

TQ-Net: Mixed Contrastive Representation Learning For Heterogeneous Test Questions

He Zhu^{1,3}, Xihua Li², Xuemin Zhao², Yunbo Cao², Shan Yu^{1,3}

¹Brainnetome Center, National Laboratory of Pattern Recognition (NLPR), CASIA

² Tencent Inc. China

³School of Future Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (UCAS)

he.zhu@nlpr.ia.ac.cn, lixihua9@126.com, xueminzhao@tencent.com

yunbocao@tencent.com, shan.yu@nlpr.ia.ac.cn

Abstract

Recently, more and more people study online for the convenience of access to massive learning materials (e.g. test questions/notes), thus accurately understanding learning materials became a crucial issue, which is essential for many educational applications. Previous studies focus on using language models to represent the question data. However, test questions (TQ) are usually heterogeneous and multi-modal, e.g., some of them may only contain text, while others half contain images with information beyond their literal description. In this context, both supervised and unsupervised methods are difficult to learn a fused representation of questions. Meanwhile, this problem cannot be solved by conventional methods such as image caption, as the images may contain information complementary rather than duplicate to the text. In this paper, we first improve previous text-only representation with a two-stage unsupervised instance level contrastive based pre-training method (MCL: Mixture Unsupervised Contrastive Learning). Then, TQ-Net was proposed to fuse the content of images to the representation of heterogeneous data. Finally, supervised contrastive learning was conducted on relevance prediction-related downstream tasks, which help the model to effectively learn the representation of questions. We conducted extensive experiments on question-based tasks on large-scale, real-world datasets, which demonstrated the effectiveness of TQ-Net and improve the precision of downstream applications (e.g. similar questions $\uparrow 2.02\%$ and knowledge point prediction $\uparrow 7.20\%$). Our code will be available, and we will open-source a subset of our data to promote the development of relative studies.

Introduction

Nowadays, more and more users prefer to learn courses or take tests from the online learning system. Owning a great number of question materials, these platforms are expected to design an automatic model to provide personalized test and practice lists to effectively improve the weak knowledge of different users, such as recommending similar or related knowledge-point exercises to users, which they are poorly learned.

The key ability of this automatic model would be distinguishing various question data, or we can say, extracting the better representation of question data. In previous works,

Copyright © 2023, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.




Figure 1: Examples of heterogeneous questions. The heterogeneous question samples have various data structure, contains formula, text and images. Moreover, the information of text, formula and image may have different importance in various questions.

language models (LM) (Yu et al. 2014; Huang et al. 2017; Pardos and Dadu 2017; Anuyah, Azpiazu, and Pera 2019) were the main solutions: models trained with the supervised questions text data. However, language models cannot satisfy all subjects, like geometry questions for example. In other words, images contain information that are also important to lots of situations.

But the representation of questions cannot be solved by conventional methods such as image caption, as the images may contain information complementary to the text. It should explore a balance between language model (LM) and multi-modal methods. How to design an effective representation learning method of the heterogeneous questions became a hard and valuable problem.

Moreover, supervised methods pay expensively for the detailed labels marked by the different professionals. Recent unsupervised pre-training studies of LM or multi-modal (Devlin et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2019b; Li et al. 2020; Su et al. 2019; Xie et al. 2018; Sun et al. 2019a; Tan and Bansal 2019; Zhou et al. 2020) show that self-supervised-learning (SSL)




Figure 2: Training pipeline and TQ-Net model architecture. TQ-Net model simply consist of ResNet(visual encoder), BERT(text encoder) and multi-head attention(fusion module), visual tokens features come from multiple images will be concatenated with text token features and fused by the attention module, at last output average pooling result as the representation of the input. Our framework has three steps: Step 1: The text encoder (BERT) will be self-supervised pre-trained by the mask language model task; Step 2: The multi-modal encoder will be pre-trained by CL with two separate data augmentation operators sampled from the same family of augmentations ($t_1 \sim T$ and $t_2 \sim T$) and applied to each question instance to obtain two correlated views. Step 3: The multi-modal encoder will be fine-tuned by choosing the positive and negative pairs based on the human-labelled similar questions to do the supervised contrastive learning.

was potential to be close to or even better than the representation learning capability of supervised methods without expensive cost.

From view of that, we design the TQ-Net to deal with the heterogeneous input and propose a contrastive learning (CL) pre-training(Chen et al. 2020a; He et al. 2020a; Grill et al. 2020; Chen and He 2020; Wu et al. 2020; Radford et al. 2021a; Zbontar et al. 2021) method to solve the dilemma of representation learning.

On the basis of all the above, we proposed two strategies to achieve a better unsupervised pre-training for questions: (1) Separately pre-training the image/text stream encoders and fine-tuning together. (2) Uniformly pre-training the two-stream encoder. Experiments show that the latter was more beneficial to the representation learning of questions.

Our contribution could be concluded as follows:

- We proposed the **MCL** (Mixture Unsupervised Contrastive Learning) mechanism to improve the text representation of questions. MCL is a two-stage unsupervised pre-training method which means that the model is first pre-trained in MLM (Masked Language Model) and then pre-trained in instance level CL (Contrastive Learning) framework (vs. modal level). The performance of MCL on both frozen and fine-tuning experiments are much better than the masked LM method.
- We proposed a new model named **TQ-Net** and instance

level CL conducted to fuse the content of images to the representation of heterogeneous data. Compared to previous question-based model (text only ones and multi-modal ones), our proposal improves precision of downstream applications significantly.

- We proposed a supervised contrastive learning framework, named **SCL**, which help the model to effectively learn the representation of questions on relevance-related downstream task than traditional methods.

Related Work

Question Representation Learning (Yu et al. 2014) defined the representation and similarity measure of text questions based on a word and knowledge tree strategy. (Pardos and Dadu 2017) used the skip-grams and bag-of-words to formulate the representation. (Liu et al. 2018) designed the MERL: a model consists of CNN and LSTM module, and fused the embeddings of various modals, learning the representation by the supervised training. (Yin et al. 2019) proposed the QuesNet: a Holed-Language-Model to extract the representation of questions data. This method needed three steps: (1) Embedding pre-training by auto-encoder for recovering the modal data to learn the embedding of different modal inputs. (2) Model pre-training for filling up every word with both the left and right side context of it. (3) Fine-tuning the whole network on the downstream tasks. QuesNet was complex to deploy and

the performance would be limited because the embedding extractor and the model were separately trained.

Unsupervised Pre-training of Multi-modal Content VideoBERT (Sun et al. 2019b) built the dictionary for videos, and proposed using visual and text tokens as the input of the model. This method borrowed the idea of BERT (Devlin et al. 2018) to do the pre-training task: (1) Masked token prediction (2) Text-Video matching prediction. (Li et al. 2020; Su et al. 2019; Zhou et al. 2020) applied images as the visual input, these works introduced a detector or improved the pre-training tasks to capture the semantic information for better fusion. Moreover, CLIP (Radford et al. 2021b) aims to maximize the similarity between different modal inputs, but it is hard to take advantage of the difference in various modals to build a cooperative representation learning of heterogeneous data.

Contrastive learning. The CL compares two augmented views of the same input to capture semantic-invariant features, such as object-related characteristics. Some studies (Chen et al. 2020b; He et al. 2020b; Chen et al. 2020c,d; Chen, Xie, and He 2021) attract the positive (similar) pairs and repulse negative (different) pairs to learn the representations. Other studies (Chen and He 2020; Caron et al. 2021) also provide a self-distillation framework, which only matches positive samples to learn the representations.

Method

Network Architecture

We first introduce the novel two-forward model TQ-Net as shown in Figure 2 and 4 to effectively extract the representation of the heterogeneous test data. Our proposal uses the text encoder and image encoder to respectively process the words and images input.

Note that, here we use the pre-norm structure transformer to build self attention module as shown in Figure 4 (b). And the shortcut was introduced to the forward pass, which used to fuse the original modal information, it can also be interpreted as fusion of joint (low-level) and coordinated (high-level) information without lose of details.

Mixed Unsupervised Contrastive Learning

Our unsupervised pretraining was shown in Figure 2 (step 1) as the first pretext task to push the text encoder to a suitable hidden space rather than directly do the multi-modal contrastive learning, as latter would easily cause the pattern collapse.

After that, we can apply the multi-modal contrastive learning as shown in Figure 2 (step 2): The Query/Key encoder have same architecture and initialization. Each network consist of three modules: visual encoder (ResNet-50), text encoder (BERT) and fusion module (multi-head attention). In this paper, we use the random masked words and clipped windows as the augmentation of questions as shown in Figure 2 (b). The augment technologies of images are same as the (Chen et al. 2020d). Each input after augmentation consist of visual list $\{I_1\}, \{I_2\}, \dots, \{I_b\}$

and text list(words and formula) $[T_1, T_2, \dots, T_b]$. We will use the shuffle batch-normalization (BN) (He et al. 2020a), which collects the inputs from different GPUs to do the BN to avoid the device information leakage, to get key network features $k_1^+, k_2^+, \dots, k_b^+$. At the same time we have the q_1, q_2, \dots, q_b positive features from the query network and $\{k_1^-, \{k_2^-\}, \dots, \{k_b^-\}$ negative features set from negative queues. Query network will be updated by the training loss (Eq.1).

$$l_{q,k^+,\{k^-\}} = -\log \frac{e^{q \cdot k^+ / \tau}}{e^{q \cdot k^+ / \tau} + \sum_{\{k^-\}} e^{q \cdot k^- / \tau}} \quad (1)$$

Key network parameters p_{key} would be driven by a momentum update with the query encoder parameters p_{query} follows Eq.2.

$$p_{key} = m * p_{key} + (1 - m) * p_{query} \quad (2)$$

where $m \in [0, 1]$ is a momentum coefficient (here we use $m=0.999$).

Note that, here we use the two-stage unsupervised contrastive learning mechanism to further enhance the representation ability of TQ-Net. In the first stage, MLM (Masked Language Model) was used to pre-train the text encoder (BERT in our model). In the second stage, **SEQ** (separately) and **UNI** (uniformly) pre-training were conducted. For SEQ, text modal and image modal were separately pre-trained in an unsupervised contrastive learning manner. For UNI, text modal and image modal were pre-trained in an unsupervised contrastive learning manner to view the question as an instance where augmentation applied to both text and image simultaneously (instance-level contrastive learning). Also, a comprehensive comparison of SEQ and UNI methods was shown in experiments.

Supervised Contrastive Learning

Though we have proposed an unsupervised learning method for heterogeneous question data, because the data structure could be greatly divergent, our model would sometimes over-fitting the noise. So based on our unsupervised pre-training method, we propose a supervised contrastive learning (SCL) fine-tuning method to build the knowledge anchor for models as shown in Figure 2 (step 3). The positive pairs in SCL come from human-labelled similar questions rather than data augmentation.

In educational applications, the similar question classification is an important task to evaluate the performance of educational model. And here we could adapt this binary classification dataset to do our supervised contrastive learning.

$$l_{scl} = -\log \frac{e^{q_{seed} \cdot q_{sim} / \tau}}{e^{q_{seed} \cdot q_{sim} / \tau} + \sum_{q_o \in \{q_{others}\}} e^{q \cdot q_o / \tau}} \quad (3)$$

Let the seed question's feature be q_{seed} , and its similar question's feature is q_{sim} , others questions' features are q_{others} . The supervised contrastive learning is based on Eq.3, here the seed/similar question positive pair comes from human-labelled similar questions, and we use other




Figure 3: Different training paradigms based on the similar question dataset. Pair classification and contrastive learning needs pairwise inputting(query and key should be similar/positive), but our supervised contrastive learning could receive arbitrary instance inputting. Even a question do not have similar question, it can be input as dissimilar with other questions to learn the discrimination.

questions in the dataset as the negative pairs of the seed. Note that, the label matrix no longer be diagonal, the label matrix was calculated by the ground truth: if questions pair is similar then label is 1, else the label is 0. Some questions could have multiple similar questions, so it's necessary to check the ground truth label matrix rather than applying augmentation as unsupervised contrastive learning used shown in Figure 3. Another situation is even a question do not have similar questions, it can be input as dissimilar with other questions to learn the discrimination.

Experiments

The experiments of this paper mainly consist of two parts: (1) Similar test question, (2) Knowledge Point Prediction (Classification). In first part of experiments, we mainly explored the effective framework of TQ-Net to learning an effective representation of questions on both unsupervised and supervised ways. In second part, we evaluated TQ-Net compared to text-only methods and previous SOTA heterogeneous methods to illustrate the effectiveness of our proposal.

Dataset

The pre-training dataset we used came from an online education system and was collected from middle school math tests and exams as shown in Table 1. About 30% of questions contain images. The maximum number of images for one question is 10. We only use the text and images of questions and options without label to do the unsupervised representation learning.

Implementation details

All our experiments use 8 1080-Ti GPUs. In the pre-training experiment, we follow the same settings of hyperparameters with official MoCo v2 code ¹. In the similar

| Questions | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Choice 86753 | Blank Filling 42892 | Calculation 49225 |
| Questions with images 55635 | | Images 91456 |

Table 1: Statistics of the questions dataset.

test question classification task, we use SGD optimizer with $lr=3e-4$, $momentum=0.9$, and $weight\ decay=5e-4$. The training batch size was set to 32. In the knowledge classification task, we use the optimizer setting the same as similar test question, the training batch size was set to 64. Our augmentation for images are same as the visual contrastive learning methods (He et al. 2020a; Chen et al. 2020c), such as resize, crop, colour jitter, flip and blur.

Similar test question

The similar test question is a relevance prediction task, which needs to predict whether two given questions are similar or not. In this task, training dataset contains 27637 question pairs, and 4526 pairs for evaluation. The labels were marked by multiple professionals to indicate whether the two questions in a pair are similar or not based on knowledge points. Specifically, each question in the train/test dataset will have at least 5 similar questions, and there is no overlap between the train and test datasets. In default, two random questions are not similar with a high probability. In our experimental setting, the question type is less considered, we hope that the model captures the knowledge/problem solving method/background description from the discrimination of similarity.

We use precision at top-k as the metrics of performance. Specifically, we first build the similar mapping for each question according to the ground truth label, and each ques-

¹<https://github.com/facebookresearch/moco>

tion has at least 5 similar questions on our designed testing datasets. Then based on the prediction result, we build the predicted mapping. For each question, we would pick the top-5 largest probability candidate from all the positive question predictions based on the cosine similarity of embeddings. Compared with the ground truth mapping, we calculated the precision at top-5 to illustrate the performance, as shown in Eq.4. In this paper, we choose the P@5 (responding to that each question will have at least 5 similar questions) as the main evaluation metrics of similar test question for the balance of contingency and generalization.

$$P@k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{\text{card}(S_{i-gt_k} \cap S_{i-pred_k})}{\text{card}(S_{i-gt_k})} \quad (4)$$

Where, S_{i-gt_k}/S_{i-pred_k} means the set of i -th sample top- k ground truth or predicted question index, card means the number of elements in the set.

Similar test question is an essential question-based tasks for many educational applications. The performance of this task completely depends on the capability of the model to extract the representation of various questions. It's a difficult problem because of the heterogeneous, multi-modal data. Most solutions were only based on the text stream, and few studies as the pioneer to explore the heterogeneous solutions e.g. QuesNet (Yin et al. 2019). In this section, we first explored to improve the representation learning of only text stream questions method, then introduced the image content to our framework.

| Method | Pre. | Fin. | P@5 |
|---------------|------|------|---------------|
| $BERT_{base}$ | - | Pair | 0.8164 |
| $BERT_O$ | MLM | Pair | 0.8319 |
| $BERT_E$ | MLM | Pair | 0.8329 |
| $BERT_E$ | CL | Pair | 0.8406 |
| $BERT_E$ | MCL | Pair | 0.8454 |
| $BERT_{base}$ | - | SCL | 0.8242 |
| $BERT_O$ | MLM | SCL | 0.8348 |
| $BERT_E$ | MLM | SCL | 0.8386 |
| $BERT_E$ | CL | SCL | 0.8396 |
| $BERT_E$ | MCL | SCL | 0.8473 |

Table 2: Experiments of only text stream with different settings. The subscript "base"/"O"/"E" respectively represent BERT pretrained settings are no pretrain/open-source/our educational dataset pretrained. "Pre." means pre-trained method. MLM means the mask language model pretrain, CL means contrastive learning, and MCL means our proposed mixed contrastive learning. "Fin." means fine-tune method. Pair/SCL method responding to Figure 3. The best P@5 results are in **bold**.

Text Only Input We started from the original text-only pair training method to illustrate that the contrastive learning method was more beneficial to learning the representation. We only use the text stream of our TQ-Net, and ignore the images stream. We respectively used the masked




Figure 4: Different fusion methods of heterogeneous questions. Note that QuesNet (a) use the joint representation fusion, and TQ-Net (b) use coordinated representation fusion.

language model (MLM), contrastive learning (CL) to do the pre-training, and also our proposed two-stage pre-training method MCL, which means the model first pre-training in MLM and then pre-training in CL framework (two-stage pre-training). To show the advantage of pre-training, we provided the performance of random initial and the official pre-training BERT by (Wolf et al. 2020).

According to the result in Table 2, compared to the MLM method, CL and MCL showed that contrastive learning was more helpful for representation learning. Note that, the pre-training task of CL was also a process to distinguish similarities. Thus, we want to figure out whether this method would help supervised representation learning. We design the SCL training method. Figure 3 shows the difference between SCL and traditional pair training on downstream similar question classification task. As the result shown in Table 2, it's reasonable to believe that SCL benefits the supervised representation learning and downstream tasks compared to the previous method.

Multi-modal Input Next, we would introduce the image content to improve the question representation learning. The main strategy of previous multi-modal fusion methods like (Sun et al. 2019b; Li et al. 2020; Radford et al. 2021a; Su et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020) could be concluded into two types: joint or coordinated representation fusion as shown in Figure 4. We first tested which one of these methods was more suitable for the question data representation learning.

The result as Table 3 shows that coordinated fusion may be suitable for question data. Thus, we use TQ-Net as a coordinated fusion method to process these data. We suppose these supplementary-each-other multi-modal data is hard to do the representation learning compared to image caption tasks. The reason may come from that the text is not the la-

| Fusion | Fine-tune | P@5 |
|-------------|-----------|---------------|
| Joint | Pair | 0.7969 |
| Coordinated | Pair | 0.8329 |

Table 3: The performance of different multi-modal fusion strategies. The best P@5 results are in **bold**.

| Pre-train | Fine-tune | P@5 |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| CLIP | Pair | 0.7995 |
| QuesNet | Pair | 0.8100 |
| TQ-Net | Pair | 0.8329 |
| | SCL | 0.8531 |

Table 4: Experiments of multi-modal input with different settings. The best P@5 results are in **bold**.

bel or caption of the images, what’s more, the relationship between the image and text modal tends to be more independent.

In view of that, we proposed two strategies to achieve a better unsupervised pre-training for heterogeneous question data to improve the downstream performance. (1) Separately pre-training the image/text encoders of TQ-Net. (2) Uniformly pre-training the TQ-Net as an instance encoder.

To verify our argument, we test the contrastive way like CLIP, and the result as shown in Table 4 is much worse (lower 6%) than our instance contrastive learning. Our proposal greatly improves the capability of processing multi-modal test question data compared to the previous heterogeneous solution. Moreover, both SEP and UNI have better P@5 performance in pair and SCL mechanisms than text-only methods (Table 2). UNI was more beneficial to the unsupervised pre-training process than the frozen experiment. In the ablation study section, we would figure out where the performance improvement came from.

Knowledge Point Prediction

We also invite the knowledge point prediction task to evaluate our model knowledge anchor. We have 118919 questions on the training dataset, and 29565 on the testing dataset. Each question has knowledge point labels labelled by professional teachers. The total number of knowledge points is 391. So the knowledge point prediction task could be considered as a classification task, and we pick the F1 score as the metric of evaluation. We froze all the parameters of TQ-Net and introduce a linear classifier to do classification. For comparing, we provided the QuesNet and different initial experiments of BERT, both without freezing parameters.

According to the results Table 5, our TQ-Net shown great power to solve the unsupervised representation learning of heterogeneous test questions data.

Ablation Study

To figure out where the improvement of the multi-modal performance came from, we respectively evaluated the model on different pair structure datasets in similar test question

| | KP (class num =391) |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| Method | F1 |
| QuesNet | 0.557 |
| $BERT_{base}$ | 0.382 |
| $BERT_Q^*$ | 0.530 |
| $BERT_E^*$ | 0.552 |
| $TQ - Net_{MCL}^*$ | 0.602 |

Table 5: Knowledge classification experiments. * means the encoder parameters in experiments were frozen during the fine-tuning process. The best F1 results are in **bold**.

| Dataset | Text-Text | Text-Image | Image-Image |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Method | P@5 | | |
| Text-only | 0.8688 | 0.7149 | 0.7882 |
| Multi-modal | 0.8866 | 0.7194 | 0.7960 |

Table 6: Experiments on datasets consist of different pair structures. The best P@5 results are in **bold**.

experiments: (1) Text-Text: two questions of pair do not contain images. (2) Text-Image: one question have images and the other one is text-only. (3) Image-Image: two questions of pairs both have images.

According to Table 6, we found that the text input dominates the information of questions. Even without visual content, the model has the capability to represent the questions. However, the most improvement of TQ-Net performance came from the text-only question pairs. It shows that our multi-modal contrastive learning help to learn better representations of questions. Thus, we proposed that the visual content was necessary, which greatly benefits representation learning.

Conclusion

In this paper, we improved the pre-training method of the previous text-only question representation with a two-stage contrastive learning mechanism. We also explored the fusion method of the image content, where a model named TQ-Net and instance-level contrastive learning was proposed to process the heterogeneous data. Moreover, we provided unsupervised and supervised training methods, which help the model to effectively learn the multi-modal representation of question data. We conducted extensive experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of TQ-Net and improve the precision of downstream applications (especially relevance-related tasks). We will continue to explore possible improvements for the following in the future: (1) method for better fusion of the image content. (2) more effective contrastive learning framework for multi-modal or heterogeneous data. We hope this work builds a solid basis for future multi-modal and heterogeneous representation research and helps boost more educational applications.

References

- Anuyah, O.; Azpiazu, I. M.; and Pera, M. S. 2019. Using structured knowledge and traditional word embeddings to generate concept representations in the educational domain. In *Companion Proceedings of The 2019 World Wide Web Conference*, 274–282.
- Caron, M.; Touvron, H.; Misra, I.; Jégou, H.; Mairal, J.; Bojanowski, P.; and Joulin, A. 2021. Emerging properties in self-supervised vision transformers. In *ICCV*, 9650–9660.
- Chen, T.; Kornblith, S.; Norouzi, M.; and Hinton, G. 2020a. A simple framework for contrastive learning of visual representations. In *International conference on machine learning*, 1597–1607. PMLR.
- Chen, T.; Kornblith, S.; Norouzi, M.; and Hinton, G. E. 2020b. A Simple Framework for Contrastive Learning of Visual Representations. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 1597–1607.
- Chen, T.; Kornblith, S.; Swersky, K.; Norouzi, M.; and Hinton, G. 2020c. Big self-supervised models are strong semi-supervised learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.10029*.
- Chen, X.; Fan, H.; Girshick, R. B.; and He, K. 2020d. Improved Baselines with Momentum Contrastive Learning. *CoRR*, abs/2003.04297.
- Chen, X.; and He, K. 2020. Exploring Simple Siamese Representation Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.10566*.
- Chen, X.; Xie, S.; and He, K. 2021. An Empirical Study of Training Self-Supervised Vision Transformers. In *2021 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision, ICCV 2021, Montreal, QC, Canada, October 10-17, 2021*, 9620–9629.
- Devlin, J.; Chang, M.-W.; Lee, K.; and Toutanova, K. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Grill, J.-B.; Strub, F.; Altché, F.; Tallec, C.; Richemond, P. H.; Buchatskaya, E.; Doersch, C.; Pires, B. A.; Guo, Z. D.; Azar, M. G.; et al. 2020. Bootstrap your own latent: A new approach to self-supervised learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.07733*.
- He, K.; Fan, H.; Wu, Y.; Xie, S.; and Girshick, R. 2020a. Momentum contrast for unsupervised visual representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 9729–9738.
- He, K.; Fan, H.; Wu, Y.; Xie, S.; and Girshick, R. B. 2020b. Momentum Contrast for Unsupervised Visual Representation Learning. In *CVPR 2020, Seattle, WA, USA, June 13-19, 2020*, 9726–9735.
- Huang, Z.; Liu, Q.; Chen, E.; Zhao, H.; Gao, M.; Wei, S.; Su, Y.; and Hu, G. 2017. Question Difficulty Prediction for READING Problems in Standard Tests. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Li, G.; Duan, N.; Fang, Y.; Gong, M.; and Jiang, D. 2020. Unicoder-vl: A universal encoder for vision and language by cross-modal pre-training. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 11336–11344.
- Liu, Q.; Huang, Z.; Huang, Z.; Liu, C.; Chen, E.; Su, Y.; and Hu, G. 2018. Finding similar exercises in online education systems. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 1821–1830.
- Pardos, Z. A.; and Dadu, A. 2017. Imputing KCs with representations of problem content and context. In *Proceedings of the 25th Conference on User Modeling, Adaptation and Personalization*, 148–155.
- Radford, A.; Kim, J. W.; Hallacy, C.; Ramesh, A.; Goh, G.; Agarwal, S.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; Mishkin, P.; Clark, J.; et al. 2021a. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00020*.
- Radford, A.; Kim, J. W.; Hallacy, C.; Ramesh, A.; Goh, G.; Agarwal, S.; Sastry, G.; Askell, A.; Mishkin, P.; Clark, J.; et al. 2021b. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 8748–8763. PMLR.
- Su, W.; Zhu, X.; Cao, Y.; Li, B.; Lu, L.; Wei, F.; and Dai, J. 2019. Vi-bert: Pre-training of generic visual-linguistic representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.08530*.
- Sun, C.; Baradel, F.; Murphy, K.; and Schmid, C. 2019a. Learning video representations using contrastive bidirectional transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.05743*.
- Sun, C.; Myers, A.; Vondrick, C.; Murphy, K.; and Schmid, C. 2019b. Videobert: A joint model for video and language representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 7464–7473.
- Tan, H.; and Bansal, M. 2019. Lxmert: Learning cross-modality encoder representations from transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.07490*.
- Wolf, T.; Debut, L.; Sanh, V.; Chaumond, J.; Delangue, C.; Moi, A.; Cistac, P.; Rault, T.; Louf, R.; Funtowicz, M.; Davison, J.; Shleifer, S.; von Platen, P.; Ma, C.; Jernite, Y.; Plu, J.; Xu, C.; Scao, T. L.; Gugger, S.; Drame, M.; Lhoest, Q.; and Rush, A. M. 2020. Transformers: State-of-the-Art Natural Language Processing. In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, 38–45. Online: Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Wu, Z.; Wang, S.; Gu, J.; Khabsa, M.; Sun, F.; and Ma, H. 2020. CLEAR: Contrastive Learning for Sentence Representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15466*.
- Xie, S.; Sun, C.; Huang, J.; Tu, Z.; and Murphy, K. 2018. Rethinking spatiotemporal feature learning: Speed-accuracy trade-offs in video classification. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 305–321.
- Yin, Y.; Liu, Q.; Huang, Z.; Chen, E.; Tong, W.; Wang, S.; and Su, Y. 2019. Quesnet: A unified representation for heterogeneous test questions. In *Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, 1328–1336.
- Yu, J.; Li, D.; Hou, J.; Liu, Y.; and Yang, Z. 2014. Similarity measure of test questions based on ontology and vsm. *The Open Automation and Control Systems Journal*, 6(1).

Zbontar, J.; Jing, L.; Misra, I.; LeCun, Y.; and Deny, S. 2021. Barlow Twins: Self-Supervised Learning via Redundancy Reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.03230*.

Zhou, L.; Palangi, H.; Zhang, L.; Hu, H.; Corso, J.; and Gao, J. 2020. Unified vision-language pre-training for image captioning and vqa. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 13041–13049.