

AdS_2 holography and ModMax

Hemant Rathi* and Dibakar Roychowdhury†

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee,
Roorkee 247667, Uttarakhand, India

Abstract

We present a JT gravity set up in the presence of projected ModMax corrections in two dimensions. Our starting point is the Einstein's gravity in four dimensions accompanied by the ModMax Lagrangian. The 2D gravity action is obtained following a suitable dimensional reduction which contains a 2D image of the 4D ModMax Lagrangian. We carry out a perturbative analysis to find out the vacuum structure of the theory which asymptotes to AdS_2 in the absence of $U(1)$ gauge fields. We calculate the holographic central charge and obtain the bound on the ModMax parameter by demanding the positivity of the central charge. We also find out ModMax corrected 2D black hole solutions and discuss their extremal limits.

1 Overview and motivation

The non-linear generalisations of Maxwell electrodynamics [1]-[2] in four dimensions play a pivotal role in understanding the dynamics of charged particles in the strong field regime. For example, the Born-Infeld (BI) theory [1] was proposed in order to obtain the finite self energy corrections for a charged particle in an electromagnetic field. On the other hand, the Heisenberg-Euler-Kockel (HEK) model [2] describes the vacuum polarization effects of Quantum Electrodynamics¹. However, both of these (non-linear) theories meet the standard Maxwell electrodynamics in the limit of “weak” field approximations.

Generally, the non-linear generalizations of Maxwell electrodynamics (NLE) is characterised by an action that contains a Lorentz scalar and a pseudo scalar those are quadratic in the field strength ($F^{\mu\nu}$) [3]-[4]

$$S = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}, \quad P = \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ is the Hodge dual of $F^{\mu\nu}$.

For instance, the BI electrodynamics is described by the following Lagrangian density [1]

$$\mathcal{L}_{BI} = T - \sqrt{T^2 + \frac{T}{2}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16}(F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2}, \quad (2)$$

*E-mail: hrathi07@gmail.com, hrathi@ph.iitr.ac.in

†E-mail: dibakarphys@gmail.com, dibakar.roychowdhury@ph.iitr.ac.in

¹See a recent review [3] for different versions of the non-linear modified theories of Maxwell electrodynamics.

where T is the coupling parameter having the dimension of energy density. Clearly, in the weak field limit ($T \rightarrow \infty$), the Lagrangian density (2) reduces to the standard Maxwell electrodynamics.

Unlike the standard Maxwell electrodynamics, its non-linear modifications are generally not invariant under the $SO(2)$ duality transformations and in fact break the conformal symmetry in four dimensions. For instance, the HEK theory [2] is not invariant under the electromagnetic duality and does not have a conformal symmetry. However, the BI electrodynamics is invariant under the $SO(2)$ duality [5] although it is not conformal invariant due to the presence of the dimensionful coupling (T) in the theory (2).

Recently, there has been a radical proposal [6]-[7] to (non-linear) generalize the Maxwell electrodynamics which retains its conformal invariance (in four dimensions) as well as preserves the $SO(2)$ duality symmetry. This goes under the name of the ‘‘ModMax’’ electrodynamics².

The ModMax electrodynamics is a 1-parameter deformation of the Maxwell electrodynamics in four dimensions that is described by the following Lagrangian density³ [6]-[7]

$$\mathcal{L}_{MM} = \frac{1}{2} \left(S \cosh \gamma - \sqrt{S^2 + P^2} \sinh \gamma \right), \quad (3)$$

where γ is the dimensionless coupling constant that measures the strength of the electromagnetic self interaction.

The physical requirements that the theory must be unitary and preserves the causality restrict the ModMax parameter (γ) to take only positive values⁴ ($\gamma > 0$) [6]. The above restriction guarantees that the Lagrangian density (3) is a convex function of the electric field strength E^i .

There have been some further modifications of the ModMax electrodynamics in the literature which include the 1-parameter generalisation of the BI theory⁵ (γ BI) [8] and $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric extension of the ModMax electrodynamics⁶ [9]. The supersymmetric version of the ModMax electrodynamics is invariant under the electromagnetic duality as well as possesses the superconformal symmetry [9].

The ModMax electrodynamics finds an extensive application in theories of gravity [12]-[16] as well. In fact, a large number of solutions have been obtained down the line. For instance, accelerated black holes [12], the Taub-NUT [14]-[15] and Reissner-Nordstrom solutions [16] in diverse spacetime dimensions have been constructed in the presence of ModMax interactions and the effects of non-linearity were explored on their thermal properties. Recently, the non-linear models of electrodynamics have also found applications in the context of strongly correlated systems [17]-[19] by means of the celebrated AdS_{d+1}/CFT_d correspondence [20]-[22].

In spite of several notable applications those are alluded to the above, ModMax theories are least explored in AdS_2 holography and in particular in the context of the JT/SYK correspondence [23]-[45]. The purpose of the present paper is to fill up some of these gaps in the literature and find out an interpretation for the projected ModMax interactions within the realm of 2D gravity theories.

²For details, see the recent review [3].

³In the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the ModMax electrodynamics reduces to the standard Maxwell electrodynamics.

⁴From the perspective of the AdS_2 holography, we show that the ModMax parameter (γ) is bounded within a small range.

⁵In the weak field limit, the (γ BI) theory reduces to the standard ModMax electrodynamics (3).

⁶See [10]-[11] for further details.

The pure Jackiw-Teitelboim (JT) gravity [23]-[24] is the two dimensional theory of Einstein-dilaton gravity in the presence of a negative cosmological constant. This theory is conjectured to be the dual description of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model [25]-[45] which is a quantum mechanical theory of N interacting (Majorana) fermions in one dimension. Interestingly, this model can be solved exactly at strong coupling and in the Large N limit. The generalisation of the JT/SYK correspondence in the presence of $U(1)$ gauge fields and $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills fields have been carried out in a series of papers [46]-[52].

In the present paper, we cook up a theory of JT gravity in the presence of 2D “projected” ModMax interactions and compute various physical entities associated with the boundary theory. For instance, we construct the holographic stress-energy tensor [47], [50], [53]-[54] and compute the associated central charge [47], [50], [53], [55] for the boundary theory. Finally, we construct black hole solutions in two dimensions and explore the effects of projected ModMax interactions on their thermal behaviour.

The organisation for the rest of the paper is as follows :

- In Section 2, we follow suitable dimensional reduction procedure [46]-[47], [52] to construct a model for JT gravity in the presence of 2D projected ModMax interactions. We also clarify the meaning of projected ModMax interactions in 2D and in particular present a detail comparison with the 4D ModMax interactions.

- In Section 3, we explore the vacuum structure of the theory using the Fefferman-Graham gauge [47], [56] by treating the non-linear $U(1)$ gauge interactions as “perturbations” over the pure JT gravity solutions.

- In Section 4, we construct the “renormalised” boundary stress tensor and investigate its transformation properties under the combined action of the diffeomorphism and the $U(1)$ gauge transformations [50]. We compute the central charge (c_M) associated with the boundary theory [50] and estimate the bound on the ModMax parameter (γ) by imposing the positivity condition on the central charge ($c_M > 0$) .

- In Section 5, we construct the black hole solutions in two dimensions treating the non-linear $U(1)$ gauge interactions as “perturbations”. We observe that the non-linear interactions (or the projected ModMax interactions) play a crucial role in obtaining a finite value for the background fields at the horizon.

Furthermore, we compute the Hawking temperature for 2D black holes [57] and calculate the associated Wald entropy [58]-[60]. We also investigate the “extremal” limit associated with these 2D black holes and estimate the bound on the Wald entropy which is a direct consequence of the bound on the ModMax parameter (γ) of the 2D projected theory.

- We draw our conclusion in Section 6, along with some future remarks.

2 JT gravity and 2D projected ModMax

The ModMax theory coupled to Einstein gravity in four dimensions is defined as [6]-[7], [12]

$$I^{(4)} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_{(4)}} \left(R^{(4)} - 2\Lambda - 4\kappa \mathcal{L}_{\text{MM}}^{(4)} \right), \quad (4)$$

where $R^{(4)}$ is the Ricci scalar in 4 dimensions, $\Lambda = -3$ is the cosmological constant⁷, G_4 is the Newton's constant in four dimensions, κ is the coupling constant and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{MM}}^{(4)}$ is the ModMax Lagrangian density in four dimensions [6]-[7], [12]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{MM}}^{(4)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(S \cosh \gamma - \sqrt{S^2 + P^2} \sinh \gamma \right), \\ S &= \frac{1}{2} F_{MN} F^{MN}, \quad P = \frac{1}{2} F_{MN} \tilde{F}^{MN}, \quad \tilde{F}^{MN} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{MNUV} F_{UV}.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

Here γ is the ModMax parameter and (M, N) are the 4 dimensional space-time indices. Clearly, the standard Maxwell electrodynamics is recovered in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ [6]-[7], [12].

The imprint of the ModMax theory (5) in two dimensions can be obtained via dimensional reduction [46]-[47], [52] of the following form

$$\begin{aligned}ds_{(4)}^2 &= ds_{(2)}^2 + \Phi(x^\mu) dx_i^2, \quad ds_{(2)}^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha) dx^\mu dx^\nu, \\ A_\mu &\equiv A_\mu(x^\nu), \quad A_i \equiv A_i(x^\mu),\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

where (μ, ν) are the two dimensional indices and (i, j) are the indices of the compact dimensions.

Substituting (6) into (4) and integrating over the compact directions, one finds⁸

$$I_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2x \sqrt{-g_{(2)}} \left(\Phi R^{(2)} - 2\Lambda\Phi - 4\kappa\Phi\mathcal{L}_{(MM)}^{(2)} \right), \quad (7)$$

where $R^{(2)}$ is the Ricci scalar in two dimensions, G_2 is the Newton's constant in two dimensions and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\text{MM}}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(s \cosh \gamma - \sqrt{s^2 + p^2} \sinh \gamma \right), \\ s &= \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \Phi^{-1} \left((\partial\chi)^2 + (\partial\xi)^2 \right), \quad p = -2\Phi^{-1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \xi\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

is what we define as the Lagrangian density of the projected ModMax theory in two dimensions. Here, we denote $A_2 = \chi(x^\mu)$, $A_3 = \xi(x^\mu)$ and introduce $\epsilon^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{-g_{(2)}}}$ as the Levi-Civita tensor in two dimensions.

Notice that, in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, we do not recover the standard Maxwell electrodynamics in two dimensions [47], [50]-[52]. On contrary, we do have additional contributions coming from non-vanishing scalar fields ξ and χ which arise by virtue of the dimensional reduction procedure. This turns out to be the unique feature of the projected ModMax interactions in two dimensions. The $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ limit is what we refer as the 2D Maxwell interaction in this paper.

• **A comparative study of 4D ModMax and the 2D projected ModMax:**

Below, we draw a comparative analysis between 4D ModMax [6]-[7] and its 2D projection which plays the central role in what follows. 4D ModMax preserves the conformal invariance in its usual sense which is also evident from the generic structure of the associated stress-energy tensor

⁷Here, we set the AdS length $l = 1$.

⁸The Newton's constant in two and four dimensions are related by $G_2 = \frac{G_4}{V_2}$, where V_2 is the volume of the compact space.

$$T_{MN}^{(4)} \sim f(\gamma) \left(-\frac{1}{2} F^2 g_{MN} + 2g^{QP} F_{QM} F_{PN} \right), \quad (9)$$

where we define the function

$$f(\gamma) = \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{F^2 \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{(F_{RS} F^{RS})^2 + (F_{RS} \tilde{F}^{RS})^2}} \right). \quad (10)$$

Clearly, the trace $T_M^{M(4)}$ vanishes identically in four dimensions. On the other hand, the trace of the projected ModMax in two dimensions turns out to be

$$T_\mu^{\mu(2)} = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} = \frac{\Phi F^2}{2} \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right), \quad (11)$$

which is a non-vanishing entity.

This reflects to the fact that the projected theory losses its conformal invariance in two dimensions. Furthermore, the absence of the (Hodge) dual two form ($\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$) in two dimensions spoils the electromagnetic SO(2) duality invariance of the 2D projected theory in comparison to its 4D cousin. However, it is noteworthy to mention that the ModMax coupling (γ) that appears in the 2D projected version is same as that of the 4D parent theory.

The equations of motion corresponding to different field contents can be obtained by varying the action (7)

$$\delta I_{\text{bulk}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int d^2 x \sqrt{-g} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{H}_\Phi \delta \Phi + \mathcal{H}_\mu \delta A^\mu + \mathcal{H}_\chi \delta \chi + \mathcal{H}_\xi \delta \xi \right), \quad (12)$$

where we define individual entities as

$$\mathcal{H}_\Phi = R - 2\Lambda - 4\kappa \mathcal{L}_{\text{MM}}^{(2)} + 2\kappa \Phi^{-1} \left[\left((\partial\xi)^2 + (\partial\chi)^2 \right) \cosh \gamma - \left\{ \frac{s \left((\partial\xi)^2 + (\partial\chi)^2 \right)}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} - \frac{2p\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \chi \nabla_\nu \xi}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right\} \sinh \gamma \right] = 0, \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mu\nu} = \square \Phi g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \Phi + \Lambda \Phi g_{\mu\nu} - 2\kappa \Phi \left[\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \cosh \gamma - \frac{\sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \left(s \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} s^2 g_{\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{s}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \cosh \gamma \right] = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\chi = \kappa \nabla_\mu \left[\nabla^\mu \chi \cosh \gamma - \frac{s \nabla^\mu \chi - p \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \xi}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right] = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\xi = \kappa \nabla_\mu \left[\nabla^\mu \xi \cosh \gamma - \frac{s \nabla^\mu \xi + p \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\nu \chi}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right] = 0, \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\mu = \kappa \nabla_\mu \left[\Phi \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right) F^{\mu\nu} \right] = 0, \quad (17)$$

along with the function

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\alpha} F_{\nu\beta} g^{\alpha\beta} + \Phi^{-1} \left(\partial_\mu \xi \partial_\nu \xi + \partial_\mu \chi \partial_\nu \chi \right). \quad (18)$$

3 General solution with 2D projected ModMax

The purpose of this Section is to obtain the most general solutions of (13)-(17) in the Fefferman-Graham gauge⁹ [47], [56]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= d\eta^2 + h_{tt}(t, \eta)dt^2, \quad A_\mu dx^\mu = A_t(t, \eta)dt, \\ \Phi &= \Phi(t, \eta), \quad \chi = \chi(t, \eta), \quad \xi = \xi(t, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Clearly, these equations (13)-(17) are quite difficult to solve exactly in the ModMax coupling γ . Therefore, to proceed further, we simplify the fields as $\Phi \equiv \Phi(\eta)$, $h_{tt} \equiv h_{tt}(\eta)$, $A_t \equiv A_t(\eta)$, $\xi \equiv \xi(\eta)$ and $\chi \equiv \chi(t)$ and solve them ‘‘perturbatively’’ treating the 2D Maxwell coupling (κ) and the 2D ModMax coupling (γ) as expansion parameters.

One can systematically expand these fields using the expansion parameters (κ and γ) as

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 + \kappa\mathcal{A}_1 + \gamma\kappa\mathcal{A}_2 + \kappa^2\mathcal{A}_3 + \dots, \quad (20)$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 + \gamma\mathcal{B}_2 + \kappa\mathcal{B}_3 + \dots, \quad |\kappa| \ll 1, \quad |\gamma| \ll 1, \quad (21)$$

where \mathcal{A} collectively denotes the fields (Φ , $\omega \equiv \sqrt{-h_{tt}}$) and \mathcal{B} denotes the remaining fields (A_t , χ , ξ). Here, the subscript ‘0’ denotes the pure JT gravity solution. On the other hand, subscripts ‘1’ and ‘2’ denote the leading order corrections due to the 2D Maxwell term and the 2D projected ModMax interaction respectively. Furthermore, the subscript ‘3’ stands for the quadratic order corrections due to the 2D Maxwell term alone.

Notice that, the \mathcal{B} fields (21) are expanded differently from that of the \mathcal{A} fields (20). This is due to the fact that the \mathcal{B} fields are coupled with an overall 2D Maxwell coefficient, κ in the Lagrangian (7). Therefore, one should think of the expansion (21) to be multiplied with an overall factor of κ . On the other hand, the effects of the 2D projected ModMax comes into the picture at the quadratic level ($\gamma\kappa$). To summarise, we solve the equations of motion (13)-(17) up to quadratic ($\gamma\kappa$) order in the couplings and ignore all the higher order corrections¹⁰.

3.1 Zeroth order solution

In order to obtain the pure JT gravity solutions, one has to take the limits $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in the equations (13)-(17), which yields

$$\omega_0'' + \Lambda\omega_0 = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$\Phi_0'' + \Lambda\Phi_0 = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\Phi_0'\omega_0'}{\omega_0} + \Lambda\Phi_0 = 0. \quad (24)$$

On solving (22)-(24), one finds

$$\omega_0 = a_1 e^{\eta\lambda} + a_2 e^{-\eta\lambda}, \quad (25)$$

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{b_1}{a_1\lambda} e^{-\eta\lambda} \left(a_1 e^{2\eta\lambda} - a_2 \right), \quad (26)$$

⁹The explicit form of these equations (13)-(17) have been provided in the Appendix A.

¹⁰The equations of motion at order κ^2 are discussed in the Appendix B. However, we also consider κ to be sufficiently small such that one can ignore κ^2 corrections as compared to the $\gamma\kappa$ corrections.

where we define $\lambda = \sqrt{-\Lambda}$ and a_1, a_2 and b_1 are the integration constants.

Equations (25)-(26) are the zeroth order solutions of the theory (7). In the following Sections, we will be using these solutions to obtain the next to leading order corrections for \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

3.2 κ order solution

The leading order corrections to the fields \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are due to the presence of the Maxwell interactions in (7),

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}} = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{-1}\left((\partial\chi)^2 + (\partial\xi)^2\right). \quad (27)$$

On comparing the coefficients of κ in the equations (13)-(17), we obtain

$$\omega_0\Phi_1'' + \omega_1\Phi_0'' - \left(\Phi_0'\omega_1' + \Phi_1'\omega_0'\right) + 2\omega_0\left(\xi_1'^2 + \frac{\dot{\chi}_1^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\omega_1'' + \Lambda\omega_1 - \frac{A_{t1}^{\prime 2}}{\omega_0} = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$\partial_\eta\left(\frac{\Phi_0}{\omega_0}A_{t1}'\right) = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\partial_\eta\left(\omega_0\xi_1'\right) = 0, \quad (31)$$

$$\ddot{\chi}_1 = 0. \quad (32)$$

Using the zeroth order solutions (25)-(26), one can solve the above set of equations to yield

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{e^{-\eta\lambda}}{4\lambda^2}\left(\frac{4\lambda}{a_1}\left(a_3b_1e^{2\eta\lambda} + a_2\log\left(a_2 - a_1e^{2\eta\lambda}\right)\right) + 4\lambda e^{2\eta\lambda}\left(2\eta\lambda - \log\left(a_2 - a_1e^{2\eta\lambda}\right)\right) + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1}e^{\eta\lambda}}{\sqrt{a_2}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{a_1^{3/2}\sqrt{a_2}} - \frac{e^{2\eta\lambda}}{\sqrt{a_1}a_2^{3/2}}\right)\right), \quad (33)$$

$$\omega_1 = \frac{c_1^2}{4a_2}e^{-\eta\lambda}\left(2\eta\lambda e^{2\eta\lambda} - \frac{1}{a_1}\left(a_1e^{2\eta\lambda} + a_2\right)\log\left(a_2 - a_1e^{2\eta\lambda}\right)\right) + a_3e^{\eta\lambda}, \quad (34)$$

$$A_{t1} = c_1\left[\log\left(a_2 - a_1e^{2\eta\lambda}\right) - \eta\lambda\right] + c_2, \quad (35)$$

$$\xi_1 = \frac{e_1}{\lambda}\tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{a_1}e^{\eta\lambda}}{\sqrt{a_2}}\right) + e_2, \quad (36)$$

$$\chi_1 = d_1t + d_2, \quad (37)$$

where a_3, c_i, d_i and e_i , ($i = 1, 2$) are the integration constants.

Equations (33)-(37) represent the leading order corrections to the fields \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} in the presence of the 2D Maxwell interactions (27).

3.3 $\gamma\kappa$ order solution

Next, we take into account the projected ModMax interactions and their imprint on the background fields \mathcal{A} (20) and \mathcal{B} (21).

A straight forward analysis reveals the following set of equations at order $\gamma\kappa$

$$\omega_0\Phi_2'' + \omega_2\Phi_0'' - \Phi_0'\omega_2' - \Phi_2'\omega_0' + 4\omega_0\xi_1'\xi_2' + \frac{4}{\omega_0}\dot{\chi}_1\dot{\chi}_2 - f_0 = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\omega_2'' - \omega_2\lambda^2 - \frac{2}{\omega_0}A'_{t1}A'_{t2} + \frac{s_0A_{t1}^2}{\omega_0\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\partial_\eta \left[\frac{\Phi_0}{\omega_0} \left(A'_{t2} - \frac{s_0}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}} A'_{t1} \right) \right] = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\partial_\eta \left[\omega_0\xi_2' - \frac{(s_0\xi_1'\omega_0 - p_0\dot{\chi}_1)}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}} \right] = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$\ddot{\chi}_2 = 0, \quad (42)$$

where we identify the above functions as

$$s_0 = -\frac{1}{\omega_0^2}A_{t1}^2 + \frac{1}{\Phi_0} \left(-\frac{\dot{\chi}_1^2}{\omega_0^2} + \xi_1'^2 \right), \quad p_0 = -\frac{2}{\Phi_0\omega_0}\dot{\chi}_1\xi_1', \quad (43)$$

$$f_0 = \frac{2\omega_0s_0}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}} \left(\xi_1'^2 + \frac{\dot{\chi}_1^2}{\omega_0^2} \right). \quad (44)$$

The above set of equations (38)-(42) are difficult to solve for generic values of η . However, for our present purpose, it will be sufficient to solve them near the asymptotic limit ($\eta \rightarrow \infty$) of the space-time which yields

$$\Phi_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} (b_2e^{\eta\lambda} - b_3\lambda + e^{-\eta\lambda}) - \frac{b_1}{a_1}\eta e^{-\eta\lambda}, \quad (45)$$

$$\omega_2 = e^{-\eta\lambda} (a_4e^{2\eta\lambda} + a_5 + \eta\lambda), \quad (46)$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{e_3}{\lambda}e^{-\eta\lambda} + e_4, \quad (47)$$

$$A_{t2} = c_3\eta\lambda + c_4, \quad (48)$$

$$\chi_2 = d_3t + d_4. \quad (49)$$

where a_i, b_j, c_k, d_k and e_k , ($i = 4, 5, j = 2, 3, k = 3, 4$) are the integration constants.

As we show below, not all of these integration constants are actually important for our analysis. Infact, a few of them finally survive which can be fixed by making use of the residual gauge freedom [50] in the Fefferman-Graham gauge (19). In particular, the re-scaling of the time coordinate $t \rightarrow a_1t$ preserves the gauge condition $g_{\eta t} = 0$ and $g_{\eta\eta} = 1$. Therefore, we can use this freedom to fix the constant¹¹

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{a_2b_3}. \quad (50)$$

4 Boundary stress tensor and central charge

In this Section, we work out the ‘‘renormalised’’ boundary stress tensor [47], [50], [53]-[55] and study its transformation properties under both the diffeomorphism

¹¹Interestingly, with this particular choice of the integration constant a_1 (50), the final expression of the central charge (78) appears to be independent of all the remaining integration constants.

and the $U(1)$ gauge transformations. In particular, we examine the effects of the projected ModMax interactions on the central charge of the boundary theory.

To begin with, we work out the boundary terms¹² for the action (7). This is required in order to implement a consistent variational principle [47], [50]. Systematically, one can decompose the boundary terms into following two pieces,

$$I_{\text{boundary}} = I_{\text{GHY}} + I_{\text{counter}}, \quad (51)$$

where I_{GHY} is the standard Gibbons-Hawking-York boundary term and I_{counter} represents the boundary counter terms.

The Gibbons-Hawking-York boundary term [47], [50], [61] in 2D gravity is given by

$$I_{\text{GHY}} = \frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int_0^\beta dt \sqrt{-h} \Phi K, \quad K = \frac{1}{2} h^{tt} \partial_\eta h_{tt}, \quad (52)$$

where K is the trace of extrinsic curvature, β is the inverse temperature and h_{tt} is the induced metric on the boundary.

On the other hand, the counter term that is required to absorb all the near boundary divergences of the on-shell action can be expressed as

$$I_{\text{counter}} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \int_0^\beta dt \sqrt{-h} \left(\lambda \Phi + 2\kappa \frac{b_1}{c_1} \sqrt{-h^{ab} A_a A_b} \right), \quad (53)$$

where (a, b) are the one dimensional boundary indices.

Finally, the complete renormalised action is given by

$$I_{\text{renormalised}} = I_{\text{bulk}} + I_{\text{boundary}}, \quad (54)$$

where I_{bulk} and I_{boundary} are given in (7) and (51) respectively.

Notice that, the combination of the $U(1)$ gauge field in the I_{counter} (53) seems to break the gauge invariance under the transformation

$$A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \Sigma, \quad (55)$$

which yields the following extra piece under the $U(1)$ gauge (55)

$$I_{\text{counter}} \sim \int_0^\beta dt \sqrt{-h} \left(\sqrt{-h^{ab} A_a A_b} \right) \rightarrow \int_0^\beta dt (A_t + \partial_t \Sigma). \quad (56)$$

However, one can preserve the gauge invariance by imposing the condition that $\partial_t \Sigma$ (see (71)) must vanish near the boundary, $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ [50].

Using the renormalised action (54), it is now straightforward to calculate the variation $\delta I_{\text{boundary}}$ under the combined action of the diffeomorphism and the $U(1)$ gauge, where $\delta I_{\text{boundary}}$ can be systematically expressed as¹³

$$\delta I_{\text{boundary}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_2} \int dt \sqrt{-h} \left(\mathcal{G}^{ab} \delta h_{ab} + \mathcal{G}_\Phi \delta \Phi + \mathcal{G}^a \delta A_a + \mathcal{G}_\chi \delta \chi + \mathcal{G}_\xi \delta \xi \right). \quad (57)$$

¹²The boundary in the Ferrerman-Graham gauge is located near $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

¹³ $\delta I_{\text{boundary}}$ already incorporates the bulk contributions (δI_{bulk}) near the asymptotic limit, $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

Here, the boundary contributions can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^{ab} = & n_\mu \nabla^\mu \Phi h^{ab} + n^\mu \frac{\Phi}{\sqrt{-h}} \left(\partial_\mu \sqrt{-h} \right) h^{ab} - \lambda \Phi h^{ab} - 2\kappa \frac{b_1}{c_1} h^{ab} \sqrt{-h^{cd} A_c A_d} \\ & + 2\kappa \frac{b_1}{c_1} \frac{A^a A^b}{\sqrt{-h^{cd} A_c A_d}}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{G}^a = -4\kappa n_\mu \Phi \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right) F^{\mu a} + 4\kappa \frac{b_1}{c_1} \frac{h^{ab} A_b}{\sqrt{-h^{cd} A_c A_d}}, \quad (59)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\chi = -4\kappa n_\mu \left(\nabla^\mu \chi \cosh \gamma - \frac{s \nabla^\mu \chi - p \epsilon^{\mu a} \nabla_a \xi}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right), \quad (60)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\xi = -4\kappa n_\mu \left(\nabla^\mu \xi \cosh \gamma - \frac{s \nabla^\mu \xi + p \epsilon^{\mu a} \nabla_a \chi}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right), \quad (61)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\Phi = 2K - 2\lambda, \quad (62)$$

where $n^\mu = \delta_\eta^\mu$ is the unit normal vector at the boundary.

With all these preliminaries, we now introduce the boundary stress tensor [47], [50] corresponding to the action (54)

$$T^{ab} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-h}} \frac{\delta I_{\text{boundary}}}{\delta h_{ab}} = \frac{\mathcal{G}^{ab}}{8\pi G_2}, \quad (63)$$

where \mathcal{G}^{ab} is given in (58).

Our next task is to explore the transformation properties of the background fields (20)-(21) and hence the boundary stress tensor (63) under the combined effects of the diffeomorphism and the $U(1)$ gauge transformation.

Under the diffeomorphism,

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \epsilon^\mu(x), \quad (64)$$

the background fields (20)-(21) transform as

$$\delta_\epsilon A_\mu = \epsilon^\nu \nabla_\nu A_\mu + A_\nu \nabla_\mu \epsilon^\nu, \quad (65)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon g_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \nabla_\nu \epsilon_\mu, \quad (66)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \mathcal{S} = \epsilon^\mu \nabla_\mu \mathcal{S}, \quad (67)$$

where \mathcal{S} collectively denotes the scalar fields Φ , ξ and χ .

The diffeomorphism parameter, $\epsilon^\mu(x)$ can be obtained using (66) and the space-time metric (19), which yields the following

$$\epsilon_t = e^{2\eta\lambda} f(t) + \frac{1 + a_2^2 b_3^2}{3\lambda^2} \partial_t^2 f(t), \quad \epsilon_\eta = \frac{2(1 + a_2^2 b_3^2)}{3\lambda} \partial_t f(t), \quad (68)$$

where $f(t)$ is some function¹⁴ of time [50].

¹⁴In the Fefferman-Graham gauge [47], [56], the variation of the space-time metric (under diffeomorphism (66)) yields a set of coupled differential equations that contain the derivatives of the diffeomorphism parameters ϵ_t and ϵ_η with respect to the variable “ η ”. Therefore, the function $f(t)$ in these equations appears as an integration constant. However, one can further compute the function $f(t)$ using suitable boundary conditions for the background fields \mathcal{A} (20) and \mathcal{B} (21).

It should be noted that, we perform all the analysis in a gauge in which one of the components of the $U(1)$ gauge field, A_η is set to be zero (19). On the other hand, under the diffeomorphism (64), A_η transforms as

$$\delta_\epsilon A_\eta = A_t \partial_\eta \left(\frac{\epsilon_t}{h_{tt}} \right) \neq 0, \quad (69)$$

which breaks the gauge condition $A_\eta = 0$.

In order to restore this gauge condition, we employ the $U(1)$ gauge transformation, $A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\alpha + \partial_\alpha \Sigma$ and compute the $U(1)$ gauge parameter Σ such that $(\delta_\epsilon + \delta_\Sigma)A_\eta = 0$, which yields the following

$$\Sigma = - \int d\eta A_t \partial_\eta \left(\frac{\epsilon_t}{h_{tt}} \right), \quad (70)$$

where we have used the variation (69).

Now, one can perform the above integration (70) using the background fields (20)-(21) and the diffeomorphism parameter (68), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma = & \frac{e^{-2\eta\lambda}}{2c_1^2\lambda} \left(c_1^2 \kappa \lambda f(t) \left(\frac{2}{a_2^4 b_3^4} + (\gamma - 1) c_1^3 \eta \lambda \right) - \frac{2(1 + a_2^2 b_3^2)}{3a_2 b_3 \lambda} f''(t) \left(c_1^3 \left(a_4 \gamma \kappa \left(2\gamma \eta \lambda \right. \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. - 2 \log(a_2 b_3) + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{a_2 b_3} (\gamma - 1) \eta \lambda \right) + \frac{2}{a_2^4 b_3^4} \left(2a_4 \gamma \kappa + \frac{1}{a_2 b_3} \right) \right) \right) a_2^4 b_3^4. \quad (71) \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to notice that the $U(1)$ gauge parameter Σ vanishes naturally in the asymptotic limit ($\eta \rightarrow \infty$), which is consistent with the gauge preserving condition (56).

Finally, we note down the transformation of the boundary stress tensor (63) under the combined action of the diffeomorphism (64) and the $U(1)$ gauge transformation which yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_\epsilon + \delta_\Sigma) T_{tt} = & \frac{1}{8\pi G_2} \left[\left(\partial_\eta \Phi - \lambda \Phi - 2\kappa \frac{b_1}{c_1} \frac{A_t}{\omega} \right) (\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) + 4\kappa \frac{b_1 \omega}{c_1} ((\delta_\epsilon + \delta_\Sigma) A_t) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\Phi}{2} \partial_\eta (\delta_\epsilon h_{tt}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\eta \omega^2 - 2\lambda \omega^2) (\delta_\epsilon \Phi) - \partial_\eta (\delta_\epsilon \Phi) \omega^2 \right]. \quad (72) \end{aligned}$$

The variations of the background fields h_{tt} , A_t and Φ can be obtained using (65)-(68) and (71), which yields the following

$$(\delta_\epsilon + \delta_\Sigma) A_t = \mathcal{H}_1(\eta) \partial_t f(t) + \mathcal{H}_2(\eta) \partial_t^3 f(t), \quad (73)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon h_{tt} = \mathcal{H}_3(\eta) \partial_t f(t) + \mathcal{H}_4(\eta) \partial_t^3 f(t), \quad (74)$$

$$\delta_\epsilon \Phi = \mathcal{H}_5(\eta) \partial_t f(t), \quad (75)$$

where the explicit form of the functions $\mathcal{H}_i(\eta)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) are given in the Appendix C.

Using these variations (73)-(75), the transformation of the boundary stress tensor (72) can be expressed in a more elegant way

$$(\delta_\epsilon + \delta_\Sigma) \tilde{T}_{tt} = 2\tilde{T}_{tt} \partial_t f(t) + f(t) \partial_t \tilde{T}_{tt} - \kappa_{CM} \partial_t^3 f(t). \quad (76)$$

Here, we define the re-scaled stress tensor as

$$\tilde{T}_{tt} = \frac{T_{tt}}{b_3(1 + a_2^2 b_3^2)}, \quad (77)$$

and identify the coefficient “ c_M ” (coefficient of $\kappa \partial_t^3 f(t)$) as being the central charge [47], [50] of the boundary theory,

$$c_M = \frac{1}{144\sqrt{3}\pi G_2}(1 - 12\gamma), \quad (78)$$

where we substitute $\lambda = \sqrt{3}$.

It is interesting to notice that the positivity of the central charge (78) requires that the ModMax parameter (γ) cannot be greater than $\frac{1}{12}$, rather it must lie within the range $0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{12}$. Furthermore, in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, the central charge (78) reduces to $\sim \frac{1}{G_2}$ which is consistent with the existing literature [50]. In the next Section, we calculate the Wald entropy associated with 2D black holes and in particular, discuss the effects of the above bound in the extremal limit.

5 Black holes and 2D projected ModMax

We now construct the 2D black hole solutions and investigate their thermal properties in the presence of 2D projected ModMax interactions (7). In particular, we emphasise on the role played by the ModMax parameter, that is required to set all the fields “finite” near the horizon. These solutions are further used to compute the Wald entropy [58]-[60] associated with these 2D black holes. Finally, we also comment on the possibilities for extremal black hole solutions in two dimensions.

5.1 Black hole solutions

We estimate 2D black hole solutions of (7) by means of perturbative techniques while treating the ModMax parameter (γ) and the Maxwell’s coupling (κ) as expansion coefficients. Technically speaking, it is not convenient to determine the black hole horizon in the Ferrferman-Graham gauge due to the presence of the non-trivial couplings in $U(1)$ gauge fields (7). However, one can perform an elegant calculation using the light cone gauge. In this gauge, the space-time metric can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= e^{2\omega(z)}(-dt^2 + dz^2), \quad A_\mu dx^\mu = A_t(z)dt, \\ \Phi &= \Phi(z), \quad \chi = \chi(t), \quad \xi = \xi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Like before as in (20)-(21), one can systematically expand the background fields in the couplings κ and γ as

$$\mathcal{A}^{(bh)} = \mathcal{A}_0^{(bh)} + \kappa \mathcal{A}_1^{(bh)} + \gamma \kappa \mathcal{A}_2^{(bh)} + \dots, \quad (80)$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{(bh)} = \mathcal{B}_1^{(bh)} + \gamma \mathcal{B}_2^{(bh)} + \dots, \quad |\kappa| \ll 1, \quad |\gamma| \ll 1, \quad (81)$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{(bh)}$ collectively represents the fields (Φ, ω) and $\mathcal{B}^{(bh)}$ represents the remaining fields (A_t, χ, ξ). Furthermore, the superscript “ bh ” in $\mathcal{A}^{(bh)}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(bh)}$ denote the black hole solution.

5.1.1 Zeroth order solution

In order to calculate black hole solutions at zeroth order, we switch off the $U(1)$ gauge couplings ($\kappa \rightarrow 0$, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$) in the equations of motion (13)-(17), which yields the following set of equations

$$\Phi_0'' - \omega_0' \Phi_0' + \Lambda e^{2\omega_0} \Phi_0 = 0, \quad (82)$$

$$\omega_0' \Phi_0' + \Lambda e^{2\omega_0} \Phi_0 = 0, \quad (83)$$

$$\omega_0'' + e^{2\omega_0} \Lambda = 0, \quad (84)$$

where $'$ denotes the derivative with respect to z .

On solving the equations (82)-(84), one finds

$$e^{2\omega_0^{(bh)}} = -\frac{4\mu}{\Lambda \sinh^2(2\sqrt{\mu}z)}, \quad \Phi_0^{(bh)} = \phi_0, \quad (85)$$

where ϕ_0 is a constant.

It should be noted that we treat the dilaton (Φ) as constant while taking the limits $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. However, it possesses a non-trivial profile in the presence of $U(1)$ gauge fields (see Section (5.1.2) and (5.1.3)).

5.1.2 κ order solution

The leading order corrections to $\mathcal{A}^{(bh)}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(bh)}$ could be estimated by solving the equations of motion (13)-(17) at order κ

$$\Phi_1'' - 2(\omega_0' \Phi_1' + \omega_1' \Phi_0') + 2(\dot{\chi}_1^2 + \xi_1'^2) = 0, \quad (86)$$

$$\omega_1'' + 2\Lambda\omega_1 e^{2\omega_0} - e^{-2\omega_0} A_{t1}^{\prime 2} = 0, \quad (87)$$

$$\partial_z \left(\Phi_0 e^{-2\omega_0} A_{t1}' \right) = 0, \quad (88)$$

$$\xi_1'' = 0, \quad (89)$$

$$\ddot{\chi}_1 = 0, \quad (90)$$

where $\dot{}$ and $'$ denote the derivatives with respect to t and z respectively.

In order to solve the above differential equations (86)-(90), we adopt the following change in coordinates

$$\rho = \sqrt{\mu} \coth(2\sqrt{\mu}z). \quad (91)$$

Using the zeroth order solutions (85) together with (91), one finds

$$\omega_1^{(bh)} = \frac{q_2}{\sqrt{\mu}} \rho \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + \frac{q_1 \rho}{\sqrt{\mu}} + \frac{m_1^2}{2\Lambda \phi_0^2} - q_2, \quad (92)$$

$$\Phi_1^{(bh)} = -\frac{(n_1^2 + l_1^2) \rho}{4\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + g_1 \rho, \quad (93)$$

$$\xi_1^{(bh)} = \frac{l_1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \coth^{-1} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\mu}} \right) + l_2, \quad (94)$$

$$A_{t1}^{(bh)} = \frac{2m_1 \rho}{\Lambda \phi_0} + m_2, \quad (95)$$

$$\chi_1^{(bh)} = n_1 t + n_2, \quad (96)$$

where m_i, n_i, l_i, q_i and g_1 , ($i = 1, 2$) are the integration constants.

5.1.3 $\gamma\kappa$ order solution

The contributions due to the projected ModMax interactions could be estimated by solving the equations of motion (13)-(17) at order $\gamma\kappa$

$$\Phi_2'' - 2(\omega_0'\Phi_2' + \omega_2'\Phi_0') + 4(\dot{\chi}_1\dot{\chi}_2 + \xi_1'\xi_2') - \frac{2s_0}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}}(\dot{\chi}_1^2 + \xi_1'^2) = 0, \quad (97)$$

$$\omega_2'' + 2\Lambda\omega_2e^{2\omega_0} - 2e^{-2\omega_0}A'_{t1}A'_{t2} - e^{2\omega_0}\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2} + f_1 = 0, \quad (98)$$

$$\partial_z \left[\xi_2' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}}(s_0\xi_1' - p_0\dot{\chi}_1) \right] = 0, \quad (99)$$

$$\partial_z \left[e^{-2\omega_0}\Phi_0 \left(A'_{t2} - \frac{A'_{t1}s_0}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}} \right) \right] = 0, \quad (100)$$

$$\ddot{\chi}_2 = 0, \quad (101)$$

where we define the above quantities as

$$f_1 = \frac{1}{\Phi_0\sqrt{s_0^2 + p_0^2}} \left(s_0(-\dot{\chi}_1^2 + \xi_1'^2) - 2p_0\dot{\chi}_1\xi_1' \right), \quad p_0 = -2\Phi_0^{-1}e^{-2\omega_0}\dot{\chi}_1\xi_1',$$

$$s_0 = -e^{-4\omega_0}A_{t1}^{\prime 2} + \Phi_0^{-1}e^{-2\omega_0}(-\dot{\chi}_1^2 + \xi_1'^2). \quad (102)$$

Clearly, the above differential equations (97)-(101) are quite non trivial to solve exactly in the radial variable (z). However, for the purpose of our present analysis, it is sufficient to solve them near the black hole horizon.

Using (91), the location of the horizon (ρ_H) can be determined by noting the spacetime metric (79)

$$ds_{(bh)}^2 \approx \frac{4(\mu - \rho^2)}{\Lambda} \left(1 + 2\kappa\omega_1^{(bh)} + 2\gamma\kappa\omega_2^{(bh)} \right) \left(-dt^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{4(\mu - \rho^2)^2} \right), \quad (103)$$

which yields $\rho = \rho_H = \sqrt{\mu}$.

Finally, the near horizon solutions of the equations of motion (97)-(101) could be listed as

$$\Phi_2^{(bh)} = \frac{\rho}{192\mu^{5/2}} \left(64\mu\rho(\rho - 6\sqrt{\mu}) + n_1^2\rho \left(\frac{64\mu^{3/2}}{n_1^8 l_1^2} \left(8\sqrt{\mu}(n_1^2 - l_1^2)^2(n_1^2 + l_1^2)(\rho - 9\sqrt{\mu}) \right. \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. - 3n_1^4 l_1^4 \right) - 15\sqrt{\mu} + 2\rho \right) + 2n_1 n_3 \rho (2\rho - 15\sqrt{\mu}) + \rho l_1 (l_1(\rho - 12\sqrt{\mu}) +$$

$$3l_3(\rho - 9\sqrt{\mu})) + 192\mu^{5/2}g_2 \Big) + \frac{\rho(n_1^2 + 2n_3n_1 + l_1(l_1 + 2l_3)) \log(\rho - \sqrt{\mu})}{8\mu^{3/2}}, \quad (104)$$

$$\xi_2^{(bh)} = \frac{1}{16\mu^{3/2}} \left(-\frac{256m_1^4 n_1^2 l_1 \mu^2 \rho (\rho - 2\sqrt{\mu})}{\Lambda^2 \phi_0^2 (n_1^2 + l_1^2)^3} - \frac{32\mu\rho (2\sqrt{\mu}(n_1^2 - 2l_1^2) + l_1^2 \rho)}{n_1^2 l_1} + \right.$$

$$\left. (l_3 + l_1) \left(-\frac{1}{2}\rho(\rho - 6\sqrt{\mu}) - 4\mu \log(\rho - \sqrt{\mu}) \right) \right) + l_4, \quad (105)$$

$$A_{t2}^{(bh)} = \frac{32\sqrt{\mu}m_1^3n_1^2l_1^2\rho(\rho - 2\sqrt{\mu})}{\Lambda^2\phi_0^2(n_1^2 + l_1^2)^3} - m_3\rho + m_4, \quad (106)$$

$$\omega_2^{(bh)} = \frac{m_1}{2\phi_0^2} \left(\frac{m_1(n_1^2 - l_1^2)}{\Lambda(n_1^2 + l_1^2)} - m_3\phi_0 \right) + q_3I_0(\tilde{\rho}) + q_4K_0(\tilde{\rho}), \quad (107)$$

$$\chi_2^{(bh)} = n_3t + n_4, \quad (108)$$

where we define $\tilde{\rho} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\mu}} - 1}$ and $m_i, n_i, l_i, q_i, g_2, (i = 3, 4)$ are the integration constants. Furthermore, here $I_0(\tilde{\rho})$ and $K_0(\tilde{\rho})$ are respectively the modified Bessel functions [62] of the first ($I_n(\tilde{\rho})$) and the second kind ($K_n(\tilde{\rho})$).

Like before, one can further simplify the solutions (104)-(108) by making use of the residual gauge freedom in the light cone gauge (103). In particular, the re-scaling of the time coordinate, $t \rightarrow n_1t$ does not affect the gauge condition $g_{t\rho} = 0$. Therefore, one can use this freedom to fix the constant $n_1 = \sqrt{1 - l_1^2}$.

It is evident from (93) and (94) that the leading order (κ) corrections diverge as we move closer towards the black hole horizon ($\rho \sim \rho_H = \sqrt{\mu}$). Similar divergences persist even at quadratic order ($\gamma\kappa$) (see (104) and (105)). However, for a particular choice of constants

$$n_3 = \frac{(2l_1^2 - 1)(1 + \gamma)}{2\gamma\sqrt{1 - l_1^2}}, \quad l_3 = -l_1(1 + \gamma)/\gamma, \quad (109)$$

the divergences at leading order cancel with those at the quadratic order thereby resulting in a finite expression for $\xi^{(bh)}$ and $\Phi^{(bh)}$ near the horizon ($\rho \sim \sqrt{\mu}$). This turns out to be a unique feature of projected ModMax interactions in two dimensions.

5.2 2D Black hole thermodynamics

With the above solutions at hand, we now explore the thermal properties of 2D black holes in the presence of projected ModMax interactions. In particular, we compute the Wald entropy [58]-[60] for 2D black holes. Finally, we also comment on the Wald entropy associated with the *extremal* black holes in two dimensions.

To begin with, we compute the Hawking temperature [57] for the 2D black holes

$$T_H = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{4}g^{tt}g^{\rho\rho}(\partial_\rho g_{tt})^2} \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \sqrt{\mu}} = \frac{\sqrt{\mu}}{\pi} \left[1 - \kappa(1 + \gamma)q \right], \quad (110)$$

where we set the constants, $q_4 = q_2 = q$.

The Wald entropy [58]-[60] is defined as

$$S_W = -2\pi \frac{\delta\mathcal{L}}{\delta R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (111)$$

where $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the Riemann curvature tensor, \mathcal{L} is the Lagrangian density¹⁵ in two dimensions and $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the anti-symmetric rank two tensor having the normalization condition, $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = -2$.

¹⁵Here we used the convention, $I = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}$.

Using (54), the Wald entropy (111) for 2D black holes turns out to be¹⁶

$$S_W = \frac{\Phi^{(bh)}}{4G_2} \Big|_{\rho \rightarrow \sqrt{\mu}} = \frac{1}{4G_2} \left(\phi_0 + \kappa\phi_1 + \gamma\kappa\phi_2 \right), \quad (112)$$

where we denote the above entities as

$$\phi_1 = \sqrt{\mu}g_1 - \frac{1}{192\mu} \left(12 \log(4\mu) + 2l_1^2 - 13 \right), \quad (113)$$

$$\phi_2 = \frac{64\mu}{3l_1^2} \frac{(1 - 2l_1^2)^2}{(l_1^2 - 1)^3} + \frac{l_1^2}{l_1^2 - 1} + \sqrt{\mu}g_2 - \frac{5}{3} \quad (114)$$

and ϕ_0 is the usual constant dilaton solution in the limit $\kappa \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ (85).

5.3 A special case : Extremal 2D black holes

As a special case, we study the extremal 2D black hole solutions and compute the associated Wald entropy. Extremal black holes correspond to the vanishing of the Hawking temperature (110)

$$\kappa(1 + \gamma)q = 1, \quad (115)$$

which we identify as the condition for extremal black holes in two dimensions. One can further estimate the range of the constant “ q ” using the extremality condition (115) and bound on the ModMax parameter (78), which yields

$$\frac{12}{13\kappa} < q \leq \frac{1}{\kappa}. \quad (116)$$

Using (115) and (112), the Wald entropy for 2D extremal black holes ($S_W^{(\text{ext})}$) turns out to be

$$S_{W-}^{(\text{ext})} \leq S_W^{(\text{ext})} < S_{W+}^{(\text{ext})}, \quad S_{W+}^{(\text{ext})} = \frac{1}{4G_2} \left(\phi_0 + \kappa\phi_1 + \frac{\kappa\phi_2}{12} \right), \quad S_{W-}^{(\text{ext})} = \frac{1}{4G_2} \left(\phi_0 + \kappa\phi_1 \right), \quad (117)$$

where the entities ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are given in (113) and (114) respectively. Furthermore, the subscripts \pm denote the maximum and minimum values of the extremal black hole entropy.

6 Concluding remarks

To summarise, in the present paper, we construct the 2D analogue of the four dimensional ModMax electrodynamics (coupled with Einstein gravity) using the notion of dimensional reduction. We investigate the effects of projected ModMax interactions on various physical entities associated with the boundary theory in one dimension. Finally, we construct the associated 2D black hole solutions and explore their thermal properties.

Below, we outline some of the future extensions of the present work.

¹⁶Here, the entities ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 correspond to the values of $\Phi_1^{(bh)}$ (93) and $\Phi_2^{(bh)}$ (104) at the horizon $\rho = \rho_H = \sqrt{\mu}$.

- In the literature, there exists an alternative way to derive the thermodynamic entropy of 2D black holes by noting the asymptotic growth of the physical states of a CFT by means of the Cardy formula (S_C) [50], [63]-[64]

$$S_C = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{c_M\Delta}{6}}, \quad (118)$$

where Δ is the eigen value of the associated Virasoro generator L_0 .

The authors in [50] establish a 2D/3D dictionary which by virtue of the Cardy formula (118) predicts the correct Bekenstein-Hawking entropy for 2D black holes. Therefore, it would be indeed an interesting project to uplift the 2D black hole solutions (80)-(81) into three dimensions and establish a suitable 2D/3D mapping in the presence of 2D projected ModMax interactions.

- It would be an interesting project to add SU(2) Yang-Mills interactions and investigate their imprints on various physical observables associated with the boundary theory. In particular, the authors in [52] observe that the SU(2) Yang-Mills field play an important role in obtaining the Hawking-Page transition in the context of JT gravity. Therefore, one can investigate similar effects and/or possible deviations in the presence of projected ModMax interactions in two dimensions.

- Finally, it would be nice to construct the 2D wormhole solutions [42], [51] and explore their thermal stability for the ModMax corrected JT gravity models.

We would like to address some of the above issues in the near future.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to the authorities of Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee for their unconditional support towards researches in basic sciences. DR would like to acknowledge The Royal Society, UK for financial assistance.

A Equations of motion

In this Appendix, we note down the most general form of the equations of motion (13)-(17) in the Fefferman-Graham gauge (19),

$$A_t : \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}\partial_\eta \left[\frac{\Phi A'_t}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right) \right] = 0, \quad (119)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}\partial_t \left(-\frac{\dot{\chi}}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} \cosh \gamma + \frac{s\dot{\chi} + p\xi'\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right) + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}\partial_\eta \left(\sqrt{-h_{tt}} \times \right. \\ \left. \chi' \cosh \gamma - \frac{s\chi'\sqrt{-h_{tt}} + p\xi'}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}\partial_t \left(-\frac{\dot{\xi}}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} \cosh \gamma - \frac{-s\dot{\xi} + p\chi'\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right) + \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}}\partial_\eta \left(\sqrt{-h_{tt}} \times \right. \\ \left. \xi' \cosh \gamma - \frac{s\xi'\sqrt{-h_{tt}} - p\chi'}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

$$\Phi : \sqrt{-h_{tt}}(\sqrt{-h_{tt}})'' + (\sqrt{-h_{tt}})^2 \Lambda - \kappa A_t'^2 \cosh \gamma + \frac{\kappa s A_t'^2}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \sinh \gamma = 0, \quad (122)$$

$$g_{tt} : \Phi'' + \Lambda \Phi + 2\kappa \Phi \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right) \left(\Phi^{-1}(\chi'^2 + \xi'^2) - \frac{s}{2} \right) = 0, \quad (123)$$

$$g_{\eta\eta} : -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} \partial_t \left(\frac{\dot{\Phi}}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} \right) + \frac{(\sqrt{-h_{tt}})' \Phi'}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} + \Lambda \Phi - 2\kappa \Phi \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right) \times \left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(\dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\xi}^2)}{(\sqrt{-h_{tt}})^2} + \frac{s}{2} \right) = 0, \quad (124)$$

$$g_{\eta t} : -(\dot{\Phi})' + \frac{\dot{\Phi}(\sqrt{-h_{tt}})'}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} - 2\kappa \left(\cosh \gamma - \frac{s \sinh \gamma}{\sqrt{s^2 + p^2}} \right) (\dot{\xi} \xi' + \dot{\chi} \chi') = 0, \quad (125)$$

along with the functions

$$s = -\frac{A_t'^2}{(\sqrt{-h_{tt}})^2} + \Phi^{-1} \left(-\frac{1}{(\sqrt{-h_{tt}})^2} (\dot{\chi}^2 + \dot{\xi}^2) + \chi'^2 + \xi'^2 \right), \quad (126)$$

$$p = -2 \frac{\Phi^{-1}}{\sqrt{-h_{tt}}} (\dot{\chi} \xi' - \chi' \dot{\xi}), \quad (127)$$

where $\dot{}$ and $'$ denote the derivatives with respect to t and η respectively.

B Equations of motion at κ^2 order

The purpose of this Appendix is to write down the equations of motion (13)-(17) at quadratic order in κ .

The coefficient of κ^2 in the equations (13)-(17) can be expressed as

$$\omega_0 \partial_\eta \left(\frac{\Phi_0 A_{t3}'}{\omega_0} - \frac{\Phi_0 \omega_1 A_{t1}'}{\omega_0^2} + \frac{\Phi_1 A_{t1}'}{\omega_0} \right) - \omega_1 \partial_\eta \left(\frac{\Phi_0 A_{t1}'}{\omega_0} \right) = 0, \quad (128)$$

$$\omega_0 \Phi_3'' + \omega_1 \Phi_1'' + \omega_3 \Phi_0'' - (\Phi_0' \omega_3' + \Phi_1' \omega_1' + \Phi_3' \omega_0') + f_2 = 0, \quad (129)$$

$$\omega_3'' - \lambda^2 \omega_3 - \frac{1}{\omega_0} \left(2A_{t1}' A_{t3}' - \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} A_{t1}'' \right) = 0, \quad (130)$$

$$\partial_\eta (\omega_0 \xi_3' + \omega_1 \xi_1') - \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} \partial_\eta (\omega_0 \xi_1') = 0, \quad (131)$$

$$\ddot{\chi}_3 = 0, \quad (132)$$

where

$$f_2 = 2(2\omega_0 \xi_1' \xi_3' + \omega_1 \xi_1'^2) + \frac{2}{\omega_0} \left(2\dot{\chi}_1 \dot{\chi}_3 - \dot{\chi}_1^2 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_0} \right). \quad (133)$$

Here $\dot{}$ and $'$ denotes the derivative with respect to t and η respectively. Further, these equations (128)-(132) are quite complicated to solve, therefore we ignore the κ^2 order corrections in the present analysis.

C Details of the functions \mathcal{H}_i 's

In this Appendix, we present the explicit details of the functions \mathcal{H}_i , ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_1(\eta) = & \frac{1}{4} \left[e^{-2\eta\lambda} (4\kappa + 2(\gamma - 1)a_2^4 b_3^4 c_1^3 \eta \kappa \lambda) + \frac{8a_2 c_1 \lambda}{e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) - a_2} \left(\frac{2(a_2^2 b_3^2 + 1)}{3\lambda} \right) + \right. \\
& a_2^3 b_3^3 \left(\frac{1}{a_2} \left(-\frac{4\kappa}{a_2^4 b_3^4} \left(-\log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) - \log(a_2 b_3) + 2\eta\lambda \right) \right) + \right. \\
& 2c_1 \left(2a_4 \gamma \kappa \left(-2 \log(a_2 b_3) + 2\gamma \eta \lambda - \gamma + 1 \right) + \frac{1}{a_2 b_3} \left(-2 \log(a_2 b_3) - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. 2 \log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) + 2(\gamma + 1)\eta\lambda - \gamma + 1 \right) - \frac{4(\gamma - 1)}{3a_2 b_3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{a_2^2 b_3^2} \right) \right) + \\
& \left. \frac{8(2a_4 \gamma \kappa + 1/(a_2 b_3))}{a_2^4 b_3^4 c_1^2} - \frac{1}{a_2} \left(c_1^3 \kappa \left(-\log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) - \log(a_2 b_3) + \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. 2\eta\lambda \right) \left(-2 \log \left(a_2 - \frac{e^{2\eta\lambda}}{a_2 b_3} \right) - 2 \log(a_2 b_3) + 2(\gamma + 1)\eta\lambda - \gamma + 1 \right) \right) \right) \left. \right], \tag{134}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_2(\eta) = & -\frac{(a_2^2 b_3^2 + 1)e^{-2\eta\lambda}}{12\lambda^2} \left(c_1^3 \kappa \left(-\log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) - \log(a_2 b_3) + 2\eta\lambda \right) \times \right. \\
& \left(-2 \log(a_2 b_3) - \gamma - 2 \log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) + 2(\gamma + 1)\eta\lambda + 1 \right) + \\
& \frac{2c_1}{b_3} \left(2 \log(a_2 b_3) + \gamma + 2 \log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) - 4\eta\lambda - 1 \right) + \\
& \left. \frac{4\kappa}{a_2^4 b_3^4} \left(-\log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) - \log(a_2 b_3) + 2\eta\lambda \right) \right) a_2^2 b_3^3, \tag{135}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_3(\eta) = & e^{2\eta\lambda} \left[\frac{2(a_2^2 b_3^2 + 1)}{3a_2 b_3} \left(c_1^2 \kappa \left(\frac{1}{e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) - a_2} + \frac{1}{a_2} \left(\log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) + \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. \log(a_2 b_3) - 2\eta\lambda \right) \right) - \frac{2}{a_2 b_3} \right) + 2 \right], \tag{136}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_4(\eta) = 2 \left(\frac{a_2^2 b_3^2 + 1}{3\lambda^2} \right), \tag{137}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_5(\eta) = & e^{\eta\lambda} \left(\frac{a_2^2 b_3^2 + 1}{6\lambda} \right) \left[4b_1 + \kappa \left(a_2 b_1 b_3 \left(-\frac{c_1^2 \log(a_2 b_3)}{a_2} - 4a_4 \gamma \right) + \frac{2b_1}{a_2} \left(2\eta\lambda - \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{2a_2}{e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) - a_2} - \log \left(a_2 - e^{2\eta\lambda}/(a_2 b_3) \right) \right) - \frac{\sqrt{b_3}}{a_2 \lambda} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{e^{\eta\lambda}}{a_2 \sqrt{b_3}} \right) - \right. \\
& \left. \left. \frac{e^{\eta\lambda}}{\lambda e^{2\eta\lambda}/b_3 + a_2^2 \lambda} + 4b_2 \gamma \right) \right]. \tag{138}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] M. Born and L. Infeld, “Foundations of the new field theory,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **144** (1934) no.852, 425-451 doi:10.1098/rspa.1934.0059
- [2] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, “Consequences of Dirac’s theory of positrons,” *Z. Phys.* **98** (1936) no.11-12, 714-732 doi:10.1007/BF01343663 [arXiv:physics/0605038 [physics]].
- [3] D. P. Sorokin, “Introductory Notes on Non-linear Electrodynamics and its Applications,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **70** (2022) no.7-8, 2200092 doi:10.1002/prop.202200092 [arXiv:2112.12118 [hep-th]].
- [4] A. Peres, “Nonlinear Electrodynamics in General Relativity,” *Phys. Rev.* **122** (1961), 273-274 doi:10.1103/PhysRev.122.273
- [5] G. W. Gibbons and D. A. Rasheed, “Electric - magnetic duality rotations in nonlinear electrodynamics,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **454** (1995), 185-206 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00409-L [arXiv:hep-th/9506035 [hep-th]].
- [6] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, D. Sorokin and P. K. Townsend, “A non-linear duality-invariant conformal extension of Maxwell’s equations,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), 121703 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.121703 [arXiv:2007.09092 [hep-th]].
- [7] B. P. Kosyakov, “Nonlinear electrodynamics with the maximum allowable symmetries,” *Phys. Lett. B* **810**, 135840 (2020) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135840 [arXiv:2007.13878 [hep-th]].
- [8] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, D. Sorokin and P. K. Townsend, “On p-form gauge theories and their conformal limits,” *JHEP* **03**, 022 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2021)022 [arXiv:2012.09286 [hep-th]].
- [9] I. Bandos, K. Lechner, D. Sorokin and P. K. Townsend, “ModMax meets Susy,” *JHEP* **10** (2021), 031 doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2021)031 [arXiv:2106.07547 [hep-th]].
- [10] S. I. Kruglov, “On generalized ModMax model of nonlinear electrodynamics,” *Phys. Lett. B* **822** (2021), 136633 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136633 [arXiv:2108.08250 [physics.gen-ph]].
- [11] P. A. Cano and Á. Murcia, “Duality-invariant extensions of Einstein-Maxwell theory,” *JHEP* **08** (2021), 042 doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2021)042 [arXiv:2104.07674 [hep-th]].
- [12] J. Barrientos, A. Cisterna, D. Kubiznak and J. Oliva, “Accelerated Black Holes beyond Maxwell’s Electrodynamics,” [arXiv:2205.15777 [gr-qc]].
- [13] A. Bokulić, T. Jurić and I. Smolić, “Black hole thermodynamics in the presence of nonlinear electromagnetic fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021) no.12, 124059 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.124059 [arXiv:2102.06213 [gr-qc]].
- [14] A. Ballon Bordo, D. Kubizňák and T. R. Perche, “Taub-NUT solutions in conformal electrodynamics,” *Phys. Lett. B* **817** (2021), 136312 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136312 [arXiv:2011.13398 [hep-th]].
- [15] D. Flores-Alfonso, R. Linares and M. Maceda, “Nonlinear extensions of gravitating dyons: from NUT wormholes to Taub-Bolt instantons,” *JHEP* **09** (2021), 104 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2021)104 [arXiv:2012.03416 [gr-qc]].

- [16] Z. Amirabi and S. Habib Mazharimousavi, “Black-hole solution in nonlinear electrodynamics with the maximum allowable symmetries,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) no.3, 207 doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-08995-z [arXiv:2012.07443 [gr-qc]].
- [17] R. G. Cai and Y. W. Sun, “Shear Viscosity from AdS Born-Infeld Black Holes,” *JHEP* **09** (2008), 115 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/09/115 [arXiv:0807.2377 [hep-th]].
- [18] R. C. Myers, S. Sachdev and A. Singh, “Holographic Quantum Critical Transport without Self-Duality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011), 066017 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.066017 [arXiv:1010.0443 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, “Building a Holographic Superconductor,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008), 031601 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.031601 [arXiv:0803.3295 [hep-th]].
- [20] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 231-252 doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [21] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998), 253-291 doi:10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150 [hep-th]].
- [22] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory,” *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998), 105-114 doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109 [hep-th]].
- [23] R. Jackiw, “Lower Dimensional Gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **252** (1985), 343-356 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(85)90448-1
- [24] C. Teitelboim, “Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **126** (1983), 41-45 doi:10.1016/0370-2693(83)90012-6.
- [25] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, “Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3339 (1993) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.3339 [arXiv:cond-mat/9212030 [cond-mat]].
- [26] A. Kitaev. 2015. A simple model of quantum holography, talk given at KITP strings seminar and Entanglement program, February 12, April 7, and May 27, Santa Barbara, U.S.A.
- [27] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, “Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.10, 106002 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.106002 [arXiv:1604.07818 [hep-th]].
- [28] J. Polchinski and V. Rosenhaus, “The Spectrum in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model,” *JHEP* **04**, 001 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)001 [arXiv:1601.06768 [hep-th]].
- [29] A. Kitaev and S. J. Suh, “The soft mode in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and its gravity dual,” *JHEP* **05**, 183 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2018)183 [arXiv:1711.08467 [hep-th]].
- [30] K. Jensen, “Chaos in AdS₂ Holography,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no.11, 111601 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.111601 [arXiv:1605.06098 [hep-th]].

- [31] S. R. Das, A. Ghosh, A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Duality in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev Model,” Springer Proc. Math. Stat. **255**, 43-61 (2017) doi:10.1007/978-981-13-2179-5_4
- [32] S. R. Das, A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Three Dimensional View of the SYK/AdS Duality,” JHEP **09**, 017 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2017)017 [arXiv:1704.07208 [hep-th]].
- [33] S. R. Das, A. Ghosh, A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Three Dimensional View of Arbitrary q SYK models,” JHEP **02**, 162 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2018)162 [arXiv:1711.09839 [hep-th]].
- [34] M. Taylor, “Generalized conformal structure, dilaton gravity and SYK,” JHEP **01**, 010 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2018)010 [arXiv:1706.07812 [hep-th]].
- [35] A. Jevicki, K. Suzuki and J. Yoon, “Bi-Local Holography in the SYK Model,” JHEP **07**, 007 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2016)007 [arXiv:1603.06246 [hep-th]].
- [36] A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, “Bi-Local Holography in the SYK Model: Perturbations,” JHEP **11**, 046 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2016)046 [arXiv:1608.07567 [hep-th]].
- [37] A. Lala and D. Roychowdhury, “SYK/AdS duality with Yang-Baxter deformations,” JHEP **12**, 073 (2018) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2018)073 [arXiv:1808.08380 [hep-th]].
- [38] D. Roychowdhury, “Holographic derivation of q SYK spectrum with Yang-Baxter shift,” Phys. Lett. B **797**, 134818 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.134818 [arXiv:1810.09404 [hep-th]].
- [39] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS₂ backreaction and holography,” JHEP **11**, 014 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2015)014 [arXiv:1402.6334 [hep-th]].
- [40] H. Kyono, S. Okumura and K. Yoshida, “Deformations of the Almheiri-Polchinski model,” JHEP **03**, 173 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2017)173 [arXiv:1701.06340 [hep-th]].
- [41] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, “Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space,” PTEP **2016**, no.12, 12C104 (2016) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptw124 [arXiv:1606.01857 [hep-th]].
- [42] A. M. García-García and V. Godet, “Euclidean wormhole in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.4, 046014 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.046014 [arXiv:2010.11633 [hep-th]].
- [43] A. M. García-García and V. Godet, “Half-wormholes in nearly AdS₂ holography,” [arXiv:2107.07720 [hep-th]].
- [44] D. J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, “The Bulk Dual of SYK: Cubic Couplings,” JHEP **05**, 092 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2017)092 [arXiv:1702.08016 [hep-th]].
- [45] D. J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, “A Generalization of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev,” JHEP **02**, 093 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2017)093 [arXiv:1610.01569 [hep-th]].
- [46] R. A. Davison, W. Fu, A. Georges, Y. Gu, K. Jensen and S. Sachdev, “Thermoelectric transport in disordered metals without quasiparticles: The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and holography,” Phys. Rev. B **95**, no.15, 155131 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.95.155131 [arXiv:1612.00849 [cond-mat.str-el]].

- [47] H. Rathi and D. Roychowdhury, “Holographic JT gravity with quartic couplings,” *JHEP* **10**, 209 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2021)209 [arXiv:2107.11632 [hep-th]].
- [48] A. Gaikwad, L. K. Joshi, G. Mandal and S. R. Wadia, “Holographic dual to charged SYK from 3D Gravity and Chern-Simons,” *JHEP* **02**, 033 (2020) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2020)033 [arXiv:1802.07746 [hep-th]].
- [49] A. Lala and D. Roychowdhury, “Models of phase stability in Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 124061 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.124061 [arXiv:1909.09828 [hep-th]].
- [50] A. Castro, D. Grumiller, F. Larsen and R. McNees, “Holographic Description of AdS(2) Black Holes,” *JHEP* **11**, 052 (2008) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/052 [arXiv:0809.4264 [hep-th]].
- [51] H. Rathi and D. Roychowdhury, “Phases of complex SYK from Euclidean wormholes,” [arXiv:2111.11279 [hep-th]].
- [52] A. Lala, H. Rathi and D. Roychowdhury, “Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity and the models of a Hawking-Page transition for 2D black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.10, 104024 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.104024 [arXiv:2005.08018 [hep-th]].
- [53] M. Cadoni, P. Carta, D. Klemm and S. Mignemi, “AdS(2) gravity as conformally invariant mechanical system,” *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 125021 (2001) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.63.125021 [arXiv:hep-th/0009185 [hep-th]].
- [54] K. Narayan, “Aspects of two-dimensional dilaton gravity, dimensional reduction, and holography,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.2, 026007 (2021) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.104.026007 [arXiv:2010.12955 [hep-th]].
- [55] T. Hartman and A. Strominger, “Central Charge for AdS(2) Quantum Gravity,” *JHEP* **04**, 026 (2009) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/04/026 [arXiv:0803.3621 [hep-th]].
- [56] Fefferman, Charles; Graham, C. Robin. Conformal invariants, dans Élie Cartan et les mathématiques d’aujourd’hui - Lyon, 25-29 juin 1984, Astérisque, no. S131 (1985), 22 p.
- [57] S. W. Hawking, “Particle Creation by Black Holes,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199-220 (1975) [erratum: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **46**, 206 (1976)] doi:10.1007/BF02345020
- [58] R. M. Wald, “Black hole entropy is the Noether charge,” *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, no.8, R3427-R3431 (1993) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.48.R3427 [arXiv:gr-qc/9307038 [gr-qc]].
- [59] R. Brustein, D. Gorboson and M. Hadad, “Wald’s entropy is equal to a quarter of the horizon area in units of the effective gravitational coupling,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 044025 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.044025 [arXiv:0712.3206 [hep-th]].
- [60] J. F. Pedraza, A. Svesko, W. Sybesma and M. R. Visser, “Semi-classical thermodynamics of quantum extremal surfaces in Jackiw-Teitelboim gravity,” [arXiv:2107.10358 [hep-th]].
- [61] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, “Action Integrals and Partition Functions in Quantum Gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **15**, 2752-2756 (1977) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2752

- [62] Milton Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, “Handbook of Mathematical Functions”, (Dover Books on Mathematics) Paperback – 1 June 1965.
- [63] J. L. Cardy, “Operator Content of Two-Dimensional Conformally Invariant Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **270** (1986), 186-204 doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90552-3
- [64] A. Strominger, “Black hole entropy from near horizon microstates,” JHEP **02**, 009 (1998) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/1998/02/009 [arXiv:hep-th/9712251 [hep-th]].