

Dark-energy wormholes in generalized Kaluza-Klein gravity

Peter K.F. Kuhfittig
Department of Mathematics
Milwaukee School of Engineering
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-3109, USA

This paper discusses traversable wormholes in a dark-energy setting by starting with a model due to Sung-Won Kim. This model, based on the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker model, is combined with a generalized Kaluza-Klein model. It is well known that phantom dark energy can in principle support traversable wormholes due to the violation of the null energy condition (NEC). Since the phantom divide constitutes a serious barrier, we retain the dark-energy assumption $a''(t) > 0$ but stay below the phantom divide. The violation of the NEC can only come from the fifth dimension but requires a careful analysis of the scale factor $a(t)$. While needed in the overall model, certain 1-forms lack this scale factor since the extra dimension, often assumed to be compactified, would not be affected by the cosmological expansion. The result is a viable wormhole model of the Sung-Won-Kim type. Our main conclusion involves Morris-Thorne wormholes: due to the Kaluza-Klein gravity, we obtain a complete wormhole solution without crossing the phantom divide.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wormholes are handles or tunnels connecting widely separated regions of our Universe or entirely different universes. That such structures might be suitable for interstellar travel was first proposed by Morris and Thorne [1] by means of the following static and spherically symmetric line element for the wormhole spacetime:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - b(r)/r} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (1)$$

using units in which $c = G = 1$. Here $b = b(r)$ is called the *shape function* and $\Phi = \Phi(r)$ is called the *redshift function*, which must be everywhere finite to prevent the occurrence of an event horizon. For the shape function, we must have $b(r_0) = r_0$, where $r = r_0$ is the radius of the *throat* of the wormhole. An important requirement is the *flare-out condition* at the throat: $b'(r_0) \leq 1$, while $b(r) < r$ near the throat. The flare-out condition can only be met by violating the null energy condition (NEC), which states that

$$T_{\alpha\beta} k^\alpha k^\beta \geq 0 \text{ for all null vectors } k^\alpha, \quad (2)$$

where $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor. In particular, for the outgoing null vector $(1, 1, 0, 0)$, the violation takes on the form

$$T_{\alpha\beta} k^\alpha k^\beta = \rho + p_r < 0. \quad (3)$$

Here $T^t_t = -\rho$ is the energy density, $T^r_r = p_r$ is the radial pressure, and $T^\theta_\theta = T^\phi_\phi = p_t$ is the lateral (transverse) pressure.

In a cosmological setting, we may consider the equation of state of a perfect fluid, $p = \omega\rho$, where $p = p_r = p_t$. Referring to the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) model,

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + [a(t)]^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right), \quad (4)$$

the Friedmann equation

$$\frac{\ddot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\rho + 3p) = -\frac{4\pi}{3}(\rho + 3\omega\rho) \quad (5)$$

implies that we must have $\omega < -\frac{1}{3}$ to yield an accelerated expansion, commonly referred to as “dark energy.” The special case $\omega = -1$ corresponds to Einstein’s cosmological constant, while $\omega < -1$ is usually referred to as phantom dark energy. Here $\rho + p = \rho + \omega\rho = \rho(1 + \omega) < 0$, so that the NEC is automatically violated. In the equation of state

$p = \omega\rho$, going from $\omega > -1$ to $\omega < -1$ is often referred to as “crossing the phantom divide” since we are up against a natural barrier. As a result, we will assume an accelerated expansion due to dark energy but avoid crossing the phantom barrier. So the source of the NEC violation would have to originate elsewhere, in our case, the extra spatial dimension from the Kaluza-Klein model.

Regarding the violation of the NEC, other proposals have been made. For example, it was shown by Lobo and Oliveira [2] that $f(R)$ modified gravity allows a wormhole to be constructed from ordinary matter, while the unavoidable violation of the NEC can be attributed to the higher-order curvature terms, interpreted as a gravitational fluid. Another possibility, proposed in Refs. [3] and [4], is an appeal to noncommutative geometry, an offshoot of string theory, to account for the violation.

Our actual starting point is going to be a cosmological model due to S.-W. Kim [5], based on the FLRW model

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + [a(t)]^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right), \quad (6)$$

but combined with the Kaluza-Klein model:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + [a(t)]^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) + e^{2\Psi(r)} dq^2 \right). \quad (7)$$

Traversable wormholes sustained by an extra spatial dimension are also discussed in Ref. [6], which provides a motivation for the form of the extra term: the model is intended to be as general as possible, even allowing the fifth dimension to be compactified. The embedding of four-dimensional spacetimes in higher-dimensional flat spacetimes is discussed in Refs. [7–9]. Paul Wesson [10] has shown that the field equations in a five-dimensional flat space yield the Einstein field equations in four dimensions, also called *the induced-matter theory*.

In line element (7), $a(t)$ is the usual scale factor, where k is the sign of the curvature of spacetime, i.e., $k = +1, 0$, or -1 . The shape function $b = b(r)$ is assumed to have the usual properties, but we need to keep in mind that meeting the flare-out condition does not imply a violation of the NEC, unlike the case of a Morris-Thorne wormhole; the violation must therefore be confirmed separately.

Since the scale factor $a(t)$ is one of the issues discussed in this paper, let us first consider the following alternative to Eq. (7):

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + [a(t)]^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \right) + e^{2\Psi(r)} dq^2. \quad (8)$$

The ever-growing $a(t)$ causes the last term to become negligible, clearly indicating that Eq. (7) is the appropriate model on a cosmological scale. Our interest in wormholes, however, draws our attention to the local behavior operating on much smaller scales. While not absolutely necessary, we make the usual assumption that the extra dimension is small or even compactified. So locally, such a small dimension would not be affected by the cosmological expansion, to be discussed further in the next section [after Eq. (18)]. Our main goal in that section is to obtain the tools for complete wormhole solutions. Because of the sheer generality of the solutions, we will not be able to discuss the physical implications until we reach Sections III and IV.

II. PRELIMINARIES

To obtain a wormhole solution based on the cosmological model (7), we need to choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_{\hat{\alpha}}\}$ that is dual to the following 1-form basis:

$$\theta^0 = e^{\Phi(r)} dt, \quad (9)$$

$$\theta^1 = a(t) \left[1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right]^{-1/2} dr, \quad (10)$$

$$\theta^2 = a(t) r d\theta, \quad (11)$$

$$\theta^3 = a(t) r \sin\theta d\phi, \quad (12)$$

$$\theta^4 = a(t) e^{\Psi(r)} dq. \quad (13)$$

These forms yield

$$dt = e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^0, \quad (14)$$

$$dr = \frac{1}{a(t)} \left[1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right]^{1/2} \theta^1, \quad (15)$$

$$d\theta = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \theta^2, \quad (16)$$

$$d\phi = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \theta^3, \quad (17)$$

$$dq = e^{-\Psi(r)} \theta^4. \quad (18)$$

The last equation does not contain the scale factor $a(t)$ since the extra curled-up dimension would not be affected by the expansion of the Universe, already discussed in the previous section. (Further comments regarding $a(t)$ can be found in Appendix A.)

Our main goal in this section is to obtain the components of the Riemann curvature tensor. Here we use the method of differential forms, following Ref. [11]. To obtain the curvature 2-forms, we need to calculate the following exterior derivatives in terms of θ^i , where $b = b(r)$:

$$d\theta^0 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^0, \quad (19)$$

$$d\theta^1 = \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1, \quad (20)$$

$$d\theta^2 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2, \quad (21)$$

$$d\theta^3 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \cot \theta \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3, \quad (22)$$

$$d\theta^4 = \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4 + a'(t) e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^4. \quad (23)$$

The connection 1-forms ω^i_k have the symmetry $\omega^0_i = \omega^i_0$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) and $\omega^i_j = -\omega^j_i$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, i \neq j$), and are related to the basis θ^i by

$$d\theta^i = -\omega^i_k \wedge \theta^k. \quad (24)$$

The solution of this system is found to be

$$\omega^0_1 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^0 + \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^1, \quad (25)$$

$$\omega^2_1 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^2, \quad (26)$$

$$\omega^3_1 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^3, \quad (27)$$

$$\omega^3_2 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \cot \theta \theta^3, \quad (28)$$

$$\omega^4_1 = \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^4, \quad (29)$$

$$\omega^2_0 = \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^2, \quad (30)$$

$$\omega^3_0 = \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^3, \quad (31)$$

$$\omega^4_0 = a'(t) e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^4, \quad (32)$$

$$\omega^2_4 = \omega^3_4 = 0. \quad (33)$$

The curvature 2-forms Ω^i_j are calculated directly from the Cartan structural equations

$$\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j. \quad (34)$$

These forms are listed in Appendix A.

The components of the Riemann curvature tensor can be read off directly from the form

$$\Omega^i_j = -\frac{1}{2} R_{mnj}{}^i \theta^m \wedge \theta^n \quad (35)$$

and are listed next.

$$R_{011}{}^0 = \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \left[\frac{d^2\Phi(r)}{dr^2} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \left(\frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \right)^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(2kr + \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right] - \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}, \quad (36)$$

$$R_{022}{}^0 = R_{033}{}^0 = \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}, \quad (37)$$

$$R_{122}{}^0 = R_{133}{}^0 = \frac{a'(t)}{[a(t)]^2} e^{-\Phi(r)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (38)$$

$$R_{044}{}^0 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - a''(t) e^{-2\Phi(r)}, \quad (39)$$

$$R_{144}{}^0 = \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (40)$$

$$R_{122}{}^1 = R_{133}{}^1 = \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{2r} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) - \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)}, \quad (41)$$

$$R_{144}^1 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \left[\left(\frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \right)^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \frac{d^2\Psi(r)}{dr^2} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right] - \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}, \quad (42)$$

$$R_{233}^2 = -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(kr^2 + \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)}, \quad (43)$$

$$R_{244}^2 = R_{344}^3 = \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}. \quad (44)$$

The last form to be derived in this section is the Ricci tensor, which is obtained by a trace on the Riemann curvature tensor:

$$R_{ab} = R_{acb}{}^c. \quad (45)$$

The components are listed in Appendix B.

III. INITIAL OBSERVATIONS: SUNG-WON-KIM WORMHOLES

Returning now to line elements (6) and (7), one way to continue is to follow a procedure introduced by S.-W. Kim [5]: separate the Einstein field equations into two parts, the cosmological part and the wormhole part. This procedure has been used in Refs. [12] and [13]. Since we are primarily interested in Morris-Thorne wormholes, we will eventually let $k = 0$, which turns out to be sufficient for our main result. The Einstein field equations in the orthonormal frame are

$$G_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = R_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = 8\pi T_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}}, \quad (46)$$

where

$$g_{\hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (47)$$

Since the forms in the previous section are all in the orthonormal frame, we can use a simpler notation: $T_{00} = \rho$ is the energy density and $T_{11} = p_r$ is the radial pressure, as noted after Eq. (3). So

$$8\pi(\rho + p_r) = [R_{00} - \frac{1}{2}R(-1)] + [R_{11} - \frac{1}{2}R(1)] = R_{00} + R_{11}. \quad (48)$$

We now get directly from Appendix B that

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi(\rho + p_r) = & -2 \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2r} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{a(t)} \left\{ [\Psi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi''(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi'(r) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right\} \\ & + e^{-2\Phi(r)} \left[-2 \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} - a''(t) + 2 \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{a(t)} \Phi'(r) \Psi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r} \Phi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right). \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

Given the size of the scale factor $a(t)$, the first and last terms on the right-hand side can be considered negligible, while in the third term, $-a''(t)$ is dominant and negative (since $a''(t) > 0$). It follows that $8\pi(\rho + p_r) < 0$ for the proper choices of $\Phi(r)$ and $\Psi(r)$, provided that $k \leq 0$, corresponding to an open Universe. A simple example is $\Psi(r) \equiv \text{constant}$. So the NEC has been violated without crossing the phantom barrier. The result is a wormhole of the Sung-Won-Kim type.

IV. MORRIS-THORNE WORMHOLES IN A DARK-ENERGY SETTING

Since we are primarily interested in a dark-energy setting, let us now assume that $\Phi(r) \equiv 0$, as in the FLRW model. To obtain a Morris-Thorne wormhole, we let $k = 0$. Omitting the negligible terms, we now get

$$8\pi(\rho + p_r) = -a''(t) - \frac{1}{a(t)} \left[[\Psi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) + \Psi''(r) \left(1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}\right) + \Psi'(r) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2}\right) \right]. \quad (50)$$

Recall that while $a''(t) > 0$, we would like to refrain from crossing the phantom barrier. Choosing $\Psi(r)$ properly shows that our Kaluza-Klein model is sufficiently general to yield $\rho + p_r < 0$. So a dark-energy background can in principle support Morris-Thorne wormholes thanks to the extra spatial dimension.

As another illustration, suppose we consider the model

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)} dt^2 + [a(t)]^2 \left(\frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) + (1 - kr^2)dq^2 \right), \quad (51)$$

based on the discussions in Refs. [14] and [15]. The above calculation yields at once $8\pi(\rho + p_r) = -a''(t) < 0$.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper starts with a wormhole model due to S.-W. Kim, based on the FLRW model, and is then combined with a generalized Kaluza-Klein model. It is well known that phantom dark energy can in principle support a traversable wormhole due to the automatic violation of the NEC. Since the phantom divide constitutes a serious barrier, we assume that $a''(t) > 0$ while remaining below the phantom barrier. The violation can only come from the extra spatial dimension, but this calls for a careful analysis of the scale factor $a(t)$: while required in the overall model, certain 1-forms lack the factor $a(t)$ since the extra dimension, often assumed to be compactified, is not affected by the cosmological expansion. The result is a viable wormhole model of the Sung-Won-Kim type provided that $k \leq 0$. For a Morris-Thorne wormhole, we assume that $k = 0$ and that $\Phi(r) \equiv 0$ for the redshift function; the latter ensures consistency with the FLRW model. The result is a traversable wormhole that avoids the phantom barrier. The violation of the NEC can be attributed to the extra spatial dimension.

As a final comment, the wormholes considered here can only exist on very large scales. See, for example, Ref. [16] and references therein.

APPENDIX A The curvature 2-forms

From the Cartan structural equations

$$\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_1 = & \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(2kr + \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) - \frac{d^2\Phi(r)}{dr^2} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - \left(\frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \right)^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \right] \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 + \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_2 = & -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 + \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2 \\ & - \frac{a'(t)}{[a(t)]^2} e^{-\Phi(r)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0_3 = & -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 + \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3 \\ & - \frac{a'(t)}{[a(t)]^2} e^{-\Phi(r)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega^1_2 = -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2r} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 + \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2$$

$$+ \frac{a'(t)}{[a(t)]^2} e^{-\Phi(r)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^2$$

$$\Omega^1_3 = -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2r} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3 + \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^3$$

$$+ \frac{a'(t)}{[a(t)]^2} e^{-\Phi(r)} \frac{d\Phi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^3$$

$$\Omega^2_3 = \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(kr^2 + \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 + \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3$$

$$\Omega^2_4 = -\frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4 + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^2 \wedge \theta^4$$

$$\Omega^3_4 = -\frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\Psi(r)}{dr} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4$$

The Cartan structural equations $\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$ show that Ω^0_4 and Ω^1_4 are the only 2-forms that involve the differentials $d\omega^0_4$ and $d\omega^1_4$; this is significant since ω^0_4 and ω^1_4 are the only nonzero 1-forms pertaining to the extra spatial dimension. [See Eqs. (29), (32), and (33).] As noted in the Introduction, the fifth dimension is not affected by the cosmological expansion. So $\theta^4 = e^{\Psi(r)} dq$ for this case. To clarify these comments, let us briefly consider the calculation

$$d\omega^0_4 = d \left[a'(t) e^{-\Phi(r)} \theta^4 \right] = d \left[a'(t) e^{-\Phi(r)} e^{\Psi(r)} dq \right]$$

$$= a'(t) \left[e^{-\Phi(r)} e^{\Psi(r)} \Psi'(r) dq \right] = a'(t) \left[e^{-\Phi(r)} e^{\Psi(r)} \Psi'(r) - e^{-\Phi(r)} \Phi'(r) \right] dr \wedge dq.$$

After substituting Eqs. (15) and (18) and adding the only nonzero term $\omega^0_1 \wedge \omega^1_4$, we obtain the final form

$$\Omega^0_4 = -\frac{1}{a(t)} \Phi'(r) \Psi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \theta^0 \wedge \theta^4 + a''(t) e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^4$$

$$- \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} e^{-\Phi(r)} \Phi'(r) \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4.$$

The 2-form Ω^1_4 is obtained similarly:

$$\Omega^1_4 = -\frac{1}{a(t)} \left[[\Psi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi''(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \Psi'(r) \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right] \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4$$

$$+ \frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} e^{-\Phi(r)} \Phi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)^{1/2} \theta^0 \wedge \theta^4 + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^4.$$

APPENDIX B The components of the Ricci tensor

$$R_{00} = \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \left[\Phi''(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + [\Phi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi'(r) \left(2kr + \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right]$$

$$- \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} + 2 \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r} \Phi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - 2 \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}$$

$$- a''(t) e^{-2\Phi(r)} + \frac{1}{a(t)} \Phi'(r) \Psi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{11} = & -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \left[\Phi''(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + [\Phi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi'(r) \left(2kr + \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} - 2 \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{2r} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} \\
& - \frac{1}{a(t)} \left[[\Psi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi''(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi'(r) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{22} = R_{33} = & -\frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r} \Phi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \frac{a''(t)}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} - \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{r} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \\
& + \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} + \frac{1}{[a(t)]^2} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(kr^2 + \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} \right)^2 e^{-2\Phi(r)} \\
& - \frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \Psi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{44} = & a''(t) e^{-2\Phi(r)} - \frac{1}{a(t)} \Phi'(r) \Psi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) \\
& - \frac{1}{a(t)} \left[[\Psi'(r)]^2 \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi''(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \Psi'(r) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left(-2kr - \frac{rb'(r) - b(r)}{r^2} \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} + 2 \left[-\frac{1}{a(t)} \frac{1}{r} \Psi'(r) \left(1 - kr^2 - \frac{b(r)}{r} \right) + \frac{[a'(t)]^2}{a(t)} e^{-2\Phi(r)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

-
- [1] M.S. Morris, K.S. Thorne, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 395 (1988).
[2] F.S.N. Lobo, M.A. Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 104012 (2009).
[3] F. Rahaman, P.K.F. Kuhfittig, S. Ray, S. Islam, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 106010 (2012).
[4] P.K.F. Kuhfittig, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24**, 1550023 (2015).
[5] S.-W. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 6889 (1996).
[6] P.K.F. Kuhfittig, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 064041 (2010).
[7] S.K. Maurya, D. Deb, S. Ray, P.K.F. Kuhfittig, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28**, 1950116 (2019).
[8] S.K. Maurya, Y.K. Gupta, S. Ray, D. Deb, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 693 (2016).
[9] S.K. Maurya, B.S. Ratanpal, M. Govender, *Ann. Phys.* **382**, 36 (2017).
[10] P.S. Wesson, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24**, 1530001 (2015).
[11] L.P. Hughes and K.P. Tod, *An Introduction to General Relativity* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1990).
[12] M. Cataldo, F. Arostica, S. Bahamonde, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 047502 (2013).
[13] P.K.F. Kuhfittig, *J. Mod. Phys.* **8**, 323 (2017).
[14] U. Mukhopadhyay, I. Chakraborty, S. Ray, A.A. Usmani, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **55**, 388 (2016).
[15] D.R.K. Reddy, K. Deniel Raju, Kaluza-Klein FRW type dark energy model with a massive scalar field, arXiv: 1911.02355.
[16] P.K.F. Kuhfittig, A survey of recent studies concerning the extreme properties of Morris-Thorne wormholes, arXiv: 2202.07431.