

A MIXED CHARACTERISTIC ANALOGUE OF THE PERFECTION OF RINGS AND ITS ALMOST COHEN-MACAULAY PROPERTY

RYO ISHIZUKA AND KAZUMA SHIMOMOTO

ABSTRACT. Over a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic with perfect residue field, we construct a perfectoid ring which is similar to an explicit representation of a perfect closure in positive characteristic. Then we demonstrate that this perfectoid ring is almost Cohen-Macaulay in the sense of almost ring theory. The proof of this result uses André’s flatness lemma along with Riemann’s extension theorem. We stress that the idea partially originates from the “perfectoidization” in the theory of prismatic cohomology.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary lemmas	5
3. Completion and integral extensions of rings	7
4. Adjoining p -power roots in a Noetherian local domain	11
5. Uniform completion of Tate rings	13
6. Proof of Main Theorem 1 (1), (2) and (3)	18
7. Proof of Main Theorem 1 (4)	27
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

All rings are assumed to be commutative with unity. The Cohen-Macaulay property has been a central object of study in the theory of commutative Noetherian rings. Although it is not true that every Noetherian ring is Cohen-Macaulay, it has been recently proved that Noetherian local rings are dominated by “big” Cohen-Macaulay algebras (see [2] and [3] for these results), which are not necessarily Noetherian. Therefore, it is inevitable to consider the non-Noetherian avatar of the Cohen-Macaulay property.

Key words and phrases. Almost mathematics, Cohen-Macaulay ring, Frobenius map, non-archimedean Banach ring, perfectoid ring, perfect closure, uniform completion.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13A35, 13B05, 13B35, 14G45.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the following question: For a given complete Noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) with its absolute integral closure R^+ , can one find an almost Cohen-Macaulay R -algebra T such that $R \subseteq T \subseteq R^+$ with an additional requirement that T is obtained as the integral closure after adjoining all p -power roots of a fixed set generating the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} to R ? Following the method of P. Roberts developed in [32] and [33], our aim is to give an answer in the mixed characteristic case.

Before stating the main results, let us explain our motivation. Assume that the complete Noetherian local domain (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) has positive characteristic $p > 0$. Then we can form the *perfect closure* $R \rightarrow R_{\text{perf}}$ in a functorial way. The ring R_{perf} is called a *perfect closure* of R . The term perfect closure is often called *perfection* or *direct perfection* in the literature. Although R_{perf} is not necessarily Noetherian, it is known to be almost Cohen-Macaulay (see Proposition 2.4). So the perfect closure is expected to have good properties and we want to carry out a similar construction in mixed characteristic.

One approach to constructing an analog of perfect closure in mixed characteristic is “perfectoidization”. Since prismatic cohomology was introduced, many interesting applications have been found. The original approach by Bhatt-Scholze in [10] is based on defining an appropriate Grothendieck topology to compute cohomology. A second approach, which is due to Bhatt-Lurie and Drinfeld respectively, reformulates prismatic cohomology in a stacky way (see [8], [9] and [14]). None of these approaches are easy to grasp.

Recently, H. Cai, S. Lee, L. Ma, K. Schwede, and K. Tucker used the theory of perfectoidization to construct a perfectoid algebra over some Noetherian ring of mixed characteristic in [11]. While this construction has many good properties thanks to the almost purity theorem [5, Theorem 10.9], it is rather hard to see their intrinsic structure. To fill in this gap, we want to realize our construction by employing the idea of the perfect closure in the positive characteristic commutative algebra. In fact, our construction also satisfies the main properties of the construction appearing in [11, Theorem 4.0.3]. It might be possible to define the perfectoid signature and perfectoid Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity by using our construction $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ instead of $R_{\text{perf}}^{A_{\infty, 0}}$ as in [11, Definition 4.0.8].

We focus on an explicit representation of the perfect closure as follows. Let us assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a complete Noetherian local domain of equal characteristic $p > 0$ and let x_1, \dots, x_n be any set of generators of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Then under the extra assumption that the residue field k is perfect, one can describe the perfect closure of R explicitly:

$$(1.1) \quad R_{\text{perf}} = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} R[x_1^{1/p^j}, \dots, x_n^{1/p^j}] \subset R^+.$$

As one already knows that taking the perfect closure is functorial, the right side of (1.1) does not depend on the choice of x_1, \dots, x_n . Although R_{perf} is only defined in positive characteristic, the left side of (1.1) can be constructed in mixed characteristic. The above speculation naturally lets us consider the mixed characteristic analogue.

Problem 1. *Assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic with perfect residue field. Choose any set of generators x_1, \dots, x_n of \mathfrak{m} . Then is the p -adic completion of*

$$(1.2) \quad \bigcup_{n>0} R[x_1^{1/p^n}, \dots, x_n^{1/p^n}]$$

perfectoid, or almost Cohen-Macaulay?

Apparently, (1.1) and (1.2) do not show any sort of distinctions. However, while the former exists uniquely, the latter does not. Our main theorem asserts that (1.2) offers a substitute as a mixed characteristic analogue of the perfect closure (see Proposition 2.4). Moreover, we emphasize that our construction of a perfectoid ring has the advantage that it can be carried over a complete Noetherian local domain directly. Some notations are remarked at the end of this section. See also Remark 6.6.

Main Theorem 1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete Noetherian local domain of mixed characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field k . Let p, x_2, \dots, x_n be a system of (not necessarily minimal) generators of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} such that p, x_2, \dots, x_d forms a system of parameters of R . Choose compatible systems of p -power roots*

$$(1.3) \quad \{p^{1/p^j}\}_{j \geq 0}, \{x_2^{1/p^j}\}_{j \geq 0}, \dots, \{x_n^{1/p^j}\}_{j \geq 0}$$

inside the absolute integral closure R^+ . Let $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ (resp. $C(R_{\infty, \infty})$) be the integral closure (resp. p -root closure) of

$$R_{\infty, \infty} := \bigcup_{j \geq 0} R[p^{1/p^j}, x_2^{1/p^j}, \dots, x_n^{1/p^j}]$$

in $R_{\infty, \infty}[1/p]$. Let $\widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$, $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}$, and $\widehat{C(R_{\infty, \infty})}$ be the p -adic completion of $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$, $R_{\infty, \infty}$ and $C(R_{\infty, \infty})$, respectively. Then there exists a nonzero element $g \in \widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ together with a compatible system of p -power roots $\{g^{1/p^j}\}_{j \geq 0} \subseteq \widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ of g such that the following properties hold:

- (1) *The ring map $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective.*
- (2) *$\widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ is a perfectoid domain that is a subring of \widehat{R}^+ . Moreover, the image of g under the map $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ is a nonzero divisor.*

- (3) Let $T := W(k)[[x_2, \dots, x_d]] \hookrightarrow R$ be a module-finite extension taken by Cohen's structure theorem. If R is a normal domain, $\widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ and $C(\widehat{R_{\infty, \infty}})$ are $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat and $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful T -algebras.
- (4) Assume that R is a normal domain. Then for the ring T as in (3) and the integral extension $A \rightarrow \widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$, there exists a nonzero element $h \in T$ such that $T[1/h] \rightarrow \widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}[1/h]$ is a filtered colimit of finite étale $T[1/h]$ -algebras contained in $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}[1/h]$.

First of all, the construction of $\widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ can be considered as a generalization of what was previously constructed for complete regular local rings as in [35]. We point out that it is not clear if one can find g from $R_{\infty, \infty}$ instead of $\widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$. One might feel that Main Theorem 1 is similar to [27] in spirit. However, our construction is much more similar to the construction of the perfect closure and there are some distinctions in the proof. While the proof of the main result in [27] relies directly on *André's flatness lemma*, we apply *Riemann's extension theorem*, together with the following Bhatt's theorem (see [6, Theorem 2.9.12 at page 120]), whose proof uses André's flatness lemma.

Theorem 1.1 (Bhatt). *Let A be a perfectoid Tate ring with a closed ideal $J \subseteq A$. Let B be the uniform completion of A/J as a Banach ring (see [6, Remark 1.5.4 and Corollary 1.5.22] and Definition 5.2). Then B is a perfectoid Tate ring and the natural ring map $A \rightarrow B$ is surjective.*

It will be clear that the construction of $R_{\infty, \infty}$ in Main Theorem 1 has flexibility in the sense that one can choose p, x_2, \dots, x_n in a rather arbitrarily manner. Another construction of almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras was recently given in [30]. Most of the properties that hold in the construction in [30] can be checked in $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$, except that $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ is not necessarily integrally closed in its total ring of fractions.

Notation. We use some notation following [29] and [30].

Let A be a topological ring. A subset B in A is called *bounded* if for any open neighborhood U of $0 \in A$, there exists some open neighborhood V of $0 \in A$ such that $V \cdot B \subseteq U$. An element $x \in A$ is called *power-bounded* if the subset $\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0} \subseteq A$ is bounded. The symbol A° is the set of all power-bounded elements of A .

We call A a *Tate ring* if A has an open subring A_0 whose induced topology is equal to the t -adic topology for some $t \in A_0$. The element t is called a *pseudo-uniformizer* of A and then we have $A = A_0[1/t]$. A *map of Tate rings* is a continuous homomorphism of rings.

Let A_0 be a ring and let t be a nonzero divisor of A_0 . The *Tate ring associated to* $(A_0, (t))$ is the topological ring $A_0[1/t]$ whose topology is the linear topology induced from

$\{t^n A_0\}_{t \geq 0}$. The completion of the Tate ring $A_0[1/t]$ as a topological ring is isomorphic to $\widehat{A_0[1/t]}$ where $\widehat{A_0}$ is the t -adic completion of t -adic topological ring A_0 . We call this completion $\widehat{A_0[1/t]}$ as t -completion (not call t -adic completion).

We call A a *Banach ring* if A has a norm in the sense of [6] and the topology on A induced by the norm is equal to the topology of A . A *map of Banach rings* is a continuous homomorphism of rings.

A ring R is called *t -adically Zariskian* for some $t \in R$ if the Jacobson radical of R contains t . We use the notion of *G -Galois extension* in the sense of [30, Appendix C].

Furthermore, for any p -torsion free ring R , the p -root closure of R in $R[1/p]$ is the set of all $x \in R[1/p]$ such that $x^{p^n} \in R$ for some $n \geq 0$. This is a subring of $R[1/p]$ by [32] and we denote this ring by $C(R)$. See also Definition 2.2 in the more general situation.

We use the notion of *perfectoid ring* as defined in [7, Definition 3.5] and of *perfectoid Tate ring* as defined to be the one of Fontaine's sense in [7] and [17]. For any p -adically complete ring A , we consider a multiplicative monoid map $\sharp : A^\flat := \varprojlim_F A/pA \rightarrow A$ which is defined in [5, Remark 2.0.9].

The notion of *almost Cohen-Macaulay algebra* is defined in [35, Definition 5.4]. Finally, we use two types of symbols $\widehat{(-)}$ and $(-)^{\wedge}$ for ideal-adic completion to eliminate the visual confusion.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Shinnosuke Ishiro, Fumiharu Kato, Kei Nakazato, and Shunsuke Takagi for their valuable conversations and suggestions.

Furthermore, Bhargav Bhatt taught us a simple and different proof of Main Theorem 1 as detailed in Remark 6.6 at the end of Section 6. His suggestion strengthened the relationship between perfectoidization and our result. We highly appreciate his contribution.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be an integral ring extension with an element $t \in A$ which is a regular element of B . Assume that A is integrally closed in $A[1/t]$. Then $A \hookrightarrow B$ induces an injective map:*

$$A/t^n A \hookrightarrow B/t^n B$$

for any $n > 0$. Finally, after taking the t -adic completion, $A \hookrightarrow B$ induces an injective map $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow \widehat{B}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $n = 1$. It suffices to prove that $tA = A \cap tB$. As the inclusion \subseteq is obvious, we prove the other inclusion. So let $b \in A \cap tB$. Since $t \in A$, it follows that

$$b/t \in A[1/t] \text{ and } b/t \in B.$$

By the hypotheses of the integrality of B over A and integral closedness of A in $A[1/t]$, we find that $b/t \in A$. In other words, $b \in tA$. Since the inverse limit functor is left exact, we get the second assertion. \square

Following the paper [1], we recall the definition of root closure of rings [1, Proposition 1.1].

Definition 2.2. Fix an integer $n > 0$. Let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be a ring extension. Then we say that A is *n-root closed in B* if we have $x^n \in A$ for $x \in B$, then $x \in A$. When A is not *n-root closed in B* , set $C_0(A, B) := A$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $C_{m+1}(A, B)$ be the set of those elements $x \in B$ such that $x^n \in C_m(A, B)$. The directed union $C_\infty(A, B) = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} C_m(A, B)$ is called the *total n-root closure of A in B* .

We will be mostly interested in the case that n is a fixed prime number and $B = A[1/n]$.

Lemma 2.3. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A be a ring with an element $t \in A$, and let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be a ring extension such that A is *n-root closed in B* and there exists an integer $N > 0$ such that $t^N B \hookrightarrow A$. Then $tB \hookrightarrow A$. In particular, the conclusion holds for any Noetherian N -2 domain A such that B is the integral closure of A in $A[1/t]$, and A is *n-root closed in B* .

Proof. Without losing generality, we may assume that $t^{n^k} B \hookrightarrow A$ for some $k > 0$. Pick any element $b \in B$. Then we get $(tb)^{n^k} = t^{n^k} b^{n^k} \in A$. Since A is *n-root closed in B* , we get $tb \in A$, as required. \square

Lemma 2.3 is useful in describing a ring of integral elements of a certain Tate ring in the sense of almost ring theory. Such a ring includes perfectoid rings or more generally, uniform rings (defined in Definition 5.1) over any basic setup. Let us record the following fact.

Proposition 2.4 (cf. [34, Proposition 1.4]). Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete Noetherian reduced local ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$. Let us choose a system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_d of R and take the perfect closure R_{perf} of R . Let $A := k[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$ be a complete regular local ring and let $A \hookrightarrow R$ be a torsion-free module-finite extension taken by Cohen's structure theorem.

Then there exists a nonzero divisor $g \in R$ such that R_{perf} is $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphic to a finite free A_{perf} -module. In particular, we have

- (1) R_{perf} is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat A -algebra, i.e., $\text{Tor}_i^A(R_{\text{perf}}, M)$ is $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost zero for every A -module M and all $i > 0$.
- (2) R_{perf} is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful A -algebra, i.e., $R_{\text{perf}} \otimes_A M$ is not $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost zero for every non-zero A -module M .
- (3) R_{perf} is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost Cohen-Macaulay A -algebra.

Proof. The proof is similar to [34, Proposition 1.4] and [11, Theorem 4.0.3]. For A , there exist a nonzero element $g \in A$ and an A -module injective map from the free A -module $A^{\oplus m} \subseteq R$ such that the cokernel is annihilated by g . By taking the inverse of Frobenius map, we have $(A^{1/p^n})^{\oplus m} \subseteq R^{1/p^n}$ and $g^{1/p^n} R^{1/p^n} \subseteq (A^{1/p^n})^{\oplus m}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Then we have an injective map $(A_{\text{perf}})^{\oplus m} \subseteq R_{\text{perf}}$ whose cokernel is $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost zero and this implies the first statement.

By the first statement and [19, Proposition 2.3.10 (i)], it follows that R_{perf} is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost finitely generated A_{perf} -module. Then R_{perf} is $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost projective by [19, Lemma 2.4.15]. Furthermore, R_{perf} is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat A_{perf} -algebra by [20, Remark 2.4.12 (i)]. Since $A \hookrightarrow A_{\text{perf}}$ is faithfully flat, R_{perf} is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat A -algebra. As in the the proof of [11, Theorem 4.0.3 (b)], we deduce that R_{perf} is $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful over A and this completes the proof. \square

Finally, we need the following lemma in [30, Lemma 4.9].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A[1/t]$ be a Tate ring, where A is a ring of definition with a pseudo-uniformizer $t \in A$, and let $x \in A$ be a regular element. Let C' be a finite étale $A[1/tx]$ -algebra and let C be the integral closure of A in C' . Suppose that A is a normal ring, and there exists a ring map $f : R \rightarrow A$ with the following properties:*

- (1) *R is a Noetherian N -2 normal domain.*
- (2) *There exist $t_0 \in f^{-1}(t)$ and $x_0 \in f^{-1}(x)$ such that the height of the ideal $(t_0, x_0) \subseteq R$ is 2.*
- (3) *f is integral.*
- (4) *$f(R \setminus \{0\})$ consists of regular elements of A .*

Then t, x forms a regular sequence on A and C . In particular, if R is a regular local domain, $R \hookrightarrow A$ is an integral extension, t, x forms a regular sequence on R , and A is a normal domain, then the conclusion holds.

Proof. See [30, Lemma 4.9] for the proof. Note that the preuniform property of $A(t/g)$ in [30, Proposition 4.7] is not used in the proof of this lemma. \square

3. COMPLETION AND INTEGRAL EXTENSIONS OF RINGS

We examine some perfect(oid) assumptions under which a certain integral extension stays integral after completion.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a ring map between perfect rings in positive characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that there exists a ring map $f : R \rightarrow A$ together with $t, x \in A$ such that the conditions (1)–(4) in Lemma 2.5 are satisfied. Furthermore, suppose the following conditions.*

- (1) A is a normal domain.
- (2) A is t -adically Zariskian.
- (3) t and x are regular elements of B .
- (4) B is integrally closed in $B[1/t]$.
- (5) $A \rightarrow B$ is an integral extension.
- (6) $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow B[1/tx]$ is finite étale.¹
- (7) A has compatible systems of p -power roots $\{t^{1/p^j}\}_{j \geq 0}$ and $\{x^{1/p^j}\}_{j \geq 0}$.

Under these assumptions, the t -adic completion $A \rightarrow B$ extends to an injection $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow \widehat{B}$, which factors through $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow \widehat{A}_B^+ \hookrightarrow \widehat{B}$ such that \widehat{A}_B^+ is the integral closure of \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} and $\widehat{A}_B^+ \hookrightarrow \widehat{B}$ is $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective.

Proof. It is readily checked that the $A[1/tx]$ -algebra $B[1/tx]$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.5, and t, x forms a regular sequence on the integral closure \widetilde{B} of A in $B[1/tx]$. Then $B \rightarrow \widetilde{B}$ is injective by (3). Applying Lemma 2.1 for $B \hookrightarrow \widetilde{B}$ and $t \in \widetilde{B}$ in view of (4), we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B/tB & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{B}/t\widetilde{B} \\ \downarrow \times x & & \downarrow \times x \\ B/tB & \hookrightarrow & \widetilde{B}/t\widetilde{B} \end{array}$$

and then t, x forms a regular sequence on B .

The following proof is taken from [30, Theorem 5.20]. Taking a Galois covering of the finite étale map $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow B[1/tx]$ by (6) and [30, Lemma 9.4], there exists a decomposition

$$A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow B[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C',$$

where $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C'$ is a G -Galois covering for some finite group G . In particular, since $(C')^G = A[1/tx]$, the composite map $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C'$ is an integral extension. Let C be the integral closure of A in C' . Since $C[1/tx]$ is the integral closure of $A[1/tx]$ in $C'[1/tx] = C'$ and $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C'$ is integral, we have $C' = C[1/tx]$. Note that C is integrally closed in $C[1/tx]$. Now the following commutative diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A[1/tx] & \xrightarrow{G\text{-Galois}} & C[1/tx] \\ & & \uparrow \text{int. cls} & & \uparrow \text{int. cls} \\ R & \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} & A & \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} & C \\ & & \searrow \text{integral} & & \nearrow \text{integral} \\ & & & B & \end{array}$$

¹In fact, the proof requires only that $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow B[1/tx]$ has a G -Galois covering for some finite group G .

where the injection $B \hookrightarrow C$ is induced from the integrality of $A \hookrightarrow B$ and injectivity of $B \hookrightarrow B[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C' = C[1/tx]$. Now since t and x are invertible in $C' = C[1/tx]$, these elements are regular in C . Due to hypotheses (1) and (4), by applying Lemma 2.1 to the integral extensions $A \hookrightarrow C$ and $B \hookrightarrow C$, it follows that the t -adic completion maps $\widehat{A} \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ and $\widehat{B} \rightarrow \widehat{C}$ are injective.

Since G acts on $\widehat{C} = \varprojlim_{n>0} C/t^n C$ and $\widehat{A} = \varprojlim_{n>0} A/t^n A$ in a componentwise manner, we have an injective map $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow (\widehat{C})^G$. We first show that the inclusion map $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow (\widehat{C})^G$ is $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective.

By the hypothesis (2) and the integrality of the extension $A \hookrightarrow C$, C is also t -adically Zariskian. This shows that A and C satisfy the hypotheses of Zariskian Riemann's extension theorem [30, Theorem 5.11] for the elements t, x . Let A^j and C^j be the Tate ring associated to $(A[t^j/x], (t))$ and $(C[t^j/x], (t))$, respectively. Then Zariskian Riemann's extension theorem and integral closedness of A and C show that

$$A = A_{A[1/tx]}^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_{j>0} A^{j\circ} \quad \text{and} \quad C = C_{C[1/tx]}^+ \xrightarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_{j>0} C^{j\circ}.$$

Then these yield a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\widehat{C})^G & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \left(\varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{C^{j\circ}} \right)^G & \xrightarrow{(x)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj}} & \left(\varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{C^{j\circ}} \right)^G = \varprojlim_{j>0} (\widehat{C^{j\circ}})^G \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A^{j\circ}} & \xrightarrow{(x)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj}} & \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A^{j\circ}} \end{array}$$

where the first and second vertical maps are induced by $A^{j\circ} \hookrightarrow (C^{j\circ})^G$, the rightmost vertical isomorphism is deduced by Lemma 3.3, and the middle horizontal map, which is injective and $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective, is deduced by Lemma 3.2.

For any $\gamma \in (\widehat{C})^G$, let γ' be the image of γ via $(\widehat{C})^G \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} (\widehat{C^{j\circ}})^G$. By $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjectivity of $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} (\widehat{A^{j\circ}})^G$, for every $k \geq 0$, there exists some $\alpha_k \in \widehat{A}$ such that

$$\widehat{A} \ni \alpha_k \mapsto x^{1/p^k} \gamma' \in \varprojlim_{j>0} (\widehat{C^{j\circ}})^G.$$

Since $(\widehat{C})^G \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} (\widehat{C^{j\circ}})^G$ is injective, α_k maps to $x^{1/p^k} \gamma \in (\widehat{C})^G$ and so $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow (\widehat{C})^G$ is $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective.

So we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{A} & \xrightarrow{(x)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj}} & \widehat{C}^G \xrightarrow{\text{integral}} \widehat{C} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \widehat{B} & \end{array}$$

For any $b \in \widehat{B}$, there exists a set of elements $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in (\widehat{C})^G$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad b^n + c_{n-1}b^{n-1} + \dots + c_1b + c_0 = 0 \in \widehat{C}.$$

For every $k \geq 0$, multiplying by $x^{n/p^k} \in \widehat{A}$ by (3.1), we have

$$(x^{1/p^k}b)^n + (c_{n-1}x^{1/p^k})(x^{1/p^k}b)^{n-1} + \dots + (c_1x^{(n-1)/p^k})(x^{1/p^k}b) + (c_0x^{n/p^k}) = 0 \in \widehat{C}.$$

By $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjectivity of $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow (\widehat{C})^G$, there exist a_{n-i} in \widehat{A} such that $c_{n-i}x^{i/p^k} \in \widehat{C}^G$ is the image of a_{n-i} via $\widehat{A} \hookrightarrow \widehat{C}^G$. Since $\widehat{B} \hookrightarrow \widehat{C}$ is injective, we have

$$(x^{1/p^k}b)^n + a_{n-1}(x^{1/p^k}b) + \dots + a_1(x^{1/p^k}b) + a_0 = 0 \in \widehat{B}.$$

Then $x^{1/p^k}b$ is integral over \widehat{A} for any $k \geq 0$, in particular, $x^{1/p^k}b$ is in \widehat{A}_B^+ , the integral closure of \widehat{A} in \widehat{B} . This shows that the inclusion map $\widehat{A}_B^+ \hookrightarrow \widehat{B}$ is $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. \square

The following lemma appears in [30, Theorem 5.16 (2)(b)].

Lemma 3.2. *Keep the notation of the above proof of Theorem 3.1. Then A^j and C^j are preuniform Tate rings for every $j > 0$ in the sense of [29, Definition 2.14], and we have the injective maps*

$$(3.2) \quad \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{j>0} \widehat{C^{j^\circ}},$$

where the completion is t -adic. Furthermore, these are $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective.

Proof. Since the Witt-perfect Riemann's extension theorem [30, Theorem 5.16] is proved for p -torsion free rings, while we prove the similar assertion for rings of equal characteristic $p > 0$, we demonstrate the requisite modifications to adopt the same proof of [30, Theorem 5.16]. First, we replace p, g in [30, Theorem 5.16] with t, x .

By hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 (1), A is integrally closed in $A[1/t]$. Since C is integrally closed in $C[1/tx]$, so is in $C[1/t]$. Furthermore, since A and C are integral over a Noetherian ring R , one applies [30, Proposition 7.1] to show that A and C are completely integrally closed in $A[1/t]$ and $C[1/t]$, respectively. Since A is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra, so is $A[1/tx]$. Note that $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C[1/tx]$ is a G -Galois covering, so it is finite étale by [30, Proposition 9.3 (1)]. By [5, Lemma 4.3.8], $C[1/tx]$ is perfect. Since C is integrally closed in $C[1/tx]$, C is also perfect.

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} be the t -completion of Tate rings $A[1/t]$ and $C[1/t]$, respectively. Then we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \widehat{A}[1/t] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} = \widehat{C}[1/t],$$

and \widehat{A} and \widehat{C} are t -adically complete perfect(oid) rings. Thus, [7, Lemma 3.21] shows that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} are perfectoid Tate rings over a perfectoid field $K = \mathbb{F}_p((T^{1/p^\infty}))$, which is the

T -completion of $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_p((T^{1/p^n}))$, where T maps to t . Furthermore, by [30, Lemma 3.3], \widehat{A} and \widehat{C} are completely integrally closed in \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} , respectively. Using these conditions, we have $\mathcal{A}^\circ = \widehat{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}^\circ = \widehat{C}$ by [29, Lemma 2.13]. Let $\mathcal{A}^j = \mathcal{A}\{t^j/x\}$ be the t -completion of the Tate ring $\mathcal{A}(t^j/x)$ associated to $(\widehat{A}[t^j/x], (t))$. Similarly, we define \mathcal{C}^j to be the t -completion of the Tate ring $\mathcal{C}(t^j/x)$ associated to $(\widehat{C}[t^j/x], (t))$. Then one can keep track of the proof of [30, Theorem 5.16] to obtain (3.2) as well as

$$(3.3) \quad \widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C}^{j^\circ}.$$

So the details are omitted. \square

The following lemma appears in [30, Lemma 4.5 and Theorem 5.20].

Lemma 3.3. *Keep the notation of the above proof of Theorem 3.1. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(\widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \right)^G.$$

Proof. Note that A^j is preuniform by Lemma 3.2. So the hypotheses of [30, Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.8] are satisfied by setting $A_0 = A$, $g = x$, $B_0 = C$ and $B' = C[1/tx]$. By [30, Proposition 4.8 (3)], we have an isomorphism of topological rings

$$\mathcal{C}^j \cong C[1/tx] \otimes_{A[1/tx]} \mathcal{A}^j$$

and in particular, \mathcal{C}^j has the canonical structure as a Tate ring as in [30, Lemma 4.1]. As $A[1/tx] \hookrightarrow C[1/tx]$ is a G -Galois covering, $\mathcal{A}^j \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^j$ is also a G -Galois covering by [18, Lemma 12.2.7]. In particular, we have $\mathcal{A}^j = (\mathcal{C}^j)^G$.

Since A^j is preuniform and $\mathcal{A}^j = \widehat{A^j}$, we see that \mathcal{A}^j is uniform. [30, Lemma 4.5] and the isomorphisms (3.3) show that

$$\widehat{A^{j^\circ}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{A}^{j^\circ} = (\mathcal{C}^{j^\circ})^G \xrightarrow{\cong} \left(\widehat{C^{j^\circ}} \right)^G.$$

\square

Notice that there is another way to deduce Lemma 3.3. This is found in [30, Discussion 5.22 (1)] by using Faltings' almost purity theorem (see [16, Theorem 2.4] or [31, Proposition 3.4]).

4. ADJOINING p -POWER ROOTS IN A NOETHERIAN LOCAL DOMAIN

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete Noetherian local domain with perfect residue field k in mixed characteristic. Then Cohen's structure theorem says that there exists a surjective map:

$$(4.1) \quad S := W(k)[[t_2, \dots, t_d, t_{d+1}, \dots, t_n]] \twoheadrightarrow R.$$

Here, we assume that the images of p, t_2, \dots, t_d in R form a system of parameters of R . Indeed by using the prime avoidance lemma, we can make a choice of a system of parameters of a local ring. Denote their images in R by p, x_2, \dots, x_n . Notice that it is not necessarily true that p, x_2, \dots, x_n forms a set of the minimal generators of \mathfrak{m} .

Let us define

$$R_{\infty,0} := \bigcup_{j \geq 0} R_{j,0}, \text{ where } R_{j,0} := R[p^{1/p^j}]$$

and

$$R_{\infty,j} := R_{\infty,0}[x_2^{1/p^j}, \dots, x_n^{1/p^j}] \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\infty,\infty} := \bigcup_{j \geq 0} R_{\infty,j},$$

where all relevant constructions occur inside a fixed absolute integral closure R^+ . By construction, the Frobenius map $\text{Frob} : R_{\infty,j+1}/pR_{\infty,j+1} \rightarrow R_{\infty,j+1}/pR_{\infty,j+1}$ factors as

$$R_{\infty,j+1}/pR_{\infty,j+1} \twoheadrightarrow \alpha(R_{\infty,j}/pR_{\infty,j}) \subseteq R_{\infty,j+1}/pR_{\infty,j+1},$$

where $\alpha : R_{\infty,j}/pR_{\infty,j} \rightarrow R_{\infty,j+1}/pR_{\infty,j+1}$ is the natural ring map. However, α may not be injective. The forthcoming discussion will be to resolve this issue.

Notation 4.1. Recall that we denote by $C(R_{\infty,\infty})$ the p -root closure of $R_{\infty,\infty}$ in $R_{\infty,\infty}[1/p]$, and denote by $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ the integral closure of $R_{\infty,\infty}$ in $R_{\infty,\infty}[1/p]$.

The goal is to show that the p -adic completion of $C(R_{\infty,\infty})$ and $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ are perfectoid.

Lemma 4.2. *Let the notation be as above. There exist inclusion maps of rings $C(R_{\infty,\infty}) \subseteq \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \subseteq R_{\infty,\infty}[1/p]$. Moreover, the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The Frobenius map $\text{Frob} : R_{\infty,\infty}/pR_{\infty,\infty} \rightarrow R_{\infty,\infty}/pR_{\infty,\infty}$ is surjective.*
- (2) *The p -adic completion of $C(R_{\infty,\infty})$ is perfectoid.*
- (3) *The injective ring map $C(R_{\infty,\infty}) \hookrightarrow \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. In particular, the p -adic completion $\widehat{C(R_{\infty,\infty})} \rightarrow \widehat{\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}}$ is a $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphism.*
- (4) *The p -adic completion of $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is perfectoid.*

Proof. (1): As was done in the case of $R_{\infty,\infty}$, we can construct $S_{\infty,\infty}$ from $S := W(k)[[t_2, \dots, t_d, t_{d+1}, \dots, t_n]]$. Then by (4.1), there exists a ring surjective map $S_{\infty,\infty} \twoheadrightarrow R_{\infty,\infty}$. As it is clear that the Frobenius map on $S_{\infty,\infty}/pS_{\infty,\infty}$ is surjective, the same holds on $R_{\infty,\infty}/pR_{\infty,\infty}$.

(2): We follow the method of [12, Proposition 2.1.8], which we recall for the convenience of readers. Put $\varpi = p^{1/p}$ and $R_{\infty,\infty}^0 := R_{\infty,\infty}$. Suppose that the $R_{\infty,\infty}$ -algebra $R_{\infty,\infty}^k$ has been constructed. Take an element $a \in R_{\infty,\infty}^k$ with $a^p \in pR_{\infty,\infty}^k$. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of elements of $R_{\infty,\infty}^k$ such that $a_{n+1}^p \equiv a_n \pmod{pR_{\infty,\infty}^k}$ and $a_0 := a$. Set

$$R_{\infty,\infty}^{k+1} := R_{\infty,\infty}^k[a_n/\varpi^{1/p^n} \mid n \geq 0 \text{ and } a^p \in pR_{\infty,\infty}^k] \subseteq R_{\infty,\infty}[1/p].$$

Put $R_{\infty,\infty}^\infty := \bigcup_{k \geq 0} R_{\infty,\infty}^k$. By construction, the Frobenius map on $R_{\infty,\infty}^\infty/pR_{\infty,\infty}^\infty$ induces an isomorphism: $R_{\infty,\infty}^\infty/\varpi R_{\infty,\infty}^\infty \cong R_{\infty,\infty}^\infty/pR_{\infty,\infty}^\infty$. This implies that the p -adic completion of $R_{\infty,\infty}^\infty = C(R_{\infty,\infty})$ is perfectoid.

(3): This is a variant of Lemma 2.3. Let $b \in \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ be an arbitrary element. Since $C(R_{\infty,\infty}) \subseteq \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is integral and coincides after inverting p , there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^k b^n \in C(R_{\infty,\infty})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we get

$$p^k b^p, p^k b^{p^2}, \dots \in C(R_{\infty,\infty})$$

and hence $(p^{k/p^n} b)^{p^n} \in C(R_{\infty,\infty})$. By the p -root closedness, it follows that $p^{k/p^n} b \in C(R_{\infty,\infty})$. Since k is fixed and n is arbitrary, the $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjectivity is proved. Finally, by [30, Lemma 3.15], the p -adic completion of this inclusion map is a $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -isomorphism.

(4): It suffices to show that $\text{Frob} : \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/p\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/p\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is surjective. In view of the assertions (2) and (3), the Frobenius map on $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/p\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. Now we prove that the Frobenius map on $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/p\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is surjective. So let $y \in \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$. Then we have $\varpi y = a^p + pb$ for some $a, b \in \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$. Set

$$z := a/\varpi^{1/p} \in \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}[1/p].$$

Then it follows that

$$z^p = a^p/\varpi = y - \varpi^{p-1}b \in \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}.$$

By the integral closedness of $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ in $\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}[1/p]$, we get $z \in \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ and $\varpi y = \varpi z^p + pb = \varpi z^p + \varpi^p b$. By dividing this equation out by a regular element ϖ , we find that $y = z^p + \varpi^{p-1}b$ and $\text{Frob} : \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi^{p-1}\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi^{p-1}\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is surjective. Since $\varpi^{p-1}\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \subseteq \varpi\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ as ideals, we see that $\text{Frob} : \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}$ is surjective. Consider the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi^{1/p}\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} & \xrightarrow{\varpi^{(p-1)/p}} & \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi^{(p-1)/p}\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow F_1 & & \downarrow F_2 & & \downarrow F_3 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} & \xrightarrow{\varpi^{p-1}} & \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/p\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty}/\varpi^{p-1}\tilde{R}_{\infty,\infty} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the vertical map is induced by the Frobenius map. As the maps F_1 and F_3 are surjective, it follows that F_2 is also surjective by the snake lemma. So we are done. \square

5. UNIFORM COMPLETION OF TATE RINGS

Let $A = A_0[1/t]$ be a Tate ring, where $A_0 \subset A$ is a ring of definition with a pseudo-uniformizer $t \in A_0$. We recall the *uniform completion* of a Tate ring.

Definition 5.1. A Tate ring A is *uniform* if the set of all power-bounded elements A° is bounded, or equivalently, some ring of integral elements of A is a ring of definition of A . In [29], this property is called *preuniform*.

Any Tate ring can be equipped with the structure as a seminormed ring as follows (see [29, Definition 2.26] for more details).

Definition 5.2. Keep the notation as above and fix a real number $c > 1$. Then we define the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{A_0, (t), c} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\|f\|_{A_0, (t), c} := \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \{c^m \mid t^m f \in A_0\}.$$

This seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{A_0, (t), c}$ induces a structure as a seminormed ring over the ring A and its topology is the same for the Tate ring $A = A_0[1/t]$. In particular, the topology induced by the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{A_0, (t), c}$ does not depend on the choices of A_0 , t and c .

For simplicity, we write $\|\cdot\|$ for the seminorm. Define the *spectral seminorm* attached to $\|\cdot\|$ by

$$\|f\|_{\text{sp}} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^n\|^{1/n}$$

and this is equal to $\inf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^n\|^{1/n}$ by Fekete's subadditivity lemma. In particular, this spectral seminorm is power-multiplicative and satisfies $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \|f\|$.

We recall the following correspondence of a complete uniform Tate ring and its spectral seminorm (see [29, §2.4]).

Lemma 5.3. *For any Tate ring $A = A_0[1/t]$, we have a structure as a seminormed ring $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ of A as above. Then A is uniform if and only if the topology of A is the same as the topology of A induced by the spectral seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}}$.*

If A is uniform, the set of all power-bounded elements A° of A is identified with the unit disk $A_{\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1}$ with respect to the spectral seminorm in A .

Proof. The first statement follows by [29, Lemma 2.29]. By the paragraph above [29, Definition 2.26], for any $f \in A^\circ$, there exists a real number $C > 0$ such that $\|f^n\| \leq C$ for any $n > 0$ and thus, $\|f\|_{\text{sp}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C^{1/n} = 1$. Conversely, by the first statement, there exists some $C > 0$ such that $C \cdot \|x\| \leq \|x\|_{\text{sp}}$ for any $x \in A$. If f is in $A_{\|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}} \leq 1}$, we have $\|f^n\| \leq C^{-1} \|f^n\|_{\text{sp}} = C^{-1} (\|f\|_{\text{sp}})^n \leq C^{-1}$ for any $n > 0$. This shows that f is a power-bounded element and this completes the proof. \square

See [5, Exercise 7.2.6] and [26, Definition 2.8.13] for the following definition.

Definition 5.4 (Uniformization and uniform completion of a Tate ring). Let $A = A_0[1/t]$ be a Tate ring.

- (1) The *uniformization* $A^u = (A_0[1/t])^u$ of $A = A_0[1/t]$ is defined as a Tate ring $A^u := A_0^+[1/t]$ which has a ring of definition A_0^+ , where A_0^+ is some ring of integral elements of A such that $A_0 \subseteq A_0^+$. In particular, we can take A_0^+ as the set of all power-bounded elements A° in A .
- (2) The *uniform completion* of $A = A_0[1/t]$ is defined as the completion of $A^u = A_0^+[1/t]$, which is $\widehat{A_0^+}[1/t]$. Denote it by $\widehat{A^u}$.

Lemma 5.5. *For a Tate ring (A, A_0) , the topology of the uniformization A^u does not depend on the choice of A_0^+ . Moreover, in the definition of the uniform completion, $\widehat{A_0^+}$ is an integrally closed ring of definition of $\widehat{A^u}$.*

Proof. For the first assertion, let A_0° be the complete integral closure of A_0 in A (this is the set of power-bounded elements of A by [29, Lemma 2.13]). Then we have $A_0^+ \subseteq A_0^\circ \subseteq A$. Applying [29, Lemma 2.3], we see that the topology of A^u is completely determined by A_0° . For the second assertion, we apply [20, Lemma 8.3.6] or [29, Corollary 2.8]. \square

By Lemma 5.5, the uniformization is a functor that changes the topology of a Tate ring. Because of [29, Corollary 2.8], a ring of definition $\widehat{A_0^+}$ of $\widehat{A^u}$ is integrally closed in $\widehat{A^u}$ and thus, $\widehat{A^u}$ is a uniform complete Tate ring.

We can take a canonical composite map of Tate rings $i : A \rightarrow A^u \rightarrow \widehat{A^u}$. This map has a universal property as follows.

Proposition 5.6. *For any map of Tate rings $h : A \rightarrow B$, where B is a uniform complete Tate ring. Then there exists a unique map of Tate rings $j : \widehat{A^u} \rightarrow B$ such that $h = j \circ i$.*

Proof. By restricting the map $h : A \rightarrow B$ to A° , we have a continuous map $A^\circ \rightarrow B^\circ$. Since B is uniform and A° is integrally closed in A , we have a unique map of Tate rings $A^u = A^\circ[1/t] \rightarrow B$. Taking completion, we have a unique map of uniform complete Tate rings $j : \widehat{A^u} \rightarrow B$. \square

Remark 5.7. In [6] and [26], the *uniform completion* of a Banach ring $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is defined as the completion with respect to the spectral seminorm. Denote it by $(\widehat{A}^{\text{sp}}, \|\cdot\|_{\text{sp}})$ and this is a uniform Banach ring in the sense of [6] because of the power-multiplicativity of the norm. This has the same universality in uniform Banach rings as above by [26, Definition 2.8.13].

Proposition 5.8. *For any Tate ring $A = A_0[1/t]$, the uniform completion as a Tate ring $\widehat{A^u}$ becomes a naturally uniform Banach ring in view of Definition 5.2 and Lemma 5.3.*

Then the uniform Banach ring $(\widehat{A^u}, \|\cdot\|)$ satisfies a universality of the uniform completion as a Banach ring of $(A, \|\cdot\|_{A_0} := \|\cdot\|_{A_0(t),c})$. In particular, we have an isomorphism of topological rings $\widehat{A^u} \cong \widehat{A}^{\text{sp}}$.

Proof. Take any uniform Banach ring $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ and a map of Banach rings $\varphi : (A, \|\cdot\|_{A_0} := \|\cdot\|_{A_0, (t), c}) \rightarrow (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$. Then there exists some $C > 0$ such that $\|\varphi(a)\|_B \leq C \cdot \|a\|_{A_0}$ for any $a \in A$ by continuity. By Lemma 5.3, we have $\varphi(A^\circ) \subset B^\circ = B_{\|\cdot\|_B \leq 1}$. Fix a positive real number $r > 0$. For any $a \in A^\circ$, we have the inequalities:

$$\|\varphi(t^k a)\|_B \leq \|\varphi(t)\|_B^k \cdot \|\varphi(a)\|_B \leq C \cdot \|t\|_{A_0}^k = C \cdot c^{-k} < r$$

for some $k > 0$. This shows that the map of rings $A = A^u = A^\circ[1/t] \rightarrow B$ induces a map of topological rings $A^u \rightarrow B$ which extends φ . In particular, this induces a map of Banach rings $(A^u, \|\cdot\|_{A^\circ}) \rightarrow (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$.

Taking completion, we have a unique map of Banach rings $(\widehat{A^u}, \|\cdot\|_{A^\circ}) \rightarrow (B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ and this completes the proof. \square

Using these notions, we establish the following result, which is the most essential part of the main theorem.

Proposition 5.9. *Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.2. Then the natural ring map $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective.*

Proof. Following the notation as in (4.1), we get a map of complete Tate rings:

$$f : A := \widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p] \rightarrow B := \widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p].$$

That is, we have pairs of rings

$$(A, A_0) := (\widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p], \widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty}), \quad (B, B_0) := (\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p], \widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty})$$

with their respective ring of definition, where the completion is p -adic. Then we find that A is a perfectoid Tate ring and A_0 is a ring of integral elements of A , because $S_{\infty, \infty}$ is integrally closed in $S_{\infty, \infty}[1/p]$. Moreover, f is surjective and $f(A_0) = B_0$ by [36, Tag 0315]. Notice that B is a complete Tate ring with a ring of definition B_0 . In other words, $B = A/J$ for some closed ideal $J \subseteq A$. But B may fail to be a perfectoid Tate ring. Consider a map of complete Tate rings:

$$g : B \rightarrow C := \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p],$$

where

$$(C, C_0) := (\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p], \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{\infty, \infty})$$

is a pair of a ring and its ring of definition. Then C is a perfectoid Tate ring by Lemma 4.2 (4), and C_0 is a ring of power-bounded elements of C in view of [30, Lemma 3.4 and Proposition 7.1].

Taking the uniform completion, we obtain a map $B = B_0[1/p] \rightarrow \widehat{B}^u = \widehat{B}^\circ[1/p]$ by Lemma 5.5. Since a perfectoid Tate ring is uniform, the universality of the uniform completion (Proposition 5.6) implies that g factors as

$$B \rightarrow B^u \rightarrow \widehat{B}^u \rightarrow C.$$

Set a Tate ring

$$(D, D_0) := (R_{\infty, \infty}[1/p], R_{\infty, \infty}).$$

We can take $D_0^+ := \widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ as a ring of integral elements of D such that $D_0 \subset D_0^+$. Thus, we have

$$\widehat{D}^u = \widehat{D_0^+}[1/p] = \widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p] = C$$

as topological rings. Furthermore, since $B = B_0[1/p]$ is the p -completion of $D = D_0[1/p]$, we have

$$\widehat{B}^u = (\widehat{D})^u \cong \widehat{D}^u,$$

which then shows that $\widehat{B}^u = C$. Since B is a Tate ring, Proposition 5.8 shows that \widehat{B}^u is equal to the uniform completion as a Banach ring. It follows from this fact combined with Theorem 1.1 that $g : B \rightarrow C$ is surjective. Now [6, Lemma 2.8.5 at page 115] gives that $f(A_0) = B_0 \rightarrow C_0$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. \square

Perhaps not true, but we have not been able to answer the following question.

Question 1. *Is the inclusion map $R_{\infty, \infty} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ also $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective?*

An affirmative answer to Question 1 shows that the pair of a ring and its ideal $(R_{\infty, \infty}, (p))$ is preuniform in the sense of [29, Definition 2.16]. We only know that this $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjectivity is equivalent to the injectivity of $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow \widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ because of [29, Proposition 2.4 and Lemma 5.5] and [30, Lemma 3.15].

The following proposition features topological invariance between $R_{\infty, \infty}$ and $C(R_{\infty, \infty})$.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $p > 0$ be a prime number and let A be a p -torsion free algebra such that A is a domain and p is contained in the Jacobson radical of A . If $C(A)$ is the p -root closure of A in $A[1/p]$, then the natural ring map $A \rightarrow C(A)$ is a universal homeomorphism. In particular, there is an isomorphism of étale sites $\mathrm{Spec}(A)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}} \cong \mathrm{Spec}(C(A))_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}$.*

Proof. Let A^+ be the absolute integral closure and let $n > 0$ be a fixed natural number. Set

$$A^{1/p^n} := \{x \in A^+ \mid x^{p^n} \in A\},$$

which is a multiplicative commutative monoid. This gives rise to an isomorphism of two direct systems:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \longrightarrow & A^{1/p} & \longrightarrow & A^{1/p^2} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ F_0 \downarrow & & F_1 \downarrow & & F_2 \downarrow & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & A & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & A & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \dots \end{array}$$

where F_i is a bijection of monoids from A^{1/p^i} onto A which is induced by the p^i -th power endomorphism on A^{1/p^i} . Hence

$$\varinjlim \{A \rightarrow A^{1/p} \rightarrow \dots\} \cong \varinjlim \{A \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} A \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \dots\}$$

and the same isomorphism holds for $C(A)$. Now the injection $A \hookrightarrow C(A)$ induces an injection

$$(5.1) \quad \varinjlim \{A \rightarrow A^{1/p} \rightarrow \dots\} \hookrightarrow \varinjlim \{C(A) \rightarrow C(A)^{1/p} \rightarrow \dots\}.$$

By the definition of p -root closure, for any $x \in C(A)$, we have $x^{p^n} \in A$ for $n \gg 0$. Therefore, (5.1) becomes a bijection of monoids. Combining the above arguments with [37, Lemma 3.4] and [36, Tag 03SI] gives the desired result. \square

6. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM 1 (1), (2) AND (3)

Keeping the notation of the proof of Proposition 5.9, we are prepared to show Main Theorem 1 (1), (2) and (3). Main Theorem 1 (4) will be shown later.

Proof of Main Theorem 1 (1), (2), and (3). Keep the notation of $A_0 := \widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty}$, $C_0 := \widehat{\widetilde{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$, $A := A_0[1/p]$, and $C := C_0[1/p]$ as in Proposition 5.9. We start proving the theorem by keeping the notation of Proposition 5.9.

(1): This is already proved as Proposition 5.9.

(2): The perfectoid property of C_0 is already proved in Lemma 4.2. We know that the integral extension $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow R^+$ induces an injective map $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}/p^m \widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow R^+/p^m R^+$ in view of Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ is integrally closed in $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}[1/p]$. Taking the p -adic completion, we get an injective map $C_0 \hookrightarrow \widehat{R}^+$. In [22], Heitmann proved that \widehat{R}^+ is an integral domain, which gives that C_0 is a domain as well.

(3): We have a surjective map of perfectoid Tate rings $h : A \rightarrow C$ such that $h(A_0) \hookrightarrow C_0$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. By tilting, we get the surjective map of perfectoid Tate rings: $h^\flat : A^\flat \rightarrow C^\flat$ by [6, Theorem 2.4.4 at page 102]. So it follows from [6, Lemma 2.8.5 at page 115] that

$$(6.1) \quad h^\flat(A_0^\flat) \hookrightarrow C_0^\flat$$

is $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. An explicit calculation shows that

$$A_0^b = (\widehat{S_{\infty, \infty}})^b = \varinjlim_{k \geq 0} \widehat{D(k)}$$

where $D(k) := k[[p^b]^{1/p^k}, (t_2^b)^{1/p^k}, \dots, (t_n^b)^{1/p^k}]$ is a complete regular local \mathbb{F}_p -algebra of dimension n , and $D(k) \rightarrow D(k+1)$ is the natural map. So A_0^b is the p^b -adic completion of the perfect closure $D(\infty) := \varinjlim_{k \geq 0} D(k)$ of $D(0)$.

Since $h^b(A_0^b)$ is a subring of the p^b -adically complete ring C_0^b , it follows that $h^b(A_0^b) = h^b(\widehat{D(\infty)})$ is complete in the p^b -adic topology. Since $h^b(D(0))$ is the homomorphic image of the complete regular local ring $D(0)$, it is a complete Noetherian local ring by [36, Tag 00MA]. Since it is a subring of the domain C_0^b , $h^b(D(0))$ is a domain and $h^b(D(\infty))$ is the perfect closure of $h^b(D(0))$.

Let $J \subseteq \widehat{D(\infty)}$ be the kernel of $h^b : \widehat{D(\infty)} \cong A_0^b \rightarrow C_0^b$ and let $J_\infty := J \cap D(\infty)$, which is the kernel of $D(\infty) \hookrightarrow \widehat{D(\infty)} \rightarrow C_0^b$. Let $\widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))}$ be the integral closure of $h^b(D(\infty))$ in $h^b(D(\infty))[1/p^b]$. Let us construct a canonical map

$$(6.2) \quad \widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow C_0^b$$

as follows. By [30, Proposition 7.1], $\widetilde{R_{\infty, \infty}}$ is completely integrally closed in $\widetilde{R_{\infty, \infty}}[1/p]$, and $C_0 = \widehat{\widetilde{R_{\infty, \infty}}}$ is completely integrally closed in $C_0[1/p]$ by [29, Corollary 2.8]. So the tilt C_0^b is also completely integrally closed in $C_0^b[1/p^b]$ by [15, Main Theorem 1]. In particular, C_0^b is integrally closed in $C_0^b[1/p^b]$. By using the p^b -adic completeness of C_0^b , we obtain the canonical map

$$h^b(\widetilde{D(\infty)}) \rightarrow \widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow C_0^b.$$

Furthermore, we claim that (6.2) is $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. Indeed by (6.1), $h^b(A_0^b) = h^b(\widehat{D(\infty)}) = \widehat{D(\infty)}/J \hookrightarrow C_0^b$ is $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjective. Since J is a closed ideal of $\widehat{D(\infty)}$, the topological closure $\overline{J_\infty}$ of J_∞ in $\widehat{D(\infty)}$ is contained in J . Thus, we get a surjective map

$$(6.3) \quad (h^b(D(\infty)))^\wedge = (D(\infty)/J_\infty)^\wedge = D(\infty)^\wedge / \overline{J_\infty} \twoheadrightarrow D(\infty)^\wedge / J = h^b(D(\infty))^\wedge,$$

where the completion is p^b -adic. By combining (6.2) and (6.3), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (h^b(D(\infty)))^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\text{surj}} & h^b(D(\infty))^\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (p)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj} \\ \widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))} & \longrightarrow & C_0^b \end{array}$$

and this gives the $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost surjectivity of (6.2).

By Claim 6.5, we can take $p^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b, x_{j_{d+1}}^b, \dots, x_{j_e}^b \in h^b(D(0))$ as a full system of parameters of $h^b(D(0))$, where $e := \dim(h^b(D(0)))$.² Since $h^b(D(0))$ is a complete Noetherian local domain, we have a module-finite extension:

$$(6.4) \quad F(0) := k[[p^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b, x_{j_{d+1}}^b, \dots, x_{j_e}^b]] \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))$$

which factors through $D(0) \rightarrow h^b(D(0))$. Then $F(0) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0)) \hookrightarrow C_0^b$ induces the canonical maps

$$(6.5) \quad \widehat{F(\infty)} \rightarrow C_0^b \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{F(\infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))},$$

which are injective by Claim 6.1.

By Claim 6.2, there exists some $n \geq 0$ such that $F(n) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))[F(n)] \subseteq C_0^b$ is an integral extension which is finite étale outside $V(p^b x)$ for some $x \in F(0)$. That is, the localization map $F(n)[1/p^b x] \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))[F(n)][1/p^b x]$ is finite étale. Let $B := F(\infty) \otimes_{F(n)} h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$. Taking base change, $F(\infty) \rightarrow B$ is finite étale outside $V(p^b x)$. Consider the following commutative diagram which is induced by the base change and perfectness of $h^b(D(\infty))$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & h^b(D(\infty)) \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow \\
 & & B_{\text{perf}} \\
 & \uparrow & \nearrow \\
 F(\infty) & \longrightarrow & B \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 F(n) & \hookrightarrow & h^b(D(0))[F(n)].
 \end{array}$$

The diagram shows a commutative structure with nodes $F(n)$, $F(\infty)$, B , B_{perf} , and $h^b(D(\infty))$. Arrows include a horizontal arrow from $F(n)$ to $h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$, a vertical arrow from $F(n)$ to $F(\infty)$, a horizontal arrow from $F(\infty)$ to B , a vertical arrow from $h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$ to B , a vertical arrow from B to B_{perf} , a dashed arrow from B_{perf} to $h^b(D(\infty))$, a dashed arrow from B to $h^b(D(\infty))$, a curved arrow from $F(n)$ to $h^b(D(\infty))$, and a curved arrow from $F(\infty)$ to $h^b(D(\infty))$.

Then the injectivity of $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(\infty))$ shows that $F(\infty) \rightarrow B$ and $F(\infty) \rightarrow B_{\text{perf}}$ are injective. Furthermore, $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow B_{\text{perf}}$ is an integral extension.

Since $F(\infty)$ is perfect and $F(\infty)[1/p^b x] \hookrightarrow B[1/p^b x]$ is finite étale, it follows from [5, Lemma 4.3.8] that $B[1/p^b x]$ is also perfect. As perfection commutes with localization, the canonical map $B[1/p^b x] = B[1/p^b x]_{\text{perf}} \rightarrow B_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b x]$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow B_{\text{perf}}$ is an integral extension and finite étale outside $V(p^b x)$. Since p^b and x are nonzero divisors of $h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$, they are nonzero divisors of B and B_{perf} . Let \tilde{B}_{perf} be the integral closure of B_{perf} in $B_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b]$. Since $B[1/p^b x] \cong B_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b x] = \tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b x]$, we find that the integral extension $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow \tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}$ is finite étale outside $V(p^b x)$, and p^b and

²We do not know whether the Krull dimension e of $h^b(D(0))$ is equal to d , which is the Krull dimension of R , but whether or not this is the case is not an important matter in the proof.

x are nonzero divisors of $\widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}}$. In Claim 6.3, we will show that $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.1.

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F(n) & \hookrightarrow & h^b(D(0))[F(n)] & \hookrightarrow & (h^b(D(0))[F(n)])_{\text{perf}} & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha & \searrow & \\
 F(\infty) & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B_{\text{perf}} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & h^b(D(\infty))
 \end{array}$$

by the perfectness of $h^b(D(\infty))$ and the functoriality of perfection. In particular, $\beta : B_{\text{perf}} \rightarrow h^b(D(\infty))$ is split surjective as a ring map. Taking integral closure after inverting p^b , we get

$$(6.6) \quad \widetilde{\beta} : \widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))}$$

For any $y \in \widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))}$, there exist $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in h^b(D(\infty))$ such that $y^n + a_{n-1}y^{n-1} + \dots + a_1y + a_0 = 0$ in $h^b(D(\infty))[1/p^b]$. Applying $\alpha' := \alpha[1/p^b] : h^b(D(\infty))[1/p^b] \hookrightarrow B_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b]$ for the equation, we have

$$\alpha'(y)^n + \alpha(a_n)\alpha'(y)^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha(a_1)\alpha'(y) + \alpha(a_0) = 0$$

and thus, $\alpha'(y)$ is contained in $\widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}}$. By $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}$, we have $y = \widetilde{\beta}(\alpha'(y)) \in \widetilde{\beta}(\widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}})$ and in particular, (6.6) is surjective.

Next, we show that the canonical map $\widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow C_0^b$ is injective. Let E be the integral closure of $\widehat{F(\infty)}$ in $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}}}$. By (6.2), (6.5), (6.6), and Claim 6.3, we get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \widetilde{\widetilde{B}_{\text{perf}}} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))} & \xrightarrow{(p)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj}} & C_0^b \\
 \uparrow (x)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj} & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 E & & & & \\
 \uparrow \text{integral} & & & & \\
 \widehat{F(\infty)} & & & &
 \end{array}$$

In Claim 6.2, x is contained in $F(0) \subseteq F(\infty) \subseteq h^b(D(\infty)) \subseteq C_0^b$. In particular, x is not zero in $\widehat{F(\infty)}$, $\widetilde{h^b(D(\infty))}$ and C_0^b , respectively. Since these rings are integral domains, inverting x yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\widehat{\widehat{B}}_{\text{perf}}[1/x] & \longrightarrow & \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}[1/x] & \xrightarrow{(p)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost surj}} & C_0^b[1/x] \\
\cong \uparrow & & \nearrow & & \nearrow \\
E[1/x] & & & & \\
\text{integral} \uparrow & & & & \\
\widehat{F(\infty)}[1/x] & & & &
\end{array}$$

The injectivity of $\widehat{F(\infty)} \hookrightarrow \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ as in (6.5) shows that the map $\widehat{F(\infty)}[1/x] \hookrightarrow \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}[1/x]$ is an integral extension.

Let \mathfrak{p} be the kernel of the map $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow C_0^b$. The localization $\mathfrak{p}[1/x]$ of \mathfrak{p} is the kernel of $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}[1/x] \rightarrow C_0^b[1/x]$. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{p}[1/x] \cap \widehat{F(\infty)}[1/x]$ is equal to the kernel of $\widehat{F(\infty)}[1/x] \hookrightarrow C_0^b[1/x]$, that is, $\mathfrak{p}[1/x] \cap \widehat{F(\infty)}[1/x] = (0)$. Since $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}[1/x]$ is an integral domain by Claim 6.4 and $\widehat{F(\infty)}[1/x] \hookrightarrow \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}[1/x]$ is an integral extension, it follows that the kernel $\mathfrak{p}[1/x]$ is trivial. Thus, we have $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$. In particular, the map

$$(6.7) \quad \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))} \hookrightarrow C_0^b$$

is a $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphism.

Applying [23, Fact 2.4] for the complete Noetherian local domain $h^b(D(0))$, the integral closure $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ is also p^b -adically separated, which shows that the p^b -adic completion map $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}}$ is injective. Then $(g^b)^{1/p^j}$ is a nonzero element of $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$.

As in the same for the proof of [5, Proposition 4.3.4], for any $f \in \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$, the finitely generated $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ -submodule of $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}[1/p^b]$ spanned by f^N for any $N \geq 0$ is contained in $(p^b)^{-c} \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ for some $c > 0$. So we have $(p^b)^c f^{p^n} \in \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ for any $n > 0$ and, in particular, $(p^b)^{c/p^n} f \in \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$, because $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ is a perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. Then the inclusion map $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}}$ is a $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphism. By [30, Lemma 3.15], its p^b -adic completion

$$(6.8) \quad \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}}$$

is also a $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphism, which gives that the map

$$(6.9) \quad \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))} \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}} \rightarrow C_0^b$$

is a $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphism by (6.7) and (6.8). Since $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ has p^b as a nonzero divisor, the kernel of the map (6.9), which is killed by p^b , is zero and thus the map is

injective as usual. Furthermore, the map $h^b(\widehat{D(\infty)})/p^b h^b(\widehat{D(\infty)}) \rightarrow C_0^b/p^b C_0^b$ is a $(p^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphism.

Set $T := W(k)[[x_2, \dots, x_d]] \hookrightarrow R$ to be a module-finite extension by Cohen's structure theorem, which factors as $T \rightarrow S \rightarrow R$. Extend (6.4) to get

$$E(0) := k[[p^b, t_2^b, \dots, t_d^b]] \hookrightarrow F(0) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0)),$$

where the first map is the natural inclusion.

Applying Proposition 2.4 for the system of parameters of $h^b(0)$, there exists a nonzero divisor $g^b \in h^b(D(0))$ such that $h^b(D(\infty))$ is a $(g^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat and $(g^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful $F(0)$ -algebra. Furthermore, $h^b(D(\infty))$ is so as an $E(0)$ -algebra since $E(0) \hookrightarrow F(0)$ is a faithfully flat map. In particular, $h^b(\widehat{D(\infty)})/p^b h^b(\widehat{D(\infty)})$ is a $(g)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat and $(g^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful $E(0)/p^b E(0)$ -algebra.

Combining (6.9), we can show that g^b is a nonzero element of C_0^b and $C_0^b/p^b C_0^b$ is a $(p^b g^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat and $(g^b)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful $E(0)/p^b E(0)$ -algebra through $E(0) \hookrightarrow F(0) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0)) \hookrightarrow C_0^b$. Set $g := \sharp(g^b)$ for the multiplicative monoid map $\sharp : A_0^b \rightarrow A_0 = \widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty}$. Since $A_0 \rightarrow C_0$ factors as $A_0 \rightarrow B_0 = \widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow C_0$ and $A_0 \rightarrow C(\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}) \rightarrow C_0$, we can take g as a nonzero element of $\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ and $C(\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty})$. By [24, Theorem 3.37] and using the interpretation of $E(0)$ as a small tilt of T , we have $E(0)/p^b E(0) \cong T/pT$ which is compatible with $C_0^b/p^b C_0^b \cong C_0/pC_0$. Then C_0/pC_0 is a $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat and $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful T/pT -algebra by $T \hookrightarrow R \hookrightarrow C_0$.

For any p^n -torsion T -module M , the derived tensor product $C_0 \otimes_T^L M$ is $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost concentrated in degree zero by considering an exact sequence of T -modules: $0 \rightarrow pM \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/pM \rightarrow 0$ and the associated long exact sequence of cohomology. In particular, C_0 is a p -complete $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat T -algebra by [11, Proposition 2.3.8]. Similarly, considering the same exact sequence, we can show that C_0 is a p -complete $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful T -algebra. By the same arguments as in [11, Theorem 4.0.3], it follows that C_0 is a $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost flat and $(pg)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost faithful T -algebra. By Lemma 4.2, the same is true for $C(\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty})$. \square

In the above proof, we need the series of the following claims. Keep the notation of the above proof of Main Theorem 1 (3).

Claim 6.1. *The completion map $\widehat{F(\infty)} \rightarrow C_0^b$ induced from $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow C_0^b$ is injective.*

Proof. Note that $F(n) = k[[p^b)^{1/p^n}, (x_{i_2}^b)^{1/p^n}, \dots, (x_{i_e}^b)^{1/p^n}]]$ is a formal power series ring in e variables. We define $\mathfrak{p}_n := (p^b)^{1/p^n} F(n)$ for every $n \geq 0$, which is a height-one prime ideal in $F(n)$. Since $F(\infty)$ is the ascending union of $F(n)$ for any $n \geq 0$, we can define a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_\infty := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{p}_n \subseteq F(\infty)$. Furthermore, we have $\mathfrak{p}_\infty = (p^b)^{1/p^\infty} F(\infty)$.

Set the localization of rings

$$V(n) := F(n)_{\mathfrak{p}_n} \quad \text{and} \quad V(\infty) := F(\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}.$$

Note that $V(\infty) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} V(n)$. Since $V(n)$ is a localization of $F(n)$ with respect to the height-one prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_n , it follows that $V(n)$ is a discrete valuation ring and the directed union $V(\infty)$ is a valuation ring. In particular, $V(\infty) \rightarrow \widehat{V(\infty)}$ is injective by [23, Fact 2.4]. We have $\mathfrak{p}_n^{(kp^n)} := (p^b)^k V(n) \cap F(n)$, which is the kp^n -th symbolic power ideal of \mathfrak{p}_n . Since $\mathfrak{p}_n^{kp^n} = (p^b)^k F(n)$ is a \mathfrak{p}_n -primary ideal, [4, Exercise 4.13 (iv)] shows that for any $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 0$, we have $(p^b)^k V(n) \cap F(n) = \mathfrak{p}_n^{(kp^n)} = \mathfrak{p}_n^{kp^n} = (p^b)^k F(n)$. Because of $(p^b)^k V(\infty) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (p^b)^k V(n)$, we get

$$(p^b)^k V(\infty) \cap F(\infty) = (p^b)^k F(\infty).$$

In particular, the p^b -adic completion $\widehat{F(n)} \rightarrow \widehat{V(n)}$ and $\widehat{F(\infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{V(\infty)}$ are injective.

By [5, Lemma 2.0.10] and Main Theorem 1 (2), C_0^b is a domain. Since the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_\infty C_0^b$ contains $p^b C_0^b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_0^b / \mathfrak{p}_\infty C_0^b &\cong (C_0^b / p^b C_0^b) / (\mathfrak{p}_\infty C_0^b / p^b C_0^b) \\ &\cong (C_0 / p C_0) / (p^{1/p^\infty} C_0 / p C_0) \cong C_0 / p^{1/p^\infty} C_0 = \tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty} / p^{1/p^\infty} \tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq C_0^b$ such that \mathfrak{q} contains $\mathfrak{p}_\infty C_0^b$. Let \mathcal{C} be the localization $(C_0^b)_{\mathfrak{q}}$. By definition of $V(\infty) = F(\infty)_{\mathfrak{p}_\infty}$, the inclusion map $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow C_0^b$ induces an inclusion map $V(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{C} is contained in the fraction field of C_0^b and $V(\infty)$ is a subring of the field, \mathcal{C} is torsion-free over this valuation ring $V(\infty)$. In particular, the map $V(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is flat. Since $\mathfrak{p}_\infty C_0^b \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$, the natural inclusion map $V(\infty) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is faithfully flat, then for every $k \geq 0$, we have

$$(p^b)^k \mathcal{C} \cap V(\infty) = (p^b)^k V(\infty).$$

So the p^b -completion map $\widehat{V(\infty)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is injective.

Since C_0^b is p^b -adically complete, taking the p^b -adic completion induces a map $\widehat{F(\infty)} \rightarrow C_0^b \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ which is equal to the injective map $\widehat{F(\infty)} \hookrightarrow \widehat{V(\infty)} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$. Then the map $\widehat{F(\infty)} \rightarrow C_0^b$ is injective. \square

Claim 6.2. *For the module-finite extension $F(0) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))$, there exists some $x \in F(0)$ together with an integer $n > 0$ such that p^b, x is a regular sequence in $F(0)$, and $F(n) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$ is an integral extension which is finite étale outside $V(p^b x)$.*

Proof. Since $F(0) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))$ is module-finite, so is $F(n) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$ for any $n \geq 0$. The argument of [13, Theorem 8.4] shows that for some $n \geq 0$, $F(n) \hookrightarrow h^b(D(0))[F(n)]$ is generically (finite) étale. Then there exists some non-zero element $x' \in F(n)$ such that $F(n)[1/x'] \hookrightarrow (h^b(D(0))[F(n)])[1/x']$ is finite étale. Since $x' \in F(n)$, we have $(x')^{p^n} \in F(0)$

and replacing x' with $(x')^{p^n}$ if necessary, we can assume that $x' \in F(0)$. Then there exists $x \in F(0)$ such that $x' = (p^b)^m x$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $x \in F(0) \setminus p^b F(0)$. Since $p^b F(0) \subseteq F(0)$ is a prime ideal, it follows that p^b, x is a regular sequence in $F(0)$. \square

Claim 6.3. *The canonical map $F(\infty) \hookrightarrow \tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 3.1 for $t = p^b$ and $R = F(0)$. In particular, for the p^b -adic completion map $\widehat{F(\infty)} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}}$, the integral closure E of $\widehat{F(\infty)}$ in $\widehat{\tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}}$ is $(x)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphic to $\widehat{\tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}}$, where x is taken from Claim 6.2.*

Proof. Note that $F(\infty)$ and B_{perf} are perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebras by definition. In particular, $B_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b] = \tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b]$ is also perfect and since \tilde{B}_{perf} is integrally closed in $\tilde{B}_{\text{perf}}[1/p^b]$, it follows that \tilde{B}_{perf} is perfect. For the hypotheses of Lemma 2.5 (1)–(4), we take $F(0)$ and $F(\infty)$ as in Lemma 2.5 of R and A , respectively. For the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 (1)–(7), we take $F(\infty)$ (resp. $\widehat{B}_{\text{perf}}$) as in Theorem 3.1 of A (resp. B). \square

Claim 6.4. *$\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. Note that $h^b(D(0))$ is a complete Noetherian local domain and $h^b(D(0)) \hookrightarrow \widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ is an integral extension of domains, because $h^b(D(\infty))$ is the perfect closure of $h^b(D(0))$. We have the inclusion map $h^b(D(\infty)) \hookrightarrow (h^b(D(0)))^+$, where $(h^b(D(0)))^+$ is the absolute integral closure of $h^b(D(0))$. As in the proof of Main Theorem 1 (2), we see that the integral closedness of $h^b(D(\infty))$ in $h^b(D(\infty))[1/p^b]$ implies that the p^b -adic completion $\widehat{h^b(D(\infty))}$ is a domain. \square

Claim 6.5. *Let $p^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_n^b \in h^b(D(0)) \subseteq C_0^b$ be a sequence of elements defined by (1.3). Then there exists a subsequence $x_{j_{d+1}}^b, \dots, x_{j_e}^b$ of x_{d+1}^b, \dots, x_n^b such that the extended sequence of elements $p^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b, x_{j_{d+1}}^b, \dots, x_{j_e}^b$ forms a system of parameters of $h^b(D(0))$, where $e = \dim(h^b(D(0)))$.*

Proof. We note that the natural map $h^b(D(0)) \hookrightarrow C_0^b$ induces an integral extension $H := h^b(D(0))/(p^b C_0^b \cap h^b(D(0))) \hookrightarrow C_0^b/p^b C_0^b =: \overline{C_0}$ and H is a complete Noetherian local ring.

Since R is a complete local domain and $\tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ is an integral extension domain over R , it follows that $\tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ is Henselian, and hence $\overline{C_0}$ has a unique maximal ideal. Set $\mathfrak{n} := \sqrt{(x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b) \overline{C_0}}$. Then we claim that \mathfrak{n} is the maximal ideal of $\overline{C_0}$. Now since $R \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ is an integral extension, R is a normal domain, and $\tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}/p \tilde{R}_{\infty, \infty} \cong \overline{C_0}$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $p C_0 \cap R = p R$. In other words, $R/p R \rightarrow \overline{C_0}$ is an integral extension. The induced map $R/(p, x_2, \dots, x_d) R \rightarrow \overline{C_0}/\mathfrak{n}$ is an integral ring map. Then since $R/(p, x_2, \dots, x_d) R$ is an Artinian ring, it follows that $\overline{C_0}/\mathfrak{n}$ is zero-dimensional and every element in the maximal ideal of $\overline{C_0}/\mathfrak{n}$ is nilpotent (indeed, one can present $\overline{C_0}$ as a

direct limit of Artinian rings that are module-finite over $R/(p, x_2, \dots, x_d)R$. Since this ring is reduced, we conclude that \mathfrak{n} is a maximal ideal of $\overline{C_0}$.

Since p, x_2, \dots, x_d is a system of parameters of R , and $R/pR \rightarrow \overline{C_0}$ and $H \rightarrow \overline{C_0}$ are integral extensions, it follows from [28, Exercise 9.2 and Exercise 9.8] that

$$\dim H = \dim \overline{C_0} = \dim R/pR = d - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ht}((x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b)\overline{C_0}) \leq \text{ht}((x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b)H)$$

and then x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b forms a system of parameters of $H = h^b(D(0))/(p^b C_0^b \cap h^b(D(0)))$. We know that $h^b(D(0))$ is a complete local domain, hence it is a catenary domain. This gives that

$$\dim(h^b(D(0))) = \text{ht}((p^b C_0^b \cap h^b(D(0)))) + d - 1.$$

Using this fact, since $p^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_n^b \in H$ is a system of generators of the maximal ideal, we can find a subsequence $x_{j_{d+1}}^b, \dots, x_{j_e}^b$ of x_{d+1}^b, \dots, x_n^b such that $p^b, x_2^b, \dots, x_d^b, x_{j_{d+1}}^b, \dots, x_{j_e}^b$ is a system of parameters of $h^b(D(0))$ by using the prime avoidance lemma, where $e = \dim(h^b(D(0)))$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 6.6. After releasing this paper, Bhargav Bhatt gave us a highly advanced but much simpler proof of Main Theorem 1. His proof does not require the normality of R and essentially uses the almost purity theorem as in [10, Theorem 10.9] and the descendability of the perfection as in [10, Lemma 8.6]. It turns out that there is a strong connection between perfectoidization and our mixed characteristic analogue of perfection. Here is the outline of his proof.

Under the above notation, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T = W(k)[[t_2, \dots, t_d]] & \hookrightarrow & S = W(k)[[t_2, \dots, t_n]] & \twoheadrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty} = T[p^{1/p^\infty}, t_2^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, t_d^{1/p^\infty}]^\wedge & \longrightarrow & S \widehat{\otimes}_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty} & \twoheadrightarrow & R \widehat{\otimes}_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty} = S[p^{1/p^\infty}, t_2^{1/p^\infty}, \dots, t_n^{1/p^\infty}]^\wedge & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty} \end{array}$$

where all squares are pushout squares and the symbol $(-)^\wedge$ is the (classical) p -adic completion. Since $\widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty}$ is a perfectoid ring, we can apply the almost purity theorem from [10, Theorem 10.9] for the finite map $\widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow R \otimes_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty}$. Then the perfectoidization $(R \otimes_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}}$ is an ordinary ring and the map $\widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty} \rightarrow (R \otimes_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}}$ is $(g)_{\text{perfd}}$ -almost faithfully flat for any discriminant $g \in T$ of $T \hookrightarrow R$. In particular, the same holds for $T \rightarrow (R \otimes_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}}$.

On the other hand, our construction $\widehat{\widehat{R}}_{\infty, \infty}$ is $(p)^{1/p^\infty}$ -almost isomorphic to the perfectoidization $(\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}}$ of $R_{\infty, \infty}$ (This also follows by Lemma 4.2 and [25, Corollary 6.2]). By applying $(-)_{\text{perfd}}$ to the bottom right square, we have a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(S \widehat{\otimes}_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}} & \longrightarrow & (R \widehat{\otimes}_T \widehat{T}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty} \cong (\widehat{S}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}} & \longrightarrow & (\widehat{R}_{\infty, \infty})_{\text{perfd}} \xrightarrow{(p)^{1/p^\infty}\text{-almost isom}} \widehat{\widehat{R}}_{\infty, \infty}
\end{array}$$

by [10, Proposition 8.13]. We know that the left vertical map is p -completely faithfully flat by [10, Lemma 8.6] and thus so is the right vertical map. Combining these arguments together, we obtain another proof of Main Theorem 1 (3).

7. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM 1 (4)

Proof of Main Theorem 1 (4). In Main Theorem 1 (4), we assume that R is normal. That is, R is integrally closed in the fraction field of R . By Cohen's structure theorem, there exists a complete unramified regular local ring $T := W(k)[[t_2, \dots, t_d]]$ such that $T \hookrightarrow R$ is a module-finite extension. In particular, $T \hookrightarrow R$ is generically étale. Similar to the proof of [35, Theorem 5.8], there exists some $g \in T \setminus pT$ such that $T[1/pg] \hookrightarrow R[1/pg]$ is finite étale.

Note that $\widetilde{R}_{\infty, \infty}$ is a filtered colimit of $\widetilde{R}_{k, k}$ which is the integral closure of $R_{k, k} = R[p^{1/p^k}, x_2^{1/p^k}, \dots, x_d^{1/p^k}]$ in $R_{k, k}[1/p]$. Set $r := px_2 \cdots x_n \in R$. Using the normality of R , similar to the proof of [35, Lemma 5.7], $R[1/r] \hookrightarrow R_{k, k}[1/r] = \widetilde{R}_{k, k}[1/r]$ is finite étale.

Applying [21, Theorem 5.13] for the module-finite extension $T \hookrightarrow R$ and the associated surjective map $g : \text{Spec}(R) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Spec}(T)$, we have

$$g(V_R(r)) = V_T(N_{L/K}(r)) \subseteq \text{Spec}(T),$$

where $L := \text{Frac}(R)$ and $K := \text{Frac}(T)$ and $N_{L/K}$ is a norm map $N_{L/K} : L \rightarrow K$ satisfying $N_{L/K}(R) \subseteq A$. Then we have $V_R(r) \subseteq g^{-1}(V_T(N_{L/K}(r))) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ and taking complement shows that

$$D_R(N_{L/K}(r)) = g^{-1}(D_T(N_{L/K}(r))) \subseteq D_R(r) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R).$$

Then for $h := pgN_{L/K}(r) \in T$, the composite map $T[1/h] \hookrightarrow R_{k, k}[1/h]$ is finite étale and this completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Anderson and D. Dobbs, *Root closure in commutative rings*, Ann. Sci. Univ. Clermont-Ferrand II Math. **26** (1990), 1–11.
- [2] Y. André, *Le lemme d'Abhyankar perfectoides*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **127** (2018), 1–70.
- [3] Y. André, *La conjecture du facteur direct*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **127** (2018), 71–93.
- [4] M. Atiyah and I. MacDonal, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Westview Press (1994).
- [5] B. Bhatt, *Lecture notes for a class on perfectoid spaces*, <http://www-personal.umich.edu/~bhattb/teaching/mat679w17/lect>
- [6] B. Bhatt et al, *Perfectoid spaces*, Lectures from the 2017 Arizona Winter School, Mathematical Surveys and monographs **242**, AMS.

- [7] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow and P. Scholze, *Integral p -adic Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **128** (2018), 219–397.
- [8] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, *Absolute prismatic cohomology*, [arXiv:2201.06120](#).
- [9] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, *The prismatization of p -adic formal schemes*, [arXiv:2201.06124](#).
- [10] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology*, Ann. of Math. **196** (2022), 1135–1275.
- [11] H. Cai, S. Lee, L. Ma, K. Schwede and K. Tucker, *Perfectoid signature, perfectoid Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity, and an application to local fundamental groups*, [arXiv:2209.04046](#).
- [12] K. Cesnavicius and P. Scholze, *Purity for flat cohomology*, [arXiv:1912.10932](#).
- [13] G. Dietz, *Big Cohen-Macaulay algebras and seeds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 5959–5989.
- [14] V. Drinfeld, *Prismatization*, [arXiv:2005.04746](#).
- [15] K. Eto, J. Horiuchi, and K. Shimomoto, *Some ring-theoretic properties of rings via Frobenius and monoidal maps*, to appear in Tokyo J. Math.
- [16] G. Faltings, *p -adic Hodge Theory*, J. of A.M.S. **1** (1988), 244–299.
- [17] J.-M. Fontaine, *Perfectoïdes, presque pureté et monodromie-poids (d’après Peter Scholze)*, Astérisque, **352**, (2013), Exp. No. 1057, x, 509–534.
- [18] T. Ford, *Separable algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **183**, American Math. Society.
- [19] O. Gabber, L. Ramero, *Almost Ring Theory*, Springer, (2003).
- [20] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Almost rings and perfectoid spaces*, <http://math.univ-lille1.fr/~ramero/hodge.pdf>.
- [21] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn, *Algebraic Geometry I: Schemes with examples and exercises*, Vieweg+Teubner, (2010).
- [22] R. Heitmann, *\widehat{R}^+ is surprisingly an integral domain*, to appear in J. of Pure and Applied Algebra.
- [23] M. Hochster, *Big Cohen-Macaulay algebras in dimension three via Heitmann’s theorem*, J. of Algebra. **254** (2002), 395–408.
- [24] S. Ishiro, K. Nakazato, K. Shimomoto, *Perfectoid towers and their tilts: with an application to the étale cohomology groups of local log-regular rings*, [arXiv:2203.16400](#).
- [25] R. Ishizuka, *A calculation of the perfectoidization of semiperfectoid rings*, [arXiv:2305.07916](#).
- [26] K. S. Kedlaya and R. Liu, *Relative p -adic Hodge theory, I: Foundations*, Astérisque **371** (2015).
- [27] L. Ma, *A short proof of the direct summand theorem via the flatness lemma*, preprint. Available at <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~ma326/>.
- [28] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986).
- [29] K. Nakazato and K. Shimomoto, *Finite étale extension of Tate rings and decompletion of perfectoid algebras*, J. Algebra **589** (2022), 114–158.
- [30] K. Nakazato and K. Shimomoto, *A variant of perfectoid Abhyankar’s lemma and almost Cohen-Macaulay algebras*, to appear in Nagoya Math. J.
- [31] M. Olsson, *On Faltings’ method of almost étale extensions*, Algebraic geometry–Seattle 2005, Part 2, 811–936, Proc.Sympos. Pure Math. **80**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI. 2009.
- [32] P. Roberts, *The root closure of a ring of mixed characteristic*, [arXiv:0810.0215](#).
- [33] P. Roberts, *Fontaine rings and local cohomology*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), 2257–2269.
- [34] P. Roberts, A. K. Singh, and V. Srinivas, *Annihilators of local cohomology in characteristic zero*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **51** (2007), 237–254.
- [35] K. Shimomoto, *Integral perfectoid big Cohen-Macaulay algebras via André’s theorem*, Math. Ann. **372** (2018), 1167–1188.

- [36] The Stacks Project Authors, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/browse>.
- [37] J. Witaszek, *Keel's base point free theorem and quotients in mixed characteristic*, Annals of Math. **195** (2022), 655–705.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO,
TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

Email address: `ishizuka.r.ac@m.titech.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-12-1 OOKAYAMA, MEGURO,
TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

Email address: `shimomotokazuma@gmail.com`