

## Poisson gauge theory: a review

---

**Maxim Kurkov**<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Dipartimento di Fisica "E. Pancini", Università di Napoli Federico II,  
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo Edificio 6, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy.*

<sup>b</sup>*INFN-Sezione di Napoli,  
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo Edificio 6, via Cintia, 80126 Napoli, Italy.*

*E-mail:* [max.kurkov@gmail.com](mailto:max.kurkov@gmail.com)

In this paper we overview the Poisson gauge theory focusing on the most recent developments. We discuss the general construction and its symplectic-geometric interpretation. We consider explicit realisations of the formalism for all non-commutativities of the Lie algebraic type. We discuss Seiberg-Witten maps between Poisson gauge field-theoretical models.

*Corfu Summer Institute 2022 "School and Workshops on Elementary Particle Physics and Gravity",  
28 August - 1 October, 2022  
Corfu, Greece*

---

\*Speaker

## 1. From non-commutative geometry to Poisson gauge theory.

Various approaches to quantum gravity naturally yield non-commutative geometry, what motivates studies on non-commutative quantum field theory [1]. An important class of field theories on non-commutative space-times is given by non-commutative *gauge* theories, see [2] for the latest review.

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a flat manifold which represents the space-time. The local coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$  are denoted through  $x^a$ ,  $a = 0, \dots, n - 1$ . The non-commutative structure of the space-time is encoded in the Kontsevich star product<sup>1</sup> of smooth functions on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$f(x) \star g(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x) + \frac{i}{2}\{f(x), g(x)\} + \dots, \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M}). \quad (1)$$

In this formula the Poisson bracket is related to the Poisson bivector  $\Theta^{ab}$ ,

$$\{f(x), g(x)\} = \Theta^{ab}(x) \partial_a f(x) \partial_b g(x), \quad (2)$$

whilst the remaining terms, denoted through "...", contain second and higher derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$ .

According to the novel approach to non-commutative gauge theories, proposed in [4], non-commutative deformations of the  $U(1)$  gauge theory must exhibit the following fundamental properties.

- The infinitesimal gauge transformations should close the non-commutative algebra,

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g]A_a(x) = \delta_{-i[f(x), g(x)]_\star}A_a(x). \quad (3)$$

- The commutative limit has to reproduce the usual abelian gauge transformations,

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \delta_f A_a(x) = \partial_a f(x). \quad (4)$$

Upon the semi-classical limit the star commutator,

$$[f(x), g(x)]_\star = f(x) \star g(x) - g(x) \star f(x) = i\{f(x), g(x)\} + \dots,$$

tends to  $i\{f(x), g(x)\}$ , and the full non-commutative algebra (3) reduces to the Poisson gauge algebra,

$$[\delta_f, \delta_g]A_a(x) = \delta_{\{f(x), g(x)\}}A_a(x). \quad (5)$$

The corresponding gauge theory is called the *Poisson gauge theory* [5]. Physically this theory provides a semi-classical approximation of the non-commutative  $U(1)$  gauge theory.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Sec. 2 we describe the general construction of Poisson gauge models. Sec. 3 is devoted to its geometric interpretation. In Sec. 4 we present explicit formulae for non-commutativities of the Lie algebraic type. In Sec. 5 we consider the arbitrariness of the construction and its relation to Seiberg-Witten maps between Poisson gauge models. We round up with the summary and concluding remarks in Sec. 6.

---

<sup>1</sup>Of course, the star product is not the only way to treat the non-commutative geometry, for example, there is the spectral approach, for a review see [3].

## 2. General construction.

### a. Deformed gauge transformations.

For the canonical non-commutativity, i.e. when  $\partial_a \Theta^{bc} = 0$ , the required deformed gauge transformations can be easily constructed,

$$\delta_f A_a(x) = \partial_a f(x) + \{A_a(x), f(x)\}. \quad (6)$$

Though for non-constant Poisson bivectors  $\Theta^{ab}$  this simple formula is not compatible with the Poisson gauge algebra (5), it can be generalised in the following way [4, 6],

$$\delta_f A_a(x) = \gamma_a^b(x, A(x)) \partial_b f(x) + \{A_a(x), f(x)\}. \quad (7)$$

The matrix  $\gamma(x, p)$ , entering this relation, is a solution of the *first master equation*,

$$\gamma_a^b \partial_p^a \gamma_c^d - \gamma_a^d \partial_p^a \gamma_c^b + \Theta^{ba} \partial_a \gamma_c^d - \Theta^{da} \partial_a \gamma_c^b - \gamma_c^a \partial_a \Theta^{bd} = 0, \quad \partial_p^c \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial p_c}, \quad (8)$$

which tends to the identity matrix at the commutative limit,

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \gamma_b^a = \delta_b^a. \quad (9)$$

One can check by direct calculation that these deformed gauge transformations, indeed, close the required non-commutative Poisson gauge algebra (5) and exhibit the correct commutative limit (4).

### b. Deformed field strength.

According to the general strategy of [4], the deformed field strength has to transform in a covariant way,

$$\delta_f \mathcal{F}_{ab}(x) = \{\mathcal{F}_{ab}(x), f(x)\}, \quad (10)$$

and it has to reproduce the commutative limit correctly,

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_{ab}(x) = \partial_a A_b(x) - \partial_b A_a(x). \quad (11)$$

Such a field strength has been obtained in [5] in the following form,

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}(x) = \rho_a^c(x, A(x)) \rho_b^d(x, A(x)) (\gamma_c^l(x, A(x)) \partial_l A_d - \gamma_d^l(x, A(x)) \partial_l A_c + \{A_c, A_d\}). \quad (12)$$

The matrix  $\rho(x, p)$ , which enters this formula, has to obey the *second master equation*,

$$\gamma_d^c \partial_p^d \rho_a^b + \rho_a^d \partial_p^d \gamma_c^b + \Theta^{cd} \partial_d \rho_a^b = 0, \quad (13)$$

and it has to recover the identity matrix at the commutative limit,

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \rho_b^a = \delta_b^a. \quad (14)$$

### c. Gauge-covariant derivative.

For any field  $\psi(x)$ , which transforms in a covariant way,

$$\delta_f \psi(x) := \{f(x), \psi(x)\}, \quad (15)$$

the gauge-covariant derivative has to transform properly,

$$\delta_f (\mathcal{D}_a \psi(x)) = \{\mathcal{D}_a \psi(x), f(x)\}, \quad (16)$$

and it has to exhibit a correct commutative limit,

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_a \psi(x) = \partial_a \psi(x). \quad (17)$$

A suitable gauge-covariant derivative has been constructed in [5] as follows,

$$\mathcal{D}_a \psi(x) = \rho_a^i(x, A(x)) (\gamma_i^l(x, A(x)) \partial_l \psi(x) + \{A_a(x), \psi(x)\}). \quad (18)$$

### d. Gauge-covariant equations of motion.

The deformed covariant derivative (18) and the deformed field strength (12) allow to write down the “natural” equations of motion (e.o.m.),

$$\mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{F}^{ab} = 0, \quad (19)$$

which are manifestly gauge-covariant,

$$\delta_f (\mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{F}^{ab}) = \{\mathcal{D}_a \mathcal{F}^{ab}, f\}, \quad (20)$$

and which recover the first pair of Maxwell’s equations in vacuum at the commutative limit. The gauge-covariance condition (20) insures that the infinitesimal gauge transformations (7) map solutions of the e.o.m. (19) onto other solutions.

For the three-dimensional space-time, equipped with the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  non-commutativity<sup>2</sup>, these “natural” equations of motion are equivalent to the Euler-Lagrange equations, which come out from the gauge-invariant classical action,

$$S[A] = \int_{\mathcal{M}} dx \left( -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{ab} \mathcal{F}^{ab} \right), \quad (21)$$

see [16] for details. A more profound discussion on the gauge-covariant equations of motion can be found in [17].

## 3. Symplectic geometric interpretation.

The symplectic geometric interpretation of the Poisson gauge formalism, designed in [16, 18], is based on the two pillars: the symplectic embedding, and the set of constraints in the outgoing symplectic space. Extending the Poisson structure from  $\mathcal{M}$  to  $T^*\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\{x^a, x^b\} = \Theta^{ab}(x), \quad \{x^a, p_b\} = \gamma_b^a(x, p), \quad \{p_a, p_b\} = 0, \quad (22)$$

<sup>2</sup>Various aspects of the  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  non-commutativity have been studied in [13–15].

we construct the symplectic embedding. One can easily see that the first master equation (8) for  $\gamma$  is equivalent to the Jacobi identity on  $T^*\mathcal{M}$ . By definition, the set of constraints in this symplectic space reads,

$$\Phi_a(x, p) := p_a - A_a(x), \quad a = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (23)$$

The constituents of the Poisson gauge formalism exhibit natural expressions in terms of this symplectic geometric construction. In particular, the deformed gauge transformation (6) can be represented through a simple Poisson bracket on  $T^*\mathcal{M}$ ,

$$\delta_f A_a(x) = \{f(x), \Phi_a(x, p)\}_{\Phi(x, p)=0}. \quad (24)$$

The deformed field strength (12) and the gauge-covariant derivative (18) can also be represented in a similar manner,

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab}(x) = \{\Phi'_a(x, p), \Phi'_b(x, p)\}_{\Phi'(x, p)=0}, \quad \mathcal{D}_a \psi(x) = \{\psi(x), \Phi'_a(x, p)\}_{\Phi'(x, p)=0}, \quad (25)$$

where a new set of the constraints is defined as follows,

$$\Phi'_a(x, p) := \rho_a^b(x, p) \Phi_b(x, p). \quad (26)$$

We remind that  $\rho_b^a$  obeys the second master equation (13) and exhibits the commutative limit (14). Since  $\rho$  is a non-degenerate matrix, the new and the old constraint surfaces coincide,

$$\Phi'_a(x, p) = 0, \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Phi_a(x, p) = 0. \quad (27)$$

#### 4. Lie algebraic non-commutativities and universal solutions.

Consider a class of Poisson bivectors, which are linear in coordinates,

$$\Theta^{ab} = f_c^{ab} x^c.$$

The constants  $f_c^{ab}$  satisfy the Jacobi identity,

$$f_a^{ec} f_c^{bd} + f_a^{bc} f_c^{de} + f_a^{dc} f_c^{eb} = 0,$$

therefore these constants can be seen as the structure constants of a Lie algebra. For this purpose we say that the corresponding non-commutativities are of the Lie algebraic type.

In [18] a special solution of the first master equation (8) has been presented in terms of a single matrix-valued function, which is valid for *all* Poisson bivectors of the Lie algebraic type. Introducing the matrix<sup>3</sup>,

$$[\hat{\rho}]_c^b = -i f_c^{ab} p_a,$$

we can represent this universal solution as follows,

$$\gamma(x, p) = G(\hat{\rho}), \quad G(z) := \frac{iz}{2} + \frac{z}{2} \cot \frac{z}{2}. \quad (28)$$

<sup>3</sup>According to our notations, for any matrix  $B$  the upper index enumerates strings, whilst the lower one enumerates columns. In particular for a product of two matrices  $B$  and  $C$  we write  $[BC]_j^i = B_k^i C_j^k$ .

Also the second master equation (13) exhibits the universal solution for  $\rho$ , expressed as a matrix valued function of the same matrix variable  $\hat{p}$ . The explicit formula reads [16],

$$\rho(x, p) = F(\hat{p}), \quad F(z) = \frac{e^{iz} - 1}{iz}. \quad (29)$$

These universal solutions for  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$  exhibit a simple connection [16],

$$\rho^{-1} = \gamma - i\hat{p}. \quad (30)$$

Substituting the universal solutions (28) and (29) in the formulae of Sec. 2, we can build the Poisson gauge model completely: we know the deformed gauge transformations (7), which close the Poisson gauge algebra (5), and we also know the gauge-covariant equations of motion (19).

### Example.

In order to illustrate the formalism, we consider the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski non-commutativity, which arises in various contexts, see e.g. [7–12] and for the recent progress. The Poisson bivector, which corresponds to the (generalised)  $\kappa$ -Minkowski non-commutativity, is defined in the following way,

$$\Theta^{ab} = 2(\omega^a x^b - \omega^b x^a), \quad (31)$$

where  $\omega^a$ ,  $a = 0, \dots, n-1$ , stand for the deformation parameters. The corresponding structure constants read,

$$f_c^{ab} = 2(\omega^a \delta_c^b - \omega^b \delta_c^a). \quad (32)$$

The universal solutions have been calculated in [16],

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_b^a(A) &= (\omega \cdot A) [1 + \coth(\omega \cdot A)] \delta_b^a + \frac{1 - (\omega \cdot A) - (\omega \cdot A) \coth(\omega \cdot A)}{\omega \cdot A} \omega^a A_b, \\ \rho_b^a(A) &= \frac{e^{2(\omega \cdot A)} - 1}{2(\omega \cdot A)} \delta_b^a + \frac{1 + 2(\omega \cdot A) - e^{2(\omega \cdot A)}}{2(\omega \cdot A)^2} \omega^a A_b. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

These expressions are different from the ones, obtained in the previous studies [19], using different techniques,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_b^a(A) &= \left[ \sqrt{1 + (\omega \cdot A)^2} + (\omega \cdot A) \right] \delta_b^a - \omega^a A_b, \\ \tilde{\rho}_b^a(A) &= \left[ \sqrt{1 + (\omega \cdot A)^2} + (\omega \cdot A) \right] \delta_b^a - \frac{\sqrt{1 + (\omega \cdot A)^2} + (\omega \cdot A)}{\sqrt{1 + (\omega \cdot A)^2}} \omega^a A_b. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Therefore we have more than one Poisson gauge model, which corresponds to the Poisson bivector (31). In the next section we take a closer look at the arbitrariness of the construction.

## 5. Arbitrariness and Seiberg-Witten maps.

Consider a given Poisson bivector  $\Theta^{ab}(x)$ . Let  $\gamma(x, p)$  and  $\rho(x, p)$  be solutions of the master equations (8) and (13), which have the commutative limits (9) and (14) respectively. For any invertible field redefinition,

$$A \rightarrow \tilde{A}(A), \quad (35)$$

obeying the condition,

$$\lim_{\Theta \rightarrow 0} \tilde{A}(A) = A, \quad (36)$$

the quantities

$$\tilde{\gamma}_b^a(x, \tilde{A}) = \left( \gamma_c^a(x, A) \cdot \frac{\partial \tilde{A}_b}{\partial A_c} \right) \Big|_{A=A(\tilde{A})}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_a^i(x, \tilde{A}) = \left( \frac{\partial A_s}{\partial \tilde{A}_i} \cdot \rho_a^s(x, A) \right) \Big|_{A=A(\tilde{A})}, \quad (37)$$

are again the solutions of the master equations, which have correct commutative limits, see [5, 16] for details. Therefore, the matrices  $\tilde{\gamma}(x, p)$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(x, p)$  define one more Poisson gauge model for the same Poisson bivector  $\Theta^{ab}(x)$ .

The infinitesimal gauge transformation of the new model read,

$$\tilde{\delta}_f \tilde{A}_a(x) = \tilde{\gamma}_a^i(x, \tilde{A}(x)) \partial_i f(x) + \{ \tilde{A}_a(x), f(x) \}. \quad (38)$$

Upon the field redefinition the gauge orbits are mapped onto the gauge orbits [5, 16],

$$\tilde{A}(A + \delta_f A) = \tilde{A}(A) + \tilde{\delta}_f \tilde{A}(A), \quad (39)$$

thus the invertible field redefinition (35) defines a Seiberg-Witten map between the two models.

### Example.

In the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski case, the universal solutions (33) and the solutions (34), which have been previously obtained in [19], are connected through the relation (37) and the following Seiberg-Witten map [16],

$$\tilde{A}_a = \frac{\sinh(\omega \cdot A)}{\omega \cdot A} A_a \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad A_a = \frac{\operatorname{arcsinh}(\omega \cdot \tilde{A})}{\omega \cdot \tilde{A}} \tilde{A}_a.$$

## 6. Summary and concluding remarks.

The main points are the following.

- For a given Poisson bivector  $\Theta^{ab}$ , defining the non-commutativity, the main constituents of the Poisson gauge formalism, viz the deformed gauge transformations, the deformed field strength and the deformed gauge-covariant derivative, are completely determined by the matrices  $\gamma$  and  $\rho$ , which solve the two master equations.
- The Poisson gauge theory exhibits an elegant symplectic-geometric description in terms of symplectic embeddings and constrains in the extended space.
- There exist universal solutions of the master equations, which are valid for *all* non-commutativities of the Lie algebraic type.
- Invertible field redefinitions give rise to new solutions of the master equations. All the outcoming Poisson gauge models are connected with each other through Seiberg-Witten maps.

Of course, our mini-review does not cover all aspects of Poisson gauge theory. In particular, an important connection with the  $L_\infty$ -algebras has not been discussed. The interested reader is referred to [18] and [20]. See also [21, 22] for applications of the  $L_\infty$ -structures to generalised gauge symmetries and non-commutative gravity.

In conclusion, we would like to outline a few open questions. First, one has to generalise the present results, introducing the charged matter. Second, it would be very interesting to go beyond the semi-classical approximation towards the full non-commutative gauge algebra (3). Third, one may wonder what are the space-time symmetries of Poisson gauge models. In particular, one may study the fate of the discrete symmetries. In addition to the obvious phenomenological interest<sup>4</sup> in the discrete symmetries breaking, the preserved symmetries such as  $PT$  allow the non standard quantum field theories that may play the important role in the dark energy physics [24, 25]. Some aspects of the  $PT$ -symmetry in the non-commutative geometric context have already been studied in [26].

### Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Vlad Kupriyanov and Patrizia Vitale for discussions and collaboration on related papers.

### References

- [1] R. J. Szabo, “Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces,” *Phys. Rept.* **378** (2003), 207-299 doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(03)00059-0
- [2] K. Hersent, P. Mathieu and J. C. Wallet, “Gauge theories on quantum spaces,” [arXiv:2210.11890 [hep-th]].
- [3] A. Devastato, M. Kurkov and F. Lizzi, “Spectral Noncommutative Geometry, Standard Model and all that,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **34** (2019) no.19, 1930010 doi:10.1142/S0217751X19300102
- [4] V. G. Kupriyanov and P. Vitale, “A novel approach to non-commutative gauge theory,” *JHEP* **08** (2020), 041 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2020)041
- [5] V. G. Kupriyanov, “Poisson gauge theory,” *JHEP* **09** (2021), 016 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2021)016
- [6] V. G. Kupriyanov, “Non-commutative deformation of Chern–Simons theory,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) no.1, 42 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7573-y
- [7] P. Mathieu and J. C. Wallet, “Single Extra Dimension from  $\kappa$ -Poincaré and Gauge Invariance,” *JHEP* **03** (2021), 209 doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2021)209
- [8] F. Lizzi and F. Mercati, “ $\kappa$ -Poincaré-comodules, Braided Tensor Products and Noncommutative Quantum Field Theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021), 126009 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.126009

---

<sup>4</sup>See for instance [23] and references therein.



- [9] P. Mathieu and J. C. Wallet, “Twisted BRST symmetry in gauge theories on the  $\kappa$ -Minkowski spacetime,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) no.8, 086018 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.086018
- [10] S. Meljanac, Z. Škoda and S. Kresic-Juric, “Symmetric ordering and Weyl realizations for quantum Minkowski spaces,” *J. Math. Phys.* **63** (2022) no.12, 123508 doi:10.1063/5.0094443
- [11] S. Meljanac and R. Štrajn, “Deformed Quantum Phase Spaces, Realizations, Star Products and Twists,” *SIGMA* **18** (2022), 022 doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2022.022
- [12] H. Kilian, “Quantum properties of  $U(1)$ -like gauge theory on  $\kappa$ -Minkowski,” [arXiv:2302.03998 [hep-th]].
- [13] P. Vitale and J. C. Wallet, “Noncommutative field theories on  $R_\lambda^3$ : Toward UV/IR mixing freedom,” *JHEP* **04** (2013), 115 doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2013)115
- [14] P. Vitale, “Noncommutative field theory on  $\mathbb{R}_\lambda^3$ ,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **62** (2014), 825-834 doi:10.1002/prop.201400037
- [15] J. M. Gracia-Bondia, F. Lizzi, G. Marmo and P. Vitale, “Infinitely many star products to play with,” *JHEP* **04** (2002), 026 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/04/026
- [16] V. G. Kupriyanov, M. A. Kurkov and P. Vitale, “Poisson gauge models and Seiberg-Witten map,” *JHEP* **11** (2022), 062 doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2022)062
- [17] V. G. Kupriyanov, M. A. Kurkov and P. Vitale, “Lie-Poisson gauge theories and  $\kappa$ -Minkowski electrodynamics.”, in preparation.
- [18] V. G. Kupriyanov and R. J. Szabo, “Symplectic embeddings, homotopy algebras and almost Poisson gauge symmetry,” *J. Phys. A* **55** (2022) no.3, 035201 doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ac411c
- [19] V. G. Kupriyanov, M. Kurkov and P. Vitale, “ $\kappa$ -Minkowski-deformation of  $U(1)$  gauge theory,” *JHEP* **01** (2021), 102 doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2021)102
- [20] O. Abla, V. G. Kupriyanov and M. A. Kurkov, “On the  $L_\infty$  structure of Poisson gauge theory,” *J. Phys. A* **55** (2022) no.38, 384006 doi:10.1088/1751-8121/ac87df
- [21] L. Jonke, “Generalized symmetries as homotopy Lie algebras,” [arXiv:2211.08098 [hep-th]].
- [22] R. J. Szabo, “The  $L_\infty$ -structure of noncommutative gravity,” *PoS CORFU2021* (2022), 218 doi:10.22323/1.406.0218
- [23] O. O. Novikov and A. Zakharova, “States localized on a boundary of the time-dependent parity-breaking medium,” [arXiv:2206.04026 [hep-th]].
- [24] A. A. Andrianov, C. Lan and O. O. Novikov, “PT Symmetric Classical and Quantum Cosmology,” *Springer Proc. Phys.* **184** (2016), 29-44 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-31356-6\_3
- [25] O. O. Novikov, “Inhomogeneous modes in the PT-symmetric quantum cosmology,” *Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett.* **15** (2018) no.4, 353-356 doi:10.1134/S1547477118040179 [arXiv:1712.03939 [hep-th]].

- [26] O. O. Novikov, “ $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetric quantum field theory on the noncommutative spacetime,”  
Mod. Phys. Lett. A **35** (2019) no.05, 2050012 doi:10.1142/S0217732320500121