arXiv:2303.12427v1 [hep-ph] 22 Mar 2023

A Representation Transformation of Parametric Feynman Integrals

Wen Chen[*a]

[a]*School of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310027, China*

March 23, 2023

Abstract

A transformation is applied to the parametric representation of Feynman integrals. The obtained representation and the original parametric representation are dual to each other. For integrals with momentum-space correspondences, the "new" representation is just the Baikov's representation.

1 Introduction

Calculation of Feynman integrals is essential in high-energy physics. There are several representations of Feynman integrals. The most famous one is the Feynman-parameter representation [1, 2, 3, 4]. Some variants of the Feynman-parameter representation are the Lee-Pomeransky representation [5, 6] and the one used by the author in refs. [7, 8, 9]. Another now widely used representation is the Baikov's representation [10, 11]. Obviously these two representations should be equivalent, since both of them are derived from the momentum representation. But it was not clear how to directly derive one representation from another. The first step towards solving this problem is made in this article. The representation used in refs. [7, 8, 9] and the Baikov's representation are characterized by two polynomials respectively. It is shown that these two polynomials are related to each other through a transformation. The transformation is described in section 2, and the equivalence of the obtained representation with the Baikov's representation is proved in section 3.

2 Representation transformation

As was shown in refs. [7, 8, 9], a Feynman integral can be parametrized by an integral of the following form.

$$\begin{aligned} I(\lambda_0,\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) =& \frac{\Gamma(-\lambda_0)}{\prod_{i=m+1}^{n+1}\Gamma(\lambda_i+1)}\int \mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n+1)}\mathcal{F}^{\lambda_0}\prod_{i=1}^{m}x_i^{-\lambda_i-1}\prod_{i=m+1}^{n+1}x_i^{\lambda_i} \\ \equiv& \int \mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n+1)}\mathcal{I}^{(-n-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\mathcal{F}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of the Feynman parameters x_i. The integration measure $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n+1)}$ is defined by $\mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n+1)}=\prod_{i=1}^{n+1}\mathrm{d}x_i\ \delta(1-\mathcal{E}^{(1)}(x))$, with $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}(x)$ a positive definite homogeneous function of x of degree i. The parametric integrals satisfy the following identities.

$$0=\int \mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n+1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\mathcal{I}^{(-n-1)}, \qquad i=1,2,\ldots,m, \tag{2.2a}$$

$$0=\int \mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n+1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\mathcal{I}^{(-n-1)}+\delta_{\lambda_i 0}\int \mathrm{d}\Pi^{(n)}\ \mathcal{I}^{(-n)}\Big|_{x_i=0}, \qquad i=m+1,m+2,\ldots,n+1. \tag{2.2b}$$

*chenwenphy@gmail.com

We apply the following transformation to the $\mathcal{F}$ polynomial.

$$u_i \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_i}, \tag{2.3a}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(u) \equiv \left.\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{x=x(u)}. \tag{2.3b}$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is homogeneous in x_i. We assume that the degree of $\mathcal{F}$ is $L+1$. Then obviously

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} u_i x_i = L+1. \tag{2.4}$$

Taking the derivative of both sides with respect to u_i, we get

$$x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial u_i} = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{G}}\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial u_i} = \mathcal{F}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_i}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\right) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}}\sum_{j=1}^{n+1}\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial u_i} = -\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} u_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial u_i}.$$

A combination of the above two equations leads to

$$x_i = \frac{1}{\mathcal{G}}\frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial u_i}. \tag{2.5}$$

Thus we see that the transformation defined by eq. (2.3) is reciprocal.

Generally speaking, the transformation defined by eq. (2.3a) may not be invertible. Even if it is, the obtained function $\mathcal{G}(u)$ may not be a rational function. However, for a loop integral with a complete set of propagators, $\mathcal{G}$ is indeed a rational function, as will be clear in the next section.

3 Baikov's representation

We consider a loop integral with a complete set of propagators

$$v_i = \sum_{j,k} A_{i,jk} l_j \cdot l_k + 2\sum_{j,k} B_{i,jk} l_j \cdot p_k + C_i, \quad i = 1,\ 2, \ldots, n, \tag{3.1}$$

where l are the loop momenta and p are the external momenta. For simplicity, I assume that all the propagators are linearly independent and the Gram determinant of the external momenta p does not vanish. For future convenience, we collectively denote l and p by q. Scalar integrals can be parametrized by integrals of the form [10, 11]

$$J(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}v_n \ P^{-\lambda_0 - \frac{1}{2}(L+E+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} u^{-\lambda_i - 1}, \tag{3.2}$$

where E is the number of the external momenta p. Here I have omitted some irrelevant prefactors for brevity. The polynomial $P(v)$ is the Gram determinant of the momenta q. That is

$$P(v) \equiv \det(q_i \cdot q_j). \tag{3.3}$$

In this section, I will prove that

$$P(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) = -\det(p_i \cdot p_j)\mathcal{G}(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, -1), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the one defined in eq. (2.3b). The proof of eq. (3.4) is as follows.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k,ij} x_k, \\ B_{ij} &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n} B_{k,ij} x_k, \\ C &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i x_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have [7]

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} p_k \cdot p_l \tilde{A}_{ij} B_{ik} B_{jl} + (x_{n+1} - C) U, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} U &\equiv \det(A_{ij}), \\ \tilde{A}_{ij} &\equiv \left(A^{-1}\right)_{ij} U. \end{aligned}$$

Let a_{ij}, b_{ij}, $\alpha_{ij,k}$, and β_{ij} be those defined in ref. [8]. That is, they are solutions to the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l} a_{il} B_{l,jk} = 0, \\ &\sum_{l} b_{il} A_{l,jk} = 0, \\ &\sum_{kl} \alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} A_{l,mn} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta^{im}\delta^{jn} + \delta^{in}\delta^{jm}\right), \\ &\sum_{kl} \beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} B_{l,mn} = \delta^{im}\delta^{jn}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it can be proven that (see appendix A)

$$\mathcal{G} = u_{n+1} \det\left[\alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} (u_l + u_{n+1} C_l) + u_{n+1} g_{mn} B'_{im} B'_{jn}\right], \tag{3.6}$$

where u_i are those appeared in eq. (2.3), g_{ij} is the inverse of the Gram matrix $p_i \cdot p_j$, and

$$B'_{ij}(u) \equiv \frac{1}{2u_{n+1}} \sum_{k,l} \beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} \left(u_l + C_l u_{n+1}\right).$$

On the other hand, $q_i \cdot q_j$ can be understood as a block matrix. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P =& \det \begin{pmatrix} l_i \cdot l_j & l_i \cdot p_j \\ l_i \cdot p_j & p_i \cdot p_j \end{pmatrix} \\ =& \det(p_i \cdot p_j) \det\left(l_i \cdot l_j - \sum_{mn} g_{mn} l_i \cdot p_m l_j \cdot p_n\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} l_i \cdot p_j =& \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} \beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} (v_l - C_l) \equiv -B''_{ij}, \\ l_i \cdot l_j =& \alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} (v_l - C_l). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$P = \det(p_i \cdot p_j) \det\left(\alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl}(v_l - C_l) - \sum_{mn} g_{mn} B''_{im} B''_{jn}\right). \tag{3.7}$$

Comparing eq. (3.6) with eq. (3.7), we get eq. (3.4).

4 Discussion

The parametric representation is characterized by a polynomial $\mathcal{F}(x)$. A transformation is applied to $\mathcal{F}$ and we get a function $\mathcal{G}(u)$ (cf. eq. (2.3)). This transformation is reciprocal. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are dual to each other. Similarly, the Baikov's representation is characterized by a polynomial P. It is shown that P equals $G|_{u_{n+1}=-1}$ up to a constant (cf. eq. (3.4)).

In order to fully prove the equivalence of the parametric representation in eq. (2.1) with the Baikov's representation in eq. (3.2), it remains to express x^λ in terms of $u^{-\lambda-1}$. I believe that this should be a consequence of eq. (2.2), but currently I can not figure out the details. So I prefer to leave it for the future.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under the contract No. 11975200.

A Derivation of eq. (3.6)

By virtue of eq. (3.5), we have (In this section, summations over repeated indices are always implied.)

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} u_l \mathcal{F} =& \beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_l} \\
=& 2\tilde{A}_{ik} B_{kl} p_l \cdot p_j - \beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} C_l U \\
=& 2\left(A^{-1}\right)_{ik} B_{kl} p_l \cdot p_j u_{n+1} \mathcal{F} - \beta_{ij,k} b_{kl} C_l u_{n+1} \mathcal{F}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$B_{ij} = A_{ik} g_{jl} B'_{kl}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} u_l \mathcal{F} =& \alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial x_l} \\
=& - U \left[p_m \cdot p_n \left(A^{-1}\right)_{ik} \left(A^{-1}\right)_{jl} B_{km} B_{ln} + \alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} C_l\right] \\
& + \tilde{A}_{ij} \left[p_k \cdot p_l \left(A^{-1}\right)_{mn} B_{mk} B_{nl} + x_{n+1} - C\right] \\
=& - \mathcal{F} u_{n+1} \left(g_{mn} B'_{im} B'_{jn} + \alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl} C_l\right) + \left(A^{-1}\right)_{ij} \mathcal{F}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\left(A^{-1}\right)_{ij} = \alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl}(u_l + u_{n+1} C_l) + u_{n+1} g_{mn} B'_{im} B'_{jn}.$$

Taking the determinant of both sides, we get

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} = u_{n+1} U^{-1} = u_{n+1} \det\left[\alpha_{ij,k} a_{kl}(u_l + u_{n+1} C_l) + u_{n+1} g_{mn} B'_{im} B'_{jn}\right].$$

References

[1] R.P. Feynman, Space - time approach to quantum electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. **76** (1949) 769.

[2] Y. Nambu, Parametric representations of general Green's functions, Nuovo Cim. C **6** (1957) 1064.

[3] N. Nakanishi, General integral formula of perturbation term in the quantized field theory, Progress of Theoretical Physics **17** (1957) 401.

[4] K. Symanzik, Dispersion relations and vertex properties in perturbation theory, Progress of Theoretical Physics **20** (1958) 690.

[5] R.N. Lee and A.A. Pomeransky, Critical points and number of master integrals, JHEP **11** (2013) 165 [1308.6676].

[6] R.N. Lee, Modern techniques of multiloop calculations, in 49th Rencontres de Moriond on QCD and High Energy Interactions, pp. 297–300, 2014 [1405.5616].

[7] W. Chen, Reduction of Feynman Integrals in the Parametric Representation, JHEP **02** (2020) 115 [1902.10387].

[8] W. Chen, Reduction of Feynman Integrals in the Parametric Representation II: Reduction of Tensor Integrals, Eur. Phys. J. C **81** (2021) 244 [1912.08606].

[9] W. Chen, Reduction of Feynman integrals in the parametric representation III: integrals with cuts, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) 1173 [2007.00507].

[10] P.A. Baikov, Explicit solutions of the three loop vacuum integral recurrence relations, Phys. Lett. B **385** (1996) 404 [hep-ph/9603267].

[11] P.A. Baikov, Explicit solutions of the multiloop integral recurrence relations and its application, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A **389** (1997) 347 [hep-ph/9611449].