\mu] = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2$. It then follows that

$$[\sigma^3, \nabla dx^\mu] = -\imath [\sigma^1 \sigma^2, \nabla dx^\mu] = 0$$

and hence $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu, B_{i\alpha}^\mu, C_{ij}^\mu$ are in the centre of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. One can also find that

$$[\sigma^3, \nabla e^\alpha] = [-\imath \sigma^1 \sigma^2, \nabla e^\alpha] = -\imath \sigma^1 [\sigma^2, \nabla e^\alpha] - \imath [\sigma^1, \nabla e^\alpha] \sigma^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \iota \sigma^1 \sigma^3 (\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes s^1) - s^1 \otimes e^\alpha) - \iota \sigma^3 (\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes s^2) - s^2 \otimes e^\alpha) \sigma^2 \\
&= \sigma^2 (\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes s^1) - s^1 \otimes e^\alpha) + \sigma^1 (\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes s^2) - s^2 \otimes e^\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

under assumption (3.1).

Next, because our connection is assumed to be a bimodule connection, σ is required to be a bimodule map so that $a\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta) = \sigma(ae^\alpha \otimes e^\beta) = \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta a) = \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta)a$ for any $a \in A$. In particular, for $\sigma(s^i \otimes s^j)$ from (3.2), this gives

$$[a, \sigma^3[\sigma^j, \nabla s^k]] = 0$$

or

$$[a, \sigma^3[\sigma^m, D_{\alpha\beta}^k]] = 0, \quad [a, \sigma^3[\sigma^m, E_{i\alpha}^k]] = 0, \quad [a, \sigma^3[\sigma^m, \gamma_{ij}^k]] = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

where $m = 1, 2$. We can rewrite $D_{\alpha\beta}^k = D_{\alpha\beta n}^k \sigma^n$, $E_{i\alpha}^k = E_{i\alpha n}^k \sigma^n$, $\gamma_{ij}^k = \gamma_{ijn}^k \sigma^n$ for $D_{\alpha\beta n}^k, E_{i\alpha n}^k, \gamma_{ijn}^k \in C^\infty(M)$, $\sigma^n \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Then the last equation in (3.3) gives

$$\gamma_{ijn}^k [a, \sigma^3[\sigma^m, \sigma^n]] = 0$$

When $m = 1$, we deduce

$$\gamma_{ij1}^k [a, \sigma^3[\sigma^1, \sigma^1]] + \gamma_{ij2}^k [a, \sigma^3[\sigma^1, \sigma^2]] + \gamma_{ij3}^k [a, \sigma^3[\sigma^1, \sigma^3]] = 0$$

or

$$\gamma_{ij3}^k [a, \sigma^1] = 0.$$

For this to hold for all $a \in A$, we need $\gamma_{ij3}^k = 0$. When $m = 2$, it also gives that $\gamma_{ij3}^k = 0$. Similarly, the first and second equations in (3.3) give $D_{\alpha\beta 3}^k = 0$ and $E_{i\alpha 3}^k = 0$. \square

We still need to show that we can actually obtain a bimodule connection in this way:

Lemma 3.3. *Every ∇ of the form in Proposition 3.2 indeed is a bimodule connection with σ the flip on the basis involving dx^μ and*

$$\sigma(s^i \otimes s^j) = s^j \otimes s^i + 2\iota(D_{\alpha\beta j}^i dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + E_{k\alpha j}^i (dx^\alpha \otimes s^k + s^k \otimes dx^\alpha) + \gamma_{klj}^i s^k \otimes s^l), \quad (3.4)$$

where $i, j, k, l \in \{1, 2\}$ and $D_{\alpha\beta j}^i, E_{k\alpha j}^i, \gamma_{klj}^i \in C^\infty(M)$.

Proof. Following our assumptions, let σ be the flip on the basis if one of elements is dx^μ and let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma(s^i \otimes s^j) \\
&= s^j \otimes s^i + (-1)^j \sigma^3[\sigma^j, D_{\alpha\beta f}^i dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + E_{k\alpha f}^i (dx^\alpha \otimes s^k + s^k \otimes dx^\alpha) + \gamma_{klf}^i \sigma^f s^k \otimes s^l] \\
&= s^j \otimes s^i + 2\iota[D_{\alpha\beta j}^i dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + E_{k\alpha j}^i (dx^\alpha \otimes s^k + s^k \otimes dx^\alpha) + \gamma_{klj}^i s^k \otimes s^l] \\
&= \sigma^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta
\end{aligned}$$

for some D, E, γ as above and here $f = 0, 1, 2$. And one should also notice that in the third line $j \in \{1, 2\}$. This shows that $\sigma^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}$ is in the centre of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Now, if $\sigma^{ij}_{\alpha\beta}$ is in the centre of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the rest of σ is the flip map under

our assumptions then one can see that σ is a bimodule map when extended to $\sigma(ae^\alpha \otimes e^\beta b) = a\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta)b$. Here

$$\sigma(e^\alpha a \otimes e^\beta) = \sigma(ae^\alpha \otimes e^\beta) = a\sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta) = \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta)a = \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta a) = \sigma(e^\alpha \otimes ae^\beta)$$

so this gives a well-defined map on $\Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$. Hence ∇ in the proposition is indeed a bimodule connection. \square

Proposition 3.2 is therefore the most general form of torsion free bimodule connection on the product under our assumption (3.1). We now analyse which of these are QLC's for the quantum metric in Lemma 3.1. We already saw that $g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho$ are symmetric in their lower indices and consider this part of the data as respectively as a classical metric and a torsion free connection, which we denote ∇_μ , on M . The following lemma then reduces everything to tensor calculus on M and internal latin indices i, j, k, l, m, p, q etc., relating to $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3.4. *We assume (3.1), a quantum metric as in Lemma 3.1 with $h_{11} + h_{22} = 0$, and a torsion free bimodule connection ∇ as in Proposition 3.2 with and*

$$\gamma_{11}^k + \gamma_{22}^k = \imath\sigma^k, \quad C_{11}^\mu + C_{22}^\mu = 0$$

as before. Then ∇ is a QLC if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m\alpha}A_{n\beta}A_{i\nu}h^{mj}(h^{il}\gamma_{jlk}^n + h^{nl}\gamma_{jlk}^i + 2\imath h^{pl}\gamma_{jlk}^n\gamma_{pqk}^i) &= 0, \\ A_{m\alpha}A_{n\beta}h^{ml}(\gamma_{lik}^n + h_{ji}h^{jp}\gamma_{lpk}^n + 2\imath h^{sr}h_{sj}\gamma_{lrp}^n\gamma_{pik}^j) &= 0, \\ A_{m\alpha}A_{n\beta}(h^{mi}\gamma_{ipk}^n + \imath h^{iq}\gamma_{qpj}^n\gamma_{ijk}^m) &= 0, \\ A_{i\alpha}A_{j\beta}(h^{in}\gamma_{nlk}^j - h^{jn}\gamma_{nlk}^i) &= 0, \\ A_{m\alpha}(\gamma_{ijk}^m + h_{nj}h^{nl}\gamma_{lik}^m + 2\imath h^{lp}h_{ln}\gamma_{piq}^m\gamma_{qjk}^n) &= 0, \\ A_{m\alpha}(\gamma_{ijk}^m + \gamma_{jik}^m + 2\imath\gamma_{ijq}^p\gamma_{pqk}^m) &= 0, \\ h_{ji}\gamma_{mnk}^j + h_{nj}\gamma_{mik}^j + 2\imath h_{pj}\gamma_{mnq}^p\gamma_{qik}^j &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the differential conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha g_{\beta\nu} + 2\imath A_{p\alpha}A_{q\beta}h^{ik}h^{pl}\gamma_{lkj}^q(g_{\nu\mu}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\nu}\gamma_{ij0}^m - \partial_\nu h_{ij}) \\ + A_{p\nu}h^{pi}(g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu + A_{q\alpha}h^{qn}(g_{\beta\mu}C_{ni}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{ni0}^m - \partial_\beta h_{ni} - A_{n\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu) - \nabla_\alpha A_{i\beta}) \\ + A_{p\beta}h^{pi}(g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\nu}^\mu + A_{q\alpha}h^{qn}(g_{\nu\mu}C_{ni}^\mu + A_{m\nu}\gamma_{ni0}^m - \partial_\nu h_{ni} - A_{n\mu}B_{i\nu}^\mu) - \nabla_\alpha A_{i\nu}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\beta A_{i\alpha} - \nabla_\alpha A_{i\beta} + 2\imath A_{p\alpha}h^{kl}\gamma_{lij}^p(g_{\beta\mu}C_{kj}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{kj0}^m - \partial_\nu h_{kj}) \\ + 2(g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu + A_{q\alpha}h^{qn}(g_{\beta\mu}C_{ni}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{ni0}^m - \partial_\beta h_{ni} - A_{n\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu)) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\imath A_{p\alpha}A_{q\beta}h^{kn}h^{pl}\gamma_{lnm}^q(A_{k\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{kj}\gamma_{mi0}^j) - 2\imath A_{p\alpha}h^{kl}\gamma_{lij}^p(g_{\beta\mu}C_{kj}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{kj0}^m - \partial_\nu h_{kj}) \\ + (h_{ji} - h_{ij})h^{jk}(g_{\alpha\mu}B_{k\beta}^\mu + A_{q\alpha}h^{qn}(g_{\beta\mu}C_{nk}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{nk0}^m - \partial_\beta h_{nk} - A_{n\mu}B_{k\beta}^\mu) - \nabla_\alpha A_{k\beta}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\alpha}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij0}^m + A_{j\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + 2\imath A_{p\alpha}h^{kl}\gamma_{lim}^p(A_{k\mu}C_{mj}^\mu + h_{kn}\gamma_{mj0}^n) \\ + h_{nj}h^{nk}(g_{\alpha\mu}C_{ki}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ki0}^m - \partial_\alpha h_{ki} - A_{k\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$-\partial_\alpha h_{ji} + g_{\mu\alpha}(C_{ij}^\mu + C_{ji}^\mu) + A_{m\alpha}(\gamma_{ij0}^m + \gamma_{ji0}^m) + 2\iota\gamma_{ijq}^p(g_{\alpha\mu}C_{pq}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{pq0}^m - \partial_\alpha h_{pq}) = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$A_{i\mu}C_{mn}^\mu + h_{ji}\gamma_{mn0}^j + A_{n\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{nj}\gamma_{mi0}^j + 2\iota\gamma_{mnq}^p(A_{p\mu}C_{qi}^\mu + h_{pj}\gamma_{qi0}^j) = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\beta A_{i\alpha} - \nabla_\alpha A_{i\beta} + g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu - g_{\beta\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + A_{q\alpha}h^{qn}(g_{\beta\mu}C_{ni}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{ni0}^m - \partial_\beta h_{ni} - A_{n\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu) \\ - A_{q\beta}h^{qn}(g_{\alpha\mu}C_{ni}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ni0}^m - \partial_\alpha h_{ni} - A_{n\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The remaining fields in ∇ are determined in terms of the above by

$$D_{\alpha\beta k}^n = A_{i\alpha}A_{j\beta}h^{nl}h^{im}\gamma_{mlk}^j, \quad (3.12)$$

$$E_{j\alpha k}^n = A_{m\alpha}h^{ni}\gamma_{ijk}^m, \quad (3.13)$$

$$E_{j\alpha 0}^n = h^{ni}(g_{\alpha\mu}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij0}^m - \partial_\alpha h_{ij} - A_{i\mu}B_{j\alpha}^\mu), \quad (3.14)$$

$$D_{\alpha\beta 0}^n = h^{ni}(g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}h^{mk}(g_{\beta\mu}C_{ki}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{ki0}^m - \partial_\beta h_{ki} - A_{k\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu) - \nabla_\alpha A_{i\beta}). \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Substituting the form of the quantum metric into the QRG metric compatibility condition in Section 2.1, the first term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla \otimes \text{id})\mathbf{g} &= \nabla(g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu) \otimes dx^\nu + \nabla(A_{i\mu}s^i) \otimes dx^\mu + \nabla(A_{i\mu}dx^\mu) \otimes s^i + \nabla(h_{ij}s^i) \otimes s^j \\ &= (dg_{\mu\nu} \otimes dx^\mu + g_{\mu\nu}\nabla dx^\mu) \otimes dx^\nu + dA_{i\mu} \otimes s^i \otimes dx^\mu + A_{i\mu}\nabla s^i \otimes dx^\mu \\ &\quad + dA_{i\mu} \otimes dx^\mu \otimes s^i + A_{i\mu}\nabla dx^\mu \otimes s^i + dh_{ij} \otimes s^i \otimes s^j + h_{ij}\nabla s^i \otimes s^j \end{aligned}$$

while the second term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \nabla)\mathbf{g} \\ = (\sigma \otimes \text{id})(g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu \otimes \nabla dx^\nu + A_{i\mu}s^i \otimes \nabla dx^\mu + A_{i\mu}dx^\mu \otimes \nabla s^i + h_{ij}s^i \otimes \nabla s^j). \end{aligned}$$

After substituting $\nabla dx^\mu, \nabla s^i$ from Proposition 3.2 and σ from Lemma 3.3, we find metric compatibility as the 8 equations

$$\begin{aligned} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta \otimes dx^\nu : \quad & \partial_\alpha g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - g_{\beta\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu + A_{i\nu}D_{\alpha\beta}^i + A_{i\beta}D_{\alpha\nu}^i + 2\iota D_{\alpha\beta j}^i(A_{i\mu}B_{j\nu}^\mu + h_{im}E_{j\nu}^m) = 0, \\ dx^\alpha \otimes s^i \otimes dx^\beta : \quad & \partial_\alpha A_{i\beta} + g_{\mu\beta}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha}^j + h_{ij}D_{\alpha\beta}^j - A_{i\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + 2\iota E_{i\alpha j}^k(A_{k\mu}B_{j\beta}^\mu + h_{km}E_{j\beta}^m) = 0, \\ dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta \otimes s^i : \quad & \partial_\alpha A_{i\beta} + g_{\mu\beta}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha}^j + h_{ji}D_{\alpha\beta}^j - A_{i\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + 2\iota D_{\alpha\beta m}^k(A_{k\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{kj}\gamma_{mi}^j) = 0, \\ dx^\alpha \otimes s^i \otimes s^j : \quad & \partial_\alpha h_{ij} + A_{j\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + h_{mj}E_{i\alpha}^m + A_{i\mu}B_{j\alpha}^\mu + h_{im}E_{j\alpha}^m + 2\iota E_{i\alpha m}^k(A_{k\mu}C_{mj}^\mu + h_{kn}\gamma_{mj}^n) = 0, \\ s^i \otimes dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta : \quad & g_{\mu\beta}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha}^j + g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu + A_{j\alpha}E_{i\beta}^j + 2\iota E_{i\alpha j}^k(A_{k\mu}B_{j\beta}^\mu + h_{km}E_{j\beta}^m) = 0, \\ s^i \otimes dx^\alpha \otimes s^j : \quad & g_{\mu\alpha}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij}^m + A_{j\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + h_{mj}E_{i\alpha}^m + 2\iota E_{i\alpha m}^k(A_{k\mu}C_{mj}^\mu + h_{kn}\gamma_{mj}^n) = 0, \\ s^i \otimes s^j \otimes dx^\alpha : \quad & g_{\mu\alpha}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij}^m + A_{j\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + h_{jm}E_{i\alpha}^m + 2\iota\gamma_{ijq}^p(A_{p\mu}B_{q\alpha}^\mu + h_{pm}E_{q\alpha}^m) = 0, \\ s^m \otimes s^n \otimes s^i : \quad & A_{i\mu}C_{mn}^\mu + h_{ji}\gamma_{mn}^j + A_{n\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{nj}\gamma_{mi}^j + 2\iota\gamma_{mnq}^p(A_{p\mu}C_{qi}^\mu + h_{pj}\gamma_{qi}^j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $k, n, m, p, q \in \{1, 2\}$ and all the repeated labels are summed. Taking the second equation minus the fifth equation, and the fourth equation minus the sixth equation, we obtain

$$\partial_\alpha A_{i\beta} + h_{ij}D_{\alpha\beta}^j - A_{i\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu - A_{j\alpha}E_{i\beta}^j = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\partial_\alpha h_{ij} + A_{i\mu}B_{j\alpha}^\mu + h_{im}E_{j\alpha}^m - g_{\alpha\mu}C_{ij}^\mu - A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij}^m = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Hence we can replace the second and fourth equations by (3.16), (3.17). Now we can see that the σ^1, σ^2 components of (3.16) reduce to (3.12), while σ^1, σ^2 components of (3.17) reduce to (3.13). Considering σ^1, σ^2 components of the remaining equations, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i\nu}D_{\alpha\beta k}^i + A_{i\beta}D_{\alpha\nu k}^i + 2\iota D_{\alpha\beta j}^i h_{im}E_{j\nu k}^m &= 0, \\ A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha k}^j + h_{ji}D_{\alpha\beta k}^j + 2\iota D_{\alpha\beta m}^n h_{nj}\gamma_{mik}^j &= 0, \\ A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha k}^j + A_{j\alpha}E_{i\beta k}^j + 2\iota E_{i\alpha j}^n h_{nm}E_{j\beta k}^m &= 0, \\ A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ijk}^m + h_{mj}E_{i\alpha k}^m + 2\iota E_{i\alpha m}^l h_{ln}\gamma_{mjk}^n &= 0, \\ A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ijk}^m + h_{jm}E_{i\alpha k}^m + 2\iota\gamma_{ijq}^p h_{pm}E_{q\alpha k}^m &= 0, \\ h_{ji}\gamma_{mnk}^j + h_{nj}\gamma_{mik}^j + 2\iota\gamma_{mnq}^p h_{pj}\gamma_{qik}^j &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.12)(3.13) into above six equations and considering the symmetry condition $D_{\alpha\beta i}^k = D_{\beta\alpha i}^k$, these equations reduce to the group of 7 conditions on A, γ in the statement.

Now we analyse the σ^0 component of the eight equations by substituting (3.12)(3.13). These become

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha g_{\beta\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - g_{\beta\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu + A_{i\nu}D_{\alpha\beta 0}^i + A_{i\beta}D_{\alpha\nu 0}^i + 2\iota A_{p\alpha}A_{q\beta}h^{ik}h^{pl}\gamma_{lkj}^q (A_{i\mu}B_{j\nu}^\mu + h_{im}E_{j\nu 0}^m) &= 0, \\ \partial_\alpha A_{i\beta} + h_{ij}D_{\alpha\beta 0}^j - A_{i\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu - A_{j\alpha}E_{i\beta 0}^j &= 0, \\ \partial_\alpha A_{i\beta} + g_{\mu\beta}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha 0}^j + h_{ji}D_{\alpha\beta 0}^j - A_{i\mu}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + 2\iota A_{p\alpha}A_{q\beta}h^{kn}h^{pl}\gamma_{lnm}^q (A_{k\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{kj}\gamma_{mi 0}^j) &= 0, \\ \partial_\alpha h_{ij} + A_{i\mu}B_{j\alpha}^\mu + h_{im}E_{j\alpha 0}^m - g_{\alpha\mu}C_{ij}^\mu - A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij 0}^m &= 0, \\ g_{\mu\beta}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + A_{j\beta}E_{i\alpha 0}^j + g_{\alpha\mu}B_{i\beta}^\mu + A_{j\alpha}E_{i\beta 0}^j + 2\iota A_{p\alpha}h^{kl}\gamma_{lij}^p (A_{k\mu}B_{j\beta}^\mu + h_{km}E_{j\beta 0}^m) &= 0, \\ g_{\mu\alpha}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij 0}^m + A_{j\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + h_{mj}E_{i\alpha 0}^m + 2\iota A_{p\alpha}h^{kl}\gamma_{lim}^p (A_{k\mu}C_{mj}^\mu + h_{kn}\gamma_{mj 0}^n) &= 0, \\ g_{\mu\alpha}C_{ij}^\mu + A_{m\alpha}\gamma_{ij 0}^m + A_{j\mu}B_{i\alpha}^\mu + h_{jm}E_{i\alpha 0}^m + 2\iota\gamma_{ijq}^p (A_{p\mu}B_{q\alpha}^\mu + h_{pm}E_{q\alpha 0}^m) &= 0, \\ A_{i\mu}C_{mn}^\mu + h_{ji}\gamma_{mn 0}^j + A_{n\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{nj}\gamma_{mi 0}^j + 2\iota\gamma_{mnq}^p (A_{p\mu}C_{qi}^\mu + h_{pj}\gamma_{qi 0}^j) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the fourth equation, we obtain (3.14). Substituting (3.14) into the second equation, we get (3.15).

Then remaining six equations reduce to (3.5),(3.6),(3.8)-(3.10) and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha A_{i\beta} + \nabla_\beta A_{i\alpha} + 2\iota A_{p\alpha}A_{q\beta}h^{kn}h^{pl}\gamma_{lnm}^q (A_{k\mu}C_{mi}^\mu + h_{kj}\gamma_{mi 0}^j) \\ + (h_{ij} + h_{ji})h^{jk}(g_{\alpha\mu}B_{k\beta}^\mu + A_{q\alpha}h^{qn}(g_{\beta\mu}C_{nk}^\mu + A_{m\beta}\gamma_{nk 0}^m - \partial_\beta h_{nk} - A_{n\mu}B_{k\beta}^\mu) - \nabla_\alpha A_{k\beta}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the above equation minus (3.6), we get (3.7). Furthermore, substituting $D_{\alpha\beta 0}^k = D_{\beta\alpha 0}^k$ into (3.15), we get condition (3.11). \square

We also require reality conditions on quantum metric and our bimodule connection.

Lemma 3.5. (1) Reality of the quantum metric \mathfrak{g} in Lemma 3.1 requires

$$g_{\mu\nu}^* = g_{\mu\nu}, \quad A_{i\alpha}^* = A_{i\alpha}, \quad h_{ij}^* = h_{ji}.$$

(2) The QLC in Lemma 3.4 is $*$ -preserving if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu) &= -C_{kn}^{\mu*}A_{i\alpha}A_{j\beta}h^{nl}h^{im}\gamma_{mlk}^j, \quad \text{Im}(B_{i\alpha}^\mu) = C_{kn}^{\mu*}A_{m\alpha}h^{nj}\gamma_{jik}^m, \\ \text{Im}(C_{ij}^\mu) &= C_{lm}^{\mu*}\gamma_{ijl}^m, \quad \text{Im}(\gamma_{ij 0}^k) = \gamma_{lm 0}^{k*}\gamma_{ijl}^m, \quad \text{Im}(\gamma_{ijq}^k) = \gamma_{lmq}^{k*}\gamma_{ijl}^m, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{m\alpha}(\text{Im}(h^{kj}\gamma_{jiq}^m) - \gamma_{ljq}^{k*}h^{jn}\gamma_{nil}^m) &= 0, \\
A_{i\alpha}A_{j\beta}(\text{Im}(h^{kl}h^{im}\gamma_{mlq}^j) - \gamma_{pnq}^{k*}h^{nl}h^{im}\gamma_{mlp}^j) &= 0, \\
-\text{Im}(h^{ki}\nabla_\alpha A_{i\beta}) + g_{\alpha\mu}\text{Im}(h^{ki}B_{i\beta}^\mu) + A_{m\alpha}g_{\beta\mu}\text{Im}(h^{ki}h^{mn}C_{ni}^\mu) + A_{m\alpha}A_{l\beta}\text{Im}(h^{ki}h^{mn}\gamma_{ni0}^l) \\
- A_{m\alpha}\text{Im}(h^{ki}h^{mn}\partial_\beta h_{ni}) - A_{m\alpha}A_{n\mu}\text{Im}(h^{ki}h^{mn}B_{i\beta}^\mu) &= \gamma_{ij0}^{k*}A_{p\alpha}A_{n\beta}h^{jl}h^{pm}\gamma_{mli}^n, \\
g_{\alpha\mu}\text{Im}(h^{kj}C_{ji}^\mu) + A_{m\alpha}\text{Im}(h^{kj}\gamma_{ji0}^m) - \text{Im}(h^{kj}\partial_\alpha h_{ji}) - A_{j\mu}\text{Im}(h^{kj}B_{i\alpha}^\mu) &= \gamma_{lj0}^{k*}A_{m\alpha}h^{jn}\gamma_{nil}^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger = \mathfrak{g}$, this is immediate given that dx^μ are classical and s^i are self-adjoint. For the QLC to be $*$ -preserving, we need $\nabla \circ * = \sigma \circ \dagger \circ \nabla$, which applied on the basis gives the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu &= \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu*} - 2\iota C_{ij}^{\mu*}D_{\alpha\beta i}^j, & B_{i\alpha}^\mu &= B_{i\alpha}^{\mu*} + 2\iota C_{kj}^{\mu*}E_{i\alpha k}^j, & C_{ij}^\mu &= C_{ij}^{\mu*} + 2\iota C_{lm}^{\mu*}\gamma_{ijl}^m, \\
D_{\alpha\beta 0}^k &= D_{\alpha\beta 0}^{k*} + 2\iota\gamma_{ij0}^{k*}D_{\alpha\beta i}^j, & E_{i\alpha 0}^k &= E_{i\alpha 0}^{k*} + 2\iota\gamma_{lj0}^{k*}E_{i\alpha l}^j, & \gamma_{ij0}^k &= \gamma_{ij0}^{k*} + 2\iota\gamma_{lm0}^{k*}\gamma_{ijl}^m, \\
D_{\alpha\beta q}^k &= D_{\alpha\beta q}^{k*} + 2\iota\gamma_{ijq}^{k*}D_{\alpha\beta i}^j, & E_{i\alpha q}^k &= E_{i\alpha q}^{k*} + 2\iota\gamma_{ljq}^{k*}E_{i\alpha l}^j, & \gamma_{ijq}^k &= \gamma_{ijq}^{k*} + 2\iota\gamma_{lmq}^{k*}\gamma_{ijl}^m.
\end{aligned}$$

As before, $i, j, k, l, m \in \{1, 2\}$. We now substitute D, E in terms of A, B, C , then the above conditions amount to the ones stated. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let $h_{ij}(x, t)s^i \otimes s^j = h(x, t)(s^1 \otimes s^1 - s^2 \otimes s^2)$ and let γ be the 3-parameter QLC on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ in Section 2.2.*

(a) *Solutions for a QRG in Lemma 3.4 can be classified into two cases:*

- (i) $A_{1\mu} = A_{2\mu} = 0$ with general possibilities for u, v, ρ .
- (ii) $(v + \rho - 1)A_{1\mu} = \iota(v - \rho - 1)A_{2\mu}$ with $(u - 1)(v - 1) = -\rho^2$.

We proceed with the simplest case of (ii) where

$$u = 1 + \rho, \quad v = 1 - \rho, \quad \rho \neq 0, \quad A_2 = 0$$

(there is a similar solution with $A_1 = 0$ and $u = 1 - \rho, v = 1 + \rho$). We also assume that $A_\mu := A_{1\mu}$ is generic and that $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} := g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{h}A_\mu A_\nu$ is invertible.

Then all the other fields in the solution can be determined and the reality condition in Lemma 3.5 solved as follows:

(b) Γ is not necessarily the usual LC for usual $g_{\mu\nu}$ but characterised by solving

$$\nabla_\mu g_{\rho\nu} = \frac{1}{2h}(A_\nu \nabla_{(\rho} A_{\mu)} + A_\rho \nabla_{(\nu} A_{\mu)}).$$

(c) h is a real constant and Γ is real.

(d) *The remaining parameter $\rho(x, t)$ for the QLC on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is imaginary but allowed to vary over spacetime. In this case $\Delta_{M_2} = 0$ and $S_{M_2} = \frac{2\rho^2}{h}$ for the finite QRG.*

Proof. We first consider the conditions in Lemma 3.4. The fifth equation of the group of 7 conditions on A, γ give us the two possible forms of the solution stated in (a). One can check that above these also then satisfy the other conditions in this group.

From now we only consider the $A_{1\mu} \neq 0, A_{2\mu} = 0$ case as stated where $v = 1 - \rho, u = 1 + \rho, \rho \neq 0$ and we define $A_\mu := A_{1\mu}$. We assume this does not identically vanish otherwise we are back in case (i). Then in Lemma 3.4, (3.10) reduces to

$$C_{ij} = 0.$$

And (3.9) reduces to

$$\partial_\alpha h(x, t) = 0,$$

so h is a constant. The remaining conditions in Lemma 3.4 reduce to the equation in the statement part (b) and

$$B^\mu{}_\nu = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\mu\alpha}\nabla_{[\alpha}A_{\nu]}, \quad D_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\imath}{h^2}A_\alpha A_\beta, \quad D_{\alpha\beta 0} = -\frac{1}{2h}\nabla_{(\alpha}A_{\beta)}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$E_\alpha = \frac{\imath}{h}A_\alpha, \quad E_{\alpha 0} = -\frac{1}{h}A_\beta B^\beta{}_\alpha, \quad (3.19)$$

where we write

$$B^\mu{}_\nu = B_{1\nu}^\mu, \quad D_{\alpha\beta} = D_{\alpha\beta 1}^1, \quad D_{\alpha\beta 0} = D_{\alpha\beta 0}^1, \quad E_\alpha = E_{1\alpha 1}^1, \quad E_{\alpha 0} = E_{1\alpha 0}^1$$

with zero for the remaining fields (i.e. involving 2 for the latin indices). The non-trivial conditions in Lemma 3.5 are

$$h^* = h, \quad A_\alpha^* = A_\alpha, \quad (g_{\alpha\beta})^* = g_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\Gamma^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta})^* = \Gamma^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta},$$

in line with our interpretation that $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is a usual real metric on M and Γ can be viewed as real Christoffel symbols (but not necessarily those of Levi-Civita connection for g). \square

This describes the simplest solution for the QRG on the product where not both A_i are zero. There are more general solutions of type (ii), for example

$$A_1 = \pm A_2, \quad u = v = 0, \quad \rho = \mp \imath \quad (3.20)$$

with all the other fields determined, Γ the Levi-Civita connection of g and $\tilde{g} = g$, but the scalar field action and our other results below do not have a clear physical interpretation in this case. We also saw in the proof that if $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ then there are more possibilities for γ and defer this case to Section 4. Finally, if $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ in the case that we looked at is not invertible there are again more possibilities for $B^\mu{}_\nu$ and of some of the other fields.

Sticking for the rest of the section with our simplest solution with $A_2 = 0$ and $A := A_1$ in Proposition 3.6, the only thing we still have to solve for a QRG on the product is to find Γ so that the condition in part (b) holds. Also note that $h(x, t)$ in Proposition 3.6 is forced to be a nonzero real constant h . It represents the physical scale of the QRG of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

We first calculate the inverse $(\ , \)$ of the quantum metric \mathfrak{g} , which has the general form

$$\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = (dx^\mu, dx^\nu), \quad \tilde{A}^{\mu i} = (dx^\mu, s^i), \quad \tilde{A}^{i\mu} = (s^i, dx^\mu), \quad \tilde{h}^{ij} = (s^i, s^j) \quad (3.21)$$

but we will show that $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ is indeed the inverse of $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ as the notation implies. Using the definition of the inverse quantum metric in Section 2.1, we find that the above coefficients are required to obey

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i\mu}\tilde{A}^{\alpha i} + g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\nu} &= \delta_\mu^\alpha, & A_{i\mu}\tilde{A}^{i\alpha} + g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{g}^{\nu\alpha} &= \delta_\mu^\alpha, & A_{i\mu}\tilde{A}^{k\mu} + h_{ji}\tilde{h}^{kj} &= \delta_i^k, & A_{i\mu}\tilde{A}^{\mu k} + h_{ij}\tilde{h}^{jk} &= \delta_i^k, \\ A_{i\mu}\tilde{g}^{\alpha\mu} + h_{ji}\tilde{A}^{\alpha j} &= 0, & A_{i\mu}\tilde{g}^{\mu\alpha} + h_{ij}\tilde{A}^{j\alpha} &= 0, & A_{i\mu}\tilde{h}^{ki} + g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{A}^{k\nu} &= 0, & A_{i\mu}\tilde{h}^{ik} + g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{A}^{\nu k} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which can be reduced in our case to

$$\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} A_\alpha A_\beta}{h - g^{\rho\sigma} A_\rho A_\sigma}, \quad \tilde{A}^\mu := -\frac{1}{h} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} A_\nu = -\frac{g^{\mu\nu} A_\nu}{h - g^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\tilde{h}^{11} = \frac{1}{h - g^{\mu\nu} A_\mu A_\nu}, \quad \tilde{h}^{22} = -\frac{1}{h}, \quad \tilde{A}^{\alpha 2} = \tilde{A}^{2\alpha} = \tilde{h}^{12} = \tilde{h}^{21} = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

where we denote $\tilde{A}^\mu = \tilde{A}^{1\mu}$. The first of these is equivalent to $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ being the inverse of $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{h} A_\mu A_\nu$ as in Proposition 3.6.

We also define $\tilde{\nabla} dx^\mu = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$ for the Levi-Civita connection and Christoffel symbols for $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$ regarded as a second metric on the classical manifold.

Theorem 3.7. *Generic solutions of the equation in Proposition 3.6(b) and hence of QRGs on the product are provided by A_μ any 1-form and*

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2h} \tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho} (A_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + A_\nu F_{\mu\rho})$$

where \tilde{g} is defined as in (3.22), $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is its classical Levi-Civita connection and $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. Moreover,

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma = \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\sigma - \frac{1}{2h} g^{\sigma\rho} A_\rho \nabla_{(\mu} A_{\nu)} \quad (3.24)$$

in terms of Levi-Civita connection Λ for our original metric g .

Proof. Using the classical formula for Λ , we first show that Λ can be written as

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\sigma = \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho} (g_{\rho\mu,\nu} + g_{\nu\rho,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\rho}) \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho} (\nabla_\nu g_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} - \nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu} + 2\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda g_{\lambda\rho}) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho} (\nabla_\nu g_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} - \nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where ∇_μ is defined by Γ in our coordinates. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\sigma &= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho} (\tilde{g}_{\rho\mu,\nu} + \tilde{g}_{\nu\rho,\mu} - \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu,\rho}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(g^{\sigma\rho} + \frac{g^{\sigma\alpha} g^{\rho\beta} A_\alpha A_\beta}{h - g^{\lambda\gamma} A_\lambda A_\gamma} \right) \left(g_{\rho\mu,\nu} + g_{\nu\rho,\mu} - g_{\mu\nu,\rho} - \frac{1}{h} (\partial_{[\nu} A_{\rho]} A_\mu + \partial_{(\nu} A_{\mu)} A_\rho + \partial_{[\mu} A_{\rho]} A_\nu) \right) \\ &= \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\sigma + \frac{g^{\sigma\alpha} \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta A_\alpha A_\beta}{h - g^{\lambda\gamma} A_\lambda A_\gamma} - \frac{1}{2h} \tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho} (\nabla_{[\nu} A_{\rho]} A_\mu + \nabla_{(\nu} A_{\mu)} A_\rho + \Gamma_{(\nu\mu)}^\lambda A_\lambda A_\rho + \nabla_{[\mu} A_{\rho]} A_\nu) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho} (\nabla_\nu g_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} - \nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{g^{\sigma\alpha} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta A_\alpha A_\beta}{h - g^{\lambda\gamma} A_\lambda A_\gamma} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} g^{\beta\rho} (\nabla_\nu g_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} - \nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu}) \frac{g^{\sigma\alpha} A_\alpha A_\beta}{h - g^{\lambda\gamma} A_\lambda A_\gamma} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2h} \tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho} (\nabla_{[\nu} A_{\rho]} A_\mu + \nabla_{(\nu} A_{\mu)} A_\rho + \Gamma_{(\nu\mu)}^\lambda A_\lambda A_\rho + \nabla_{[\mu} A_{\rho]} A_\nu) \\ &= \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho} (\nabla_\nu g_{\rho\mu} + \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} - \nabla_\rho g_{\mu\nu}) + \left(-\frac{1}{2h} \tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho} \Gamma_{(\nu\mu)}^\lambda A_\lambda A_\rho + \frac{g^{\sigma\alpha} \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta A_\alpha A_\beta}{h - g^{\lambda\gamma} A_\lambda A_\gamma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2h}\tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho}(\nabla_{[\nu}A_{\rho]}A_{\mu}+\nabla_{(\nu}A_{\mu)}A_{\rho}+\nabla_{[\mu}A_{\rho]}A_{\nu}) \\
& =\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma}+\frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho}(\nabla_{\nu}g_{\rho\mu}+\nabla_{\mu}g_{\nu\rho}-\nabla_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu})-\frac{1}{2h}\tilde{g}^{\sigma\rho}(\nabla_{[\nu}A_{\rho]}A_{\mu}+\nabla_{(\nu}A_{\mu)}A_{\rho}+\nabla_{[\mu}A_{\rho]}A_{\nu})
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the classical formula for $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in first step, formula (3.22) for \tilde{g} in second step, (3.25) in the third step, (3.26) in fourth step. The expression in the big brackets after the fifth step vanishes after substituting \tilde{g} in terms of g .

Next, we define Γ in terms of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as stated in the theorem and inserting into the above calculation, we find

$$\nabla_{\nu}g_{\rho\mu}+\nabla_{\mu}g_{\nu\rho}-\nabla_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{h}A_{\rho}\nabla_{(\nu}A_{\mu)}. \quad (3.27)$$

By adding the above equation and the same equation where ρ, μ are swapped, we obtain the equation in Proposition 3.6(b). Finally, (3.24) can be obtained by substituting (3.27) into (3.26). \square

This theorem is our main result and tells us that we can solve for the QRG on the product for any initial classical metric g on M , the given QRG on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with constant scale factor h , and any generic 1-form A_{μ} on M . We now turn to the physical interpretation.

Lemma 3.8. *For \tilde{g} defined by A_{μ} as above, we have:*

- (1) $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\nabla}_{\alpha}A_{\beta}=\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}A_{\beta};$
- (2) Let $\|A\|^2:=\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}$ then $g^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}=\frac{h\|A\|^2}{h+\|A\|^2};$
- (3) If A_{μ} is Killing with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ then $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}F_{\beta\mu}=-\partial_{\mu}\|A\|^2.$

Proof. For (1), we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\tilde{\nabla}_{\alpha}A_{\beta} &= \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta}-\tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}A_{\mu}) \\
&= \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\left(\partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta}-\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}A_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2h}\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}(A_{\beta}F_{\alpha\nu}+A_{\alpha}F_{\beta\nu})A_{\mu}\right) \\
&= \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_{\alpha}A_{\beta}-\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}A_{\mu}) \\
&= \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}A_{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (2), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A\|^2 &= \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}A_{\beta} = \left(g^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{g^{\alpha\mu}g^{\beta\nu}A_{\mu}A_{\nu}}{h-g^{\rho\sigma}A_{\rho}A_{\sigma}}\right)A_{\alpha}A_{\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}A_{\beta} + \frac{(g^{\alpha\mu}A_{\alpha}A_{\mu})(g^{\beta\nu}A_{\beta}A_{\nu})}{h-g^{\rho\sigma}A_{\rho}A_{\sigma}} \\
&= \frac{hg^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}}{h-g^{\rho\sigma}A_{\rho}A_{\sigma}}
\end{aligned}$$

from which $g^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}$ can be written as stated. For (3),

$$\partial_{\mu}\|A\|^2 = 2\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu}A_{\beta} = \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}\tilde{\nabla}_{(\mu}A_{\beta)} - \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}A_{\alpha}F_{\beta\mu}$$

from which the statement follows. \square

We also note that the classical Laplacian for a real scalar field with respect to our second metric can be computed as

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{LB} = \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu \partial_\mu) = \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta - (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \frac{1}{h} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} A_\beta F_{\alpha\nu}) \partial_\mu)$$

in view of Theorem 3.7.

Now working in the background of the solution in Theorem 3.7, we work out the quantum geometric Laplacian Δ on a scalar field $f = \sum f_a(x, t) \sigma^a$ (where $a = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and write down the associated action.

Proposition 3.9. *The action for a massless real scalar field f on the product with f_2, f_3 viewed as a single complex scalar field $\psi = f_2 - i f_3$ is*

$$S_f = - \int_M \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \prod dx^\mu \left(\sum_{a=0,1} (\tilde{\nabla}_\mu f_a) \tilde{\nabla}^\mu f_a + \overline{(\tilde{\nabla}_\mu \psi)} \tilde{\nabla}_A^\mu \psi \right)$$

where

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\mu A} := \tilde{\nabla}_\mu - i \frac{A_\mu}{h}.$$

Proof. We start by computing the QRG Laplacian for the real scalar field f in terms of its components,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= (\cdot, \cdot) \nabla d(f_a \sigma^a) \\ &= ((\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta f_a - (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \frac{2}{h} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} A_\beta \nabla_\alpha A_\nu) \partial_\mu f_a) \sigma^a - \frac{1}{h^2} A_\alpha A_\beta (f_2 \sigma^2 + f_3 \sigma^3) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{h} A_\beta ((\partial_\alpha f_3) \sigma^2 - (\partial_\alpha f_2) \sigma^3)) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{h} (f_3 \sigma^2 - f_2 \sigma^3) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha A_\beta \\ &= \tilde{\Delta}_{LB} f - \frac{1}{h^2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} A_\alpha A_\beta (f_2 \sigma^2 + f_3 \sigma^3) - \frac{2}{h} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} A_\beta (\sigma^2 \partial_\alpha f_3 - \sigma^3 \partial_\alpha f_2) - \frac{1}{h} (f_3 \sigma^2 - f_2 \sigma^3) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha A_\beta \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Delta}_{LB}$ acts on each component f_a when f is regarded as a multiplet on space-time.

Next, the action for the massless real scalar field on the tensor product spacetime is given by integration of $f \Delta f = \sum_{a,b} f_a (\Delta f)_b \sigma^a \sigma^b$ over M and over $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ where, by the latter, we mean the normalised trace $\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}$ as a positive linear functional $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In terms of the fields f_a viewed as a multiplet on M , this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_f &= \int_M \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \prod dx^\mu \sum_j f_a (\Delta f)_a \\ &= \int_M \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \prod dx^\mu \left(\sum_a f_a \tilde{\Delta}_{LB} f_a - \frac{1}{h^2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} A_\alpha A_\beta (f_2 f_2 + f_3 f_3) - \frac{2}{h} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} A_\beta (f_2 \partial_\alpha f_3 - f_3 \partial_\alpha f_2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we change variables to ψ as stated to give

$$S_f = \int_M \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \prod dx^\mu \left(\sum_{a=0,1} f_a \tilde{\Delta}_{LB} f_a + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi} \tilde{\Delta}_{LB,A} \psi + h.c.) \right)$$

for $\tilde{\Delta}_{LB,A} = \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \tilde{\nabla}_{\mu A} \tilde{\nabla}_{\nu A}$, which we then write as stated. \square

This exhibits a real massless scalar field f on the total space as a multiplet of massless fields on M where f_0, f_1 have zero charge and f_2, f_3 combine to a charged scalar field with A_μ entering like a gauge field.

Theorem 3.10. *For our A_μ solutions,*

$$S = \tilde{S}_M + \frac{2\rho^2}{h} + \frac{1}{8h} \|F\|^2 + \frac{1}{8h^2} \|A.F\|^2,$$

where $A.F_\mu = \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} A_\alpha F_{\beta\mu}$, $\| \cdot \|^2$ likewise contracts with \tilde{g} , $F = dA$ as in Lemma 3.8 and \tilde{S}_M is the Ricci scalar of \tilde{g} in our conventions.

Proof. From the general form of ∇ and the some of the properties of the fields B, D, E, Γ in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we find

$$\begin{aligned} R_\nabla dx^\mu &= (-\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - B_\nu^\mu D_{\alpha\beta}^1) dx^\nu \wedge dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta \\ &\quad + (\partial_\alpha B_\beta^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu B_\beta^\rho - B_\alpha^\mu E_{1\beta}^1) dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \otimes s^1 \\ &\quad + (\partial_\alpha B_\beta^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu B_\beta^\rho - B_\rho^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - B_\alpha^\mu E_{1\beta}^1) dx^\alpha \wedge s^1 \otimes dx^\beta \\ &\quad + (B_\rho^\mu B_\alpha^\rho - \iota B_\alpha^\mu \sigma^1) dx^\alpha \wedge s^1 \otimes s^1 - (\iota B_\rho^\mu B_\alpha^\rho + B_\alpha^\mu \sigma^1) \text{Vol} \otimes dx^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_\nabla s^1 &= (\partial_\nu D_{\alpha\beta}^1 + D_{\nu\rho}^1 \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - E_{1\nu}^1 D_{\alpha\beta}^1) dx^\nu \wedge dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta \\ &\quad + (\partial_\alpha E_{1\beta}^1 - D_{\alpha\rho}^1 B_\beta^\rho - E_{1\alpha}^1 E_{1\beta}^1) dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta \otimes s^1 \\ &\quad + (D_{\alpha\beta}^1 \epsilon_{i13} \sigma^3 + \partial_\alpha E_{i\beta}^1 - D_{\alpha\rho}^1 B_{i\beta}^\rho - E_{i\rho}^1 \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - E_{1\alpha}^1 E_{i\beta}^1 + \gamma_{i11}^1 \sigma^1 D_{\alpha\beta}^1) dx^\alpha \wedge s^i \otimes dx^\beta \\ &\quad + (E_\alpha \epsilon_{i13} \sigma^3 + E_{i\rho}^1 B_\alpha^\rho) dx^\alpha \wedge s^i \otimes s^1 - \iota E_{1\rho}^1 B_\alpha^\rho \text{Vol} \otimes dx^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_\nabla s^2 = -4\iota\rho^2 \text{Vol} \otimes s^2 - 2(\partial_\mu \rho) \sigma^2 dx^\mu \wedge s^1 \otimes s^2.$$

This gives the Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ricci} &= \frac{1}{2} ((-\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\rho - \nabla_\alpha E_{1\beta}^1 - 2\iota D_{\alpha\beta}^1 \sigma^1 \\ &\quad + E_{1\alpha}^1 E_{1\beta}^1 + D_{\mu(\alpha}^1 B_{\beta)}^\mu) dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + (\nabla_\mu B_\alpha^\mu - \iota \sigma^1 E_{1\alpha}^1) dx^\alpha \otimes s^1 \\ &\quad + (\nabla_\mu B_\alpha^\mu - E_{1\rho}^1 B_\alpha^\rho) s^1 \otimes dx^\alpha + B_\rho^\mu B_\mu^\rho s^1 \otimes s^1) - 2\rho^2 s^2 \otimes s^2, \\ S &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\rho - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \frac{1}{h} \nabla_\alpha (A_\mu B_\beta^\mu) + \frac{1}{h^2} A_\mu A_\nu B_\alpha^\mu B_\beta^\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2}{h} A_\alpha \nabla_\mu B_\beta^\mu - \frac{1}{h^2} A_\nu B_\rho^\nu B_\alpha^\rho A_\beta \right) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} B_\rho^\mu B_\mu^\rho \tilde{h}^{11} + \frac{2\rho^2}{h}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we recognise part of S as the Ricci scalar for \tilde{g} , which using $\tilde{\Gamma}$ from Proposition 3.7, is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_M &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\partial_\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \partial_\alpha \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\beta}^\mu + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\rho}^\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\beta}^\rho - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu\rho}^\mu \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\rho - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \frac{1}{h} \nabla_\alpha (A_\mu B_\beta^\mu) - \frac{2}{h} \nabla_\mu (A_\alpha B_\beta^\mu) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{h^2} (A_\mu A_\nu B_\alpha^\mu B_\beta^\nu + A_\alpha B_\mu^\mu A_\beta B_\mu^\rho) \right) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \tilde{S}_M + \frac{2\rho^2}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{h^2} A_\nu B_\rho^\nu B_\alpha^\rho A_\beta + \frac{2}{h} B_\beta^\mu \nabla_\mu A_\alpha - \frac{1}{h^2} A_\alpha B_\rho^\mu A_\beta B_\mu^\rho \right) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} B_\rho^\mu B_\mu^\rho \tilde{h}^{11} \\ &= \tilde{S}_M + \frac{2\rho^2}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{2}{h} - \frac{1}{h^2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} A_\alpha A_\beta + \tilde{h}^{11} \right) B_\rho^\mu B_\mu^\rho + \frac{1}{2h} \left(-\frac{1}{h} A_\nu B_\rho^\nu B_\alpha^\rho A_\beta + B_\beta^\mu \nabla_\mu (A_\alpha A_\beta) \right) \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \tilde{S}_M + \frac{2\rho^2}{h} - \frac{1}{2h} \left(B^\mu_\rho B^\rho_\mu + \frac{1}{h} A_\nu B^\nu_\rho B^\rho_\alpha A_\beta \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \right).$$

Finally, we write B^μ_ν in terms of $\nabla_{[\mu} A_{\nu]} = F_{\mu\nu}$ according to (3.18), to give the result for S as stated. \square

The ρ here is in principle allowed to vary on spacetime, but this does not appear to be associated to interesting dynamics so we will take it a constant (i.e. fix both the quantum metric and connection in $M_2(\mathbb{C})$), in which case this term can be dropped as a constant from the action. The $\|A.F\|^2$ term is unexpected but Lemma 3.8 says (by way of getting some intuition) that if we make a simplifying ‘gauge fixing’ like assumption that A_μ is a Killing form with respect to $\tilde{\nabla}$ then this term is

$$\|A.F\|^2 = \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu (\|A\|^2) \partial_\nu (\|A\|^2)$$

which is like a kinetic term for $\|A\|^2$ as a real scalar field.

4. SPECIAL CASE WHERE $A = 0$

In this section, we impose a second simplifying condition on σ in addition to (3.1), namely that $\sigma(s^i \otimes s^j)$ doesn’t have any terms with dx^μ . According to (3.4), this means

$$D^i_{\alpha\beta j} = E^i_{k\alpha j} = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

With this further condition, we can solve more general $h_{ij}(x, t)$ but with $A = 0$, in contrast to the preceding section. This is the same as case (i) in Proposition 3.6.

Proposition 4.1. *Assuming (4.1), a QLC of the form in Proposition 3.2 has $A = 0$, Γ the usual Levi-Civita connection for $g_{\mu\nu}$, γ a QLC for h_{ij} on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ according to*

$$h_{ji}\gamma^j_{mn} + 2ih_{pj}\gamma^p_{mnq}\gamma^j_{qi} + h_{nj}\gamma^j_{mi} = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

and the fields C, E required to obey

$$C^\mu_{ij} = -g^{\mu\alpha} h_{mj} E^\mu_{i\alpha 0}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\partial_\alpha h_{ij} + h_{mj} E^\mu_{i\alpha 0} + h_{im} E^\mu_{j\alpha 0} = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

$$2ih_{pm} E^\mu_{n\alpha 0} \gamma^p_{ijn} + (h_{jm} - h_{mj}) E^\mu_{i\alpha 0} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Here, $i, j, n, m, p, q \in \{1, 2\}$, all the repeated labels are summed, and all fields could depend on spacetime.

Proof. We use the analysis in Lemma 3.4 with the further assumption (4.1). Using $E^i_{k\alpha j} = 0$, $E^n_{j\alpha k} = A_{m\alpha} h^{ni} \gamma^m_{ijk}$ and $\gamma^{m}_{11} + \gamma^{m}_{22} = i\sigma^m$, we find $A_{m\alpha} i\sigma^m = 0$, which requires

$$A_{m\alpha} = 0$$

for all m, α . Then the various conditions on A in Lemma 3.4 are satisfied automatically. The conditions on B, C reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha g_{\beta\nu} &= 0, \quad B^\mu_{i\alpha} = 0, \quad C^\mu_{11} + C^\mu_{22} = 0, \\ g_{\mu\alpha} C^\mu_{ij} + h_{nj} h^{nk} (g_{\alpha\mu} C^\mu_{ki} - \partial_\alpha h_{ki}) &= 0, \\ -\partial_\alpha h_{ji} + g_{\mu\alpha} (C^\mu_{ij} + C^\mu_{ji}) + 2i\gamma^p_{ijq} (g_{\alpha\mu} C^\mu_{pq} - \partial_\alpha h_{pq}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$h_{ji}\gamma_{mn0}^j + h_{nj}\gamma_{mi0}^j + 2\iota\gamma_{mnq}^p h_{pj}\gamma_{qi0}^j = 0.$$

Considering the last equation of above equations and the condition on γ in Lemma 3.4, one obtains the complete condition on γ as stated in this proposition. In addition $D_{\alpha\beta 0}^n, E_{j\alpha 0}^n$ reduce to

$$D_{\alpha\beta 0}^n = 0, \quad E_{j\alpha 0}^n = h^{ni}(g_{\alpha\mu}C_{ij}^\mu - \partial_\alpha h_{ij}).$$

Considering above equation about $E_{j\alpha 0}^n$, the conditions on C can be written as the one stated. \square

The inverse of the quantum metric $(,)$ on the tensor product algebra, has the form

$$(dx^\mu, dx^\nu) = g^{\mu\nu}, \quad (s^i, s^j) = h^{ij}, \quad (dx^\mu, s^i) = 0, \quad (s^i, dx^\mu) = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}, h^{ij}$ are the inverse of $g_{\mu\nu}, h_{ij}$ respectively. It follows that $h^{11} + h^{22} = 0$ and in fact that

$$h^{ij} = -h_{ij}/\det(h)$$

in terms of $h = (h_{ij})$ and its determinant. We are now ready to compute the QRG Laplacian $\Delta = (,)\nabla d$ on the tensor product, i.e. acting on any $f = f_a(x, t)\sigma^a \in C^\infty(M) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ using our extended Pauli basis with $\sigma^0 = \text{id}$.

Theorem 4.2. *The QRG Laplacian on $f = f_a(x, t)\sigma^a$ in the background solution in Proposition 4.1 is*

$$\Delta f = \Delta_{LB}f + \Delta_{M_2}f - g^{\mu\alpha}h_{mj}E_{i\alpha 0}^m(\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a h^{ij}$$

where Δ_{LB} is the classical Laplacian for the metric g on each component f_a and

$$\Delta_{M_2}f = h^{ij}(f_i\sigma^j - \epsilon_{kcb}f_c\sigma^b\gamma_{ij}^k)$$

is the Laplacian on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ at each x, t . Here $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= (,)\nabla d(f_a\sigma^a) = (,)\nabla((df_a)\sigma^a + f_a d\sigma^a) = (,)\nabla((\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a dx^\mu + f_a(\partial_i\sigma^a)s^i) \\ &= (,)((\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a) \otimes dx^\mu + (\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a \nabla dx^\mu + d(f_a(\partial_i\sigma^a)) \otimes s^i + f_a(\partial_i\sigma^a)\nabla s^i \\ &= (,)(((\partial_\mu f_a))\sigma^a + (\partial_\mu f_a)d\sigma^a) \otimes dx^\mu + (\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a \nabla dx^\mu \\ &\quad + ((df_a)(\partial_i\sigma^a) + f_a d(\partial_i\sigma^a)) \otimes s^i + f_a(\partial_i\sigma^a)\nabla s^i. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\nabla dx^\mu, \nabla s^1, \nabla s^2$, the above result becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= (\partial_\nu \partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a g^{\nu\mu} + (\partial_\rho f_a)\sigma^a (-\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho g^{\mu\nu} + C_{ij}^\rho h^{ij}) + f_a(\partial_j \partial_i \sigma^a)h^{ji} + f_a(\partial_k \sigma^a)\gamma_{ij}^k h^{ij} \\ &= g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \partial_\nu f_a - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\rho \partial_\rho f_a)\sigma^a + (f_a(\partial_i \partial_j \sigma^a) + (\partial_k \sigma^a)\gamma_{ij}^k) + C_{ij}^\mu (\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a h^{ij} \\ &= (\Delta_{LB}f_a)\sigma^a + f_c(\partial_i \partial_j \sigma^c + (\partial_k \sigma^c)\gamma_{ij}^k)h^{ij} + C_{ij}^\mu (\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a h^{ij} \\ &= (\Delta_{LB}f_a)\sigma^a + f_c(\partial_i \partial_j \sigma^c + (\partial_k \sigma^c)\gamma_{ij}^k)h^{ij} - g^{\mu\alpha}h_{mj}E_{i\alpha 0}^m(\partial_\mu f_a)\sigma^a h^{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ_{LB} is the usual Laplacian on spacetime, $\partial_i \sigma^b = -\epsilon_{ibc}\sigma^c$ and $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}, a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and ϵ_{kbc} is the Levi-Civita symbol. All the repeated labels are summed. We see that the first term is the Laplacian for $g_{\mu\nu}$ applied to each component f_a . The last term is the cross term and the middle term is the Laplacian on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Here, at each x, t (e.g. regarding f as constant on spacetime),

$$\Delta_{M_2}f = (,)\nabla((\partial_i f)s^i)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\partial_k f)(,) \nabla s^k + \partial_j \partial_i f(,) s^j \otimes s^i \\
&= (\partial_k f)(,) (E_{i\alpha 0}^k (dx^\alpha \otimes s^i + s^i \otimes dx^\alpha) + \gamma_{ij}^k s^i \otimes s^j) + (\partial_i \partial_j f) h^{ij} \\
&= f_c (\partial_i \partial_j \sigma^c + (\partial_k \sigma^c) \gamma_{ij}^k) h^{ij}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\partial_i \sigma^b = -\epsilon_{ibc} \sigma^c$ and $h^{11} = -h^{22}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{M_2} f &= (f_i \sigma^j - \epsilon_{kcb} f_c \sigma^b \gamma_{ij}^k) h^{ij} \\
&= (\gamma_{ij2}^1 - \gamma_{ij1}^2) h^{ij} f_3 \sigma^0 + (f_i h^{i1} + (f_2 \gamma_{ij2}^1 - f_3 \gamma_{ij0}^2 - \iota f_1 \gamma_{ij2}^2) h^{ij}) \sigma^1 \\
&\quad + (f_i h^{i2} + (f_3 \gamma_{ij0}^1 - \iota f_2 \gamma_{ij1}^1 + \iota f_1 \gamma_{ij1}^2) h^{ij}) \sigma^2 \\
&\quad + (f_1 \gamma_{ij0}^2 - f_2 \gamma_{ij0}^1 - \iota f_3 \gamma_{ij1}^1 - \iota f_3 \gamma_{ij2}^2) h^{ij} \sigma^3.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{M_2} \sigma^0 &= 0, \\
\Delta_{M_2} \sigma^1 &= (h^{11} - \iota \gamma_{ij2}^2 h^{ij}) \sigma^1 + (h^{12} + \iota \gamma_{ij1}^2 h^{ij}) \sigma^2 + \gamma_{ij0}^2 h^{ij} \sigma^3, \\
\Delta_{M_2} \sigma^2 &= (h^{21} + \iota \gamma_{ij2}^1 h^{ij}) \sigma^1 + (h^{22} - \iota \gamma_{ij1}^1 h^{ij}) \sigma^2 - \gamma_{ij0}^1 h^{ij} \sigma^3, \\
\Delta_{M_2} \sigma^3 &= (\gamma_{ij2}^1 - \gamma_{ij1}^2) h^{ij} \sigma^0 - \gamma_{ij0}^2 h^{ij} \sigma^1 + \gamma_{ij0}^1 h^{ij} \sigma^2 - \iota (\gamma_{ij1}^1 + \gamma_{ij2}^2) h^{ij} \sigma^3.
\end{aligned}$$

so that Δ_{M_2} as a matrix in the basis $\{\sigma^0, \sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3\}$ can be written as

$$\Delta_{M_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h^{11} - \iota \gamma_{ij2}^2 h^{ij} & h^{12} + \iota \gamma_{ij1}^2 h^{ij} & \gamma_{ij0}^2 h^{ij} \\ 0 & h^{21} + \iota \gamma_{ij2}^1 h^{ij} & h^{22} - \iota \gamma_{ij1}^1 h^{ij} & -\gamma_{ij0}^1 h^{ij} \\ (\gamma_{ij2}^1 - \gamma_{ij1}^2) h^{ij} & -\gamma_{ij0}^2 h^{ij} & \gamma_{ij0}^1 h^{ij} & -\iota (\gamma_{ij1}^1 + \gamma_{ij2}^2) h^{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

These results are general. We now focus on the standard quantum metric on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ but allow the scale of this (and the parameter ρ in its QLC) to vary over spacetime.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $h_{ij} s^i \otimes s^j = h(x, t)(s^1 \otimes s^1 - s^2 \otimes s^2)$ be a scaling of the standard QRG of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ with its 1-parameter QLC in Section 2.2. Then*

$$E_{1\alpha 0}^2 = E_{2\alpha 0}^1 = \frac{\iota \rho(x, t)}{2h(x, t)} \partial_\alpha h(x, t), \quad E_{1\alpha 0}^1 = E_{2\alpha 0}^2 = -\frac{1}{2h(x, t)} \partial_\alpha h(x, t)$$

solve the conditions for a QLC on the product in Proposition 4.1. Moreover,

$$\Delta f(x, t) = (\Delta_{LB} f_a(x, t)) \sigma^a - \frac{1}{h(x, t)} (-f_1(x, t) \sigma^1 + f_2(x, t) \sigma^2 - g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu h(x, t) \partial_\mu f_a(x, t)) \sigma^a).$$

If $h(x, t)$ is constant in spacetime and we let $h^{-1}(x, t) = \delta_m$ then the KG equation operator reduces to

$$(\Delta + m^2) f = (\Delta_{LB} f_a) \sigma^a + m^2 f_0 + (m^2 + \delta_m) f_1(x, t) \sigma^1 + (m^2 - \delta_m) f_2(x, t) \sigma^2 + m^2 f_3 \sigma^3,$$

which implies a splitting of the masses of the f_1, f_2 components of f regarded a quadruplet of fields on M . Here $a \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. In fact, we already described the 1-parameter QLC for this form of quantum metric on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ in Section 2.2 and the scale factor $h(x, t)$ in front does not change this. In terms of the general analysis above, the corresponding γ tensor is

$$\gamma_{111}^1 = \gamma_{221}^1 = \gamma_{112}^2 = \gamma_{222}^2 = \gamma_{212}^1 = \gamma_{121}^2 = -\gamma_{122}^1 = -\gamma_{211}^2 = \frac{\iota}{2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{121}^1 &= \gamma_{212}^2 = \gamma_{112}^1 = \gamma_{111}^2 = \gamma_{222}^1 = \gamma_{221}^2 = \gamma_{jk0}^i = 0, \\ \gamma_{211}^1 &= \gamma_{122}^2 = -\rho(x, t), \quad \gamma_{ij0}^k = 0.\end{aligned}$$

and results in

$$\Delta_{M_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h^{-1}(x, t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -h^{-1}(x, t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in line with Section 2.2. Here ρ is also allowed in principle to depend on spacetime but does not enter directly into the Laplacian. Then $\Delta f(x, t)$ in Theorem 4.2 reduces as stated. \square

Note that if we use the full 3-parameter connection from Section 2.2 then only Δ_{M_2} changes, now to (2.1). This gives effective square masses $m^2 + \delta_m^i$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_m^1 &= \frac{(u+v-2)}{4\rho h}(v-u-2\rho), \\ \delta_m^2 &= \frac{(u+v-2)}{4\rho h}(v-u+2\rho), \\ \delta_m^3 &= \frac{(u+v-2)}{2\rho h}(v-u)\end{aligned}$$

for the field f_i in terms of the parameters u, v, ρ , instead of the formulae above. These are again in principle allowed to vary in spacetime, but the interesting case is for constant shifts in square mass. Finally, we compute the curvatures of the tensor product quantum geometry in the 1-parameter case (the formulae for the 3-parameter case are more complicated and are omitted).

Theorem 4.4. *The Ricci curvature of the QLC on the product in Proposition 4.1 is*

$$S = S_M + S_{M_2} + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta} \left(E_{m\alpha 0}^n E_{m\beta 0}^n - h_{nm} h^{nm} (\nabla_\alpha E_{i\beta 0}^i - E_{j\alpha 0}^i E_{i\beta 0}^j) \right),$$

where S_M is the classical Ricci scalar for $g_{\mu\nu}$ in our conventions and

$$S_{M_2} = -\frac{1}{2}h^{jk}(\gamma_{mn}^k \gamma_{mj}^n - \imath \gamma_{mj}^k \sigma^m + \gamma_{mjb}^k \epsilon_{mbc} \sigma^c)$$

is the QRG scalar curvature on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ at each x, t . Here $n, m, i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}, b \in \{1, 2\}, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. We first compute the Riemann curvature for the connection Proposition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}R_\nabla dx^\mu &= -(\partial_\nu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu k}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^k) dx^\nu \wedge dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + (\partial_\nu C_{ij}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\beta}^\mu C_{ij}^\beta + C_{im}^\mu E_{j\nu 0}^m) dx^\nu \wedge s^i \otimes s^j \\ &\quad - (C_{ji}^\mu \sigma^j + \imath C_{mj}^\mu \gamma_{mi}^j) \text{Vol} \otimes s^i - \imath C_{mj}^\mu E_{m\alpha 0}^j \text{Vol} \otimes dx^\alpha, \\ R_\nabla s^k &= (\partial_\mu E_{i\nu 0}^k - E_{j\mu 0}^k E_{i\nu 0}^j) dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \otimes s^i + (\partial_\mu E_{i\nu 0}^k - E_{j\mu 0}^k E_{i\nu 0}^j - E_{i\alpha 0}^k \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha) dx^\mu \wedge s^i \otimes dx^\nu \\ &\quad - (E_{i\mu 0}^k \sigma^i + \imath E_{m\mu 0}^j \gamma_{mj}^k) \text{Vol} \otimes dx^\mu + ((\partial_\mu \gamma_{ija}^k) \sigma^a + \gamma_{im}^k E_{j\mu 0}^m) dx^\mu \wedge s^i \otimes s^j \\ &\quad - (\gamma_{ji}^k \sigma^j + \imath \gamma_{mj}^k \gamma_{mi}^j + \imath \gamma_{mib}^k \epsilon_{mbc} \sigma^c + \imath E_{m\alpha 0}^k C_{mi}^\alpha) \text{Vol} \otimes s^i.\end{aligned}$$

This then leads to the Ricci tensor,

$$\text{Ricci} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \Gamma_{\mu k}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^k + \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha k}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^k - h_{nk} h^{ni} (\partial_\alpha E_{i\beta 0}^k - E_{j\alpha 0}^k E_{i\beta 0}^j - E_{i\mu 0}^k \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu) \right) dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \iota h_{nk} h^{ni} (E_{j\mu 0}^k \sigma^j + \iota E_{m\mu 0}^j \gamma_{mj}^k) s^i \otimes dx^\mu - \frac{1}{2} h_{nk} h^{ni} ((\partial_\mu \gamma_{ija}^k) \sigma^a + \gamma_{im}^k E_{j\mu 0}^m) dx^\mu \otimes s^j \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\alpha} h_{mj} (\Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta E_{i\beta 0}^m + E_{n\mu 0}^m E_{i\alpha 0}^n - \partial_\mu E_{i\alpha 0}^m) \\
& + \iota h_{pk} h^{pi} (\gamma_{mj}^k \sigma^m + \iota \gamma_{mn}^k \gamma_{mj}^n + \iota \gamma_{mjb}^k \epsilon_{mbc} \sigma^c - \iota g^{\alpha\beta} h_{nj} E_{m\alpha 0}^k E_{m\beta 0}^n)) s^i \otimes s^j.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we apply (,) to obtain the Ricci scalar S ,

$$\begin{aligned}
S = (,) \text{Ricci} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (-\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu - \Gamma_{\mu k}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^k + \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha k}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^k) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (h_{pk} h^{pi} h^{ij} h_{nj} E_{m\alpha 0}^k E_{m\beta 0}^n - (h_{nk} h^{ni} + h_{kn} h^{in}) (\partial_\alpha E_{i\beta 0}^k - E_{j\alpha 0}^j E_{i\beta 0}^j - E_{i\mu 0}^k \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \iota h_{pk} h^{pi} h^{ij} (\gamma_{mj}^k \sigma^m + \iota \gamma_{mn}^k \gamma_{mj}^n + \iota \gamma_{mjb}^k \epsilon_{mbc} \sigma^c) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (-\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \Gamma_{\mu k}^\mu \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^k + \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\mu - \Gamma_{\alpha k}^\mu \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^k) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} (E_{m\alpha 0}^n E_{m\beta 0}^n - h_{nm} h^{nm} (\partial_\alpha E_{i\beta 0}^i - E_{j\alpha 0}^j E_{i\beta 0}^j - E_{i\mu 0}^i \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \iota h^{jk} (\gamma_{mj}^k \sigma^m + \iota \gamma_{mn}^k \gamma_{mj}^n + \iota \gamma_{mjb}^k \epsilon_{mbc} \sigma^c).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used $h_{pk} h^{pi} h^{ij} h_{nj} = -h_{pk} \frac{h_{pi}}{\det(h)} h^{ij} h_{nj} = -h_{pk} \frac{\delta_p^j}{\det(h)} h_{nj} = -\frac{h_{jk} h_{nj}}{\det(h)} = h_{jk} h^{nj} = \delta_k^n$ and $h_{nk} h^{ni} + h_{kn} h^{in} = h_{nm} h^{nm} \delta_k^i$. We recognise the first and last terms as respectively the spacetime curvature (which in our conventions $-\frac{1}{2}$ of the usual value) and an expression which can be identified as the scalar curvature S_{M_2} for the QRG on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ defined by γ . \square

We use $\nabla_\mu, \nabla^\mu = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu$ for the usual Levi-Civita covariant derivative for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. These results are again general and we now focus on the scaled standard QRG on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $h_{ij} s^i \otimes s^j = h(x, t) (s^1 \otimes s^1 - s^2 \otimes s^2)$ as in Proposition 4.3. If we assume that $\rho(x, t)$ is constant and let $h(x, t) = e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3-3\rho^2}} \phi(x, t)}$, then*

$$S = S_M + \nabla^\mu \phi \nabla_\mu \phi + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3-3\rho^2}} \nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \phi$$

where $\phi(x, t)$ is real scalar field and ρ is now an imaginary parameter. Moreover,

$$E_{1\mu 0}^2 = E_{2\mu 0}^1 = \frac{\iota \rho}{\sqrt{3-3\rho^2}} \partial_\mu \phi(x, t), \quad E_{1\mu 0}^1 = E_{2\mu 0}^2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3-3\rho^2}} \partial_\mu \phi(x, t).$$

Proof. Substituting γ s in Section 2.2 and E in Proposition 4.3 into S , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= S_M + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{3-3\rho^2}{2} (\partial_\alpha \ln h) (\partial_\beta \ln h) + 2 \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \ln h - 2 \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu (\partial_\mu \ln h) \right) + 0 \\
&= S_M + \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{3-3\rho^2}{2} (\partial_\alpha \ln h) (\partial_\beta \ln h) + 2 \nabla_\alpha \partial_\beta \ln h \right) \\
&= S_M + \frac{3-3\rho^2}{4} (\nabla^\alpha \ln h) (\nabla_\alpha \ln h) + \nabla^\alpha \nabla_\alpha \ln h,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla_\alpha, \nabla^\alpha = g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\beta$.

Next, under the reality condition on the quantum metric, we know $h(x, t)$ is real, and under $*$ -preserving condition on the connection, $\rho(x, t)$ is an imaginary functional parameter so that $3 - 3\rho^2 \geq 3$ is real. Hence, if ρ is constant then we can let $h(x, t) = e^{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3-3\rho^2}}\phi(x, t)}$ and then we find S and E as stated. \square

The last term of S is a total divergence and hence will vanish on integration over M when we look at the corresponding Einstein-Hilbert action. This therefore shows the same mechanism for the dynamical perturbation of mass as in [4] in which a similar field to ϕ appears as a real scalar field and enters as in Proposition 4.3 to produce a square mass variation

$$\delta_m = e^{-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3-3\rho^2}}\phi(x, t)}. \quad (4.7)$$

5. ALTERNATE QRG ON $M_2(\mathbb{C})$

Here we consider another choice of quantum metric for which the QRG is well understood, namely $\mathfrak{g} = \iota(s^2 \otimes s^1 - s^1 \otimes s^2)$ on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ as in [5, 17]. The physical interpretation here is less clear so we will give this only briefly. A natural 4-parameter QLC here was found in [5] and in our s^i basis becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{221}^1 &= \iota - \gamma_{111}^1, & \gamma_{121}^2 &= 2\iota - \gamma_{111}^1, & \gamma_{211}^2 &= \iota - \frac{\iota}{2}(a + b + u + v) - \gamma_{111}^1, \\ \gamma_{212}^1 &= \gamma_{111}^1 - \frac{\iota(a - b)(av - bu)}{4ab}, & \gamma_{222}^2 &= 2\iota - \gamma_{212}^1, & \gamma_{112}^2 &= \gamma_{212}^1 - \iota, \\ \gamma_{122}^1 &= \gamma_{212}^1 - \iota + \frac{\iota}{2}(a + b - u - v), & \gamma_{211}^1 &= -\gamma_{221}^2 = \gamma_{111}^2, & \gamma_{121}^1 &= \gamma_{111}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a - b - u + v), \\ \gamma_{112}^1 &= \gamma_{111}^2 - \frac{(u + v)(bu - av)}{4ab}, & \gamma_{222}^1 &= \gamma_{122}^2 = -\gamma_{112}^1, & \gamma_{212}^2 &= -\gamma_{112}^1 + \frac{1}{2}(a - b + u - v), \\ \gamma_{111}^1 &= \iota \left(1 + \frac{(av + bu - 2ab)(a + b + u + v)}{8ab} \right), & \gamma_{111}^2 &= \frac{(bu - av)(a + b + u + v)}{8ab}. \end{aligned}$$

The reality condition for a $*$ -preserving connection is $v = -\bar{u}, b = -\bar{a}$. We now calculate the Ricci curvature, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar. A further restriction that avoids matrices in the Ricci scalar is $v = -u$ and hence u real. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nabla} s^i &= (-1)^i \frac{\iota}{2}(a + b) \text{Vol} \otimes s^i + \frac{1}{2}(a - b + (-1)^i 2u) \text{Vol} \otimes s^{\bar{i}}, \\ \text{Ricci} &= \frac{1}{4}((a + b)s^1 \otimes s^1 + \iota(a - b - 2u)s^1 \otimes s^2 + \iota(a - b + 2u)s^2 \otimes s^1 - (a + b)s^2 \otimes s^2), \\ S &= -u. \end{aligned}$$

The Laplacian Δ_{M_2} is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{M_2} f &= -(2 + u + v)f_3\sigma^0 + \frac{1}{2}((a - b + u - v)f_1 + \iota(a + b - u - v)f_2)\sigma^1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\iota(a + b + u + v)f_1 - (a - b - u + v)f_2)\sigma^2 + (u - v)f_3\sigma^3 \\ &= -2f_3\sigma^0 + \frac{1}{2}((a - b + 2u)f_1 + \iota(a + b)f_2)\sigma^1 + \frac{1}{2}(\iota(a + b)f_1 - (a - b - 2u)f_2)\sigma^2 + 2uf_3\sigma^3 \end{aligned}$$

in the case $v = -u$ and u real. The 1-parameter case of interest further sets $a = b = 0$ so that

$$\nabla s^i = \imath(\sigma^1 s^1 + \sigma^2 s^2) \otimes s^i - u\epsilon_{ik}\sigma^i s^i \otimes s^k, \quad \Delta_{M_2} f = uf_1\sigma^1 + uf_2\sigma^2 + 2uf_3\sigma^3 - 2f_3\sigma^0$$

as one can then check directly for this quantum metric. Note that Δ_{M_2} has f_0 as a zero mode, and also the action $\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(\bar{f}\Delta_{M_2}f)$ is not real due to a $\bar{f}_0 f_3$ term.

If we put this quantum metric into the general setting of Lemma 3.4 then (after a long calculation) one can show that we are forced to $A = 0$, as in the preceding section. In this case we find from Theorem 4.4 that

Proposition 5.1. *If $h_{ij}s^i \otimes s^j = \imath h(x, t)(s^2 \otimes s^1 - s^1 \otimes s^2)$ and we set $h(x, t) = e^{2\phi(x, t)}$ and γ to be the 1-parameter QLC with $v = -u, a = b = 0$ then*

$$S = S_M - \nabla_\mu \phi \nabla^\mu \phi + 2\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \phi - u$$

where $\phi(x, t)$ is real scalar field. Here u is allowed to vary in spacetime.

Proof. Conditions (4.4) and (4.5) in Proposition 4.1 for $h(x, t) = e^{2\phi(x, t)}$ become

$$E_{1\alpha 0}^2 = E_{2\alpha 0}^1 = \imath E_{1\alpha 0}^1 + \imath \partial_\alpha \phi(x, t), \quad E_{2\alpha 0}^2 = -E_{1\alpha 0}^1 - 2\partial_\alpha \phi(x, t)$$

with $E_{1\alpha 0}^1$ arbitrary. Substituting E and γ into S , we obtain

$$S = S_M - u + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}(-2\partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi + 4\nabla_\alpha \partial_\beta \phi)$$

which we write as stated. \square

The last term of S is the Ricci scalar of $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the term before that is a total divergence and can be dropped when we integrate over M . Hence we have the same kind of result as before, namely the scaling field h appears as a scalar field ϕ , but note that its action enters with a negative sign. On the other hand, Theorem 4.2 now gives

$$\Delta f = \Delta_{LB} f + \frac{1}{h(x, t)}(uf_1\sigma^1 + uf_2\sigma^2 + 2uf_3\sigma^3 - 2f_3\sigma^0 - \partial^\mu h(x, t)\partial_\mu f_a \sigma^a).$$

In the simplest case where h is a constant, the scalar field action $S_f = \int \bar{f}\Delta f$ using $\frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}$ for the integration over M_2 is then

$$S_f = \int_M \sqrt{-g} \prod dx^\mu \left(\sum_a \bar{f}_a \Delta_{LB} f_a + e^{-2\phi}(-2\bar{f}_0 f_3 + u\bar{f}_1 f_1 + u\bar{f}_2 f_2 + 2u\bar{f}_3 f_3) \right),$$

showing a change of mass of the f_a modes for $a = 1, 2, 3$ and an unphysical coupling of the f_0, f_3 modes. The latter can be avoided if we restrict to a triplet of fields with $f_0 = 0$.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have shown how the assumption of a finite noncommutative geometry, in our case with ‘coordinate algebra’ $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ adjoined to classical spacetime M (by a tensor product of the coordinate algebras) leads to two different physical phenomena. One solution for the QRG on the product, in Section 4, behaves remarkably similarly to [4] where we adjoined a completely different finite QRG, namely a discrete circle \mathbb{Z}_n . In both cases, the finite QRG metric is allowed to vary over spacetime by a

scale factor $h(x, t)$ and its logarithm enters the scalar curvature (and hence the Einstein-Hilbert action) for the product QRG as a massless real scalar field ϕ , i.e. becomes a dynamic field, see Proposition 4.5. Moreover, the mass coupling to scalar field multiplets when the KG equation on the product QRG is broken down in terms of component fields on M , enters as the exponential of ϕ in the Lagrangian, see (4.7), so that even a ground state $\phi = 0$ produces mass. Also, in both cases the quantum metric on the product does not have cross terms due to natural restrictions coming from the QRG. This confirms, for a second independent model, a novel mechanism of dynamical mass-splitting (or dynamical mass generation) induced by tensoring with a finite noncommutative geometry.

Our other phenomenon, as in Proposition 3.6, is a complementary QRGs on the product and is closer to the spirit of the original Kaluza-Klein hypothesis in that cross terms in the quantum metric *are* allowed and the scale function h for the $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ geometry is instead forced to be a constant. The cross terms correspond to a 1-form A_μ on the spacetime M and a real scalar field appears as a multiplet where two of the real components combine to a single charged scalar field ψ minimally coupled to A_μ . The latter is again a dynamic field in that it enters the scalar curvature on the product (and hence the Einstein-Hilbert action), but this time with a Maxwell action $\|F\|^2$, see Theorem 3.10 but with an additional term $\|A.F\|^2$. Hence this is a dynamical charge generation phenomenon where again part of the geometry on the product appears as a new field on M . This is not unlike the basic discovery of Kaluza-Klein but surprising to see it here for a finite QRG as the extra factor rather than S^1 .

There are a great many questions that arise naturally from the present work. One of these, but not at all easy to address, would be to analyse the automorphisms of the QRG on the product and see to what extent these reduce to diffeomorphisms on M and $U(1)$ gauge transformations such that A_μ transforms as expected. This requires a general theory of such automorphisms which would first need to be developed. Here any algebra has a ‘measuring bialgebra’ of all possible transformations, which should be cut down to respect the differential calculus, etc. In the paper, the A_μ arises very differently as a 1-form entering into the quantum metric and given terms such as $\|A.F\|$, if a gauge potential arises from the theory (as the minimal coupling to a scalar field suggests) then it does so as intrinsically gauge fixed. Which gauge is not at all clear but a natural simplification suggested by Lemma 3.8 was to impose the Killing form equation $\tilde{\nabla}_{(\mu} A_{\nu)} = 0$ as a stronger version of Lorentz gauge $\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha A_\beta = 0$. With this assumption, $A.F = -d\|A\|^2$ and the equations of motion for A including the $\|A.F\|^2$ term are

$$\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_\alpha F_{\beta\mu} = J_\mu, \quad J_\mu = \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha (\|A\|^2) F_{\beta\mu}.$$

The effective ‘source’ here is not automatically conserved. Even this special case, as well as the full equations of motion, remain to be better understood. We can, however, make one remark, which is the sense in which the $\|A.F\|$ term is a perturbation. Naively, this would seem to be at large h , but in fact this is misleading when we match the $\|F\|^2$ to Maxwell theory.

First off, putting in physical constants but with $c = 1$, we suppose that the Einstein-Hilbert action on the product is

$$-\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_M \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \Pi \, dx^\mu S$$

remembering that our S is $-1/2$ of the usual conventions for the scalar curvature. This then recovers the correct Einstein-Hilbert action for \tilde{g} with the signature $(- + + +)$ from the first term of S in Theorem 3.10. Note that S has units of length^{-2} and hence h has units of square-length. Moreover, A_μ/h in Proposition 3.9 needs to have length^{-1} so A_μ as units of length. Moreover, comparing with the covariant derivative for a particle of charge e , Maxwell potential \bar{A}_μ and curvature \bar{F} , we need

$$A_\mu = \frac{eh}{\hbar} \bar{A}_\mu, \quad \|F\|^2 = \frac{e^2 h^2}{\hbar^2} \|\bar{F}\|^2 = 4\pi\alpha\epsilon_0 \frac{h^2}{\hbar} \|\bar{F}\|^2$$

where α is the fine structure constant if we take e to be the electron charge, say. If we want the above action to recover

$$-\frac{\epsilon_0}{4} \int_M \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} \Pi \, dx^\mu \|\bar{F}\|^2$$

from the third term of S in Theorem 3.10, then we need

$$h = \frac{4G\hbar}{\alpha} = \frac{4\lambda_P^2}{\alpha}$$

where λ_P is the Planck length. In this case, the last two terms in S with physical fields and constants are proportional to

$$\|\bar{F}\|^2 + \frac{e^2 h}{\hbar^2} \|\bar{A} \cdot \bar{F}\|^2 = \|\bar{F}\|^2 + 16\pi G\epsilon_0 \|\bar{A} \cdot \bar{F}\|^2$$

hence this second term is generically suppressed if the 1-form field strength is weak in the rough estimate sense

$$\|\bar{A}\| \ll \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi G\epsilon_0}}.$$

Of course, this is not something one can properly consider in gauge theory as this quantity is not gauge-invariant but, as mentioned above, the theory should presumably be compared with Maxwell theory in a particular gauge. Ignoring this for purposes of discussion, to reach this bound for the field strength $\|\bar{A}\| \sim \frac{|e|}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}$ at radius r from a classical electron point source, we would need to be within $2\sqrt{\alpha} \approx 1/6$ Planck lengths of the origin, i.e. in practice this would not be reached due to quantum gravity effects. One can conversely speculate that the $\|A \cdot F\|^2$ term could prevent theoretical issues such as the classically infinite self-energy of the electron, but this remains to be studied further.

Another direction for further work would be to follow the programme of this paper and of [4] but for other QRGs tensored onto spacetime. Indeed, the second case with A_μ did not result in any modified mass to a scalar field because we were forced to the case where the Laplacian $\Delta_{M_2} = 0$ on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ (see Proposition 3.6), which is rather special. Likewise in Section 4, natural restrictions on the QRG led to $A_\mu = 0$ but these could be relaxed. One could also look at other metrics on $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ as well as other algebras entirely. Here, much of the analysis for the fuzzy sphere here in this role as in [4] but the equations for a QLC on the product were too hard to solve. This could certainly be pursued further. Similarly, one could put a chosen finite graph QRG as each point of spacetime, where the QRG of the A_n

graph $\bullet \cdots \bullet$ was recently solved in [3]. It would also be interesting to consider quantum geodesics on products and relate them to physics on M . These are a relatively new concept but already give a new point of view in the classical case on the Ricci curvature [8]. The quantum geodesic formalism was recently elaborated for a particular quantum Minkowski spacetime model in [18].

Finally, it would be critical for physics to extend our analysis for the KG equation on the product in this paper to the Dirac equation. Apart from obviously being needed to include fermionic matter fields, this could also make contact with Connes approach to the standard model, e.g. [10, 11], where a finite noncommutative geometry is again tensored onto classical spacetime. A Connes spectral triple already models a Dirac operator, so this aspect is already covered. Also, the automorphisms can be analysed and, in the spectral action, a Higgs field can be seen to emerge. Coming at the same problem within QRG is much harder as we do not necessarily even have a Dirac operator and therefore have to build one from a spinor bundle, bimodule connection and other data compatible with the quantum metric. However, one can indeed arrive at Connes spectral triples or something similar in several known cases, e.g. [7, 17, 24] allowing for an intersection of the two approaches. The potential here is that this additional restriction (that a spectral triple be realisable within QRG) could end up explaining features of particle physics which might not be explained if we allowed any spectral triple. These are some key directions for further work.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Argota-Quiroz and S. Majid, Quantum gravity on polygons and $\mathbb{R}x\mathbb{Z}_n$ FLRW model, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 37 (2020) 245001 (43pp)
- [2] J. Argota-Quiroz and S. Majid, Fuzzy and discrete black hole models, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 38 (2021) 145020 (36pp)
- [3] J. Argota-Quiroz and S. Majid, Quantum Riemannian geometry of the discrete interval and q-deformation, *J. Math. Phys.* (in press)
- [4] J. Argota-Quiroz and S. Majid, Quantum gravity on finite spacetimes and dynamical mass, in press *Corfu Summer Institute 2021: School and Workshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity*, PoS (2022) (41pp)
- [5] E.J. Beggs and S. Majid, *Quantum Riemannian Geometry*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 355, Springer (2020) 809pp
- [6] E.J. Beggs and S. Majid, Gravity induced by quantum spacetime, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 31 (2014) 035020 (39pp)
- [7] E.J. Beggs and S. Majid, Spectral triples from bimodule connections and Chern connections, *J. Noncomm. Geom.*, 11 (2017) 669–701
- [8] E. Beggs and S. Majid, Quantum geodesics and curvature, arXiv: 2201.08244 (math.QA)
- [9] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994
- [10] A. Connes, Gravity coupled with matter and foundation of noncommutative geometry, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 182 (1996) 155
- [11] A.H. Chamseddine, A. Connes and M. Marcolli, Gravity and the standard model with neutrino mixing, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* 11 (2007) 991
- [12] S. Doplicher, K. Fredenhagen and J. E. Roberts, The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 172 (1995) 187–220
- [13] M. Dubois-Violette and P.W. Michor, Connections on central bimodules in noncommutative differential geometry, *J. Geom. Phys.* 20 (1996) 218–232
- [14] G. 't Hooft, Quantization of point particles in 2+1 dimensional gravity and space- time discreteness, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 13 (1996) 1023
- [15] J.M. Overduin and P.S. Wesson, Kaluza-Klein Gravity. *Physics Reports.* 283 (1997) 303–378

- [16] E. Lira-Torres and S. Majid, Quantum gravity and Riemannian geometry on the fuzzy sphere, *Lett. Math. Phys.* (2021) 111:29 (21pp)
- [17] E. Lira-Torres and S. Majid, Geometric Dirac operator on the noncommutative torus and $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, arXiv: 2208.07821 (math.QA)
- [18] C. Liu and S. Majid, Quantum geodesics on quantum Minkowski spacetime, *J. Phys. A* (2022)
- [19] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, A. Nowicki and V.N. Tolstoy, q-deformation of Poincaré algebra, *Phys. Lett. B* 264 (1991) 331
- [20] S. Majid, Hopf algebras for physics at the Planck scale, *Class. Quantum Grav.* 5 (1988) 1587–1607
- [21] S. Majid and H. Ruegg, Bicrossproduct structure of the k-Poincare group and non-commutative geometry, *Phys. Lett. B.* 334 (1994) 348–354
- [22] S. Majid, Quantum gravity on a square graph, *Class. Quantum Grav* 36 (2019) 245009 (23pp)
- [23] S. Majid, Quantum Riemannian geometry and particle creation on the integer line, *Class. Quantum Grav* 36 (2019) 135011 (22pp)
- [24] S. Majid, Dirac operators associated to quantum metrics, arXiv:2302.05891 (math.QA)
- [25] J. Mourad, Linear connections in noncommutative geometry, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 12 (1995) 965–974
- [26] H.S. Snyder, Quantized space-time, *Phys. Rev.* 71 (1947) 38–41

QUEEN MARY, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, MILE END RD, LONDON E1 4NS, UK

Email address: s.majid@qmul.ac.uk