

Translation gauge field theory of gravity in Minkowski spacetime

Hang Li^{1,2} and P. Wang^{1,2}

¹*Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS,
P. O. Box 918(4), Beijing 100049, China*

²*School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese
Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China*

Abstract

The gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ with spin-2 is introduced naturally by the requirement that the Lagrangian is locally translation invariant in Minkowski spacetime. The interactions between $h_{\mu\nu}$ and spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, 0, 1 matter fields are obtained as well as the Lagrangian for the gravitational field including self-interactions. The deflection angle of light when it passes through the sun is calculated with different gauge conditions as an example. Our leading-order result is the same as that from general relativity though the basic ideas are quite different. It is interesting that gravity could be described in the similar way as the other fundamental interactions in Minkowski spacetime and it may provide new scenario of the Universe.

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein's general relativity takes gravity as a geometric property of spacetime and has successfully described a variety of gravitational phenomena at several scales [1, 2]. Many experiments have verified that gravitation is a phenomenon of curved spacetime, i.e. the underlying gravitational theory should be a metric one. The great success of general relativity, however, has not stopped alternatives being propounded. There are many modified gravity theories such as Scalar-Tensor theory [3, 4], Vector-Tensor theory [5], Bimetric theory [6, 7], Tensor-Vector-Scalar theory [8, 9], $f(R)$ theory [10, 11], Hořava-Lifschitz gravity [12, 13], Galileon theory [14] and models of extra dimensions including Kaluza-Klein [15], Randall-Sundrum [16, 17] and Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati Gravity [18]. The parameterised Post-Newtonian (PPN) formalism was proposed to compare and assess various gravity theories [2]. For a theoretical review, see for example Ref. [19].

Different from gravity, the electromagnetic, weak and strong interactions are all described as gauge theories which are related to some internal symmetries. Einstein himself has tried to unify the electromagnetic and gravitational fields by introducing the tetrad or vierbein field [20, 21]. That is the so called teleparallel theory of gravity. Later Möller revived teleparallel theory when he introduced his energy-momentum complex to solve the localization of energy and momentum in general relativity [22]. After this, Hayashi et al. proposed the new general relativity as a teleparallel theory which is described by the Weitzenböck connection obtained due to the condition of absolute parallelism [23–26]. This implies that the new general relativity can be presented as a gauge theory of the translation group. Localizing the translation group will result in the Weitzenböck spacetime. Actually after the birth of gauge concept, some authors have begun to try to derive gravitational interaction by gauging the Lorentz group and Poincaré group [27, 28]. Acting these external groups in Minkowski spacetime will lead to gauge theory related to gravity [29]. Fixing the parameters emerging from the decomposition of the Weitzenböck torsion can render new general relativity to the teleparallel equivalent of general relativity. In teleparallel equivalent of general relativity all the effects of gravity are encoded in the torsion tensor. Some authors established a more mathematically sound framework for new general relativity by using Cartan geometry [30, 31]. The energy-momentum distribution in the framework of this teleparallel theory of gravity has also been discussed [32–34]. Besides different gravity theories by gauging

different symmetry groups [35–37], there are also some other gauge theories of gravity, such as nonlocal translation gauge theory [38] and $f(T)$ theories of modified teleparallel gravity [39, 40].

In contrast with general relativity and the above gauge theories using the geometrical gravity approach to explain gravitation, the “field” gravity theory was constructed similarly to other fundamental physical fields by using the Lagrangian formalism of the relativistic quantum field theory in Minkowski spacetime [41–43]. Many authors derived Einstein’s field equations of general relativity from the spin-2 field theory [44–47]. From the free spin-2 Lagrangian which is infinitesimal translation invariant and the interaction between gravitational field and matter fields, one can get the equation of motion for the spin-2 field. However, it is not self-consistent, because in the gauge field theory of gravity, the spin-2 gravitational field itself should have the energy-momentum as well as the other matter fields, which is also the source of gravity. Simply adding the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field to the tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ of matter fields is still not consistent because the modified Lagrangian which includes the self-interaction of gravitational field will generate a new energy-momentum tensor. And this new energy-momentum tensor will again result in a new modified Lagrangian. This is an infinite process. An iterative gravity field theory in Minkowski spacetime was partly developed, where the theory is constructed step by step using an iteration procedure so that at each step all physical properties of the energy-momentum tensor of the gravity field are under control [48–51]. Each step of iteration is described by linear gauge-invariant field equations with fixed sources. It was pointed that a ostensible field theory of gravity in flat spacetime is actually general relativity. All the derivations of general relativity from spin-2 field theory are based on some additional assumptions that are equivalent to the geometrization of the gravitational interaction [1, 52, 53]. It is still an open question whether the field gravity theory is experimentally equivalent to the geometrical general relativity. Some tests which can clarify whether the gravity is curvature of spacetime or a matter field in Minkowski spacetime as other physical forces are reviewed [54, 55].

The general relativity is constructed in curved Riemann spacetime, while the gauge theory of gravity usually deforms the underlying Minkowski spacetime and leads to new geometry. In those gauge theories the gravitational field is represented by the metric tensor. The field theory of gravity applies the iteration process started from the free spin-2 field. The

interaction Lagrangian was introduced as principle of universality to replace the equivalence principle used in the geometrical approach [50]. Differently in this work the gravitational tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is introduced naturally to guarantee the locally translation invariance of the Lagrangian and it has nothing to do with the metric. The spacetime is always flat as the field gravity theory. But our translation gauge group is not infinitesimal. In addition, the principle of universality, i.e., the interaction between gravitational field and matter field is derived from the gauge invariance rather than introduced as an assumption. In Sec. II, the gravitational interactions between the tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}$ and matter field with spin-0, 1/2 and 1, and the self-interactions for $h_{\mu\nu}$ are all obtained with the same requirement that the Lagrangian is locally translation invariant. We will discuss the deflection of light when it passes through the sun as an example in Sec. III. And finally, Sec. IV is a summary.

II. TRANSLATION INVARIANT LAGRANGIAN

The free Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F^0 = \bar{\psi}(i\cancel{\partial} - m)\psi$ for quark or lepton field is invariant under the global $U(1)$ transformation $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{ie\alpha}\psi(x)$, where α is a constant. With the Nöther theory, the electromagnetic current $J^\mu(x)$ can be obtained as $J^\mu(x) = e\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\psi(x)$. The interaction between quark/lepton field and photon field $A_\mu(x)$ can then be expressed as $J^\mu(x)A_\mu(x)$. The photon field can be naturally introduced with the proper transformation property and the interaction between quark/lepton and photon fields can be automatically obtained if we assume that the total Lagrangian is locally $U(1)$ invariant which means α is spacetime dependent. The standard model for strong and electroweak interactions is established in the similar way. One may wonder whether gravitational interaction can also be constructed in this way. For gravity, the current is related to the energy-momentum tensor which is generated from the translation invariance of the Lagrangian. In this section we will discuss the interactions between gravitational field and matter fields including Fermion and Boson fields and the self-interactions of gravitational field.

A. Lagrangian for Fermion field

Under the local translation, Dirac field transforms as

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = \psi(x'), \quad (1)$$

where $x'^\mu = x^\mu + \theta^\mu(x)$. The free Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_F^0 transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F^0(x) &\rightarrow \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\psi}(x')\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\psi(x') - (\partial_\mu\bar{\psi}(x'))\gamma^\mu\psi(x')] - m\bar{\psi}(x')\psi(x') \\ &= \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\psi}(x')\gamma^\mu\partial'_\mu\psi(x') - (\partial'_\mu\bar{\psi}(x'))\gamma^\mu\psi(x')] - m\bar{\psi}(x')\psi(x') \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\psi}(x')\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu\psi(x') - (\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}(x'))\gamma^\mu\psi(x')] \partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the first line on the right hand side is the same as the original Lagrangian except the argument x is replaced by x' . To cancel the second line, the tensor field $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ has to be introduced to the Lagrangian as $g\bar{\psi}(x)i\gamma^\mu h_{\mu\nu}(x)\partial^\nu\psi(x) - g(h_{\mu\nu}(x)\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}(x))\gamma^\mu\psi(x)$ with the transformation property

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x)\partial_\rho \rightarrow \left[h_{\mu\nu}(x') - \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x) \right] \partial'_\rho, \quad (3)$$

where g is the coupling constant. Therefore, the total Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F(x)$ is locally translation invariant which is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F(x) &= \frac{i}{2}(\eta_{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu}(x)) [\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu\psi(x) - (\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}(x))\gamma^\mu\psi(x)] - m\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \\ &= \mathcal{L}_F^0(x) + gh_{\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x) \\ &= \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu D_\mu\psi(x) - (D_\mu\bar{\psi}(x))\gamma^\mu\psi(x)] - m\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the asymmetric tensor for Dirac field expressed as

$$\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu\psi(x) - (\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}(x))\gamma^\mu\psi(x)]. \quad (5)$$

D_μ is the covariant derivative $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + gh_{\mu\nu}(x)\partial^\nu$. $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\{+1, -1, -1, -1\}$ is the metric tensor of Minkowski spacetime. Since $\partial_\rho = (\delta_\rho^\sigma + \partial_\rho\theta^\sigma(x))\partial'_\sigma$, when $\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x)$ is small, the transformation property of $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ can be obtained order by order. For example, at

leading order, $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ transforms as

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}(x') - \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x). \quad (6)$$

At next-to-leading order, it transforms as

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow h_{\mu\nu}(x') - \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x) - \left[h_{\mu\rho}(x') - \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu\theta_\rho(x) \right] \partial^\rho\theta_\nu(x). \quad (7)$$

From Eq. (4), one can see the locally translation invariant Lagrangian is obtained from the free Lagrangian by the replacement of ∂_μ with D_μ . It is equivalent to replace $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ with $\eta_{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu}(x)$. It is interesting that the tensor $\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is not exactly the same as the energy-momentum tensor obtained by the Nöther theory from the global translation symmetry. This is different from the electromagnetic case, where the electromagnetic current in the interaction $A_\mu(x)J^\mu(x)$ obtained from the local $U(1)$ symmetry is the same as that obtained from the global $U(1)$ symmetry. Due to the derivative in the tensor current $\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$, it is not invariant under the local translation, i.e.

$$\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{T}_F^{\mu\rho}(x')(\delta_\rho^\nu + \partial^\nu\theta_\rho(x)). \quad (8)$$

It is similar as the color current in QCD case where the current is not invariant under the local $SU(3)_c$ transformation. However, because the derivative in the tensor current transforms together with the gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ according to Eq. (3), the interaction $h_{\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ transforms as

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x)\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \left[h_{\mu\nu}(x') - \frac{1}{g}\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x) \right] \tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x'). \quad (9)$$

It is comparable with the $U(1)$ transformation of the electromagnetic interaction $A_\mu(x)J^\mu(x)$

$$A_\mu(x)J^\mu(x) \rightarrow \left[A_\mu(x) - \frac{1}{e}\partial_\mu\theta(x) \right] J^\mu(x). \quad (10)$$

The tensor $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ describes the gravitational field of spin-2 with the gauge freedoms due to the invariance of translation. It is convenient to set $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ symmetric, traceless and

divergence free [56], i.e.

$$h_{\mu\nu}(x) = h_{\nu\mu}(x), \quad \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0, \quad \partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0. \quad (11)$$

With the above constraints, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F(x)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_F(x) = \mathcal{L}_F^0(x) + gh_{\mu\nu}(x)T_F^{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (12)$$

where $T_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ is the symmetric Belinfante–Rosenfeld energy–momentum tensor of Dirac field expressed as

$$T_F^{\mu\nu}(x) = \frac{i}{4}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu\psi + \bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\partial^\mu\psi) - \frac{i}{4}((\partial^\mu\bar{\psi})\gamma^\nu\psi + (\partial^\nu\bar{\psi})\gamma^\mu\psi) - \eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_F^0. \quad (13)$$

Neither the asymmetric part of $\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ nor the term $\eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_F^0$ has contributions to the gravitational interaction $gh_{\mu\nu}(x)T_F^{\mu\nu}(x)$ when $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ is symmetric and traceless. Under the local translation, the Lagrangian of Eq. (12) will generate an additional term as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_F(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_F(x') + \frac{i}{4}(\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x) - \partial_\nu\theta_\mu(x)) [\bar{\psi}(x')\gamma^\mu\partial^\nu\psi(x') - (\partial^\nu\bar{\psi}(x'))\gamma^\mu\psi(x')] \\ + (\partial_\mu\theta^\mu(x)) \left\{ \frac{i}{2} [\bar{\psi}(x')\gamma^\nu\partial'_\nu\psi(x') - (\partial'_\nu\bar{\psi}(x'))\gamma^\nu\psi(x')] - m\bar{\psi}(x')\psi(x') \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In other words, the Lagrangian (12) is only invariant under the symmetric and traceless translation with

$$\partial_\mu\theta_\nu(x) = \partial_\nu\theta_\mu(x), \quad \partial_\mu\theta^\mu(x) = 0. \quad (15)$$

We should mention that in general, the gravitational field and tensor current of the matter field have not to be symmetric. Eq. (11) is the gauge condition rather than the equation of motion for the gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$. It is just one specified choice. The translation invariance makes the field $h_{\mu\nu}(x)$ have gauge freedoms.

B. Lagrangian for Boson field

For the spin-0 case, the free Lagrangian is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_S^0 = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2. \quad (16)$$

In the above and most of the following equations, the argument x is omitted for convenience. Under the transformation of translation, $\phi(x)$ is changed to be $\phi(x + \theta(x))$. By replacing ∂_μ with the covariant derivative D_μ , the free Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_S^0 can be transformed into the locally translation invariant Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_S = \frac{1}{2}D_\mu\phi D^\mu\phi - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 = \mathcal{L}_S^0 + gh_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}_S^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}g^2h_{\mu\rho}h^{\mu\sigma}\partial^\rho\phi\partial_\sigma\phi, \quad (17)$$

where $\tilde{T}_S^{\mu\nu}$ is the tensor current for spin-0 field expressed as

$$\tilde{T}_S^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi. \quad (18)$$

Different from the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case, for the spin-0 case, the tensor current $\tilde{T}_S^{\mu\nu}$ which couples with the gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric without requirement that $h_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric. For the spin-0 case, besides the leading order interaction $gh_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}_S^{\mu\nu}$, there is a high order interaction $\frac{1}{2}g^2h_{\mu\rho}h^{\mu\sigma}\partial^\rho\phi\partial_\sigma\phi$. Certainly, as for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case, we can also choose the symmetric and traceless gauge. The leading interaction term can then be expressed as $gh_{\mu\nu}T_S^{\mu\nu}$, where $T_S^{\mu\nu}$ is the canonical energy-momentum tensor

$$T_S^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi - \eta^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_S^0. \quad (19)$$

It should be noted that for the matter fields with any spin, they transform in the way as Eq. (1), which is different from Refs. [56, 57], where the scalar, Fermion and vector fields have different transformation properties. As a result, there was no interaction between $h_{\mu\nu}$ and scalar fields [57]. While in our method, D_μ is the same for all the matter fields with any spin.

For the massive spin-1 field, the naive free Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Naive}}^0 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A_\nu \partial^\mu A^\nu + \frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu. \quad (20)$$

With the same approach, the locally translation invariant Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Naive}} = -\frac{1}{2}D_\mu A_\nu D^\mu A^\nu + \frac{m^2}{2}A_\mu A^\mu = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Naive}}^0 + gh_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}_{\text{Naive}}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^2h_{\mu\rho}h^{\mu\sigma}\partial^\rho A_\nu \partial_\sigma A^\nu, \quad (21)$$

where $\tilde{T}_{\text{Naive}}^{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric tensor current for the above naive Lagrangian expressed as

$$\tilde{T}_{\text{Naive}}^{\mu\nu} = -\partial^\mu A^\rho \partial^\nu A_\rho. \quad (22)$$

Again, besides the leading order interaction, the high order interaction appears. The vector $A_\mu(x)$ has four degrees of freedom, while the massive vector particle has three degrees of freedom. To describe the massive vector particle, the correct Lagrangian is the so-called Proca Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_P constructed by the strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ [58]. In the massless limit, for example, for photon field, the free Lagrangian is locally $U(1)$ gauge invariant expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_V^0 = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (23)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu. \quad (24)$$

The interaction between photon and gravitational fields can be obtained by the requirement of translation invariance. The locally translation invariant Lagrangian for photon field is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_V = -\frac{1}{4}(D_\mu A_\nu - D_\nu A_\mu)(D^\mu A^\nu - D^\nu A^\mu). \quad (25)$$

After the expansion of the above Lagrangian, we can get

$$\mathcal{L}_V = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^2(h_{\mu\rho}h^{\mu\sigma}\partial^\rho A^\nu \partial_\sigma A_\nu - h_{\mu\rho}h^{\nu\sigma}\partial^\rho A_\nu \partial_\sigma A^\mu), \quad (26)$$

where $\tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu}$ is expressed as

$$\tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\mu\rho}\partial^\nu A_\rho. \quad (27)$$

If $h_{\mu\nu}$ is gauged to be symmetric and traceless, the interaction $gh_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu}$ can be changed into $\frac{1}{2}gh_{\mu\nu}(T_V^{\mu\nu} + T_V^{\nu\mu})$, where $T_V^{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the photon field expressed as

$$T_V^{\mu\nu} = -F^{\mu\rho}\partial^\nu A_\rho + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma}. \quad (28)$$

The $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ term in $T_V^{\mu\nu}$ has no contribution to the interaction $\frac{1}{2}gh_{\mu\nu}(T_V^{\mu\nu} + T_V^{\nu\mu})$ because $h_{\mu\nu}$ is traceless. As in the Fermion field case, with the symmetric tensor current, the corresponding Lagrangian is locally invariant only under the symmetric and traceless translation.

With the replacement of the derivative ∂_μ with D_μ , the locally $U(1)$ invariant Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_V^0 is transformed into a translation invariant one \mathcal{L}_V . As a result, the Lagrangian of Eq. (26) is no longer $U(1)$ invariant. It is fine because the gravitational interaction is translation invariant rather than $U(1)$ invariant. If replacing $F_{\mu\nu}$ with $F_{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\rho}F_\nu^\rho - gh_{\nu\rho}F_\mu^\rho$, we can get the $U(1)$ invariant Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V &= -\frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\rho}F_\nu^\rho - gh_{\nu\rho}F_\mu^\rho)(F^{\mu\nu} + gh^{\mu\sigma}F_\sigma^\nu - gh^{\nu\sigma}F_\sigma^\mu) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu}T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^2(h_{\mu\rho}h^{\mu\sigma}F^{\rho\nu}F_{\sigma\nu} - h_{\nu\rho}h^{\mu\sigma}F_\mu^\rho F_\sigma^\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu}$ is the symmetric Belinfante-Rosenfeld tensor of electromagnetic field expressed as

$$T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\rho}F_\rho^\nu + \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma} = T_V^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\rho(F^{\mu\rho}A^\nu). \quad (30)$$

The difference between the symmetric Belinfante-Rosenfeld tensor $T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu}$ and the asymmetric energy-momentum tensor $T_V^{\mu\nu}$ which corresponds to the conserved tensor directly obtained from Nöther theory is a total derivative term $\partial_\rho(F^{\mu\rho}A^\nu)$. We should mention that though the interaction $gh_{\mu\nu}T_{\text{EM}}^{\mu\nu}$ is recognized as the interaction between photon and gravitational field, the Lagrangian Eq. (29) is not locally translation invariant. In fact, in the Fermion field case, the situation is the same. The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Lagrangian with the gravitational field can not be locally $U(1)$ gauge invariant. For example, with minimal substitution, if we change the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_F to be

$$\mathcal{L}'_F = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\eta_{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu})(\partial^\nu + ieA^\nu)\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi, \quad (31)$$

the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}'_F will be locally $U(1)$ invariant. However it will be not locally translation

invariant any longer. For the gravitational interaction, we should have the translation invariance instead of $U(1)$ invariance. In this sense, the Lagrangian Eq. (26) is more reasonable than Eq. (29). This is quite different from the standard model, where the total Lagrangian is invariant under the local $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ transformation. The QCD Lagrangian is $SU(3)_C$ invariant and the electroweak Lagrangian is $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant. Because the currents in the standard model interactions have no derivatives, the sum of them are both $SU(3)_C$ and $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant. However, if gravity is included, we can not make the total Lagrangian invariant under the $T(4) \times SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ transformation. The tensor current for gravitational interaction includes the derivative. As a result, the total Lagrangian including gravitational field will destroy the internal local gauge symmetry, though the other parts without gravitational field is still invariant under the internal gauge transformations.

C. Lagrangian for gravitational field

Now we discuss the Lagrangian for the spin-2 gravitational field. For spin-2 field $h_{\mu\nu}$, its free Lagrangian is [56]

$$\mathcal{L}_G^0 = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^\mu h^{\rho\sigma} - \partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h, \quad (32)$$

where h is the trace of field $h_{\mu\nu}$. The above Lagrangian is the same as the linear approximation of general relativity where $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a weak field [1]. The Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_G^0 is only invariant under the infinitesimal local translation. To make the Lagrangian invariant under the general local translation, we need to change the derivative ∂_μ to D_μ . For example, for $\partial_\mu h_{\nu\rho}(x)$, we need to change it to be $D_\mu D_\nu V_\rho(x)$, where $V_\rho(x)$ is an arbitrary vector field. This ‘‘background’’ vector is introduced together with derivative because of the transformation property of the gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ Eq. (3). Under the local translation $D_\mu D_\nu V_\rho(x)$ transforms as

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu D_\nu V_\rho(x) &\rightarrow \left\{ \partial_\mu + g \left[h_{\mu\sigma}(x') - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \theta_\sigma(x) \right] \partial'^\sigma \right\} \left\{ \left[\partial_\nu + g \left(h_{\nu\tau}(x') - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\nu \theta_\tau(x) \right) \partial'^\tau \right] V_\rho(x') \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \partial_\mu + g \left[h_{\mu\sigma}(x') - \frac{1}{g} \partial_\mu \theta_\sigma(x) \right] \partial'^\sigma \right\} \{ [\partial'_\nu + g h_{\nu\tau}(x') \partial'^\tau] V_\rho(x') \} \\ &= \{ \partial'_\mu + g h_{\mu\sigma}(x') \partial'^\sigma \} \{ [\partial'_\nu + g h_{\nu\tau}(x') \partial'^\tau] V_\rho(x') \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= D'_\mu D'_\nu V_\rho(x'). \quad (33)$$

Therefore, the Lagrangian for gravitational field can be constructed by $D_\mu D_\nu V_\rho(x)$. The simplest choice is to choose $V_\rho(x) = gx_\rho$. $\partial_\nu V_\rho(x)$ turns into $g\mathfrak{N}_{\nu\rho}(x)$, where $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu\rho}(x)$ transforms as

$$\mathfrak{N}_{\nu\rho}(x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{\nu\rho}(x') + \partial_\nu \theta_\rho(x). \quad (34)$$

In Minkowski spacetime, $\mathfrak{N}_{\nu\rho}(x) = \mathfrak{N}_{\nu\rho}(x') = \eta_{\nu\rho}$. In this case $D_\mu D_\nu gx_\rho(x) = D_\mu h_{\nu\rho}(x)$. Consequently, the locally translation invariant Lagrangian can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_G &= \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h_{\rho\sigma} D^\mu h^{\rho\sigma} - D_\mu h^{\mu\nu} D^\rho h_{\rho\nu} + D_\mu h D_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} D_\mu h D^\mu h \\ &= \mathcal{L}_G^0 + gh_{\mu\nu} \tilde{T}_G^{\mu\nu} + \frac{g^2}{2} (h_{\alpha\mu} h^{\alpha\nu} \partial^\mu h^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu h_{\rho\sigma} - h_{\alpha\mu} h^{\alpha\nu} \partial^\mu h \partial_\nu h \\ &\quad - 2h^{\mu\rho} h_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\rho h_{\mu\alpha} \partial^\sigma h^{\nu\alpha} + 2h_{\mu\rho} h_{\nu\sigma} \partial^\rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\sigma h), \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $\tilde{T}_G^{\mu\nu}$ is expressed as

$$\tilde{T}_G^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^\nu h^{\rho\sigma} - \partial^\mu h \partial^\nu h - 2\partial^\nu h^{\mu\rho} \partial^\sigma h_{\sigma\rho} + \partial^\nu h^{\mu\rho} \partial_\rho h + \partial^\mu h \partial_\rho h^{\rho\nu}. \quad (36)$$

With the requirement of translation invariance, the self-interactions of gravitational field can be obtained, which include the leading order interaction $gh_{\mu\nu} \tilde{T}_G^{\mu\nu}$ and higher order terms proportional to g^2 .

We have obtained the interactions between gravitational field and other matter fields and its self-interactions based on the translation invariance. In the next section, we will study the bending of light when it passes through the sun as an example. The total related Lagrangian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{TOT}} &= \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + ieA_\mu(x)) \psi(x) + gh_{\mu\nu}(x) \tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu} - m\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu} \tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu} - \frac{g^2}{2} (h_{\mu\rho} h_{\mu\sigma} \partial^\rho A^\nu \partial^\sigma A^\nu - h_{\mu\rho} h_{\nu\sigma} \partial^\rho A^\nu \partial^\sigma A^\mu) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^\mu h^{\rho\sigma} - \partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho h_{\rho\nu} + \partial_\mu h \partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h \partial^\mu h + gh_{\mu\nu} \tilde{T}_G^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + \frac{g^2}{2} (h_{\alpha\mu} h^{\alpha\nu} \partial^\mu h^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\nu h_{\rho\sigma} - h_{\alpha\mu} h^{\alpha\nu} \partial^\mu h \partial_\nu h - 2h^{\mu\rho} h_{\nu\sigma} \partial_\rho h_{\mu\alpha} \partial^\sigma h^{\nu\alpha} \\ &\quad + 2h_{\mu\rho} h_{\nu\sigma} \partial^\rho h^{\mu\nu} \partial^\sigma h), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where the other gauge fields for the strong and weak interactions are not included. They can be easily added to the above Lagrangian. With the Lagrangian the equation of motion for the gravitational field can be obtained as

$$H_0^{\mu\nu} + gH_1^{\mu\nu} + g^2H_2^{\mu\nu} = g \left(\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu} \right) - g^2(h^{\mu\rho}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\nu\sigma} + h_{\rho\sigma}F^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu\sigma}), \quad (38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^{\mu\nu} &= \square h^{\mu\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}\square h - \partial^\mu\partial_\rho h^{\rho\nu} - \partial^\nu\partial_\rho h^{\rho\mu} + \partial^\mu\partial^\nu h + \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma h^{\rho\sigma}, \\ H_1^{\mu\nu} &= 2\partial_\rho h^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\sigma h^{\mu\nu} + 2h^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma h^{\mu\nu} - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho h^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\sigma h - 2\eta^{\mu\nu}h^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma h \\ &\quad - 2\partial_\rho h^{\rho\mu}\partial_\sigma h^{\sigma\nu} - 2h^{\rho\mu}\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma h^{\sigma\nu} - 2\partial^\mu h_{\rho\sigma}\partial^\rho h^{\sigma\nu} - 2h_{\rho\sigma}\partial^\mu\partial^\rho h^{\sigma\nu} \\ &\quad + \partial_\rho h^{\rho\mu}\partial^\nu h + h^{\rho\mu}\partial_\rho\partial^\nu h + \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho h_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\beta h^{\alpha\rho} + \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho\partial^\beta h^{\alpha\rho} \\ &\quad + \partial^\mu h^{\rho\nu}\partial_\rho h + h^{\rho\nu}\partial^\mu\partial_\rho h + \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho h^{\rho\sigma}\partial^\alpha h_{\alpha\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\nu}h^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\partial^\alpha h_{\alpha\sigma} - \tilde{T}_G^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2^{\mu\nu} &= h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial^\rho h_{\alpha\rho}\partial_\sigma(h^{\mu\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}h) + h_{\alpha\rho}\partial^\rho h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial_\sigma(h^{\mu\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}h) + h_{\alpha\rho}h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial^\rho\partial_\sigma(h^{\mu\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu}h) \\ &\quad - 2\partial_\rho(h^{\rho\mu}h_{\sigma\alpha}\partial^\sigma h^{\alpha\nu}) + \partial_\rho(h^{\rho\mu}h^{\sigma\nu}\partial_\sigma h) + \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial^\rho(h_{\rho\alpha}h_{\sigma\beta}\partial^\sigma h^{\alpha\beta}) - h^{\mu\rho}\partial^\nu h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad + h^{\mu\rho}\partial^\nu h\partial_\rho h + 2h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial^\nu h^{\mu\beta}\partial_\sigma h_{\alpha\beta} - h_{\rho\sigma}\partial^\nu h^{\mu\rho}\partial^\sigma h - h_{\rho\sigma}\partial^\rho h^{\sigma\nu}\partial^\mu h. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

With the same gauge as Eq. (11), the above equation can be simplified to be

$$\begin{aligned} &\square h^{\mu\nu} + g(2h^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\partial_\sigma h^{\mu\nu} - 2\partial^\mu h_{\rho\sigma}\partial^\rho h^{\sigma\nu} - 2h_{\rho\sigma}\partial^\mu\partial^\rho h^{\sigma\nu} + \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\rho h_{\alpha\beta}\partial^\beta h^{\alpha\rho} - \partial^\mu h^{\rho\sigma}\partial^\nu h_{\rho\sigma}) \\ &+ g^2(h_{\alpha\rho}\partial^\rho h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial_\sigma h^{\mu\nu} + h_{\alpha\rho}h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial^\rho\partial_\sigma h^{\mu\nu} - 2h^{\rho\mu}\partial_\rho(h_{\sigma\alpha}\partial^\sigma h^{\alpha\nu}) + \eta^{\mu\nu}h_{\rho\alpha}\partial^\rho(h_{\sigma\beta}\partial^\sigma h^{\alpha\beta}) \\ &\quad - h^{\mu\rho}\partial^\nu h^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} + 2h^{\alpha\sigma}\partial^\nu h^{\mu\beta}\partial_\sigma h_{\alpha\beta} + h^{\mu\rho}F_{\rho\sigma}F^{\nu\sigma} + h_{\rho\sigma}F^{\mu\rho}F^{\nu\sigma}) \\ &= g \left(\tilde{T}_F^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{T}_V^{\mu\nu} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

On the right hand side of the equation, the tensor currents are for all the matter fields which generate the gravitational field. For studying the bending of light by the sun, the energy-momentum tensor of the sun is dominant.

III. BENDING OF LIGHT

We now discuss the bending of light caused by the sun in Minkowski spacetime with the Lagrangian obtained in the last section. We will first obtain the solution of the gravitational

field generated by the sun. It is difficult to solve the full differential equation (38). We will solve the equation perturbatively. At leading order, where the self-interactions of the gravitational field are neglected, the equation of for leading order $h_{(0)}^{\mu\nu}$ is expressed as

$$\square h_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} - \eta^{\mu\nu} \square h_{(0)} - \partial^\mu \partial_\rho h_{(0)}^{\rho\nu} - \partial^\nu \partial_\rho h_{(0)}^{\rho\mu} + \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h_{(0)} + \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\rho \partial_\sigma h_{(0)}^{\rho\sigma} = g T_{\text{sun}}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (42)$$

where $T_{\text{sun}}^{\mu\nu}$ is the tensor current for the classical object sun. For the microscopic particle, the tensor $\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$ is different from the symmetric energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$. The difference between them is a total differential and a term proportional to free Lagrangian \mathcal{L}^0 . For example, for Dirac field, $\tilde{T}_{\text{F}}^{\mu\nu} - T_{\text{F}}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{16} \partial_\rho (\bar{\psi} \{ \gamma^\mu, [\gamma^\nu, \gamma^\rho] \} \psi) + \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\text{F}}^0$. For scalar field, $\tilde{T}_{\text{S}}^{\mu\nu} - T_{\text{S}}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_{\text{S}}^0$. When studying gravity for the on-shell ($\mathcal{L}^0 = 0$) classical object, one can express the tensor current of the object as [1]

$$T_{\text{cl}}^{\mu\nu}(x) = \int m \frac{dz^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dz^\nu}{d\tau} \delta^4(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}(\tau)) d\tau \quad (43)$$

without specifying its spin. Here $z^\mu(\tau)$ represents the particle's world line and m is the mass of the object. In particular, the classical tensor for a static star $T_{\text{sun}}^{\mu\nu}$ have only one non-zero component expressed as

$$T_{\text{sun}}^{00}(x) = M \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (44)$$

where M is the mass of the sun. The equation of (42) is comparable with that for the metric $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu}$ in the linear approximation of general relativity, where $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor of curved spacetime. In our case, the gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a dimensional quantity which is related to $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu}$ as $g h_{\mu\nu} = \mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu}$. The coupling constant is related to the Newton's constant G as $g^2 = 16\pi G$. The value of g is an order of magnitude similar to the Planck mass's reciprocal. This means the strength of $h_{\mu\nu}$ coupling to itself and other fields is faint.

We should mention when the high order terms are neglected, the equation for the gravitational field at leading order is no longer translation invariant. The gauge condition of Eq. (11) can not be all satisfied. Instead, one can choose the gauge condition as

$$h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\nu\mu}, \quad \partial^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (45)$$

Then the equations for the non-zero components of $h_{(0)}^{\mu\nu}$ at leading order become

$$-\nabla^2 h_{(0)}^{00} + \nabla^2 h_{(0)} = 4\sqrt{\pi G} M \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (46)$$

$$-\nabla^2 h_{(0)}^{ij} - \nabla^2 h_{(0)} \delta^{ij} + \partial^i \partial^j h_{(0)} = 0. \quad (47)$$

The solutions for the above equations are

$$h_{(0)}^{00} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \frac{M}{r}, \quad h_{(0)}^{ij} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \left(\frac{M}{r} \delta^{ij} + \frac{M x^i x^j}{r^3} \right). \quad (48)$$

It is easy to check the solutions satisfy the condition $\partial_\mu h_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

One can also choose another kind of gauge condition, which is widely used in solving the metric $\mathfrak{h}_{\mu\nu}$ in the linear approximation of general relativity [1, 59]. The condition is

$$h_{\mu\nu} = h_{\nu\mu}, \quad 2\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\nu h, \quad (49)$$

which is also weaker than the condition of Eq. (11). It is not required that $h = 0$ and $\partial_\mu h^{\mu\nu} = 0$, but there is a relationship between them. With the above gauge condition, at leading order the equations for the non-zero component of $h_{\mu\nu}$ turn into

$$-\nabla^2 \left(h_{(0)}^{00} - \frac{1}{2} h_{(0)} \right) = 4\sqrt{\pi G} M \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (50)$$

$$-\nabla^2 \left(h_{(0)}^{ii} + \frac{1}{2} h_{(0)} \right) = 0. \quad (51)$$

The corresponding solutions are

$$h_{(0)}^{00} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \frac{M}{r}, \quad h_{(0)}^{ii} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \frac{M}{r}. \quad (52)$$

With the obtained gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$, we can now calculate the deflection angle of light when it passes through the sun.

The action of a classical particle in the presence of gravitational field is written as

$$I_{\text{particle}} = -m \int (\eta_{\mu\nu} + g h_{\mu\nu}) \frac{dz^\mu}{d\tau} \frac{dz^\nu}{d\tau} d\tau. \quad (53)$$

With variational principle, the equation of motion for the particle is expressed as

$$\frac{d^2 z^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma} \frac{dz^\rho}{d\tau} \frac{dz^\sigma}{d\tau} = 0, \quad (54)$$

where

$$\Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\mu\nu} + gh^{\mu\nu})(\partial_\rho gh_{\nu\sigma} + \partial_\sigma gh_{\nu\rho} - \partial_\nu gh_{\rho\sigma}). \quad (55)$$

This equation is similar to the geodesic equation in general relativity case. The above equation is not mass dependent and it is suitable for the particle with any mass including photon. With the definition $P_\mu \equiv (\eta_{\mu\nu} + gh_{\mu\nu})p^\nu$ where $p^\nu = \frac{dz^\nu}{d\tau}$, Eq. (54) yields

$$dP_\mu = \frac{g}{2} \partial_\mu h_{\rho\sigma} p^\rho dz^\sigma. \quad (56)$$

Suppose there is a photon moving in the x - y plane ($z = 0$). The direction of its initial momentum at $(x = -\infty, y = R, z = 0)$ is parallel to x axis. The trajectory of the photon will get deflected by the sun when it passes through the sun. Since the deflection of photon is slight, one can assume p^x is a constant and $|p^y| \ll p^0 \approx p^x$. With the obtained $h_{\mu\nu}$ in Eq. (48) for the gauge condition Eq. (45), the equation of motion (56) for $\mu = 2$ is expressed as

$$dP_y = - \left(\frac{3GMR}{2\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^3} + \frac{3GMx^2R}{2\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^5} \right) p^x dx. \quad (57)$$

After the x integral we have

$$P_y(x = +\infty) = -p^x \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{3GMR}{2\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^3} + \frac{3GMx^2R}{2\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^5} \right) dx = -\frac{4GM}{R} p^x. \quad (58)$$

The deflection angle of light is then obtained as

$$\Delta\phi = -\frac{p^y(x = +\infty)}{p^x} = -\frac{P_y(x = +\infty)}{p^x} = \frac{4GM}{R}. \quad (59)$$

For the other gauge condition Eq. (49), the corresponding equation of motion for $\mu = 2$ is

$$dP_y = -\frac{2GMR}{\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^3} p^x dx. \quad (60)$$

This equation is quite different from Eq. (57) due to the different $h_{\mu\nu}$ obtained in different

gauge. It is interesting that after the x integral of the above equation, we get the same $P_y(x = +\infty)$ as

$$P_y(x = +\infty) = -p^x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2GMR}{\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^3} dx = -\frac{4GM}{R} p^x. \quad (61)$$

With the mass and radius of the sun, one can derive the deflection angle $\Delta\phi = 1.75''$. Therefore, for different gauge conditions, though the corresponding $h_{\mu\nu}$ is quite different, the obtained deflection angle of light is the same. It is also the same as the result in general relativity and experimental observations [2, 60].

We now estimate the deflection angle including the self-interaction of gravitational field. For simplicity, we work in the gauge condition Eq. (49). At next-to-leading order, the equations for the non-zero components of the gravitational field are expressed as

$$-\nabla^2 \left(h_{(1)}^{00} - \frac{1}{2} h_{(1)} \right) = 4\sqrt{\pi} GM \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{x}) - 4G \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \frac{M^2}{r^4}, \quad (62)$$

$$-\nabla^2 \left(h_{(1)}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ij} h_{(1)} \right) = 2G \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \frac{M^2}{r^6} x^i x^j. \quad (63)$$

The solutions for the above equations are

$$h_{(1)}^{00} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \left(\frac{M}{r} + \frac{GM^2}{r^2} \right), \quad h_{(1)}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{G}{\pi}} \left(\frac{M}{r} \delta^{ij} + \frac{2GM^2}{r^2} \delta^{ij} + \frac{GM^2}{r^4} x^i x^j \right). \quad (64)$$

With the same method, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_y(x = +\infty) &= -p^x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2GMR}{\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^3} + \frac{6G^2 M^2 R}{\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^4} + \frac{4G^2 M^2 x^2 R}{\sqrt{x^2 + R^2}^6} \right) dx \\ &= - \left(\frac{4GM}{R} + \frac{7\pi G^2 M^2}{2R^2} \right) p^x. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

The deflection angle of light is then

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{4GM}{R} + \frac{7\pi G^2 M^2}{2R^2}, \quad (66)$$

where the second term on the right hand side is the next-to-leading order contribution which is highly suppressed by the factor $\frac{GM}{R}$. Numerically, the correction to $\Delta\phi$ at next-to-leading order is negligible which is about $1.0'' \times 10^{-5}$.

For photon, the gravitational field generated by the sun is very weak and the deflection angle of light is quite small when it passes through the sun. In this case, the self-interaction of the gravitational field is negligible. Its correction to $\Delta\phi$ is not visible. For both $h_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Delta\phi$, the high order contributions are suppressed by the factor $\frac{GM}{R}$. For more compatible stars, for example, white dwarf ZTFJ190132.9+145808.7, with mass and radius $M \approx 1.35M_\odot$ and $R \approx 2140$ km [61], the factor $\frac{GM}{R}$ is 9.3×10^{-4} which is 439 times larger than that of the sun. As a result, at leading and next-to-leading order, the deflection angle $\Delta\phi$ are $12.79'$ and $12.83'$, respectively. For neutron star HESS J1731-347 with mass and radius $M \approx 0.77M_\odot$ and $R \approx 10.4$ km [62], the factor $\frac{GM}{R}$ is 0.11 and the contribution from the self-interaction of gravitational field could be as large as 30% of leading order contribution.

IV. SUMMARY

Based on the requirement that the total Lagrangian should be locally translation invariant, we get the interactions between gravitational field and matter fields in Minkowski spacetime. For the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field, the tensor current which couples to the gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ is not symmetric. It is different from the well known symmetric Belinfante–Rosenfeld energy–momentum tensor of Dirac field. Only when the symmetric and traceless gauge is chosen, the gravitational field will couple with the conserved symmetric tensor. With this gauge, the Lagrangian is no longer invariant under the general translation group, but only invariant under the symmetric and traceless translation. This is also true for spin-1 case, where the current couples to the gravitational field is not the symmetric conserved energy–momentum tensor. In addition, for photon field, the inclusion of the gravitational interaction will destroy the local $U(1)$ invariance. For spin-0 case, though the tensor which couples to $h_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric, it is still different from the conserved energy-momentum tensor because of the $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ term. For both spin-0 and 1 case, besides the leading order interaction $gh_{\mu\nu}\tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}$, the local translation invariance results in the high order interactions proportional to g^2 . The translation invariance also leads to the leading and next-to-leading order self-interactions in the Lagrangian for spin-2 gravitational field.

We discussed the deflection of light with the interaction between photon and gravitational field in Minkowski spacetime. For two different choices of gauge condition, the obtained gravitational fields $h_{\mu\nu}$ are quite different. However, the deflection angle $\Delta\phi$ in two cases are

the same. The obtained angle is also the same as that in general relativity though the basic scenario is totally different. The contribution from the self-interaction of gravitational field is suppressed by the factor $\frac{GM}{R}$. It is negligible and causes no visible effect on $\Delta\phi$ of light when it passes through the sun. For more compact stars, for example, neutron star, the self-interaction could contribute as large as 30% of leading order contribution. Therefore, the difference of gravity described in our method and general relativity is significant when gravity is strong. It may provide new scenario of our Universe. It is also interesting that gravity can be described in the similar way as the interactions in the standard model which is based on the local symmetry in Minkowski spacetime.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant No. 11975241.

-
- [1] C. Misner, K. Thorne and J. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, San Francisco: W H Freeman and Company (1973).
 - [2] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1993).
 - [3] P. G. Bergmann, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **1**, 25 (1968).
 - [4] R. V. Wagoner, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 3209 (1970).
 - [5] T. Jacobson, *PoS, QG-PH*, 020 (2007).
 - [6] N. Rosen, *Phys. Rev.* **57**, 150 (1940).
 - [7] I. T. Drummond, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 043503 (2001).
 - [8] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Lett. B* **202**, 497 (1988).
 - [9] J. D. Bekenstein and R. H. Sanders, *Astrophys. J.* **429**, 480 (1994).
 - [10] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **4**, 115 (2007).
 - [11] T. P. Sotiriou and V. Faraoni, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 451 (2010).
 - [12] P. Horava, *JHEP* **03**, 020 (2009).
 - [13] A. Padilla, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **259**, 012033 (2010).

- [14] A. Nicolis, R. Rattazzi and E. Trincherini, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 064036 (2009).
- [15] G. Nordstrom, Phys. Z. **15**, 504 (1914).
- [16] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999).
- [17] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).
- [18] G. R. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. **485**, 208 (2000).
- [19] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla and C. Skordis, Phys. Rept. **513**, 1 (2012).
- [20] A. Einstein, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Physikalisch-Mathematische Klasse, 223 (1928).
- [21] J. Yepez, arXiv:1106.2037.
- [22] C. Möller, Annals Phys. **12**, 118 (1961).
- [23] K. Hayashi and T. Nakano, Prog. Theor. Phys. **38**, 491 (1967).
- [24] K. Hayashi, Phys. Lett. B **69**, 441 (1977).
- [25] K. Hayashi and T. Shirafuji, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3524 (1979).
- [26] D. Blixt, R. Ferraro, A. Golovnev and M-J. Guzmán, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 084029 (2022).
- [27] R. Utiyama, Phys. Rev. **101**, 1597 (1956).
- [28] R. Utiyama and T. Fukuyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **45**, 612 (1971).
- [29] F. Hehl, arXiv:1204.3672.
- [30] M. Fontanini, E. Huguet and M. Le Delliou, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 064006 (2019).
- [31] E. Huguet, M. Le Delliou and M. Fontanini, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **18**, 2140004 (2021).
- [32] S. Aygün and C. Aktaş, New Astron. **101**, 102014 (2023).
- [33] M. Sharif and M. J. Amir, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **23**, 3167 (2008).
- [34] S. Aygün, H. Baysal, C. Aktaş, İ. Yılmaz, P. K. Sahoo and İ. Tarhan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **33**, 1850184 (2018).
- [35] G. Sardanashvily, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **13**, 1650086 (2016).
- [36] F. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke and Y. Ne'eman, Phys. Rept. **258**, 1 (1995).
- [37] D. Sauro and O. Zanusso, Class. Quant. Grav. **39**, 185001 (2022).
- [38] F. Hehl and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Lett. B **673**, 279 (2009).
- [39] R. Ferraro and Franco Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 084031 (2007).
- [40] R. Ferraro and Franco Fiorini, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 124019 (2008).
- [41] W. Thirring, Annals Phys. **16**, 96-117 (1961).

- [42] R. Feynman, *Lectures on Gravitation*, California Institute of Technology: Pasadena (1971).
- [43] M. Fierz and W. Pauli, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **173**, 211 (1939).
- [44] S. Gupta, Proc. Phys. Soc. A **65**, 608 (1952).
- [45] R. H. Kraichnan, Phys. Rev. **98**, 1118 (1955).
- [46] S. Deser, Gen. Rel. Grav. **1**, 9 (1970).
- [47] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. Georgi and M. D. Schwartz, Annals Phys. **305**, 96 (2003).
- [48] V. V. Sokolov and Y. Baryshev, *Gravitation and Relativity Theory*, Kiev State University **17**, 34 (1980).
- [49] V. V. Sokolov, Astrophys. Space Sci. **197**, 179 (1992).
- [50] Y. Baryshev, “Energy-Momentum of the Gravitational Field: Crucial Point for Gravitation Physics and Cosmology”, in Proc. Int. Conf. “Problems of Practical Cosmology” **1**, 276 (2008).
- [51] Y. Baryshev, Grav. **2**, 69 (1996).
- [52] N. Straumann, arXiv:astro-ph/0006423.
- [53] T. Padmanabhan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **17**, 367 (2008).
- [54] Y. Baryshev, “New Possibilities for Observational Distinction Between Geometrical and Field Gravity Theories”, in Proc. Int. Conf. “Problems of Practical Cosmology” **1**, 276 (2008).
- [55] Y. Baryshev, Universe **6**, 11, 212 (2020).
- [56] A. Koenigstein, F. Giacosa and D. H. Rischke, Annals Phys. **368**, 16 (2016).
- [57] W. C. Santos, arXiv:1905.08113.
- [58] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (2014).
- [59] N. Straumann, *General Relativity*, Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany (2013).
- [60] S. Shapiro, J. L. Davis, D. E. Lebach and J. S. Gregory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 121101 (2004).
- [61] I. Caiazzo *et al.*, Nature **595**, 39 (2021).
- [62] V. Doroshenko, V. Suleimanov, G. Pühlhofer and A. Santangelo, Nature Astron. **6**, 1444 (2022).