Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

arXiv:2303.09864v2 [hep-ph] 21 Feb 2024

Reduction of two-loop Feynman integrals in parametric representation with syzygy trick

Hongbin Wang *

Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, P. R. China

Abstract: Reduction of high-loop Feynman integrals is one of the main tasks in scatting amplitude. In this paper, a new representation of Feynman integrals proposed by Chen in [1, 2] is considered. We combined Chen's method with "syzygy" trick to simplify the IBP relations, and successfully canceled the dimensional shift and the unwanted doubled propagators. Moreover, we improved the method to deal with tensor's structure. We demonstrated our method using three two-loop integrals to show our method, and presented the analytical reduction coefficients in the top-sector.

Keywords: Amplitudes, Feynman integrals, loop reduction .

*Emails: 21836003@zju.edu.cn

Contents

1. Introduction **2**

2. Review of Chen's method **3**

3. The improved IBP relations with syzygy method **7**

4. Example 1: massive sunset with different mass **10**

4.1 Scalar's case 10

4.1.1 The example: $I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1)$ 12

4.1.2 The example: $I_3^{0,0}(2,2,1)$ 13

4.1.3 The scalar's reduction with general power 13

4.2 Tensor's case 13

4.2.1 The example: $I_3^{(1,0)}(1,1,1)$ 14

4.2.2 The example: $I_3^{(1,1)}(1,1,1)$ 15

4.2.3 The example: $I_3^{(1,0)}(2,1,1)$ 17

5. Example 2: double triangle diagram **18**

5.1 Scalar's case 18

5.1.1 The example: $I_5^{(0,0)}(2,1,1,1,1)$ 19

5.1.2 The example: $I_5^{(0,0)}(3,1,1,1,1)$ 20

5.1.3 The scalar's reduction with general power 20

5.2 Tensor's case 20

5.2.1 The example: $I_5^{(1,0)}(1,1,1,1,1)$ 20

5.2.2 The example: $I_5^{(1,1)}(1,1,1,1,1)$ (to be checked) 21

6. Example 3: Topology F in [3] **22**

6.1 Scalar's case 23

6.1.1 The example: $I_7^{(0,0)}(2,1,2,1,1,1,1)$ 24

6.2 The tensor's case 24

6.2.1 The example: $I_7^{(1,0)}(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$ 24

7. Conclusion **25**

A. The syzygy generators of sunset's case **26**

B. The coefficients of sunset **28**
B.1 The analytical coefficients of sunset example: $I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1)$ 28
B.2 The analytical coefficients of sunset example: $I_3^{(0,0)}(2,2,1)$ 30
B.3 The coefficients of $i(\lambda_0 - 2; 0, 2, 2)$ 31

1. Introduction

The analytic calculation of scatting amplitude for a given process is an interesting and important task. Since the complexity for high-loop calculation, many new and novel technique have been proposed in recent years. The most used method is the Integrating-by-Part(IBP) method [4, 5, 6], which reduce the Feynman integrals to the master integrals(MI). However, to get the reduction results, there are usually a great number of IBP relations in practical calculations, which is hard to solve. Finding more efficient reduction methods becomes an important direction. One way to calculate and reduce the Feynman integrals is to establish representation in other space rather than the traditional momentum space. There are many well-known representation such as Feynman parametric representation [7, 8] and Baikov representation [9, 10].

In recent years, Chen proposed a new parametric representation for Feynman integrals [1, 2]. One of the benifit in the new representation is that the number of IBP identities is usually less than he traditional momentum representation. For example, with n propagators the number of IBP relations in Chen's form is $n+1$. However, to find the recursion relation using the IBP identities in the parametric representation, there will naturally be some terms in different spacetime dimensions. Since we usually care about the reduction in the same dimension D, we need to cancel the dimensional shift. The trick to cancel the unwanted terms is known as solving "syzygy" equation [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

In our recent work [18], we consider the Chen's method [1, 2] at one-loop case, when the homogeneous polynomial F is at degree 2. By this fact we proposed a method by adding an antisymmetry matrix $\hat{K}_A$ with many parameters. By choosing the parameters, we are able to cancel the terms with dimension shift in the IBP relation, and at the same time simplified the IBP relations by dropping the integrals with doubled propagators. In this paper, we considered the two-loop case, when the polynomial F is at degree 3. We proposed the syzygy trick in the parametric representation and gave some explicit examples. By the technique, we got the independent IBP relations which does not contain the dimensional shift and the unwanted terms with higher power for propagators. Further more, we considered the tensor's case. After giving a brief review of the tensor's case in Chen's parametric representation, we gave some examples to show the "syzygy" trick in reduction of tensor's integrals.

The plan of this paper is following. In section 2, we gave a brief review of Chen's parametric representation of Feynman integrals in scalar's and tensor's cases. In section 3, we show how we improved the IBP recurrence relation to cancel the dimensional shift and simplify the IBP relations in both scalar's and

tensor's case. By the improved IBP identities, in section 4, 5, and 6, we gave three different examples to show our method and compared it with others. Since the analytic expressions for various quantities are long, some of them have been presented in the Appendix.

2. Review of Chen's method

In this section, we will briefly discuss the method proposed in [1, 2] by Chen. This method depends crucially on a different parametrization form, which is obtained by adding one more integral variable over the familiar Feynman parametrization. For example, for the scalar integrals

$$I(L;\lambda_1+1,\cdots,\lambda_n+1)=\int d^Dl_1\cdots d^Dl_L\frac{1}{D_1^{\lambda_1+1}\cdots D_n^{\lambda_n+1}} \tag{2.1}$$

the familiar Feynman parametrization leads to

$$I(L;\lambda_1+1,\cdots,\lambda_n+1)=(-i)^{n+\lambda-\frac{DL}{2}}\Gamma(n+\lambda-\frac{DL}{2})\int dx_1\cdots dx_n\delta(\sum_{j\in S}x_j-1)U^{\lambda_u}f^{\lambda_f}x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U(x)&=Det\ A, \qquad f(x)=-V(x)+U(x)\sum m_i^2x_i \\ \lambda&=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i, \quad \lambda_u=n+\lambda-\frac{D}{2}(L+1), \quad \lambda_f=-n-\lambda+\frac{DL}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and $U(x), V(x)$ are defined through

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i^L\alpha_iD_i&=\sum_{i,j}^LA_{ij}l_i\cdot l_j+2\sum_{i=1}^LB_i\cdot l_i+C \\ C-\sum A_{ij}^{-1}B_i\cdot B_j&\equiv\frac{V(\alpha)}{U(\alpha)}-\sum m_i^2\alpha_i \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

To go to Chen's parametrization, we use the Mellin transformation

$$A^{\lambda_1}B^{\lambda_2}=\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}{\Gamma(-\lambda_1)\Gamma(-\lambda_2)}\int_0^\infty dx(A+Bx)^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}x^{-\lambda_2-1} \tag{2.5}$$

to rewrite (2.2) as [1, 2] [1]

$$I[\lambda_1+1,\cdots,\lambda_n+1]=(-1)^{n+\lambda}i^L\pi^{\frac{LD}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\Pi_{i=1}^{n+1}\Gamma(\lambda_i+1)}i[\lambda_0;\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n] \quad \text{[defI]} \tag{2.6}$$

[1] Notice that the λ_i is different from the power in the integral in (2.1).

with [2]

$$\lambda_0 = -\frac{D}{2}, \quad \lambda \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i, \quad \lambda_{n+1} = -n-1-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda_i + \frac{LD}{2}, \quad F = Ux_{n+1} + f$$

$$i[\lambda_0;\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n] \equiv \int d\Pi^{(n+1)} F^{\lambda_0} x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}}, \quad d\Pi^{(n+1)} \equiv dx_1\cdots dx_{n+1}\delta(\sum_{i\in S} x_i - 1) \qquad \text{[pinl]} \quad (2.7)$$

Here S is an arbitrary non-trivial subset of $\{1,2,\cdots n\}$. Notice the λ_0 is the dimensional regularization parameter with the space-time dimension D.

To deal with tensor integrals

$$I[\lambda_0;\lambda_1+1,\cdots,\lambda_n+1]^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_m} \equiv \int d^Dl_1\cdots d^Dl_L \frac{l_{i_1}^{\mu_1}\cdots l_{i_m}^{\mu_m}}{D_1^{\lambda_1+1}\cdots D_n^{\lambda_n+1}} \qquad \text{[tendef]} \quad (2.8)$$

we could use the identity

$$l_{i_1}^{\mu_1} l_{i_2}^{\mu_2}\cdots l_{i_m}^{\mu_m} = \frac{i(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)}\Big\{\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_1,\mu_1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_2,\mu_2}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_m,\mu_m}}\int_0^\infty dy \exp[-iy(1+\sum_{i=1}^{L} q_i\cdot l_i)]\Big\}|_{q_i^\mu\to 0} \quad (2.9)$$

to rewrite the (2.8) as

$$I[\lambda_0;\lambda_1+1,\cdots\lambda_n+1]^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_m}$$
$$= \frac{i(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_1,\mu_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_m,\mu_m}}\int\frac{d^Dl_1\cdots d^Dl_L}{D_1^{\lambda_1+1}\cdots D_n^{\lambda_n+1}}\int_0^\infty dy\exp[-iy(1+\sum_{i=1}^{L} q_i\cdot l_i)]|_{q_i^\mu\to 0} \qquad \text{[tensor1]} \quad (2.10)$$

The integration of y can be carried out to give $\frac{1}{D_0}$ with $D_0 = 1+\sum_{i=1}^{m} q_i\cdot l_i$. In other words, the $\int dy$ can be interpreted as the Schwinger parametrization of $\frac{1}{D_0}$. Doing the Schwinger parametrization for other $D_i, i = 1,...,n$, it becomes the scalar integrals discussed in (2.1) and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_iD_i - yD_0 \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^{L} A_{ij}l_i\cdot l_j + 2\sum_{i=1}^{L}\widetilde{B}_i\cdot l_i + \widetilde{C}, \quad \widetilde{B}_i = B_i - \frac{y}{2}q_i, \quad \widetilde{C} = C - y \quad (2.11)$$

for which we can read out

$$U(x) = Det[A], \quad f(q,y) = U(\widetilde{B}^TA^{-1}\widetilde{B} - \widetilde{C}), \quad F(q,y) = x_{n+1}U + f(q,y) \quad (2.12)$$

After doing the integration over l_1 to l_L we arrive

$$I[\lambda_0;\lambda_1+1,\cdots,\lambda_n+1]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m} = (-1)^{n+\lambda+1}i^L\pi^{\frac{LD}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\Pi_{i=1}^{n}\Gamma(\lambda_i+1)\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})}i[\lambda_0;\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m} \qquad \text{[Itpi]} \quad (2.13)$$

[2] Here the F is given by $F = U(B^TA^{-1}B - C + x_{n+1})$, with the A, B and C are given in (2.4) .

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i[\lambda_0;\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m} \\
&\equiv \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_1,\mu_1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_2,\mu_2}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_m,\mu_m}}\int dx_1\cdots dx_{n+1}dy\delta(\sum_{j\in S}x_j-1)F(q,y)^{\lambda_0}x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}-1}|_{q_i\to 0} \\
&= \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_1,\mu_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_m,\mu_m}}\int d\Pi^{(n+1)}\int_0^\infty dy\ F(q,y)^{\lambda_0}x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}-1}|_{q_i\to 0} \quad \text{[tensor2]} \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is worth noticing that here we have totally $n+1$ propagators, so there is a factor $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})}$ not $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1}+1)}$ which fixing the power of x_{n+1} with the definition of λ_{n+1} as $\lambda_{n+1}\equiv -(n+1)-\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i+\frac{LD}{2}$. To continue, we decompose the function $F(q,y)$ into the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(q,y) &= F(0,0)+yU-yU\sum_{i,j=1}^L[A_{ij}^{-1}B_i\cdot q_j]|_{y=0}+\frac{1}{4}y^2U\sum_{i,j=1}^L[A_{ij}^{-1}q_i\cdot q_j]|_{y=0} \\
&= F(0,0)+yU+y\sum_{=1}^L b_i\cdot q_i+\sum_{i,j}^L c_{ij}y^2q_i\cdot q_j \qquad (2.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(0,0)$ is the same one in (2.7) and b and c are polynomials of x's

$$
b_i=-\sum_{i=1}^L -UA_{ij}^{-1}\widetilde{B}_j|_{y=0}=-\sum_{i=1}^L UA_{ij}^{-1}B_j, \qquad c_{ij}=\frac{1}{4}UA_{ij}^{-1}|_{y=0}=\frac{1}{4}UA_{ij}^{-1} \quad \text{[b,c]} \qquad (2.16)
$$

Since the q dependence has been explicitly written done in (2.15), carrying out the differential operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{i_j,\mu_j}}$ in (2.14) we arrive following sum

$$
\sum_{n_0=\lambda_0-m}^{\lambda_0}\sum_{i_t}c[n_0]_{i_1,\cdots,i_n}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}\int d\Pi^{(n+1)}\int_0^\infty dy\Big\{F(0,0)+yU\Big\}^{n_0}y^{\delta_y}x_1^{\lambda_1+i_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n+i_n}x_{n+1}^{\lambda n+1-1} \quad \text{[three]} \qquad (2.17)
$$

where the sum over all possible choices of i_t with $t=1,...,n$ such that

$$
i_t\geq 0, \qquad \sum_{t=1}^n i_t=(\lambda_0-n_0)(1+L)-m \qquad (2.18)
$$

The coefficients $c[n_0]_{i_1,\cdots,i_n}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}$ can be worked out although expressions are little complicated. Another point is that although the sum is up to λ_0, one can check that with $n_0>\frac{2\lambda_0-m}{2}$ $c[n_0]$ is always zero by the degree of q_is.

Recalling the $F(0,0)$ given in (2.7), we can combine $x_{n+1}+y=\widetilde{x}_{n+1}$ or do the replacement

$$
x_{n+1}\to x_{n+1}-y \qquad (2.19)
$$

and the (2.17) becomes to

$$
\frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)}\sum_{\substack{n_0=\lambda_0-m\\ i_1+\cdots i_n+m\\ =(\lambda_0-n_0)(L+1)}}^{\lambda_0}c[n_0]_{i_1,\cdots,i_n}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}\int d\Pi^{(n+1)}\int dy\Big\{F(0,0)\Big\}^{n_0}\times y^{\delta_y}x_1^{\lambda_1+i_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n+i_n}(x_{n+1}-y)^{\lambda_{n+1}-1} \quad \text{[tensor4]} \qquad (2.20)
$$

Using

$$\int dy y^{\delta_y}(x_{n+1}-y)^{\lambda_{n+1}-1} = \frac{x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+\delta_y}\Gamma(1+\delta_y)\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})}{\Gamma(1+\delta_y+\lambda_{n+1})} \tag{2.21}$$

we got

$$\frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)} \sum_{\substack{n_0=\lambda_0-m \\ i_1+\cdots i_n+m \\ =(\lambda_0-n_0)(L+1)}}^{\lambda_0} c[n_0]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}_{i_1,\cdots,i_n} \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta_y)\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})}{\Gamma(1+\delta_y+\lambda_{n+1})} \int d\Pi^{(n+1)} F(0,0)^{n_0} x_1^{\lambda_1+i_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n+i_n} x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+\delta_y}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^m}{\Gamma(m+1)} \sum_{\substack{n_0=\lambda_0-m \\ i_1+\cdots i_n+m \\ =(\lambda_0-n_0)(L+1)}}^{\lambda_0} c[n_0]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}_{i_1,\cdots,i_n} \frac{\Gamma(1+\delta_y)\Gamma(\lambda_{n+1})}{\Gamma(1+\delta_y+\lambda_{n+1})} i[n_0;\lambda_1+i_1,\cdots,\lambda_n+i_n] \quad {}^{[\text{sum}]} \tag{2.22}$$

Putting the result (2.22) back to (2.13), we have

$$I[\lambda_0,\lambda_1+1,\cdots,\lambda_n+1]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m} = (-1)^{n+\lambda+m} i^{L+1}\pi^{\frac{LD}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\Pi_{i=1}^n\Gamma(\lambda_i+1)}$$
$$\times \sum_{\substack{n_0=\lambda_0-m \\ i_1+\cdots i_n+m \\ =(\lambda_0-n_0)(L+1)}}^{\lambda_0} c[n_0]^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_m}_{i_1,\cdots,i_n} \frac{1}{\Gamma(m+\lambda_{n+1}+1)} i[n_0;\lambda_1+i_1,\cdots,\lambda_n+i_n] \quad (2.23)^{[\text{sumI}]}$$

where we have used the fact that after taking the differential operators and setting the limit of $q_i \to 0$, $\delta_y = m$.

To demonstrate the usage of (2.23), let us present two examples for tensor one-loop bubbles. Let us start with the tensor rank one, i.e.,

$$I_2(n_1+1,n_2+1)^\mu = \int d^D l \frac{l^\mu}{(l^2-m_1^2)^{n_1+1}((l-p)^2-m_2^2)^{n_2+1}}$$
$$= (-1)^{2+n_1+n_2} i\pi^{\frac{D}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\Gamma(n_1+1)\Gamma(n_2+1)\Gamma(\lambda_3)} i[\lambda_0;n_1,n_2]^\mu \quad {}^{[\text{I2111}]} \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$i[\lambda_0;n_1,n_2]^\mu = \frac{-1}{\Gamma(2)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1,\mu}} \int d\Pi^{(3)} \int_0^\infty dy F(q,y)^{\lambda_0} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} x_3^{\lambda_3-1}|_{q_1\to 0} \quad {}^{[\text{bubblen1n2}]} \tag{2.25}$$

with the polynomial $F(q,y)$

$$F(q,y) = -p^2 x_1 x_2 + m_1^2 x_1(x_1+x_2) + m_2^2 x_2(x_1+x_2) + (x_1+x_2)x_3 + (x_1+x_2+p\cdot q_1 x_2)y + \frac{q_1^2 y^2}{4} \tag{2.26}$$

Carrying out $\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1,\mu}}$ the (2.25) becomes to

$$i[\lambda_0;n_1,n_2]^\mu = \frac{-\Gamma(\lambda_3)p^\mu\lambda_0}{\Gamma(2+\lambda_3)} i[\lambda_0-1;n_1,n_2+1] \quad {}^{[\text{exab}]} \tag{2.27}$$

with $c[\lambda_0-1]^{\mu}_{0,1}=-\lambda_0 p^\mu$, thus

$$I_2[n_1+1,n_2+1]^\mu=(-1)^{n_1+n_2}\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)\lambda_0 p^\mu}{\Gamma(n_1+1)\Gamma(n_2+1)\Gamma(2+\lambda_3)}i[\lambda_0-1;n_1,n_2+1] \tag{2.28}$$

For bubbles with tensor rank two, by (2.10) we have

$$I_2[n_1+1,n_2+1]^{\mu\nu}=(-1)^{2+n_1+n_2}i\pi^{\frac{D}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\Gamma(n_1)\Gamma(n_2)\Gamma(\lambda_3)}i[n_1,n_2]^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.29}$$

where (by (2.14))

$$i[n_1,n_2]^{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{\Gamma(3)}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1,\mu}}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1,\nu}}\int d\Pi^{(3)}\int_0^\infty dy F(q,y)^{\lambda_0}x_1^{n_1}x_2^{n_2}x_3^{\lambda_3-1}|_{q_1\to 0},\quad \lambda_3=-3-n_1-n_2-2\lambda_0 \tag{2.30}$$

wtih the corresponding function

$$F(q,y)=-p^2x_1x_2+m_1^2x_1(x_1+x_2)+m_2^2x_2(x_1+x_2)+(x_1+x_2)x_3+(x_1+x_2+p\cdot q_1x_2)y+\frac{q_1^2y^2}{4} \tag{2.31}$$

Carrying out the derivative, we get two terms

$$i[n_1,n_2]^{\mu\nu}=\lambda_0(\lambda_0-1)p^\mu p^\nu\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_3)}{\Gamma(\lambda_3+3)}i[\lambda_0-2;n_1,n_2+2]+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 g^{\mu\nu}\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_3)}{\Gamma(\lambda_3+3)}i[\lambda_0-1;n_1,n_2] \tag{2.32}$$

where two coefficients are

$$c[\lambda_0-2]^{\mu\nu}_{0,2}=\lambda_0(\lambda_0-1)p^\mu p^\nu,\quad c[\lambda_0-1]^{\mu\nu}_{0,0}=\frac{1}{2}\lambda_0 g^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.33}$$

with $\lambda_0=-\frac{D}{2}$.

3. The improved IBP relations with syzygy method

Having reduced everything to the integral form (2.7), we can establish the corresponding IBP relations in this frame. It is given by [3]

$$\int d\Pi^{(n+1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left\{F^{\lambda_0}x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+1}\right\}+\delta_{\lambda_i,0}\int d\Pi^{(n)}\left\{F^{\lambda_0}x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+1}\right\}|_{x_i\to 0}=0,\quad i=1,\cdots n+1 \tag{3.1}$$

where the second term is the boundary term which contributes to the sub-topology, i.e. the integral with the propagator D_i having been removed. Notice in the first term the sum of the power of x_i is one more than that in $i[\lambda_0;\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_n]$. There are total $(n+1)$ independent IBP recurrence relations.

Since the action of differential operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ over the function F will produce the integrals in different dimension, which makes the reduction procedure cumbersome, we will use the syzygy trick suggested in [cite Kosower, Zhang etc] [4].(1,2,7,8,9,10,11,12,13)

[3] Notice that in the first term, the power of x_{n+1} has been shifted before the differential, which keeps the degree of the integrand $-n-1$. In general, one can choose arbitary x_i to shift the degree, but for simplicity, we chose to shift the x_{n+1} here.

[4] In [?], Zhang has proposed the method in Baikov represent.

To overcome the difficulties and simplify the reduction procedure.

Let us combining the $n+1$ identities (3.1), with each one multiplied a polynomial factor z_i in degree zero[5]

$$\int d\Pi^{(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big\{ z_i F^{\lambda_0} x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+1} \Big\} + \delta_R = 0 \quad \text{[IBPsyz]} \tag{3.2}$$

Expanding (3.2) we got

$$\int d\Pi^{(n+1)} \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x_i} + \lambda_0 \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} z_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}}{F} + \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\lambda_i z_i}{x_i} + \frac{z_{n+1}}{x_{n+1}} \Big\} F^{\lambda_0} x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+1} + \delta_R = 0 \quad \text{[syz2]} \tag{3.3}$$

with the combined boundary term δ_R [6]

$$\delta_R = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \int d\Pi^{(n)} \Big\{ z_i F^{\lambda_0} x_1^{\lambda_1} x_2^{\lambda_2} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1}+1} \Big\} |_{x_i \to 0} \tag{3.4}$$

Since the second term in (3.3) contains a F in denominator, it will shift the spacetime dimension by two (recalling that $\lambda_0 = -\frac{D}{2}$). In practical calculation we usually need the IBP relation without dimensional shift. To avoid this, we use the trick of "syzygy" in computational algebranic geometry [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17], i.e., choosing the functions z_i. such that[7]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} z_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = BF \quad \text{[2.5]} \tag{3.5}$$

In general, solving the syzygy of the type (3.5) is highly nontrivial. However, since the $F(x)$ is a homogeneous function of x_1 to x_{n+1} of degree $L+1$, there is a natural and trivial solution of z_i by the Euler equation for homogeneous function,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = (L+1)F \quad \text{[eu]} \tag{3.6}$$

To keep the degree, we multiply a $\frac{1}{x_{n+1}}$ in both side of (3.6)[8], i.e., the trivial solution $\widetilde{z}_i = \frac{x_i}{x_{n+1}}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \widetilde{z}_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = \frac{L+1}{x_{n+1}} F \tag{3.7}$$

[5]Here we mean the term like $z_1 = \frac{x_{i_1}^{\gamma_1} x_{i_2}^{\gamma_2} \cdots x_{i_k}^{\alpha_k}}{x_{n+1}^{\gamma_1+\gamma_2+\cdots+\alpha_k}}$, for the reason that the integrand of (3.2) must be degree $-n-1$, the factor z_i must keep the degree of the integrand.

[6]Actually in the general case, the parameter λ_{n+1} will never be zero, so the summary could be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ and drop the case when $i = n+1$.

[7]Since the degree of both side is equal, the solution of B is a polynomial of degree -1.

[8]The choice of denominator is free from x_1 to x_{n+1}, but the most natural choice is just x_{n+1}.

With above trivial solution, we can split a general solution z_i as

$$z_i \to z_i + \frac{Bx_{n+1}}{L+1}\widetilde{z}_i \quad \text{[decom]} \tag{3.8}$$

then syzygy can be split to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} z_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tilde{z}_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = BF \quad \text{[2z]} \tag{3.9}$$

Since the $\tilde{z}_i$ part always give the trivial IBP relation $0 = 0$, to find useful IBP reduction relations we just need to find the solution for the first equation in (3.9), which could be solved by SINGULAR in the form of polynomials,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{ji} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad j = 1 \cdots s_k \quad \text{[syzy]} \tag{3.10}$$

The solution is called the syzygy of the polynomial ring of $F[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}, \cdots, \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_{n+1}}]$, where s_k is the number of generators to the syzygy g_i. The g_{ji}s are all homogeneous function of x_1 to x_{n+1}. For two-loop integrals, by our experiences there are usually 10 to 100 independent syzygy generators. Then the z_i could be chosen as

$$z_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s_k} c_j g_{ji} x_{n+1}^{-k_j} \quad \text{[2.11]} \tag{3.11}$$

where the addtional factor $x_{n+1}^{-k_j}$ is to make the z_i degree zero. The c_j could be degree zero rational function of x_i, but for simplicity, one can choose them to be independent of x_i and be the functions of kinematic variables s, t, m_i only. Even with such a simplification, there are still various choices for c_i. As we will show, with some nice choices of c_js, one can get IBP realtions, where there is no terms with increasing power of propagators. This will reduce the complexity of reduction a lot.

When we consider the tensor reduction, there are some differences. First from (2.23), one can see that for the tensor rank m, the values of n_0 can be from $\lambda_0 - m$ to λ_0. In the case $n_0 \neq \lambda_0$, the dimension has been shifted. To rewrite the integrals in different shifted dimension into the original dimension $\lambda_0 = -\frac{D}{2}$, we need to get the IBP relation connecting different dimensions in (3.3). Now the syzygy (3.10) could be modified to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_{ji} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = g_{j0} x_1^{\gamma_1} x_2^{\gamma_2} \cdots x_n^{\gamma_n} x_{n+1}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \quad \text{[tensortrick1]} \tag{3.12}$$

where the role of $x_i^{\gamma_i}$ is to shift the power of propagators to the wanted number. Putting it to (3.10) we will get a recurrence relation where there is one term

$$\lambda_0 g_{j0} \int d\Pi^{(n+1)} F^{\lambda_0 - 1} x_1^{\lambda_1 + \gamma_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n + \gamma_n} x_{n+1}^{\lambda_{n+1} + \gamma_{n+1}}. \tag{3.13}$$

This term corresponds to dimension $(D+2)$ while other terms, dimension D. Now we successfully got a recurrence relation of integrals between dimension D and $D+2$ (or in general, $D+k+2$ to $D+k$). Repeating this procedure, we will finally reduce integrals in dimension parameter n_0 in (2.23) from $n_0 = \lambda_0 - m$ to λ_0.

4. Example 1: massive sunset with different mass

Having laid out our general strategy, starting from this section, we will present various examples to demonstrate the method. In this section, we will consider the simplest two-loop example, i.e., the sunset topology. The integrals can be written as

$$I_3^{(r_1,r_2)}(n_1,n_2,n_3) \equiv \int d^D\ell_1 d^D\ell_2 \frac{\ell_1^{\mu_1}...\ell_1^{\mu_{r_1}}\ell_2^{\nu_1}...\ell_2^{\nu_{r_2}}}{(D_1^{n_1})(D_2^{n_2})(D_3^{n_3})} \quad \text{[sunset-1]} \tag{4.1}$$

with the propagators

$$D_1 = \ell_1^2 - m_1^2, \quad D_2 = \ell_2^2 - m_2^2, \quad D_3 = (\ell_1+\ell_2-p)^2 - m_3^2 \quad \text{[sunset-2]} \tag{4.2}$$

The corresponding functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1+x_3 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_2+x_3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 p \\ -x_3 p \end{bmatrix} \qquad C = -m_1^2 x_1 - m_2^2 x_2 + (p^2 - m_3^2)x_3 \\ U(x) &= Det[\hat{A}] = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \\ F(x) &= U(x)x_4 + f(x) \\ &= m_1^2 x_1(x_1(x_2+x_3)+x_2x_3) + m_2^2 x_2(x_1(x_2+x_3)+x_2x_3) \\ &\quad + m_3^2 x_1x_2x_3 + m_3^2 x_1x_3^2 + m_3^2 x_2x_3^2 - p^2 x_1x_2x_3 + x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3x_4 + x_2x_3x_4 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

In the following discussion, we will consider the reduction of several situations respectively, where for simplicity we always choose the following seven scalar integrals as the basis

$$I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,1),\ I_3^{(0,0)}(1,2,1),\ I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,2),\ I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,1),\ I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,0),\ I_3^{(0,0)}(1,0,1),\ I_3^{(0,0)}(0,1,1) \quad \text{[massun]} \tag{4.4}$$

4.1 Scalar's case

Let us consider the reduction of scalar integrals first, i.e., $r_1 = r_2 = 0$. By SINGULAR, we solved the syzygy equations (3.5) in lexicographical (lp) ordering directly. There are ten generators, which are too long to write here, so we will put them in the appendix A. Each generator has five components, i.e., four z_i's and the last one, B in (3.5). One of them is

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 = \Big\{ & 0, 0, sx_3^2, m_1^4x_1^2 + m_2^4x_2^2 + m_3^4x_3^2 - m_3^2sx_3^2 + 2m_3^2x_3 + x_4^2 + 2m_2^2x_2(m_3^2x_3+x_4) \\ & + 2m_1^2x_1(m_2^2x_2 + m_3^2x_3 + x_4), -m_1^2x_1 - m_2^2x_2 - m_3^2x_3 - sx_3 - x_4 \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Since the first nine are degree two and the last one, degree one, we write $z_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{9} c_j g_{ji}}{x_4^2} + \frac{c_{10} g_{10,i}}{x_4}$ as in the (3.11), and the obtained IBP relations without dimensional shift are

$$\Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i^{++}} i^{++} + \sum_{i,j=1;i\neq j}^{3} c_{i^+j^+} i^+ j^+ + \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{i^+} i^+ + \sum_{i,j=1;i\neq j}^{3} c_{i^{++}j^-} i^{++} j^- + \sum_{i,j=1;i\neq j}^{3} c_{i^+j^-} i^+ j^- + \sum_{i,j,k=1;i\neq j\neq k}^{3} c_{i^+j^+k^-} i^+ j^+ k^- + c_{0,0,0} \Big\} i[\lambda_0; n_1, n_2, n_3] + \delta_{bound} = 0 \quad {}^{[3.6]} \tag{4.6}$$

We can rewrite (4.6) as

$$\sum C^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{n_1,n_2,n_3} i[n_1 + i_1, n_2 + i_2, n_3 + i_3] + \delta_{bound} = 0 \qquad {}^{[\mathrm{Ci1}]} \tag{4.7}$$

where the coefficients C's are defined by, for example,

$$c_{1^{++}} 1^{++} i[\lambda_0; n_1, n_2, n_3] = c_{1^{++}} i[\lambda_0; n_1 + 2, n_2, n_3] \equiv C^{2,0,0}_{(n_1,n_2,n_3)} i[\lambda_0; n_1 + 2, n_2, n_3] \tag{4.8}$$

Using the explicit expression of g_i we can find[9]

$$\begin{aligned} C^{2,0,0}_{n_1,n_2,n_3} = {} & \frac{1}{2} m_1^2 (m_1^4 (c_2 - c_6) + s(-5m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s) c_6 \\ & + m_1^2 (c_1 - s c_2 + 5 m_2^2 c_6 - 3 m_3^2 c_6))(3D - 2(5 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3)) \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

here c_1 to c_9 are free parameters. Since the coefficients are too long to write here, we put them in the appendix.

Now we discuss the choice of free parameters c_i. From the expression of (4.6), we see that the allowed values of $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ in (4.7) are given by the union of following six types of values

$$\begin{aligned} & A_1 = \cup_{Permutation}\{2,0,0\}, \quad A_2 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,1,0\}, \quad A_3 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,0,0\} \cup \{0,0,0\}, \\ & A_4 = \cup_{Permutation}\{2,-1,0\}, \quad A_5 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,-1,0\}, \quad A_6 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,1,-1\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Among these six groups, we see that A_1 and A_2 shift the total power of propagators by two. For the A_4 term, although the shift of total power is just one, but the power of one propagator has been shifted by two. We could choose x_i to simplify the IBP relation (4.7) by removing as many as possible groups with shifting total power. For example, there is a solution of c_i's such that

$$\forall \{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \in A_1 \cup A_4 \cup A_5 \cup \{\{1,0,1\}, \{0,1,1\}\}, \quad C^{i_1,i_2,i_3}_{n_1,n_2,n_3} = 0 \quad {}^{[\mathrm{par110}]} \tag{4.11}$$

Taking the solution in (4.11), we got the simplified IBP relation

$$c_{1,1,0}\; i[\lambda_0, n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1, n_3] + \sum_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\} \in A_3 \cup A_6} c_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}} i[\lambda_0, n_1 + i_1, n_2 + i_2, n_3 + i_3] + \delta_{1,1,0} = 0 \quad {}^{[\mathrm{ibpaft}]} \tag{4.12}$$

[9] One can see that the g_{10} is the trivial solution (3.6) and the corresponding coefficient c_{10} will not appear in (4.7). Thus it supports our claim that trivial solution will not contribute to nontrivial IBP relation.

Notice that in the relation (4.12), only the first term shifts total power by two, and all others shift the total power at most by one. By this relation, we could reduce $i[\lambda_0, i_1+1, i_2+1, i_3]$ to simpler integrals. Iterating the procedure we will get the complete reduction result. Similarly, by different choices of the free parameters c_1 to c_9, we could get

$$c_{2,0,0}i[\lambda_0, n_1+2, n_2, n_3] + \sum_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}\in A_3\cup A_6} c_{i_1,i_2,i_3}i[\lambda_0, n_1+i_1, n_2+i_2, n_3+i_3] + \delta_{2,0,0} = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

$$c_{0,2,0}i[\lambda_0, n_1, n_2+2, n_3] + \sum_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}\in A_3\cup A_6} c_{i_1,i_2,i_3}i[\lambda_0, n_1+i_1, n_2+i_2, n_3+i_3] + \delta_{0,2,0} = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

$$c_{0,0,2}i[\lambda_0, n_1, n_2, n_3+2] + \sum_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}\in A_3\cup A_6} c_{i_1,i_2,i_3}i[\lambda_0, n_1+i_1, n_2+i_2, n_3+i_3] + \delta_{0,0,2} = 0 \quad (4.15)$$

$$c_{1,0,1}i[\lambda_0, n_1+1, n_2, n_3+1] + \sum_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}\in A_3\cup A_6} c_{i_1,i_2,i_3}i[\lambda_0, n_1+i_1, n_2+i_2, n_3+i_3] + \delta_{1,0,1} = 0 \quad (4.16)$$

$$c_{0,1,1}i[\lambda_0, n_1, n_2+1, n_3+1] + \sum_{\{i_1,i_2,i_3\}\in A_3\cup A_6} c_{i_1,i_2,i_3}i[\lambda_0, n_1+i_1, n_2+i_2, n_3+i_3] + \delta_{0,1,1} = 0 \quad (4.17)$$

[ibpafter]

Using above six independent IBP relations, one can quickly reduce the power of propagators to the basis (4.4)[10]. The boundary terms only contribute to the sub-topology, which is the diagram removed by a inner line. We gave the analytic coefficients in the attached files.

4.1.1 The example: $I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1)$

To get the results of $I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1)$ we need to reduce $i[\lambda_0; 2, 0, 0]$. We could set $n_1 = 0$, $n_2 = 0$ and $n_3 = 0$ in the (4.13), and we will get a relation [11]

$$i[\lambda_0; 2, 0, 0] = c_{\{0,0,0\}}i[\lambda_0; 0, 0, 0] + c_{\{1,0,0\}}i[\lambda_0; 1, 0, 0] + c_{\{0,1,0\}}i[\lambda_0; 0, 1, 0] + c_{\{0,0,1\}}i[\lambda_0; 0, 0, 1] + \delta_{boundterm} \quad (4.18)$$

which is the wanted reduction result already. To translate this result to the familiar master integrals, we need to use the correspondence between $i[\lambda_0, n_1, n_2, n_3]$ and $I_3(n_1+1, n_2+1, n_3+1)$ as given (2.6), thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1) = {} & c_{311\to211}I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,1) + c_{311\to121}I_3^{(0,0)}(1,2,1) + c_{311\to112}I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,2) \\ & + c_{311\to111}I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,1) + \cdots \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

with the coefficients given in the Appendix A. The boundary part is just the product of two one-loop tadpoles and the corresponding reductions have been discussed in [cite previous work], thus we can write down the expressions directly. The coefficients $c_{311\to211}$, $c_{311\to121}$, $c_{311\to112}$, $c_{311\to111}$ are confirmed with the FIRE6. One nice point of our method is that to give the reduction results of the top-sector, we only need to use the simplified IBP relation (4.17) once.

[10] Notice that the boundary term is different from each other. Since we chose different parameters c_1 to c_9 in each relation, the z_1 to z_8 are also different.

[11] When $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 0$, the coefficients c_{i_1,i_2,i_3} with $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ in A_4 and A_5 are zero automatically.

4.1.2 The example: $I_3^{0,0}(2,2,1)$

In this case, we could set $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 0$ in (4.12), and we have the solution

$$i[\lambda_0,1,1,0] = c_{\{1,0,0\}} i[\lambda_0,1,0,0] + c_{\{0,1,0\}} i[\lambda_0,0,1,0] + c_{\{0,0,1\}} i[\lambda_0,0,0,1] + c_{\{0,0,0\}} i[\lambda_0,0,0,0] + \cdots (4.20)$$

Compared to the definition of I_3 in (2.6), we have

$$I_3(2,2,1) = c_{221\to211} I_3(2,1,1) + c_{221\to121} I_3(1,2,1) + c_{221\to112} I_3(1,1,2) + c_{221\to111} I_3(1,1,1) + \cdots `(4.21)$$

Again, to successed reduce the top-sector to the master integrals, we need to use (4.12) only once. The coefficients which given in the appendix are confirmed with FIRE6.

4.1.3 The scalar's reduction with general power

For the general powers, we could use the recurrence relation (4.12) to (4.17) to lower the total power until we reduce the top-sector to the master integrals and get the analytic coefficients. For the boundary part, again we can use discussions in previous work to solve them [cite one-loop work]. Depending on different situations, we should choose different recurrence relations to simplify reduction procedure:

- When One propagator has the larger power than the others, for example $n_1 > n_2, n_3$, we should use (4.13) to lower n_1 to $(n_1 - 2)$ (similarly using (4.14) or (4.15) to lower n_2, n_3).
- When at least two propagator have the larger power, for example $n_1 = n_2 \geq n_3$, we should use (4.12) to lower n_1, n_2 to $(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$ (and similarly using (4.16) and (4.17))

According to above procedure, iteratively using (4.12) to (4.17), we will eventually get all the reduction coefficients to the master integrals.

4.2 Tensor's case

Now we consider the massive sunset with nontrivial tensor structures

$$I_3^{(r_1,r_2)}(n_1,n_2,n_3) = \int d^D l_1 d^D l_2 \frac{l_1^{\mu_1}\cdots l_1^{\mu_{r_1}} l_2^{\nu_1}\cdots l_2^{\nu_{r_2}}}{D_1^{n_1+1} D_2^{n_2+1} D_3^{n_3+1}} \quad (4.22)$$

The function $F(q,y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(q,y) = & -x_1x_2x_3p^2 + (p\cdot q_2 x_1 + p\cdot q_1 x_2)x_3 y + m_1^2 x_1(x_1(x_2+x_3) \\ & + x_2x_3) + \frac{1}{4}\Big(4m_2^2 x_2(x_1(x_2+x_3)+x_2x_3) + 4m_3^2 x_3(x_1(x_2+x_3)+x_2x_3) \\ & + q_1^2 x_2 y^2 + q_1^2 x_3 y^2 - 2q_1q_2x_3y^2 + q_2^2 x_1 y^2 + q_2^2 x_3 y^2 + 4x_1x_2x_4 + 4x_1x_3x_4 + 4x_2x_3x_4\Big) \quad (4.23) \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we choose the scalar basis same as (4.4).

4.2.1 The example: $I_3^{(1,0)}(1,1,1)$

For this case, using (2.23) we find

$$i[\lambda_0,0,0,0]^{\mu_1} = \frac{-\lambda_0 p^{\mu_1}}{-3+\frac{3D}{2}} i[\lambda_0-1;0,1,1] \quad {}^{[322]} \tag{4.24}$$

where the $i[\lambda_0-1,0,1,1]$ contributes to the sunset in dimension $D+2$. To get the result of reduction in the dimension D, we need to reduce the $i[\lambda_0-1,0,1,1]$ to the $i[\lambda_0,\cdots]$. Different from the proposal given in [cite chen], we use the method discussed in (3.12), i.e., imposing

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} g_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = g_0 x_2 x_3 x_4^{\alpha} \quad {}^{[234a]} \tag{4.25}$$

One point worth to explain is that to produce $i[\lambda_0-1;0,1,1]$ at the right hand side of (4.24), we must require the right hand side of (4.25) to be the form $g x_2 x_3$ where g is only the function of x_4. By SINGULAR, we could find the solution with the choice of $\alpha=1$ and g_0 independent of x_i. There are totally ten generators of the syzygy in lexicographical (lp) ordering and one of them is

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= (4s-2m_1^2-2m_2^2-2m_3^2)x_1+(-3m_2^2)x_2-3m_3^2x_3, \quad g_2=(-2s-2m_1^2+m_2^2+4m_3^2)x_2+3m_3^2x_3\\
g_3 &= 3m_2^2x_2+(-2s-2m_1^2+4m_2^2+m_3^2)x_3\\
g_4 &= (-6sm_1^2+6m_1^4)x_1+(3sm_2^2+9m_1^2m_2^2-3m_2^4-9m_2^2m_3^2)x_2\\
&\quad +(3sm_3^2+9m_1^2m_3^2-9m_2^2m_3^2-3m_3^4)x_3+(-2s+4m_1^2-2m_2^2-2m_3^2)x_4, \quad g_0=-6s \quad {}^{[\text{tensorsunsetge}]}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Since each of the generators from g_1 to g_4 has degree one, we could set $z_j=\frac{g_j}{x_4}, j=1,\cdots,4$ and $z_0=\frac{g_0}{x_4}$. Taking the z_j into (3.3) with $\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\lambda_3=0$, we got the recurrence relations between dimension $D+2$ to dimension D as

$$\begin{aligned}
&3\Big(-2(D-3)m_1^2+(D-3)m_2^2+Dm_3^2+Ds-3m_3^2-2s\Big)i[\lambda_0,0,0,0]\\
&+\frac{3}{2}(3D-8)m_3^2\Big(-3m_1^2+3m_2^2+m_3^2-s\Big)i[\lambda_0,0,0,1]+\frac{3}{2}(3D-8)m_2^2\Big(-3m_1^2+m_2^2+3m_3^2-s\Big)i[\lambda_0,0,1,0]\\
&-3(3D-8)m_1^2\Big(m_1^2-s\Big)i[\lambda_0,1,0,0]-3Dsi[\lambda_0-1,0,1,1]+\text{bound}=0
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

with the boundary term

$$\text{bound}=3\Big(m_2^2(i[\lambda_0,-1,1,0]-i[\lambda_0,0,1,-1]+m_3^2(i[\lambda_0,-1,0,1]-i[\lambda_0,0,-1,1])\Big) \tag{4.28}$$

Solving the $i[\lambda_0-1;0,1,1]$, we got

$$\begin{aligned}
&i[\lambda_0-1,0,1,1]\\
=&\frac{1}{Ds}(-2(D-3)m_1^2+(D-3)m_2^2+Dm_3^2+Ds-3m_3^2-2s)i[\lambda_0,0,0,0]\\
&+\frac{(3D-8)m_3^2\Big(-3m_1^2+3m_2^2+m_3^2-s\Big)}{2Ds}i[\lambda_0,0,0,1]+\frac{(3D-8)m_2^2\Big(-3m_1^2+m_2^2+3m_3^2-s\Big)}{2Ds}i[\lambda_0,0,1,0]\\
&+-\frac{(3D-8)m_1^2\Big(m_1^2-s\Big)}{Ds}i[\lambda_0,1,0,0]+\frac{m_3^2}{Ds}i[\lambda_0,-1,0,1]\\
&-\frac{m_3^2}{Ds}i[\lambda_0,0,-1,1]+\frac{m_2^2}{Ds}i[\lambda_0,-1,1,0]-\frac{m_2^2}{Ds}i[\lambda_0,0,1,-1]\quad{}^{[436]}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

Here the $i[\lambda_0,-1,0,1]$, $i[\lambda_0,0,1,-1]$ and $i[\lambda_0,0,-1,1]]$ come from the boundary terms. Notice that the right hand of the (4.29) all contribute to the basis we chose, which means we got the results of reduction by solving syzygy equation once. Combining (4.29) and (4.24) with (2.6), we got the results of reduction

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3^{(1,0)}(1,1,1)=&\,c_{10\to211}I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,1)+c_{10\to121}I_3^{(1,0)}(1,2,1)+c_{10\to112}I_3^{(1,0)}(1,1,2)\\
&+c_{10\to111}I_3^{(1,0)}(1,1,1)+\cdots
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

with the coefficients (without the boundary terms)

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{10\to211}=&\,\frac{(-8+3D)m_1^2(s-m_1^2)p^{\mu_1}}{3(D-2)s}\\
c_{10\to121}=&\,\frac{(-8+3D)m_2^2(-3m_1^2+m_2^2+3m_3^2-s)p^{\mu_1}}{6(D-2)s}\\
c_{10\to112}=&\,\frac{(-8+3D)m_3^2(-3m_1^2+3m_2^2+m_3^2-s)p^{\mu_1}}{6(D-2)s}\\
c_{10\to111}=&\,\frac{(3D-8)\Big(-2(D-3)m_1^2+(D-3)m_2^2+(D-3)m_3^2+(D-2)s\Big)}{6(D-2)s}p^{\mu_1}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

The coefficients are confirmed with FIRE6 [19]. Here we just got the reduction result of the top-sector. To get the complete results, we need to reduce the boundary terms by repeating the similar procedure above.

4.2.2 The example: $I_3^{(1,1)}(1,1,1)$

Now let us consider a more complex case, i.e., tensor rank $(1,1)$. By directly calculation, we got

$$\begin{aligned}
i[\lambda_0,0,0,0]^{\mu_1\nu_1}=&\,\frac{\lambda_0}{\Gamma(3)}\frac{g^{\mu_1\nu_1}}{(\lambda_4+1)(\lambda_4+2)}\Big\{i[\lambda_0-1;0,1,0]+i[\lambda_0-1;0,0,1]\Big\}\\
&+\frac{\lambda_0(\lambda_0-1)}{\Gamma(3)}\frac{2p^{\mu_1}p^{\nu_1}}{(\lambda_4+1)(\lambda_4+2)}i[\lambda_0-2;0,2,2]\quad{}^{[iuv]}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

The two terms in the first line of (4.32) contribute to the integrals in dimension $D+2$, the last term in the second line contributes to the integral in dimension $D+4$. To reduce $i[\lambda_0-2;0,2,2]$, we could solve $\sum_{j=1}^4 g_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} = g_0 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^\alpha$. Choosing $\alpha=0$, we got one of the generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= 2x_3(m_3^2x_3+x_4)(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))\\
g_2 &= 2m_1^2(-m_2^2(m_3^2(2x_3(x_3-x_1)+3x_2^2+2x_2x_3)+s(2x_1(x_2+x_3)-x_2(9x_2+2x_3))+2x_4(2x_2+x_3))\\
&\quad +m_2^4(x_1+x_2)(x_2-x_3)+s(m_3^2(-2x_1x_3+3x_2^2+4x_2x_3+3x_3^2)-s(x_1(3x_2+x_3)\\
&\quad +x_2(12x_2+7x_3))+3x_4(2x_2+x_3)))+m_1^4(m_2^2(-x_1x_2+3x_1x_3-8x_2^2+3x_2x_3)-2(2x_2+x_3)(m_3^2x_3+x_4)\\
&\quad +s(15x_1x_2+9x_1x_3+15x_2^2+13x_2x_3))+m_1^6(-(6x_1x_2+4x_1x_3+3x_2^2+4x_2x_3))\\
&\quad +4(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)(m_2^2x_2^2+(2x_2+x_3)(m_3^2x_3+x_4)-sx_2(3x_2+2x_3))\\
g_3 &= m_1^4(m_2^2(x_1(x_2-3x_3)+x_3(x_2+4x_3))-2x_3(m_3^2x_3+x_4)-s(15x_1x_2+9x_1x_3+15x_2x_3+x_3^2))\\
&\quad +2m_1^2(m_2^2(m_3^2(-x_3)(2x_1+x_3)+2sx_1(x_2+x_3)+sx_3(2x_2-x_3)-2x_3x_4)\\
&\quad +m_2^4(x_1+x_3)(-(x_2-x_3))+s(2m_3^2x_3(x_1+x_3)+sx_1(3x_2+x_3)+sx_3(3x_2-2x_3)+3x_3x_4))\\
&\quad +m_1^6(6x_1x_2+4x_1x_3+6x_2x_3+x_3^2)-4x_3(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)(m_2^2x_3-m_3^2x_3+sx_3-x_4)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_4 &= -2m_1^2(-m_2^2(m_3^2(x_4(4x_1+3x_2+5x_3)-s(10x_1x_2-2x_1x_3+6x_2^2+23x_2x_3+x_3^2))\\
&\quad +3m_3^4x_3(2x_1+x_2)+s^2(7x_1x_2-3x_1x_3+21x_2^2+13x_2x_3)-sx_4(23x_2\\
&\quad +2x_3)+4x_4^2)-m_2^4(m_3^2(3x_1(x_2-3x_3)+6x_2^2+4x_2x_3+3x_3^2)+s(7x_1x_2+3x_1x_3-20x_2^2\\
&\quad +6x_2x_3)+4x_4(-x_1+2x_2+x_3))+m_2^6(3x_1+x_2)(x_2-x_3)+s(m_3^2(x_4(4x_1+3x_2+10x_3)-3s(x_1(x_2+x_3)\\
&\quad +x_3(3x_2+2x_3)))+3m_3^4x_3(2x_1+x_2+x_3)+3s^2(x_1(x_2+x_3)+4x_2x_3)-sx_4(4x_1+9x_2+4x_3)+6x_4^2))\\
&\quad +m_1^4(m_2^2(m_3^2(5x_1(x_2+x_3)+x_3(14x_2-13x_3))-sx_2(36x_1+15x_2+25x_3)+x_4(8x_1+15x_2-9x_3))\\
&\quad +m_2^4(x_1(x_2-3x_3)+5x_2(3x_2-2x_3))+m_3^2(3s(x_1(3x_2+x_3)+x_3(5x_2-x_3))\\
&\quad +10x_3x_4)+6m_3^4x_3^2+3s^2x_1x_2+15s^2x_1x_3+15s^2x_2x_3-12sx_1x_4-4sx_3x_4+4x_4^2)\\
&\quad +m_1^6(m_2^2(13x_1x_2-3x_1x_3+7x_2x_3)-3m_3^2x_2(2x_1+3x_3)+6sx_1x_2-12sx_1x_3-3sx_2x_3\\
&\quad +4x_1x_4-3x_2x_4+x_3x_4)+3m_1^8x_1(x_3-x_2)-4(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)(-m_2^2(m_3^2x_3(x_3\\
&\quad -5x_2)+2sx_2(3x_2+x_3)-5x_2x_4)+m_2^4x_2(2x_2-x_3)+(m_3^2x_3-sx_3+x_4)(3m_3^2x_3-3sx_2+2x_4))
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
g_0 = 3s(m_1^2-2(m_2^2+s))(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)) \qquad \text{[syzoftensor1]} \quad (4.33)
$$

Taking the (4.33) into (3.3), we reduce the $i[\lambda_0-2;0,2,2]$ to integral in dimension $\lambda_0-1=-\frac{D+2}{2}$. Notice

the λ_0 in (3.3) should be replaced by $\lambda_0 - 1$. We have the result

$$\begin{aligned}
& i[\lambda_0 - 2; 0, 2, 2] \\
= \; & c_{i;022\to 000} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 0, 0] + c_{i;022\to 100} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 1, 0, 0] + c_{i;022\to 010} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 1, 0] + c_{i;022\to 001} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 0, 1] \\
& + c_{i;022\to 110} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 1, 1, 0] + c_{i;022\to 101} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 1, 0, 1] + c_{i;022\to 011} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 1, 1] + c_{i;022\to 200} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 2, 0, 0] \\
& + c_{i;022\to 020} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 2, 0] + c_{i;022\to 002} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 0, 2] + \cdots \qquad {\scriptstyle [334]}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

with the coefficients given in appendix. Now we have reduced the situation to the similar one studies in previous subsubsection (see (4.24)). Using the similar method we can finish the reduction completely.

4.2.3 The example: $I_3^{(1,0)}(2,1,1)$

This example has one tensor structure as well as a high power propagator. Again we have

$$i[\lambda_0; 1, 0, 0]^{\mu_1} = \frac{D}{3D-8} p^{\mu_1} i[\lambda_0 - 1; 1, 1, 1] \quad {\scriptstyle [\text{imu1100}]} \tag{4.35}$$

To reduce the $i[\lambda_0, 1; 1, 1, 1]$, we could use the solution of the syzygy equation (4.26) in the first example. Choosing the same $z_j = \frac{g_j}{x_4}$ and $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ in the recurrence relation (3.3), After some expansion we could get the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_3^{(1,0)}(2,1,1) \\
= \; & c_{t;211\to 211} I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,1) + c_{t;211\to 212} I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,2) + c_{t;211\to 221} I_3^{(0,0)}(2,2,1) \\
& + c_{t;211\to 112} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,2) + c_{t;1,2,1} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,2,1) + c_{t;211\to 311} I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1) + \cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{t;211\to 211} &= \frac{\Big((4-D)m_1^2 + (D-3)m_2^2 + (D-3)m_3^2 + (D-4)s\Big)p^{\mu_1}}{(3D-8)s} \\
c_{t;211\to 212} &= \frac{m_3^2(-3m_1^2 + 3m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s)p^{\mu_1}}{(3D-8)s} \\
c_{t;211\to 221} &= \frac{m_2^2(-3m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 - s)p^{\mu_1}}{(3D-8)s} \\
c_{t;211\to 112} &= \frac{m_3^2 p^{\mu_1}}{(3D-8)s}, \quad c_{t;211\to 121} = \frac{m_2^2 p^{\mu_1}}{(3D-8)s} \\
c_{t;211\to 311} &= \frac{4m_1^2(s - m_1^2)p^{\mu_1}}{(3D-8)s} \quad {\scriptstyle [\text{I310211}]}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Notice that not all of the six basis in the right hand side of (4.37) are the master integrals, and the three terms $I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,2)$, $I_3^{(0,0)}(2,2,1)$, $I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1)$ are still needed to be reduced. After reducing the three terms and adding the boundary terms, we got the final results which confirmed with FIRE6.

Having above examples, we can see that for general integrals with arbitrary tensor structures and arbitrary powers, first we need to use the differential operators (2.14) to get the expression (2.23), where each one is the scalar integrals, but possibly with different dimension. Secondly, we need to shift dimension back by the trick (3.12). After these two steps, we are left with only scalar integrals in the same dimension, but different powers. Now we can use the trick (3.10) to reduce these scalar integrals to the master basis.

5. Example 2: double triangle diagram

Now we consider an more complicated example,

$$I_5^{(r_1,r_2)}(n_1,\cdots n_5) = \int d^D l_1 d^D l_2 \frac{l_1^{\mu_1}\cdots l_1^{\mu_{r_1}} l_2^{\nu_2}\cdots l_1^{\nu_{r_2}}}{D_1^{n_1}\cdots D_5^{n_5}} \tag{5.1}$$

with the propagators

$$D_1 = l_1^2 - m_1^2,\quad D_2 = l_2^2 - m_2^2,\quad D_3 = (l_1+l_2)^2 - m_3^2,\quad D_4 = (l_1-p)^2 - m_4^2,\quad D_5 = (l_2+p)^2 - m_5^2 \tag{5.2}$$

The corresponding functions are

$$\begin{aligned}
F =\ & x_1\Big(x_2\Big(x_3(m_1^2+m_2^2+m_3^2)+x_4(m_1^2+m_4^2-s)+m_2^2x_5+m_5^2x_5-sx_5+x_6\Big)\\
&+x_3\Big(x_5(m_1^2+m_3^2+m_5^2-s)+x_4(m_1^2+m_4^2-s)+x_6\Big)+x_5(x_4(m_1^2+m_4^2-s)\\
&+m_5^2x_5+x_6)+m_2^2x_2^2+m_3^2x_3^2\Big)+m_1^2(x_2+x_3+x_5)x_1^2+x_2\Big(x_3\Big(x_4(m_2^2+m_3^2\\
&+m_4^2-s)+x_5(m_2^2+m_5^2-s)+x_6\Big)+x_4\Big(x_5(m_2^2+m_5^2-s)+m_4^2x_4+x_6\Big)+m_3^2x_3^2\Big)\\
&+m_2^2x_2^2(x_3+x_4)+\Big(x_4x_5+x_3(x_4+x_5)\Big)\Big(m_3^2x_3+m_4^2x_4+m_5^2x_5+x_6\Big)\\
A =\ & \begin{bmatrix} x_1+x_3+x_4 & x_3 \\ x_3 & x_2+x_3+x_5 \end{bmatrix},\quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -px_4 \\ px_5 \end{bmatrix}\\
C =\ & -m_1^2x_1 - m_2^2x_2 - m_3^2x_3 - m_4^2x_4 - m_5^2z_5 + p^2(x_4+x_5) \quad {}^{[4.3]}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

For simplicity, we always choose the scalar basis $I_5^{(0,0)}(1,1,1,1,1)$ as the basis of top-sector in the following calculation.

(5.4)

5.1 Scalar's case

In the scalar's case, i.e., $r_1 = r_2 = 0$, we solved the syzygy equations (3.10) using degrevlex ordering, and got 8 generators of the syzygy with degree one. Using the similar method in sunset's case, we define $z_i \equiv \frac{\sum_{i=1}^8 c_i g_{ji}}{x_6}$ and obtain the following IBP recurrence relation without dimensional shift,

$$\sum_{\{i_1,\cdots,i_5\}\in A_0\cup\cdots\cup A_3} C^{i_1\cdots i_5}_{n_1,\cdots,n_5} i[n_1+i_1,\cdots n_5+i_5] + \delta_{bound} = 0 \tag{5.5}$$

where the four types of the values of $\{i_1, \cdots, i_5\}$ are

$$A_0 = \{0,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_1 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,0,0,0,0\},$$
$$A_2 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,-1,0,0,0\}, \quad A_3 = \cup_{Permutation}\{-1,0,0,0,0\} \quad \text{[doubletriangle]} \tag{5.6}$$

By choosing the particular values of c_1 to c_8, we could get five independent recurrence relation to lower the total power,

$$c_{1,0,0,0,0}i[\lambda_0, n_1+1, \cdots, \cdots n_5] + \sum_{\substack{\{k_1,\cdots,k_5\} \\ \in A_0\cup A_2\cup A_3}} c_{k_1,\cdots,k_5} i[\lambda_0, n_1+k_1, \cdots n_5+k_5] + \delta_{B,1} = 0 \quad \text{[line1dbt]} \tag{5.7}$$

$$c_{0,1,0,0,0}i[\lambda_0, n_1, \cdots, n_2+1, \cdots n_5] + \sum_{\substack{\{k_1,\cdots,k_5\} \\ \in A_0\cup A_2\cup A_3}} c_{k_1,\cdots,k_5} i[\lambda_0, n_1+k_1, \cdots n_5+k_5] + \delta_{B,2} = 0 \quad \text{[line2dbt]} \tag{5.8}$$

$$c_{0,0,1,0,0}i[\lambda_0, n_1, \cdots, n_3+1, \cdots n_5] + \sum_{\substack{\{k_1,\cdots,k_5\} \\ \in A_0\cup A_2\cup A_3}} c_{k_1,\cdots,k_5} i[\lambda_0, n_1+k_1, \cdots n_5+k_5] + \delta_{B,3} = 0 \quad \text{[line3dbt]} \tag{5.9}$$

$$c_{0,0,0,1,0}i[\lambda_0, n_1, \cdots, n_4+1, \cdots n_5] + \sum_{\substack{\{k_1,\cdots,k_5\} \\ \in A_0\cup A_2\cup A_3}} c_{k_1,\cdots,k_5} i[\lambda_0, n_1+k_1, \cdots n_5+k_5] + \delta_{B,4} = 0 \quad \text{[line4dbt]} \tag{5.10}$$

$$c_{0,0,0,0,1}i[\lambda_0, n_1, \cdots, \cdots n_5+1] + \sum_{\substack{\{k_1,\cdots,k_5\} \\ \in A_0\cup A_2\cup A_3}} c_{k_1,\cdots,k_5} i[\lambda_0, n_1+k_1, \cdots n_5+k_5] + \delta_{B,5} = 0 \quad \text{[line5dbt]} \tag{5.11}$$

where the boundary terms $\delta_{B,j}$ are too long to be shown here.

5.1.1 The example: $I_5^{(0,0)}(2,1,1,1,1)$

In this example, we need to reduce $i[\lambda_0; 1,0,0,0,0]$. We could use the first line in (5.7) with $n_1 = \cdots = n_5 = 0$ and solve the target $i[\lambda_0; 1,0,0,0,0]$. The result is

$$i[\lambda_0; 1,0,0,0,0] = c_{10000\to 00000} i[\lambda_0; 0,0,0,0,0] + \cdots \tag{5.12}$$

with the coefficients

$$c_{10000\to 00000} = \frac{-2m_1^2m_5^2 + m_2^2(-m_3^2+m_4^2+m_5^2) + m_3^2m_5^2 + m_3^2s + m_4^2m_5^2 - m_4^2s + m_5^2s - m_5^4}{N_{11111}}$$
$$N_{11111} = 3(m_1^2(m_2^2(m_3^2-m_4^2-m_5^2) - m_3^2(m_5^2+s) - (m_4^2-m_5^2)(m_5^2-s)) + m_1^4m_5^2 - m_2^2(m_3^2(m_4^2+s)$$
$$-(m_4^2-m_5^2)(m_4^2-s)) + m_2^4m_4^2 + m_3^2(s(m_3^2-m_5^2+s) + m_4^2(m_5^2-s))) \tag{5.13}$$

Then using the (2.6) to translate $i[\lambda_0; n_1, \cdots, n_5]$ to $I[n_1+1, \cdots, n_5+1]$, we got the reduction coefficients which is confirmed with FIRE6. Here we just used the simplified IBP relation **once**.

5.1.2 The example: $I_5^{(0,0)}(3,1,1,1,1)$

In this case, we need to reduce $i[\lambda_0;2,0,0,0,0]$. In the first step, one could reduce $i[\lambda_0;2,0,0,0,0]$ to terms having **total lower power** by (5.7), with the results,

$$\begin{aligned} i[\lambda_0;2,0,0,0,0] \,=\, & c_{2;10000}i[\lambda_0;1,0,0,0,0] + c_{2;01000}i[\lambda_0;0,1,0,0,0] + c_{2;00100}i[\lambda_0;0,0,1,0,0] \\ & + c_{2;00010}i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,1,0] + c_{2;00001}i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,1] + c_{2;00000}i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,0] + \cdot \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

To get the final reduction results of $i[\lambda_0;2,0,0,0,0]$, we should go on and reduce $i[\lambda_0;1,0,0,0,0]$, $i[\lambda_0;0,1,0,0,0]$, $i[\lambda_0;0,0,1,0,0]$, $i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,1,0]$ and $i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,1]$ to $i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,0]$. By using (5.7) to (5.11), we could easily get the reduction coefficients from these five terms to $i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,0]$. The final result is confirmed with FIRE6.

5.1.3 The scalar's reduction with general power

For the case with general powers in double-triangle, things are similar. Iteratively using the five recurrence relations (5.7) to (5.11), one can lower the total power one by one to the scalar basis as did in previous two examples.

5.2 Tensor's case

For the tensor case, the function $F(q,y)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} F(q,y) \,=\, & F(0,0) + y\Big(x_2(x_3+x_4+p\cdot q_1 x_4) + x_1(x_2+x_3+x_5-p\cdot q_2 x_5) \\ & +(1+p\cdot(q_1-q_2))(x_4x_5+x_3(x_4+x_5))\Big) \\ & -\frac{1}{4}y^2\Big(-2q_1\cdot q_2 x_3 + q_2^2(x_1+x_3+x_4) + q_1^2(x_2+x_3+x_5)\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where $F(0,0)$ is the function F given in (5.3).

5.2.1 The example: $I_5^{(1,0)}(1,1,1,1,1)$

By (2.10), we got

$$\begin{aligned} i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,0]^{\mu_1} \,=\, & \frac{\frac{D}{2}}{(\frac{3D}{2}-6)(\frac{3D}{2}-5)} p^{\mu_1}\Big(i[\lambda_0-1;0,1,0,1,0] + i[\lambda_0-1;0,0,0,1,1] \\ & + i[\lambda_0-1;0,0,1,1,0] + i[\lambda_0-1;0,0,1,0,1]\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

[tensorexample10]

To get the reduction in the dimension D, we need to reduce the four temrs in dimension $D+2$ using the method in (3.12). By solving syzygy equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} g_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \,=\, g_0(x_2x_4+x_4x_5+x_3x_4+x_3x_5)x_6^{\alpha} \tag{5.17}$$

using SINGULAR (Here we choose $\alpha = 0$), we got the solutions where one generator reads

$$g_1 = 1, \quad g_2 = 0, \quad g_3 = 0, \quad g_4 = -1, \quad g_5 = 0, \quad g_6 = -m_1^2 + m_4^2 - s, \quad g_0 = -2s \tag{5.18}$$

Using the g_0 to g_6 in the IBP identity (3.3) with $z_i \equiv g_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2s\Big(i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 1, 0, 1, 0] + i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 0, 0, 1, 1] + i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 0, 1, 1, 0] + i[\lambda_0 - 1; 0, 0, 1, 0, 1]\Big) \\
&+\frac{1}{2}(10 - 3D)(m_1^2 - m_4^2 + s) i[\lambda_0; 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] + \textit{boundary term} = 0 \quad {}^{[4.20]}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Taking (5.19) into (5.16), we solved the $i[\lambda_0; 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]^{\mu_1}$ in dimension D. Then by (2.23), we got the reduction result

$$I[\lambda_0; 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]^{\mu_1} = \frac{p^{\mu_1}(m_1^2 - m_4^2 + s)}{2s} I_5^{(0,0)}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \tag{5.20}$$

where the coefficients are confirmed with FIRE6.

5.2.2 The example: $I_5^{(1,1)}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ (to be checked)

By directly calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&i[\lambda_0; 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]^{\mu\nu} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda_0 g^{\mu\nu})}{2} \times \frac{2}{\lambda_6(\lambda_6 + 1)(\lambda_6 + 2)} \int d\Pi^{(6)} F^{\lambda_0 - 1} x_3 x_6^{\lambda_6 + 2} - \frac{\lambda_0(\lambda_0 - 1) p^\mu p^\nu}{2} \frac{2}{\lambda_6(\lambda_6 + 1)(\lambda_6 + 2)} \\
&\times \int d\Pi^{(6)} F^{\lambda_0 - 2} \Big(x_2 x_4 + x_4 x_5 + x_3(x_4 + x_5)\Big)\Big((x_1 + x_4)x_5 + x_3(x_4 + x_5)\Big) x_6^{\lambda_6 + 2}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

where the terms in the second line contribute to Feynman integrals in dimension parameter $\lambda_0 - 2$. By syzygy trick disscussed above, we should first reduce the integrals in dimension parameter $\lambda_0 - 2$ to dimension parameter $\lambda_0 - 1$. To achieve this, we should solve the following syzygy equation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{6} g_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = g_0 \Big(x_2 x_4 + x_4 x_5 + x_3(x_4 + x_5)\Big)\Big((x_1 + x_4)x_5 + x_3(x_4 + x_5)\Big) x_6^\alpha \tag{5.22}$$

By choosing $\alpha = 0$, we got the following result

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 &= 0, \quad g_2 = m_4^2 x_4^2 - m_3^2(x_3 + x_4)x_5 + x_4(m_5^2 x_5 + x_6), g_3 = x_4(m_2^2 x_3 - m_3^2 x_3 - 2s x_3 - s x_4 + m_1^2(x_1 + x_3 + x_4)) \\
g_4 &= x_4\Big(- ((m_1^2 + 2(-m_2^2 + m_3^2 + s))x_1 - (m_1^2 - m_2^2 + m_3^2)x_3 - (m_1^2 - 2m_2^2 + 2m_3^2 + s)x_4\Big) \\
g_5 &= -m_1^2 x_1 x_4 - 2s x_2 x_4 + (m_1 - m_2^2 + m_3^2)x_3 x_4 - (m_1^2 + m_4^2 - s)x_4^2 + m_3^2 x_3 x_5 + (m_3^2 - m_5^2)x_4 x_5 - x_4 x_6 \\
g_6 &= (2(m_2^2 - m_3^2 - s)(s - m_4^2) + m_1^2(-2m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2 + m_5^2 + 2s))x_1 x_4 - 2(m_2^4 + s(-m_5^2 + s) - m_2^2(m_3^2 \\
&\quad + 2s))x_2 x_4 + (m_2^2(-3m_3^2 - m_4^2 + m_5^2) - m_1^2(m_3^2 - m_4^2 + m_5^2) + m_3^2(3m_3^2 + m_4^2 - m_5^2 + 6s))x_3 x_4 + (-5m_2^2 m_4^2 \\
&\quad + 4m_3^2 m_4^2 + m_4^2 m_5^2 + m_1^2(-m_3^2 + m_4^2 + m_5^2) + m_3^2 s + 4m_4^2 s - m_5^2 s)x_4^2 + m_3^2(m_2^2 - m_5^2 + s)x_3 x_5 \\
&\quad + (m_5^4 + m_2^2(m_3^2 - 3m_5^2) + 3m_5^2 s + m_3^2(m_5^2 + s))x_4 x_5 + (-3m_2^2 + 2m_3^2 + m_5^2 + 3s)x_4 x_6 \\
g_0 &= 2m_3^2 s
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Defing $z_i \equiv \frac{g_i}{x_6^2}$ in the (3.3) with $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_5 = 0$, and replace λ_0 by $\lambda_0 - 1$. By similar procedure, we reduce the integrals in dimension parameter $\lambda_0 - 1$ to dimension parameter λ_0.

6. Example 3: Topology F in [3]

This example is given by

$$I_7^{(r_1,r_2)}(n_1,\cdots n_7) = \int d^D l_1 d^D l_2 \frac{l_1^{\mu_1}\cdots l_1^{\mu_{r_1}} l_1^{\mu_2}\cdots l_2^{\mu_{r_2}}}{D_1^{n_1}\cdots D_7^{n_7}} \tag{6.1}$$

where the propagators are given by [12]

$$\begin{aligned}\{D_1,\cdots D_7\} = \{&(l_1-p_1)^2, l_1^2, (l_1+p_2)^2, (l_1+l_2-p_1)^2-m^2, l_2^2-m^2,\\ &(l_2+p_3)^2-m^2, (l_1+l_2+p_2+p_3)^2-m^2, (l_1+p_3)^2, (l_2-p_1)^2-m^2\}\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

All external momenta are set to be on-shell, so the kinematic variables are

$$s = (p_1+p_2)^2, \quad t = (p_1+p_3)^2, \quad u = (p_2+p_3)^2 = (p_1+p_4)^2 = -s-t, \quad p_1^2 = p_2^2 = p_3^2 = p_4^2 = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

The corresbonding functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}A &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4+x_7 & x_4+x_7 \\ x_4+x_7 & x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7 \end{bmatrix}\\ B &= \begin{bmatrix} -(x_1+x_4)p_1+(x_3+x_7)p_2+x_7p_3 \\ -x_4p_1+x_7p_2+(x_6+x_7)p_3 \end{bmatrix}\\ C &= -m^2(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)+p_1^2(x_1+x_4)+p_2^2(x_3+x_7)+2p_2\cdot p_3x_7+p_3^2x_6+p_3^2x_7\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

The function F is given by

$$\begin{aligned}F(x) &= U(x)x_8+f(x)\\ &= m^2(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)(x_1(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)+x_2(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)+x_3x_4+x_3x_5\\ &\quad +x_3x_6+x_3x_7+x_4x_5+x_4x_6+x_5x_7+x_6x_7)-s(x_1x_3(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)+x_1x_6x_7\\ &\quad +x_5(x_3x_4-x_2x_7))+tx_2(x_5x_7-x_4x_6)+x_8(x_1(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)+x_2(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)\\ &\quad +x_3x_4+x_3x_5+x_3x_6+x_3x_7+x_4x_5+x_4x_6+x_5x_7+x_6x_7)\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

For later convenience, we choose the scalar basis in the top topology as

$$\begin{aligned}&I_7^{(0,0)}(2,1,1,1,1,1,1), \quad I_7^{(0,0)}(1,1,1,2,1,1,1), \quad I_7^{(0,0)}(1,1,1,1,2,1,1),\\ &I_7^{(0,0)}(1,1,1,1,1,2,1), \quad I_7^{(0,0)}(1,1,1,1,1,1,2), \quad I_7^{(0,0)}(1,1,1,1,1,1,1), \quad \cdots\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where the "$\cdots$" represents the basis in the subtopologies.

[12] In [**?**] there are $D_8 = (l_1+p_3)^2$ and $D_9 = (l_2-p_1)^2-m^2$ which is for the tensor structure. Since we use the different way to deal with tensor, we do not include them here.

6.1 Scalar's case

In the scalar's case, we solved the syzygy equations (3.10) in lexicographical order and got 55 generators, where 2 in degree one, 37 in degree two, and 16 in degree three. Using the similar method in the former two examples, we obtain the following IBP recurrence relations without dimensional shift,

$$\sum_{\{i_1,\cdots,i7\}\in A_0\cup A_{12}} C^{i_1,\cdots,i_7}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0; n_1+i_1,\cdots,n_7+i_7] + \delta_{bound} = 0 \tag{6.7}$$

with 13 types of the values of $\{i_1,\cdots,i_7\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_1 = \cup_{Permutation}\{3,0,0,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_2 = \cup_{Permutation}\{2,1,0,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_3 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,1,1,0,0,0,0\}\\
&A_4 = \cup_{Permutation}\{2,0,0,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_5 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,1,0,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_6 = \cup_{Permutation}\{3,-1,0,0,0,0,0\}\\
&A_7 = \cup_{Permutation}\{2,1,-1,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_8 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,1,1,-1,0,0,0\}, \quad A_9 = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,0,0,0,0,0,0\}\\
&A_{10} = \cup_{Permutation}\{2,-1,0,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_{11} = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,1,-1,0,0,0,0\}, \quad A_{12} = \cup_{Permutation}\{1,-1,0,0,0,0,0\}\\
&A_0 = \{0,0,0,0,0,0,0\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Note there are three types of generators of syzygy in degree one, two, and three respectively. The generators in degree one read as

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{1i} = &\Big\{x_2+x_3, -x_2, 2x_1+2x_2+x_3, x_7, x_6, 2x_5+x_6, 2x_4+x_7\\
&, -2\Big(3m^2x_4+3m^2x_5+3m^2x_6+3m^2x_7+2x_8\Big)+2sx_1+sx_3\Big\}\\
g_{2i} = &\Big\{2x_1+3\Big(x_2+x_3\Big), -x_2, -x_3, 2x_4+3x_7, 2x_5+3x_6, -x_6, -x_7,\\
&3sx_3-2\Big(3m^2x_4+3m^2x_5+3m^2x_6+3m^2x_7+2x_8\Big)\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Defining

$$z_k \equiv \frac{c_1 g_{1i} + c_2 g_{2i}}{x_8}, \quad k = 1,\cdots,8 \tag{6.10}$$

with $c_1 = -c_2$ and taking them into (3.3), we got two independent recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&c^{1000000}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0; n_1+1,\cdots,n_7] + c^{0010000}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0;\cdots,n_3+1,\cdots] + \delta_{11} = 0\\
&c^{1000000}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0; n_1+1,\cdots] + c^{0001000}_{n_1\cdots,n_7} i[\cdots,n_4+1,\cdots] + c^{0000100}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\cdots,n_5+1,\cdots]\\
&+c^{0000010}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\cdots,n_6+1,n_7] + c^{0000001}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\cdots,n_7+1] + c^{0000000}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0; n_1,\cdots,n_7] + \delta_{12} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

[step1]

Since the two relations could not be used to lower total power, we need to combine them with the generators in degree two and setting $z_i \equiv \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{37} b_j g_{ji}}{x_8^2}$. By choosing proper values of the coefficients b_j, we could get the simplified IBP relations,

$$c^{i_1,\cdots,i_7}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0; n_1+i_1,\cdots n_7+i_7] + \sum_{\substack{\{i_1,\cdots,i_7\}\in A_0\cup A_9\\ \cup A_{10}\cup A_{11}\cup A_{12}}} c^{i_1,\cdots,i_7}_{n_1,\cdots,n_7} i[\lambda_0; n_1+i_1,\cdots,n_7+i_7] + \delta_2 = 0, \forall\{i_1,\cdots,i_7\}\in A_0 \tag{6.12}$$

[topoF2]

6.1.1 The example: $I_7^{(0,0)}(2,1,2,1,1,1,1)$

In this example, we need to reduce $i[\lambda_0;1,0,1,0,0,0,0]$. Setting $n_1=\cdots=n_7=0$, we could use one of the relations (6.12),

$$c^{1010000}_{0000000}i[\lambda_0;1,0,1,0,0,0,0]+\sum_{j=1,j\neq 2}^{7}j^+i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,0,0,0]+c^{0000000}_{0000000}i[\lambda_0;0,0,0,0,0,0,0]+\delta_{1,3}=0 \quad (6.13)$$

[step2]

Note in the relation (6.13) there is no term $i[\lambda_0;0,1,0,0,0,0,0]$, but there is one term $i[\lambda_0;0,0,1,0,0,0,0]$, which is not our basis. So the next step is to use (6.11) to reduce $i[\lambda_0;0,0,1,0,0,0,0]$ to $i[\lambda_0;1,0,0,0,0,0,0]$. After the two step, we successfully reduce our target $i[\lambda_0;1,0,1,0,0,0,0]$ in the top-sector level. After translating the $i[\lambda_0;n_1,\cdots,n_7]$ to $I_7^{(0,0)}(n_1+1,\cdots,n_7+1)$, we obtain the reduction coefficients which are confirmed by FIRE6. In summary, to get the reduction of the top-sector, we just need to use (6.11) and (6.13) once respectively.

6.2 The tensor's case

For the tensor, the function $F(q,y)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}F(q,y)=&F(0,0)+\frac{1}{4}y(-2q_2\cdot(2p_2((x_1+x_2)x_7-x_3x_4)-2p_1(x_2x_4+x_3x_4-x_1x_7)+2p_3(x_3x_6+x_4x_6\\&+x_7x_6+x_3x_7+x_1(x_6+x_7)+x_2(x_6+x_7))+q_1(x_4+x_7)y)+4q_1(p_3(x_4x_6-x_5x_7)\\&+p_1(x_4(x_5+x_6)+x_1(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7))-p_2((x_5+x_6)x_7+x_3(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)))\\&+q_1^2(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)y+q_2^2(x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4+x_7)y+4(x_3x_4+x_5x_4+x_6x_4\\&+x_3x_5+x_3x_6+x_3x_7+x_5x_7+x_6x_7+x_1(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7)+x_2(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7))) \quad (6.14)\end{aligned}$$

6.2.1 The example: $I_7^{(1,0)}(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$

By directly calculation and integrate over y, we got

$$\begin{aligned}&i[\lambda_0,0,\cdots,0]^\mu\\=&\frac{-D}{3D-14}\Big(\Big(-(i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0]+i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,0,1,1,0,0]+i[\lambda_0-1,1,0,0,0,0,0,1]\\&+i[\lambda_0-1,1,0,0,0,0,1,0]+i[\lambda_0-1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0]+i[\lambda_0-1,1,0,0,1,0,0,0])p_1^\mu\\&+(i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,0,0,0,1,1]+i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1]+i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,1,0,0,0,1]+i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,1,0,0,1,0]\\&+i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,1,0,1,0,0]+i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0])p_2^\mu+(i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1]\\&-i[\lambda_0-1,0,0,0,1,0,1,0])p_3^\mu\Big)\Big) \quad (6.15)\end{aligned}$$

To reduce the term in different dimension, we could solve the syzygy equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{8}g_{ji}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}=g_0\tilde{Y} \quad (6.16)$$

with

$$\tilde{Y} = x_4x_5p_1^\mu + x_4x_6p_1^\mu + x_1\Big(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7\Big)p_1^\mu - x_5x_7p_2^\mu - x_6x_7p_2^\mu - x_3\Big(x_4+x_5+x_6+x_7\Big)p_2^\mu + x_4x_6p_3^\mu - x_5x_7p_3^\mu \tag{6.17}$$

and then defing the z_is as

$$z_i \equiv \frac{\sum_j c_j g_{ji}}{x_8^\alpha} \tag{6.18}$$

Taking the z_is into the IBP identities, we got the results. Since the results are too complicated to write here, we put them in the attached files. Using the similar method, we finally got the reduction results.

7. Conclusion

In this article, we considered a new parametric representation of Feynman integrals proposed by Chen [1, 2]. In the practical calculation of two-loop integrals, there are large number of IBP relations which makes our calculation hard. To simplify the IBP relations, we used the "syzygy" trick to cancel the dimensional shift and the unwanted doubled propagators in the parametric representation. By this trick, as shown in three examples of two-loop diagrams, we successfully simplified the IBP relations, and used them to reduce the diagrams. The main advantage of our method is that, by the simplified IBP relations, we could directly choose which relation we need to lower the total power, and do the reduction more efficiently than the traditional method, so it will be good if such a procedure could be programmed. However, as the number of loops going higher, the homogeneous polynomial F becomes more and more complicated, which makes the syzygy equations hard to solve. Further more, more mathematical properties and constructions are still need to find and utilize, such as the polynomial tangent space. We will focus on them in the near future.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Bo Feng for the inspiring guidance.

A. The syzygy generators of sunset's case

In this part, we give the syzygy generators in the section 4.1:

$$g_1 = \Big\{0, 0, sx_3^2, m_1^4x_1^2 + m_2^4x_2^2 + m_3^4x_3^2 - m_3^2sx_3^2 + 2m_3^2x_3 + x_4^2 + 2m_2^2x_2(m_3^2x_3 + x_4) + 2m_1^2x_1(m_2^2x_2 + m_3^2x_3 + x_4)$$
$$, -m_1^2x_1 - m_2^2x_2 - m_3^2x_3 - sx_3 - x_4\Big\} \tag{A.1}$$

$$g_2 = \Big\{0, 0, -s(m_2^2 + s)x_3^2 + m_1^4(x_2x_3 + x_1(x_2 + x_3)) - m_1^2(-2sx_3^2 + m_2^2(x_2x_3 + x_1(x_2 + x_3))),$$
$$m_1^6x_1(x_1 - x_2) - m_1^4(sx_1(x_1 - x_2) + m_3^2x_1x_2 + m_2^2x_2(-4x_1 + x_2) - 2m_3^2x_1x_3 + 2m_3^2x_2x_3$$
$$-3x_1x_4 + x_2x_4) - (m_2^2 + s)(m_2^4x_2^2 + m_3^4x_3^2 + x_4^2 + 2m_2^2x_2(m_3^2x_3 + x_4) + m_3^2x_3(-sx_3 + 2x_4))$$
$$+m_1^2(m_2^4x_2(-x_1 + 3x_2) + m_2^2(-3sx_1x_2 + m_3^2x_2(x_1 + 6x_3) - x_1x_4 + 5x_2x_4) + 2(m_3^4x_3^2$$
$$-m_3^2x_3(s(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_4) + x_4(-sx_1 + x_4))), -(2m_1^2 - m_2^2 - s)(m_1^2x_1 + m_2^2x_2 + m_3^2x_3 + sx_3 + x_4)\Big\} \tag{A.2}$$

$$g_3 = \Big\{0, x_2^2, -x_3^2, -m_2^2x_2^2 + m_3^2x_3^2, -x_2 + x_3\Big\} \tag{A.3}$$

$$g_4 = \Big\{0, 2m_2^4x_2^2 - m_1^4(x_1 + x_2)x_3 + m_1^2(-2m_2^2x_2^2 + s(x_1 + x_2)x_3), x_3(2(-m_2^4 + s^2)x_3 + m_1^4(x_1 + x_3)$$
$$+m_1^2(2m_2^2x_3 - s(x_1 + 3x_3))), 2m_1^2m_2^2(-m_1^2 + s)x_1x_2 - 2(m_2^6 - m_2^4s)x_2^2 + m_1^2(m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2$$
$$+m_2^2 - 3m_3^2 - s)x_1x_3 + 2m_2^2(m_1^2 - 2m_3^2)(m_1^2 - s)x_2x_3 - 2m_3^2(-m_2^4 + m_1^2(m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) + s(-m_3^2 + s))x_3^2$$
$$-2(m_1^4 - m_1^2s)x_1x_4 + 4m_2^2(-m_1^2 + s)x_2x_4 + (m_1^2 - 4m_3^2)(m_1^2 - s)x_3x_4 - 2(m_1^2 - s)x_4^2,$$
$$-2m_2^2(-2m_1^2 + m_2^2 + s)x_2 - (m_1^4 - 2m_2^4 + m_1^2(2m_2^2 - 2m_3^2 - 3s) + 2s(m_3^2 + s))x_3 + 2(m_1^2 - s)x_4\Big\} \tag{A.4}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_5 = \Big\{ & 0, -m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 2m_2^2 + s) x_1 x_2 - m_2^2 (m_1^6 + 2m_1^4 (m_3^2 - 2s) + 2(2m_2^6 + m_2^4 (2m_3^2 - 3s) \\
& - m_2^2 s^2 + s^2 (-m_3^2 + s)) + m_1^2 (-6m_2^4 + (4m_3^2 - 3s)s + m_2^2 (-8m_3^2 + 14s))) x_2^2 - m_1^2 (m_1^2 - s)(-2m_2^4 + m_1^2 (m_2^2 \\
& + m_3^2 - s) + (3m_3^2 - s)s + m_2^2 (-4m_3^2 + 3s)) x_1 x_3 - m_1^2 (m_1^2 - s)(-2m_2^4 + m_1^2 (m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) + (3m_3^2 - s)s \\
& + m_2^2 (-4m_3^2 + 3s)) x_2 x_3, m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 2m_2^2 + s) x_1 x_2 + m_1^2 (m_1^2 - s)(-2m_2^4 + m_1^2 (m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) \\
& + (3m_3^2 - s)s + m_2^2 (-4m_3^2 + 3s)) x_1 x_3 + m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 2m_2^2 + s) x_2 x_3 + (m_1^6 (m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) \\
& + 2(m_2^2 - s)(2m_2^6 + m_2^4 (2m_3^2 - s) + 2m_2^2 (m_3^2 - s)s + s^2 (-3m_3^2 + s)) - 2m_1^4 (-s^2 + m_2^2 (m_3^2 + s)) \\
& + m_1^2 (-6m_2^6 + m_2^2 (16m_3^2 - 9s)s + s^2 (-7m_3^2 + s) + m_2^4 (-8m_3^2 + 14s))) x_3^2, -m_1^2 m_2^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_1^4 + 2m_2^4 \\
& + m_1^2 (-3m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 - 2s) + (7m_3^2 - 3s)s + 5m_2^2 (-2m_3^2 + s)) x_1 x_2 + 2m_2^4 (m_2^2 - s)(m_1^4 \\
& + 2m_2^4 + m_2^2 (2m_3^2 - s) - 2m_3^2 s + m_1^2 (-3m_2^2 + s)) x_2^2 + m_1^2 (m_1^2 - s)(-2m_2^6 + m_1^4 (m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) - 9m_3^4 s \\
& + s^3 + m_2^4 (-2m_3^2 + 5s) - m_1^2 (m_2^4 + 3m_3^4 + m_2^2 (4m_3^2 - s) - 5m_3^2 s) + 4m_2^2 (3m_3^4 - s^2)) x_1 x_3 + 2m_2^2 (m_1^6 (m_2^2 - s) \\
& - 2m_1^4 (m_2^4 + m_3^4 + m_2^2 (m_3^2 - s) - 2m_3^2 s) + 2m_3^2 s((3m_3^2 - s)s + m_2^2 (-4m_3^2 + 2s)) \\
& + m_1^2 (2m_2^4 s + m_2^2 (8m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 s - 3s^2) + s(-4m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 s + s^2))) x_2 x_3 - 2m_3^2 (2m_2^8 + m_1^4 (m_2^4 + m_2^2 (m_3^2 - 2s) \\
& + (m_3^2 - s)^2) + m_2^6 (2m_3^2 - 3s) - m_2^4 s^2 - s^2 (3m_3^4 - 4m_3^2 s + s^2) + m_2^2 s(4m_3^4 - 7m_3^2 s + 3s^2) \\
& - m_1^2 (3m_2^6 + m_2^4 (4m_3^2 - 6s) + 2m_3^2 s(-m_3^2 + s) + m_2^2 (4m_3^4 - 8m_3^2 s + 3s^2))) x_3^2 + 2m_1^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_2^2 (4m_3^2 - 2s) \\
& + s(-3m_3^2 + s) + m_1^2 (-m_3^2 + s)) x_1 x_4 - m_2^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_1^4 + m_1^2 (-2m_2^2 + 4m_3^2 - 3s) - 4(m_2^2 (4m_3^2 - 2s) \\
& + s(-3m_3^2 + s))) x_2 x_4 + (m_1^6 (m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) - 2m_1^4 (m_2^4 + 2m_3^4 + m_2^2 (2m_3^2 - s) - 3m_3^2 s) + 4m_3^2 s((3m_3^2 - s)s \\
& + m_2^2 (-4m_3^2 + 2s)) + m_1^2 (2m_2^4 s + m_2^2 (16m_3^4 - 4m_3^2 s - 3s^2) + s(-8m_3^4 - 3m_3^2 s + s^2))) x_3 x_4 \\
& + 2(m_1^2 - s)(m_2^2 (4m_3^2 - 2s) + s(-3m_3^2 + s) + m_1^2 (-m_3^2 + s)) x_4^2, m_2^2 (m_1^6 + 4m_2^6 + m_1^4 (4m_3^2 - 6s) \\
& + m_2^4 (4m_3^2 - 6s) + 2m_2^2 (4m_3^2 - 3s)s + 4s^2 (-2m_3^2 + s) + m_1^2 (-6m_2^4 - 2m_2^2 (8m_3^2 - 9s) + (8m_3^2 - 3s)s)) x_2 \\
& + (-m_1^6 (m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) + 2m_1^4 (m_3^4 - m_3^2 s - s^2 + m_2^2 (m_3^2 + s)) + 2(-2m_2^8 + m_2^4 s^2 + m_2^6 (-2m_3^2 + 3s) \\
& + m_2^2 s(4m_3^4 + 3m_3^2 s - 3s^2) + s^2 (-3m_3^4 - 2m_3^2 s + s^2)) + m_1^2 (6m_2^6 + 2m_2^4 (4m_3^2 - 7s) \\
& + s(4m_3^4 + 7m_3^2 s - s^2) + m_2^2 (-8m_3^4 - 12m_3^2 s + 9s^2))) x_3 - 2(m_1^2 - s)(m_2^2 (4m_3^2 - 2s) \\
& + s(-3m_3^2 + s) + m_1^2 (-m_3^2 + s)) x_4 \Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_6 = \Big\{ & 0, -m_1^4 x_1 (x_1 + x_2) + m_2^4 x_2 (x_1 + x_2) + m_2^2 (-s x_2 (3x_1 + x_2) + m_3^2 (x_2 x_3 + x_1 (2x_2 + x_3))) + s(x_1 + x_2) x_4 \\
& - m_1^2 (-s x_1 (x_1 + 3x_2) + m_3^2 (x_2 x_3 + x_1 (2x_2 + x_3)) + (x_1 + x_2) x_4), m_1^4 x_1 (x_1 + x_3) + m_2^4 (x_2 x_3 + x_1 (x_2 + 2x_3)) \\
& + m_2^2 (m_3^2 x_3 (x_1 + x_3) - s(x_2 x_3 + x_1 (x_2 + 4x_3))) - m_1^2 (x_1 + x_3)(s x_1 + m_3^2 x_3 - x_4) + s(2s x_1 x_3 - (x_1 + x_3) x_4), \\
& - m_1^2 (m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 5m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s) x_1^2 - m_2^2 (m_2^2 - s)(-5m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s) x_1 x_2 + m_3^2 (-3m_1^4 - 3m_2^4 \\
& + 2(m_3^2 - s)s + m_2^2 (-m_3^2 + s) + m_1^2 (6m_2^2 - m_3^2 + s)) x_1 x[3] + (-2m_1^4 + m_1^2 (7m_2^2 - 3m_3^2 - s) + s(-5m_2^2 \\
& + 3m_3^2 + s)) x_1 x_4 + 3(m_2^4 - m_2^2 s) x_2 x_4 + 3(-m_1^2 + m_2^2) m_3^2 x_3 x_4 - (m_1^2 - 2m_2^2 + s) x_4^2, (m_1^4 - m_1^2 (4m_2^2 - 4m_3^2 \\
& + s) - 2(m_2^4 + m_2^2 (m_3^2 - 4s) + s(m_3^2 + s))) x_1 - 3(m_2^4 - m_2^2 s) x_2 + 3(m_1^2 - m_2^2) m_3^2 x_3 + (m_1^2 - 2m_2^2 + s) x_4 \Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_7 = \Big\{ & x_3(m_3^2 x_3 + x_4), 2s x_2 x_3 - m_1^2(x_1+x_2)x_3 - m_3^2 x_3(2x_2+x_3) - 2x_2x_4 - x_3x_4, x_3(-m_3^2 x_3 + m_1^2(x_1+x_3) - x_4), \\
& m_1^2(-m_1^2 + 3m_2^2 - 3m_3^2 + s)x_1x_3 + 2(m_2^4 - m_2^2 s)x_2x_3 + m_3^2(-3m_1^2 + 3m_2^2 - m_3^2 + s)x_3^2 - 2m_1^2 x_1x_4 + (-2m_1^2 \\
& +3m_2^2 - 3m_3^2 + s)x_3x_4 - 2x_4^2, (m_1^2 - 2(m_2^2 - 2m_3^2 + s))x_3 + 4x_4 \Big\} \\
g_8 = \Big\{ & x_3(-m_2^4 x_2 + m_2^2(s x_2 - m_3^2 x_3 - x_4) + m_1^2(m_3^2 x_3 + x_4)), -m_1^4(x_1+x_2)x_3 + m_2^2(m_2^2 x_2 x_3 - 3s x_2 x_3 \\
& +m_3^2 x_3(2x_2+x_3) + 2x_2x_4 + x_3x_4) + m_1^2(s(x_1+3x_2)x_3 - (2x_2+x_3)(m_3^2 x_3 + x_4)), \\
& x_3(2s^2 x_3 + m_1^4(x_1+x_3) + m_2^4(x_2+2x_3) - m_1^2(m_3^2 x_3 + s(x_1+x_3) + x_4) + m_2^2(m_3^2 x_3 - s(x_2+4x_3) + x_4)), \\
& -m_1^2(m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 5m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s)x_1x_3 - m_2^2(m_2^2 - s)(-5m_1^2 + m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s)x_2x_3 + m_3^2(-3m_1^4 - 3m_2^4 \\
& +2(m_3^2 - s)s + m_2^2(-m_3^2 + s) + m_1^2(6m_2^2 - m_3^2 + s))x_3^2 - 2(m_1^4 - m_1^2 s)x_1x_4 - 2(m_2^4 - m_2^2 s)x_2x_4 \\
& -(2m_1^4 + m_2^4 + m_1^2(-6m_2^2 + 3m_3^2) - 4m_3^2 s + m_2^2(m_3^2 + 3s))x_3x_4 - 2(m_1^2 - s)x_4^2, (m_1^4 - m_1^2(4m_2^2 - 4m_3^2 + s) \\
& -2(m_2^4 + m_2^2(m_3^2 - 4s) + s(m_3^2 + s)))x_3 + (4m_1^2 - 2(m_2^2 + s))x_4 \Big\} \\
g_9 = \Big\{ & m_2^4 x_2(-x_2 + x_3) + m_2^2(x_2 - x_3)(s x_2 - m_3^2 x_3 - x_4) + s x_2 x_4 - m_1^2 x_3(m_3^2(-x_2 + x_3) + x_4), m_2^4 x_2(x_2 - x_3) - m_1^4(x \\
& +m_1^2(s(x_1+3x_2)(x_2 - x_3) - (2x_2+x_3)(m_3^2(x_2 - x_3) - x_4)) - s x_2 x_4 - m_2^2(3s x_2(x_2 - x_3) + m_3^2(-2x_2^2 \\
& +x_2x_3 + x_3^2) + (x_2+x_3)x_4), m_1^4(x_2 - x_3)(x_1+x_3) + m_2^4(x_2^2 + x_2x_3 - 2x_3^2) + m_2^2(m_3^2(x_2 - x_3)x_3 \\
& -s(x_2^2 + 3x_2x_3 - 4x_3^2) + (x_2+x_3)x_4) + s(2s(x_2 - x_3)x_3 - (x_2+2x_3)x_4) + m_1^2(-s(x_2 - x_3)(x_1+x_3) \\
& +x_3(m_3^2(-x_2 + x_3) + x_4)), -m_1^2(m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 5m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s)x_1x_2 - m_2^2(m_2^2 - s)(-5m_1^2 \\
& +m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s)x_2^2 + m_1^2(m_1^2 - s)(m_1^2 - 5m_2^2 + 3m_3^2 + s)x_1x_3 - (-m_2^6 + 3m_1^4 m_3^2 \\
& +2m_3^2 s(-m_3^2 + s) + m_2^2(m_3^2 + s)^2 + m_1^2(5m_2^4 + m_3^4 - m_3^2 s - m_2^2(6m_3^2 + 5s)))x_2x_3 \\
& +m_3^2(3m_1^4 + 3m_2^4 + m_2^2(m_3^2 - s) + m_1^2(-6m_2^2 + m_3^2 - s) + 2s(-m_3^2 + s))x_3^2 + 4(m_1^4 - m_1^2 s)x_1x_4 \\
& +(-m_1^4 + m_2^4 + s(3m_3^2 + s) - m_2^2(m_3^2 + 6s) + m_1^2(7m_2^2 - 2(m_3^2 + s)))x_2x_4 + (2m_1^4 + m_2^4 + m_1^2(-6m_2^2 + 5m_3^2) \\
& -m_2^2(m_3^2 - 3s) - 4m_3^2 s)x_3x_4 + 4(m_1^2 - s)x_4^2, (m_1^4 - m_1^2(4m_2^2 - 4m_3^2 + s) - 2(m_2^4 + m_2^2(m_3^2 - 4s) + s(m_3^2 + s)))x_2 \\
& +(-m_1^4 + m_1^2(4m_2^2 - 4m_3^2 + s) + 2(m_2^4 + m_2^2(m_3^2 - 4s) + s(m_3^2 + s)))x_3 - 6(m_1^2 - s)x_4 \Big\} \\
g_{10} = \Big\{ & x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, -3 \Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}
$$

B. The coefficients of sunset

B.1 The analytical coefficients of sunset example: $I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1)$

The reduction result is

$$
I_3^{(0,0)}(3,1,1) = c_{311\to211} I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,1) + c_{311\to121} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,2,1) + c_{311\to112} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,2) + c_{311\to111} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,1) + \cdot \tag{B.1}
$$

with the coefficients

$$c_{311\to211} = \frac{n_{311\to211}}{N_{311\to211}}, \quad c_{311\to121} = \frac{n_{311\to121}}{N_{311\to121}}, \quad c_{311\to112} = \frac{n_{311\to112}}{N_{311\to112}}, \quad c_{311\to111} = \frac{n_{311\to111}}{N_{311\to111}} \tag{B.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{311\to211} &= 2m_1^4(2m_2^2((7D-22)m_3^2+(D-4)s)+(5D-18)m_2^4+2(D-4)m_3^2s+(5D-18)m_3^4+3(3D-10)s^2)\\
&\quad -4m_1^2(m_2^2(2(8D-29)m_3^2s-(D-4)m_3^4+(10-3D)s^2)+m_2^4(s-(D-4)m_3^2)+(D-4)m_2^6\\
&\quad +(m_3^2-s)^2((D-4)m_3^2+(2D-7)s))-4m_1^6((3D-10)m_2^2+(3D-10)m_3^2+(4D-13)s)\\
&\quad +(5D-16)m_1^8+(D-4)(-2m_2^2(m_3^2+s)+m_2^4+(m_3^2-s)^2)^2\\
N_{311\to211} &= 4m_1^2(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2-m_3)+m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1m_2+2m_1m_3\\
&\quad +m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1(m_2+m_3)+m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2+m_3)\\
&\quad +m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{311\to121} &= (D-3)m_2^2(-2m_1^2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-3m_3^2+s)+m_1^4(m_2^2-s)+m_2^2(m_3^4+3s^2)\\
&\quad -m_2^4(2m_3^2+3s)+m_2^6-s(m_3^2-s)^2)\\
N_{311\to121} &= m_1^2(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2-m_3)+m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1m_2+2m_1m_3\\
&\quad +m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1(m_2+m_3)+m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2+m_3)\\
&\quad +m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)\\
n_{311\to112} &= (D-3)m_3^2(2m_1^2(m_3^2-s)(3m_2^2-m_3^2-s)+m_1^4(m_3^2-s)-2m_2^2(m_3^4-s^2)+m_2^4(m_3^2-s)+(m_3^2-s)^3)\\
N_{311\to112} &= m_1^2(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2-m_3)+m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1m_2+2m_1m_3\\
&\quad +m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1(m_2+m_3)+m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2+m_3)+m_2^2\\
&\quad +2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)\\
n_{311\to111} &= (3-D)(3d-8)(-m_1^2(2m_2^2(s-5m_3^2)+m_2^4+2m_3^2s+m_3^4-3s^2)\\
&\quad -m_1^4(m_2^2+m_3^2+3s)+m_1^6+((m_2-m_3)^2-s)(m_2^2+m_3^2-s)((m_2+m_3)^2-s))\\
N_{311\to111} &= 4m_1^2((m_1+m_2-m_3)^2-s)((m_1-m_2\\
&\quad +m_3)^2-s)((-m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)((m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

B.2 The analytical coefficients of sunset example: $I_3^{(0,0)}(2,2,1)$

The reduction result is

$$I_3^{(0,0)}(2,2,1) = c_{221\to211} I_3^{(0,0)}(2,1,1) + c_{221\to121} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,2,1) + c_{221\to112} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,2) + c_{221\to111} I_3^{(0,0)}(1,1,1) + \cdots \tag{B.5}$$

with the coefficients

$$c_{221\to211} = \frac{n_{221\to211}}{N_{221\to211}},\ \ c_{211\to121} = \frac{n_{221\to121}}{N_{221\to121}},\ \ c_{221to112} = \frac{n_{221\to112}}{N_{221\to121}},\ c_{221\to111} = \frac{n_{221\to111}}{N_{221\to111}} \tag{B.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{221\to211} &= (D-3)(-m_1^2(2m_2^2(m_3^2-3s)+5m_2^4+14m_3^2s-7m_3^4+s^2)+m_1^4(7m_2^2-3m_3^2+5s)\\
&\quad -3m_1^6+m_2^2(2m_3^2s+3m_3^4+3s^2)-3m_2^4(m_3^2+s)+m_2^6-(m_3^2-s)^2(m_3^2+s))\\
N_{221\to211} &= ((m_1+m_2-m_3)^2-s)((m_1-m_2+m_3)^2-s)((-m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)((m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)\\
n_{211\to121} &= (D-3)(m_1^2(-2m_2^2(m_3^2-3s)+7m_2^4+2m_3^2s+3m_3^4+3s^2)-m_1^4(5m_2^2+3(m_3^2+s))\\
&\quad +m_1^6+m_2^2(-14m_3^2s+7m_3^4-s^2)+m_2^4(5s-3m_3^2)-3m_2^6-(m_3^2-s)^2(m_3^2+s))\\
N_{211\to121} &= ((m_1+m_2-m_3)^2-s)((m_1-m_2+m_3)^2-s)((-m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)((m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)\\
n_{221\to112} &= 8(D-3)m_3^2(m_3^2-s)(-m_1^2-m_2^2+m_3^2+s)\\
N_{221\to112} &= ((m_1+m_2-m_3)^2-s)((m_1-m_2+m_3)^2-s)((-m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)((m_1+m_2+m_3)^2-s)\\
n_{221\to111} &= (D-3)(3D-8)(-2m_1^2(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)+m_1^4+2m_2^2(m_3^2-s)+m_2^4+2m_3^2s-3m_3^4+s^2)\\
N_{221\to111} &= (m_1^2+2m_1(m_2-m_3)+m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2-2m_1m_2+2m_1m_3+m_2^2-2m_2m_3+m_3^2\\
&\quad -s)(m_1^2-2m_1(m_2+m_3)+m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2-s)(m_1^2+2m_1(m_2+m_3)+m_2^2+2m_2m_3+m_3^2
\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

B.3 The coefficients of $i(\lambda_0 - 2; 0, 2, 2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i;022\to000} &= \frac{4(3D-1)}{3(D+2)s(2(m_2^2+s)-m_1^2)} \\
c_{i;022\to100} &= \frac{4(1-3D)m_1^2}{3(D+2)s(m_1^2-2(m_2^2+s))} \\
c_{i;022\to010} &= \frac{1}{3(D+2)s(2(m_2^2+s)-m_1^2)(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \times \Big\{D(6m_1^2(m_2^2(3m_3^2 \\
&\quad -23s)+8m_2^4-3s(m_3^2-3s))+45m_1^4m_2^2-9m_1^6-12(-8m_2^2s+5m_2^4+3s^2)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)) \\
&\quad -2(m_2^2-s)(3m_1^2+2m_2^2-6s)(5m_1^2-4(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))\Big\} \\
c_{i;022\to001} &= \frac{1}{3(D+2)s(2(m_2^2+s)-m_1^2)(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \times \Big\{m_1^4((22-27D)m_2^2 \\
&\quad +2(15D-8)m_3^2+2(11-6D)s)+2m_1^2(m_2^2((15D-8)m_3^2-6Ds)+2(6D+1)m_2^4 \\
&\quad +2s((8-15D)m_3^2+(6D-11)s))+(3D-4)m_1^6-4(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s)((15D-8)m_3^2 \\
&\quad -6Ds+4m_2^2+8s)\Big\} \\
c_{i;022\to110} &= \frac{(3D-2)m_1^2(3m_1^2+2m_2^2-6s)(m_1^2(2m_3^2-5m_2^2)+m_1^4+(3m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))}{3(D+2)s(m_1^2-2(m_2^2+s))(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \\
c_{i;022\to101} &= -\frac{1}{3(D+2)s(m_1^2-2(m_2^2+s))(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \times \Big\{(3D \\
&\quad -2)m_1^2(m_1^2(5m_2^2m_3^2-3m_2^4+3s(m_3^2+5s))-3m_1^4(m_2^2+4s)+3m_1^6+2(m_2^2(2m_3^2s \\
&\quad +6m_3^4-3s^2)+m_2^4(3s-9m_3^2)+3m_2^6-3s(-m_3^2s+2m_3^4+s^2)))\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{i;022\to011} &= \frac{1}{3(D+2)s(2(m_2^2+s)-m_1^2)(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \times \Big\{(3D-2)(2m_1^2(m_2^2 \\
&(-23m_3^2s+3m_3^4+13s^2)+m_2^4(4m_3^2+6s)+m_2^6-3s(-3m_3^2s+m_3^4+4s^2))+m_1^6(7m_2^2-3(3m_3^2+s)) \\
&+m_1^4(m_2^2(14m_3^2-25s)-10m_2^4+15s(m_3^2+s))+4(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2(-4m_3^2s+5m_3^4-s^2)+m_2^4(3s-6m_3^2) \\
&+m_2^6-3s(m_3^2-s)^2))\Big\} \\
c_{i;022\to200} &= 0 \\
c_{i;022\to020} &= \frac{(3D-2)m_2^2(m_2^2-s)(3m_1^2+2m_2^2-6s)(5m_1^2-4(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))}{3(D+2)s(2(m_2^2+s)-m_1^2)(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \\
c_{i;022\to002} &= -\frac{1}{3(D+2)s(2(m_2^2+s)-m_1^2)(m_1^2(2m_2^2-3s)+m_1^4-2(m_2^2-s)(m_2^2-m_3^2+s))} \times \Big\{(3D-2)m_3^2(m_1^4 \\
&(13m_2^2-6m_3^2+3s)+m_1^2(2m_2^2s-6m_2^4+6s(m_3^2-2s)) \\
&-4(m_2^2(-2m_3^2s+3m_3^4-s^2)+m_2^4(3s-4m_3^2)+m_2^6-3s(m_3^2-s)^2))\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[1] W. Chen, *Reduction of Feynman Integrals in the Parametric Representation*, *JHEP* **02** (2020) 115 [1902.10387].

[2] W. Chen, *Reduction of Feynman Integrals in the Parametric Representation II: Reduction of Tensor Integrals*, arXiv:1912.08606.

[3] X. Xu and L.L. Yang, *Towards a new approximation for pair-production and associated-production of the Higgs boson*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 211 [1810.12002].

[4] V.A. Smirnov, *Evaluating feynman integrals*, *Springer Tracts in Modern Physics* **211** (2005) .

[5] F.V. Tkachov, *A Theorem on Analytical Calculability of Four Loop Renormalization Group Functions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **100** (1981) 65.

[6] K.G. Chetyrkin and F.V. Tkachov, *Integration by Parts: The Algorithm to Calculate beta Functions in 4 Loops*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **192** (1981) 159.

[7] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and D.A. Kosower, *Dimensionally regulated one loop integrals*, *Phys. Lett. B* **302** (1993) 299 [hep-ph/9212308].

[8] Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon and D.A. Kosower, *Dimensionally regulated pentagon integrals*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **412** (1994) 751 [hep-ph/9306240].

[9] P.A. Baikov, *Explicit solutions of the three loop vacuum integral recurrence relations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **385** (1996) 404 [hep-ph/9603267].

[10] P.A. Baikov, *Explicit solutions of n loop vacuum integral recurrence relations*, hep-ph/9604254.

[11] J. Gluza, K. Kajda and D.A. Kosower, *Towards a basis for planar two-loop integrals*, arXiv:1009.0472.

[12] K.J. Larsen and Y. Zhang, *Integration-by-parts reductions from unitarity cuts and algebraic geometry*, arXiv:1511.01071.

[13] K.J. Larsen and Y. Zhang, *Integration-by-parts reductions from the viewpoint of computational algebraic geometry*, arXiv:1606.09447.

[14] K.J. Larsen and Y. Zhang, *Integration-by-parts reductions from unitarity cuts and algebraic geometry*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 041701 [1511.01071].

[15] K.J. Larsen and Y. Zhang, *Integration-by-parts reductions from the viewpoint of computational algebraic geometry*, *PoS* **LL2016** (2016) 029 [1606.09447].

[16] Y. Zhang, *Lecture Notes on Multi-loop Integral Reduction and Applied Algebraic Geometry*, 12, 2016 [1612.02249].

[17] Y. Jiang and Y. Zhang, *Algebraic geometry and Bethe ansatz. Part I. The quotient ring for BAE*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 087 [1710.04693].

[18] H. Wang, *General one-loop reduction in generalized feynman parametrization form*, .

[19] A.V. Smirnov and F.S. Chuharev, *FIRE6: Feynman Integral REduction with Modular Arithmetic*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **247** (2020) 106877 [1901.07808].