

ON THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE POLYHEDRAL JOIN OVER THE INDEPENDENCE COMPLEX OF A FOREST

KENGO OKURA

ABSTRACT. We consider a certain class of simplicial complexes which includes the independence complexes of forests. We show that if a simplicial complex K belongs to this class, then the polyhedral join $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \emptyset)$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of CW complexes of the form $\Sigma^r X_{i_1} * X_{i_2} * \cdots * X_{i_k}$, where \underline{X} is a family $\{X_i\}_{i \in V(K)}$ of CW complexes and Σ denotes the unreduced suspension. This result is applied to study the homotopy type of the independence complex of the lexicographic product $G[H]$ of a graph H over a forest G . We denote by L_m a tree on m vertices with no branches. We show that the geometric realization of the independence complex of $L_m[H]$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of spheres if $m \neq 2, 3$ and the geometric realization of the independence complex of H is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of same dimensional spheres.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{C} be a (convenient) category of spaces or the category of abstract simplicial complexes. Consider an associative operation $\star : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that if both $i_A : A \rightarrow X$ and $i_B : B \rightarrow Y$ are the inclusion maps, then so is $i_A \star i_B : A \star B \rightarrow X \star Y$. For an abstract simplicial complex K on $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and a family of pairs of spaces (complexes) $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \{(X_i \supset A_i)\}_{i \in [m]}$, we can define a union $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ of subspaces (subcomplexes) of $X_1 \star X_2 \star \cdots \star X_m$ by

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} Y_1^\sigma \star Y_2^\sigma \star \cdots \star Y_m^\sigma, \quad Y_i^\sigma = \begin{cases} X_i & (i \in \sigma), \\ A_i & (i \notin \sigma). \end{cases}$$

(In the following, if $(X_i, A_i) = (X, A)$ ($i \in [m]$) for some pair (X, A) , then we write $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = (X, A)$.) A representative example of this construction is the *polyhedral product* $\mathcal{Z}_K^\times(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$, which was defined by Bahri, Bendersky, Cohen, and Gitler [3] and has been investigated by many researchers since then.

We assume that \mathcal{C} is the category of finite CW complexes (or the category of abstract simplicial complexes), and that \star is the *join* operation $*$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ is the *polyhedral join* of the family $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ over K , which was defined by Ayzenberg [2]. In this paper, we study the homotopy type of the polyhedral joins of finite CW complexes over the simplicial complex K which meets a certain condition. This condition is a stronger version of *vertex-decomposability*, introduced by Björner and Wachs [5], and so we name it *s-vertex-decomposability*. We obtain the following

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E45, 05C69, 05C76.

Key words and phrases. polyhedral join, homotopy type, independence complex, lexicographic product.

decomposition. Here, we set

$$X^{*k} = \begin{cases} \underbrace{X * X * \cdots * X}_k & (k > 0) \\ \emptyset & (k = 0), \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma^r X = X * S^{r-1},$$

where S^d denotes the d -dimensional sphere.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be a connected non-empty s -vertex-decomposable simplicial complex on $[m]$ and $\underline{X} = \{X_i\}_{i \in [m]}$ be a family of finite CW complexes. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \emptyset) \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \cdots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right)$$

for a family $\{(r_\alpha, k_{1,\alpha}, \dots, k_{m,\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of tuples of $m+1$ non-negative integers.

We apply results on polyhedral joins to *independence complexes* of graphs. In this paper, a *graph* G always means a finite undirected graph with no multiple edges and loops. Its vertex set and edge set are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. A subset σ of $V(G)$ is an *independent set* if any two vertices of σ are not adjacent. The independent sets of G are closed under taking subsets, so they form an abstract simplicial complex. We call this abstract simplicial complex the independence complex of G and denote by $I(G)$. Namely

$$I(G) = \{ \sigma \subset V(G) \mid uv \notin E(G) \text{ for any } u, v \in \sigma \}.$$

Independence complexes of graphs are no less important than other simplicial complexes constructed from graphs and have been studied in many contexts.

A lot of research on the geometric realization of the independence complexes of graphs has been going on since Kozlov [14] determined the homotopy type of $|I(L_n)|$ and $|I(C_n)|$, where L_m is a tree on m vertices with no branches, and C_n is a cycle on n vertices ($n \geq 3$). One of the tools which are often used in previous studies is *discrete Morse theory*. It is a method introduced by Forman [9] and reformulated by Chari [7]. Bousquet-Mélou, Linusson, and Nevo [6] and Thapper [16] studied the independence complexes of *grid graphs* by performing discrete Morse theory as a combinatorial algorithm called a *matching tree*. However, it is hard to distinguish two CW complexes that have the same number of cells in each dimension only by discrete Morse theory. We need topological approaches in case discrete Morse theory is not available. For example, it is effective to represent an independence complex of a graph as a union of independence complexes of subgraphs, as in Engström [8], Adamaszek [1], and Barmak [4]. Iriye [12], who investigated the independence complexes of some grid graphs by using these methods simultaneously, conjectured that the geometric realizations of the independence complexes of any *cylindrical square grid graphs* are homotopy equivalent to wedge sums of spheres.

As Harary [10] mentioned, there are various ways to construct a graph structure on $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$ for given two graphs G_1 and G_2 . A cylindrical square grid graph is the *Cartesian product* of L_m and C_n . It seems natural to consider other products of L_m and C_n and investigate the geometric realizations of their independence complexes. In this paper, we are interested in the *lexicographic product*. The lexicographic product $G[H]$ can be regarded to have $\#(V(G))$ pseudo-vertices. Each of them is isomorphic to H and two pseudo-vertices are “adjacent” if the

corresponding vertices of G are adjacent. Independence complexes of lexicographic products are studied by Vander Meulen and Van Tuyl [18] from a combinatorial point of view.




FIGURE 1. Lexicographic products $L_4[L_3]$ and $L_3[L_4]$.

The following claims correlate our study on the polyhedral join over s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex and the study on the independence complex of the lexicographic product over a forest.

- For two graphs G, H , we have

$$|I(G[H])| \cong \mathcal{Z}_{I(G)}^*(|I(H)|, \emptyset). \quad (1-1)$$

- If a graph G is a forest, then $I(G)$ is s-vertex-decomposable.

Using these claims, we can deduce the following result on the independence complex of the lexicographic product from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a forest and H be a graph. Suppose that G has no vertex u such that $uv \in E(G)$ for any $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ and that $|I(H)|$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of spheres. Then, $|I(G[H])|$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of spheres.*

There is various previous research on graphs such that the geometric realizations of their independence complexes are homotopy equivalent to wedge sums of spheres. For example, the result of Van Tuyl and Villarreal [17, Theorem 2.13] implies that if G is a *chordal graph*, then $|I(G)|$ is either contractible or homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of spheres. (Later, Woodroffe [19, Theorem 1] refined this result.) This fact was also proved by Kawamura [13, Theorem 1.1].

We also present the following explicit calculation.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a finite CW complex. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X, \emptyset) \simeq \begin{cases} X & (n = 1), \\ X \sqcup X & (n = 2), \\ X^{*2} \sqcup X & (n = 3), \\ \bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{k+1}{n-2k-3r+1} \binom{k+r}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) & (n \geq 4). \end{cases}$$

Here, $\binom{p}{q}$ denotes the binomial coefficient. We define $\binom{p}{q} = 0$ if $q < 0$ or $p < q$. So, the number of the wedge summand is finite.

This calculation deduces the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *If $|I(H)| \simeq \bigvee_n S^k$, then*

$$|I(L_m[H])| \simeq \begin{cases} \bigvee_n S^k & (m = 1), \\ \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right) \sqcup \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right) & (m = 2), \\ \left(\bigvee_{n^2} S^{2k+1}\right) \sqcup \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right) & (m = 3), \\ \bigvee_{d \geq 0} \left(\bigvee_{\sum p \geq 0} n^p \binom{d-pk+1}{3(d-pk+1)-m} \binom{d-pk+1}{p} S^d\right) & (m \geq 4). \end{cases}$$

Even though this is precisely the case in which we cannot use discrete Morse theory, we successfully determine the homotopy type of $|I(L_m[H])|$. With Kozlov's computation [14, Proposition 5.2], we can determine the homotopy types of $|I(L_m[C_n])|$ for any $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$ by Theorem 1.4. This result is in contrast to the case of cylindrical square grid graphs, in which we cannot easily determine the homotopy type for large m, n .

2. PRELIMINARIES

For a positive integer m , we set $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We denote the one-point space by pt and the d -dimensional sphere by S^d .

Let $(X_1, x_1), \dots, (X_n, x_n)$ be pointed spaces. The *wedge sum* $\bigvee_{i \in [n]} X_i$ of these pointed spaces is defined by

$$\bigvee_{i \in [n]} X_i = \bigsqcup_{i \in [n]} X_i / (x_i \sim x_j \text{ for any } i, j \in [n]).$$

If $(X_1, x_1), \dots, (X_n, x_n)$ are CW pairs and X_i is connected, then the replacement of the base point x_i with another 0-cell y_i does not change the homotopy type of the wedge sum. Hence, the wedge sum $X_1 \vee \dots \vee X_n$ of connected non-empty CW complexes X_1, \dots, X_n is well-defined up to homotopy. We denote the wedges sum of n copies of X by $\bigvee_n X$. Namely

$$\bigvee_n X = \begin{cases} \underbrace{X \vee \dots \vee X}_n & (n \geq 1), \\ \text{pt} & (n = 0). \end{cases}$$

Though the 0-sphere S^0 is not connected, we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee_n S^0 &= \underbrace{\text{pt} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \text{pt}}_{n+1}, \\ X \vee \left(\bigvee_n S^0\right) &= X \sqcup \underbrace{\text{pt} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \text{pt}}_n. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we deal with finite CW complexes. For two CW complexes, it is known that the *join* $X * Y$ has a CW structure with the cells being the product cells of $X \times Y \times (0, 1)$ or the cells of X or Y (see [11]). We use the following properties of joins without proofs.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X, Y be finite CW complexes and $X_1, X_2 \subset X$, $Y_1, Y_2 \subset Y$ be subcomplexes.*

- (1) $X_1 * Y_1$ is a subcomplex of $X * Y$.

(2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1 \cup X_2) * Y &\cong (X_1 * Y) \cup (X_2 * Y), \\ (X_1 \cap X_2) * (Y_1 \cap Y_2) &\cong (X_1 * Y_1) \cap (X_2 * Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

For a space X , the *unreduced suspension* of X , denoted by ΣX , is defined by

$$\Sigma X = X * S^0.$$

Unreduced suspension often appears in this paper since the following lemma plays an important role.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a finite CW complex and X_1, X_2 be subcomplexes of X such that $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. If the inclusion maps $i_1 : X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and $i_2 : X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ are null-homotopic, then we have*

$$X \simeq X_1 \cup_{\text{pt}} X_2 \cup_{\text{pt}} \Sigma(X_1 \cap X_2).$$

In addition, suppose that X_1 and X_2 are connected. Then we have

$$X \simeq X_1 \vee X_2 \vee \Sigma(X_1 \cap X_2).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 \cup X_2 &\simeq (X_1 \cup X_2) \times [0, 1] \\ &\simeq X_1 \times \{0\} \cup (X_1 \cap X_2) \times [0, 1] \cup X_2 \times \{1\} \end{aligned}$$

since $(X_1, X_1 \cap X_2)$ and $(X_2, X_1 \cap X_2)$ are CW pairs.

Let $u \in X_1$ and $v \in X_2$ be points such that $i_1 \simeq c_u$ and $i_2 \simeq c_v$, where $c_u : X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and $c_v : X_1 \cap X_2 \rightarrow X_2$ are the constant maps to u and v , respectively. Then, since (X, X_1) and (X, X_2) are CW pairs, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &X_1 \times \{0\} \cup (X_1 \cap X_2) \times [0, 1] \cup X_2 \times \{1\} \\ &\simeq X_1 \cup_{\{(u,0)\}} (X_1 \cap X_2) * \{(u,0), (v,1)\} \cup_{\{(v,1)\}} X_2 \\ &= X_1 \cup_{\{(u,0)\}} \Sigma(X_1 \cup X_2) \cup_{\{(v,1)\}} X_2. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired conclusion. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let X, Y, Z be finite non-empty CW complexes. Then we have*

$$(X \sqcup Y) * Z \simeq (X * Z) \vee (Y * Z) \vee \Sigma Z.$$

In particular, we have

$$\Sigma(X \sqcup Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee S^1.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$(X \sqcup Y) * Z \cong (X * Z) \cup_{\emptyset * Z} (Y * Z).$$

We obtain the desired conclusion by Lemma 2.2 since two inclusion maps $\emptyset * Z \rightarrow X * Z$, $\emptyset * Z \rightarrow Y * Z$ are null-homotopic. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let X, Y, Z be non-empty finite CW complexes. Then we have*

$$(X \vee Y) * Z \simeq (X * Z) \vee (Y * Z).$$

In particular, we have

$$\Sigma(X \vee Y) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$(X \vee Y) * Z \cong (X * Z) \cup_{\text{pt} * Z} (Y * Z).$$

We obtain the desired conclusion by Lemma 2.2 since $\text{pt} * Z$ is contractible. \square

A *finite abstract simplicial complex* K is a collection of finite subsets of a given finite set $V(K)$ such that if $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$, then $\tau \in K$. In this paper, we drop the adjectives “finite” and “abstract”. An element of K is called a *simplex* of K . For a simplex σ of K , we set $\dim \sigma = \#(\sigma) - 1$, where $\#(\sigma)$ is the cardinality of σ . The *geometric realization* of K is denoted by $|K|$.

Let V be a finite set and $F_1, \dots, F_t \subset V$ be a collection of subsets of V . Define a simplicial complex $\langle F_1, \dots, F_t \rangle$ on V by

$$\langle F_1, \dots, F_t \rangle = \{\sigma \subset V \mid \sigma \subset F_i \text{ for some } i \in [t]\}.$$

In particular, $\langle [n+1] \rangle$ is called *n-simplex* and denoted by Δ^n .

$L \subset K$ is called a *subcomplex* of K if L is a simplicial complex. For a simplicial complex K and a vertex v of K , we define subcomplexes $\text{st}_K(v)$, $\text{dl}_K(v)$, $\text{lk}_K(v)$ of K by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{st}_K(v) &= \{\sigma \in K \mid \sigma \cup \{v\} \in K\}, \\ \text{dl}_K(v) &= \{\sigma \in K \mid v \notin \sigma\}, \\ \text{lk}_K(v) &= \{\sigma \in K \mid \sigma \cup \{v\} \in K, v \notin \sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, we have

$$K = \text{st}_K(v) \cup_{\text{lk}_K(v)} \text{dl}_K(v). \quad (2-1)$$

Let $\{K_i\}_{i \in [m]}$ be a family of simplicial complexes. The *join* of K_1, \dots, K_m , denoted by $K_1 * \dots * K_m$, is a simplicial complex defined by

$$K_1 * \dots * K_m = \left\{ \sigma \subset \bigsqcup_{i \in [m]} V(K_i) \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma \cap V(K_i) \in K_i \\ \text{for any } i \in [m] \end{array} \right\}. \quad (2-2)$$

A *finite undirected simple graph* G is a pair $(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ is a finite set and $E(G)$ is a subset of $2^{V(G)}$ such that $\#(e) = 2$ for any $e \in E(G)$. An element of $V(G)$ is called a *vertex* of G , and an element of $E(G)$ is called an *edge* of G . In order to indicate that $e = \{u, v\}$ ($u, v \in V(G)$), we write $e = uv$. In this paper, we drop the adjectives “finite”, “undirected”, and “simple”, and call G a *graph*.

For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, an *open neighborhood* $N_G(v)$ of v in G is defined by

$$N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) \mid uv \in E(G)\}.$$

A *closed neighborhood* $\overline{N}_G(v)$ of v in G is defined by $\overline{N}_G(v) = N_G(v) \sqcup \{v\}$.

A *full subgraph* H of a graph G is a graph such that

$$\begin{aligned} V(H) &\subset V(G), \\ E(H) &= \{uv \in E(G) \mid u, v \in V(H)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For two full subgraphs H, K of G , a full subgraph whose vertex set is $V(H) \cap V(K)$ is denoted by $H \cap K$, and a full subgraph whose vertex set is $V(H) \setminus V(K)$ is denoted by $H \setminus K$. If $V(G) = V(H) \sqcup V(K)$ and $uv \notin E(G)$ for any $u \in V(H)$ and $v \in V(K)$, we write $G = H \sqcup K$. For a subset $U \subset V(G)$, $G \setminus U$ is the full subgraph of G such that $V(G \setminus U) = V(G) \setminus U$.

Let L_n be a tree on n vertices with no branches, and C_n be a cycle on n vertices ($n \geq 3$). Namely

$$\begin{aligned} V(L_n) &= \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, & E(L_n) &= \{ij \mid |i - j| = 1\}, \\ V(C_n) &= \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, & E(C_n) &= E(L_n) \cup \{n1\}. \end{aligned}$$

A graph G is a *forest* if G does not contain C_n as a full subgraph for any $n \geq 3$.

Recall that the *independence complex* $I(G)$ of a graph G is a simplicial complex defined by

$$I(G) = \{\sigma \subset V(G) \mid uv \notin E(G) \text{ for any } u, v \in \sigma\}.$$

For a full subgraph H of G , $I(H)$ is a subcomplex of $I(G)$. Furthermore, if H, K are full subgraphs of G , then $I(H \cap K) = I(H) \cap I(K)$.

Let G be a graph and v be a vertex of G . By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{st}_{I(G)}(v) &= I(G \setminus N_G(v)), \\ \text{dl}_{I(G)}(v) &= I(G \setminus \{v\}), \\ \text{lk}_{I(G)}(v) &= I(G \setminus \overline{N}_G(v)). \end{aligned}$$

3. S-VERTEX-DECOMPOSABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEX

In this section, we define a class of simplicial complexes, which we call *s-vertex-decomposable* simplicial complexes.

Definition 3.1. Let K be a simplicial complex. We say that K is *s-vertex-decomposable* if

- K is either a simplex or $\{\emptyset\}$, or
- there exist vertices v, w of K such that
 - $\text{dl}_K(v), \text{lk}_K(v)$ are s-vertex-decomposable, and
 - $\text{dl}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(w)$.

We call v an *s-shedding vertex* of K .

An important example of s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex is the independence complex of a forest.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a graph. If G is a forest, then $I(G)$ is s-vertex-decomposable.*

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on $\#(V(G))$. If $\#(V(G)) = 0$, then $I(G) = \{\emptyset\}$, which is s-vertex-decomposable.

For a non-negative integer n , assume that $I(G')$ is s-vertex-decomposable for any forest G' such that $\#(V(G')) < n$. Let G be a forest such that $\#(V(G)) = n$. If G has no edge, then $I(G)$ is s-vertex-decomposable since $I(G) = \Delta^{n-1}$. If G has at least one edge, then G has a leaf l . Let $v \in V(G)$ be a unique vertex of G such that $vl \in E(G)$. Then $\text{dl}_{I(G)}(v) = I(G \setminus \{v\})$, $\text{lk}_{I(G)}(v) = I(G \setminus \overline{N}_G(v))$ are s-vertex-decomposable by the assumption of the induction. Furthermore, we have

$$\text{dl}_{I(G)}(v) = I(G \setminus \{v\}) = I(G \setminus N_G(l)) = \text{st}_{I(G)}(l).$$

Therefore, $I(G)$ is s-vertex-decomposable. \square

Remark 3.3. The converse of Theorem 3.2 does not hold. A counterexample is G in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. A graph G such that $I(G)$ is s-vertex-decomposable but G is not a forest

We observe that if an s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex is not connected, then the number of connected components must be 2, and one of the connected components is a 0-simplex.

Proposition 3.4. *Let K be a non-empty s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex. If K is not connected, then*

$$K = st_K(w) \sqcup \langle \{v\} \rangle,$$

for some $v, w \in V(K)$.

Proof. We first observe that K is not a simplex since K is not connected. So, there exists v, w such that $dl_K(v), lk_K(v)$ are s-vertex-decomposable, and $dl_K(v) = st_K(w)$. Here we have

$$K = st_K(v) \cup_{lk_K(v)} dl_K(v) = st_K(v) \cup_{lk_K(v)} st_K(w).$$

Thus, if K is not connected, then $lk_K(v)$ must be empty since both $st_K(v)$ and $st_K(w)$ are connected. Therefore, we get the desired conclusion since $lk_K(v) = \emptyset$ implies that $st_K(v) = \langle \{v\} \rangle$. \square

Recall from [5, Definition 11.1] that a simplicial complex K is called *vertex decomposable* if

- K is either a simplex or $\{\emptyset\}$, or
- there exists a vertex v of K such that
 - $dl_K(v), lk_K(v)$ are vertex-decomposable, and
 - no maximal simplex of $lk_K(v)$ is maximal in $dl_K(v)$.

We show that s-vertex-decomposability is a stronger version of vertex-decomposability.

Lemma 3.5. *Let K be a simplicial complex and v, w be vertices of K such that $dl_K(v) = st_K(w)$. Then we have*

- (1) $v \neq w$,
- (2) $lk_K(w) = dl_K(v) \cap dl_K(w)$,
- (3) $lk_K(v) \subset lk_K(w)$.

Proof. We get $v \neq w$ from $v \notin dl_K(v) = st_K(w) \ni w$. We also obtain

$$lk_K(w) = st_K(w) \cap dl_K(w) = dl_K(v) \cap dl_K(w).$$

Thus, in order to prove (3), it remains to show that $lk_K(v) \subset dl_K(w)$. We have $\{v, w\} \notin K$ since $v \notin dl_K(v) = st_K(w)$. Thus, if $\sigma \in lk_K(v)$ contains w , then it implies $\{v, w\} \subset \sigma \cup \{v\} \in K$, a contradiction. So, $w \notin \sigma$ for any $\sigma \in lk_K(v)$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let K be a simplicial complex. If K is s-vertex-decomposable, then K is vertex-decomposable.*

Proof. Let K be a s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex. We prove the proposition by induction on $\#(V(K))$. If $\#(V(K)) = 0$, then $K = \{\emptyset\}$, which is vertex-decomposable.

For a non-negative integer n , suppose that if K' is s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex such that $\#(V(K')) < n$, then K' is vertex-decomposable. Consider K with $\#(V(K)) = n$. If $K = \Delta^{n-1}$, then K is vertex-decomposable. Assume that there exist vertices v, w of K such that

- $\text{dl}_K(v)$, $\text{lk}_K(v)$ are s-vertex-decomposable, and
- $\text{dl}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(w)$.

$\text{dl}_K(v)$ and $\text{lk}_K(v)$ are vertex-decomposable by the assumption of the induction. Furthermore, for any maximal simplex $\sigma \in \text{lk}_K(v)$, we have

$$\sigma \subsetneq \sigma \cup \{w\} \in \text{st}_K(w) = \text{dl}_K(v).$$

The above inclusion follows from Lemma 3.5. So, σ is not maximal in $\text{dl}_K(v)$. Hence, K is vertex-decomposable. \square

4. HOMOTOPY TYPE OF POLYHEDRAL JOIN OVER S-VERTEX-DECOMPOSABLE SIMPLICIAL COMPLEX

As defined in Section 1, the *polyhedral join* of finite CW complexes (or simplicial complexes) is defined as follows.

Definition 4.1 (Based on [2, Definition 4.2, Observation 4.3]). Let K be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \{(X_i, A_i)\}_{i \in [m]}$ be a family of finite CW pairs. For a simplex $\sigma \in K$, we define $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{*\sigma}$ by

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{*\sigma} = Y_1 * Y_2 * \cdots * Y_m, \quad Y_i = \begin{cases} X_i & (i \in \sigma), \\ A_i & (i \notin \sigma). \end{cases}$$

$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{*\sigma}$ is a subcomplex of $X_1 * \cdots * X_m$ by Proposition 2.1.

$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ is a subcomplex of $X_1 * \cdots * X_m$ defined by

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^{*\sigma}$$

(union is taken in $X_1 * \cdots * X_m$).

If each X_i is a simplicial complex and A_i is a subcomplex of X_i , then $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ is defined as a simplicial complex by (2-2).

In the following, we consider the polyhedral join $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \emptyset)$, where K is a simplicial complex and \underline{X} is a family of finite CW complexes. We denote this polyhedral join by $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X})$.

$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X})$ behaves well toward operations on the simplicial complex K .

Proposition 4.2. *Let K be a simplicial complex and $\underline{X} = \{X_v\}_{v \in V(K)}$ be a family of finite CW complexes.*

- (1) *Let $L \subset K$ be a subcomplex of K . Then $\mathcal{Z}_L^*(\underline{X})$ is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X})$.*
- (2) *Let $L_1, L_2 \subset K$ be subcomplexes of K such that $K = L_1 \cup L_2$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) = \mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}) \cup_{\mathcal{Z}_{L_1 \cap L_2}^*(\underline{X})} \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X}).$$

Proof. (1) directly follows from the definition of polyhedral joins.

We prove (2). First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*\sigma} \\ &= \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in L_1} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*σ} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\tau \in L_2} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*τ} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}) \cup \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Remarking that we obtain $\mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X}) \supset \mathcal{Z}_{L_1 \cap L_2}^*(\underline{X})$ from (1), it remains to show that $\mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X}) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{L_1 \cap L_2}^*(\underline{X})$. For a point $x \in \mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X})$, there exist $\sigma \in L_1$ and $\tau \in L_2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*σ} \cap (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*τ} \\ &= (A_1 * \cdots * A_m) \cap (B_1 * \cdots * B_m) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} A_i = \begin{cases} X_i & (i \in \sigma) \\ \emptyset & (i \notin \sigma) \end{cases} \\ B_i = \begin{cases} X_i & (i \in \tau) \\ \emptyset & (i \notin \tau) \end{cases} \end{array} \right) \\ &= (A_1 \cap B_1) * \cdots * (A_m \cap B_m) \quad \left(A_i \cap B_i = \begin{cases} X_i & (i \in \sigma \cap \tau) \\ \emptyset & (i \notin \sigma \cap \tau) \end{cases} \right) \\ &= (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*σ \cap \tau}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from Proposition 2.1. So, we get $x \in \mathcal{Z}_{L_1 \cap L_2}^*(\underline{X})$ since $\sigma \cap \tau \in L_1 \cap L_2$. \square

Remark 4.3. Proposition 4.2 does not hold for $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ if $\underline{A} \neq \emptyset$. For example, consider $K = \langle \{1\}, \{2\} \rangle$, $L_1 = \langle \{1\} \rangle$, $L_2 = \langle \{2\} \rangle$, and $X_1 = a \sqcup b$, $A_1 = a$, $X_2 = c \sqcup d$, $A_2 = c$, where a, b, c, d are points. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) &= (ac \cup bc) \cup (ac \cup ad) = bc \cup ac \cup ad, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) \cup \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) &= (a \sqcup b) \sqcup (c \sqcup d), \end{aligned}$$

where ac, bc, ad denote line segments (see Figure 3).




FIGURE 3. An example of $\mathcal{Z}_{L_1 \cup L_2}^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) \neq \mathcal{Z}_{L_1}^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) \cup \mathcal{Z}_{L_2}^*(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$

Proposition 4.4. Let K, L be simplicial complexes and $\underline{X} = \{X_v\}_{v \in V(K) \sqcup V(L)}$ be a family of finite CW complexes. Then we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_{K * L}^*(\underline{X}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) * \mathcal{Z}_L^*(\underline{X}).$$

Proof. Remark that each simplex of $K * L$ is a disjoint union of simplexes $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau \in L$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{K*L}^*(\underline{X}) &= \bigcup_{\sigma \sqcup \tau \in K*L} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{*(\sigma \sqcup \tau)} \\ &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in K, \tau \in L} ((\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{* \sigma} * (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{* \tau}) \\ &\cong \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{* \sigma} \right) * \left(\bigcup_{\tau \in L} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{* \tau} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) * \mathcal{Z}_L^*(\underline{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the homeomorphism follows from Proposition 2.1. \square

Now we consider $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X})$ with K s-vertex-decomposable. The following theorem is essentially important throughout this paper.

Theorem 4.5. *Let K be a simplicial complex. Suppose that there exist vertices v, w of K such that $\text{lk}_K(v) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{dl}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(w)$. Let $\underline{X} = \{X_v\}_{v \in V(K)}$ be a family of non-empty finite CW complexes. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \vee \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v \right) \vee \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 and the decomposition (2-1), we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{st}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \cup_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X})} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}).$$

Let $i : \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{st}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X})$ and $j : \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X})$ be the inclusion maps. By Proposition 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{st}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) &= \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v) * \{v\}}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) &= \mathcal{Z}_{\text{st}_K(w)}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(w)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_w. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in X_v$ and $y \in X_w$ be points. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * \{x\} &\subset \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * \{y\} &\subset \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(w)}^*(\underline{X}) * \{y\} \\ &\subset \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(w)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_w. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second inclusion is obtained from Lemma 3.5. These inclusions indicate that i, j are null-homotopic. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) &= \mathcal{Z}_{\text{st}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \cup_{\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X})} \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &\simeq \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \vee \mathcal{Z}_{\text{st}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \vee \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &\simeq \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \vee \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v \right) \vee \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}). \end{aligned}$$

So, the proof is completed. \square

Remark 4.6. The assumption “ $\text{dl}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(w)$ ” in Theorem 4.5 cannot be replaced with “no maximal simplex of $\text{lk}_K(v)$ is maximal in $\text{dl}_K(v)$ ”, which appears




FIGURE 4. An example of v that does not satisfy the assumption in Theorem 4.5

in the definition of vertex-decomposability. Consider a simplicial complex K and a vertex v in Figure 4.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) &\simeq (X_u * X_a * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_b * X_v) \vee (X_u * X_v * X_c) \\ &\quad \vee (X_u * X_c * X_d) \vee \Sigma(X_u * X_b) \vee \Sigma(X_u * X_v) \vee \Sigma(X_u * X_c), \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) &\simeq (X_u * X_a * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_c * X_d) \vee \Sigma X_u, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) &\simeq (X_u * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_c) \vee \Sigma X_u \end{aligned}$$

by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \vee \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v \right) \vee \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &\simeq \Sigma((X_u * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_c) \vee \Sigma X_u) \\ &\quad \vee ((X_u * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_c) \vee \Sigma X_u) * X_v \\ &\quad \vee (X_u * X_a * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_c * X_d) \vee \Sigma X_u \\ &\simeq (X_u * X_a * X_b) \vee (X_u * X_b * X_v) \vee (X_u * X_v * X_c) \\ &\quad \vee (X_u * X_c * X_d) \vee \Sigma(X_u * X_b) \vee \Sigma(X_u * X_v) \vee \Sigma(X_u * X_c) \\ &\quad \vee \Sigma X_u \vee \Sigma^2 X_u \\ &\simeq \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) \vee \Sigma X_u \vee \Sigma^2 X_u \\ &\neq \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 are obtained from Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If K is a simplex, then we have

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) = X_1 * \cdots * X_m.$$

We prove the theorem by induction on m . If $m = 1$, then $K = \Delta^0$ and $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) = X_1$. Let n be a positive integer. Assume that $\mathcal{Z}_{K'}^*(\underline{X})$ can be decomposed as in Theorem 1.1 for any s -vertex-decomposable simplicial complex K' with $\#(V(K')) < n$. Consider an s -vertex-decomposable simplicial complex K with $\#(V(K)) = n$ which is not a simplex. Let v, w be vertices of K such that

- $\text{dl}_K(v), \text{lk}_K(v)$ are s -vertex-decomposable, and
- $\text{dl}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(w)$.

We have $\text{lk}_K(v) \neq \emptyset$ since K is connected. Thus, by Theorem 4.5, we get

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \vee \left(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v \right) \vee \mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}).$$

Since $\text{dl}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(w)$ is connected and $\#(V(\text{dl}_K(v))) < \#(V(K)) = n$, we get from the assumption of the induction that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{dl}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \simeq \bigvee_{\beta \in B} \left(\Sigma^{s_\beta} X_1^{*l_{1,\beta}} * \dots * X_m^{*l_{m,\beta}} \right)$$

for a family $\{(s_\beta, l_{1,\beta}, \dots, l_{m,\beta})\}_{\beta \in B}$.

If $\text{lk}_K(v)$ is connected, then there exists $\{(r_\alpha, k_{1,\alpha}, \dots, k_{m,\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \dots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) &\simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha+1} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \dots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right), \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v &\simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \dots * X_v^{*(k_{v,\alpha}+1)} * \dots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{lk}_K(v)$ is not connected, then by Proposition 3.4, $\text{lk}_K(v) = \text{st}_K(u) \sqcup \langle \{u'\} \rangle$ for some $u, u' \in V(K)$. Since $\text{st}_K(u)$ is connected and $\#(V(\text{st}_K(u))) < \#(V(\text{lk}_K(v))) < n$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) \simeq \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \dots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right) \right) \sqcup X_{u'}$$

for a family $\{(r_\alpha, k_{1,\alpha}, \dots, k_{m,\alpha})\}_{\alpha \in A}$. Then, by Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) &\simeq \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha+1} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \dots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right) \right) \vee \Sigma X_{u'} \vee S^1, \\ \mathcal{Z}_{\text{lk}_K(v)}^*(\underline{X}) * X_v &\simeq \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \left(\Sigma^{r_\alpha} X_1^{*k_{1,\alpha}} * \dots * X_v^{*(k_{v,\alpha}+1)} * \dots * X_m^{*k_{m,\alpha}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \vee (X_v * X_{u'}) \vee \Sigma X_v. \end{aligned}$$

Here, remark that a sphere S^r can be written as

$$S^r = \Sigma^{r-1} X_1^{*0} * \dots * X_m^{*0}.$$

In both cases, $\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X})$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{Z}_K^*(\underline{X}) \simeq \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\Sigma^{t_\gamma} X_1^{*j_{1,\gamma}} * \dots * X_m^{*j_{m,\gamma}} \right).$$

for some family $\{(t_\gamma, j_{1,\gamma}, \dots, j_{m,\gamma})\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of tuples of non-negative integers, as desired. \square

Remark 4.7. The above proof indicates that $r_\alpha + k_{1,\alpha} + \dots + k_{m,\alpha} \geq 2$ for any $\alpha \in A$ if $m \geq 2$. So, the wedge sum in Theorem 1.1 is well-defined up to homotopy since each wedge summand is connected.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We have

$$I(L_1) = \langle \{1\} \rangle, \quad I(L_2) = \langle \{1\}, \{2\} \rangle, \quad I(L_3) = \langle \{1, 3\}, \{2\} \rangle.$$

So, we get

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_1)}^*(\underline{X}) = X_1, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_2)}^*(\underline{X}) = X_1 \sqcup X_2, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_3)}^*(\underline{X}) = (X_1 * X_3) \sqcup X_2.$$

By setting $X_1 = X_2 = X_3 = X$, we get the desired equality for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

Let $n \geq 1$. The proof of Theorem 3.2 indicates that $n + 2$ is an s-shedding vertex of $I(L_{n+3})$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lk}_{I(L_{n+3})}(n+2) &= I(L_{n+3} \setminus \overline{N}_{L_{n+3}}(n+2)) = I(L_n) \neq \emptyset, \\ \text{dl}_{I(L_{n+3})}(n+2) &= I(L_{n+3} \setminus \{n+2\}) \\ &= I(L_{n+1} \sqcup \{n+3\}) = I(L_{n+1}) * \langle \{n+3\} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, by Theorem 4.5, we obtain

$$\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_{n+3})}^*(X) \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) \vee (\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) * X) \vee (\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_{n+1})}^*(X) * X). \quad (4-1)$$

Define Y_n for $n \geq 1$ by

$$Y_n = \bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{N_n(k,r)} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right),$$

where

$$N_n(k,r) = \binom{k+1}{n-2k-3r+1} \binom{k+r}{r}.$$

We note that $N_n(k,r) > 0$ for non-negative integers k, r if and only if $0 \leq n - 2k - 3r + 1 \leq k + 1$ and $0 \leq r \leq k + r$. This inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \frac{n-3r}{3}, 0 \right\} \leq k \leq \frac{n-3r+1}{2}, \\ 0 \leq r \leq \frac{n+1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

So, it follows that

$$Y_n = \bigvee_{0 \leq r \leq \frac{n+1}{3}} \left(\bigvee_{\max \left\{ \frac{n-3r}{3}, 0 \right\} \leq k \leq \frac{n-3r+1}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_n(k,r)} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) \right).$$

In order to complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) \simeq Y_n$ for any $n \geq 4$. First, the explicit descriptions of Y_1, Y_2 , and Y_3 are obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \bigvee_{0 \leq r \leq \frac{1+1}{3}} \left(\bigvee_{\max \left\{ \frac{1-3r}{3}, 0 \right\} \leq k \leq \frac{2-3r}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_1(k,r)} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{\frac{1}{3} \leq k \leq \frac{2}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_1(k,0)} X^{*k} \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{N_1(1,0)} X \\ &= \bigvee_{\binom{2}{0} \binom{1}{0}} X \\ &= X, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_2 &= \bigvee_{0 \leq r \leq \frac{2+1}{3}} \left(\bigvee_{\max\{\frac{2-3r}{3}, 0\} \leq k \leq \frac{3-3r}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_2(k,r)} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{\frac{2}{3} \leq k \leq \frac{3}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_2(k,0)} X^{*k} \right) \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{0 \leq k \leq \frac{0}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_3(k,1)} \Sigma X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{N_2(1,0)} X \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{N_2(0,1)} S^0 \right) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{\binom{2}{1} \binom{1}{0}} X \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{\binom{1}{0} \binom{1}{1}} S^0 \right) \\
&= X \vee X \vee S^0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_3 &= \bigvee_{0 \leq r \leq \frac{3+1}{3}} \left(\bigvee_{\max\{\frac{3-3r}{3}, 0\} \leq k \leq \frac{4-3r}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_3(k,r)} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{\frac{3}{3} \leq k \leq \frac{4}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_3(k,0)} X^{*k} \right) \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{0 \leq k \leq \frac{1}{2}} \left(\bigvee_{N_3(k,1)} \Sigma X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{N_3(1,0)} X \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{N_3(2,0)} X^{*2} \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{N_3(0,1)} S^0 \right) \\
&= \left(\bigvee_{\binom{2}{2} \binom{1}{0}} X \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{\binom{3}{0} \binom{2}{0}} X^{*2} \right) \vee \left(\bigvee_{\binom{1}{1} \binom{1}{1}} S^0 \right) \\
&= X \vee X^{*2} \vee S^0.
\end{aligned}$$

Though $Y_2 \neq \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_2)}^*(X)$ and $Y_3 \neq \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_3)}^*(X)$, we obtain from Proposition 2.3, 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_2)}^*(X) &= \Sigma(X \sqcup X) \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma X \vee S^1 = \Sigma Y_2, \\
\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_2)}^*(X) * X &= (X \sqcup X) * X \simeq (X * X) \vee (X * X) \vee \Sigma X = Y_2 * X, \\
\Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_3)}^*(X) &= \Sigma(X^{*2} \sqcup X) \simeq \Sigma X^{*2} \vee \Sigma X \vee S^1 = \Sigma Y_3, \\
\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_3)}^*(X) * X &= (X^{*2} \sqcup X) * X \simeq (X^{*2} * X) \vee (X * X) \vee \Sigma X = Y_3 * X.
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) &\simeq \Sigma Y_n \simeq \bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{k+1}{n-2k-3r+1} \binom{k+r}{r}} \Sigma^{r+1} X^{*k} \right), \\
\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) * X &\simeq Y_n * X \simeq \bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{k+1}{n-2k-3r+1} \binom{k+r}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*(k+1)} \right), \\
\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_{n+1})}^*(X) * X &\simeq Y_{n+1} * X \simeq \bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{k+1}{n-2k-3r+2} \binom{k+r}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*(k+1)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $n \geq 1$. Then, by (4-1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_{n+3})}^*(X) \\
& \simeq \Sigma \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) \vee (\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) * X) \vee (\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_{n+1})}^*(X) * X) \\
& \simeq \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+1) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^{r+1} X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \vee \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+1) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*(k+1)} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \vee \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+2) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*(k+1)} \right) \right) \\
& \simeq \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+1) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^{r+1} X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \vee \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+1) \binom{k+1}{r}} + \bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+2) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*(k+1)} \right) \right) \\
& = \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+1) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^{r+1} X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \vee \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+2) \binom{k+2}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*(k+1)} \right) \right) \\
& = \left(\bigvee_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, s=r+1 \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3(s-1)+1) \binom{k+1}{s-1}} \Sigma^s X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
& \quad \vee \left(\bigvee_{l=k+1 \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2(l-1)-3r+2) \binom{(l-1)+2}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*l} \right) \right) \\
& \simeq \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+4) \binom{k+1}{r-1}} + \bigvee_{(n-2k-3r+4) \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
& = \left(\bigvee_{k,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{(n+3)-2k-3r+1 \binom{k+1}{r}} \Sigma^r X^{*k} \right) \right) \\
& = Y_{n+3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction on n , we conclude that we have $\mathcal{Z}_{I(L_n)}^*(X) \simeq Y_n$ for any $n \geq 4$, as desired. \square

5. THE INDEPENDENCE COMPLEX OF THE LEXICOGRAPHIC PRODUCT OVER A FOREST

The lexicographic product $G[H]$ of H over G , which is called *composition* by Harary [10], is defined as follows.

Definition 5.1. Let G, H be graphs. The *lexicographic product* $G[H]$ of H over G is a graph defined by

$$V(G[H]) = V(G) \times V(H),$$

$$E(G[H]) = \left\{ (u_1, v_1)(u_2, v_2) \left| \begin{array}{l} u_1 u_2 \in E(G) \\ \text{or} \\ u_1 = u_2, v_1 v_2 \in E(H) \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

The independence complex of $G[H]$ and the polyhedral join are related in the following way.

Proposition 5.2. *Let G, H be graphs. Then we have*

$$I(G[H]) = \mathcal{Z}_{I(G)}^*(I(H), \emptyset).$$

Proof. Follows from [15, Example 25, Proposition 27]. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let M be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and $(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) = \{(K_i, L_i)\}_{i \in [m]}$ be a family of pairs of simplicial complexes and their subcomplexes. For a family $\underline{X} = \{X_v\}_{v \in \sqcup_{i \in [m]} V(K_i)}$ of finite CW complexes, we have*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Z}_M^*(\underline{K}, \underline{L})}^*(\underline{X}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_M^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{K}}^*(\underline{X}), \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{L}}^*(\underline{X})),$$

where $(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{K}}^*(\underline{X}), \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{L}}^*(\underline{X})) = \{(\mathcal{Z}_{K_i}^*(\underline{X}), \mathcal{Z}_{L_i}^*(\underline{X}))\}_{i \in [m]}$.

Proof. Using Proposition 4.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Z}_M^*(\underline{K}, \underline{L})}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &= \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{Z}_M^*(\underline{K}, \underline{L})} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{* \sigma} \\ &= \bigcup_{S \in M} \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in (\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*S}} (\underline{X}, \emptyset)^{* \sigma} \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{S \in M} \mathcal{Z}_{(\underline{K}, \underline{L})^{*S}}^*(\underline{X}) \\ &= \bigcup_{S \in M} \mathcal{Z}_{J_1 \dots J_m}^*(\underline{X}) \quad \left(J_i = \begin{cases} K_i & (i \in S) \\ L_i & (i \notin S) \end{cases} \right) \\ &\cong \bigcup_{S \in M} (\mathcal{Z}_{J_1}^*(\underline{X}) * \dots * \mathcal{Z}_{J_m}^*(\underline{X})) \\ &= \bigcup_{S \in M} (\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{K}}^*(\underline{X}), \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{L}}^*(\underline{X}))^{*S} \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_M^*(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{K}}^*(\underline{X}), \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{L}}^*(\underline{X})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and $(\underline{K}, \underline{L}) = \{(K_i, L_i)\}_{i \in [m]}$ be a family of pairs of simplicial complexes and their subcomplexes. Then we have*

$$|\mathcal{Z}_M^*(\underline{K}, \underline{L})| \cong \mathcal{Z}_M^*(|\underline{K}|, |\underline{L}|),$$

where $|\underline{K}| = \{K_i\}_{i \in [m]}$, $|\underline{L}| = \{L_i\}_{i \in [m]}$.

Proof. Remark that for a simplicial complex K , we have

$$|K| = \mathcal{Z}_K^*(\text{pt}),$$

where $\text{pt} = \{X_v\}_{v \in V(K)}$, $X_v = \text{pt}$ for any $v \in V(K)$. So, this theorem follows from Proposition 5.3 by

$$|\mathcal{Z}_M^*(\underline{K}, \underline{L})| = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Z}_M^*(\underline{K}, \underline{L})}^*(\text{pt}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_M^*\left(\mathcal{Z}_{\underline{K}}^*(\text{pt}), \mathcal{Z}_{\underline{L}}^*(\text{pt})\right) = \mathcal{Z}_M^*(|\underline{K}|, |\underline{L}|).$$

□

The homeomorphism (1-1) in Section 1 is obtained from Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 5.4 by

$$|I(G[H])| = |\mathcal{Z}_{I(G)}^*(I(H), \emptyset)| \cong \mathcal{Z}_{I(G)}^*(|I(H)|).$$

Using this homeomorphism, we deduce Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Remark that $uv \in E(G)$ for any $v \in V(G) \setminus \{u\}$ means that u is isolated in $I(G)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, the assumption on G implies that $I(G)$ is connected s-vertex-decomposable simplicial complex. Thus, by Theorem 1.1 and the homeomorphism (1-1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(G[H])| &\cong \mathcal{Z}_{I(G)}^*(|I(H)|) \\ &\simeq \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} (\Sigma^{r_\alpha} |I(H)|^{*k_\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

for some family $\{(r_\alpha, k_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of pairs of non-negative integers. If $|I(H)|$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge sum of spheres, then so is $\Sigma^{r_\alpha} |I(H)|^{*k_\alpha}$. This is the desired conclusion. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 1.3 and the homeomorphism (1-1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I(L_m[H])| &\cong \mathcal{Z}_{I(L_m)}^*(|I(H)|) \\ &\simeq \begin{cases} |I(H)| & (m=1), \\ |I(H)| \sqcup |I(H)| & (m=2), \\ |I(H)|^{*2} \sqcup |I(H)| & (m=3), \\ \bigvee_{p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{p+1}{m-2p-3r+1} \binom{p+r}{r}} \Sigma^r |I(H)|^{*p} \right) & (m \geq 4). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I(H)| &\simeq \bigvee_n S^k, \\
|I(H)| \sqcup |I(H)| &\simeq \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right) \sqcup \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right), \\
|I(H)|^{*2} \sqcup |I(H)| &\simeq \left(\left(\bigvee_n S^k\right) * \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right)\right) \sqcup \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right) \\
&\simeq \left(\bigvee_{n^2} S^{2k+1}\right) \sqcup \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right), \\
\Sigma^r |I(H)|^{*p} &\simeq \Sigma^r \left(\bigvee_n S^k\right)^{*p} \\
&\simeq \bigvee_{n^p} S^{p(k+1)-1+r}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we get the desired formula for $m = 1, 2, 3$. For $m \geq 4$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|I(L_m[H])| \\
&\simeq \bigvee_{p,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{p+1}{m-2p-3r+1} \binom{p+r}{r}} \Sigma^r |I(H)|^{*p} \right) \\
&\simeq \bigvee_{p,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{\binom{p+1}{m-2p-3r+1} \binom{p+r}{r}} \left(\bigvee_{n^p} S^{p(k+1)-1+r} \right) \right) \\
&\simeq \bigvee_{p,r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{n^p \binom{p+1}{m-2p-3r+1} \binom{p+r}{r}} S^{p(k+1)-1+r} \right) \\
&\simeq \bigvee_{p \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}} \left(\bigvee_{d=p(k+1)-1+r \geq 0} \left(\bigvee_{n^p \binom{p+1}{m-2p-3(d-p(k+1)+1)+1} \binom{p+(d-p(k+1)+1)}{p}} S^d \right) \right) \\
&\simeq \bigvee_{d \geq 0} \left(\bigvee_{\sum_{p \geq 0} n^p \binom{p+1}{3(d-p(k+1))-m} \binom{d-p(k+1)}{p}} S^d \right)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Example 5.5. Kozlov [14, Proposition 5.2] proved that

$$|I(C_n)| \simeq \begin{cases} S^{k-1} \vee S^{k-1} & (n = 3k), \\ S^{k-1} & (n = 3k + 1), \\ S^k & (n = 3k + 2). \end{cases}$$

So, we can determine the homotopy types of $|I(L_m[C_n])|$ for any $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$ by Theorem 1.4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Michał Adamaszek, Splittings of independence complexes and the powers of cycles, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 119:1031–1047, 2012.
- [2] A. A. Ayzenberg, Substitutions of polytopes and of simplicial complexes, and multigraded Betti numbers, *Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society*, 74:175–202, 2013.
- [3] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F.R. Cohen, and S. Gitler, The polyhedral product functor: A method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces, *Advances in Mathematics*, 225:1634–1668, 2010.
- [4] Jonathan Ariel Barmak, Star clusters in independence complexes of graphs, *Advances in Mathematics*, 241:33–57, 2013.

- [5] Anders Björner and Michelle L. Wachs, Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 349(10):3945–3975, 1997.
- [6] Mireille Bousquet-Mélou, Svante Linusson, and Eran Nevo, On the independence complex of square grids, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 27:423–450, 2008.
- [7] Manoj K. Chari, On discrete Morse functions and combinatorial decompositions, *Discrete Mathematics*, 217:101–113, 2000.
- [8] Alexander Engström, Complexes of directed trees and independence complexes, *Discrete Mathematics*, 309:3299–3309, 2009.
- [9] Robin Forman, Morse theory for cell complexes, *Advances in Mathematics*, 134:90–145, 1998.
- [10] Frank Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
- [11] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic Topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [12] Kouyemon Iriye, On the homotopy types of the independence complexes of grid graphs with cylindrical identification, *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 52(3):479–501, 2012.
- [13] Kazuhiro Kawamura, Independence complexes of chordal graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 310:2204–2211, 2010.
- [14] Dmitry N. Kozlov, Complexes of directed trees, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 88:112–122, 1999.
- [15] Kengo Okura, Shellability of polyhedral joins of simplicial complexes and its application to graph theory, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 29(3):#P3.53, 2022.
- [16] Johan Thapper, Independence complexes of cylinders constructed from square and hexagonal grid graphs, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:0812.1165](https://arxiv.org/abs/0812.1165), 2008.
- [17] Adam Van Tuyl and Rafael H. Villarreal, Shellable graphs and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 115:799–814, 2008.
- [18] Kevin N. Vander Meulen and Adam Van Tuyl, Shellability, vertex decomposability, and lexicographical products of graphs, *Contributions to Discrete Mathematics*, 12(2):63–68, 2017.
- [19] Russ Woodroffe, Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 137(10):3235–3246, 2009.

OSAKA METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1 GAKUEN-CHO, NAKA-KU, SAKAI, OSAKA 599-8531, JAPAN

Email address: `okura.kengo.k35@kyoto-u.jp`