

hp-VERSION C^1 -CONTINUOUS PETROV-GALERKIN METHOD FOR NONLINEAR SECOND-ORDER INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS WITH APPLICATION TO WAVE EQUATIONS

LINA WANG^{1,2}, MINGZHU ZHANG¹, HONGJIONG TIAN^{1,3}, AND LIJUN YI^{1,3,†}

¹Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Normal University, Shanghai 200234, China

²School of Science, Henan University of Engineering, Zhengzhou 451191, China

³Scientific Computing Key Laboratory of Shanghai Universities, Shanghai 200234, China

ABSTRACT. We introduce and analyze an *hp*-version C^1 -continuous Petrov-Galerkin (CPG) method for nonlinear initial value problems of second-order ordinary differential equations. We derive a-priori error estimates in the L^2 -, L^∞ -, H^1 - and H^2 -norms that are completely explicit in the local time steps and local approximation degrees. Moreover, we show that the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method superconverges at the nodal points of the time partition with regard to the time steps and approximation degrees. As an application, we apply the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method to time discretization of nonlinear wave equations. Several numerical examples are presented to verify the theoretical results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The initial value problems (IVPs) of second-order ordinary differential equations (ODEs) have been widely used in many fields. Moreover, a large number of second-order time dependent problems, especially nonlinear wave equations, such as the sine-Gorden and Klein-Gorden equations, are often transformed into IVPs of second-order ODEs after appropriate spatial discretization methods. During the past few decades, great progress has been made in the study of numerical methods for solving the IVPs of ODEs. The most popular and frequently used approaches for the numerical integration of second-order ODEs are mainly based on implicit and explicit finite difference, Runge-Kutta, collocation, and Newmark-type schemes. For a general overview we refer the reader to the monographs [8, 14, 15, 16, 20] and the references therein.

Galerkin-type methods for solving IVPs of ODEs can be traced back to the 1970s. We mention here the papers by [17, 18], where the continuous Galerkin (CG) schemes have been introduced and analyzed for first-order IVPs [13]. The discontinuous Galerkin (DG) schemes have also been studied for the numerical integration of IVPs [11, 12]. It is worth noting that the error analyses of the above works were mainly based on the *h*-version approach, namely, the convergence is achieved by decreasing the time steps at a fixed and typically low-degree approximation. This is in contrast to the concepts of *p*- and *hp*-version approaches (originated from the finite element methods), where the

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65L60, 65L05, 65L70.

Key words and phrases. *hp*-version; C^1 -continuous Petrov-Galerkin method; second-order initial value problem; wave equation; superconvergence.

[†]Corresponding author, E-mail address: ylj5152@shnu.edu.cn (L. Yi).

*The work of H. Tian is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271368). The work of L. Yi is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12171322, 11771298 and 12271366), the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (Grant Nos. 21ZR1447200 and 22ZR1445500), and the Science and Technology Innovation Plan of Shanghai (Grant No. 20JC1414200).

p -version approach uses a fixed partition but increases the polynomial degree to increase accuracy and the hp -version approach combines the h - and p -refinement techniques. For an overview of the p - and hp -version methods, we refer the reader to the monographs [25, 27] and an excellent survey paper [3].

Due to great flexibility with respect to the local time steps and local approximation degrees, the hp -version Galerkin methods for numerical solutions of IVPs have been widely studied in recent years; see, e.g., the pioneer work by [22], where an hp -version DG time stepping method has been introduced and analyzed for first-order IVPs; see also [29, 30, 33], where hp -version CG methods for first-order IVPs have been studied. Relevant applications and analysis of the hp -version DG and CG methods for parabolic problems, integro-differential equations, and fractional differential equations can be found in [7, 21, 23, 24, 28, 34].

Very recently, an hp -version DG method was introduced for linear second-order IVPs in [2], where suboptimal error estimate (with respect to the polynomial degree) was obtained in a suitable mesh-dependent norm. Moreover, an hp -version C^0 -continuous Petrov-Galerkin (C^0 -CPG) method based on the CG and DG methodologies was developed in [31] for nonlinear second-order IVPs, where the globally C^0 -continuous piecewise polynomials were used for the trial spaces and an optimal H^1 -error estimate was proved. However, it seems more natural to use C^1 -continuous approximations for second-order IVPs due to the following considerations:

- The DG [2] and C^0 -CPG [31] formulations incorporate the initial values in a weak sense and thus leads to the appearance of jump terms (on functions or/and derivatives) in the numerical scheme, which brings additional consideration in the analysis and computation. In contrast, the C^1 -CPG method presented in this article shall produce globally C^1 -continuous approximations and there are no jump terms in the numerical scheme, which greatly simplifies the analysis.
- For a given polynomial degree, the C^1 -CPG method has fewer degrees of freedom (DOF) than the DG [2] and C^0 -CPG [31] methods on each time interval. From another point of view, if we employ the same number of DOF on each time interval, the C^1 -CPG method exhibits higher convergence rates (with respect to the time steps) than the DG and C^0 -CPG methods at least for smooth solutions.

In this paper we consider the numerical integration of a nonlinear second-order IVP of the form

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)), & t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

although the results carry over to systems of such equations. Let $I := (0, T)$ for some $T > 0$. Here, $u : \bar{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes the unknown solution, $f : \bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given function, and the initial values $u_0, u_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that the function f in (1.1) is continuous for $t \in \bar{I}$ and satisfies the following uniformly Lipschitz condition

$$|f(t, u_1, v_1) - f(t, u_2, v_2)| \leq L(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|), \quad \forall u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (1.2)$$

for $t \in \bar{I}$, where $L > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant that is independent of $t \in \bar{I}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to propose and analyze an hp -version C^1 -CPG method for the numerical integration of the problem (1.1). Here, the C^1 -CPG method uses C^1 -continuous piecewise polynomials for the trial spaces and discontinuous piecewise polynomials for the test spaces. Due to discontinuous character of the test spaces, the C^1 -CPG scheme can be decoupled into local problems on each time step, and thus it can be regarded as a time stepping scheme. We show that the hp -version C^1 -CPG scheme is well defined provided that the time steps are sufficient small.

Based on a piecewise projector (on to the trial spaces) $\Pi^{\mathbf{r}}u$ (see (3.19)), we present a rigorous error analysis of the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method. We derive a-priori error estimates in the L^2 -, L^∞ -, H^1 - and H^2 -norms that are completely explicit in the local time steps and local approximation degrees. These error estimates imply that the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method can achieve arbitrary high order convergence rates (i.e., spectral accuracy) provided that the solution is smooth enough. Moreover, we prove that the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method superconverges at the nodal points of the time partition with respect to the time steps and approximation degrees.

As an application, we apply the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method developed for the second-order IVP (1.1) to nonlinear wave equations. Specifically, we use the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG time stepping method to handle the time integration of the second-order nonlinear differential system arising after spatial discretization obtained by the standard spectral Galerkin or conforming finite element Galerkin method.

The apaper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method for the problem (1.1) and prove the existence and uniqueness of the discrete solutions. In Section 3, we carry out a rigorous error analysis of the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG scheme. In Section 4, we apply the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method to time discretization of the nonlinear second-order wave equations. In Section 5, we present some numerical examples to illustrate the theoretical results. Finally, we give some concluding remarks in Section 6.

Throughout the paper, we shall follow the usual notations and conventions for the Sobolev spaces and their norms [1]. For an open interval J , we denote by $L^2(J)$ the Lebesgue space of square integrable functions on J with values in \mathbb{R} and by $L^\infty(J)$ the space of all bounded functions on J . For any non-negative integer k , we equip the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(J)$ with the standard norm $\|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(J)}$ and seminorm $|\cdot|_{W^{k,p}(J)}$. The fractional-order space $W^{s,p}(J)$, $s \geq 0$, is defined by the usual K -method of interpolation. In particular, we set $H^s(J) = W^{s,2}(J)$. Moreover, we denote by C a generic positive constant independent of the discretization parameters of interest (such as time steps and approximation degrees) but may take different values in different places.

2. *hp*-VERSION OF THE C^1 -CONTINUOUS PETROV-GALERKIN METHOD

In this section, we shall introduce the *hp*-version C^1 -CPG method for problem (1.1) and discuss the well-posedness and algebraic form of the proposed scheme.

2.1. Galerkin time discretization. We first introduce an arbitrary partition $\mathcal{T}_h = \{I_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of the time interval $I = (0, T)$ into N subintervals $I_n := (t_{n-1}, t_n)$, $1 \leq n \leq N$, with the nodal points given by

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{N-1} < t_N = T.$$

We define the local time steps $k_n := t_n - t_{n-1}$, $1 \leq n \leq N$ and denote by $k = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{k_n\}$ the length of the largest subinterval. Moreover, we assign to each subinterval I_n an approximation degree $r_n \geq 2$ and store these polynomial degrees in the vector $\mathbf{r} = \{r_n\}_{n=1}^N$. Then, the *hp*-version trial and test spaces used for the Galerkin discretization of (1.1), are given by

$$S^{\mathbf{r},2}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{u \in H^2(I) : u|_{I_n} \in P_{r_n}(I_n), 1 \leq n \leq N\} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$S^{\mathbf{r}-2,0}(\mathcal{T}_h) = \{u \in L^2(I) : u|_{I_n} \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n), 1 \leq n \leq N\}, \tag{2.2}$$

respectively. Here, we denote by $P_{r_n}(I_n)$ the space of polynomials of degree at most r_n on I_n , and the space $P_{r_n-2}(I_n)$ is defined analogously.

The hp -version of the C^1 -CPG method for (1.1) is: find $U \in S^{\mathbf{r},2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} U''(t)\varphi(t)dt = \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{I_n} f(t, U(t), U'(t))\varphi(t)dt, \\ U(0) = u_0, \quad U'(0) = u_1 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $\varphi \in S^{\mathbf{r}-2,0}(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

Remark 2.1. Due to the discontinuous character of the test space $S^{\mathbf{r}-2,0}(\mathcal{T}_h)$, the hp -version C^1 -CPG method in (2.3) can be regarded as a time stepping scheme: if the C^1 -CPG solution U is given on the time intervals $I_m, 1 \leq m \leq n-1$, we can find $U|_{I_n} \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$ by solving the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_{I_n} U''(t)\varphi(t)dt = \int_{I_n} f(t, U(t), U'(t))\varphi(t)dt, \\ U|_{I_n}(t_{n-1}) = U|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1}), \quad U'|_{I_n}(t_{n-1}) = U'|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1}) \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n)$. Here, $U|_{I_1}(0) = u_0$ and $U'|_{I_1}(0) = u_1$.

We next show the existence and uniqueness of the discrete solutions. The proof of this lemma will be given in Appendix A.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that the partition \mathcal{T}_h of $(0, T)$ satisfies*

$$\frac{Lk_n}{2} \sqrt{8 + k_n^2} < 1, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N. \quad (2.5)$$

Then, the discrete problem (2.3) admits a unique solution $U \in S^{\mathbf{r},2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$.

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 shows that the existence and uniqueness of the hp -version C^1 -CPG solution is completely independent of the approximation degrees $r_n, 1 \leq n \leq N$.

2.2. Algebraic formulation. Clearly, the C^1 -CPG formulation (2.4) can be understood as an implicit single-step scheme. We now derive the algebraic formulation corresponding to the local variational problem (2.4) on $I_n, 1 \leq n \leq N$.

Suppose that $\{\phi_{n,l}(t)\}_{l=1}^{r_n+1}$ is a set of basis of the polynomial space $P_{r_n}(I_n)$. Let $U_n(t) := U|_{I_n}$ be the C^1 -CPG approximation of u on I_n . Then, the local approximation $U_n \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$ can be written as

$$U_n(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{r_n+1} \hat{u}_{n,l} \phi_{n,l}(t). \quad (2.6)$$

Inserting (2.6) into (2.4) and selecting the test function $\varphi = \phi_{n,l}(t)$ with $1 \leq l \leq r_n - 1$ leads to the following nonlinear system

$$A_n \widehat{U}_n = F_n(\widehat{U}_n), \quad (2.7)$$

where the solution vector $\widehat{U}_n := (\hat{u}_{n,1}, \hat{u}_{n,2}, \dots, \hat{u}_{n,r_n+1})^T$, the matrix $A_n = (a_{i,j}^n)_{1 \leq i,j \leq r_n+1}$ is given by

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{I_n} \phi''_{n,1} \phi_{n,1} dt & \int_{I_n} \phi''_{n,2} \phi_{n,1} dt & \cdots & \int_{I_n} \phi''_{n,r_n+1} \phi_{n,1} dt \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \int_{I_n} \phi''_{n,1} \phi_{n,r_n-1} dt & \int_{I_n} \phi''_{n,2} \phi_{n,r_n-1} dt & \cdots & \int_{I_n} \phi''_{n,r_n+1} \phi_{n,r_n-1} dt \\ \phi_{n,1}(t_{n-1}) & \phi_{n,2}(t_{n-1}) & \cdots & \phi_{n,r_n+1}(t_{n-1}) \\ \phi'_{n,1}(t_{n-1}) & \phi'_{n,2}(t_{n-1}) & \cdots & \phi'_{n,r_n+1}(t_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and the right-hand side vector $F_n(\widehat{U}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{(r_n+1)}$ is given by

$$F_n(\widehat{U}_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{I_n} f\left(t, \sum_{l=1}^{r_n+1} \hat{u}_{n,l} \phi_{n,l}(t), \sum_{l=1}^{r_n+1} \hat{u}_{n,l} \phi'_{n,l}(t)\right) \phi_{n,1}(t) dt \\ \vdots \\ \int_{I_n} f\left(t, \sum_{l=1}^{r_n+1} \hat{u}_{n,l} \phi_{n,l}(t), \sum_{l=1}^{r_n+1} \hat{u}_{n,l} \phi'_{n,l}(t)\right) \phi_{n,r_n-1}(t) dt \\ U_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \\ U'_{n-1}(t_{n-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, $U_{n-1}(t_{n-1})$ and $U'_{n-1}(t_{n-1})$ are given values from the previous time step I_{n-1} .

In practice, the entries of the matrix A_n can be precomputed exactly for a given polynomial degree r_n without numerical quadrature. For example, if we use the following shifted Legendre polynomials as a set of basis for the polynomial space $P_{r_n}(I_n)$, i.e.,

$$\phi_{n,l}(t) := L_{l-1}\left(\frac{2t - t_n - t_{n-1}}{k_n}\right), \quad t \in I_n, \quad 1 \leq l \leq r_n + 1,$$

where L_l denotes the standard Legendre polynomial of degree l . Noting the fact that [26]

$$L_l''(x) = \sum_{\substack{m=0 \\ m+l \text{ even}}}^{l-2} (m + \frac{1}{2})(l(l+1) - m(m+1))L_m(x), \quad l \geq 2,$$

and then using orthogonality property of the Legendre polynomials, we have

$$a_{i,j}^n = \int_{I_n} \phi_{n,j}' \phi_{n,i} dt = \frac{2}{k_n} \int_{-1}^1 L_{j-1}'' L_{i-1} dx = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{k_n} (i+j-1)(j-i), & j-2 \geq i \text{ and } i+j \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r_n - 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_n + 1$. Moreover, using the facts $L_l(-1) = (-1)^l$, $l \geq 0$ and $L_l'(-1) = \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{l-1}l(l+1)$, $l \geq 1$, we further get the entries of the last two rows of A_n , i.e.,

$$a_{r_n,j}^n = \phi_{n,j}(t_{n-1}) = (-1)^{j-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r_n + 1,$$

$$a_{r_n+1,1}^n = \phi'_{n,1}(t_{n-1}) = 0, \quad a_{r_n+1,j}^n = \phi'_{n,j}(t_{n-1}) = \frac{(-1)^j(j-1)j}{k_n}, \quad 2 \leq j \leq r_n + 1.$$

Due to (2.8), A_n is a sparse matrix.

In order to obtain a global C^1 -CPG approximation, we only need to solve the local algebraic system (2.7) step by step on I_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$, which avoids solving a large system of nonlinear equations. Moreover, (2.7) is a small nonlinear algebraic system with only $r_n + 1$ unknowns, and hence we can use the usual iterative methods such as the Newton's method and the simple fixed point iteration method to solve it very accurately.

Remark 2.3. We underline that, the matrix A_n appears in the nonlinear system (2.7) can be pre-computed by an analytical way. In particular, if we employ uniform time partitions and uniform polynomial degrees, then the matrix A_n at each time step I_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$, is the same one. This implies that we can input A_n once and for all before the time loop, which saves a lot of computational time and storage. As a result, the overall computational cost at each time step I_n is dominated by the cost of calculating the right-hand side vector $F_n(\widehat{U}_n)$ and the iterative process, which depends on the structure of the nonlinear function $f(t, u, u')$.

3. ERROR ANALYSIS

In this section, we will derive several a-priori error estimates for the hp -version C^1 -CPG method which are explicit in the local time steps k_n and local approximation orders r_n . In particular, we prove that the hp -version C^1 -CPG method exhibits superconvergence at the nodal points of the time partition.

3.1. Generalized Jacobi polynomials. Let $\Lambda := (-1, 1)$. We denote by $J_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x)$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$, the classical Jacobi polynomials, which are orthogonal with respect to the Jacobi weight function $\omega^{\alpha, \beta}(x) := (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$ over $(-1, 1)$, namely,

$$\int_{-1}^1 J_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) J_m^{\alpha, \beta}(x) \omega^{\alpha, \beta}(x) dx = \gamma_n^{\alpha, \beta} \delta_{m, n}, \quad n, m \geq 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\delta_{m, n}$ is the Kronecker symbol and

$$\gamma_n^{\alpha, \beta} = \frac{2^{\alpha+\beta+1} \Gamma(n+\alpha+1) \Gamma(n+\beta+1)}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+1) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}. \quad (3.2)$$

It is well-known that $J_n^{0,0}(x) := L_n(x)$ is the Legendre polynomial of degree n , and there hold the orthogonalities (see, e.g., [26])

$$\int_{-1}^1 L_n(x) L_m(x) dx = \frac{2}{2n+1} \delta_{m, n} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 L_n^{(k)}(x) L_m^{(k)}(x) (1-x^2)^k dx = \frac{2}{2n+1} \frac{(n+k)!}{(n-k)!} \delta_{m, n}. \quad (3.4)$$

For our purpose, we also introduce the generalized Jacobi polynomials $J_n^{k, l}(x)$ with the parameters $k, l \leq -1$ being any negative integers (see [26])

$$J_n^{k, l}(x) := (1-x)^{-k} (1+x)^{-l} J_{n+k+l}^{-k, -l}(x), \quad n \geq -(k+l).$$

In particular, we are interested in the generalized Jacobi polynomials with negative indexes $(-2, -2)$ and $(-1, -1)$, namely

$$J_n^{-2, -2}(x) = (1-x)^2 (1+x)^2 J_{n-4}^{2, 2}(x), \quad n \geq 4$$

and

$$J_n^{-1, -1}(x) = (1-x)(1+x) J_{n-2}^{1, 1}(x), \quad n \geq 2.$$

We list some important properties of the generalized Jacobi polynomials in the following lemma, and their proofs are straightforward (see [26]).

Lemma 3.2. *For any negative integers $k, l \leq -1$, there holds the orthogonality*

$$\int_{-1}^1 J_n^{k, l}(x) J_m^{k, l}(x) \omega^{k, l}(x) dx = \gamma_{n+k+l}^{-k, -l} \delta_{m, n}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the constant $\gamma_{n+k+l}^{-k, -l}$ is given in (3.2) and $\omega^{k, l}(x) = (1-x)^k (1+x)^l$. Moreover, there hold

$$J_n^{-2, -2}(x) = 4(n-2)(n-3) \int_{-1}^x \left(\int_{-1}^\eta L_{n-2}(s) ds \right) d\eta, \quad (3.6)$$

$$J_n^{-1, -1}(\pm 1) = 0, \quad J_n^{-2, -2}(\pm 1) = \partial_x J_n^{-2, -2}(\pm 1) = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\partial_x^2 J_n^{-2, -2}(x) = 4(n-2)(n-3) L_{n-2}(x), \quad \partial_x J_n^{-2, -2}(x) = -2(n-3) J_{n-1}^{-1, -1}(x). \quad (3.8)$$

3.2. An auxiliary projection and its approximation properties. For any $u \in H^2(\Lambda)$, we expand u'' into the Legendre series

$$u''(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i L_i(x) \quad (3.9)$$

with $a_i = \frac{2i+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 u'' L_i dx$. Integrating (3.9) twice over $[-1, x]$, then by (3.6) we obtain

$$u(x) = H_3 u(x) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i \int_{-1}^x \left(\int_{-1}^{\eta} L_i(s) ds \right) d\eta = H_3 u(x) + \sum_{i=4}^{\infty} b_i J_i^{-2,-2}(x), \quad (3.10)$$

where $b_i = \frac{1}{4(i-2)(i-3)} a_{i-2}$ and $H_3 u(x)$ is the cubic Hermit interpolation of u , i.e.,

$$H_3 u(x) = \frac{x^3 - 3x + 2}{4} u(-1) + \frac{-x^3 + 3x + 2}{4} u(1) + \frac{x^3 - x^2 - x + 1}{4} u'(-1) + \frac{x^3 + x^2 - x - 1}{4} u'(1).$$

Obviously, there hold $H_3 u(\pm 1) = u(\pm 1)$ and $(H_3 u)'(\pm 1) = u'(\pm 1)$.

We now introduce a projector Π_{Λ}^r which is essential for our error analysis.

Definition 3.1. For a function $u \in H^2(\Lambda)$, we define the projector $\Pi_{\Lambda}^r : H^2(\Lambda) \rightarrow P_r(\Lambda)$, $r \geq 2$ by

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Lambda} (u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)'' \varphi dx = 0, & \forall \varphi \in P_{r-2}(\Lambda), \\ \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u(-1) = u(-1), \\ (\Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)'(-1) = u'(-1). \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

The following lemma shows that the operator Π_{Λ}^r is well defined. In particular, we derive its explicit expression based on the generalized Jacobi polynomials $J_n^{-2,-2}(x)$ (see (A.11)). The proof of this lemma will be given in Appendix A.2.

Lemma 3.3. *The operator Π_{Λ}^r in Definition 3.1 is well-defined. In particular, if $r \geq 3$, there hold $\Pi_{\Lambda}^r u(\pm 1) = u(\pm 1)$ and $(\Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)'(\pm 1) = u'(\pm 1)$.*

Remark 3.1. From (A.11) we find that the projection $\Pi_{\Lambda}^r u$ with $r \geq 3$ is a truncation of the expansion of u (see (3.10)). It is worth noting that such projection has been studied in [9], where the h -version approximation and superconvergence properties of the projection were analyzed.

We next state the hp -version approximation properties of the projector Π_{Λ}^r in the following lemma and give its proof in Appendix A.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $u \in H^{s_0+1}(\Lambda)$ for some integer $s_0 \geq 1$ and $r \geq 3$, Then we have*

$$\|u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \leq \frac{(r-s)!}{(r+s-2)!} \frac{1}{(r-2)^4} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\|(u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)'\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \leq \frac{(r-s)!}{(r+s-2)!} \frac{1}{r(r-1)} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\|(u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)''\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \leq \frac{(r-s)!}{(r+s-2)!} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \quad (3.14)$$

for any integer s , $1 \leq s \leq \min\{r, s_0\}$.

Remark 3.2. Using Stirling's formula, it is easy to verify that, for fixed s , there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u\|_{L^2(\Lambda)} &\leq Cr^{-(s+1)} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}, \\ \|(u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)'\|_{L^2(\Lambda)} &\leq Cr^{-s} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}, \\ \|(u - \Pi_{\Lambda}^r u)''\|_{L^2(\Lambda)} &\leq Cr^{-(s-1)} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$, which imply that the estimates in Theorem 3.4 are optimal in terms of the polynomial degree r . Here, the constants C are independent of r .

On an arbitrary interval $J := (a, b)$ with length $h = b - a$, we define the projector Π_J^r via the linear map \mathcal{M} as

$$\Pi_J^r u = [\Pi_\Lambda^r(u \circ \mathcal{M})] \circ \mathcal{M}^{-1}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $\mathcal{M} : \Lambda \rightarrow J$ is the linear transformation $x \mapsto t = \frac{a+b+hx}{2}$.

By scaling to an arbitrary interval J and interpolating between Sobolev spaces of integer-order, we obtain from Lemma 3.4 the following approximation results immediately.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $J := (a, b)$, $h = b - a$, $r \geq 3$ and $u \in H^{s_0+1}(J)$ with $s_0 \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\|u - \Pi_J^r u\|_{L^2(J)}^2 \leq C \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2s+2} \frac{\Gamma(r-s+1)}{\Gamma(r+s-1)} \frac{1}{(r-2)^4} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(J)}^2, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|(u - \Pi_J^r u)'\|_{L^2(J)}^2 \leq C \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(r-s+1)}{\Gamma(r+s-1)} \frac{1}{r(r-1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(J)}^2, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\|(u - \Pi_J^r u)''\|_{L^2(J)}^2 \leq C \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2s-2} \frac{\Gamma(r-s+1)}{\Gamma(r+s-1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(J)}^2 \quad (3.18)$$

for any real s , $1 \leq s \leq \min\{r, s_0\}$. Here, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the usual gamma function.

Given an arbitrary partition \mathcal{T}_h of $(0, T)$ with N subintervals I_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$. For any $u \in H^2(I)$, we can now define a piecewise polynomial $\Pi^r u$ by

$$\Pi^r u|_{I_n} = \Pi_{I_n}^{r_n} u, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.19)$$

where $r_n \geq 2$ and $\Pi_{I_n}^{r_n} u$ is defined as (3.15). Due to Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, if $r_n \geq 3$, then

$$\Pi^r u(t_n) = u(t_n), \quad (\Pi^r u)'(t_n) = u'(t_n), \quad 0 \leq n \leq N. \quad (3.20)$$

Therefore, we have $\Pi^r u \in S^{r,2}(\mathcal{T}_h)$. Moreover, from (3.11) we find

$$\int_{I_n} (u - \Pi^r u)'' \varphi dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n). \quad (3.21)$$

As a direct consequence of Corollary 3.1, we have the following results.

Lemma 3.5. *Let \mathcal{T}_h be an arbitrary partition of $I = (0, T)$. Assume that $u \in H^2(I)$ satisfies $u|_{I_n} \in H^{s_{0,n}+1}$ for $s_{0,n} \geq 1$ and $r_n \geq 3$, then we have*

$$\|u - \Pi^r u\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2s_n+2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n-s_n+1)}{\Gamma(r_n+s_n-1)} \frac{1}{(r_n-2)^4} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\|(u - \Pi^r u)'\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2s_n} \frac{\Gamma(r_n-s_n+1)}{\Gamma(r_n+s_n-1)} \frac{1}{r_n(r_n-1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\|(u - \Pi^r u)''\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n-s_n+1)}{\Gamma(r_n+s_n-1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \quad (3.24)$$

for any real s_n , $1 \leq s_n \leq \min\{r_n, s_{0,n}\}$.

Moreover, if $u \in H^2(I)$ satisfies $u|_{I_n} \in W^{s_{0,n}+1, \infty}(I_n)$ for $s_{0,n} \geq 1$, then we have

$$\|u - \Pi^r u\|_{L^\infty(I_n)}^2 \leq C \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2s_n+2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n-s_n+1)}{\Gamma(r_n+s_n-1)} \frac{1}{(r_n-2)^3} \|u\|_{W^{s_n+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2, \quad (3.25)$$

for any real s_n , $1 \leq s_n \leq \min\{r_n, s_{0,n}\}$.

Proof. The estimates (3.22)-(3.24) are direct consequence of Corollary 3.1. Since $(u - \Pi^r u)(t_{n-1}) = (u - \Pi^r u)(t_n) = 0$, we have $u - \Pi^r u \in H_0^1(I_n)$. Hence, using the Sobolev inequality [19]

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(a,b)}^2 \leq \|v\|_{L^2(a,b)} \|v'\|_{L^2(a,b)}, \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(a,b),$$

and the estimates (3.16) and (3.17) yields (3.25). \square

3.3. Abstract error bounds. Let u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the C^1 -CPG solution given by (2.3). We split the error into two parts:

$$e := u - U = \eta + \xi, \quad (3.26)$$

where $\eta := u - \Pi^r u$ and $\xi := \Pi^r u - U$.

Since Lemma 3.5 can be used to bound η , it remains to consider ξ . To this end, we need the following discrete Gronwall inequality (see, e.g., [6]).

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^N$ and $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^N$ be two sequences of nonnegative real numbers with $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_N$. Assume that there exist a constant $C > 0$ and weights $w_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq N-1$ such that*

$$a_1 \leq b_1, \quad a_n \leq b_n + C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i a_i, \quad 2 \leq n \leq N.$$

Then

$$a_n \leq b_n \exp\left(C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} w_i\right), \quad 1 \leq n \leq N.$$

We show that ξ can be bounded by η .

Lemma 3.7. *For k_n sufficiently small and $r_n \geq 3$, there hold*

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)} \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\|\xi\|_{H^2(0,t_n)} \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}, \quad (3.28)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$, where ξ and η are defined by the splitting (3.26), and the constant $C > 0$ solely depends on L and t_n .

Proof. In view of (1.1) and (2.4), we have

$$\int_{I_n} e'' \varphi dt = \int_{I_n} (f(t, u, u') - f(t, U, U')) \varphi dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n). \quad (3.29)$$

Then, by (3.21) we get

$$\int_{I_n} \xi'' \varphi dt = \int_{I_n} (f(t, u, u') - f(t, U, U')) \varphi dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n). \quad (3.30)$$

For any $v \in L^2(I_n)$, we denote by $\pi^{r_n-2} v \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n)$ the L^2 -projection of v onto $P_{r_n-2}(I_n)$ with $r_n \geq 2$, namely,

$$\int_{I_n} (v - \pi^{r_n-2} v) \varphi dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n). \quad (3.31)$$

Then for any $v \in H^{s+1}(I_n)$ with $s \geq 0$, there holds (cf. [25])

$$\|v - \pi^{r_n-2} v\|_{L^2(I_n)} \leq C \frac{k_n^{\min\{r_n-2, s\}+1}}{r_n^{s+1}} \|v\|_{H^{s+1}(I_n)}. \quad (3.32)$$

Selecting $\varphi = \pi^{r_n-2}\xi'$ in (3.30) and using (1.2), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_n} \xi'' \xi' dt &= \int_{I_n} (f(t, u, u') - f(t, U, U')) \pi^{r_n-2} \xi' dt \\ &\leq L \left\{ \int_{I_n} (|u - U| + |u' - U'|)^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{I_n} |\pi^{r_n-2} \xi'|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}L \left\{ \int_{I_n} (|u - U|^2 + |u' - U'|^2) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\pi^{r_n-2} \xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &= \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\pi^{r_n-2} \xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with the L^2 -stability of the projection operator π^{r_n-2} leads to

$$\frac{1}{2} (|\xi'(t_n)|^2 - |\xi'(t_{n-1})|^2) \leq \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}.$$

Hence, we have

$$|\xi'(t_n)|^2 \leq |\xi'(t_{n-1})|^2 + \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 + \sqrt{2}L \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2. \quad (3.33)$$

Moreover, selecting $\varphi = \pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi')$ in (3.30), gives

$$\int_{I_n} (t_{n-1} - t) \xi'' \xi' dt = \int_{I_n} (f(t, u, u') - f(t, U, U')) \pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi') dt,$$

which together with (1.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (-k_n |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2) &\leq L \int_{I_n} (|u - U| + |u' - U'|) |\pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi')| dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}L \left\{ \int_{I_n} (|u - U|^2 + |u' - U'|^2) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi')\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &= \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi')\|_{L^2(I_n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Noting the fact that

$$\|\pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi')\|_{L^2(I_n)} \leq \|(t_{n-1} - t)\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)} \leq k_n \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)},$$

and using (3.34) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 &\leq k_n |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + 2\sqrt{2}L k_n \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &\leq k_n |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + \sqrt{2}L k_n \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 + \sqrt{2}L k_n \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Assume that k_n is sufficiently small such that $\sqrt{2}L k_n < 1$, (3.35) can be rewritten as

$$\|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 \leq \frac{k_n}{1 - \sqrt{2}L k_n} |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}L k_n}{1 - \sqrt{2}L k_n} \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2. \quad (3.36)$$

Inserting (3.36) into (3.33) we deduce that

$$|\xi'(t_n)|^2 \leq |\xi'(t_{n-1})|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}L k_n}{1 - \sqrt{2}L k_n} |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1 - \sqrt{2}L k_n} \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2.$$

We further assume that k_n is sufficiently small and there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $2\sqrt{2}L k_n \leq \gamma < 1$, then

$$|\xi'(t_n)|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1 - 2\sqrt{2}L k_n} k_n \right) |\xi'(t_{n-1})|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1 - 2\sqrt{2}L k_n} \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2. \quad (3.37)$$

Summing up (3.37) over the subintervals I_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, and using the facts that $\xi'(t_0) = 0$ and $\xi'|_{I_i}(t_i) = \xi'|_{I_{i+1}}(t_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, we obtain

$$|\xi'(t_n)|^2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1-\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{i+1} |\xi'(t_i)|^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1-\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n \|e\|_{H^1(I_i)}^2. \quad (3.38)$$

Applying the discrete Gronwall inequality in Lemma 3.6 to (3.38), gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi'(t_n)|^2 &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1-\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^n \|e\|_{H^1(I_i)}^2 \exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}L}{1-\gamma} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_{i+1}\right) \leq C e^{Ct_n} \|e\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 \\ &\leq C \left(\|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

with the constant $C > 0$ depends on L, γ and t_n . Inserting (3.39) into (3.36), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 &\leq \frac{Ck_n}{1-\gamma} \left(\|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2}Lk_n}{1-\gamma} \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 \\ &\leq Ck_n \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + Ck_n \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $\xi(t) = \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \xi'(s) ds + \xi(t_{n-1})$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 &= \int_{I_n} \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^t \xi'(s) ds + \xi(t_{n-1}) \right)^2 dt \leq 2 \int_{I_n} \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^t \xi'(s) ds \right)^2 dt + 2k_n |\xi(t_{n-1})|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \int_{I_n} (t - t_{n-1}) \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^t |\xi'(s)|^2 ds \right) dt + 2k_n |\xi(t_{n-1})|^2 \\ &\leq k_n^2 \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 + 2k_n |\xi(t_{n-1})|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Since $\Pi^r u \in H^2(I)$ if $r_n \geq 3$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, and thus $\xi \in H^2(0, t_n)$. Noting that $\xi(0) = 0$, then

$$|\xi(t_{n-1})|^2 = \left(\int_0^{t_{n-1}} \xi'(t) dt \right)^2 \leq t_{n-1} \|\xi'\|_{L^2(0,t_{n-1})}^2. \quad (3.42)$$

Combining (3.41) and (3.42), gives

$$\|\xi\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 \leq k_n^2 \|\xi'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 + 2t_{n-1} k_n \|\xi'\|_{L^2(0,t_{n-1})}^2 \leq Ck_n \|\xi'\|_{L^2(0,t_n)}^2. \quad (3.43)$$

By (3.40) and (3.43), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 &\leq Ck_n \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + Ck_n \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + Ck_n \|\xi'\|_{L^2(0,t_n)}^2 \\ &\leq Ck_n \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + Ck_n \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Assume that k_n is sufficiently small, then (3.44) can be rewritten as

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 \leq Ck_n \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + Ck_n \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_{n-1})}^2,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\|\xi\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2}{k_n} \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\|\xi\|_{H^1(I_i)}^2}{k_i} k_i.$$

Applying the discrete Gronwall inequality in Lemma 3.6 to the above inequality, yields

$$\frac{\|\xi\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2}{k_n} \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 \exp\left(C \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i\right),$$

which implies

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 \leq Ck_n \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \quad (3.45)$$

Summing up the above estimate over the subintervals I_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, gives

$$\|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_i)}^2 \leq \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \quad (3.46)$$

This completes the proof of (3.27).

We now turn to the proof of (3.28). Selecting $\varphi = \xi''$ in (3.30) and using (1.2), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_n} |\xi''|^2 dt &\leq L \int_{I_n} (|u - U| + |u' - U'|) |\xi''| dt \leq \sqrt{2}L \left\{ \int_{I_n} (|u - U|^2 + |u' - U'|^2) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\xi''\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &= \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\xi''\|_{L^2(I_n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\xi''\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 \leq 2L^2 \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2. \quad (3.47)$$

Summing up (3.47) over all subintervals I_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, gives

$$\|\xi''\|_{L^2(0,t_n)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|\xi''\|_{L^2(I_i)}^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2L^2 \|e\|_{H^1(I_i)}^2 = 2L^2 \|e\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \quad (3.48)$$

Combing (3.46) and (3.48), yields

$$\|\xi''\|_{L^2(0,t_n)}^2 \leq 4L^2 (\|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2) \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \quad (3.49)$$

Moreover, by (3.46) and (3.49), we obtain

$$\|\xi\|_{H^2(0,t_n)}^2 = \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2 + \|\xi''\|_{L^2(0,t_n)}^2 \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(0,t_n)}^2. \quad (3.50)$$

This ends the proof of (3.28). \square

Remark 3.3. It is worth noting that the hp -version of the C^1 -CPG scheme (2.3) is designed for $r_n \geq 2$ with $1 \leq n \leq N$, but the estimates presented in Lemma 3.7 only hold for $r_n \geq 3$. The main reason is that we have to make use of the globally C^1 -continuity of the piecewise polynomial $\Pi^{\mathbf{r}}u$ in the proof (see (3.42)). However, the C^1 -continuity of $\Pi^{\mathbf{r}}u$ only holds for $r_n \geq 3$ (see (3.20)).

3.4. H^1 - and H^2 -error estimates. In this section, we shall prove optimal H^1 - and H^2 -error estimates of the C^1 -CPG method. The following results shows that the global H^1 - and H^2 -error estimates can be bounded by the approximation errors of $\Pi^{\mathbf{r}}u$ in H^1 - and H^2 -norms, respectively.

Lemma 3.8. *Let u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the C^1 -CPG solution of (2.3). Assume that k_n is sufficiently small and $r_n \geq 3$. Then, we have*

$$\|u - U\|_{H^1(I)} \leq C \|u - \Pi^{\mathbf{r}}u\|_{H^1(I)}, \quad (3.51)$$

$$\|u - U\|_{H^2(I)} \leq C \|u - \Pi^{\mathbf{r}}u\|_{H^2(I)}, \quad (3.52)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ solely depends on L and T .

Proof. Since $u - U = \eta + \xi$, by (3.27) we get

$$\|u - U\|_{H^1(I)} \leq \|\eta\|_{H^1(I)} + \|\xi\|_{H^1(I)} \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^1(I)},$$

which implies (3.51).

Moreover, by (3.28) we obtain

$$\|u - U\|_{H^2(I)} \leq \|\eta\|_{H^2(I)} + \|\xi\|_{H^2(I)} \leq \|\eta\|_{H^2(I)} + C \|\eta\|_{H^1(I)} \leq C \|\eta\|_{H^2(I)}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.52). \square

As a direct consequence of Lemma 3.8 and the approximation properties of $\Pi^r u$ as stated in Lemma 3.5, we obtain the following typical hp -version error estimates of the C^1 -CPG method for (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{T}_h be an arbitrary partition of I , u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the C^1 -CPG solution of (2.3). Assume that $u \in H^2(I)$ satisfies $u|_{I_n} \in H^{s_{0,n}+1}(I_n)$ for $s_{0,n} \geq 1$. Then, for k_n sufficiently small and $r_n \geq 3$, we have*

$$\|u - U\|_{H^1(I)}^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2s_n} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \frac{1}{r_n(r_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2, \quad (3.53)$$

$$\|u - U\|_{H^2(I)}^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \quad (3.54)$$

for any real s_n , $1 \leq s_n \leq \min\{r_n, s_{0,n}\}$, where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k_n , r_n and s_n .

In particular, if \mathcal{T}_h is a quasi-uniform partition, i.e., there exists a constant $C_q \geq 1$ such that $1 \leq k/k_n \leq C_q$, $1 \leq n \leq N$. Assume that $u \in H^{s+1}(I)$ with $s \geq 1$ and $r_n \equiv r$. Then

$$\|u - U\|_{H^1(I)} \leq C \frac{k^{\min\{r,s\}}}{r^s} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I)}, \quad (3.55)$$

$$\|u - U\|_{H^2(I)} \leq C \frac{k^{\min\{r,s\}-1}}{r^{s-1}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I)}, \quad (3.56)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k and r .

Proof. The assertions (3.53) and (3.54) follow from Lemmas 3.8 and 3.5 immediately. Using (3.53), (3.54) and the Stirling's formula, we obtain (3.55) and (3.56) directly. \square

Remark 3.4. The estimates (3.53) and (3.54) are totally explicit in the local time steps k_n , in the local approximation degrees r_n , and in the local regularities s_n of the solution. The estimates (3.55) and (3.56) imply that the hp -version C^1 -CPG method can achieve the desired accuracy by increasing the polynomial approximation degree r or/and decreasing the time step k . In particular, these estimates also show that the p -version C^1 -CPG method on fixed time partition can yield arbitrarily high-order convergence rate (i.e., spectral convergence) as long as the solution u is smooth enough (i.e., the regularity index s is large enough).

3.5. L^2 -error estimate. In this section, we shall prove optimal L^2 -error estimate of the hp -version C^1 -CPG method based on a duality argument.

Using the Taylor's theorem with Lagrange remainder for the function $f(t, u, u')$ in the variables u and u' , we find that there exist functions λ_1 and λ_2 , such that the value $\lambda_1(t)$ is between $u(t)$ and $U(t)$, the value $\lambda_2(t)$ is between $u'(t)$ and $U'(t)$, and there hold

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, U, U') &= f(t, u, u') - f_u(t, u, u')e - f_{u'}(t, u, u')e' + \frac{1}{2}f_{uu}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)e^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}f_{u'u'}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)ee' + \frac{1}{2}f_{u'u}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)ee' + \frac{1}{2}f_{u'u'}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)(e')^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

where $e = u - U$ and $e' = u' - U'$. Assume that $f_{uu}(t, u, u')$ and $f_{u'u}(t, u, u')$ are continuous with respect to the variables u and u' , then we have $f_{uu}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = f_{u'u}(t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, and thus (3.57) can be rewritten as

$$f(t, u, u') - f(t, U, U') = \theta_1(t)e + \theta_2(t)e' + R_1(t)e^2 + R_2(t)ee' + R_3(t)(e')^2, \quad (3.58)$$

where

$$\theta_1(t) := f_u(t, u, u'), \quad \theta_2(t) := f_{u'}(t, u, u'),$$

$$R_1(t) := -\frac{1}{2}f_{uu}(t, \lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t)), \quad R_2(t) := -f_{uu'}(t, \lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t)), \quad R_3(t) := -\frac{1}{2}f_{u'u'}(t, \lambda_1(t), \lambda_2(t)).$$

We are now ready to state the main results of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{T}_h be an arbitrary partition of I , u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the C^1 -CPG solution of (2.3). Assume that $f(t, u, u')$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to the variables t, u and u' on $\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. We further assume that $u \in H^2(I)$ satisfies $u|_{I_n} \in H^{s_0, n+1}(I_n)$ for $s_{0, n} \geq 1$. Then, for k_n sufficiently small and $r_n \geq 3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - U\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq & C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \right\} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \\ & + C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^3 \right\} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

for any real s_n , $1 \leq s_n \leq \min\{r_n, s_{0, n}\}$, where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k_n , r_n and s_n .

In particular, if \mathcal{T}_h is a quasi-uniform partition, $r_n \equiv r$ and $u \in H^{s+1}(I)$ with $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$, then

$$\|u - U\|_{L^2(I)} \leq C \frac{k^{\min\{s, r\}+1}}{r^{s+1}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I)}, \quad (3.60)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k and r .

Proof. We first construct the following auxiliary problem: find g such that

$$\begin{cases} g'' + (\theta_2 g)' - \theta_1 g = e, & t \in [0, T], \\ g(T) = 0, \quad g'(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.61)$$

where $e = u - U$ and the coefficients θ_1, θ_2 are given in (3.58). Suppose that $\theta_1(t) = f_u(t, u, u')$ and $\theta_2(t) = f_{u'}(t, u, u')$ are sufficiently smooth. We may assume that

$$\|g\|_{H^2(I)} \leq C \|e\|_{L^2(I)}. \quad (3.62)$$

From (3.29) and (3.58), we have

$$\int_{I_n} (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e) \varphi dt = \int_{I_n} (R_1 e^2 + R_2 e e' + R_3 (e')^2) \varphi dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n). \quad (3.63)$$

For convenience, we set

$$\delta = \int_0^T (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e) g dt,$$

where g is the solution of (3.61). Using integration by parts, the fact $e(0) = e'(0) = 0$ and (3.61), yields

$$\delta = \int_0^T (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e) g dt = \int_0^T (g'' + (\theta_2 g)' - \theta_1 g) e dt = \int_0^T e^2 dt = \|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2. \quad (3.64)$$

For our purpose, we define a piecewise L^2 -projection of g by

$$\Pi_*^{r-2} g|_{I_n} = \pi^{r_n-2} g, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.65)$$

where π^{r_n-2} with $r_n \geq 2$ is the L^2 -projection operator given in (3.31).

Assume that $f(t, u, u')$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to the variables t, u and u' on $\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $|R_1(t)|, |R_2(t)|, |R_3(t)| \leq C$ for $t \in \bar{I}$, respectively. Then, using (3.64), (3.63), (3.32), (3.62) and the L^2 -stability of the projection operator π^{r_n-2} , gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e\|_{L^2(I)}^4 &= \left(\int_0^T (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e)(g - \Pi_*^{r-2} g) dt + \int_0^T (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e) \Pi_*^{r-2} g dt \right)^2 \\
&\leq 2 \left(\int_0^T (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e)(g - \Pi_*^{r-2} g) dt \right)^2 + 2 \left(\int_0^T (R_1 e^2 + R_2 e e' + R_3 (e')^2) \Pi_*^{r-2} g dt \right)^2 \\
&\leq C \|e\|_{H^2(I)}^2 \|g - \Pi_*^{r-2} g\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + C \int_0^T (e^2 + (e')^2)^2 dt \|\Pi_*^{r-2} g\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|e\|_{H^2(I)}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \|g\|_{H^2(I_n)}^2 + C \left(\int_0^T e^4 dt + \int_0^T (e')^4 dt \right) \|g\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \\
&\leq C \|e\|_{H^2(I)}^2 \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \right\} \|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2 + C \left(\int_0^T e^4 dt + \int_0^T (e')^4 dt \right) \|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for $r_n \geq 3$, which implies that

$$\|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(I)}^2 + C \int_0^T e^4 dt + C \int_0^T (e')^4 dt. \quad (3.66)$$

Due to the Sobolev inequality, there holds $\|e\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \leq C \|e\|_{L^2(I)} \|e\|_{H^1(I)}$, and then

$$\int_0^T e^4 dt \leq \|e\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \|e\|_{H^1(I)} \|e\|_{L^2(I)}^3. \quad (3.67)$$

Similarly, using the inequality $\|e'\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \leq C \|e'\|_{H^1(I)} \|e'\|_{H^2(I)}$, gives

$$\int_0^T (e')^4 dt \leq \|e'\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \|e'\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \|e'\|_{H^2(I)} \|e'\|_{H^1(I)}^3. \quad (3.68)$$

Inserting (3.67) and (3.68) into (3.66), we obtain

$$\|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(I)}^2 + C \|e\|_{H^2(I)} \|e\|_{H^1(I)}^3, \quad (3.69)$$

which together with the H^1 - and H^2 -error estimates in Theorem 3.1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2 &\leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \right\} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \\
&\quad + C \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \frac{1}{r_n(r_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^4 \right\} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \\
&\quad + C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n} \right)^3 \right\} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2} \right)^{2s_n-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s_n + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s_n - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s_n+1}(I_n)}^2 \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.59).

Moreover, if \mathcal{T}_h is a quasi-uniform partition and $r_n \equiv r$, applying the Stirling's formula to (3.59) gives

$$\|e\|_{L^2(I)}^2 \leq C \frac{k^{2\min\{s,r\}+2}}{r^{2s+2}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I)}^2 + C \frac{k^{4\min\{s,r\}-1}}{r^{4s-1}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I)}^4 \leq C \frac{k^{2\min\{s,r\}+2}}{r^{2s+2}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I)}^2$$

for $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$. This proves (3.60). \square

3.6. Nodal superconvergence estimates. In this section, we shall prove that the hp -version C^1 -CPG method superconverges at the nodal points of the time partition \mathcal{T}_h with regard to the local time steps k_n and the local approximation degrees r_n .

The main results of this section are stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{T}_h be an arbitrary partition of I , u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the C^1 -CPG solution of (2.3). Assume that $f(t, u, u')$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to the variables t, u and u' on $\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. We further assume that $u \in H^{s+1}(I)$ with $s \geq 1$. Then, for k_n sufficiently small and $r_n \geq 3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |e(t_n)|^2 + |e'(t_n)|^2 &\leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2\min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2\min\{r_i, s\}-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_i}{r_i} \right)^3 \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2\min\{r_i, s\}-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \right)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$, where $e = u - U$ and the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k_n and r_n .

In particular, if \mathcal{T}_h is a quasi-uniform partition and $r_n \equiv r$, then we have

$$|e(t_n)| + |e'(t_n)| \leq C \frac{k^{\min\{r-2, s-\frac{1}{2}\} + \min\{r, s\}}}{r^{2s-\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(0, t_n)}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N, \quad (3.71)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k and r .

Proof. We first construct the following auxiliary problem: find w such that

$$\begin{cases} w'' + (\theta_2 w)' - \theta_1 w = 0, & t \in [0, t_n], \\ w(t_n) = w_0, \quad w'(t_n) = w_1 \end{cases} \quad (3.72)$$

for $1 \leq n \leq N$, where the coefficients θ_1, θ_2 are given in (3.58), w_0, w_1 are suitable terminal values to be determined later.

Suppose that $f(t, u, u')$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to the variables t, u and u' on $\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that θ_1 and θ_2 are also smooth functions. Then, we may assume that the problem (3.72) has a unique solution w which can be expressed by

$$w(t) = w_0 \varphi_1(t) + w_1 \varphi_2(t), \quad t \in [0, t_n],$$

with φ_1 and φ_2 be smooth functions, and w satisfies the a priori estimate

$$\|w\|_{H^{s+1}(0, t_n)}^2 \leq C (|w_0|^2 + |w_1|^2). \quad (3.73)$$

For convenience, we set

$$\varrho = \int_0^{t_n} (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e) w dt$$

with $e = u - U$ and w be the solution of (3.72). Then, using integration by parts, the fact $e(0) = e'(0) = 0$ and (3.72), gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho &= w_0 (e'(t_n) - \theta_2(t_n)e(t_n)) - w_1 e(t_n) + \int_0^{t_n} (w'' + (\theta_2 w)' - \theta_1 w) e dt \\ &= w_0 (e'(t_n) - \theta_2(t_n)e(t_n)) - w_1 e(t_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

Noting that R_1, R_2 and R_3 are bounded functions on \bar{I} provided that $f(t, u, u')$ is sufficiently smooth, then using (3.63), (3.32) and the L^2 -stability of the projection operator π^{r_n-2} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varrho|^2 &= \left| \int_0^{t_n} (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e)(w - \Pi_*^{r-2} w) dt + \int_0^{t_n} (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e) \Pi_*^{r-2} w dt \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left| \int_0^{t_n} (e'' - \theta_2 e' - \theta_1 e)(w - \Pi_*^{r-2} w) dt \right|^2 + 2 \left| \int_0^{t_n} (R_1 e^2 + R_2 e e' + R_3 (e')^2) \Pi_*^{r-2} w dt \right|^2 \\ &\leq C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 \|w - \Pi_*^{r-2} w\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^2 + C \int_0^{t_n} (e^4 + (e')^4) dt \|\Pi_*^{r-2} w\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^2 \\ &\leq C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \|w\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 + C \int_0^{t_n} (e^4 + (e')^4) dt \|w\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.75)$$

where $\Pi_*^{r-2} w$ is the piecewise L^2 -projection of w as defined by (3.65). Similar to the derivation of (3.67) and (3.68), there hold

$$\int_0^{t_n} e^4 dt \leq C \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{t_n} (e')^4 dt \leq C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3. \quad (3.76)$$

Combining (3.75), (3.76) and (3.73), yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho^2 &\leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 \|w\|_{H^{s+1}(0, t_n)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3 \|w\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^2 \\ &\leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 (|w_0|^2 + |w_1|^2) \\ &\quad + C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3 (|w_0|^2 + |w_1|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.77)$$

We now set $w_0 = 0$ and $w_1 = e(t_n)$. By (3.74) and (3.77), we have

$$\varrho^2 = |e(t_n)|^4 \leq C \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 + \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3 \right) |e(t_n)|^2,$$

which implies that

$$|e(t_n)|^2 \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 + C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3. \quad (3.78)$$

On the other hand, we set $w_0 = e'(t_n)$ and $w_1 = 0$. Similar to (3.78), using (3.74) and (3.77), we get

$$|e'(t_n) - \theta_2(t_n)e(t_n)|^2 \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 + C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3. \quad (3.79)$$

Noting that $|e'(t_n)|^2 \leq 2|e'(t_n) - \theta_2(t_n)e(t_n)|^2 + 2|\theta_2(t_n)e(t_n)|^2$, using (3.78) and (3.79), gives

$$|e'(t_n)|^2 \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)}^2 + C \|e\|_{H^2(0, t_n)} \|e\|_{H^1(0, t_n)}^3. \quad (3.80)$$

Combining (3.78), (3.80), the H^1 - and H^2 -error estimates in Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |e(t_n)|^2 + |e'(t_n)|^2 &\leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2 \min\{r_i, s\}-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2 \min\{r_i, s\}-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2 \min\{r_i, s\}} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \frac{1}{r_i(r_i - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{k_i^{2 \min\{r_i-2, s\}+2}}{r_i^{2(s+1)}} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2 \min\{r_i, s\}-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \\ &\quad + C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_i}{r_i} \right)^3 \right\} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{k_i}{2} \right)^{2 \min\{r_i, s\}-2} \frac{\Gamma(r_i - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_i + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_i)}^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.70).

Moreover, if \mathcal{T}_h is a quasi-uniform partition and $r_n \equiv r$, applying the Stirling's formula to (3.70) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |e(t_n)| + |e'(t_n)| &\leq C \frac{k^{\min\{r-2, s\}+1}}{r^{s+1}} \frac{k^{\min\{r, s\}-1}}{r^{s-1}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(0, t_n)} + C \left(\frac{k}{r} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{k^{2 \min\{r, s\}-2}}{r^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(0, t_n)}^2 \\ &\leq C \frac{k^{\min\{r-2, s-\frac{1}{2}\} + \min\{r, s\}}}{r^{2s-\frac{1}{2}}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(0, t_n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.71). □

Remark 3.5. We note that, the estimate (3.71) can be read as

$$|e(t_n)| + |e'(t_n)| \leq C k^{2r-2}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N$$

for the h -version (if $s \geq r$), and

$$|e(t_n)| + |e'(t_n)| \leq C r^{-(2s-\frac{1}{2})}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N$$

for the p -version as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

3.7. L^∞ -error estimate. The aim of this section is to show the L^∞ -estimate. For this purpose, we first recall the following technique lemma from [22].

Lemma 3.9. *For any $\varphi \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$, there holds*

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(I_n)}^2 \leq C \left(\log(r_n + 1) \int_{I_n} |\varphi'(t)|^2 (t - t_{n-1}) dt + |\varphi(t_n)|^2 \right),$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k_n and r_n . Moreover, the estimate cannot be improved asymptotically as $r_n \rightarrow \infty$.

The main results of this section are stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let \mathcal{T}_h be an arbitrary partition of I , u be the exact solution of (1.1) and U be the C^1 -CPG solution of (2.3). Assume that $f(t, u, u')$ is sufficiently smooth with respect to the variables t, u and u' on $\bar{I} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. We further assume that $u \in W^{s+1, \infty}(I)$ with $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Then, for k_n sufficiently small and $r_n \geq 3$, we have

$$\|u - U\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \leq C \frac{k^4 \log(\bar{r})}{\underline{r}^3} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \right\}, \quad (3.81)$$

where $\bar{r} = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{r_n\}$, $\underline{r} = \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{r_n\}$, and the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k_n and r_n .

In particular, if \mathcal{T}_h is a quasi-uniform partition and $r_n \equiv r$, then

$$\|u - U\|_{L^\infty(I)} \leq C (\log r)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k^{\min\{r, s\} + 1}}{r^{s+1/2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I)}, \quad (3.82)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of k and r .

Proof. Recalling that $e = \eta + \xi$ with $\eta = u - \Pi^r u$ and $\xi = \Pi^r u - U$, selecting $\varphi = \pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi)$ in (3.30), then using (3.31), (1.2) and the L^2 -stability of the L^2 -projection operator π^{r_n-2} , gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_n} (t_{n-1} - t)\xi\xi'' dt &= \int_{I_n} (f(t, u, u') - f(t, U, U')) \pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi) dt \\ &\leq L \left(\int_{I_n} (|u - U| + |u' - U'|)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{I_n} |\pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\pi^{r_n-2}((t_{n-1} - t)\xi)\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}L \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|(t_{n-1} - t)\xi\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}L k_n \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\xi\|_{L^2(I_n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_n} (t_{n-1} - t)\xi\xi'' dt &= -k_n \xi(t_n)\xi'(t_n) + \int_{I_n} \xi'\xi dt - \int_{I_n} (t_{n-1} - t)|\xi'|^2 dt \\ &= -k_n \xi(t_n)\xi'(t_n) + \frac{1}{2}|\xi(t_n)|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\xi(t_{n-1})|^2 + \int_{I_n} (t - t_{n-1})|\xi'|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.83) and the inequality $k_n |\xi(t_n)\xi'(t_n)| \leq \frac{1}{2}k_n^2 |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\xi(t_n)|^2$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_n} (t - t_{n-1})|\xi'|^2 dt &\leq k_n |\xi(t_n)\xi'(t_n)| - \frac{1}{2}|\xi(t_n)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\xi(t_{n-1})|^2 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}L k_n \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} \|\xi\|_{L^2(I_n)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}k_n^2 |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\xi(t_{n-1})|^2 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{2}L k_n \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} (\|e\|_{L^2(I_n)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(I_n)}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

where we have used the fact $\|\xi\|_{L^2(I_n)} = \|e - \eta\|_{L^2(I_n)} \leq \|e\|_{L^2(I_n)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(I_n)}$.

Due to (3.20), we find that

$$\xi(t_n) = e(t_n) - \eta(t_n) = e(t_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi'(t_n) = e'(t_n) - \eta'(t_n) = e'(t_n). \quad (3.85)$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.9, by (3.84) and (3.85), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\xi\|_{L^\infty(I_n)}^2 &\leq C \log(r_n + 1) (k_n^2 |\xi'(t_n)|^2 + |\xi(t_{n-1})|^2) + C |\xi(t_n)|^2 \\
&\quad + C \log(r_n + 1) k_n \|e\|_{H^1(I_n)} (\|e\|_{L^2(I)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(I)}) \\
&\leq C \log(r_n + 1) \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{|e'(t_n)|^2 + |e(t_n)|^2\} \\
&\quad + C k_n \log(r_n + 1) \|e\|_{H^1(I)} (\|e\|_{L^2(I)} + \|\eta\|_{L^2(I)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.86}$$

For convenience, we set $G := \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s - 1)} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_n)}^2$. Using the Stirling's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{H^{s+1}(I_n)}^2 \leq C \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 1}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \\
&\leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.87}$$

Inserting (3.70), (3.22), (3.53) and (3.59) into (3.86), then using (3.87), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \|\xi\|_{L^\infty(I_n)}^2 \right\} &\leq C \log(\bar{r} + 1) \left(\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n - 2, s\} + 2}}{r_n^{2(s+1)}} \right\} G + \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n}\right)^3 \right\} G^2 \right) \\
&\quad + C k \log(\bar{r} + 1) \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n}\right)^2 \right\} G^{1/2} \times \left\{ \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n}\right)^2 \right\} G^{1/2} \right\} \\
&\quad + \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\} G + \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{r_n}\right)^2 \right\} G^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \log(\bar{r} + 1) \left(\frac{k^{2 \min\{r_n - 2, s\} + 2}}{\underline{r}^{2(s+1)}} + \frac{k^3}{\underline{r}^3} G + \frac{k^5}{\underline{r}^4} + \frac{k^{9/2}}{\underline{r}^{7/2}} G^{1/2} \right) G \\
&\leq C \frac{k^4 \log(\bar{r})}{\underline{r}^4} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.88}$$

for $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$, where $\bar{r} = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{r_n\}$ and $\underline{r} = \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \{r_n\}$. Moreover, using (3.25) and the Stirling's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I_n)}^2 \right\} &\leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \left(\frac{k_n}{2}\right)^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} + 2} \frac{\Gamma(r_n - s + 1)}{\Gamma(r_n + s - 1)} \frac{1}{(r_n - 2)^3} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \right\} \\
&\leq C \frac{k^4}{\underline{r}^3} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.89}$$

Combining (3.88) and (3.89), we get

$$\|u - U\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \leq 2\|\eta\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 + 2\|\xi\|_{L^\infty(I)}^2 \leq C \frac{k^4 \log(\bar{r})}{\underline{r}^3} \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \left\{ \frac{k_n^{2 \min\{r_n, s\} - 2}}{r_n^{2s-2}} \|u\|_{W^{s+1, \infty}(I_n)}^2 \right\}.$$

This proves (3.81). Furthermore, using the quasi-uniformity of the time partition and the assumption $r_n \equiv r$, we immediately get (3.82) from (3.81). \square

4. APPLICATION TO NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS

In this section, we apply the method presented in Section 2 to nonlinear wave equations. More precisely, we shall use the hp -version C^1 -CPG time stepping method to handle the time integration of the second-order nonlinear differential system arising after space discretization obtained with the usual spectral Galerkin or conforming finite element Galerkin method.

4.1. Model problem. Let $I = (0, T)$ be a finite time interval and $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d = 1, 2, 3$) be an open and bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the nonlinear wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_{tt}u - \nabla \cdot (b\nabla u) = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times I, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) = u_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $u_1 \in L^2(\Omega)$ are prescribed initial conditions, $f(u) = f(\mathbf{x}, t, u)$ is a given function that depending on the unknown function $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ with $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, we assume that b is a piecewise smooth function and there exist two positive constants b_* and b^* such that

$$0 < b_* \leq b(\mathbf{x}) \leq b^* < \infty, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

The weak formulation of the problem (4.1) is to find $u \in L^2(I; H_0^1(\Omega))$ with $\partial_t u \in L^2(I; L^2(\Omega))$ and $\partial_{tt}u \in L^2(I; H^{-1}(\Omega))$, such that $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$, $\partial_t u(\cdot, 0) = u_1$, and

$$\langle \partial_{tt}u, \varphi \rangle + (b\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) = (f(u), \varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \quad \text{a.e. in } I, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$, (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product in $L^2(\Omega)$, and $L^2(I; V)$ denotes the Bochner space of V -valued functions with $V = H_0^1(\Omega), L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^{-1}(\Omega)$, respectively.

4.2. Galerkin semi-discretization in space. We shall use the usual spectral Galerkin or conforming finite element Galerkin method to discrete the problem (4.1) in space. For details about the theory of the spectral Galerkin and finite element methods, we refer to [26, 5, 10], respectively.

Let $V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$ be an approximation space used for the spectral Galerkin or conforming finite element Galerkin method. We consider the semi-discretized Galerkin approximation of (4.1): find $u_h : V_h \times \bar{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(\partial_{tt}u_h, \varphi_h) + (b\nabla u_h, \nabla \varphi_h) = (f(u_h), \varphi_h), \quad \forall \varphi_h \in V_h, \quad t \in I, \quad (4.3)$$

$u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_{0,h}$ and $\partial_t u_h(\cdot, 0) = u_{1,h}$, where $u_{0,h} \in V_h$ and $u_{1,h} \in V_h$ are suitable approximations (such as the Lagrange interpolation or L^2 -projection) of the initial conditions u_0 and u_1 .

Suppose that $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^M$ is a basis of the finite dimensional subspace V_h . We can expand the semi-discrete solution u_h as

$$u_h = \sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i(t) \varphi_i(\mathbf{x}).$$

Inserting the above expansion into (4.3) leads to the following nonlinear second-order ODE system: find $\alpha_i(t)$, $1 \leq i \leq M$, such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i''(t) (\varphi_i, \varphi_j) + \sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i(t) (b\nabla \varphi_i, \nabla \varphi_j) = \left(f \left(\sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i(t) \varphi_i \right), \varphi_j \right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M, \quad (4.4)$$

$\alpha_i(0) = \hat{u}_{0,i}$ and $\alpha'_i(0) = \hat{u}_{1,i}$, where $\hat{u}_{0,i}$ and $\hat{u}_{1,i}$ are expansion coefficients of the given initial approximations $u_{0,h} = \sum_{i=1}^M \hat{u}_{0,i} \varphi_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $u_{1,h} = \sum_{i=1}^M \hat{u}_{1,i} \varphi_i(\mathbf{x})$.

For convenience, we set $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \alpha_2(t), \dots, \alpha_M(t))^T$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_0 = (\hat{u}_{0,1}, \hat{u}_{0,2}, \dots, \hat{u}_{0,M})^T$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 = (\hat{u}_{1,1}, \hat{u}_{1,2}, \dots, \hat{u}_{1,M})^T$. Then, the nonlinear system (4.4) can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{B}\boldsymbol{\alpha}''(t) + \mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) = \mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)), & t \in I, \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathcal{B} = (b_{ij})_{i,j=1}^M$ is the mass matrix with entries $b_{ij} = (\varphi_i, \varphi_j)$, $\mathcal{D} = (d_{ij})_{i,j=1}^M$ is the stiffness matrix with entries $d_{ij} = (b\nabla\varphi_i, \nabla\varphi_j)$, and $\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)) = (f_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)))_{j=1}^M$ is a vector function with $f_j(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)) = (f(\sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i(t)\varphi_i), \varphi_j)$.

Since the mass matrix \mathcal{B} is positive definite and invertible, we can further rewrite the system (4.5) as the following nonlinear second-order ODE system

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\alpha}''(t) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(t, \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)), & t \in I, \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}(0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(t, \boldsymbol{\alpha}(t)) := -\mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{D}\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t) + \mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{F}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}(t))$.

4.3. Fully discrete scheme. To discretize (4.6) in time, we employ the hp -version of the C^1 -CPG time stepping method as introduced in Section 2.

Given an arbitrary partition of $[0, T]$ with subintervals $\{I_n = (t_{n-1}, t_n)\}_{n=1}^N$. We denote by $P_{r_n}(I_n, \mathbb{R}^M)$ the set of all polynomials of degree at most r_n on I_n with coefficients in \mathbb{R}^M .

The hp -version of the C^1 -CPG time stepping method for (4.6) can be read as: if $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}$ is given on the time intervals I_m , $1 \leq m \leq n-1$, we can find $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}|_{I_n} \in P_{r_n}(I_n, \mathbb{R}^M)$ with $r_n \geq 2$ on the next time step I_n by solving

$$\begin{cases} \int_{I_n} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}'' , \varphi) dt = \int_{I_n} (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(t, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}), \varphi) dt, & \forall \varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n, \mathbb{R}^M), \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}|_{I_n}(t_{n-1}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1}), \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{hp}|_{I_n}(t_{n-1}) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{hp}|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1}) \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Here, we denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the standard Euclidean inner product in \mathbb{R}^M , and we set $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}|_{I_1}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_{hp}|_{I_1}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1$.

Suppose that we have obtained $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp}$ from (4.7). Then, the fully discrete Galerkin approximation (denoted by $u_{h\tau}$) for (4.1) can be expressed as

$$u_{h\tau} = \boldsymbol{\phi}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{hp},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\phi} := (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_M)$ is the basis of the space V_h .

5. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we present some numerical results to highlight the performance of the hp -version C^1 -CPG method. Throughout this section, we use uniform time partition (with uniform step-size k) associated with uniform approximation degree r for the h - and p -versions of the C^1 -CPG methods. Moreover, we employ the simple fixed point iteration method to solve the nonlinear system of the form (2.7) very accurately.

5.1. **Example 1: a nonlinear scalar problem.** We consider the nonlinear second-order IVP:

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) = \sin(u(t)) - 2 \cos(u'(t)) + g(t), & t \in [0, 1], \\ u(0) = 0, \quad u'(0) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

where $g(t)$ is chosen such that the exact solution $u = \sin t$. Clearly, u is analytic in $[0, 1]$.




FIGURE 5.1. Example 1: H^1 -errors of the h -version.




FIGURE 5.2. Example 1: H^1 -errors of the p -version.

We first consider the performance of the h - and p -versions of the C^1 -CPG method for problem (5.1), respectively. We use uniform time partitions with step-size k and uniform approximation degrees r . In Figure 5.1, we plot the H^1 -errors against the total number of degrees of freedom (DOF) in a log-log scale for different r . We observe that the convergence is algebraic and in accordance with the theoretical result, i.e., of order r for the H^1 -errors. Figure 5.2 displays the H^1 -errors of the p -version (on fixed time partitions with 1, 2, 4, 8 uniform time steps). It can be seen that exponential convergence is achieved for each partition as r increases. In particular, we note that the global H^1 -error of 10^{-15} can be achieved with less than 12 DOF for the p -version, while this is not possible for the h -version as shown in Figure 5.1. Therefore, for smooth solutions it is more advantageous to use the p -version (i.e., increase r) rather than to use the h -version (i.e., reduce k at fixed low r).

In Table 5.1, we also list the numerical errors (in different norms) and convergence orders of the h -version C^1 -CPG method. The results indicate the convergence orders

$$\|e\|_{H^1(I)} = O(k^r), \quad \|e\|_{H^2(I)} = O(k^{r-1}), \quad r \geq 2,$$

and



$$\|e\|_{L^2(I)} = \begin{cases} O(k^r) & \text{if } r = 2, \\ O(k^{r+1}) & \text{if } r \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad \|e\|_{L^\infty(I)} = \begin{cases} O(k^r) & \text{if } r = 2, \\ O(k^{r+1}) & \text{if } r \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

which confirm the theoretical results well for $r \geq 3$. We note that, for $r = 2$ the convergence orders of the L^2 - and L^∞ -errors are only $O(k^2)$. Hence, it seems that $r = 2$ is not a good choice for the h -version if we are interested in the L^2 - and L^∞ -errors.

We next consider the performance of the h - and p -versions of the C^1 -CPG method at the nodal points, respectively. We denote by $\max. e(t_n)$ and $\max. e'(t_n)$ the maximum function value and derivative approximation absolute errors at nodal points $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^N$ of the time partition. Figures 5.3 and 5.4 show that both the h -version and p -version exhibit superconvergence at the nodal points,

TABLE 5.1. Example 1: numerical errors and convergence orders of the h -version.

| r | k | $\ e\ _{L^2(I)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{H^1(I)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{H^2(I)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{L^\infty(I)}$ | order | $\ e'\ _{L^\infty(I)}$ | order |
|-----|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| 2 | 1/64 | 2.41e-05 | 2.00 | 6.14e-05 | 2.00 | 3.85e-03 | 1.00 | 5.10e-05 | 2.00 | 1.02e-04 | 1.99 |
| | 1/128 | 6.02e-06 | 2.00 | 1.53e-05 | 2.00 | 1.92e-03 | 1.00 | 1.28e-05 | 2.00 | 2.56e-05 | 1.99 |
| | 1/256 | 1.50e-06 | 2.00 | 3.83e-06 | 2.00 | 9.62e-04 | 1.00 | 3.19e-06 | 2.00 | 6.42e-06 | 2.00 |
| 3 | 1/32 | 1.63e-09 | 4.00 | 9.16e-08 | 3.00 | 1.90e-05 | 2.00 | 4.20e-09 | 3.96 | 2.09e-07 | 3.02 |
| | 1/64 | 1.02e-10 | 4.00 | 1.15e-08 | 3.00 | 4.75e-06 | 2.00 | 2.66e-10 | 3.98 | 2.59e-08 | 3.01 |
| | 1/128 | 6.37e-12 | 4.00 | 1.43e-09 | 3.00 | 1.19e-06 | 2.00 | 1.67e-11 | 3.99 | 3.22e-09 | 3.01 |
| 4 | 1/16 | 4.08e-11 | 5.01 | 4.32e-09 | 4.00 | 6.56e-07 | 3.00 | 7.14e-11 | 5.03 | 7.94e-09 | 4.00 |
| | 1/32 | 1.27e-12 | 5.00 | 2.70e-10 | 4.00 | 8.20e-08 | 3.00 | 2.21e-12 | 5.01 | 4.97e-10 | 4.00 |
| | 1/64 | 3.98e-14 | 5.00 | 1.69e-11 | 4.00 | 1.02e-08 | 3.00 | 6.88e-14 | 5.01 | 3.10e-11 | 4.00 |
| 5 | 1/8 | 3.41e-12 | 6.00 | 2.54e-10 | 5.00 | 2.53e-08 | 4.00 | 9.50e-12 | 5.91 | 5.78e-10 | 4.93 |
| | 1/16 | 5.34e-14 | 6.00 | 7.96e-12 | 5.00 | 1.58e-09 | 4.00 | 1.52e-13 | 5.96 | 1.85e-11 | 4.97 |
| | 1/32 | 9.28e-16 | 5.85 | 2.49e-13 | 5.00 | 9.88e-11 | 4.00 | 2.33e-15 | 6.03 | 5.85e-13 | 4.98 |

FIGURE 5.3. Example 1: maximum function and derivative approximation errors at nodes versus H^1 -errors of the h -version.FIGURE 5.4. Example 1: maximum function and derivative approximation errors at nodes versus H^1 -errors of the p -version.

where the slopes of the curves of nodal errors $\max.e(t_n)$ and $\max.e'(t_n)$ are approximately twice as steep as those of the H^1 -errors.

Finally, we make a simple comparison between the hp -version C^1 -CPG method and the hp -version C^0 -CPG method developed in [31] for second-order IVPs. In [31], the trial spaces of the C^0 -CPG method consist of globally C^0 -continuous and piecewise polynomials while the test spaces consist of discontinuous and piecewise polynomials. In Figure 5.5 we plot the H^1 -errors of the h -version C^1 -CPG and C^0 -CPG methods, while in Figure 5.6 we plot the H^1 -errors for the p -version C^1 -CPG and C^0 -CPG methods. Clearly, both the C^1 -CPG and the C^0 -CPG methods exhibit the same convergence rates, i.e., their error curves have almost the same slopes. However, it can be seen that the C^1 -CPG method is more accurate than the C^0 -CPG method if the same number of DOF was used.




FIGURE 5.5. Example 1: C^1 -CPG method versus C^0 -CPG method, H^1 -errors of the h -version.




FIGURE 5.6. Example 1: C^1 -CPG method versus C^0 -CPG method, H^1 -errors of the p -version.

TABLE 5.2. Example 1: C^1 -CPG method versus C^0 -CPG method: superconvergence of the h -version at nodal points.

| | | C^1 -CPG method | | | | C^0 -CPG method (cf. [31]) | | | |
|-----|------|-------------------|-------|----------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| r | k | max. $e(t_n)$ | order | max. $e'(t_n)$ | order | max. $e(t_n)$ | order | max. $e'(t_n)$ | order |
| 2 | 1/16 | 8.20e-04 | 2.01 | 1.38e-03 | 2.00 | 1.77e-05 | 3.03 | 3.38e-05 | 3.03 |
| | 1/32 | 2.05e-04 | 2.00 | 3.46e-04 | 2.00 | 2.19e-06 | 3.01 | 4.18e-06 | 3.01 |
| | 1/64 | 5.12e-05 | 2.00 | 8.64e-05 | 2.00 | 2.73e-07 | 3.01 | 5.20e-07 | 3.00 |
| 3 | 1/8 | 5.72e-07 | 4.04 | 1.15e-06 | 4.03 | 1.90e-08 | 5.09 | 3.19e-08 | 5.10 |
| | 1/16 | 3.55e-08 | 4.01 | 7.18e-08 | 4.01 | 5.77e-10 | 5.04 | 9.66e-10 | 5.04 |
| | 1/32 | 2.22e-09 | 4.00 | 4.48e-09 | 4.00 | 1.78e-11 | 5.02 | 2.98e-11 | 5.02 |
| 4 | 1/4 | 2.79e-08 | 5.98 | 4.89e-08 | 5.98 | 8.85e-10 | 7.04 | 1.68e-09 | 7.04 |
| | 1/8 | 4.37e-10 | 6.00 | 7.65e-10 | 6.00 | 6.84e-12 | 7.02 | 1.30e-11 | 7.01 |
| | 1/16 | 6.84e-12 | 6.00 | 1.20e-11 | 6.00 | 5.24e-14 | 7.03 | 1.00e-13 | 7.02 |
| 5 | 1/2 | 1.28e-09 | 8.36 | 2.54e-09 | 8.31 | 6.80e-11 | 9.41 | 1.09e-10 | 9.47 |
| | 1/4 | 4.71e-12 | 8.09 | 9.44e-12 | 8.07 | 1.29e-13 | 9.04 | 2.05e-13 | 9.05 |
| | 1/8 | 1.79e-14 | 8.04 | 3.67e-14 | 8.00 | 2.22e-16 | 9.18 | 3.33e-16 | 9.27 |

In Table 5.2, we list the maximum nodal errors $\max.e(t_n)$ and $\max.e'(t_n)$ of the h -version C^1 -CPG and C^0 -CPG methods. Clearly, the results show that the C^1 -CPG method exhibits the superconvergence of the order $O(k^{2r-2})$ while the C^0 -CPG method exhibits the superconvergence of the order $O(k^{2r-1})$ at the nodes. It seems that the C^0 -CPG method can achieve one order higher superconvergence rate than the C^1 -CPG method if we use the same approximation degree r . However, it is worth noting that the C^1 -CPG method has $r - 1$ DOF while the C^0 -CPG method has r DOF (at each subinterval) if the same approximation degree r was used. Hence, from another point of view, if we use $r + 1$ th degree C^1 -CPG method (with the same number of DOF as the r th degree C^0 -CPG method), we can obtain $O(k^{2r})$ nodal superconvergence rate (suppose that u is smooth enough), which is one order higher than the r th degree C^0 -CPG method.

We point out that for both the C^1 -CPG and the C^0 -CPG methods, the test spaces are based on piecewise polynomials that are discontinuous at the time nodes, and thus the discrete Galerkin formulations can be decoupled into local problems on each time step. In practice, both schemes are transformed into local algebraic system of the form (2.7) on each time step. In this way, the computational difficulty in implementing these schemes and the computational complexity/cost are basically at the same level. However, if we employ the C^1 -CPG and C^0 -CPG methods for time discretization of second-order evolutionary equations such as wave equations, a more thorough comparison is needed, which includes possible preconditioned iterative methods and parallelization techniques.

5.2. Example 2: a nonlinear Hamiltonian system. We consider the two-body problem [32]:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 q_1(t) = -\frac{q_1(t)}{(q_1^2(t) + q_2^2(t))^{3/2}}, & t \in [0, T], \\ \partial_t^2 q_2(t) = -\frac{q_2(t)}{(q_1^2(t) + q_2^2(t))^{3/2}}, & t \in [0, T], \\ q_1(0) = 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \partial_t q_1 = 0, \\ q_2(0) = 0, \quad \partial_t q_2(0) = \sqrt{\frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon}}, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ is the eccentricity of elliptical orbit. It is well-known that the Hamiltonian function of the system is defined as

$$H(t) := \frac{1}{2} (p_1^2(t) + p_2^2(t)) - \frac{1}{(q_1^2(t) + q_2^2(t))^{1/2}},$$

where $p_1(t) = q_1'(t)$ and $p_2(t) = q_2'(t)$.




FIGURE 5.7. Example 2: energy errors of the h -version at $T = 10$.




FIGURE 5.8. Example 2: energy errors of the p -version at $T = 10$.

To describe the numerical errors, we denote by $Q_1(t)$ and $Q_2(t)$ the C^1 -CPG approximations to $q_1(t)$ and $q_2(t)$, respectively. We further denote by $H^N(t)$ the numerical energy of the Hamiltonian, and by $E^N(t)$ the energy error at t , i.e.,

$$E^N(t) = |H^N(t) - H(0)|.$$

Here, $H(0)$ is the initial energy of the Hamiltonian.

We now consider the performance of the h - and p -versions of the C^1 -CPG method for problem (5.2) with $\varepsilon = 0.2$ and $T = 10$. Figure 5.7 shows that the h -version exhibits algebraic convergence rates while Figure 5.8 shows that the p -version exhibits exponential convergence rates.




FIGURE 5.9. Example 2: energy errors of the C^1 -CPG method for $t \in [0, 10^5]$.




FIGURE 5.10. Example 2: numerical orbit $(Q_1(t), Q_2(t))$ for $t \in [0, 10^5]$.

In Figure 5.9, we plot the point-wise energy errors $E^N(t)$ of the C^1 -CPG method (with different step-sizes k and approximation degrees r) for problem (5.2) with $t \in [0, 10^5]$. Clearly, the C^1 -CPG method is stable and accurate for long-time computation. In Figure 5.10, we plot the numerical orbit $(Q_1(t), Q_2(t))$ for $t \in [0, 10^5]$. Clearly,

5.3. Example 3: a linear wave equation. We consider the two-dimensional linear wave problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times I, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) = u_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

where $\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and $I = (0, T)$ with $T = 1$. Let u_0, u_1 and $f = f(x, y, t)$ be chosen such that the exact solution is given by $u = x(1-x)y(1-y)\cos(t)$.

In this example, we use the quadratic finite element method (with uniform partition of Ω which consists of 100 square elements) for spatial discretization. For each fixed time t , it can be seen that the exact solution u is an element of the finite element space V_h , which implies that there is no spatial error. Therefore, we can concentrate only on the time discretization error and exclude interactions with the spatial error in this example.

After spatial discretization, we further use the C^1 -CPG time stepping method for time discretization of the problem (5.3). We employ uniform time partitions with step-size k and uniform approximation degrees r . Let $u_{h\tau}$ be the fully discrete Galerkin approximations as defined in Section 4.3. For simplicity, we denote the error function by $e = u - u_{h\tau}$. The errors in different norms are defined as follows:

$$\|e\|_{L^2(L^2)} := \left(\int_I \|e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|e\|_{H^1(L^2)} := \left(\int_I (\|e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\|e\|_{H^1(L^2)} := \left(\int_I \left(\|e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_t e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\partial_{tt} e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|e\|_{L^\infty(L^2)} := \max_{t \in I} \|e\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$




FIGURE 5.11. Example 3:
 $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the h -version.




FIGURE 5.12. Example 3:
 $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the p -version.

TABLE 5.3. Example 3: numerical errors and convergence orders of the h -version.

| r | k | $\ e\ _{L^2(L^2)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{H^1(L^2)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{H^2(L^2)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{L^\infty(L^2)}$ | order |
|-----|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| 2 | 1/64 | 4.39e-08 | 2.00 | 1.98e-07 | 2.00 | 7.85e-05 | 1.00 | 6.46e-08 | 2.01 |
| | 1/128 | 1.10e-08 | 2.00 | 4.95e-08 | 2.00 | 3.93e-05 | 1.00 | 1.61e-08 | 2.01 |
| | 1/256 | 2.75e-09 | 2.00 | 1.24e-08 | 2.00 | 1.96e-05 | 1.00 | 4.01e-09 | 2.00 |
| 3 | 1/32 | 4.22e-11 | 4.00 | 4.99e-09 | 3.00 | 1.03e-06 | 2.00 | 8.27e-11 | 3.99 |
| | 1/64 | 2.64e-12 | 4.00 | 6.24e-10 | 3.00 | 2.59e-07 | 2.00 | 5.17e-12 | 4.00 |
| | 1/128 | 1.64e-13 | 4.00 | 7.80e-11 | 3.00 | 6.47e-08 | 2.00 | 3.23e-13 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 1/8 | 2.67e-11 | 5.02 | 1.41e-09 | 4.01 | 1.07e-07 | 3.00 | 6.18e-11 | 4.99 |
| | 1/16 | 8.32e-13 | 5.00 | 8.82e-11 | 4.00 | 1.34e-08 | 3.00 | 1.95e-12 | 4.99 |
| | 1/32 | 2.60e-14 | 5.00 | 5.51e-12 | 4.00 | 1.67e-09 | 3.00 | 6.09e-14 | 5.00 |
| 5 | 1/4 | 1.21e-11 | 6.08 | 4.47e-10 | 5.04 | 2.21e-08 | 4.01 | 2.57e-11 | 6.05 |
| | 1/8 | 1.87e-13 | 6.02 | 1.39e-11 | 5.01 | 1.38e-09 | 4.00 | 3.96e-13 | 6.02 |
| | 1/16 | 2.93e-15 | 6.00 | 4.34e-13 | 5.00 | 8.61e-11 | 4.00 | 6.17e-15 | 6.01 |

In Figure 5.11, we plot the $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the h -version C^1 -CPG method against the total number of DOF in time direction for different r . The error curves in log-log scale imply that the convergence order in time is algebraic for the h -version. Moreover, we list the numerical errors (in different norms) and convergence orders of the h -version method in Table 5.3. It can be seen that the convergence orders (in time) are similar as those reported in Example 1 for the scalar ODE. In Figure 5.12, we plot the $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the p -version C^1 -CPG method (on fixed time partitions with 1, 2, 4, 8 uniform time steps) in a semi-log scale. Clearly, the exponential convergence is achieved for each time partition as r increases.

5.4. **Example 4: a nonlinear wave equation.** We consider the two-dimensional sine-Gordon equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u + \sin u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times I, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times I, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t u(\cdot, 0) = u_1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

where $\Omega = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$ and $I = (0, T)$ with $T = 2$. Let u_0, u_1 and $f = f(x, y, t)$ be chosen such that the exact solution is given by $u = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) \cos(2\pi t)$.

In this example, we first use the Spectral-Galerkin method for spatial discretization. We choose the Lobatto polynomials of degree 20 in both x and y directions as basis function of the spectral Galerkin approximation such that the fully discrete error is dominated by the time discretization error. We further use the C^1 -CPG time stepping method (with uniform step-size k and approximation degrees r) for time discretization of the problem (5.4).




FIGURE 5.13. Example 4: $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the h -version.




FIGURE 5.14. Example 4: $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the p -version.

TABLE 5.4. Example 4: numerical errors and convergence orders of the h -version.

| r | k | $\ e\ _{L^2(L^2)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{H^1(L^2)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{H^2(L^2)}$ | order | $\ e\ _{L^\infty(L^2)}$ | order |
|-----|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| 2 | 1/128 | 6.11e-04 | 2.00 | 3.53e-03 | 2.00 | 5.60e-01 | 1.00 | 8.12e-04 | 2.00 |
| | 1/256 | 1.53e-04 | 2.00 | 8.83e-04 | 2.00 | 2.80e-01 | 1.00 | 2.03e-04 | 2.00 |
| | 1/512 | 3.82e-05 | 2.00 | 2.21e-04 | 2.00 | 1.40e-01 | 1.00 | 5.08e-05 | 2.00 |
| 3 | 1/64 | 3.23e-07 | 4.00 | 3.42e-05 | 3.00 | 1.42e-02 | 2.00 | 5.11e-07 | 3.99 |
| | 1/128 | 2.02e-08 | 4.00 | 4.27e-06 | 3.00 | 3.55e-03 | 2.00 | 3.20e-08 | 4.00 |
| | 1/256 | 1.26e-09 | 4.00 | 5.34e-07 | 3.00 | 8.86e-04 | 2.00 | 2.00e-09 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 1/32 | 1.44e-08 | 5.02 | 3.10e-06 | 4.00 | 9.41e-04 | 3.00 | 2.20e-08 | 5.03 |
| | 1/64 | 4.48e-10 | 5.00 | 1.94e-07 | 4.00 | 1.18e-04 | 3.00 | 6.79e-10 | 5.02 |
| | 1/128 | 1.40e-11 | 5.00 | 1.21e-08 | 4.00 | 1.47e-05 | 3.00 | 2.11e-11 | 5.01 |
| 5 | 1/16 | 6.75e-11 | 6.00 | 2.07e-08 | 5.00 | 8.23e-06 | 4.00 | 1.77e-10 | 5.98 |
| | 1/32 | 1.05e-12 | 6.00 | 6.48e-10 | 5.00 | 5.14e-07 | 4.00 | 2.77e-12 | 6.00 |
| | 1/64 | 1.79e-14 | 5.88 | 2.02e-11 | 5.00 | 3.22e-08 | 4.00 | 4.34e-14 | 6.00 |

In Figures 5.13 and 5.14, we plot the $H^1(L^2)$ -errors of the h - and p -versions of the C^1 -CPG method, respectively. It can be seen that the convergence (with respect to the total number of DOF in time direction) of the h -version is algebraic and the convergence of the p -version is exponential, which are similar as those reported in Example 3 for the linear wave equation (5.3).

TABLE 5.5. Example 4: superconvergence of the h -version at nodal points.

| r | k | max. $e(t_n)$ | order | max. $e'(t_n)$ | order |
|-----|-------|---------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| 2 | 1/32 | 2.34e-03 | 2.00 | 7.50e-03 | 2.00 |
| | 1/64 | 5.85e-04 | 2.00 | 1.88e-03 | 2.00 |
| | 1/128 | 1.46e-04 | 2.00 | 4.69e-04 | 2.00 |
| 3 | 1/16 | 3.12e-06 | 3.98 | 1.34e-05 | 3.98 |
| | 1/32 | 1.95e-07 | 4.00 | 8.36e-07 | 4.00 |
| | 1/64 | 1.22e-08 | 4.00 | 5.23e-08 | 4.00 |
| 4 | 1/8 | 5.46e-08 | 6.00 | 1.77e-07 | 6.00 |
| | 1/16 | 8.61e-10 | 5.99 | 2.76e-09 | 6.00 |
| | 1/32 | 1.34e-11 | 6.00 | 4.32e-11 | 6.00 |
| 5 | 1/4 | 4.34e-09 | 7.70 | 1.84e-08 | 7.91 |
| | 1/8 | 1.73e-11 | 7.97 | 7.35e-11 | 7.97 |
| | 1/16 | 6.56e-14 | 8.04 | 3.41e-13 | 7.75 |

In Table 5.4, we list the numerical errors (in different norms) and convergence orders of the h -version C^1 -CPG method. It can be seen that the convergence orders (in time) are similar as those reported in Example 1 for the scalar ODE. In Table 5.5, we also present the maximum nodal errors $\max. e(t_n) := \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|e(t_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and $\max. e'(t_n) := \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \|e'(t_n)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ of the h -version C^1 -CPG method. Clearly, the results show that the C^1 -CPG method exhibits the superconvergence order $O(k^{2r-2})$ (with respect to the time step-size k) at the nodal points.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we have introduced and analyzed an hp -version C^1 -CPG method for a general nonlinear second-order IVP of ODE. We have derived several a-priori error estimates that are fully explicit with regard to the local discretization and local regularity parameters. Moreover, we have shown that the hp -version C^1 -CPG method superconverges at the nodal points of the time partition with respect to the time steps and approximation degrees. As an application, we have also applied the hp -version C^1 -CPG method to the time discretization of nonlinear second-order wave equations. Error analysis of the space-time fully discrete Galerkin method (based on the hp -version CG method for spatial discretization and the hp -version C^1 -CPG method for time discretization) for wave equations will make the subject of our future research.

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF SOME LEMMAS

A.1. Proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. In view of Remark 2.1, it suffices to prove that, for given initial values $U|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1})$ and $U'|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1})$, the problem (2.4) has a unique solution on the subinterval I_n .

To this end, we define that, for any $\tilde{U} \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$, the polynomial $U := G\tilde{U} \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$ as the solution of the following variational problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_{I_n} U'' \varphi dt = \int_{I_n} f(t, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}') \varphi(t) dt, \\ U|_{I_n}(t_{n-1}) = U|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1}), \quad U'|_{I_n}(t_{n-1}) = U'|_{I_{n-1}}(t_{n-1}) \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n)$. Clearly, (A.1) is a linear system of $r_n + 1$ equations which is uniquely solvable. Hence, $G\tilde{U}$ is well-defined.

We will prove that, the operator G is a contraction on $P_{r_n}(I_n)$ provided that k_n is sufficiently small. Then, by Banach's fixed point theorem, there exists a unique fixed point $U^* \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$ with $U^* = GU^*$, namely, U^* is the unique solution of (2.4).

It remains to prove the contraction property of the operator G , we let $\tilde{V}, \tilde{W} \in P_{r_n}(I_n)$ and set $V = G\tilde{V}$, $W = G\tilde{W}$. By the definition of G and (1.2), there holds

$$\int_{I_n} (V - W)'' \varphi dt = \int_{I_n} \left(f(t, \tilde{V}, \tilde{V}') - f(t, \tilde{W}, \tilde{W}') \right) \varphi(t) dt \leq L \int_{I_n} \left(|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}| + |\tilde{V}' - \tilde{W}'| \right) \varphi dt \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for all $\varphi \in P_{r_n-2}(I_n)$. Selecting $\varphi = (V - W)''$ in (A.2) and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, gives

$$\|V'' - W''\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 \leq \sqrt{2}L \left\{ \int_{I_n} \left(|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}|^2 + |\tilde{V}' - \tilde{W}'|^2 \right) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|V'' - W''\|_{L^2(I_n)},$$

which implies

$$\|V'' - W''\|_{L^2(I_n)} \leq \sqrt{2}L \|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}\|_{H^1(I_n)}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Since $(V - W)(t_{n-1}) = (V - W)'(t_{n-1}) = 0$, there holds

$$(V - W)(t) = \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} (V - W)''(s) ds \right) d\eta. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} (V - W)''(s) ds \right) d\eta &\leq \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} |V'' - W''|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\eta \\ &= \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (\eta - t_{n-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} |V'' - W''|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\eta \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t (\eta - t_{n-1}) d\eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} |V'' - W''|^2 ds \right) d\eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}(t - t_{n-1}) \left\{ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\eta} |V'' - W''|^2 ds \right) d\eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by (A.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|V - W\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 &= \int_{I_n} \left\{ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^\eta (V - W)''(s) ds \right) d\eta \right\}^2 dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_n} (t - t_{n-1})^2 \left\{ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t \left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^\eta |V'' - W''|^2 ds \right) d\eta \right\} dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{I_n} (t - t_{n-1})^2 \left\{ \int_{t_{n-1}}^t d\eta \int_{I_n} |V'' - W''|^2 ds \right\} dt \\
&= \frac{k_n^4}{8} \|V'' - W''\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

It is well known that, for any function $v \in H^1(a, b)$ and satisfies $v(a) = 0$, there holds the Poincaré-Friedrichs inequality [4]

$$\|v\|_{L^2(a, b)} \leq (b - a) \|v'\|_{L^2(a, b)}. \tag{A.6}$$

Noting the fact $(V - W)'(t_{n-1}) = 0$ and using (A.6), we obtain

$$\|V' - W'\|_{L^2(I_n)} \leq k_n \|V'' - W''\|_{L^2(I_n)}. \tag{A.7}$$

Inserting (A.3) into (A.5) and (A.7), we get

$$\|V - W\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 \leq \frac{L^2 k_n^4}{4} \|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 \tag{A.8}$$

and

$$\|V' - W'\|_{L^2(I_n)}^2 \leq 2L^2 k_n^2 \|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2. \tag{A.9}$$

Moreover, combining (A.8) and (A.9), yields

$$\|V - W\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2 \leq \frac{L^2 k_n^2}{4} (8 + k_n^2) \|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}\|_{H^1(I_n)}^2,$$

which implies that

$$\|G\tilde{V} - G\tilde{W}\|_{H^1(I_n)} \leq \frac{Lk_n}{2} \sqrt{8 + k_n^2} \|\tilde{V} - \tilde{W}\|_{H^1(I_n)}.$$

Therefore, the operator G is a contraction $P_r(I_n)$ if the condition (2.5) is satisfied. This completes the proof. \square

A.2. Proof of Lemma 3.3.

Proof. For $r = 2$ and 3, the existence and uniqueness of $\Pi_\Lambda^r u$ can be easily verified by setting

$$\Pi_\Lambda^r u = u(-1) - \frac{(x+1)(x-3)}{4} u'(-1) + \frac{(x+1)^2}{4} u'(1)$$

and $\Pi_\Lambda^r u = H_3 u(x)$, respectively. Here, $H_3 u$ is the cubic Hermite interpolation of u defined by (3.10).

Selecting $\varphi = 1$ in (3.11) and using the third condition of (3.11), yields $u'(1) = (\Pi_\Lambda^r u)'(1)$. If $r \geq 3$, selecting $\varphi = x$ in (3.11) and performing an integration by parts, then using the second condition of (3.11), gives $u(1) = \Pi_\Lambda^r u(1)$. Therefore, we have $\Pi_\Lambda^r u(\pm 1) = u(\pm 1)$ and $(\Pi_\Lambda^r u)'(\pm 1) = u'(\pm 1)$ for $r \geq 3$.

We next show the uniqueness of $\Pi_\Lambda^r u$ for $r > 3$. Let u_1 and u_2 be two polynomials in $P_r(\Lambda)$ that satisfy (3.11). Then, the difference $u_1 - u_2$ can be expanded by the Legendre series

$$u_1 - u_2 = \sum_{i=0}^r c_i L_i(x), \tag{A.10}$$

where $c_i = \frac{2i+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 (u_1 - u_2) L_i dx$. By (3.11), there holds

$$\int_{\Lambda} (u_1 - u_2)'' \varphi dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r-2}(\Lambda).$$

Then, by integration by parts and the fact $(u_1 - u_2)(\pm 1) = (u_1 - u_2)'(\pm 1) = 0$, we have

$$\int_{\Lambda} (u_1 - u_2) \varphi'' dt = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in P_{r-2}(\Lambda),$$

Using the orthogonality properties of the Legendre polynomials, we get $c_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq r-4$. Hence, the difference $u_1 - u_2$ is given by

$$u_1 - u_2 = c_{r-3} L_{r-3} + c_{r-2} L_{r-2} + c_{r-1} L_{r-1} + c_r L_r.$$

Moreover, using $L_i(\pm 1) = (\pm 1)^i$ and the fact $(u_1 - u_2)(\pm 1) = (u_1 - u_2)'(\pm 1) = 0$ again, we obtain $c_{r-3} = c_{r-2} = c_{r-1} = c_r = 0$. Hence, we have $u_1 - u_2 \equiv 0$, which proves the uniqueness of a polynomial satisfying the conditions in Definition 3.1 for $r > 3$. The existence follows immediately by setting

$$\Pi_{\Lambda}^r u(x) = H_3 u(x) + \sum_{i=4}^r b_i J_i^{-2,-2}(x), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

which is the truncation of the expansion of u given in (3.10). By the properties of the generalized Jacobi polynomial $J_i^{-2,-2}(x)$ listed in Lemma 3.2, it is easy to verify that the polynomial $\Pi_{\Lambda}^r u$ defined in (A.11) satisfies (3.11). This completes the proof. \square

A.3. proof of Lemma 3.4.

Proof. We first define the following weighted L^2 -norm by

$$\|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L_{\omega^{s-1,s-1}}^2(\Lambda)}^2 := \int_{-1}^1 |u^{(s+1)}|^2 (1-x^2)^{s-1} dx.$$

Clearly, for any integer $s \geq 1$, there holds

$$\|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L_{\omega^{s-1,s-1}}^2(\Lambda)}^2 \leq \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Moreover, by (3.4) and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L_{\omega^{s-1,s-1}}^2(\Lambda)}^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=s-1}^{\infty} a_i L_i^{(s-1)}(x) \right)^2 (1-x^2)^{s-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=s-1}^{\infty} a_i^2 \int_{-1}^1 (L_i^{(s-1)}(x))^2 (1-x^2)^{s-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=s-1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2i+1} \frac{(i+s-1)!}{(i-s+1)!} a_i^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where $a_i = \frac{2i+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 u'' L_i dx$. Then, by (3.10), (A.11), (3.5), (A.12), and (A.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \Pi_\Lambda^r u\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} b_i J_i^{-2,-2}(x) \right)^2 dx \leq \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} b_i J_i^{-2,-2}(x) \right)^2 (1-x^2)^{-2} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} b_i^2 \gamma_{i-4}^{2,2} = \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)(2i-3)} a_{i-2}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=r-1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{2i+1} \frac{(i+s-1)!}{(i-s+1)!} a_i^2 \right) \frac{1}{(i+2)(i+1)i(i-1)} \frac{(i-s+1)!}{(i+s-1)!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(r+1)r(r-1)(r-2)} \frac{(r-s)!}{(r+s-2)!} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any integer s , $1 \leq s \leq \min\{r, s_0\}$. This completes the proof of (3.12).

Similarly, by (3.10), (A.11), (3.8), (3.5), (A.12), and (A.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u - \Pi_\Lambda^r u)'\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} b_i \partial_x J_i^{-2,-2}(x) \right)^2 dx \leq \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} b_i \partial_x J_i^{-2,-2}(x) \right)^2 (1-x^2)^{-1} dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} -2(i-3) b_i J_{i-1}^{-1,-1}(x) \right)^2 (1-x^2)^{-1} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4(i-2)^2} a_{i-2}^2 \gamma_{i-3}^{1,1} = \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2i-3)(i-1)(i-2)} a_{i-2}^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=r-1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{2i+1} \frac{(i+s-1)!}{(i-s+1)!} a_i^2 \right) \frac{1}{(i+1)i} \frac{(i-s+1)!}{(i+s-1)!} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r(r-1)} \frac{(r-s)!}{(r+s-2)!} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any integer s , $1 \leq s \leq \min\{r, s_0\}$. This completes the proof of (3.13).

Finally, by (3.10), (A.11), (3.3), (3.8), (A.12), and (A.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u - \Pi_\Lambda^r u)''\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 &= \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} b_i \partial_x^2 J_i^{-2,-2}(x) \right)^2 dx = \int_{-1}^1 \left(\sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} a_{i-2} L_{i-2}(x) \right)^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=r-1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2i+1} a_i^2 = \sum_{i=r-1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{2i+1} \frac{(i+s-1)!}{(i-s+1)!} a_i^2 \right) \frac{(i-s+1)!}{(i+s-1)!} \\ &\leq \frac{(r-s)!}{(r+s-2)!} \|u^{(s+1)}\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any integer s , $1 \leq s \leq \min\{r, s_0\}$. This completes the proof of (3.14). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. ADAMS, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] P. F. ANTONIETTI, I. MAZZIERI, N. DAL SANTO, AND A. QUARTERONI, *A high-order discontinuous Galerkin approximation to ordinary differential equations with applications to elastodynamics*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 38 (2018), 1709-1734.
- [3] I. BABUŠKA AND M. SURI, *The p and h - p versions of the finite element method, basic principles and properties*, SIAM Rev., 36 (1994), 578-632.
- [4] D. BRAESS, *Finite Elements: Theory, Fast Solvers and Applications in Solid Mechanics*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

- [5] S. C. BRENNER AND L. R. SCOTT, *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*, Third edition, Texts in Applied Mathematics, 15. New York: Springer, 2008.
- [6] H. BRUNNER, *Collocation Methods for Volterra Integral and Related Functional Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [7] H. BRUNNER AND D. SCHÖTZAU, *hp-discontinuous Galerkin time-stepping for Volterra integrodifferential equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 44 (2006), 224-245.
- [8] J. C. BUTCHER, *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations*, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2008.
- [9] W. X. CAO, L. L. JIA, AND Z. M. ZHANG, *A C^1 Petrov-Galerkin method and Gauss collocation method for 1D general elliptic problems and superconvergence*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 26 (2021), 81-105.
- [10] P.G. CIARLET, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 4. Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co, 1978.
- [11] M. DELFOUR AND F. DUBEAU, *Discontinuous polynomial approximations in the theory of one-step, hybrid and multistep methods for nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Math. Comp., 47 (1986), 169-189.
- [12] M. DELFOUR, W. HAGER, AND F. TROCHU, *Discontinuous Galerkin methods for ordinary differential equations*, Math. Comp., 36 (1981), 455-473.
- [13] D. ESTEP AND D. FRENCH, *Global error control for the continuous Galerkin finite element method for ordinary differential equations*, RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér., 28 (1994), 815-852.
- [14] E. HAIRER, C. LUBICH, AND G. WANNER, *Geometric Numerical Integration: Structure-Preserving Algorithms*, Vol. 31, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [15] E. HAIRER, S. P. NØRSETT, AND G. WANNER, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equation I: Nonstiff Problems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [16] E. HAIRER AND G. WANNER, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equation II: Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [17] B. L. HULME, *One-step piecewise polynomial Galerkin methods for initial value problems*, Math. Comp., 26 (1972), 415-426.
- [18] B. L. HULME, *Discrete Galerkin and related one-step methods for ordinary differential equations*, Math. Comp., 26 (1972), 881-891.
- [19] A. ILYIN, A. LAPTEV, M. LOSS, AND S. ZELIK, *One-dimensional interpolation inequalities, Carlson-Landau inequalities, and magnetic Schrödinger operators*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2016 (2016), 1190-1222.
- [20] J. D. LAMBERT, *Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Systems: The Initial Value Problem*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1991.
- [21] K. MUSTAPHA AND D. SCHÖTZAU, *Well-posedness of hp-version discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractional diffusion wave equations*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 34 (2014), 1426-1446.
- [22] D. SCHÖTZAU AND C. SCHWAB, *An hp a priori error analysis of the DG time-stepping method for initial value problems*, Calcolo, 37 (2000), 207-232.
- [23] D. SCHÖTZAU AND C. SCHWAB, *Time discretization of parabolic problems by the hp-version of the discontinuous Galerkin finite element method*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 38 (2000), 837-875.
- [24] D. SCHÖTZAU AND T. P. WIHLER, *A posteriori error estimation for hp-version time-stepping methods for parabolic partial differential equations*, Numer. Math., 115 (2010), 475-509.
- [25] C. SCHWAB, *p- and hp- Finite Element Methods*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [26] J. SHEN, T. TANG, AND L. L. WANG, *Spectral Methods: Algorithms, Analysis and Applications*, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, Vol. 41, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [27] B. SZABÓ AND I. BABUŠKA, *Finite Element Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [28] T. WERDER, K. GERDES, D. SCHÖTZAU, AND C. SCHWAB, *hp-discontinuous Galerkin time stepping for parabolic problems*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 190 (2001), 6685-6708.
- [29] T. P. WIHLER, *An a priori error analysis of the hp-version of the continuous Galerkin FEM for nonlinear initial value problems*, J. Sci. Comput., 25 (2005), 523-549.
- [30] T. P. WIHLER, *A note on a norm-preserving continuous Galerkin time stepping scheme*, Calcolo, 54 (2017), 657-667.
- [31] Y. C. WEI AND L. J. YI, *An hp-version of the C^0 -continuous Petrov-Galerkin time stepping method for nonlinear second-order initial value problems*, Adv. Comput. Math., 46 (2020), Paper No. 56, 25 pp.
- [32] X. Y. WU, B. WANG, AND J. L. XIA, *Explicit symplectic multidimensional exponential fitting modified Runge-Kutta-Nyström methods*, BIT Numer. Math., 52 (2012), 773-795.

- [33] L. J. YI, *An L^∞ -error estimate for the h - p version continuous Petrov-Galerkin method for nonlinear initial value problems*, East Asian J. Appl. Math., 5 (2015), 301-311.
- [34] L. J. YI AND B. Q. GUO, *An h - p version of the continuous Petrov-Galerkin finite element method for Volterra integro-differential equations with smooth and nonsmooth kernels*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 53 (2015), 2677-2704.