

The singularities of the rate function of quantum coherent work in one-dimensional transverse field Ising model

Bao-Ming Xu^{1,*} and Chao-Quan Wang²

¹*Shandong Key Laboratory of Biophysics, Institute of Biophysics, Dezhou University, Dezhou 253023, China*

²*School of Science, East China University of Technology, Nanchang 330013, China*

(Dated: Submitted March 22, 2023)

Quantum coherence will undoubtedly play a fundamental role in understanding of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems, thereby to reveal its genuine contribution is of great importance. In this paper, we specialize our discussions to the one-dimensional transverse field quantum Ising model initialized in the coherent Gibbs state. After quenching the strength of the transverse field, the effects of quantum coherence are studied by the rate function of quantum work distribution. We find that quantum coherence not only recovers the quantum phase transition destroyed by thermal fluctuations, but also generates some entirely new singularities both in the static state and dynamics. It can be manifested that these singularities are rooted in spin flips causing the sudden change of the domain boundaries of spin polarization. This work sheds new light on the fundamental connection between quantum critical phenomena and quantum coherence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Experiments with ultracold atom [1–4] and ion-trap [5–7] provide opportunities to manipulate and control the system, both in space and in time, with an unprecedented accuracy as compared to any solid-state counterpart, preserving coherence over long time scales. With these experimental advances, an in-depth study of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems becomes possible. It aims to shed light on some fundamental issues of quantum mechanics that have been recently resurfaced, such as thermalization of closed systems [8, 9] or the emergence of universality in the dynamics across a critical point [10–12]. With these achievements, it has become particularly relevant to develop specific theoretical frameworks that could work in out-of-equilibrium situations, overcoming the limitations of linear-response and perturbation theory. In this regard, an important progress of stochastic thermodynamics has been made [13–17], where large deviations [18, 19] have allowed for a better understanding of the emerging phenomena both in the steady states and their fluctuations [20–22]. Particularly relevant has been the discovery of the exact fluctuation theorems, which hold for the system arbitrarily far from equilibrium [13–17], being able to characterize the full non-linear response of the system to any (perturbative or not) driving. These rely on the analysis of thermodynamic key concepts as work, heat, and entropy, which represent stochastic variables with definite probability distributions.

One of the most intriguing examples of studying the dynamics of quantum many-body systems in this nonequilibrium thermodynamical formulation is dynamical phase transition [23–25]. There has been quite a remarkable amount of activity uncovering the features of dynamical phase transition in a range of physical models

including Hermitian [25–34] and non-Hermitian [35–37] systems, topological matter [38–48], Floquet systems [49–58], and many-body localized systems [59–61], etc. Dynamical phase transition, manifested as real-time singularities in time-evolving quantum system after quenching a set of control parameters of its Hamiltonian, is indeed a dynamical analogue of equilibrium phase transition. It is defined via the temporal nonanalytic behaviour of the rate function, namely dynamical free energy density, an analogue of the free energy, defined for the Loschmidt echo rather than the partition function [25]. Loschmidt echo is just the probability that the quench protocol does not do work on the system, therefore, the dynamical phase transition shows up as nonanalytic behavior in the work distribution function: The cusps featured by the rate function of quantum work distribution at critical times [25]. This nonanalytic behavior can not be observed at nonzero temperatures due to the presence of thermal fluctuating [62]. All these afore mentioned studies require the initial ground or thermal equilibrium state of the system in which there is no quantum coherence. In the quantum realm, quantum coherence will undoubtedly play a fundamental role, for instance it is strictly related to the irreversible work [63–68] and it leads a genuine quantum contribution to quantum critical phenomena [68–74]. Very recently, a clear connection among coherent signatures, enhanced work extraction and the critical behaviors in quadratic fermionic systems has been found via the Kirkwood-Dirac quasiprobability approach [75]. Therefore, reveal the effects of quantum coherence on the dynamics of quantum many-body systems are important and pivotal.

In this paper, we aim at revealing some unique effects of quantum coherence on the critical phenomena of quantum many-body system in the nonequilibrium thermodynamical formulation with the aid of large deviation theory [18, 19]. To accomplish this, we specialize our discussions to the quenched one-dimensional transverse field quantum Ising model, one of two prototypi-

* xubm2018@163.com

cal models to understand the quantum phase transition [76]. The system is first prepared in the coherent Gibbs state [68, 77, 78], an extreme example of coherent resources in quantum thermodynamics, and then quenched by suddenly changing the transverse field, a common way to drive an isolated quantum system out of equilibrium. By treating the quench as a thermodynamic transformation, the quantum work distribution and its rate function are determined by Gaussian measurement scheme [79] rather than projective measurement, because projective measurement will completely destroy quantum coherence. With these nonequilibrium thermodynamical formulation at hand, we then discuss the effects of quantum coherence on the dynamics of quantum many-body systems, especially on some dynamical singularities.

This paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we introduce the quenched one-dimensional transverse Ising model and derive the rate function of quantum work distribution, which will be considered in the following. The results that the static and dynamical singularities of rate function are discussed in Sec. III and Sec. IV, respectively. Finally, Sec. V closes the paper with some concluding remarks.

II. QUENCHED ONE-DIMENSIONAL TRANSVERSE FIELD ISING MODEL

The Hamiltonian of the one-dimensional transverse field Ising model is

$$\hat{H}(\lambda_t) = -\frac{J}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N [\hat{\sigma}_j^z \hat{\sigma}_{j+1}^z + \lambda \hat{\sigma}_j^x], \quad (1)$$

where J is longitudinal spin-spin coupling, λ is a dimensionless parameter measuring the strength of the transverse field with respect to the longitudinal spin-spin coupling. In this work, we set $J = 1$ as the overall energy scale and only consider $\lambda \geq 0$ without loss of generality. $\hat{\sigma}_j^\alpha$ ($\alpha = x, y, z$) is the spin-1/2 Pauli operator at lattice site j and the periodic boundary conditions are imposed as $\hat{\sigma}_{N+1}^\alpha = \hat{\sigma}_1^\alpha$. Here we only consider that N is even. The one-dimensional quantum Ising model is the prototypical, exactly solvable example of a quantum phase transition, with a quantum critical point at $\lambda_c = 1$ separating a quantum paramagnetic phase at $\lambda > \lambda_c$ from a ferromagnetic one at $\lambda < \lambda_c$.

After Jordan-Wigner transformation and Fourier transforming, the Hamiltonian becomes a sum of two-level systems [80]:

$$\hat{H}(\lambda) = \sum_k \hat{H}_k(\lambda). \quad (2)$$

Each $\hat{H}_k(\lambda)$ acts on a two-dimensional Hilbert space generated by $\{\hat{c}_k^\dagger \hat{c}_{-k}^\dagger |0\rangle, |0\rangle\}$, where $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum of the Jordan-Wigner fermions \hat{c}_k , and can be represented in

that basis by a 2×2 matrix

$$\hat{H}_k(\lambda) = (\lambda - \cos k) \hat{\sigma}^z + \sin k \hat{\sigma}^y, \quad (3)$$

where $k = (2n-1)\pi/N$ with $n = 1 \cdots N/2$, corresponding to antiperiodic boundary conditions for N is even. The instantaneous eigenvalues are $\varepsilon_k(\lambda)$ and $-\varepsilon_k(\lambda)$ with

$$\varepsilon_k(\lambda) = \sqrt{(\lambda - \cos k)^2 + \sin^2 k}. \quad (4)$$

The corresponding eigenvectors are

$$|\varepsilon_k^+(\lambda)\rangle = [\cos \theta_k + i \sin \theta_k \hat{c}_k^\dagger \hat{c}_{-k}^\dagger] |0\rangle \quad (5)$$

and

$$|\varepsilon_k^-(\lambda)\rangle = [i \sin \theta_k + \cos \theta_k \hat{c}_k^\dagger \hat{c}_{-k}^\dagger] |0\rangle, \quad (6)$$

respectively, where θ_k is determined by the relation

$$e^{i\theta_k} = \frac{\lambda - \varepsilon_k(\lambda) - e^{-ik}}{\sqrt{\sin^2 k + [\lambda - \cos k - \varepsilon_k(\lambda)]^2}}. \quad (7)$$

In order to explore the effects of quantum coherence, we consider the system to be initially in the coherent Gibbs state

$$|\psi_{th}(\lambda)\rangle = \bigotimes_k \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}_k(\lambda)}}{Z_k(\lambda)}} \left(|\varepsilon_k^+(\lambda)\rangle + |\varepsilon_k^-(\lambda)\rangle \right) \quad (8)$$

compared with the corresponding thermal equilibrium state

$$\hat{\rho}_{th}(\lambda) = \bigotimes_k \frac{e^{-\beta \hat{H}_k(\lambda)}}{Z_k(\lambda)}. \quad (9)$$

In the expression above, $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse of the temperature (we have set Boltzmann constant $k_B = 1$), $Z_k(\lambda) = 2 \cosh[\beta \varepsilon_k(\lambda)]$ refers to the partition function of mode k .

To study the dynamics of quantum many-body systems, one can analyze an experimentally tractable quantity: the work done in a nonequilibrium process, such as unitary evolution, sudden quench, or the mixture of them. Without loss of generality, the nonequilibrium process can be expressed as $\mathcal{L}_t(\cdot)$, which transforms the system from its initial state $\hat{\rho}(0)$ to the final state $\hat{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{L}_t(\hat{\rho}(0))$, changing the system Hamiltonian from $\hat{H}(\lambda)$ to $\hat{H}(\lambda')$. The work done in this process is usually determined by two-point measurement scheme: performing two projective energy measurements at the beginning and the end of external protocol. If the initial state $\hat{\rho}(0)$ has quantum coherence, the first projective measurement will destroy it and severely impact the system dynamics and the work statistics. In order to include the effects of initial quantum coherence, a Gaussian measurement scheme was proposed in Ref. [79].

Based on this Gaussian measurement scheme, the cumulant generating function of the work distribution function $G(R, t) = \int dW P(W, t) e^{-RW}$ ($R \in \mathbb{R}$) can be expressed as

$$G(R, t) = e^{\frac{R^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \prod_k G_k(R, t) \quad (10)$$

with

$$G_k(R, t) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-R \hat{H}_k(\lambda')} \mathcal{L}_t \left(e^{\frac{R \hat{H}_k(\lambda)}{2}} \hat{\rho}(0) e^{\frac{R \hat{H}_k(\lambda)}{2}} \right) \right], \quad (11)$$

where $\hat{\rho}(0)$ is the system state after the first Gaussian measurement with error σ . If the system is initialized in the thermal equilibrium state, i.e., $\hat{\rho}(0) = \hat{\rho}_{th}(\lambda)$, the system state after the first Gaussian measurement is still the thermal equilibrium state, i.e., $\hat{\rho}(0) = \hat{\rho}_{th}(\lambda)$. If the system is initialized in the coherent Gibbs state, i.e., $\hat{\rho}(0) = |\psi_{th}(\lambda)\rangle \langle \psi_{th}(\lambda)|$, the system state after the first Gaussian measurement is $\hat{\rho}(0) = \otimes_k \hat{\rho}_k(\lambda)$ with

$$\hat{\rho}_k(\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_k(\lambda)} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\beta \varepsilon_k(\lambda)} & e^{-\left(\frac{\varepsilon_k(\lambda)}{\sigma}\right)^2} \\ e^{-\left(\frac{\varepsilon_k(\lambda)}{\sigma}\right)^2} & e^{\beta \varepsilon_k(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

The work distribution obeys a large deviation form $P(W, t) \sim e^{-N r(w, t)}$ with a rate function $r(w, t) \geq 0$ depending on the work density $w = W/N$. In the thermodynamic limit one can derive an exact result for $r(w, t)$: According to the Gärtner-Ellis theorem [18] it is just the Legendre transform

$$-r(w, t) = \inf_{R \in \mathbb{R}} [wR - c(R, t)], \quad (13)$$

where

$$c(R, t) = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln G(R, t) \quad (14)$$

is the rate function for the cumulant generating function of the work distribution function $G(R, t)$. $c(R, t)$ is always concave and continuous inside the relevant domain of definition. Because $G(R, t)$ splits into products over k , the function $c(R, t)$ can be simplified significantly:

$$\begin{aligned} c(R, t) &= - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \ln G_k(R, t) \\ &= - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi dk \ln G_k(R, t), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

here, the constant term $\frac{R^2 \sigma^2}{2N}$ is disappeared.

III. STATIC SINGULARITY OF RATE FUNCTION

In this section, we investigate the effects of quantum coherence on the properties of quantum work performed




FIG. 1. (Color online) The curves of (a) rate function $r(w)$ and (b) its susceptibility $dr(w)/d\lambda$ as the functions of λ for $w = 0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04$ and 0.05 at very low temperature $T = 0.01$. The intensity of the quench is 0.01 , i.e., $\lambda' = \lambda + 0.01$. It should be noted that the measurement error σ has no effect because there is no quantum coherence at very low temperature.

by the quench of transverse field from λ to λ' . For this purpose, the system does not need to evolve after quench because it has no influence on work statistics. In this sense, we believe the system state is static and denote the singularities discussed in the following as the static singularities. The cumulant generating function (11) can be expressed as

$$G_k(R) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-R \hat{H}_k(\lambda')} e^{\frac{R \hat{H}_k(\lambda)}{2}} \hat{\rho}_k(\lambda) e^{\frac{R \hat{H}_k(\lambda)}{2}} \right]. \quad (16)$$

Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (15) and Eq. (13), one can obtain the rate function $r(w)$.

In the low-temperature limit, the occupation number of only ground state energy is significant, and there is no quantum coherence. After quenching, the system is no longer in the ground state, but a superposition of different energy levels, causing the expectation value of work to be shifted. In Fig. 1(a), we plot rate function $r(w)$ for a series of sudden quenches with amplitude $|\lambda' - \lambda| = 0.01$. The rate function changes smoothly with transverse field λ . The interpretation of this smooth behaviour is straight: Continues to increase the transverse field, the energy levels of the system changes smoothly. It is well known that the increasing transverse field drives the Ising model from ferromagnetically ordered phase at $\lambda < 1$ to a paramagnet at $\lambda > 1$. This quantum phase transition is described by the nonanalytic behavior of the susceptibilities about magnetization [76, 81] and fidelity [82–90] at critical point $\lambda = 1$. Herein, the quantum phase transition in the Ising model is also observed, where the nonanalytic behavior of the susceptibility of the rate function occurs at the critical point $\lambda = 1$ [see Fig. 1(b)]. This can be understood as follows: Work is performed to drive the system across the critical region and, due to the vanishing energy gap, the system becomes extremely susceptible to the driving, thereby sharpening the susceptibility of the rate function of work distribution.




FIG. 2. (Color online) The curves of rate function $r(w, t)$ as the function of λ for $w = 0, -0.002, -0.004, -0.006, -0.008$ and -0.01 at temperature $T = 1$. The system is initially in (a) thermal equilibrium and (b) coherent Gibbs states. The intensity of the quench is 0.01, i.e., $\lambda' = \lambda + 0.01$. The measurement error is $\sigma = 1$.

With temperature increasing, the quantum phase transition is destroyed, thereby no point of nonanalyticity can be observed in the rate function and its susceptibility [see Fig. 2(a)]. The temperature increases the occupation number of excited states, and the quantum coherence between energy levels becomes significant in coherent Gibbs state. Taking coherent Gibbs state as the initial state of the system, we plot the rate function $r(w)$ in Fig. 2(b). The rate function shows a kink at critical point $\lambda = 1$, that is to say, with the aid of quantum coherence, the disappeared nonanalytic behavior in the susceptibility of the rate function is replaced by a kink singularity in the rate function itself. In this case, the kink singularity induced by quantum coherence is the first order, a new form of the demonstration of quantum phase transition in Ising model. In addition to the kink at critical point $\lambda = 1$, some new kinks for different work densities can be found at the ferromagnetic region $\lambda < 1$ [see the blue, green and pink curves in Fig. 2(b)], which implies an entirely new kind of singularity beyond the traditional quantum phase transitions.

Before explaining the singularities caused by quantum coherence, let us briefly review quantum phase transition at ground state, see Refs. [91, 92]. Quantum phase transition, driven by quantum fluctuations, takes place at the critical value $\lambda = 1$ of the transverse field. When $\lambda \gg 1$, the ground state is a paramagnet $|\rightarrow\rightarrow\rightarrow\cdots\rangle$ with all spins polarized along the x -axis. On the other hand, when $\lambda \ll 1$, then there are two degenerate ferromagnetic ground states with all spins pointing either up or down along the z -axis: $|\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\cdots\uparrow\rangle$ or $|\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\cdots\downarrow\rangle$. However, when $N \rightarrow \infty$, then energy gap at $\lambda = 1$ tends to zero (quantum version of the critical slowing down) and it is impossible to pass the critical point at a finite speed without exciting the system. As a result, the system ends in a quantum superposition of states like $|\cdots\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\cdots\rangle$ with finite domains of spins pointing up or down and separated by kinks where the polarization of spins changes its orientation. Beyond the ground state, the character of the excitations

also undergoes a qualitative change across the quantum critical point, which is described in the Landau quasiparticle scheme, i.e., as superpositions of nearly independent particle-like excitations [76].

With temperature increasing, quantum fluctuations are overwhelmed by thermal fluctuations, compelling the symmetry-breaking order-disorder transition caused by quantum fluctuations to be disappeared. Quantum coherence, as a kind of information, is used to do work and, due to its extreme sensitivity nature to energy level structure, the resulting coherent work, i.e., the work involving quantum coherence, is highly susceptible to the change of energy level structure. On the other hand, quantum coherence enhances quantum fluctuations, enabling them to overcome thermal fluctuations, thus reviving the symmetry-breaking order-disorder transition. This symmetry breaking causes the rate function of work distribution to be singular at the phase transition point. The kinks in the ferromagnetic region comes from the spin flips driven by transverse field in different energy levels. The spin flips in different energy levels generate different energy changes corresponding to different work densities. After quenching transverse field, the domain boundaries of spins pointing up or down in some energy levels to be changed suddenly, thereby occurring the kinks in the corresponding work densities. In the paramagnetic region, the system is already disordered, the effect of quantum coherence is no longer significant.

IV. DYNAMICAL SINGULARITY OF RATE FUNCTION

In this section, we investigate the quench dynamics in the nonequilibrium thermodynamical formulation. For this, we consider a double quench protocol: At $t = 0$ the parameter λ is quenched from λ to λ' such that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\lambda')$ governs the nontrivial time evolution $\hat{U}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}(\lambda')t}$; at time t the system is quenched back. For this double quench protocol, the cumulant generating function (11) can be expressed as

$$G_k(R, t) = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-R\hat{H}_k(\lambda)} \hat{U}(t) e^{\frac{R\hat{H}_k(\lambda)}{2}} \hat{\rho}_k(\lambda) e^{\frac{R\hat{H}_k(\lambda)}{2}} \hat{U}^\dagger(t) \right]. \quad (17)$$

Substituting Eq. (17) into Eq. (15) and Eq. (13), one can obtain the rate function $r(w, t)$. It is well known that if the system is initialized in the ground state, the temporal nonanalytic behaviours of the rate function, featuring the dynamical phase transition, can be observed [25]. However, if the system is initially in the thermal equilibrium state at finite temperature, thermal fluctuations will destroy dynamical phase transition and the nonanalyticities will disappear [62]. Herein we consider the effects of quantum coherence on the quench dynamics by initializing the system in the coherent Gibbs state.

Fig. 3 shows the dynamics of rate function. At short time, the rate functions for both thermal equilibrium and




FIG. 3. (Color online) The dynamics of rate function $r(w, t)$ for coherent Gibbs (blue) and thermal equilibrium (red) states with temperature $T = 1$. The double quenches are performed in (a) ferromagnetic region ($\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda' = 0.5$) and (b) paramagnetic phase region ($\lambda = 1.5$ and $\lambda' = 2$) and (c) across from ferromagnetic phase region to paramagnetic phase one ($\lambda = 0.75$ and $\lambda' = 1.25$). It should be noted that three double quenches considered have the same perturbation strength, i.e., $\delta\lambda = \lambda' - \lambda = 0.5$. In the dynamics of rate function $r(w, t)$, the work densities are given as $w = 0.03, 0.02$ and 0.005 in (a), (b) and (c), respectively. The measurement error is $\sigma = 1$.

coherent Gibbs states satisfy $r(w, t) \sim -\alpha \ln t$, which implies that work distribution $P(W, t) \sim e^{-Nr(w, t)} \sim t^{N\alpha}$, i.e., the dynamics of work distribution at short time obeys the power law. After a period of evolution, the effects of quantum coherence become significant, causing a sudden change in the dynamics of the work distribution, which otherwise changes smoothly in the absence of coherence. The sudden change of the dynamics of the work distribution is shown by the kink in the rate function [see the blue curves in Fig. 3]. This sudden change behavior depends on work density. Taking the ferromagnetic phase ($\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda' = 0.5$) as an example, we plot the short time dynamics of rate function for different work densities in Fig. 4. As shown in Fig. 4, the dynamical kinks only occur for the low but not zero work density $0 < w \lesssim 0.05$. With the work density increasing, the transition time required for singularity occurrence increases, but must be kept in the short region $t \lesssim 0.1$. Quantum coherence in the initial state will be destroyed after sufficiently long time relaxation, even though the relaxation process is unitary. Therefore, the rate function for any work density stabilizes at a constant value. Constant rate function in the long time limit means that the work distribution




FIG. 4. (Color online) The short time dynamics of rate function $r(w, t)$ for $w = 0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06$ and 0.07 . The double quenches are performed in ferromagnetic phase region with $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda' = 0.5$. The initial state of the system is coherent Gibbs state with temperature $T = 1$. The measurement error is $\sigma = 1$.

and the average work no longer change over time, i.e., $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} dP(W, t)/dt = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d\langle W(t) \rangle/dt = 0$.

These results also root in spin flips. After the first quench, the system begins to evolve with the spin flips driven by Hamiltonian $H(\lambda')$. The effect of quantum coherence is only visible when a large number of spin flips are involved. At short time, the spins have not enough time to slip and quantum coherence does not have a significant effect, therefore work distributions both obey the same power law with or without coherence. After a period of evolution, enough spins have flipped that the domain boundaries of spins pointing up or down in energy levels can be changed suddenly. These sudden changes will be smoothed by thermal fluctuations, thereby smoothing the dynamics of rate function, if the system is initially in the thermal equilibrium state. However, quantum coherence highlights the contribution of some energy levels, making their domains particularly important. The sudden change in these domains alters the work distribution so that a kink is observed in the rate function. In the long time limit, all spins are flipped and the system is disordered, eliminating the effects of quantum coherence.

V. CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, the effects of quantum coherence on the nonequilibrium dynamics have been studied by focusing the rate function of quantum work distribution after quenching the strength of transverse field in the one-dimensional quantum Ising model, where the quantum Ising model is initialized in the coherent Gibbs state. After quench, the rate function of quantum work distribution shows a second-order quantum phase transition at very low temperature, but it is destroyed by thermal fluctuations when temperature goes up. With the help of quantum coherence, this disappeared quantum phase

transition can be recovered by a kink singularity, beyond this, another entirely new kink singularity also occurs in ferromagnetic region. The unitary time evolution of a quantum system after a sudden global quench plays a substantial role in understanding nonequilibrium quantum physics. It has been demonstrated that the initial quantum coherence plays a role only at short periods of evolution because it will be destroyed after sufficiently long time relaxation. At short time, the dynamics of work distribution obeys a power law, but can be changed suddenly with the influence of quantum coherence, causing a dynamical kink. These unique kink singularities generated by quantum coherence have been interpreted

by spin flips. These unique effects of quantum coherence on work statistics shed light on the important tasks of studying what thermodynamic quantity mean in quantum mechanics and how to extend the principles of thermodynamics to the quantum regime.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11705099 and No. 12164003) and the Talent Introduction Project of Dezhou University of China (Grant No. 30101437).

-
- [1] K. Levin, A. Fetter, and D. Stamper-Kurn, *Ultracold Bosonic and Fermionic Gases, Contemporary Concepts of Condensed Matter Science* (Elsevier Science, New York, 2012).
 - [2] V. I. Yukalov, Equilibration and thermalization in finite quantum systems, *Laser Phys. Lett.* **8**, 485 (2011).
 - [3] I. Bloch, J. Dalibard, and W. Zwerger, Many-body physics with ultracold gases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 885 (2008).
 - [4] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, Quantum phase transition from a superfluid to a Mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms, *Nature (London)* **419**, 51 (2002).
 - [5] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, Effective quantum spin systems with trapped ions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 207901 (2004).
 - [6] K. Kim, M.-S. Chang, R. Islam, S. Korenblit, L.-M. Duan, and C. Monroe, Entanglement and tunable spin-spin couplings between trapped ions using multiple transverse modes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 120502 (2009).
 - [7] P. Jurcevic, B. P. Lanyon, P. Hauke, C. Hempel, P. Zoller, R. Blatt, and C. F. Roos, Quasiparticle engineering and entanglement propagation in a quantum many-body system, *Nature (London)* **511**, 202 (2014).
 - [8] M. Rigol, V. Dunjko, and M. Olshanii, Thermalization and its mechanism for generic isolated quantum systems, *Nature* **452**, 854 (2008).
 - [9] M. Ueda, Quantum equilibration, thermalization and prethermalization in ultracold atoms, *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **2**, 669 (2020).
 - [10] J. Dziarmaga, Dynamics of a quantum phase transition and relaxation to a steady state, *Adv. Phys.* **59**, 1063 (2010).
 - [11] D. Nigro, D. Rossini and E. Vicari, Scaling properties of work fluctuations after quenches near quantum transitions, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2019**, 023104 (2019).
 - [12] M. Heyl, Scaling and Universality at Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 140602 (2015).
 - [13] U. Seifert, Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 126001 (2012).
 - [14] U. Seifert, From Stochastic Thermodynamics to Thermodynamic Inference, *Ann. Rev. Cond. Mat. Phys.* **10**, 171 (2019).
 - [15] S. Ciliberto, Experiments in Stochastic Thermodynamics: Short History and Perspectives, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 021051 (2017).
 - [16] M. Campisi, P. Hänggi and P. Talkner, Colloquium: quantum fluctuation relations: foundations and applications, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 771 (2011).
 - [17] M. Esposito, U. Harbola and S. Mukamel, Nonequilibrium fluctuations, fluctuation theorems, and counting statistics in quantum systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1665 (2009).
 - [18] H. Touchette, The large deviation approach to statistical mechanics, *Phys. Rep.* **478**, 1 (2009).
 - [19] A. Gambassi, and A. Silva, Large Deviations and Universality in Quantum Quenches, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 250602 (2012).
 - [20] J. P. Garrahan and I. Lesanovsky, Thermodynamics of quantum jump trajectories, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 160601 (2010).
 - [21] G. Peretto, F. Carollo, I. Lesanovsky, Thermodynamics of quantum-jump trajectories of open quantum systems subject to stochastic resetting, *SciPost Phys.* **13**, 079 (2022).
 - [22] J. P. Garrahan, Aspects of non-equilibrium in classical and quantum systems: Slow relaxation and glasses, dynamical large deviations, quantum non-ergodicity, and open quantum dynamics, *Physica A* **504**, 130 (2018).
 - [23] M. Heyl, Dynamical quantum phase transitions: A brief survey, *Europhys. Lett.* **125**, 26001 (2019).
 - [24] M. Heyl, Dynamical quantum phase transitions: a review, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 054001 (2018).
 - [25] M. Heyl, A. Polkovnikov, S. Kehrein, Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions in the Transverse Field Ising Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 135704 (2013).
 - [26] J. Lang, B. Frank, and J. C. Halimeh, Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions: A Geometric Picture, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 130603 (2018).
 - [27] J. C. Halimeh, M. Van Damme, L. Guo, J. Lang, and P. Hauke, Dynamical phase transitions in quantum spin models with antiferromagnetic long-range interactions, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 115133 (2021).
 - [28] H. Cheraghi and N. Sedlmayr, Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions Following Double Quenches: Persistence of the Initial State vs Dynamical Phases, *arXiv:2302.01661* (2023).

- [29] Á. L. Corps, A. Relaño, Theory of dynamical phase transitions in quantum systems with symmetry-breaking eigenstates, arXiv:2205.03443 (2022).
- [30] S. De Nicola, A. A. Michailidis, and M. Serbyn, Entanglement View of Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 040602 (2021).
- [31] S. Bandyopadhyay, A. Polkovnikov, and A. Dutta, Observing Dynamical Quantum Phase Transitions through Quasilocal String Operators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 200602 (2021).
- [32] W. C. Yu, P. D. Sacramento, Y. C. Li, and H.-Q. Lin, Correlations and dynamical quantum phase transitions in an interacting topological insulator, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 085104 (2021).
- [33] J. J. Mendoza-Arenas, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in the one-dimensional extended Fermi–Hubbard model, *J. Stat. Mech.* **2022**, 043101 (2022).
- [34] Á. L. Corps, A. Relaño, Dynamical and excited-state quantum phase transitions in collective systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **106**, 024311 (2022).
- [35] L. Zhou, Q.-h. Wang, H. Wang, and J. Gong, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in non-Hermitian lattices, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 022129 (2018).
- [36] L. Zhou and Q. Du, Non-Hermitian topological phases and dynamical quantum phase transitions: A generic connection, *New J. Phys.* **23**, 063041 (2021).
- [37] D. Mondal and T. Nag, Finite temperature dynamical quantum phase transition in a non-Hermitian system, arXiv:2212.05839 (2022).
- [38] S. Vajna and B. Dóra, Topological classification of dynamical phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155127 (2015).
- [39] M. Schmitt and S. Kehrein, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in the Kitaev honeycomb model, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 075114 (2015).
- [40] R. Jafari, Quench dynamics and ground state fidelity of the one-dimensional extended quantum compass model in a transverse field, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49**, 185004 (2016).
- [41] R. Jafari and H. Johannesson, Loschmidt Echo Revivals: Critical and Noncritical, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 015701 (2017).
- [42] N. Sedlmayr, P. Jäger, M. Maiti, and J. Sirker, Bulk-boundary correspondence for dynamical phase transitions in one-dimensional topological insulators and superconductors, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 064304 (2018).
- [43] R. Jafari, H. Johannesson, A. Langari, and M. A. Martin-Delgado, Quench dynamics and zero-energy modes: The case of the Creutz model, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 054302 (2018).
- [44] T. V. Zache, N. Mueller, J. T. Schneider, F. Jendrzejewski, J. Berges, and P. Hauke, Dynamical Topological Transitions in the Massive Schwinger Model with a θ Term, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 050403 (2019).
- [45] T. Maslowski and N. Sedlmayr, Quasiperiodic dynamical quantum phase transitions in multiband topological insulators and connections with entanglement entropy and fidelity susceptibility, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 014301 (2020).
- [46] R. Okugawa, H. Oshiyama, and M. Ohzeki, Mirror-symmetry-protected dynamical quantum phase transitions in topological crystalline insulators, *Phys. Rev. Research* **3**, 043064 (2021).
- [47] M. Sadrzadeh, R. Jafari, and A. Langari, Dynamical topological quantum phase transitions at criticality, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 144305 (2021).
- [48] C. Ding, Dynamical quantum phase transition from a critical quantum quench, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 060409(R) (2020).
- [49] S. Sharma, A. Russomanno, G. E. Santoro, and A. Dutta, Loschmidt echo and dynamical fidelity in periodically driven quantum systems, *Europhys. Lett.* **106**, 67003 (2014).
- [50] K. Yang, L. Zhou, W. Ma, X. Kong, P. Wang, X. Qin, X. Rong, Y. Wang, F. Shi, J. Gong, and J. Du, Floquet dynamical quantum phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 085308 (2019).
- [51] S. Zamani, R. Jafari, and A. Langari, Floquet dynamical quantum phase transition in the extended XY model: Nonadiabatic to adiabatic topological transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 144306 (2020).
- [52] L. Zhou and Q. Du, Floquet dynamical quantum phase transitions in periodically quenched systems, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **33**, 345403 (2021).
- [53] R. Jafari and A. Akbari, Floquet dynamical phase transition and entanglement spectrum, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 012204 (2021).
- [54] R. Hamazaki, Exceptional dynamical quantum phase transitions in periodically driven systems, *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5108 (2021).
- [55] S. Zamani, R. Jafari, and A. Langari, Out-of-time-order correlations and Floquet dynamical quantum phase transition, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 094304 (2022).
- [56] L.-N. Luan, M.-Y. Zhang, and L. Wang, Floquet dynamical quantum phase transitions of the XY spin-chain under periodic quenching, *Physica A* **604**, 127866 (2022).
- [57] R. Jafari, A. Akbari, U. Mishra, and H. Johannesson, Floquet dynamical quantum phase transitions under synchronized periodic driving, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 094311 (2022).
- [58] J. Naji, M. Jafari, R. Jafari, and A. Akbari, Dissipative Floquet dynamical quantum phase transition, *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, 022220 (2022).
- [59] J. C. Halimeh, N. Yegovtsev, and V. Gurarie, Dynamical quantum phase transitions in many-body localized systems, arXiv:1903.03109 (2019).
- [60] D. Trapin, J. C. Halimeh, and M. Heyl, Unconventional critical exponents at dynamical quantum phase transitions in a random Ising chain, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 115159 (2021).
- [61] J. C. Halimeh, D. Trapin, M. Van Damme, and M. Heyl, Local measures of dynamical quantum phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 075130 (2021).
- [62] N. O. Abeling and S. Kehrein, Quantum quench dynamics in the transverse field Ising model at nonzero temperatures, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 104302 (2016).
- [63] G. T. Landi and M. Paternostro, Irreversible entropy production: From classical to quantum, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 035008 (2021).
- [64] A. D. Varizi, A. P. Vieira, C. Cormick, R. C. Drumond, and G. T. Landi, Quantum coherence and criticality in irreversible work, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033279 (2020).
- [65] A. D. Varizi, M. A. Cipolla, M. Perarnau-Llobet, R. C. Drumond and G. T. Landi, Contributions from populations and coherences in non-equilibrium entropy production, *New J. Phys.* **23**, 063027 (2021).

- [66] G. Francica, J. Goold, and F. Plastina, Role of coherence in the nonequilibrium thermodynamics of quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 042105 (2019).
- [67] J. P. Santos, L. C. Céleri, G. T. Landi and M. Paternostro, The role of quantum coherence in non-equilibrium entropy production, *npj Quantum Information* **5**, 23 (2019).
- [68] B.-M. Xu, J. Zou, L.-S. Guo, and X.-M. Kong, Effects of quantum coherence on work statistics, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 052122 (2018).
- [69] Y. C. Li, J. Zhang, and H.-Q. Lin, Quantum coherence spectrum and quantum phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 115142 (2020).
- [70] R. Mao, Y.-W. Dai, S. Y. Cho, and H.-Q. Zhou, Quantum coherence and spin nematic to nematic quantum phase transitions in biquadratic spin-1 and spin-2 XY chains with rhombic single-ion anisotropy, *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 014446 (2021).
- [71] R. J. Lewis-Swan, S. R. Muleady, and A. M. Rey, Detecting Out-of-Time-Order Correlations via Quasiadiabatic Echoes as a Tool to Reveal Quantum Coherence in Equilibrium Quantum Phase Transitions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 240605 (2020).
- [72] C.-P. Lv, Y.-C. Li, and H.-Q. Lin, Robust approach to study the effect on quantum phase transitions of various perturbations at finite temperatures, *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 054424 (2022).
- [73] D. P. Pires and T. Macrì, Probing phase transitions in non-Hermitian systems with multiple quantum coherences, *Phys. Rev. B* **104**, 155141 (2021).
- [74] Q. Wang, F. Pérez-Bernal, Diagnosing excited state quantum phase transition with multiple quantum coherence spectrum in the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick model, *arXiv:2209.02510* (2022).
- [75] A. Santini, A. Solfanelli, S. Gherardini, and M. Colura, Work statistics, quantum signatures and enhanced work extraction in quadratic fermionic models, *arXiv:2302.13759* (2023).
- [76] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2011).
- [77] M. Lostaglio, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph, Description of quantum coherence in thermodynamic processes requires constraints beyond free energy, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6383 (2015).
- [78] H. Kwon, H. Jeong, D. Jennings, B. Yadin, and M. S. Kim, Clock-Work Trade-Off Relation for Coherence in Quantum Thermodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 150602 (2018).
- [79] B.-M. Xu, J. Zou and Z.-C. Tu, A single-point measurement scheme for quantum work based on the squeezing state, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **73**, 065102 (2021).
- [80] A. Russomanno, A. Silva, and G. E. Santoro, Periodic steady regime and interference in a periodic driven quantum system, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 257201 (2012).
- [81] M. Vojta, Quantum phase transitions, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **66**, 2069 (2003).
- [82] S.-J. Gu, H.-M. Kwok, W.-Q. Ning, and H.-Q. Lin, Fidelity susceptibility, scaling, and universality in quantum critical phenomena, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 245109 (2008).
- [83] A. F. Albuquerque, F. Alet, C. Sire, and S. Capponi, Quantum critical scaling of fidelity susceptibility, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 064418 (2010).
- [84] W.-L. You, Y.-W. Li, and S.-J. Gu, Fidelity, dynamic structure factor, and susceptibility in critical phenomena, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 022101 (2007).
- [85] S. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Hao, and Y. Wang, Intrinsic relation between ground-state fidelity and the characterization of a quantum phase transition, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 032111 (2008).
- [86] S.-J. Gu, Fidelity approach to quantum phase transitions, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **24**, 4371 (2010).
- [87] M. Cozzini, R. Ionicioiu, and P. Zanardi, Quantum fidelity and quantum phase transitions in matrix product states, *Phys. Rev. B* **76**, 104420 (2007).
- [88] M. Cozzini, P. Giorda, and P. Zanardi, Quantum phase transitions and quantum fidelity in free fermion graphs, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 014439 (2007).
- [89] S. Chen, L. Wang, S.-J. Gu, and Y. Wang, Fidelity and quantum phase transition for the Heisenberg chain with next-nearest-neighbor interaction, *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 061108 (2007).
- [90] D. F. Abasto, A. Hamma, and P. Zanardi, Fidelity analysis of topological quantum phase transitions, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 010301(R) (2008).
- [91] J. Dziarmaga, Dynamics of a Quantum Phase Transition: Exact Solution of the Quantum Ising Model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 245701 (2005).
- [92] L. Cincio, J. Dziarmaga, M. M. Rams, and W. H. Zurek, Entropy of entanglement and correlations induced by a quench: Dynamics of a quantum phase transition in the quantum Ising model, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 052321 (2007).