

# Apparent dark matter as a non-local manifestation of emergent gravity

Kimet Jusufi,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ahmad Sheykhi,<sup>2,†</sup> and Salvatore Capozziello<sup>3,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Physics Department, State University of Tetovo,  
Ilinden Street nm, 1200, Tetovo, North Macedonia*

<sup>2</sup>*Physics Department and Biruni Observatory, College of Sciences,  
Shiraz University, Shiraz 71454, Iran*

<sup>3</sup>*Università degli studi di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Fisica Ettore Pancini,  
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia Edificio 6, 80126 Naples, Italy,  
Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Largo S. Marcellino 10, 80138 Naples, Italy,  
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli,  
Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia Edificio 6, 80126 Naples, Italy.*

We disclose a close correspondence between Verlinde's Emergent Gravity (VEG) theory and the non-local gravity theories. Such non-local effects can play crucial role at small distances as well as in large scale structures. In particular, we argue that the emergent gravity effectively is a manifestation of the entanglement entropy and can modify Newton's law of gravity as well as address the flat rotation curves of spiral galaxies. In the cosmological setup, we have considered three different models for the apparent dark matter density. In the first model, we have found that Friedmann equations get modified due to the presence of the apparent dark matter (DM) in such a way that Newton's constant of gravity shifts as  $G \rightarrow G_N = G(1 + \zeta)$ , where  $\zeta$  is a dimensionless small parameter. This modification basically coincides with the modified gravity (MOG) theory. Using the flat rotating curves we estimate  $\zeta \sim 10^{-7}$ . Interestingly enough, for such a model, we find out that by rescaling the radial coordinate,  $r$ , the curvature space constant,  $k$ , and the scale factor of the universe,  $a$ , the effect of apparent DM can change the geometry of the universe and can shift the curvature space constant as  $k_* = k(1 - \zeta)$ . Finally, we study a more realistic model applied to the whole universe with evolving densities and we address the Hubble tension problem in the context of Verlinde's emergent gravity using the look-back time quantity.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Thermodynamics of black holes implies that there should be a deep connection between gravity and thermodynamics. In 1995, Jacobson [1] claimed that the Einstein field equations of general relativity is nothing but an equation of state for the spacetime. Starting from fundamental relation  $\delta Q = T\delta S$ , together with the area law of entropy,  $S \sim A/4$ , he derived the hyperbolic second order partial differential equations of general relativity in its covariant form. Soon after Jacobson, many investigations have confirmed that there is indeed a deeper connection between gravitational field equations and the laws of thermodynamics [2–11]. The deep connection between the gravitational equation describing the gravity in the bulk and the first law of thermodynamics on the boundary of spacetime reflects some deep ideas of holography. Although Jacobson's derivation is logically clear and theoretically sound, the statistical mechanical origin of the thermodynamic nature of general relativity remains obscure.

The next step towards understanding the nature and origin of gravity put forward by Verlinde [12] who suggested that gravity is not a fundamental force and can be regarded as an emergent phenomena. He claimed that

the laws of gravity emerge as an entropic force caused by the changes in the information associated with the positions of material bodies. According to Verlinde argument when a test particle moves apart from the holographic screen, the magnitude of the entropic force on this body has the form

$$F\Delta x = T\Delta S, \quad (1)$$

where  $\Delta x$  is the displacement of the particle from the holographic screen, while  $T$  and  $\Delta S$  are, respectively, the temperature and the entropy change of the screen. Using the entropic force scenario as well as the equipartition law of energy and the holographic principle, Verlinde derived Newton's law of gravitation, the Poisson equation and in the relativistic regime, the Einstein field equations of general relativity [12]. The Verlinde's viewpoint on the nature of gravity opened a new window to understand gravity from the first principles. The study on the entropic force has raised a lot of attention in the literature (see [13–20] and references therein).

On the other side, the DM puzzle has been one the most important challenges of the modern astrophysics. The problem originates from the fact that observations confirm that the rotational velocity curves of all spiral galaxies tend to some constant value [21–24]. These observations are in contradiction with the prediction of Newtonian theory because Newtonian theory predicts that objects that are far from the galaxy center have lower velocities. The most widely adopted way to resolve these difficulties is the DM hypothesis. It is assumed

---

\*Electronic address: kimet.jusufi@unite.edu.mk

†Electronic address: asheykhi@shirazu.ac.ir

‡Electronic address: capozziello@unina.it

that all visible stars are surrounded by massive nonluminous matters. Another approach is the Modified Newtonian dynamics (MOND) theory which was proposed by Milgrom in 1983 [25]. The MOND theory has been successful for explaining the observed anomalous rotational velocity. Although MOND theory can explain the flat rotational curve, however its theoretical origin remains unknown. Inspired by the Debye model for the equipartition law of energy in statistical thermodynamics and adopting the viewpoint that gravitational systems can be regarded as a thermodynamical system, it was argued that the Debye entropic gravity can explain the theoretical origin of the MOND theory [26]. Many authors have tried to explain the flat rotation curves through modification of Einstein gravity [27–33].

Following the theoretical progress which indicates that spacetime and gravity can be emerged, in another paper [34] Verlinde argued that the volume law contribution to the entanglement entropy, associated with the positive dark energy, turns the otherwise “stiff” geometry of spacetime into an elastic medium. It was shown that the elastic response of this “dark energy” medium takes the form of an extra “dark” gravitational force called apparent DM [34]. In this paper, by taking into account the zero-point length correction to the gravitational potential, we modify the apparent DM hypothesis as well as Newton’s law of gravity. We also address the correspondence between Verlinde’s gravity and the nonlocal gravity. Finally, we extend our study to the cosmological setup. Through this paper we shall set  $c = \hbar = 1$ .

This paper is structured as follows. In the next section we present an expression for apparent DM in the context of VEG theory. In section III, we investigate the consequences of the apparent DM on the cosmological field equations. We shall then obtain the modified Friedmann equations based on apparent DM scenario in section IV. We finish our paper with conclusions which appear in section V.

## II. MODIFIED APPARENT DM FROM EMERGENT GRAVITY

A wide range of observational data indicate that the rotational velocity curves of all spiral galaxies are proportional to the distance from the center  $v \propto r$ , for inside the galaxy, and remain *almost* flat at large distance from the galaxy center, namely at the galaxy out skirt, typically beyond 30–40 kpc [21–24]. While inside the galaxy, these observations consist with Newtonian gravity, however, for outside a galaxy, Newton’s law fails to explain the rotational curves. In fact, Newton’s law of gravitation predicts that objects that are far from the galaxy center have lower velocities  $v \propto 1/\sqrt{r}$ , in contradiction with observations which indicate,  $v \simeq \text{constant}$  for outside of galaxy. It is a general belief that the baryonic matter of galaxies does not provide sufficient gravitation to explain the observed dynamics of the systems. In this

section, by modifying Newton’s law of gravity, we address the puzzle of flat rotation curves via apparent DM hypothesis through emergent gravity theory.

According to Verlinde’s argument, by assuming a spherical symmetry, the amount of apparent DM,  $M_D(r)$ , is related to the amount of baryonic matter  $M_B(r)$  [34]. To see this, we can write the integral relation for the surface mass density  $\sigma_D$  of the apparent DM in terms of the Newtonian potential for the baryonic matter as [34]

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} \left( \frac{8\pi G}{a_0} \sigma_D \right)^2 dV = \frac{d-2}{d-1} \oint_{\partial\mathcal{B}} \frac{\Phi_B}{a_0} n_i dA_i, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_0$  is the acceleration scale and plays an important role in Verlinde’s emergent theory and is related to the Hubble parameter with  $a_0 = cH_0$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi_B$  is the Newtonian potential due to the baryonic matter,  $n_i$  and  $dA_i$  are the unit vector and area element yielding  $dA = n_i dA_i$ . For a spherically symmetric situation this relation, the surface mass density is defined as

$$\sigma_D(r) = \frac{M_D(r)}{A(r)}. \quad (3)$$

In addition, we use the regularized expression for the gravitational potential [35–37]

$$\Phi_B = -\frac{GM}{\sqrt{r^2 + l_0^2}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $M$  is the bare mass of the baryonic mass in the system and  $l_0$  is the zero-point length and its value is of order of Planck length [35]. Inserting relation (4) into Eq. (2), for  $d = 4$ , we find

$$\int_0^r \frac{GM_D^2(r')}{r'^2} dr' = \frac{cH_0 M}{6} \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 + l_0^2}}, \quad (5)$$

which reduces to the Verlinde’s formula [34] in the limit  $l_0 \rightarrow 0$ , namely

$$\int_0^r \frac{GM_D^2(r')}{r'^2} dr' = r \frac{cH_0 M}{6}, \quad (6)$$

provided we have a constant point-like mass  $M = M_B$  and  $H_0$  is the Hubble parameter,  $\Lambda$  is the cosmological constant  $G = 6.674 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3/(\text{kgs}^2)$  is the Newton’s constant,  $c \approx 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}$  is the speed of light. We can also rewrite Eq. (5) as follows

$$\int_0^r \frac{GM_D^2(r')}{r'^2} dr' = \frac{a_0 M}{6} \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 + l_0^2}}. \quad (7)$$

Furthermore, one can express this relation as

$$M_D^2(r) = \frac{a_0 r^2}{6G} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 + l_0^2}} M \right]. \quad (8)$$

Hereinafter we will also use [34]

$$a_M = \frac{a_0}{6}, \quad (9)$$

where  $a_0 = 5.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{m/s}^2$  comes from observations (see also [25]). Since  $M$  is a constant, we can introduce  $\xi = \sqrt{a_M M G}$ . The most natural regular black hole solution with stringy effects can be found by using the potential (3) and solve the Poisson's equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_B(r) = 4\pi G \rho_B(r). \quad (10)$$

This leads to the following mass function

$$M_B(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r r'^2 \rho_B(r') dr' = \frac{M r^3}{(r^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (11)$$

Using this equation, we can also express the relation between apparent DM mass and the baryonic mass as follows

$$M_D^2(r) = \frac{a_M}{G} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left[ \frac{r^2 + l_0^2}{r} M_B(r) \right]. \quad (12)$$

When  $l_0 \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the Verlinde's formula for the apparent DM. Using Eq. (10), we obtain the corresponding apparent DM mass as

$$M_D(r) \simeq \frac{\xi r}{G} \left( 1 + \frac{l_0^2}{4r^2} \right) + \dots \quad (13)$$

where we have kept only terms up to order  $l_0^2/r^2$ . Using the mass of the apparent DM, we can get the apparent DM density

$$\rho_D(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \frac{dM_D(r)}{dr} \simeq \frac{\xi}{4\pi G r^2} + \dots \quad (14)$$

For astrophysical purposes, only the first term is important as  $l_0$  is of the Planck order length. Substituting  $\rho_D(r)$  in the Poisson's equation,

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_D(r) = 4\pi G \rho_D(r), \quad (15)$$

and solving for the gravitational potential, we reach

$$\Phi_D = \xi \ln(r) - \frac{\xi l_0^2}{8r^2} - \frac{c_1}{r} + c_2. \quad (16)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are integration constants. Since as  $\xi \rightarrow 0$ , we require to have  $\Phi_D = 0 = M_D$ , thus  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ .

The total force acting on a test particle with mass  $m$  is therefore given by

$$F = F_B + F_D, \quad (17)$$

here  $F_D$  is the force acting on the particle due to the dark companion. In particular we have

$$F_B = -m \nabla \Phi_B(r) = -\frac{GMm r}{(r^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$F_D = -m \nabla \Phi_D(r) \simeq -\frac{\xi m}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{l_0^2}{4r^2} \right). \quad (19)$$

For the total force we obtain

$$F = -\frac{GMm r}{(r^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{\xi m}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{l_0^2}{4r^2} \right). \quad (20)$$

Since  $l_0$  is very small, we can approximate the above force in leading order terms

$$F \simeq -\left[ \frac{GMm}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{3l_0^2}{2r^2} \right) + \frac{\xi m}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{l_0^2}{4r^2} \right) \right]. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, the Newtonian dynamics get modified due to the zero-point length corrections as well as apparent DM. One can easily check that the modified Newtonian dynamics obtained in Eq. (21) can explain the flat rotation curves of galaxies. Using the fact that  $|F| = mv^2/r$ , we can rewrite the circular speed of an orbiting test object as

$$v^2 \simeq \left[ \frac{GM}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{3l_0^2}{2r^2} \right) + \xi \left( 1 + \frac{l_0^2}{4r^2} \right) \right]. \quad (22)$$

As a result, for large values of  $r$ , namely out of galaxies,  $v^2 \approx \xi = \sqrt{a_M M G} = \text{constant}$ , which implies that the rotation curves of galaxies are flat, which confirm by observations [21]. It is important to note that zero-point length corrections to the Newton's law of gravity, do not play significant role at large distance, while apparent DM term,  $\xi = \sqrt{a_M M G}$ , plays crucial role at large distances.

### III. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN VERLINDE'S GRAVITY AND NONLOCAL GRAVITY

For large distance ( $l_0 \ll r$ ), we can only consider the logarithmic correction in Eq. (16) to the gravitational potential and write

$$\Phi_D \simeq \xi \ln r. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, for the gravitational potential due to the baryonic matter from Eq. (4), we get

$$\Phi_B \simeq -\frac{GM}{r}. \quad (24)$$

Again, we have neglected the quantum correction term due to  $l_0$ . For the total gravitational potential, we get

$$\Phi \simeq -\frac{GM}{r} + \xi \ln \frac{r}{\mathcal{C}}. \quad (25)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}$  is introduced to obtain a dimensionless quantity for  $r/\mathcal{C}$ . It is interesting to point out that such logarithmic corrections are characteristic a non-local theory of gravities [40–42]. One might ask now if the correspondence between Verlinde's emergent gravity and non-local gravity signals a deep connection between the nature of gravity and nonlocality. To quote Verlinde [34] "...DM is consequence of the emergent nature of gravity and caused

by an elastic response due to the volume law contribution to the entanglement entropy in our universe". If apparent DM is described by entanglement entropy in our universe as Verlinde says, we know that entanglement is a non-local behavior of quantum mechanics, then there is no surprise to say Verlinde's theory is a non-local gravity theory too.

We will argue that there are two typos of non-localities in the present paper.

- Short-range nonlocality

It has to do with the fact that the point-like nature of particles is not accurate and we need to replace it with a smeared matter distribution. The short range non-locality is encoded in the zero-point length parameter  $l_0$  which has the length of Planck length order. One way to see this is rewrite Eq. (11) by restoring the Newton constant

$$M_B(r) = G(r)M, \quad (26)$$

where  $G(r)$  incorporates all the effects of non-locality and it is given by (see [35])

$$G(r) = \frac{r^3}{(r^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (27)$$

which is a feature of non-locality due to the smeared matter distribution.

- Long-range non-locality

As we pointed out, according to the nonlocal gravity theory, non-locality persists in the Newtonian regime as well. Indeed, the Poisson's equation of Newtonian theory of gravitation is linearly modified as was argued in Refs. [40–42]. In this case, the nonlocal gravitational field equations reduce to [40–42]

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x) + \int \mathcal{K}(x, y) G_{\mu\nu}(y) d^4 y = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (28)$$

in which  $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the linear Einstein tensor and  $T_{\mu\nu}$  is the energy-momentum tensor of matter. One can further introduce the reciprocal kernel  $\mathcal{R}(x, y)$  via

$$-\mathcal{R}(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_n(x, y). \quad (29)$$

and rewrite Eq. (28) as follows

$$G_{\mu\nu}(x) = \kappa \left[ T_{\mu\nu}(x) + \int \mathcal{R}(x, y) T_{\mu\nu}(y) d^4 y \right], \quad (30)$$

and we end up with an interesting consequence that that the nonlocal theory in this approximation is equivalent to GR but with an additional source term. It was argued that each iterated kernel is proportional to  $\delta(x^0 - y^0)$  and in particular [40–42]

$$\mathcal{R}(x, y) = \delta(x^0 - y^0) q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}), \quad (31)$$

where  $q$  is the spatial convolution kernel. Using this limiting form of the kernel in Eq. (30), we find in the Newtonian limit the nonlocal Poisson equation [40–42]

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G [\rho(t, \mathbf{x}) + \rho_D(t, \mathbf{x})], \quad (32)$$

where the “density of DM”  $\rho_D$  is given by

$$\rho_D(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho(t, \mathbf{y}) d^3 y. \quad (33)$$

In the last equation  $q$  is a universal function that is independent of the nature of the source. It was argued that the nonlocal aspect of the gravitational interaction acts like DM of density  $\rho_D$  that is linearly related to the actual matter density via the kernel  $q$  as in equation. For the case of circular motion of stars we can explain the observed flat rotation curves in galaxies using the kernel [40–42]

$$q(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{4\pi\lambda} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2}, \quad (34)$$

where  $\lambda = GM/v^2$ . The universality of the nonlocal kernel implies that  $\lambda$  must be a constant and hence  $M \propto v^2$ . In particular, the force of gravity per unit test mass is given by

$$\Phi = -\frac{GM}{r} + \frac{GM}{\lambda} \ln\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right). \quad (35)$$

which coincides with the results obtained from Verlinde's emergent gravity for  $\xi = GM\lambda^{-1}$ . Now one is capable to explain the flat rotation curves at large distances, namely out of galaxies. For the circular speed of an orbiting test object is given by (see also [27])

$$v^2 = \frac{GM}{r} + \xi. \quad (36)$$

By Tully-Fisher relation, the asymptotic speed of a test particle, becomes  $v \sim \sqrt{\xi}$ , which is consistent with observations. We can therefore say that non-local gravity can act both at UV and IR scales and this fact is extremely relevant in order to fix the issue of a relation between general relativity and quantum mechanics. The main point here might be that as soon as we “localize” the non-linear gravity, corrections immediately arise and we have the effect of a scalar field ruling the gravitational interaction. This scalar tensor picture can rule gravitational interaction at various scales ranging from clusters of galaxies [44, 45] and cosmology [46, 47]. Let us mention here that cosmology in non-local gravity has been studied also in [48]. Besides, the large scale structure with logarithmic effects in non-linear gravity theory are studied in [52]. These effects they are related to the existence of some Noether symmetry as shown in [49] and, as we pointed out strictly related to the amount of dark matter [50]. This could mean that the emergent gravity effectively can be viewed as non-linear gravity and they are strictly related in some sense about the prediction of dark matter. Like so, the MOND could be related to  $f(R)$ . and non-linear gravity can be always represented as  $f(R, \phi)$  which supports the above arguments.

#### IV. MODIFIED FRIEDMANN EQUATIONS

Let us now extend our discussion to the cosmological setup. Assuming the background spacetime is spatially homogeneous and isotropic which is described by the FRW metric,

$$ds^2 = h_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (37)$$

where  $R = a(t)r$ ,  $x^0 = t$ ,  $x^1 = r$ , and the two dimensional metric is

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, a^2/(1 - kr^2)). \quad (38)$$

In this equation  $k$  denotes the curvature of space with  $k = 0, 1, -1$  corresponding to flat, closed, and open universes, respectively. The dynamical apparent horizon, a marginally trapped surface with vanishing expansion, is determined by the relation

$$h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu R \partial_\nu R = 0. \quad (39)$$

A simple calculation gives the apparent horizon radius for the FRW universe

$$R = ar = \frac{1}{\sqrt{H^2 + k/a^2}}. \quad (40)$$

For the matter source in the FRW universe we shall assume a perfect fluid described by the stress-energy tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\bar{\rho} + p)u_\mu u_\nu + pg_{\mu\nu}, \quad (41)$$

where  $\bar{\rho} = \rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda$ , is the sum of baryonic matter, apparent DM and cosmological constant energy density, respectively. Having in mind the energy-momentum conservation of matter  $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , we obtain the continuity equation

$$\dot{\bar{\rho}} + 3H(\bar{\rho} + p) = 0, \quad (42)$$

where  $H = \dot{a}/a$  being the Hubble parameter. Let us now derive the dynamical equation for Newtonian cosmology. Toward this goal, let us consider a compact spatial region  $V$  with a compact boundary  $\mathcal{S}$ , which is a sphere having radius  $R = a(t)r$ , where  $r$  is a dimensionless quantity. Going back and combining the second law of Newton for the test particle  $m$  near the surface, with gravitational force we obtain

$$F = m\ddot{R} = - \left\{ \frac{GM_B m}{R^2} + \frac{\xi m}{R} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{l_0^2}{4R^2}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{l_0^2}{R^2}\right)^{-3/2}} \right\} \times \left(1 + \frac{l_0^2}{R^2}\right)^{-3/2}. \quad (43)$$

In what follows, we shall neglect the second order correction terms,  $\xi l_0^2$ , hence from Eq. (43) we get

$$\ddot{a}r = -G \left[ \frac{M_B + M_D}{a^2 r^2} \right] \left(1 + \frac{l_0^2}{a^2 r^2}\right)^{-3/2}, \quad (44)$$

where the apparent DM mass is given by

$$M_D = \frac{\xi ar}{G}. \quad (45)$$

In order to derive the Friedmann equations of FRW universe in general relativity, we can use the active gravitational mass  $\mathcal{M}$ , rather than the total mass  $M$ .

$$M = M_B + M_D = M_B + \frac{\xi ar}{G}. \quad (46)$$

It follows that, due to the entropic corrections terms via the zero-point length, the active gravitational mass  $\mathcal{M}$  will be modified. Using Eq. (44) and replacing  $M$  with  $\mathcal{M}$ , it follows

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{\ddot{a}a^2}{G} r^3 \left(1 + \frac{l_0^2}{R^2}\right)^{3/2}. \quad (47)$$

In addition, for the active gravitational mass we can use the definition

$$\mathcal{M} = 2 \int_V dV \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{\mu\nu} \right) u^\mu u^\nu. \quad (48)$$

It is a matter of calculations to show the following result

$$\mathcal{M} = (\bar{\rho} + 3p) \frac{4\pi G}{3} a^3 r^3. \quad (49)$$

Equating Eqs. (47) and (49), one gets

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3} (\bar{\rho} + 3p) \left[1 + \frac{l_0^2}{R^2}\right]^{-3/2}. \quad (50)$$

This is the modified acceleration equation for the dynamical evolution of the FRW universe. For the Friedmann equation we therefore obtain

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\left(\frac{4\pi G}{3}\right) (\bar{\rho} + 3p) \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{l_0^2}{r^2 a^2} + \dots\right]. \quad (51)$$

Next, by multiplying  $2\dot{a}a$  on both sides of Eq. (50), and by means of continuity equation (42), we obtain

$$\dot{a}^2 + k = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \int d(\bar{\rho} a^2) \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{l_0^2}{r^2 a^2}\right], \quad (52)$$

where  $k$  is a constant of integration and physically characterizes the curvature of space. Using the expression for density

$$\bar{\rho} = \rho_0 a^{-3(1+w)}, \quad (53)$$

we obtain in leading order terms It is convenient, to simplify the above equation by rewriting it as

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \bar{\rho} [1 - \Gamma \bar{\rho}], \quad (54)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a constant defined as

$$\Gamma \equiv \frac{4\pi G l_0^2}{3} \left(\frac{1 + 3w}{1 + w}\right). \quad (55)$$

Before we consider in more details how apparent dark matter effects the Friedmann equation, let us introduce the average mass densities  $\rho_B(r)$  and  $\rho_D(r)$  inside a sphere of radius  $R$  by writing the integrated masses. The total mass density profile inside the core is then given by

$$M_B(R) = \frac{4\pi}{3}R^3\rho_B(R), \quad (56)$$

$$M_D(R) = \frac{4\pi}{3}R^3\rho_D(R) \quad (57)$$

Following Verlinde ([34]), we can introduce the slope parameters

$$\bar{\beta}_B(R) = -\frac{d\log\rho_B(R)}{d\log R}, \quad (58)$$

$$\bar{\beta}_D(R) = -\frac{d\log\rho_D(R)}{d\log R}. \quad (59)$$

respectively. On the other hand, from the relation between the apparent dark matter and baryonic matter (12) one can find the relation between the densities

$$\rho_D^2(R) = (4 - \bar{\beta}_B(R))\frac{a_0}{8\pi GR}\rho_B(R) \quad (60)$$

where we assumed  $l_0 \rightarrow 0$  for cosmological scales.

#### A. Case $\bar{\beta}_B = 3$ and $\bar{\beta}_D = 2$

Let us now focus on a particular example by taking:  $\bar{\beta}_B = 3, \bar{\beta}_D = 2$ . In this way, it follows that

$$\rho_D = \frac{C}{R^2}, \quad (61)$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Taking the constant  $C = \xi/4\pi G$ , we obtain

$$\rho_D = \frac{\xi}{4\pi GR^2}, \quad (62)$$

which is Eq.(14) in the present paper. For the Friedmann equation then we have

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3}[\rho_B + \rho_\Lambda][1 - \Gamma(\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda)] + \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_D, \quad (63)$$

where

$$\rho_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}. \quad (64)$$

If we now use  $R = ra$  given by Eq. (40) we get

$$(H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2})(1 - \zeta) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}[\rho_B + \rho_\Lambda][1 - \Gamma(\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda)], \quad (65)$$

where  $\zeta = 2\xi/(3c^2)$  [here we have restored also speed of light constant  $c$ ]. Note that  $\zeta$  has no dimensions and we expect  $\zeta$  to be small number. In fact we can constrain  $\zeta$  using the flat rotating curved in galaxies  $v^2 \sim \xi$ , taking

for example a typical velocity of a star in galaxy to be  $v \sim (200 - 300)\text{km/s}$ , we find

$$\zeta \sim \frac{2v^2}{3c^2} \sim 10^{-7}. \quad (66)$$

In that case, we can rewrite equation (65) as follows

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G(1 + \zeta)}{3}[\rho_B + \rho_\Lambda][1 - \Gamma(\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda)]. \quad (67)$$

It is interesting to observe that the effect of apparent DM in cosmological scales shifts the Newton constant

$$G_N = G(1 + \zeta). \quad (68)$$

However such a shift of Newton constant is found in MOG gravity theory [53]. Hence we can write the Friedmann equations

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3}[\rho_B + \rho_\Lambda][1 - \Gamma(\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda)]. \quad (69)$$

Furthermore, it is possible to rewrite the corrected Friedman equation (69) even in a more compact form

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_{\text{eff}}}{3}[\rho_B + \rho_\Lambda]. \quad (70)$$

where we have absorbed the short and long range non-locality effects into the Newton constant

$$G_{\text{eff}} = G_N G(l_0, \omega), \quad (71)$$

where

$$G(l_0, \omega) = 1 - \frac{4\pi G l_0^2}{3} \left( \frac{1 + 3\omega}{1 + \omega} \right) (\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda). \quad (72)$$

In other words, the non-locality is encoded in the Newton constant; the apparent DM dominates in large scale structures by increasing the standard Newton's constant  $G \rightarrow G(1 + \zeta)$ .

#### 1. An alternative explanation: Rescaling of coordinates

There is, however, an alternative way to look at the effect of DM in cosmology. To see this let us look more closely in the LHS of the Friedman equation (65) which reads

$$\left( H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} \right) (1 - \zeta) = \frac{(1 - \zeta)}{a^2 r^2}, \quad (73)$$

now if we introduce the following definitions

$$r_\star = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1 - \zeta}}, \quad (74)$$

$$k_\star = k(1 - \zeta), \quad (75)$$

$$a_*(t) = a(t)\sqrt{1-\zeta}, \quad (76)$$

then, quite remarkably, this quantity remains invariant

$$H_*^2 + \frac{k_*}{a_*^2} = \frac{1}{a_*^2 r_*^2}, \quad (77)$$

and so the FRW metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a_*(t)^2 \left[ \frac{dr_*^2}{1 - k_* r_*^2} + r_*^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \right]. \quad (78)$$

written in these new coordinates. The metric of the FRW universe is the same as in general relativity, however the curvature space constant is now shifted to  $k_*$ . We shall elaborate the implications of this later on. This means that we can write

$$H_*^2 + \frac{k_*}{a_*^2} = H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad (79)$$

and rewrite the Fredmann equation only in terms of baryonic matter and cosmological constant

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_B [1 - \Gamma(\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda)] + \frac{\Lambda}{3}. \quad (80)$$

For the case of vanishing  $\Lambda$ , this equation was recently derived in [37]. This indicates that the effect of apparent DM can be viewed either as a shift of the Newton constant provided the spatial curvature constant is  $k$ , or, equivalently, we shift the curvature constant  $k_* = k(1-\zeta)$  provided the Newton constant is unchanged. Let us now see more closely the effect of apparent DM on the geometry of the universe. First, if we fix the radial coordinate  $r = \text{const}$ , and constant time  $t = \text{const}$ , we get

$$ds^2 = a_*^2 r_*^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (81)$$

where

$$a_*^2 r_*^2 = a(t)^2 (1-\zeta) \left[ \frac{r^2}{(1-\zeta)} \right] = a(t)^2 r^2. \quad (82)$$

Now we can use the Gaussian curvature with respect to  $g^{(2)}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to show that the topology does not change. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem states that

$$\iint_{\mathcal{M}} K dA = 2\pi\chi(\mathcal{M}), \quad (83)$$

where  $dA$  is the surface line element of the 2-dimensional surface and  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  is the Euler characteristic number. It is convenient to express sometimes the above theorem in terms of the Ricci scalar, in particular for the 2-dimensional surface at  $R|_{t=\text{const}, r=\text{const}} = ar = \text{const}$ , then using the Ricci scalar given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2}{R^2}, \quad (84)$$

with  $\sqrt{g^{(2)}} = R^2 \sin \theta$ , evaluated at  $R$ , yielding the following from

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R} \sqrt{g^{(2)}} d\theta d\phi = \chi(\mathcal{M}). \quad (85)$$

This shows that the the Euler characteristic number is  $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = 2$ , hence the apparent DM cannot alter the topology of the space-time manifold, but can change the geometry of the Universe in the sense that the curvature space scalar is modified as

$$k_* = k(1-\zeta). \quad (86)$$

In particular, we can specialize the discussion for three cases: For the close universe we have  $k_* = k(1-\zeta) > 0$ ; for the open universe  $k_* = k(\zeta-1) < 0$ ; and finally, for the flat universe, we get  $k_* = k(\zeta-1) = 0$ . This all shows that the geometry is modified for the open and the closed universe, provided  $\zeta \neq 0$ . For the perfectly flat universe with  $k = 0$ , we get no effect of  $\zeta$ . On the other hand, the effect of  $\Gamma\rho_B$  becomes important only at small scales and can result with a bouncing universe as was shown in [37].

## B. Constant densities: Case $\bar{\beta}_B = 0, \bar{\beta}_D = 0$

Here we assume a constant expression for the baryonic mass density, i.e.  $\rho_B = \text{const}$  with  $\bar{\beta}_B = 0$ , and  $\rho_D = \text{const}$  with  $\bar{\beta}_D = 0$ , respectively. From Eq. (60) we get

$$\rho_D = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\rho_B \rho_{\text{crit}}}, \quad (87)$$

where it has been defined

$$\rho_{\text{crit}} = \frac{3a_0}{8\pi GR} = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G}, \quad (88)$$

where  $R$  is assumed to be the Hubble radius. We can also write (see also [34])

$$\Omega_D = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\Omega_B}. \quad (89)$$

If we use data from [54] with  $\Omega_B \simeq 0.05$  we get  $\Omega_D \simeq 0.26$ , which is quite remarkably. Furthermore we can write the Friedmans equations

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho [1 - \Gamma\rho]. \quad (90)$$

where

$$\rho = \rho_B + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\rho_B \rho_{\text{crit}}} + \rho_\Lambda. \quad (91)$$

Taking a flat universe i.e.,  $k = 0$ , we can further write

$$\frac{H^2}{H_0^2} = [\Omega_B + \Omega_D + \Omega_\Lambda] [1 - \Gamma(\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda)], \quad (92)$$

but since  $\Omega_B + \Omega_D + \Omega_\Lambda \simeq 1$ , hence we get

$$H = H_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{\Gamma}{2} (\rho_B + \rho_D + \rho_\Lambda) \right]. \quad (93)$$

This shows that although the model is simplified and based on the assumption with constant densities, we obtained corrections due to the quantum gravity effect encoded in  $\Gamma$ . In large scales we can of course neglect the quantum effects by setting  $\Gamma = 0$ , in that case we see that we can identify  $H = H_0$  which is the value reported by the Planck collaboration,  $H_0 = 67.40 \pm 0.50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  [54].

There is also an interesting case of a very early universe with a constant density where the quantum effects play an important role. To see this and observe an interesting result let us set  $\dot{a} = 0$  (or equivalently  $H = 0$ ) in the Friedmann equation. Then, by solving the Friedmann equation we get the equation for the critical density

$$\rho_c = \frac{1}{2\Gamma} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\sqrt{2G\pi(2G\pi a^2 - 3\Gamma k)}}{2G\pi a} \right). \quad (94)$$

This equation imposes a constraint

$$2G\pi a^2 - 3\Gamma k \geq 0,$$

and consequently leading to the minimal scale factor  $a = a_{\min}$ , with  $a_{\min} = l_0 \sqrt{2k}$  [37]. But since  $l_0$  is of Planck length order, i.e.,  $l_0 \sim l_{Pl}$ , it follows that these effects become important only in short distances. In such a case, for the critical density that corresponds to the minimal scale one gets  $\rho_c \sim 1/l_{Pl}^2$ . This also shows that we end up with a bound for the maximum density in nature.

There are interesting implications of such a model. For example, the critical density suggests that the collapsing matter can stop at some points and the initial singularity can be avoided due to the stringy effects. This may suggest an oscillating model for the universe as well. Such a state is expected to be unstable and provides a natural way of generating an early expanding universe. The cosmological implications of such a universe using the dynamical systems analysis depending on whether  $-1 < \omega \leq -1/3$  and  $\omega \geq -1/3$ , and the equilibrium points of each system has been recently studied and discussed in great details (see, [43]).

### C. General case with evolving densities: Addressing the Hubble tension problem with the look-back time

In this final example we can assume a generalization of the Eq. (87) by assuming that the densities depend on  $a$ . In particular, following the arguments in [34], we can write

$$\rho_D(a) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sqrt{\rho_B(a) \frac{3}{8\pi G} \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2} \quad (95)$$

Note also that  $\rho_B = \rho_M + \rho_R$  includes the matter and also the radiation part, respectively. This equation can be also written as

$$\Omega_D(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{H}{H_0} \sqrt{\Omega_R(1+z)^4 + \Omega_M(1+z)^3}, \quad (96)$$

where we have used

$$\frac{a_0}{a(t)} = 1 + z, \quad (97)$$

with  $a_0 = 1$ . The Friedmann equation for the flat universe reads

$$\left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} (\rho_B(a) + \rho_D(a) + \rho_\Lambda) [1 - \Gamma\rho(a)], \quad (98)$$

where  $\rho(a) = \rho_B(a) + \rho_D(a) + \rho_\Lambda$ . We can write further as

$$\frac{H^2(z)}{H_0^2} = (\Omega_R a^{-4} + \Omega_M a^{-3} + \Omega_D(a) + \Omega_\Lambda) [1 - \Gamma\rho(a)], \quad (99)$$

In terms of redshift  $z$ , and using the expression for  $\Gamma$ , we can write as follows

$$\frac{H^2(z)}{H_0^2} = (\Omega_R(1+z)^4 + \Omega_M(1+z)^3 + \Omega_D(z) + \Omega_\Lambda) \times [1 - \Gamma\rho(a)]. \quad (100)$$

We can neglect the quantum gravity effect and set  $\Gamma \sim l_0^2 \sim 0$  (as they are expected to be small effects in large scales), we can finally write the last equation in terms of baryonic matter

$$E^2(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} E(z) \sqrt{\Omega_R(1+z)^4 + \Omega_M(1+z)^3} + \Omega_R(1+z)^4 + \Omega_M(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda. \quad (101)$$

where it has been defined

$$E(z) = \frac{H(z)}{H_0}. \quad (102)$$

As we shall argue below, this equation can have relevance to the Hubble tension problem. If we identify  $H_0$  with the value reported by the Planck collaboration,  $H_0 = 67.40 \pm 0.50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  [54], and further take:

$$\Omega_M \simeq 0.05, \quad \Omega_R \simeq 9 \times 10^{-5}, \quad \Omega_\Lambda \simeq 0.69. \quad (103)$$

In order to compute the value reported by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST),  $H = 74.03 \pm 1.42 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  [55], we shall use the so-called look-back time quantity at a given redshift (see for details [56]), so that we can infer the value of the Hubble parameter

$$H = \frac{1+z}{T_0 z} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{(1+z')E(z')}. \quad (104)$$

Using  $T_0 \simeq 13.79 \text{ Gyr}$  and a fixed redshift  $z = 0.15$ , we get

$$H \simeq 74.21 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}. \quad (105)$$

From the last equation we see that the obtained value is in a very good agreement with the result reported in [55].



## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have reported several new aspects of Verlinde's Emergent Gravity (VEG) theory. First, we improved the formula of the apparent DM in VEG theory by including the zero-point length which encodes stringy effects. Importantly, we pointed out a close correspondence between Verlinde's theory and non-local gravity. This is inspired by the fact that the apparent DM is described by entanglement entropy in VEG theory, however entanglement is a non-local behavior of quantum mechanics, therefore there is no surprise to say Verlinde's theory is a non-local gravity theory, too. Next, we explored three different models for the apparent dark matter densities. In the first model we studied a power law  $\rho_D \sim R^{-2}$ , and we showed that the apparent DM modifies the classical Friedmann equations in general relativity, in particular it shifts the Newton constant of gravity in an interesting way coincides with the MOG theory. Such a modification leads to important results which play crucial role at small distances, for example to cure the Big Bang singularities due to the minimal length and large scale structures due to the apparent DM. Remarkably, we showed that by

rescaling the radial coordinate, the curvature space constant, and the scale factor, then the classical relativistic form of Friedmann equations and the FRW universe metric remains invariant in terms of these new coordinates provided the energy-momentum tensor has only baryonic matter. Thus, since the curvature space constant is modified, it implies that apparent DM can change the geometry of the universe since it shift the curvature space constant  $k_* = k(1 - \zeta)$ . In our second model, we consider constant densities from where it is possible to estimate the amount of apparent dark matter from the amount of baryonic matter and the modified Friedmann equations. In the final part, we study a more realistic model applied to the whole universe with evolving densities. By identifying  $H_0$  with the value reported by the Planck collaboration,  $H_0 = 67.40 \pm 0.50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  [54], and then by using the look-back time quantity at a given redshift we argued that it is possible to address the problem of Hubble tension in the context of Verlinde's emergent gravity since we can obtain a good value for  $H$  reported by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST),  $H = 74.03 \pm 1.42 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  [55].

- 
- [1] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, (1995) 1260.  
 [2] C. Eling, R. Guedens, and T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 121301 (2006).  
 [3] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. **406**, (2005) 49;  
 T. Padmanabhan, Rept. Prog. Phys. **73** (2010) 046901;  
 T. Padmanabhan, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, (2002) 5387;  
 T. Padmanabhan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, (2006) 1659;  
 A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D **74**, (2006) 104015;  
 D. Kothawala, S. Sarkar and T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Lett. B **652** (2007) 338;  
 T. Padmanabhan and A. Paranjape, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 064004.  
 [4] R. G. Cai and S. P. Kim, JHEP **0502**, 050 (2005).  
 [5] M. Akbar and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 084003 (2007).  
 [6] R. G. Cai and L. M. Cao, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 064008 (2007).  
 [7] B. Wang, E. Abdalla and R. K. Su, Phys. Lett. B **503**, 394 (2001);  
 B. Wang, E. Abdalla and R. K. Su, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 23 (2002).  
 [8] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Nucl. Phys. B **779** (2007) 1.  
 [9] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang and R. G. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 023515.  
 [10] A. Sheykhi, B. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **678**, 434 (2009).  
 [11] A. Sheykhi, Class. Quantum Grav. **27** 025007 (2010).  
 [12] E. Verlinde, JHEP **1104**, (2011) 029.  
 [13] R.G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 061501 (2010).  
 [14] R.G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 084012 (2010);  
 Y.S. Myung, Y.W Kim, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 105012 (2010);  
 R. Banerjee, B. R. Majhi, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 124006 (2010);  
 S.W. Wei, Y. X. Liu, Y. Q. Wang, Commun. Theor. Phys. **56**, 455-458 (2011);  
 Y. X. Liu, Y. Q. Wang, S. W. Wei, Class. Quantum Grav. **27**, 185002 (2010);  
 R.A. Konoplya, Eur. Phys. J. C **69**, 555 (2010);  
 H. Wei, Phys. Lett. B **692**, 167 (2010).  
 [15] C. M. Ho, D. Minic and Y. J. Ng, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 567 (2010);  
 V.V. Kiselev, S.A. Timofeev Mod. Phys. Lett. A **26** (2011) 109;  
 W. Gu, M. Li and R. X. Miao, Sci.China G54 (2011) 1915, arXiv:1011.3419;  
 R. X. Miao, J. Meng and M. Li, Sci.China G55 (2012) 375, arXiv:1102.1166.  
 [16] A. Sheykhi, Phys. Rev. D **81** (2010), 104011.  
 [17] Y. Ling and J.P. Wu, JCAP **1008** (2010), 017.  
 [18] L. Modesto, A. Randono, arXiv:1003.1998;  
 L. Smolin, arXiv:1001.3668;  
 X. Li, Z. Chang, arXiv:1005.1169.  
 [19] Y.F. Cai, J. Liu, H. Li, Phys. Lett. B **690** (2010) 213;  
 M. Li and Y. Wang, Phys. Lett. B **687**, 243 (2010).  
 [20] A. Sheykhi and Z. Teimoori, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **44**, 1129 (2012).  
 [21] V. C. Rubin, W. K. Ford, and N. Thonnard, Astrophys. J. **238**, 471 (1980).  
 [22] V. T. Trimble, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **25**, 425 (1987).  
 [23] J. N. Bahcall, C. Flynn, and A. Gould, Astrophys. J. **239**, 234 (1992).  
 [24] S. S. Voget, M. Mateo, E. W. Olszewski, and M. J. Keane, Astron. J. **109**, 151 (1995).  
 [25] M. Milgrom, Astrop. J **270**, 365 (1983);  
 M. Milgrom, Astrop. J **270**, 371 (1983);  
 M. Milgrom, Astrop. J **270**, 384 (1983).

- [26] A. Sheykhi and K. Rezazadeh Sarab, JCAP **10**, 012 (2012).
- [27] Yousef Sobouti, arXiv:0810.2198
- [28] Yousef Sobouti, Dark Matter in Astrophys. Particle Phys. 356 (2009) .
- [29] A. H. Chamseddine and V. Mukhanov, *Mimetic Dark Matter*, JHEP **1311**, 135 (2013).
- [30] R. Myrzakulov, et. al., Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, 125005 (2016), [arXiv:1510.02284].
- [31] S. Vagnozzi, Class. Quant. Grav. **34**, 185006 (2017), [arXiv:1708.00603].
- [32] A. Sheykhi, S. Grunau, IJMPA Vol. **36**, No. 27, 2150186 (2021), [arXiv:1911.13072].
- [33] A. Sheykhi, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 25 (2020).
- [34] E. P. Verlinde, SciPost Phys. 2, 016 (2017).
- [35] P. Nicolini, E. Spallucci, and M. F. Wondrak, Phys. Lett. B **797**, (2019) 134888.
- [36] P. Gaete, K. Jusufi, and P. Nicolini, Phys. Lett. B **835** (2022), 137546
- [37] K. Jusufi and A. Sheykhi, Phys. Lett. B **836** (2023), 137621.
- [38] K. Jusufi, Annals Phys. **448** (2023), 169191.
- [39] P. Nicolini, E. Spallucci and M. F. Wondrak; T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1854 (1997); T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rev. D 57, 6206 (1998). Phys. Lett. B **797**, (2019) 134888.
- [40] F. W. Hehl and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Lett. B **673**, (2009) 279.
- [41] H. J. Blome, C. Chicone, F. W. Hehl and B. Mashhoon, Phys. Rev. D **81**, (2010) 065020.
- [42] C. Chicone and B. Mashhoon, J. Math. Phys. **57**, no.7 (2016) 072501.
- [43] A. D. Millano, K. Jusufi and G. Leon, [arXiv:2302.00223 [gr-qc]].
- [44] F. Bouchè, S. Capozziello and V. Salzano, Universe **9** (2023), 27
- [45] F. Bouchè, S. Capozziello, V. Salzano and K. Umetsu, Eur. Phys. J. C **82** (2022) no.7, 652
- [46] S. Capozziello and F. Bajardi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **31** (2022) no.06, 2230009
- [47] S. Capozziello, R. D'Agostino and O. Luongo, Phys. Lett. B **834** (2022), 137475
- [48] G. Calcagni, L. Modesto and P. Nicolini, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) no.8, 2999
- [49] S. Capozziello and F. Bajardi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **31** (2022) no.06, 2230009
- [50] S. Capozziello and G. Lambiase, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **137** (2022) no.6, 735
- [51] S. Capozziello, P. Jovanović, V. B. Jovanović and D. Borka, JCAP **06** (2017), 044 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2017/06/044
- [52] S. Capozziello, M. Faizal, M. Hameeda, B. Pourhassan and V. Salzano, Eur. Phys. J. C **81**, no.4, (2021) 352.
- [53] J. W. Moffat, JCAP **02** (2021), 017
- [54] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck], Astron. Astrophys. **641** (2020), A6
- [55] A. G. Riess, S. Casertano, W. Yuan, L. M. Macri and D. Scolnic, Astrophys. J. **876** (2019) no.1, 85
- [56] S. Capozziello, G. Sarracino and A. D. A. M. Spallicci, Phys. Dark Univ. **40** (2023), 101201