## On the group of homeomorphisms of the Basilica

YURY A.NERETIN<sup>1</sup>

We show that the group of all homeomorphisms of the Basilica fractal coincides with a group of transformations of a certain non-locally finite ribbon tree. Also, we show that Basilica Thompson group defined by Belk and Forrest is dense in the group of all orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the Basilica.

1. The Basilica. The Basilica  $\mathcal{B}$  is a compact topological space obtained as a quotient of the circle  $S = \mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$  under the equivalence relation

(1) 
$$\frac{3k+1}{3^n} \sim \frac{3k+2}{3^n}$$
, where k, n are nonnegative integers,

In particular  $1 \sim 2 = 0$ . In other words, we write numbers in ternary system and identify  $a_0.a_1...a_{n-1}1 \sim a_0.a_1...a_{n-1}2$ . The reader can find nice pictures of the Basilica in papers [7], [1]–[2] or their preprint versions in **arXiv**, see also Fig. 1.

We say that a *separating point* of  $\mathcal{B}$  is a point corresponding a nontrivial (twopoint) equivalence class. Denote by Sep( $\mathcal{B}$ ) the set of separating points. If  $x \in$ Sep( $\mathcal{B}$ ), then  $\mathcal{B} \setminus x$  consists of two components. Otherwise,  $\mathcal{B} \setminus x$  is connected.

It is convenient to think that our circle S is a boundary of a disk D, and equivalent points are connected by chords [a-b] (*leafs*), clearly they are disjoint. Denote the set of all leafs by  $L(\mathcal{B})$ . Leafs divide the disc into domains, say *Cantorgons* (cf. [4]), having a countable number of sides, an intersection of a Cantorgon with S is a totally disconnected (Cantor) set. The set of sides of a Cantorgon has a canonical cyclic order; as a cyclic ordered set this set is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  (or to a countable dense subset of a circle, all such subsets are equivalent).

REMARK. The set of all leafs can be obtained as follows. Consider a circle of length 2. Its diameter [0-1] splits a circle into two arcs. We divide each arc by a pair of points with ratios 1:1:1 and connect elements of each pair by a chord. We get 6 ends of chords and 6 arcs and divide arcs with ratios 1:1:1, etc.

Consider a Cantorgon C and contract each side to a point. Then we get a topological disc, its boundary  $\eth(C)$  is a topological circle equipped with a canonical orientation induced from S. Each circle  $\eth(C_j)$  is homeomorphically embedded to  $\mathscr{B}$ , we call such image a *component* of the Basilica. Two components are disjoint or contain one joint point, which with necessity is a separating point. The union of all components is dense in the Basilica.

Clearly, homeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}$  send components to components. We say that a homeomorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  preserves orientation if it is an orientation preserving map on each component. Denote by Homeo( $\mathcal{B}$ ) the group of all homeomorphisms of  $\mathcal{B}$ , by Homeo<sub>+</sub>( $\mathcal{B}$ ) the subgroup of orientation preserving homeomorphisms.

2. The tree of the Basilica. Consider a graph T, whose vertices are enumerated by Cantorgons of  $\mathcal{B}$  and edges are enumerated by leafs; an edge contains a vertex if the corresponding leaf is a side of the corresponding Cantorgon. Clearly, T is a tree and valences of all vertices are countable. Denote by edge(v) the set of edges incident to a vertex v. As we have seen above, each set edge(v) has a canonical cyclic order. Denote the tree equipped with this structure by  $T(\mathcal{B})$ .

Consider an automorphism g of the tree T (i.e., a bijection of the set of vertices to itself sending adjacent vertices to adjacent vertices). We say that g is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by the grant FWF, P31591.




FIGURE 1. We draw a circle  $\mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}$  as a segment of the horizontal line and connect equivalent points by arcs.

orientation preserving if for each vertex v the map g induces a cyclic order preserving map  $\operatorname{edge}(v) \to \operatorname{edge}(gv)$ . We say that g respects orientation if each induced map  $\operatorname{edge}(v) \to \operatorname{edge}(gv)$  preserves or inverses the cyclic order. We denote by  $\operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  (resp.,  $\operatorname{Aut}(T(\mathcal{B}))$ ) the groups of all orientation preserving (resp., orientation respecting) automorphisms of  $T(\mathcal{B})$  (this construction is inside the formalism of Burger-Mozes groups, see [3], Subsect. 3.2).

We define topology on these group by the assumption: stabilizers of finite subtrees are open subgroups. It is easy to see that we get topological groups, and, moreover, Polish groups (a group is called *Polish* if it is homeomorphic to a complete metric space<sup>2</sup>).

**3.** The statement of the paper. Since a homeomorphism of the Basilica sends components to components and separating points to separating points, we have a canonical homomorphism

$$\Pi : \operatorname{Homeo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(T(\mathcal{B})),$$

sending Homeo<sub>+</sub>( $\mathcal{B}$ )  $\rightarrow$  Aut<sub>+</sub>( $T(\mathcal{B}$ )). Since separating points are dense, our homomorphism is injective.

**Theorem 1.** a)  $\Pi$  establishes an isomorphism Homeo( $\mathcal{B}$ )  $\simeq$  Aut( $T(\mathcal{B})$ ).

- b)  $\Pi$  establishes an isomorphism Homeo<sub>+</sub>( $\mathfrak{B}$ )  $\simeq$  Aut<sub>+</sub>( $T(\mathfrak{B})$ ).
- c) The Basilica Thompson group  $Th(\mathcal{B})$  is dense in  $Aut_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$ .

**4. The Basilica Thompson group** Th( $\mathcal{B}$ ). We say that a *diagram* is a finite collection  $\Delta \subset L(\mathcal{B})$  of leafs such that

1) for any  $\eta \in L(\mathcal{B}) \setminus \Delta$  all elements of  $\Delta$  lie on one side of  $\eta$ .

2)  $\Delta$  contains the leaf [0-1].

Leafs of  $\Delta$  divide the disk D into domains, say *cells*. Our condition means that for each cell all adjacent leafs are sides of one Cantorgon. We call ends of leafs *vertices of a diagram*, and arcs of S between vertices *intervals of a diagram*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Denote by *E* the set of edges of *T*, by *V* the set of vertices, by S(E), S(T) the groups of all permutations of these sets equipped with the unique separable topology on infinite symmetric group, see, e.g., [5]. Let  $A \subset V \times E$  consists of pairs (v, e) such that  $v \in e$ . Let  $B \subset V \times E \times E \times E$  consists of  $(v, e_1, e_2, e_3)$  such that  $v \in e_j$  and  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  are arranged clockwise. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  is the subgroup in a Polish group  $S(E) \times S(V)$  consisting of elements sending *A* to *A* and *B* to *B*. It is easy to see that this condition is closed, and therefore  $\operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  is a closed subgroup in a Polish group, so it is Polish.

We say that a diagram is *perfect* if it can be obtained by the following procedure. We start with the diameter [1-2]. It splits S into two intervals. We divide one of intervals with ratios 1:1:1 by points a, b and draw the corresponding chord [a-b]. Now a circle is split into 4 intervals. We choose one interval, divide it with ratios 1:1:1 and add the corresponding chord. Etc. By the construction, intervals of a perfect diagram have forms

(2) 
$$\left[\frac{3\alpha}{3^a}, \frac{3\alpha+1}{3^a}\right], \left[\frac{3\beta+1}{3^b}, \frac{3\beta+2}{3^b}\right], \left[\frac{3\gamma+2}{3^c}, \frac{3\gamma}{3^c}\right].$$

We say that two perfect diagrams  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  are isomorphic if there is an orientation preserving bijective continuous map identifying  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ . An isomorphism determines a bijective map on the set of vertices. We extend this map to each interval of  $\Delta_1$  in a linear way (all slopes have a form  $3^k$ ). According Belk and Forrest [1], such maps form a group—the *Basilica Thompson group* Th( $\mathcal{B}$ ), see [1] (for instance, it is generated by 4 explicit elements, Theorem 7.1), for further properties, see [7], [15], see also [2] on extensions of this construction. On the other hand, such a map respects the equivalence relation (1) and therefore determines a homeomorphism of the Basilica.

5. Correspondence with the initial model of the Basilica Thompson group. The Basilica naturally arises as an object of complex dynamics (as a Julia set, see, e.g., [7]), a natural equivalence relation on a circle is defined in the following way. We consider a circle  $S^{\diamond} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  of length 1 and the corresponding disc. We start with chords  $[\frac{1}{6}-(-\frac{1}{6})], [\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2}{3}]$  and apply the procedure described in Remark in Sect.1 changing ratios 1:1:1 to 1:2:1. In this way, we get the same combinatorial picture and the same topological object. Again, we consider perfect diagrams, their isomorphisms, and linear maps on intervals (now all slopes have form  $2^k$ ).

Let us describe the correspondence more precisely. First, we pass to the circle  $S^{\circ} = \mathbb{R}/3\mathbb{Z}$  with chords [0—1], [3/2—5/2] (we rotate the circle  $S^{\circ}$  and dilatate it). The homeomorphism  $\Theta : S \to S^{\circ}$  is defined in the following way. Let *a* range in the set  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . We define functions  $\varphi(a)$ ,  $\psi(a)$  by

$$\varphi(0) = \frac{1}{4}, \ \varphi(1) = \frac{1}{2}, \ \varphi(2) = \frac{1}{4}, \qquad \psi(0) = 0, \ \psi(1) = \frac{1}{4}, \ \psi(2) = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Then for a ternary number  $A = a_0.a_1a_2...$  we set

$$\Theta(A) = \frac{\psi(a_0)}{\varphi(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big( \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \varphi(a_p) \cdot \psi(a_n) \Big).$$

This homeomorphism identifies the equivalence relations and identify the group  $Th(\mathcal{B})$  defined above with the group from [1].

6. Identification of  $Homeo_+(\mathcal{B})$  and  $Aut_+ T(\mathcal{B})$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Delta$  be a diagram. Consider all cells  $M_j$  of  $\Delta$  adjacent to > 1 leafs and the corresponding Cantorgons  $C_j$ . Then there is a finite collection of leafs  $l_1, l_2, \ldots$ , such that each  $l_q$  is a side of some Cantorgon  $C_p$  and the diagram  $\Delta \cup \{l_1, l_2, \ldots\}$  is perfect.

PROOF. Let a leaf  $[a_0.a_1 \ldots a_n 1 - a_0.a_1 \ldots a_n 2]$  be contained in a perfect diagram  $\Sigma$ . It can appear as a result of cutting of the arc  $[a_0.a_1 \ldots a_n, a_0.a_1 \ldots a_n + 3^{-n}]$  with ratios 1:1:1 and therefore ends of this arc are vertices of  $\Sigma$ , i.e., ends of arcs of  $\Sigma$ . Minimal ternary notation of these vertices has  $\leq n$  places, therefore the corresponding leafs are longer than our initial leaf.

Consider the diagram  $\Delta$ . For each cell  $M_j$  we find an adjacent leaf  $m_j$  of minimal length and add to  $\Delta$  all sides of the Cantorgon  $C_j$  whose lengths are larger than the length of  $m_j$ . We get a perfect diagram.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $r \in \operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathfrak{B}))$ , let P be a finite subtree in  $T(\mathfrak{B})$ . Then there exists  $g \in \operatorname{Th}(\mathfrak{B})$  such that  $g|_{P} = \Pi \circ r|_{P}$ .

PROOF. Without loss of generality we can assume that both P, rP contain the edge corresponding the leaf [0-1].

For each vertex of P the set of adjacent vertices is equipped with a cyclic order. So P has a structure of a planar tree; rP is an isomorphic planar tree. For each edge of P we draw the corresponding leaf and get a diagram, say  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the similar diagram for rP. These diagrams are combinatorially isomorphic. Next, we extend them to perfect diagrams  $\widetilde{\Delta}$ ,  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  as in Lemma 2. This means that we added additional leafs between each pair of neighbouring leafs of each cell of  $\Delta$  (resp.  $\Sigma$ ). Generally, diagrams  $\widetilde{\Delta}$ ,  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  are non-isomorphic since number of additional leafs for corresponding pairs are different. However, adding more leafs to  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  and  $\widetilde{\Sigma}$  we can get isomorphic perfect diagrams. It remains to take the corresponding  $g \in \text{Th}(\mathcal{B})$ .  $\Box$ 

PROOF OF STATEMENTS B), C) OF THEOREM 1. Fix  $r \in \operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  Consider a sequence of finite subtrees  $P_j \subset T(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\cup P_j = T(\mathcal{B})$ . For each  $P_j$ , consider an element  $g_j \in \operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  such that  $g_j\Big|_{P_i} = \Pi \circ r\Big|_{P_i}$ .

Then for each  $x_{\alpha} \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{B})$  the sequence  $g_j(x_{\alpha})$  is eventually constant, denote its limit by  $\rho(x_{\alpha})$ . For each *m* denote by  $\Omega_m$  the set of  $x_{\alpha} \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $g_j x_{\alpha} = \rho(x_{\alpha})$  for  $j \ge m$ . Clearly, we have  $\cup \Omega_m = \text{Sep}(\mathcal{B}) = \cup \rho(\Omega_m)$ . For this reason, the map  $\rho : \text{Sep}(\mathcal{B}) \to \text{Sep}(\mathcal{B})$  is a bijection.

Next, sets  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \Omega_m$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \setminus \rho(\Omega_m)$  split into finite collection of connected pieces and diameters of these pieces tend to 0 as  $m \to \infty$ . Elements  $g_j$  for  $j \ge m$  send pieces to pieces. This implies uniform convergence of homeomorphisms  $g_j$ . We define  $\rho$  as limit of  $g_j$ , the corresponding transformation of the tree  $T(\mathcal{B})$  is r.

Thus, we established that  $\operatorname{Th}(\mathcal{B})$  is dense in  $\operatorname{Homeo}_+(\mathcal{B})$  and the homomorphism  $\Pi$ :  $\operatorname{Homeo}_+(\mathcal{B}) \to \operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  is surjective. Continuity of  $\Pi$  is obvious. Banach's bounded inverse theorem remains true for Polish groups, see e.g., [5], Corollary 2.3.4. For this reason,  $\Pi$  is an isomorphism of Polish groups.

7. Identification of Homeo( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and Aut  $T(\mathcal{B})$ . For any leaf  $[A-A'] = [a_0.a_1...a_n1-a_0.a_1...a_n2]$  consider the following homeomorphism  $\gamma_{A,A'}$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ : it permutes A and A', it is linear on the interval [A, A'], and is identical outside this interval.

We can repeat the previous considerations for the countable group  $\Gamma$  generated by Th( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and  $\gamma_{A,A'}$ . Indeed, denote by  $e_{A,A'}$  the corresponding edge of  $T(\mathcal{B})$ . Cutting it in a midpoint we splits the tree into two branches. The transformation  $\gamma_{A,A'}$  is identical on one of branches and is a map changing cyclic ordering in each vertex of another branch. Now we can get the analogue of Lemma 3. Let  $q \in \text{Aut}(T(\mathcal{B}))$ , P be a finite subtree. We can choose a collection  $(A_1, A'_1), \ldots, (A_k, A'_k)$  such that

$$r = \gamma_{A_k, A'_k} \dots \gamma_{A_1, A'_1} q \in \operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$$

We apply Lemma 3 and get an element  $g \in \Gamma$  such that  $g = \Pi \circ q \Big|_{P}$ . Further arguments are identical.

8. Final remarks. a) I have not seen the groups  $\operatorname{Aut}_+(T(\mathcal{B}))$  and  $\operatorname{Aut}(T(\mathcal{B}))$ in literature. However, these objects are not as exotic as it may seem at first glance. In 1982 Olshanski [10] classified unitary representations of the group of all automorphisms of a non-locally finite Bruhat–Tits tree, in a certain sense this example is toy, but this work was an important test site for representation theory of infinite-dimensional groups. The group of spheromorphisms of this tree is a nontrivial object for representation theory [9].

The group of order preserving bijections of the set  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a standard example of Polish groups, see, e.g., [11], [14], [6]. It also admits explicit classification of unitary representations [14] (but in this case the list of representations is poor). On the other hand, dense cyclic orderings participate in the construction of 'Chinese restaurant process' by Pitman, see, e.g., [12], this process is a starting point for harmonic analysis related to infinite symmetric groups.

b) Belk and Forrest [2] proposed a general construction of discrete groups of Thompson type acting on certain fractal compact spaces. Apparently, there are statements similar to Theorem 1 for some of these spaces. Certainly, this is so for the Doaudy rabbit known in complex dynamics. Another interesting possible example is the Airplane fractal defined in [2], see also [13]. Apparently, in this case we must consider the Bruhat–Tits  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree (see, e. g., [8]) of the field of formal Laurent series  $\mathbb{Q}[[t, t^{1,2}, t^{1/4}, \ldots]]$  equipped with a ribbon structure. Apparently, the group of homeomorphisms of the Airplane is isomorphic to the group of *homeomorphisms* of this  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree respecting the cyclic ordering structure of germs of edges in vertices.

c) It is well-known that unitary representation of discrete groups are a dangerous topic. However, classification [10] of unitary representations of a non-locally finite Bruhat–Tits tree contains a counterpart of the complementary series. Restricting it to  $Th(\mathcal{B})$  we get unitary representations that are at least unusual and are spherical with respect to the stabilizer of a Cantorgon (this stabilizer is described in [1], Sect. 6).

I am grateful to Matteo Tarocchi for a discussion of  $Th(\mathcal{B})$ .

## References

- Belk J., Forrest B. A Thompson group for the basilica. Groups Geom. Dyn. 9 (2015), no. 4, 975-1000.
- [2] Belk J., Forrest B. Rearrangement groups of fractals. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), no. 7, 4509-4552.
- Burger M., Mozes Sh., Groups acting on trees: from local to global structure. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 92 (2000), 113-150.
- [4] DeTemple D., Robertson J. Sets associated with the farthest point problem. Geom. Dedicata 52 (1994), no. 2, 155-163.
- [5] Gao Su, Invariant descriptive set theory. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [6] Jahel C., Tsankov T. Invariant measures on products and on the space of linear orders. J. Éc. polytech. Math. 9 (2022), 155-176.
- [7] Lyubich M., Merenkov S. Quasisymmetries of the basilica and the Thompson group. Geom. Funct. Anal. 28 (2018), no. 3, 727-754.
- [8] Morgan J.W., Λ-trees and their applications, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1992), 87-112.
- [9] Neretin Yu. A. On the group of spheromorphisms of a homogeneous non-locally finite tree. Izv. Math. 84 (2020), no. 6, 1161-1191.
- [10] Olshanskii G. I., "New "large" groups of type I. J. Soviet Math., 18:1 (1982), 22-39.
- [11] Pestov V. Dynamics of infinite-dimensional groups. The Ramsey-Dvoretzky-Milman phenomenon. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.

- [12] Pitman J. Combinatorial stochastic processes. Lectures from the 32nd Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 7-24, 2002. Lect. Notes Math., 1875. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [13] Tarocchi M. Generation and Simplicity in the Airplane Rearrangement Group. Preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.08744.
- [14] Tsankov T. Unitary representations of oligomorphic groups. Geom. Funct. Anal. 22 (2012), no. 2, 528-555.
- [15] Witzel S., Zaremsky M. C. B. The basilica Thompson group is not finitely presented. Groups Geom. Dyn. 13 (2019), no. 4, 1255-1270.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Wien; Institute for Information Transmission Problems; Moscow State University e-mail: yurii.neretin(frog)univie.ac.at

URL: mat.univie.ac.at/~neretin

6