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#### Abstract

Kervaire conjecture that the weight of the free product of every non-trivial group and the infinite cyclic group is not one is affirmatively confirmed by confirming affirmatively Conjecture $\mathbf{Z}$ on the knot exterior introduced by González Acuña and Ramírez as a conjecture equivalent to Kervaire conjecture.
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## 1. Introduction

A weight system of a group $G$ is a system of elements $w_{i},(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ of $G$ such that the normal closure $N\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ of $w_{i},(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in $G(=$ : the smallest normal subgroup generated by $w_{i},(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ in $\left.G\right)$ is equal to $G$. The weight of a group $G$ is the least cardinal number $w(G)$ of a weight system of $G$. By convention, $w(G)=0$ if and only if $G$ is the trivial group. The rank of $G$ is the least cardinal number $r(G)$ of generators of $G$. The difference $r(G)-w(G)$ is nonnegative and in general taken sufficiently large. For example, let $G=\pi_{1}\left(S^{3} \backslash k, x\right)$ be the fundamental group of a polygonal knot $k$ in $S^{3}$. Then $G \cong \mathbf{Z}$ and $r(G)=1$ for the trivial knot $k, r(G)=2$ for the trefoil knot $k=3_{1}$, and $r(G)=n$ for the $n-1(\geq 2)$-fold connected sum $k=\#_{n-1} 3_{1}$ of the trefoil knot $3_{1}$. On the other hand,
$w(G)=1$ for every knot $k$, because $G / N(m(k))=\{1\}$ for a meridian element $m(k)$ of $k$. Let $G * \mathbf{Z}$ denote the free product of a group $G$ and the infinite cyclic group Z. Kervaire's conjecture on the weight of a group is the following conjecture (see Kervaire [6, Magnus-Karrass-Solitar [8, p. 403]):

Kervaire Conjecture. $w(G * \mathbf{Z})>1$ for every non-trivial group $G$.
Some partial affirmative confirmations of this conjecture are known. For example, the following result of Klyachko [7] is used in this paper:

Theorem (Klyachko). $w(G * \mathbf{Z})>1$ for every non-trivial torsion-free group $G$.
A knot exterior is a compact 3-manifold $E=\operatorname{cl}\left(S^{3} \backslash N(k)\right)$ for a tubular neighborhood $N(k)$ of a polygonal knot $k$ in the 3 -sphere $S^{3}$. Let $F$ be a compact connected orientable non-separating proper surface of $E$ where the boundary $\partial F$ of $F$ may be disconnected. Let $E(F)=\operatorname{cl}(E \backslash F \times I)$ be the compact piecewise-linear 3-manifold for a normal line bundle $F \times I$ of $F$ in $E(F)$ where $I=[-1,1]$. Let $E(F)^{+}$be the 3-complex obtained from $E(F)$ by adding the cone Cone $(v, F \times \partial I)$ over the base $F \times \partial I$ with a vertex $v$ disjoint from $E$, where $\partial I=\{1,-1\}$. The 3-complex $E(F)^{+}$ is also considered to be obtained from $E$ by shrinking the normal line bundle $F \times I$ into the vertex $v$. The result of Conjecture $\mathbf{Z}$ due to González Acuña and Ramírez in [2] is stated as follows:

Theorem (González Acuña-Ramírez). Kervaire's conjecture is equivalent to the following conjecture:

Conjecture Z. The fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}$ for every knot exterior $E$ and every compact connected orientable non-separating proper surface $F$ in $E$.

See [1, 2, 9] for some knot theoretical investigations of this surface $F$ and some partial confirmations. In this paper, Kervaire conjecture is confirmed affirmatively by confirming Conjecture $\mathbf{Z}$ affirmatively.

Theorem 1. Conjecture $\mathbf{Z}$ is true.

González Acuña-Ramírez theorem and Theorem 1 imply:
Corollary 2. Kervaire conjectureis true.

An outline of the proof of Theorem 1 is explained as follows.

Outline of the proof of Theorem 1. Let

$$
E(F)^{++}=E(F) \cup \operatorname{Cone}\left(v_{+}, F \times 1\right) \cup \operatorname{Cone}\left(v_{-}, F \times(-1)\right)
$$

be a 3 -complex for distinct vertexes $v_{+}$and $v_{-}$disjoint from $E$. Then the 3 -complex $E(F)^{+}$is homotopy equivalent to the bouquet $E(F)^{++} \vee S^{1}$. Hence the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ is isomorphic to the free product $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{++}, v\right) * \mathbf{Z}$. Thus, $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{++}, v\right)=\{1\}$ and Conjecture $\mathbf{Z}$ is equivalent to the claim that $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{++}, v\right)=\{1\}$. The following observation is used.

Lemma 3. $w\left(\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)\right)=w\left(\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{++}, v\right) * \mathbf{Z}\right)=1$.

Proof of Lemma 3. Because the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ is a non-trivial quotient group of $\pi_{1}(E, v)$ and $w\left(\pi_{1}(E, v)\right)=1$, the desired result is obtained. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

The following lemma is proved in Section 2.

Lemma 4. The fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ is a torsion-free group.

By assuming Lemma 4, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed as follows:

Proof of Theorem 1. Klyachko Theorem says that if $G$ is a torsion-free group and $w(G * \mathbf{Z})=1$, then $G=\{1\}$. Hence by this theorem and Lemmas 3, 4, $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{++}, v\right) \cong\{1\}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 .

In the first draft of this research, the author tried to show that every finitely presented group $G$ with $w(G * \mathbf{Z})=1$ is torsion-free. This trial succeeds for a group $G$ of deficiency 0 , but failed for a group $G$ of negative deficiency. The main point of this failure is the attempt to construct a finitely presented group of deficiency 0 from the group of negative deficiency, which forced the author to show that $G$ is a torsionfree group while the deficiency remains negative. Fortunately, the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ of the 3 -complex $E(F)^{+}$was an excellent object to this consideration, so it could be done.

## 2. Proof of Lemma 4

The proof of Lemma 4 is done as follows by using the consept of collapse in [3].
Proof of Lemma 4. Collapse $F$ into a triangulated graph $\gamma$ by using that $F$ is a bounded surface. Enlarge the fiber $I$ of a normal line bundle $F \times I$ of $F$ in $E$ into a fiber $J$ of a normal line bundle $F \times J$ of $F$ in $E$ so that $I \subset J \backslash \partial J$. Let $J^{c}=\operatorname{cl}(J \backslash I)$. Let $E(F)^{-}=\operatorname{cl}(E \backslash F \times J)$. Collapse $F \times J^{c}$ into $\gamma \times J^{c}$. Triangulate $\gamma \times J^{c}$ without introducing new vertexes. The 3-complex $E(F)^{+}$is collapsed into a finite 3-complex

$$
E(F)^{-} \cup \gamma \times J^{c} \cup \text { Cone }(v, \gamma \times \partial I)
$$

and thus collapsed into a finite 2-complex

$$
P=P^{-} \cup \gamma \times J^{c} \cup \text { Cone }(v, \gamma \times \partial I)
$$

obtained by taking any 2-complex $P^{-}$collapsed from $E(F)^{-}$. This 2-complex $P$ is a subcomplex of a 3-complex

$$
Q=\operatorname{Cone}\left(v, P^{-} \cup \gamma \times J^{c}\right)
$$

Since every 2-complex of $\gamma \times J^{c}$ contains at most one 1-simplex of $\gamma \times \partial I$, every 3simplex of Cone $\left(v, \gamma \times J^{c}\right)$ contains at most one 2 -simplex of Cone $(v, \gamma \times \partial I)$. Collapse every 3 -simplex of $\operatorname{Cone}\left(v, \gamma \times J^{c}\right)$ from a 2 -face containing $v$ and not belonging to Cone $(v, \gamma \times \partial I)$. Then collapse every 3 -simplex of $\operatorname{Cone}\left(v, P^{-}\right)$from any 2 -face containing the vertex $v$. Thus, the 3 -complex $Q$ is collapsed to a finite 2-complex $C$ containing the 2-complex $P$ as a subcomplex. Since $Q$ is collapsed to the vertex $v, C$ is a finite contractible 2 -complex. It is shown in [4] that every connected subcomplex of a finite contractible 2-complex is aspherical (see also [5]). Since the fundamental group of a connected aspherical complex is a torsion-free group, the group $\pi_{1}(P, v)$ is a torsion-free group. Note that this torsion-freeness comes from the torsion-freeness of the fundamental group of a ribbon $S^{2}$-link in the 4 -sphere $S^{4}$ which corresponds bijectively to the fundamental group of a connected subcomplex of a finite contractible 2-complex, as it is discussed in [4]. Actually, the group $\pi_{1}(P, v)$ is a ribbon $S^{2}$-knot group, for $H_{1}(P ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{1}(P, v)$, the group $\pi_{1}\left(E(F)^{+}, v\right)$ is a torsion-free group. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.
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