

Euclidean sets with only one distance modulo a prime ideal

Hiroshi Nozaki*

March 23, 2023

Abstract

Let X be a finite set in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d . If any squared distance of two distinct points in X is an odd integer, then the cardinality of X is bounded above by $d + 2$. Rosenfeld (1997) proved that there exists $(d + 2)$ -point X in \mathbb{R}^d having only odd integral squared distances if and only if $d + 2$ is congruent to 0 modulo 4. The distances can be interpreted as an element of the field $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We generalize this result for a local ring $(A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ as follows. Let K be an algebraic number field that can be embedded into \mathbb{R} . Fix an embedding of K into \mathbb{R} , and K is interpreted as a subfield of \mathbb{R} . Let $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K , and \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K . Let $(A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ be the local ring obtained from the localization $(A \setminus \mathfrak{p})^{-1}A$, which is interpreted as a subring of \mathbb{R} . If the squared distances of $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ are in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and each squared distance is congruent to some constant $k \not\equiv 0$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then $|X| \leq d + 2$. In this paper, we prove that there exists a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ attaining the upper bound $|X| \leq d + 2$ if and only if $d + 2$ is congruent to 0 modulo 4 when the field $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of characteristic 2, and $d + 2$ is congruent to 0 modulo p when $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of characteristic p odd. We also construct examples attaining this upper bound.

Key words: distance set, algebraic number field, mod- \mathfrak{p} bound

1 Introduction

For a Euclidean finite set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, define

$$D(X) = \{\|x - y\|^2 : x, y \in X, x \neq y\},$$

where $\|x - y\|$ is the Euclidean distance between x and y . A set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is called an *s-distance set* if $|D(X)| = s$. For an *s-distance set* $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, there is an upper bound $|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s}$ [1]. An *s-distance set* attaining this upper bound is said to be *tight*. The major problem for *s-distance set* is to determine the largest possible *s-distance sets* for given d and s . Largest *s-distance sets* in \mathbb{R}^d are known only for $(s, d) = (1, \text{any}), (\leq 6, 2), (2, \leq 8), (\leq 5, 3), (\leq 4, 4)$ [5, 6, 9, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. There are analogous upper bounds on *s-distance set* in various spaces like on the Euclidean sphere [4] or association schemes [2, 3]. Largest *s-distance sets* often have good combinatorial structures, in particular tight *s-distance sets* are closely related to the design theory for the sphere or certain association schemes [3, 4].

*Department of Mathematics Education, Aichi University of Education, 1 Hirosawa, Igaya-cho, Kariya, Aichi 448-8542, Japan. hnozaki@aeu.ac.jp

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05D05 (05B30)

There is a similar upper bound for a set in \mathbb{R}^d with only s squared distances distinct modulo some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as we show (1.1) below. An extension field K of rationals \mathbb{Q} is an *algebraic number field* if the degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ is finite. The *ring of integers* \mathcal{O}_K is the ring consisting of all algebraic integers in K . It is well known that any prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K is maximal. We always suppose K is embedded into \mathbb{R} , and regard $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. For a commutative ring A , (A, \mathfrak{m}) is a *local ring* if A has a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . It is well known that for a commutative ring A and its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$, we can construct a local ring $(A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. For $A = \mathcal{O}_K$, the local ring is

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}A = \{a/s \in K \mid a \in A, s \in S\},$$

where $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. Its unique maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is the ideal of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ generated by the elements of \mathfrak{p} . Note that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a principal ideal domain, and the natural map $f : A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} : x + \mathfrak{p} \mapsto x + \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a field isomorphism. If $D(X) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for some integer ring $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, we define

$$D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = \{a \bmod \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid a \in D(X)\}.$$

If $0 \notin D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)$ and $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = s$, then

$$|X| \leq \binom{d+s}{s} + \binom{d+s-1}{s-1} \quad (1.1)$$

holds [12]. We call X an *s-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. \mathfrak{p})*, or an *s-distance set on $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$)* if $D(X) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (resp. \mathcal{O}_K), $0 \notin D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)$, and $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = s$. The word *modular s-distance set* is also used if we do not need to write specific $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. An *s-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$* is said to be *tight* if the set attains the bound (1.1).

A natural problem for *s-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$* is to determine when there exists a tight set. In this paper, we focus on the case $s = 1$, where the upper bound $|X| \leq d + 2$. The regular simplex is a 1-distance set in \mathbb{R}^d , and it has $d + 1$ points. The regular simplex with side length 1 is a 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any K . If there exists no tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, then the regular simplex is one of the largest sets. Rosenfeld [16] showed a related result that there exists a tight 1-distance set on $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. We generalize this result for any algebraic number field K . Precisely, when the characteristic of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is 2, there exists a tight 1-distance set on $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. When the characteristic of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $p \neq 2$, there exists a tight 1-distance set on $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if and only if $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

In the latter part of this paper, examples of tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are obtained. If there exists a tight *s-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$* , then we can construct infinitely many tight *s-distance sets modulo PB_P* for some local ring $B_P \supset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that tight *s-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$* are known only for $s = 1$. Tight 1-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with only 2 Euclidean distances are characterized from the viewpoint of representations of simple graphs. Tight examples are also obtained from Euclidean 2-distance sets that contain the regular simplex.

2 Distances modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$

In this section, we give some results about the modular distances $D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)$. Two *s-distance sets* are said to be *isomorphic* if they are similar. The number of the distances in X is the

same as that of $rX = \{rx : x \in X\}$ for any $r > 0$, but the fields K that contain the distances may be different. We give a condition for $D(X)$ to satisfy that for some $r > 0$, it follows that $D(rX) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $0 \notin D_{\mathfrak{p}}(rX)$, and clarify how to choose a suitable field K to reduce $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)|$.

For $0 \neq a \in K$ and a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, let $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a)$ be the integer n such that $aA_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})^n$, where $aA_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})^n$ are fractional ideals of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (finitely generated $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule of K) and $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0) = \infty$. The following is an equivalent condition for $D(X)$ to satisfy that $D(rX) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $0 \notin D_{\mathfrak{p}}(rX)$ with some $r > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a finite subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Suppose each element of $D(X)$ is an algebraic number. Let K be an algebraic number field that contains $D(X)$. Let $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the local ring obtained from \mathfrak{p} . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists $0 < r \in K$ such that for each $a \in D(X)$, one has $ra \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $ra \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.*
- (2) *There exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for each $a \in D(X)$, one has $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = n$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): The conditions $ra \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $ra \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ imply $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(ra) = 0$. Therefore, $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = -\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(r)$, which is constant.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): It suffices to choose $0 < r \in K$ such that $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(r) = -n$. \square

Remark 2.2. From Theorem 2.1, we may suppose $1 \in D(X)$ since $\text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(1) = 0$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$.

In order to obtain the smallest value of $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)|$, it suffices to choose the smallest extension K of \mathbb{Q} that contains all distances $a_1, \dots, a_s \in D(X)$, namely $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be an s -distance set in \mathbb{R}^d with distances $a_1, \dots, a_s \in D(X)$, which are algebraic numbers. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ and L be a finite extension field of K . Let $P \subset B = \mathcal{O}_L$ be a prime ideal lying above a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A = \mathcal{O}_K$, namely $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap \mathcal{O}_K$ holds. If $D(X) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (note that $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset B_P$), then $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = |D_P(X)|$ holds.*

Proof. The natural homomorphism $\alpha + \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mapsto \alpha + PB_P$ is injective. This implies the assertion. \square

3 Dimensions where tight 1-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ exist

In this section, we determine the dimensions where there exist tight 1-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let K be an algebraic number field, $A = \mathcal{O}_K$, and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the local ring obtained from the localization by a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. It is well known that for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$, the set $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z}$ is a prime ideal $p\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} for some prime number p . For this case, $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ is called a prime ideal lying above $p\mathbb{Z}$.

The following theorem can be proved by the same method given in [16].

Theorem 3.1. *Let p be a prime number in \mathbb{Z} . Let K be an algebraic number field, and $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K . Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime ideal lying above $p\mathbb{Z}$. If there exists a 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in \mathbb{R}^d with $d + 2$ points, then $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. Let X be a tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in \mathbb{R}^d . By Remark 2.2, we may suppose $D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = \{1\}$. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be the points in X , where $n = d + 2 = |X|$. For $u_i = x_i - x_1$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$), we consider the Gram matrix $M = (2\langle u_i, u_j \rangle)_{i,j=1,\dots,n-1}$, where \langle, \rangle is the usual inner product of \mathbb{R}^d . The rank of M is equal to $d < n - 1$, and the determinant of M is 0. From our assumption, $\|u_i\|^2 = \|x_i - x_1\|^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $\|u_i - u_j\|^2 = \|x_i - x_j\|^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, and hence

$$2\langle u_i, u_j \rangle \equiv \begin{cases} 2 & \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{ if } i = j, \\ 1 & \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{ if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$0 = \det(M) \equiv \det(J + I) = d + 2 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

and $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ because of $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Theorem 3.1 shows the necessary condition of the existence of tight 1-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. For an odd prime p , the condition is also sufficient as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let K be an algebraic number field, and $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K . Let p be an odd prime number. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A lying above $p\mathbb{Z}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a 1-distance set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $d + 2$ points.*
- (2) $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from Theorem 3.1. For (2) \Rightarrow (1), the following set X is an example of a tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$:

$$X = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_1, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e_d, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}x, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}y \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where e_i is the vector with i -th entry 1 and 0 otherwise, $x = (\alpha, \dots, \alpha)$, $y = (\beta, \dots, \beta)$, $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{d+1})/d$, and $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{d+1})/d$. For this set X , the set of distances is $D(X) = \{1, 1 + (d+2)/d\}$. The greatest common divisor of $d + 2$ and d is at most 2, and d is coprime to p . Thus $D(X) \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = \{1\}$. \square

Remark 3.3. The regular simplex in \mathbb{R}^d with side length 1 and its center also form a tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

The following is the main theorem, which is a generalization of Rosenfeld's result [16].

Theorem 3.4. *Let K be an algebraic number field, and $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A lying above $2\mathbb{Z}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a 1-distance set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $d + 2$ points.*
- (2) $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) is already proved in [16], namely for $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, the regular simplex with side length 1 and its center is a tight 1-distance set modulo $2\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \subset \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The example in (3.1) is also a tight 1-distance set modulo $2\mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \subset \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$.

We prove (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let X be a tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in \mathbb{R}^d . By Remark 2.2, we may suppose $D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X) = \{1\}$. Since \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal lying above $2\mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$|A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}| = 2^t$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, namely $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite field of characteristic 2. Thus $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/g(x) \cong \mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$ for an irreducible polynomial g of degree t over \mathbb{F}_2 . Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a principal ideal domain, there exists $\pi \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ a generator of $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, namely $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pi A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Put $e = \text{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(2)$, namely $2A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \pi^e A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and $2 = c\pi^e$ for some unit $c \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$. Note that the complete residue system modulo $\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^e a_k \pi^k : a_0, \dots, a_e \in \mathbb{F}_2(\alpha) \right\},$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$ means a representative of the corresponding residue class of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$

Let $M = (2\langle u_i, u_j \rangle)$ be defined as that in a proof of Theorem 3.1. For $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, it follows that $a \equiv 1 + \sum_{i=1}^e a_i \pi^i \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_e \in \mathbb{F}_2(\alpha)$. From $2\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \|u_i\|^2 + \|u_j\|^2 - \|u_i - u_j\|^2$ and $2\pi \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, we have

$$2\langle u_i, u_j \rangle \equiv \begin{cases} 2 & \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{ if } i = j, \\ 1 + \sum_{k=1}^e a_k \pi^k & \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{ if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We consider the determinant of M modulo $\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Let $M_{ij}(x)$ be the matrix derived from M by replacing (i, j) -entry and (j, i) -entry by indeterminate x . Let $f_{ij}(x)$ be the determinant of the matrix $M_{ij}(x)$. If for the (i, j) -entry a of M there exists $b \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $f_{ij}(a) \equiv f_{ij}(b) \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$, we can replace the entry a by b while remaining the value of the determinant modulo $\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The polynomial $f_{ij}(x)$ can be expressed by $f_{ij}(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ for some $a, b, c \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

First, we prove $a = 2a'$ and $b = 2b'$ for some $a', b' \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. From the definition of the determinant of $M = (m_{ij})$, the coefficient of x in $f_{ij}(x)$ is

$$b = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1} : \sigma(i)=j, \sigma(j) \neq i} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{m_{ij}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{k, \sigma(k)} + \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1} : \sigma(j)=i, \sigma(i) \neq j} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{m_{ji}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{k, \sigma(k)}$$

For each permutation $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ with $\sigma(i) = j$ and $\sigma(j) \neq i$, the inverse σ^{-1} satisfies $\sigma^{-1}(j) = i$, $\sigma^{-1}(i) \neq j$, and hence $\sigma \neq \sigma^{-1}$. Since M is symmetric, for each $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$,

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{k, \sigma(k)} = \text{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{\sigma^{-1}(k), k} = \text{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{k, \sigma^{-1}(k)}.$$

Therefore,

$$b = 2 \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1} : \sigma(i)=j, \sigma(j) \neq i} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{m_{ij}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{k, \sigma(k)} \in 2A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

is satisfies.

The coefficient of x^2 in $f_{ij}(x)$ is

$$a = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1} : \sigma(i)=j, \sigma(j)=i} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{m_{i,j} m_{j,i}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} m_{k, \sigma(k)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Since the diagonal entries of M are congruent to 2 modulo $\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, if there exists k such that $\sigma(k) = k$, then the corresponding term in (3.3) is twice some element of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. If σ does not fix any k , then the corresponding term in (3.3) is equal to that of σ^{-1} . Since $n - 1$ is odd by Theorem 3.1 and σ does not fix any k , we have $\sigma \neq \sigma^{-1}$. This implies $a = 2a'$ for some $a' \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. From $2\pi \in \pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have $f_{ij}(1 + \sum_{k=1}^e a_k \pi^k) \equiv 2a' + 2b' + c \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and hence $f_{ij}(1) - f_{ij}(1 + \sum_{k=1}^e a_k \pi^k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Therefore,

$$0 = \det(M) \equiv \det(J + I) \equiv n = d + 2 \pmod{\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

and $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ since $\pi^{e+1}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathbb{Z} = 4\mathbb{Z}$. \square

Remark 3.5. Graham, Rothschild, and Straus [7] proved that there exists a 1-distance set modulo $2\mathbb{Z}$ in \mathbb{R}^d such that $D(X)$ is a set of squared odd integers if and only if $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{16\mathbb{Z}}$. This is also proved by the same method as Theorem 3.4. This result is generalized as that there exists a 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in \mathbb{R}^d such that $D(X)$ is a set of squared odd elements of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $2A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ($e = 1$) if and only if $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{16\mathbb{Z}}$. The keys to the proof are

$$2\langle u_i, u_j \rangle \equiv \begin{cases} 2 & \pmod{16A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{ if } i = j, \\ 1 \text{ or } 9 & \pmod{16A_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{ if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

and $f_{ij}(9) - f_{ij}(1) \equiv 80a + 16b' \equiv 0 \pmod{16A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

4 Tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$

In this section, we give examples of tight 1-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We use the same notation K , $\mathcal{O}_K = A$, \mathfrak{p} , and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as previous sections. First, we prove that tight s -distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ can be modified to obtain infinitely many tight s -distance sets modulo PB_P for some ring $B \supset A$ and a prime ideal $P \subset B$ with $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap A$. By the following lemma, for an s -distance set X modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we may suppose that the coordinates of each point of X are algebraic numbers.

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be a finite set in \mathbb{R}^d whose squared distances $D(X)$ are contained in an algebraic number field K . Then there exists a finite extension field L of K such that L contains all coordinates of each point of X .*

Proof. Let M be the squared distance matrix $M = (||x - y||^2)_{x,y \in X}$ of X . From our assumption, each element of M is in K . Let P be the matrix $P = I - (1/n)J$ where $n = |X|$, I is the identity matrix of size n , and J is the all-ones matrix. By direct calculation, $N = (-1/2)PMP$ is the Gram matrix of X with some coordinates. Note that each entry in the Gram matrix N is in K . By the Cholesky factorization algorithm, there exists an $n \times d$ matrix F such that $N = FF^{\top}$ and each entry in F is in some finite extension L of K . \square

By the following theorem, infinitely many non-isomorphic modular s -distance sets are constructed from a given s -distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ while maintaining the cardinality.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an s -distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $A = \mathcal{O}_K$ and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of A . Let L be a finite extension field of K containing all coordinates x_{ij} of the points $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{id})$. Let $P \subset B = \mathcal{O}_L$ be a prime ideal lying above $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$. Let $t = \max\{0, -\min_{i,j} \text{ord}_P(2x_{ij})\}$. Then for any $a_i = (a_{i1}, \dots, a_{id})$ with $a_{ij} \in (PB_P)^{t+1}$, the set $X' = \{x_1 + a_1, \dots, x_n + a_n\}$ is an s -distance set modulo PB_P .*

Proof. For any $x_i + a_i, x_j + a_j \in X'$, the distance is

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x_i + a_i) - (x_j + a_j)\|^2 &= \|(x_i - x_j) + (a_i - a_j)\|^2 \\ &= \|x_i - x_j\|^2 + 2\langle x_i - x_j, a_i - a_j \rangle + \|a_i - a_j\|^2 \\ &\equiv \|x_i - x_j\|^2 \pmod{PB_P} \end{aligned}$$

from our assumption because of $\text{ord}_P(2(x_{ik} - x_{jk})) \geq \min\{\text{ord}_P(2x_i), \text{ord}_P(2x_j)\} \geq -t$ and $\text{ord}_P(\alpha_{ik} - \alpha_{jk}) \geq t + 1$. Thus the assertion follows. \square

Remark 4.3. From the tight 1-distance set modulo $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ defined in (3.1), we can obtain infinitely many tight modular 1-distance sets.

In the remaining part of this section, we give tight 1-distance sets modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ having only 2 Euclidean distances. For $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $D(X) = \{a_1, \dots, a_s\}$, the following value k_i is called the *LRS ratio*:

$$k_i = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{a_j}{a_j - a_i}$$

for $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ [8, 11]. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = 1$ [10]. If $|X| > \binom{d+s-1}{s-1} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-2}$ holds, then the values k_i are algebraic integers, which are in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [12]. If k_i is an algebraic integer for each i , then there does not exist K and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| < s$ [12]. The converse is not true in general, but it is true only for $s = 2$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a 2-distance set with $D(X) = \{1, a\}$. Suppose the squared distance a is an algebraic number. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(a)$ and \mathcal{O}_K the ring of integers of K . The LRS ratio $k = 1/(1 - a)$ is not an algebraic integer if and only if there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = 1$.*

Proof. We prove the necessity. Let $(1 - a)\mathcal{O}_K$ be the fractional ideal generated by $1 - a$. We can obtain the prime factorization $(1 - a)\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}_1^{c_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{c_r}$. Since $k = 1/(1 - a) \notin \mathcal{O}_K$, there exists i such that $c_i \geq 1$. Put $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for such i , and then $(1 - a)A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}^{c_i}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This implies $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. \square

A 2-distance set is identified with a representation of a simple graph. If a 2-distance set X in \mathbb{R}^d has size $d + 2$, then X is a minimal-dimensional representation of some simple graph [5, 17]. If X is on a sphere and $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = 1$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , then $|X| \leq d + 1$, which is proved by the same method as in [12]. A non-spherical 2-distance set with $d + 2$ points is characterized as Type (5), see the definition of Type (5) in [13, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 4.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a 2-distance set of Type (5). Let $D(X) = \{1, a\}$ ($0 < a < 1$) and $K = \mathbb{Q}(a)$. If the LRS ratio $k = 1/(1 - a)$ is not an algebraic integer, then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = 1$.*

Proof. From Theorem 4.4, it suffices to show that a is an algebraic number. Let A be the adjacency matrix of the simple graph corresponding to the 2-distance set X . The squared-distance matrix of X is equal to $M = aA + A'$, where $A' = J - I - A$, I is the identity matrix, and J is the all-ones matrix. Let $P = I - (1/(d + 2))J$, which is the orthogonal projection matrix onto the space j^{\perp} , where j^{\perp} is the space perpendicular to the all-ones vector j . Then $(-1/2)PMP$ is a Gram matrix of X and the rank of $-PMP$ is equal to d . Since PAP and $PA'P$ are commutative, we have to choose the distance a to vanish the eigenspace associated

with the smallest eigenvalue of $-PMP = -(aPAP + PA'P)$ on j^\perp . Namely we should put $a = (\lambda + 1)/\lambda$, where λ is the smallest eigenvalue of PAP on j^\perp . All entries of PAP are rational, and hence λ and a are algebraic numbers. \square

Remark 4.6. There are many simple graphs of Type (5) whose LRS ratio is not an algebraic integer. It is easy to obtain such graphs from random graphs. If a simple graph is not of Type (5), then $k = 1/(1 - a) = -\lambda$ coincides with an eigenvalue of A and it is an algebraic integer. This implies that tight modular 1-distance sets with only 2 Euclidean distances are of Type (5).

A tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ given in [7, 16] is a regular simplex and its center, which is a 2-distance set. Nozaki and Shinohara [14] investigated a 2-distance set that contains the regular simplex. Let \mathcal{R} be the d -dimensional regular simplex. The set \mathcal{R} can be expressed by $\mathcal{R} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{d+1}\} \subset H_d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \mid \langle j, x \rangle = 1\} \cong \mathbb{R}^d$, where j is the all-ones vector and e_i is the vector with i -th entry 1 and the other entries 0. It is proved in [14] that $\mathcal{R} \cup \{x\} \subset H_d$ is a 2-distance set if and only if $x \in T_d(k, \beta) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) \in H_d \mid \forall i, x_i \in \{c, c + \beta\}, |N(x, c)| = k\}$, where $N(x, c) = \{i \mid x_i = c\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d + 1\}$,

$$c = \frac{1}{d+1} - \frac{d+1-k}{d+1}\beta, \text{ and } \beta = \begin{cases} \frac{k \pm \sqrt{k(d+1)(d+2-k)}}{k(d+1-k)} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq d, \\ 1 + \frac{2}{d} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ -\frac{d+2}{2(d+1)} & \text{if } k = d + 1. \end{cases}$$

We determine when a 2-distance set containing the regular simplex is a tight 1-distance set modulo some $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{R} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{d+1}\}$ be the d -dimensional regular simplex in H_d , and $x \in T_d(k, \beta)$. Let $X = \mathcal{R} \cup \{x\}$, which is a 2-distance set. Let K be a finite extension field of \mathbb{Q} that contains the distances $D(X)$. If $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A = \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathcal{R} \cup \{x\}$ is a tight 1-distance set modulo $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*
- (2) *$k \neq (d+2)/2$ or $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4, it suffices to determine when the LRS ratio is an algebraic integer. Note that $D(X) = \{1, \beta + 1\}$ after rescaling. Then the LRS ratio is $t = -1/\beta$. From our assumption $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$, we have $k \neq 1, d + 1$. For $2 \leq k \leq d$, we can express

$$t = -\frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{k}{d+2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{k(d+1)(d+2-k)}}{d+2}.$$

It is well known that if $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{r})$ for a square-free integer r , then

$$\mathcal{O}_L = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1+\sqrt{r}}{2}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } r \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{r}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } r \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If $\sqrt{k(d+1)(d+2-k)}$ is not an integer and $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then $k/(d+2) \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}$. From $2 \leq k \leq d$, one has $k = (d+2)/2$ and d is even. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{k(d+1)(d+2-k)}}{d+2} = \frac{\sqrt{d+1}}{2}$$

with $k = (d+2)/2$. Since $d+1$ is an odd integer, $d+1$ should be congruent to 1 modulo 4 to satisfy $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ even if $d+1$ is not square-free. Therefore, $t \in \mathcal{O}_K$ if and only if $k = (d+2)/2$ and $d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. From Theorem 4.4, this theorem follows. \square

Remark 4.8. If $k = 1$, then $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and the LRS ratio $-1/\beta = -d/(d+2)$ is not integer. Thus for $k = 1$, there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = 1$ by Theorem 4.4. This is the example (3.1). If $k = d+1$, then $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and the LRS ratio $-1/\beta = 2(d+1)/(d+2)$ is not integer. Thus for $k = d+1$, there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|D_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)| = 1$ by Theorem 4.4. This corresponds to the regular simplex and its center.

Remark 4.9. Tight modular 1-distance sets obtained from Theorem 4.7 can be constructed in the manner from Theorem 4.2. For simplicity, suppose p is an odd prime. The regular simplex $\mathcal{R} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{d+1}\} \subset H_d$ and its center $c' = (1/(d+1), \dots, 1/(d+1)) \in H_d$ form a tight 1-distance set modulo $p\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, where $d+2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Suppose $\sqrt{k(d+1)(d+2-k)}$ is not an integer, and let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{k(d+1)(d+2-k)})$. The norm of β is $-(d+2)/(k(d+1-k))$, and let $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ be a prime ideal that contains $d+2$. Since $d+1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$, the coordinates of e_i and c' are of order 0 with respect to \mathfrak{p} . The order of $(d+1-k)\beta/(d+1)$ with respect to \mathfrak{p} is at least 1 and $c = c' - (d+1-k)\beta/(d+1) \equiv c' \pmod{\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$.

Modular tight 1-distance sets are easily found from 2-distance sets, but we would like to find an example that cannot be obtained from the construction of Theorem 4.2.

Problem 4.10. Is any tight modular 1-distance set constructed by the manner of Theorem 4.2 from the regular simplex and its center?

Acknowledgments. The author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 18K03396, 19K03445, 20K03527, and 22K03402.

References

- [1] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, and D. Stanton, An upper bound for the cardinality of an s -distance subset in real Euclidean space II, *Combinatorica* **3** (1983), 147–152.
- [2] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [3] P. Delsarte, An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory, *Philips Res. Rep. Suppl.* No. 10, (1973).
- [4] P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals, and J.J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363–388.
- [5] S.J. Einhorn and I.J. Schoenberg, On Euclidean sets having only two distances between points. I. II, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **69**=*Indag. Math.* **28** (1966), 479–488, 489–504.
- [6] P. Erdős and P. Fishburn, Maximum planar sets that determine k distances, *Discrete Math.* **160** (1996), 115–125.

- [7] R.L. Graham, B.L. Rothschild, and E.G. Straus, Are there $n+2$ points in E^n with pairwise odd integral distances?, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **81** (1974), 21–25.
- [8] D.G. Larman, C.A. Rogers, J.J. Seidel, On two-distance sets in Euclidean space, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **9** (1977), 261–267.
- [9] P. Lisoněk, New maximal 2-distance sets, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **77** (1997), 318–338.
- [10] O.R. Musin and H. Nozaki, Bounds on three- and higher-distance sets, *European J. Combin.* **32** (2011), 1182–1190.
- [11] H. Nozaki, A generalization of Larman–Rogers–Seidel’s theorem, *Discrete Math.* **311** (2011), no. 10–11, 792–799.
- [12] H. Nozaki, Bounds for sets with few distances distinct modulo a prime ideal, arXiv:2203.04492, to appear in *Algebraic Combinatorics*.
- [13] H. Nozaki and M. Shinohara, A geometrical characterization of strongly regular graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), no. 10, 2587–2600.
- [14] H. Nozaki and M. Shinohara Maximal 2-distance sets containing the regular simplex, *Discrete Math.* **343** (2020), no. 11, 112071.
- [15] H. Nozaki and M. Shinohara, A proof of a dodecahedron conjecture for distance sets, *Graphs Combin.* **37** (2021), 1585–1603.
- [16] M. Rosenfeld, In praise of the Gram matrix, *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős II*, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [17] A. Roy, Minimal Euclidean representations of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010), 727–733.
- [18] M. Shinohara, Classification of three-distance sets in two dimensional Euclidean space, *European J. Combin.* **25** (2004), 1039–1058.
- [19] M. Shinohara, Uniqueness of maximum planar five-distance sets, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 3048–3055.
- [20] M. Shinohara, Uniqueness of maximum three-distance sets in the three-dimensional Euclidean space, arXiv:1309.2047.
- [21] F. Szöllősi and P.R.J. Östergård, Constructions of maximum few-distance sets in Euclidean spaces, *Electron. J. Combin.* **27** (1) (2020), #P1.23.
- [22] X. Wei, A proof of Erdős–Fishburn’s conjecture for $g(6) = 13$, *Electron. J. Combin.* **19** (4) (2012), #P38.