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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the existence of traveling wave solutions for diffusive two-species Lotka-Volterra systems with delay in both the reaction and diffusion terms without monotonicity. We extend the partial or cross monotone iteration method to systems that satisfy the partial quasi-monotone condition via construction appropriate upper and lower solutions. This is done by using Schauder's fixed point theorem.


## 1. Introduction

Nonlinear parabolic partial differential equations play a vital role in mathematical modeling for engineering as well as the physical and biological sciences. The specific type of parabolic equations that we are interested in are called reaction diffusion equations. When no delay is present there is a myriad of scholarly work. In particular, there are very elegant and classical results for traveling wave front solutions of PDE, see [5, 8, ,9, 14, 21, 22, 26, 31].

The first work that studied the existence and properties of traveling waves in diffusionreaction equations with delay in reaction term is attributed to Schaaf, [25]. The methods used by Schaaf were phase space analysis and the maximum principle for parabolic functional differential equations for a Fisher type nonlinearity.

The seminal work for constructing monotone wave fronts for reaction diffusion is the paper by Wu and Zou [34]. Wu and Zou studied the existence of traveling wave solutions with a singular delay in the reaction term. The existence of such solutions was established under a quasimonotone or exponential quasimonotone property via an iteration of appropriate upper and lower solutions. This is the so-called monotone iteration method.

The above results were extended by Ma, 18 by employing the Schauder fixed point theorem using the decay norm. Moreover, Ma developed so-called super and sub solutions. This formulation relaxes the requirements of the upper and lower solutions in Wu and Zou.

[^0]Boumenir and Nguyen, [3] introduced the concept of quasi-upper/lower solutions, which removes the $C^{2}$ requirement for the initial construction of upper and lower solutions. It was also shown that the quasi solutions become upper and lower solutions after one iteration. For an overview of results for traveling waves of reaction diffusion equations with delay, see [1, 3, 7, 10, 12, 16, 18, 25, 26, 30, 31, 33, 36, their progeny and references therein.

An important model in Biology is the so called Lotka-Volterra diffusion-cooperation system. Traveling waves solutions are important in such models. The literature for traveling diffusioncooperation models have been concerned with either no delay or delay in the reaction term. Lotka-Volterra models of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}=D_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{1} u(x, t)\left(1-a_{1} u\left(x, t-\tau_{1}\right)-b_{1} v\left(x, t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t}=D_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{2} v(x, t)\left(1-b_{2} v\left(x, t-\tau_{3}\right)-a_{2} u\left(x, t-\tau_{4}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where, $u(x, t), v(x, t)$ represent the population densities of two competing species, $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are positive diffusion constants, $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ is the respective intrinsic population growth for $u, v$, and $a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}$ are positive constants have been studied in [13, 15, 17, 23, 28, 29, 32] and references therein.

It is important to mention that the standard monotone iteration and relaxed exponential monotone iteration methods fail to allow for the construction of upper/lower solutions. A different iteration method is required, which can be referred to partial quasi-monotone, cross, or mixed interaction method.

The existence of traveling waves for systems of the form (1.1) was studied in Li, et al. [15] via constructing weak upper and lower solutions and using the Schauder fixed point theorem. Feng et al. [28, 29] obtained similar results for ratio dependent multi-species Lotka-Volterra competition models.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of traveling wave solutions of the following Lotka-Volterra competition-cooperation model with delay in the diffusion term

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}=D_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x, t-\tau_{1}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{1} u(x, t)\left(1-a_{1} u(x, t)-b_{1} v\left(x, t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
& \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t}=D_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v\left(x, t-\tau_{3}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{2} v(x, t)\left(1-b_{2} v(x, t)-a_{2} u\left(x, t-\tau_{4}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $u(x, t), v(x, t)$ represent the population densities of two competing species, $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are positive diffusion constants, $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ is the respective intrinsic population growth for $u, v$. The constants $a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}$ are positive and the values $\tau_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$ are positive time delays.

In order to use a monotone or partial monotone iteration method the positiveness of the unique bounded solutions needs to be established. The question of placing delay in the diffusion term has been difficult to answer. In Barker and Nguyen, [2] it was shown that the second order delay equation of the form $x^{\prime \prime}(t)-a x^{\prime}(t+r)-b x(t+r)=f(t)$, where $a \neq 0, b, r>0$ has a unique bounded solution for each given bounded and continuous $f(t)$. Moreover, if $r>0$ is sufficiently small and $f(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the unique bounded solution $x_{f}(t) \leq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This was done by using complex analysis to determine properties of the characteristic equation, for more information see [4, 6, 11, 19, 20, 24, ,27, and references therein. Our question seems to differ from the standard study where delay in the diffusion term is not considered, see [1, 3, 7, 10, 13, 15]-18, 23, [25, 26, 28, 36].

The paper will be organized as follows: in Section 2 we will put forth preliminary information that will be utilized throughout the paper. In Section 3, we will show the existence of traveling waves for the system (1.2) via Schauder's fixed point theorem in a Banach space equipped with exponential decay norm. This will be aided by partial monotone conditions that will be placed on appropriate upper and lower solutions.

It will also be shown that the existence of quasi upper and lower solutions imply the existence of smooth upper and lower solutions. This will also imply the existence of quasi upper and lower solutions is a sufficient condition for the existence of traveling waves. In Section 4, we will construct quasi upper and lower solutions to an interesting Lotka-Volterra competition model for two species.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper we will use some standard notations as $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{n}$ for the fields of reals and complex numbers in $n$ dimensions. We also take standard ordering for $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. This means $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)^{T}$. We say that $u \leq v$ if $u_{1} \leq v_{1}, u_{2} \leq v_{2}$. We also say that $u<v$ if $u_{1}<v_{1}, u_{2}<v_{2}$. We also take $|\cdot|$ to be the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

The space of all bounded and continuous functions from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is denoted by $B C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ which is equipped with the sup-norm $\|f\|:=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|f(t)\|$, where $f(t) \in C\left(U, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, where $U \subset \mathbb{R} . B C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ stands for the space of all $k$-time continuously differentiable functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that all derivatives up to order $k$ are bounded.

If the boundedness is dropped from the above function spaces we will simply denote them by $C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $C^{k}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. For $f, g \in B C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we will use the natural order $f \leq g$ if and only if $f(t) \leq g(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. A constant function $f(t)=\alpha$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $\hat{\alpha}$.

Moreover, we note that $f_{i c}\left(\phi_{\theta}, \psi_{\theta}\right): \mathbb{X}_{c \tau}=C\left([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
f_{i c}(\phi, \psi)=f_{i}\left(\phi^{c}, \psi^{c}\right), \phi^{c}(\theta)=\phi(c \theta), \psi^{c}(\theta)=\psi(c \theta), \theta \in[\tau, 0], i=1,2 .
$$

This allows us to see that the system (1.2) under a wave front transformation can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)=0  \tag{2.1}\\
& D_{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the delay has been moved out of the higher order term via an invariant translation. We also implement the following asymptotic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \phi(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t)=k_{1} \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \psi(t)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t)=k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0<k_{1} \leq 1,0<k_{2} \leq 1$. The following conditions for the reaction terms are employed
(C1) $f(\hat{0})=f(\hat{K})=0$, where $K=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$
(C2) There are two Lipchitz constants $L_{1}>0, L_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|f_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right| \leq L_{1}\|\Phi-\Psi\| \\
&\left|f_{2}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{2}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right| \leq L_{2}\|\Phi-\Psi\|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\left.\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), \Psi=\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right) \in C([\tau, 0], \mathbb{R})\right)$, and $0 \leq \Phi(s), \Psi(s) \leq K$.

## 3. The Case of Partial Quasimonotonicity

3.1. Partial Quasimonotonicity Conditions. In this section, we develop sufficient conditions for the existence of traveling wave solutions for equations of the form (2.1). We invoke the following cross iteration scheme.

Definition 3.1. Partial Quasi-Monotone Condition (PQM) Fix two constants $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (P1) } f_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right)+\beta_{1}\left[\phi_{1}(0)-\phi_{2}(0)\right] \geq 0, \\
& \text { (P2) } f_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right) \leq 0 \\
& \text { (P3) } f_{2}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{2}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)+\beta_{2}\left[\psi_{1}(0)-\psi_{2}(0)\right] \geq 0 . \\
& \text { (P4) } f_{2}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{2}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), 0 \leq \phi_{2} \leq \phi_{1} \leq k_{1}, 0 \leq \psi_{2} \leq \psi_{1} \leq k_{2}$.

Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ be the constants in (PQM), define the following operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}(\phi, \psi)(t)=f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi\left(t+r_{1}\right), \phi, \psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})  \tag{3.1}\\
& H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t)=f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)+\beta_{2} \psi\left(t+r_{3}\right), \phi, \psi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The operators $H_{1}, H_{2}$ satisfy the following properties:
Lemma 3.2. Assume ( $C 1$ ) and ( $P Q M$ ) hold, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i) } H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \leq H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \\
& \text { ii) } H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \leq H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(t) \\
& \text { iii) } H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t) \geq 0 \\
& \text { iv) } H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t) \text { is non-decreasing for } t \in \mathbb{R} \\
& \text { v) } H_{2}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(t) \leq H_{2}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Looking towards i.). By (PQM) it is clear

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right)(t)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t)=f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi_{2}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\left(f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)-f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(\phi_{2}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =-\left[f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)-f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(\phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\phi_{2}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right)\right] \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by (P1) pf (PQM). Thus, $H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right)(t)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \leq 0$. This finishes $i$.) We will show ii.) via direct computation as well. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(t)=f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\left(f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{2 t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)-f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{2 t}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by (P2) of (PQM). This proves ii.) Part iii.) follows directly from ( $C_{1}$ ) and each $\phi, \psi, \beta_{2} \geq 0$. In order to prove $i v$.) we fix $t \in \mathbb{R}, s>0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leq \phi_{t} \leq \phi_{t+s} \leq k_{1} \\
& 0 \leq \psi_{t} \leq \psi_{t+s} \leq k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

due to the fact that both $\phi$ and $\psi$ are non-decreasing. From here, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t+s)-H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t)=f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t+s}, \psi_{t+s}\right)+\beta_{2} \phi(t+s)-\left(f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)+\beta_{2} \phi(t)\right) \\
& =f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t+s}, \psi_{t+s}\right)-f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)+\beta_{2}[\phi(t+s)-\phi(t)] \\
& =f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t+s}, \psi_{t+s}\right)-f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{t}, \psi_{t}\right)+\beta_{2}\left[\phi_{t+s}(0)-\phi_{t}(0)\right] \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by (P3) of (PQM). This proves iv.) Part v.) follows directly from (P3) of (PQM). This completes the proof.

We can write the system (2.1) for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\beta_{1} \phi\left(t+r_{1}\right)+H_{1}(\phi, \psi)(t)=0  \tag{3.3}\\
& D_{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)-\beta_{2} \psi\left(t+r_{3}\right)+H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

3.2. Existence of Traveling Wave Solutions. In this section we will consider existence of positive solutions for the system (3.3). In [2], it was shown that equations of the form $x^{\prime \prime}(t)-a x^{\prime}(t+r)-b x(t+r)=f(t)$ have positive solutions where $r \in \mathbb{R}, f \in B C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. It was also noted that these results can be extended to higher dimensions.

In order to deal with the nonlinear part, we will show the existence of fixed point(s) by finding a set of appropriate convolution operators and applying Schrauder's fixed point theorem. Defining the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}=\left\{(\phi, \psi) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right):(0,0) \leq(\phi, \psi) \leq\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we rewrite Eq.(3.3) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\phi, \psi)^{T}=-\mathcal{L}^{-1} H(\phi, \psi)=\left(-\mathcal{L}_{1}^{-1} H_{1}(\phi, \psi),-\mathcal{L}_{2}^{-1} H_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)^{T}, \phi, \psi \in \Gamma_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $F:=-\mathcal{L}^{-1} H=-\left(F_{1} H_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2} H_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)^{T}$. Thus, we can use the following PerronLyapunov integral operator

$$
F=\left(F_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right): \Gamma_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}(\phi, \psi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t-s, r) H_{1}(\phi(s), \psi(s)) d s  \tag{3.6}\\
& F_{2}(\phi, \psi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{2}(t-s, r) H_{2}(\phi(s), \psi(s)) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have positive constants $M_{1}, M_{2}, \delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ that are uniformly bounded in $r$ for some sufficiently small $r>0$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|G_{1}(t, r)\right| & \leq M_{1} e^{-\delta_{1}|t|} \\
\left|G_{2}(t, r)\right| & \leq M_{2} e^{-\delta_{2}|t|}
\end{aligned}
$$

See Theorem 4.1 [20].
Lemma 3.3. Define $F=\left(F_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)$ as above, then for any $(\phi, \psi) \in \Gamma_{1}$, then i) $\left(F_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right): \Gamma_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is well defined.
ii) $F_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2}(\phi, \psi)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1} F_{1}^{\prime \prime}(\phi, \psi)-c F_{1}^{\prime}(\phi, \psi)-\beta_{1} F_{1}(\phi, \psi)+H_{1}(\phi, \psi)=0  \tag{3.7}\\
& D_{2} F_{2}^{\prime \prime}(\phi, \psi)-c F_{2}^{\prime}(\phi, \psi)-\beta_{2} F_{2}(\phi, \psi)+H_{2}(\phi, \psi)=0
\end{align*}
$$

The proof is straight forward, and is hence omitted. Moreover, if $(\phi, \psi)$ is a fixed point of $\left(F_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)$, then the system (3.3) has a traveling wave solution. To this end, $\left(F_{1}(\phi, \psi), F_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)$, enjoys similar properties as $\left(H_{1}(\phi, \psi), H_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)$, in Lemma (3.2). Indeed,

Lemma 3.4. Assume that ( $C 1$ ) and ( $P Q M$ ) hold, then
i) $F_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t)$ is non-decreasing for $t \in \mathbb{R}$
ii) $F_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \leq F_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t)$
iii) $F_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t) \leq F_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)(t)$
iv) $F_{2}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(t) \leq F_{2}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(t)$,
when $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2} \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), 0 \leq \phi_{2} \leq \phi_{1} \leq k_{1}, 0 \leq \psi_{2} \leq \psi_{1} \leq k_{2}$.

The proof follows from the inherited properties of $\left(H_{1}(\phi, \psi), H_{2}(\phi, \psi)\right)$ from Lemma (3.2).
3.2.1. Existence Via Schauder's Fixed Point Theorem. We define the following set $\Gamma_{2}((\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}),(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}))=\left\{(\phi, \psi) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right): i\right) \psi(t)$ is nondecreasing in $\mathbb{R}$. and

$$
\text { ii) } \underline{\phi}(t) \leq \phi(t) \leq \bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi}(t) \leq \psi(t) \leq \bar{\psi}(t)\} \text {. }
$$

Furthermore, we define the exponential decay norm for some $\mu>0$ as

$$
|\Phi|_{\mu}=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-\mu|t|}| | \Phi(t) \| .
$$

Now, define the ball as

$$
B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\left\{\Phi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right):|\Phi|_{\mu}<\infty\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.5. Define $\Gamma_{2}((\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}),(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi})),|\Phi|_{\mu}$, and $B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ as above, then
i) $\Gamma_{2}$ is nonempty.
ii) $\Gamma_{2}$ is closed, bounded and convex.
iii) $\Gamma_{2} \subset B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
iv) $\left(B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right),|\cdot|_{\mu}\right)$ is a Banach space.

The result is classical, thus the proof is omitted. It is now possible to complete the requirements of Schauder's theorem.

Lemma 3.6. Assume (C1), (C2) and (PQM) hold, then
i) $F: \Gamma_{2}((\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}),(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi})) \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}((\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}),(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}))$.
ii) $F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right)$ is continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\mu}$ in $B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

Proof. For part i.) we only need to show that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\underline{\phi} \leq F_{1}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) \leq F_{1}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \leq \bar{\phi} \\
\underline{\psi} \leq F_{2}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \leq F_{2}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) \leq \bar{\psi}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This is due to the fact

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{1}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) \leq F_{1}(\phi, \psi) \leq F_{1}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \\
F_{2}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \leq F_{2}(\phi, \psi) \leq F_{2}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We only show the first inequality, because the second follows the same way. We first show that $\underline{\phi} \leq F_{1}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi})(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t-s, r) H_{1}(\underline{\phi}, \bar{\psi})(s) d s \\
& \geq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t-s, r)\left(-D_{1} \underline{\phi}^{\prime \prime}(t)+c \underline{\phi}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\beta_{1} \underline{\phi}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) d s=\underline{\phi}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Next, we show $F_{1}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \leq \bar{\phi}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi})(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t-s, r) H_{1}(\bar{\phi}, \underline{\psi})(s) d s \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t-s, r)\left(-D_{1} \bar{\phi}^{\prime \prime}(t)+c \bar{\phi}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\beta_{1} \bar{\phi}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) d s=\bar{\phi}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The proof is complete. The method of proof for part ii.) is similar to [15], Lemma 3.4 with several modifications. To this end, we only need to show

$$
F_{1}: B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

is continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\mu}$, because the proof for $F_{2}(\phi, \psi)$ is very similar. Take $\mu<\max \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$ and let $\Phi=\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right), \Psi=\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right) \in B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. It is clear that

$$
F_{1}=\left(F_{1}(\Phi), F_{1}(\Psi)\right) \in B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

We will now turn our attention to the continuity of $F$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$, and take

$$
\delta<\min \left\{\frac{\varepsilon\left(\delta_{1}-\mu\right)}{2 M_{1}}, \frac{\varepsilon}{e^{\mu c \tau} L_{1}+\beta_{1}}\right\},
$$

where $L_{1}$ is the Lipchitz constant from ( C 2 ), $\beta_{1}$ is from ( PQM ), and $\delta_{1}, M_{1}$ are from the bounds of the Green function. We will first prove that $H_{1}: B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\mu}$. We take $|\Phi(t)-\Psi(t)|_{\mu}<\delta$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A:=\left|H_{1}(\Phi)(t)-H_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right|_{\mu} \\
& =\left|f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{2 t}, \psi_{2 t}\right)+\beta_{1} \phi_{2}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right)\right|_{\mu} \\
& \leq\left|f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1 t}, \psi_{1 t}\right)-f_{2 c}\left(\phi_{2 t}, \psi_{2 t}\right)\right|_{\mu}+\beta_{1}\left|\phi_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\phi_{2}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right|_{\mu} \\
& \leq L_{1}| | \Phi(t)-\Psi(t)| |_{\mathbb{X}_{c \tau}} e^{-\mu|t|}+\beta_{1} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\phi_{1}(t)-\phi_{2}(t)\right|_{\mu} \\
& \leq L_{1} \sup _{\theta \in(-c \tau, 0)}|\Phi(t+\theta)-\Psi(t+\theta)| e^{-\mu|t+\theta|}+\beta_{1}|\Phi(t)-\Psi(t)|_{\mu} \\
& \leq e^{\mu c \tau} L_{1}|\Phi(t)-\Psi(t)|_{\mu}+\beta_{1}|\Phi(t)-\Psi(t)|_{\mu}<\left(e^{\mu c \tau} L_{1}+\beta_{1}\right) \delta \\
& <\left(e^{\mu c \tau} L_{1}+\beta_{1}\right)\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{e^{\mu c \tau} L_{1}+\beta_{1}}\right)<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
H_{1}: B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

is continuous. The proof for $H_{2}(\phi, \psi)(t)$ is similar. Therefore,

$$
H=\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right): B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

is continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\mu}$. We can now prove that $F_{1}$ is continuous in the same manner. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B:=\left|F_{1}(\Phi)(t)-F_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right|=\left|F_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-F_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_{1}(t-s, r)\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right|\left|\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right|\left|\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right| d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can now use the fact that $\left(H_{1}(\phi, \psi)(t)\right.$ is continuous with respect to the exponential decay norm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|}\left|\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right| e^{-\mu|s|} d s \\
& +\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|}\left|\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right| e^{-\mu|s|} d s \\
& \leq\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s+\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s\right) \\
& \times \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right|_{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we will use the fact that $G_{1}(t, r)$ is bounded and decays as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ to see the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B \leq \delta\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s+\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s\right) \\
& \leq \delta\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t} M_{1} e^{-\delta_{1}|t-s|} e^{\mu|s|} d s+\int_{t}^{\infty} M_{1} e^{-\delta_{1}|t-s|} e^{\mu|s|} d s\right) \\
& \leq M_{1} \delta\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-\delta_{1}(t-s)+\mu s} d s+\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-\delta_{1}(t-s)-\mu s} d s+\int_{t}^{\infty} e^{\delta_{1}(t-s)+\mu s} d s\right) \\
&<2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1} e^{\mu t}+\mu e^{-\delta_{1} t}}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the exponential decay norm for $t>0$ we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F_{1}(\Phi)(t)-F_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right|_{\mu}=\left|F_{1}(\Phi)(t)-F_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right| e^{-\mu t} \\
& \leq 2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1} e^{\mu t}+\mu e^{-\delta_{1} t}}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right) e^{-\mu t}=2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1}+\mu e^{-\left(\delta_{1}+\mu\right) t}}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1}+\mu}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right)=2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{1}-\mu}\right)<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, when $t \leq 0$ we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F_{1}(\Phi)(t)-F_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right|=\left|F_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-F_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s+\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s\right) \\
& \times \sup _{s \in \mathbb{R}}\left|\left(H_{1}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)(s)-H_{1}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{2}\right)(s)\right)\right|_{\mu} \\
& \leq \delta\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s+\int_{t}^{\infty}\left|G_{1}(t-s, r)\right| e^{\mu|s|} d s\right) \\
& \leq M_{1} \delta\left(\int_{t}^{0} e^{\delta_{1}(t-s)-\mu s} d s+\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{-\delta_{1}(t-s)-\mu s} d s+\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\delta_{1}(t-s)+\mu s} d s\right) \\
& <2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta e^{-\mu t}+\mu e^{\delta_{1} t}}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly as above in the exponential norm for $t \leq 0$ we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|F_{1}(\Phi)(t)-F_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right|_{\mu}=\left|F_{1}(\Phi)(t)-F_{1}(\Psi)(t)\right| e^{\mu t} \\
& \leq 2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1} e^{-\mu t}+\mu e^{\delta_{1} t}}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right) e^{\mu t}=2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1}+\mu e^{\left(\delta_{1}+\mu\right) t}}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{\delta_{1}+\mu}{\delta_{1}^{2}-\mu^{2}}\right)=2 M_{1} \delta\left(\frac{1}{\delta_{1}-\mu}\right)<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
F=\left(F_{1}, F_{2}\right): B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow B_{\mu}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

is continuous with respect to $|\cdot|_{\mu}$.
Corollary 3.7. Assume ( $C 2$ ) holds, then there is exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|F(\Phi)-F(\Psi)|_{\mu} \leq C_{1}|\Phi-\Psi|_{\mu} \text { for all } \phi, \psi \in \Gamma_{2}, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Lemma 3.8. Assume ( $C 1$ ) and ( $C 2$ ) hold then $F\left(\Gamma_{2}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{2}$ is compact.
Proof. Corollary (3.7) in conjunction with Lemmas (3.5) and (3.6) shows that $F^{n}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded on any finite interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus, take $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then in the interval $[-n, n]$ we can say that $F^{n}$ is compact by Arzela-Ascoli. Now, define

$$
F(\Phi, \Psi)(t)= \begin{cases}F(\Phi, \Psi)(t), & \text { when } t \in[-n, n] \\ F(\Phi, \Psi)(n), & \text { when } t \in(n, \infty) \\ F(\Phi, \Psi)(-n), & \text { when } t \in(-\infty,-n)\end{cases}
$$

Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for all $(\phi(t), \psi(t)) \in \Gamma_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left|F^{n}(\Phi, \Psi)(t)-F(\Phi, \Psi)(t)\right|_{\mu} \\
& =\sup _{t \in(-\infty,-n) \cup(n, \infty)}\left|F^{n}(\Phi, \Psi)(t)-F(\Phi, \Psi)(t)\right|_{\mu} \\
& \leq 2 C_{1} e^{-\mu n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 C_{1} e^{-\mu n}=0$, so $F^{n} \rightarrow F$ in $\Gamma_{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We can apply Arzela-Ascoli to $F$ as well. The proof is complete.

We can now state and prove our main result.
Definition 3.9. A pair of functions $\bar{\Phi}=(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}), \underline{\Phi}=(\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$, where $\phi, \phi^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime \prime}, \psi, \psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime}$ are bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, is called an upper solution (lower solution, respectively) for the wave equation (2.1) if it satisfies the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+f_{1 c}\left(\overline{\phi_{t}}, \underline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0 \\
& D_{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+f_{2 c}\left(\overline{\phi_{t}}, \overline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+f_{1 c}\left(\underline{\phi_{t}}, \overline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0, \\
& D_{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+f_{2 c}\left(\underline{\phi_{t}}, \underline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.10. Assume $(C 1),(C 2)$ and $(P Q M)$ hold, if there is an upper $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) \in \Gamma_{2}$ and a lower solution $(\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \in \Gamma_{2}$ of $E q$.(2.1) such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
0 \leq \underline{\psi}(t) \leq \bar{\psi}(t), \quad 0 \leq \underline{\phi}(t) \leq \bar{\phi}(t) .
$$

Then, there exists a monotone traveling wave solution to the system (2.1).
Proof. Lemmas 3.5, 3.6, 3.8 allows us to use Schuader's fixed point theorem to show that there exists a fixed point for system(2.1). All we need to show is the asymptotic boundary conditions hold. If we apply the asymptotic limits and use the fact that any $(\phi, \psi) \in \Gamma_{2}$ we have

$$
(\underline{\phi}(t), \underline{\psi}(t)) \leq(\phi, \psi) \leq(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}) \leq\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)
$$

it is easy to see the asymptotic boundary holds. This completes the proof.

It is extremely difficult if not impossible to directly find upper/lower solutions directly. It is much easier to relax the conditions and construct upper and/or lower solutions using what are known as quasi-upper/lower solutions, which are "rougher" in nature.

Definition 3.11. A pair of functions $\bar{\Phi}=(\bar{\phi}, \bar{\psi}), \underline{\Phi}=(\underline{\phi}, \underline{\psi}) \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, where $\phi, \phi^{\prime}, \psi, \psi^{\prime}$ are bounded on $\mathbb{R}, \phi^{\prime \prime}, \psi^{\prime \prime}$ are locally integrable and essentially bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, is called a quasi- upper solution (quasi-lower solution, respectively) for the wave equation (2.1) if it satisfies the following for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+f_{1 c}\left(\overline{\phi_{t}}, \underline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0 \\
& D_{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+f_{2 c}\left(\overline{\phi_{t}}, \overline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1} \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+f_{1 c}\left(\underline{\phi_{t}}, \overline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0, \\
& D_{2} \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+f_{2 c}\left(\underline{\phi_{t}}, \underline{\psi_{t}}\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3.12. Let $(\phi, \psi)$ be a quasi- upper solution (quasi-lower solution, respectively) of Eq. (2.1). Then, $F(\phi, \psi)$ is an upper solution (lower solution, respectively) of Eq. (2.1).

Proof. This can be done in the same manner for Proposition (4.6) in [2]. Their proof hinges on the construction of an isomorphism between $W^{1, \infty}$ and $L^{\infty}$, so if $\varphi \in L^{\infty}$ for $T:\left(\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{T} \mapsto$ $(0, f)$. This is defined by [20, Theorem 4.1]. Also, there exists a unique bounded function $w \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ (see e.g. [19, 24]) such that

$$
D w^{\prime \prime}(t)-c w^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-\beta w\left(t+r_{1}\right)=-\beta \varphi\left(t+r_{1}\right)-f^{c}\left(\varphi_{t+r_{1}}\right)
$$

The inequalties can be shown using the monotone property.
Corollary 3.13. Let $(\phi, \psi)$ be a quasi- upper solution (quasi-lower solution, respectively) of Eq. (2.1). Then, there exists a monotone traveling wave solution of the system (2.1).

## 4. Applications

We will consider the system of equations (1.2). That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}=D_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x, t-\tau_{1}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{1} u(x, t)\left(1-u(x, t)-a v\left(x, t-\tau_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t}=D_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v\left(x, t-\tau_{3}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}+\alpha_{2} v(x, t)\left(1-v(x, t)-b u\left(x, t-\tau_{4}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

## Lemma 4.1. Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}(\phi, \psi)=\alpha_{1} \phi(0)\left(1-\phi(0)-a \psi\left(-r_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& f_{2}(\phi, \psi)=\alpha_{2} \psi(0)\left(1-\psi(0)-b \phi\left(-r_{4}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\phi, \psi \in C\left([-c \tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), \tau=\max \left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{3}\right\}$. Then $f_{1}(\phi, \psi), f_{2}(\phi, \psi)$ satisfy $(P Q M)$.
For the sake of brevity we only need to show that $f_{1}(\phi, \psi)$ satisfies the conditions, because $f_{2}(\phi, \psi)$ can be shown in the same manner. To this end take $0 \leq \phi_{2}(s) \leq \phi_{1}(s) \leq k_{1}, 0 \leq$ $\psi_{2}(s) \leq \psi_{1}(s) \leq k_{2}$, where $\phi_{i}, \psi_{i} \in C([-c \tau, 0], \mathbb{R})$. For $i$.) we see by direct calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}(0)\left(1-\phi_{1}(0)-a \psi_{1}\left(-r_{2}\right)\right)-\alpha_{1} \phi_{2}(0)\left(1-\phi_{2}(0)-a \psi_{1}\left(-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1}\left[\left(\phi_{1}(0)-\phi_{2}(0)\right)-\left(\phi_{1}^{2}(0)-\phi_{2}^{2}(0)\right)-a \psi_{1}\left(-r_{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\alpha_{1}\left(\phi_{1}(0)-\phi_{2}(0)\right)\left[1-a \psi_{1}\left(-r_{2}\right)-\left(\phi_{1}(0)+\phi_{2}(0)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \alpha_{1}\left(\phi_{1}(0)-\phi_{2}(0)\right)\left[1-a k_{2}-2 k_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $\beta_{1}>0$ such that $-\beta_{1} \leq \alpha_{1}\left(1-a k_{2}-2 k_{1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{2}, \psi_{1}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(\phi_{1}(0)-\phi_{2}(0)\right) \\
& \geq\left(\phi_{1}(0)-\phi_{2}(0)\right)\left[\alpha_{1}\left(1-a k_{2}-2 k_{1}\right)+\beta_{1}\right] \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof for part ii.) is also done via direct calculation and rather straight forward. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{1}\right)-f_{1 c}\left(\phi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}(0)\left(1-\phi_{1}(0)-a \psi_{1}\left(-r_{2}\right)\right)-\alpha_{1} \phi_{1}(0)\left(1-\phi_{1}(0)-a \psi_{2}\left(-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1} a\left(\psi_{2}\left(-r_{2}\right)-\psi_{1}\left(-r_{2}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $0 \leq \psi_{2}(s) \leq \psi_{1}(s) \leq k_{2}$ for all $s \in[-c \tau, 0]$. We define traveling wave solutions as $u(x, t)=\phi(x+c t), v(x, t)=\psi(x+c t)$, where $c>0$ is the wave speed. Applying the wave transformation and letting $\xi=x+c t$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u\left(x, t-\tau_{4}\right)=\phi\left(x+c\left(t-\tau_{4}\right)=\phi\left(x+c t-c \tau_{4}\right)=\phi\left(\xi-c \tau_{4}\right)\right. \\
& \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t}=c \phi^{\prime}(x+c t)=c \phi^{\prime}(\xi) \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x, t-\tau_{1}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}=\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(x+c\left(t-\tau_{1}\right)\right)=\phi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi-c \tau_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For the function $v(x, t)$ we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left(x, t-\tau_{2}\right)=\psi\left(x+c\left(t-\tau_{2}\right)=\psi\left(x+c t-c \tau_{2}\right)=\psi\left(\xi-c \tau_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial t}=c \psi^{\prime}(x+c t)=c \psi^{\prime}(\xi) \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} v\left(x, t-\tau_{3}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}=\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(x+c\left(t-\tau_{3}\right)\right)=\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi-c \tau_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, Taking $r_{i}=c \tau_{i}, i=1,2,3,4, D_{1}, D_{2}=1$ and for simplicity we move the delay out of the delay term by taking $t=\xi-r_{i}, 1=1,3$ the system (1.2) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \phi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \phi\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\phi\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \psi\left(t+\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \psi^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2} \psi\left(t+r_{3}\right)\left(1-\psi\left(t+r_{3}\right)-b \phi\left(t+\left(r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The construction of the quasi-upper/lower solutions will be similar to that of the BelousovZhabotinskii Equations in the application section of [2] and (PQM). In fact, we can use Rouché's Theorem to proof the following claim.

Claim 4.2. Consider, $P_{1}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2}-c \lambda+\alpha_{1}=0$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}=\frac{c+\sqrt{c^{2}-4 \alpha_{1}}}{2}
$$

is the positive root. Let $c>2 \sqrt{\alpha_{1}}$ and $U_{1}$ be an open strip $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda_{0}-\epsilon_{1}<\Re z<\lambda_{0}+\epsilon_{1}\right\}$ so that it does not include the other root of $P_{1}(\lambda)$ in it. Then, for sufficiently small $r_{1}$ there exists only a single root $\lambda_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2}-c \lambda e^{r_{1} \lambda}+\alpha_{1} e^{r_{1} \lambda}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U_{1}$ that depends continuously on $r_{1}$. Moreover, $\lambda_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)$ is real and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)=\lambda_{0} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the following claim by the same reasoning.
Claim 4.3. Consider, $P_{2}(\mu)=\mu^{2}-c \mu+\alpha_{2}=0$, where

$$
\mu_{0}=\frac{c+\sqrt{c^{2}-4 \alpha_{2}}}{2}
$$

is the positive root. Let $c>2 \sqrt{\alpha_{2}}$ and $U_{2}$ be an open strip $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \mu_{0}-\epsilon_{2}<\Re z<\mu_{0}+\epsilon_{2}\right\}$ so that it does not include the other root of $P_{2}(\mu)$ in it. Then, for sufficiently small $r_{1}$ there exists only a single root $\mu_{1}\left(r_{3}\right)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{2}-c \mu e^{r_{3} \mu}+\alpha_{2} e^{r_{3} \mu}=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $U_{2}$ that depends continuously on $r_{3}$. Moreover, $\mu_{1}\left(r_{3}\right)$ is real and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \mu_{1}\left(r_{3}\right)=\mu_{0} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also take $\alpha_{2} \geq \alpha_{1}$ such that $0<\mu_{0}<\lambda_{0}$. Thus, $0<\mu_{1}<\lambda_{1}$. Defining $\bar{\phi}_{1}$ and $\bar{\phi}_{2}$ as follows:

$$
\bar{\phi}_{1}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda_{1} t}, & t \leq 0, \\
1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t}, & t>0
\end{array} \quad \bar{\phi}_{2}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} e^{\mu_{1} t}, \quad t \leq 0 \\
1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1} t}, \quad t>0
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

and we set

$$
\underline{\varphi_{2}}(t)=0, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \underline{\varphi_{1}}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{e^{\lambda_{2} t}}{4}, t<-T, \\
f(t),-T \leq t \leq T \\
\frac{1}{2}, t>T,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f(t)=a(t-T)^{3}+b(t-T)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

and $T$ is a large number. The function $f(t)$ enjoys the following properties:
i) This bridges smoothly the function $e^{\lambda_{2} t} / 4$ and the constant function $1 / 2$
ii) $f(-T)=(1 / 4) e^{-\lambda_{2} T}, f^{\prime}(-T)=\left(\lambda_{2} / 4\right) e^{-\lambda_{2} T}, f^{\prime}(T)=0, f(T)=1 / 2$.

Here, $a$ and $b$ are easily found via simple calculation. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{\lambda_{2} T e^{-\lambda_{2} T}+e^{-\lambda_{2} T}-2}{16 T^{3}} \\
& b=\frac{\lambda_{2} T e^{-\lambda_{2} T}+6\left(\frac{e^{-\lambda_{2} T}}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{8 T^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We also have the following claim.
Claim 4.4. Define $\bar{\phi}_{1}, \bar{\phi}_{2}, \underline{\phi_{1}}, \underline{\phi_{2}}$ as above, then $\left(\left(\underline{\phi_{1}}, \underline{\phi_{2}}\right),\left(\bar{\phi}_{1}, \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)\right) \in \Gamma_{2}$.
Lemma 4.5. For sufficiently small $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $c>\max \left\{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{1}}, 2 \sqrt{\alpha_{2}}\right\}$ where $\bar{\phi}_{1}, \bar{\phi}_{2}, \underline{\phi_{1}}, \underline{\phi_{2}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\left(\bar{\phi}_{1}, \bar{\phi}_{2}\right)^{T}$ is a quasi-upper solution of Eq. 4.3.

Proof. The proof will be completed in cases. Indeed, fix $r=\max \left\{r_{1}, r_{3}\right\}$.
Case 1: $t \leq-r$. Direction substitution into the first equation of Eq. 4.3 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \underline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1} t}-c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the second equation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)-b \overline{\phi_{1}}\left(t+\left(r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\mu_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1} t}-c \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}-\frac{b}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+\left(r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& =e^{\mu_{1} t}\left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{2}}{2}-c \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} e^{\mu_{1} r_{3}}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1} r_{3}}\right)-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\frac{b}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+\left(r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\frac{b}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+\left(r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)}\right) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2: $-r \leq t \leq 0$. Direct substitution into the first equation of Eq. 4.3 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \underline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1} t}-c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+\alpha_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1} t}-c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}-c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)} \\
& +\alpha_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}-c \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+\alpha_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\right) \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)} \\
& =\left(c \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \sinh \left(\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right)-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{4} e^{-2 \lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the power expansion of $\sinh x$ we have $\sinh \left(\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right) \approx \lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+o\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)$. Thus, for some small $r_{1}$ we have
$\left(c \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \sinh \left(\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right)-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{4} e^{-2 \lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}=\left(c \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+o\left(r_{1}^{2}\right)\right)-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{4} e^{-2 \lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)} \leq 0$.

For the second equation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)-b \overline{\phi_{1}}\left(t+\left(r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\mu_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1} t}-\frac{c \mu_{1}}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\alpha_{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)-\frac{b}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& =\frac{\mu_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1} t}-\frac{c \mu_{1}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\frac{c \mu_{1}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} e^{\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}-\frac{c \mu_{1}}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)} \\
& +\alpha_{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)-\frac{b}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)}\right] \\
& =c \mu_{1} \sinh \left(\mu_{1} r_{3}\right)-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{2} \sinh \left(\mu_{1} r_{3}\right)-\alpha_{2}\left[\frac{1}{4} e^{-2 \mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\frac{b}{2} e^{\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is less than zero as $r_{3} \rightarrow 0$, so we have the result.
Case 3: $0<t$. Direction substitution into the first equation of Eq. 4.3 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \bar{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \phi_{2}\left(t+\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{-\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-\frac{c \lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+\alpha_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\right)\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{-\lambda_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t}+\frac{c \lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t+\lambda_{1} r_{1}}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t+\lambda_{1} r_{1}}-\frac{c \lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t+\lambda_{1} r_{1}}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1} t+\lambda_{1} r_{1}} \\
& -\frac{c \lambda_{1}}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}+\alpha_{1}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\right)\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that

$$
-\alpha_{1}\left(1-\frac{e^{-\lambda_{1} t-\lambda_{1} r_{1}}}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}}{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\lim _{r_{1} \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{1}-c \lambda_{1} \cosh \left(\lambda_{1} r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \sinh \left(\lambda_{1} r_{1}\right)=\alpha_{1}-c \lambda_{0}
$$

because $\lambda_{1} \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$. A simple calculation shows

$$
\alpha_{1}-c \lambda_{0}=\alpha_{1}-c\left(\frac{c+\sqrt{c^{2}-4 \alpha_{1}}}{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

due to the fact that $c \geq 2 \sqrt{\alpha_{1}}$. This means that there exists some $r^{*}>0$ dependent on $c$ such that $0<r_{1} \leq r^{*}(c)$ and

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}-c \lambda_{1} \cosh \left(\lambda_{1} r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \sinh \left(\lambda_{1} r_{1}\right)\right) e^{-\lambda_{1} t}-\alpha_{1}\left(1-\frac{e^{-\lambda_{1} t-\lambda_{1} r_{1}}}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)}}{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

For the second equation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \bar{\phi}_{2}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2} \bar{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)\left(1-\bar{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)-b \overline{\phi_{1}}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{-\mu_{1}^{2}}{2} e^{-\mu_{1} t}-c \frac{\mu_{1}}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}+\alpha_{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right) \\
& \times\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)-b\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{2}-c \mu_{1} \cosh \left(\mu_{1} r_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2} \sinh \left(\mu_{1} r_{3}\right)\right) e^{-\mu_{1} t} \\
& -\alpha_{2}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\mu_{1}\left(t+r_{3}\right)}\right)+b\left(1-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda_{1}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)}\right)\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by the same reasoning as the first equation in this case. This proves the Lemma.
Lemma 4.6. For sufficiently small $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and $c>\max \left\{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{1}}, 2 \sqrt{\alpha_{2}}\right\}$ where $\bar{\phi}_{1}, \bar{\phi}_{2}, \underline{\phi_{1}}, \underline{\phi_{2}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)^{T}$ is a quasi-lower solution of Eq. 4.3.

Proof. We will show this Lemma in cases like above. In fact, we only need to show that the inequality is satisfied for the first equation in the model due to the fact for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the second equation always is zero. This is shown by

$$
\underline{\phi}_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \underline{\phi}_{2}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{3}\right)+\alpha_{2} \underline{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)\left(1-\underline{\phi}_{2}\left(t+r_{3}\right)-b \underline{\phi_{1}}\left(t+r_{3}-r_{4}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Case 1: $t \leq-T-r$. Direction substitution into the first equation of Eq. 4.3 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\left[\underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right]+\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{\lambda_{2} t}\left[\lambda_{2}^{2}-c \lambda_{2} e^{\lambda_{2} r_{1}}+\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} e^{\lambda_{2} r_{1}}\right]+\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, we can take $T$ to be sufficiently large enough such that

$$
\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Case 2: $-r-T<t \leq T$. Direction substitution into the first equation of Eq. 4.3 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(t)-c f^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} f\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{f\left(t+r_{1}\right)}{4}-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, we can take $T$ large enough such that

$$
\alpha_{1} f\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{f\left(t+r_{1}\right)}{4}-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

In [2] it was noted that on this interval

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sup _{-T-r_{1} \leq t \leq T}\left|\underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|+c\left|\underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\right| \\
& =\sup _{-T \leq t \leq T}\left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|+c\left|f^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

so we have that

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(t)-c f^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} f\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\frac{f\left(t+r_{1}\right)}{4}-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

Case 3: $t \geq T$. Direction substitution into the first equation of Eq. 4.3 yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\phi}_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)-c \underline{\underline{\phi}}_{1}^{\prime}\left(t+r_{1}\right)+\alpha_{1} \underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)\left(1-\underline{\phi}_{1}\left(t+r_{1}\right)-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}-a \overline{\phi_{2}}\left(t+r_{1}-r_{2}\right)\right) \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof.
Corollary 4.7. Assume that $c>\max \left\{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{1}}, 2 \sqrt{\alpha_{2}}\right\}$ is given. Then, the system (4.3) has a traveling wave solution $(u(x, t), v(x, t))^{T}=\Phi=(\phi(x+c t), \psi(x+c t))$ for sufficiently small delays $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$.
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